

Performance Evaluation of Surfactant Enhanced Nanomaterial as Efficient Water
Based Mud Additive

BUWANESWARI NAGAYAN

A report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Petroleum Engineering

School of Chemical and Energy Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2019

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I'm thankful for all the people who help me along the journey of this master's project. Appreciate them for their shared knowledge and experiences. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main thesis supervisor, Professor Dr. Wan Rosli Wan Sulaiman for the guidance and advice. I am also very thankful to Dr Anam, research methodology professor for his guidance, advices and motivation. Without their continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been completed successfully.

Librarians and lab technicians at UTM, are also deserve special thanks for their assistance in supplying the relevant literatures and materials.

My fellow postgraduate student should also be recognised for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistance in time. Their views and tips are useful indeed. I am grateful to all my family members for their kind understanding along this journey. Not to forget my current employer who approved all my leaves and absence due time to complete the project. Thank you so much.

ABSTRACT

Increasing world's demand of hydrocarbon and recent exploration into deepwater reservoir or complex formation causes stability and effectiveness of conventional drilling fluids to be affected as it tends to undergo deterioration such as gelation, degradation, and breakdown of polymeric additives under High Pressure and High Temperature (HPHT) condition. The emergence of nanotechnology has promise high efficient drilling fluids as the nanoparticles adopt well with a wide range of operating conditions. Yet, the stability of nanoparticles in aqueous phase still a major concern due to reagglomeration effect even after high mechanical shearing. Applying electrostatic repulsion force in nanofluid believed to increase dispersion stability. Relatively, surfactant based dispersion is preferred where nanosilica as a negatively charged nanoparticle dispersed in an anionic surfactant known as Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate, SDS to increase electrostatic repulsion between negatively charged molecules for higher stability. Thus, this study is aim to investigate the performance of nanoparticle in presence of surfactant in water based mud in comparison with ultrasonic dispersed nanofluid mud and conventional Polyanionic Cellulose, PAC mud. Nanosilica has better filtration properties and been used as fluid loss control additive in various experiments especially in High Pressure and High Temperature environment. The methodology of this study initially focused into stability investigation of surfactant enhanced nanofluid by measuring the zeta potential value followed by performance evaluation of nanosilica drilling fluid in optimum concentration of SDS by analysing rheological and filtration properties in both ambient and HPHT condition. Experimental analysis shows that surfactant enhanced nano drilling fluid shows higher zeta potential value and exhibit higher dispersion and stability compared to non-surfactant nano drilling fluid and PAC mud. Thus, it shows better rheological and filtration properties especially at HPHT compared to conventional mud. Surfactant added nano drilling fluid able to control fluid loss around 20-30%, reduce mud cake thickness about 25% compared to PAC mud in HPHT condition. The completion of this study promised better nano drilling fluid formulation for efficient and smooth drilling operation by reduced rig time and cost involved.

ABSTRAK

Bendalir penggerudian mempunyai banyak fungsi dalam proses penggerudian termasuk penghapusan keratan, pelinciran, penyejukan bit, meningkatkan kestabilan telaga, dan mencegah kehilangan air antara lubang bor dan resebor. Peningkatan permintaan hidrokarbon dunia menyebabkan eksplorasi baru tertumpu kepada permukaan muka bumi yang kompleks. Ini menyebabkan kestabilan dan keberkesanan lumpur penggerudian konvensional terjejas kerana ia cenderung mengalami kemerosotan seperti gelation dan degradasi polimer di bawah keadaan tekanan dan suhu tertinggi. Kewujudan nanoteknologi telah menjanjikan cecair penggerudian yang cekap kerana kemampuan sifat pisiokimianya. Walau bagaimanapun, kestabilan nanopartikel dalam fasa cecair masih menjadi masalah utama kerana kesan reagglomerasi walaupun telah dilarutkan dalam tekanan mekanikal yang tinggi seperti ultrasonik. Oleh itu, kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji prestasi lumpur nanopartikel yang dilarutkan dengan menggunakan surfaktan berbanding cara larutan ultrasonik. Hasil analisis telah digunakan untuk dibandingkan dengan lumpur selulosa polianionik konvensional (PAC). Nanosilica sebagai nanopartikel bercas negatif telah dilarutkan dalam surfaktan anionik yang dikenali sebagai Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate, SDS untuk meningkatkan penolakan antara molekul bercas negatif untuk kestabilan yang lebih tinggi. Nanosilica mempunyai sifat penapisan yang lebih baik dan boleh digunakan untuk mengawal kehilangan air. Metodologi kajian ini pada mulanya tertumpu kepada penyiasatan kestabilan bendalir nano surfaktan dengan menggunakan potensi zeta, diikuti dengan penilaian prestasi riologi dan kehilangan filterasi cecair penggerudian. Analisis Penapisan API telah dijalankan untuk mengkaji sifat lumpur nanosilica sebagai aditif untuk mengawal cecair penggerudian di suhu normal dan juga dibawah tekanan tertinggi dan suhu tertinggi. Hasil kajian menunjukkan lumpur nanosilica yang dilarutkan dengan surfaktan mempunyai sifat riologi yang lebih baik dan mampu mengawal kehilangan air secara efektif berbanding lumpur nanosilica larutan ultrasonik dan lumpur konvensional. Penyiapan kajian ini akan menjanjikan kesimpulan lumpur nano yang lebih baik untuk operasi penggerudian yang cekap dan lancar dengan penjimatan masa dan kos penggerudian.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xiv
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background of Study	1
1.2	Problem Statement	4
1.3	Objective of Study	5
1.5	Rationale of Study	6
1.6	Significance of Study	6
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1	Introduction	7
2.2	Drilling Fluid	8
2.2.1	Basic Function of Drilling Fluid	8
2.2.2	Type of Drilling Fluid	9
(a)	Water Based Mud	9
(b)	Oil Based Mud	10
(c)	Synthetic Based Mud	10
2.2.3	Limitation of Drilling Fluid	11

	(a) Reservoir condition	11
	(b) Environmental Impact and Regulatory Issues	12
	(c) Costs and Benefits	13
	2.2.4 Drilling Fluid Design Consideration	13
2.3	Lost Circulation	13
	2.3.1 Effects	14
	2.3.2 Categories of Losses	14
	2.3.3 Loss Circulation Zone	15
2.4	Loss Circulation Material	16
2.5	Nanomaterial	19
2.6	Nanosilica	21
	2.6.1 Application of Nanosilica in Drilling Fluid	22
	2.6.2 Dispersion of Nanosilica	24
2.7	Surfactant	25
	2.7.1 Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate, SDS	26
2.8	Properties of Drilling Fluid	29
2.9	IADC Drilling Manual, 2014	30
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	33
3.1	Introduction	33
3.2	Synthesis of Nanofluid	34
	3.2.1 Materials	34
	3.2.2 Nanosilica solution preparation	34
	3.2.3 Surface zeta potential determination and agglomeration effects	35
	3.2.4 Conventional water based mud sample preparation (sample 3)	36
3.3	Nanosilica Water Based Mud Sample Preparation	37
3.4	Drilling Mud Properties Measurement	37
	3.4.1 Mud Density Measurement	38
	3.4.2 Rheological Property Test	39
	3.4.2.1 Plastic Viscosity, Apparent Viscosity and Yield Point	39

3.4.3	Gel Strength Test	39
3.5	Standard API Filtration Test and Mud Cake Thickness	40
3.5.1	Low Pressure Filtration Test	40
3.5.2	High Pressure High Temperature Filtration Test	41
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	43
4.1	Introduction	43
4.2	Evaluation of storage stability of Silica Dispersion and Aggregation	43
4.3	Surface Zeta Potential Determination and Agglomeration Effects	45
4.4	Rheological Properties of Water Based Mud	46
4.4.1	Plastic Viscosity	46
4.4.2	Yield Point	47
4.4.3	Gel Strength	48
4.4.4	Fluid Loss Test at LPLT and HPHT	50
4.4.5	Mud Cake Thickness at LPLT and HPHT	52
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	53
5.1	Introduction	53
5.2	Conclusion	53
5.3	Recommendation	54
REFERENCES		55

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Literature Review on Nanosilica as Drilling Fluid Additive	22
Table 2.2	Literature Review on Application of Nanoparticles in SDS	27
Table 3.1	Samples and Material Composition for Nanofluid Synthesis	35
Table 3.2	Basic Water Based Mud Ingredients	37
Table 4.1	Zeta Potential Measurement of different concentration of SDS	45
Table 4.2	Recommended Rheological Properties of Mud by M-1 Swaco	46

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Flow Chart of Literature Review	7
Figure 2.2	Loss Circulation Zone	16
Figure 2.3	Examples of LCM	18
Figure 2.4	Filter Cake Formations	20
Figure 2.4	Filtrate Flow with and without Nanoparticles	21
Figure 2.6	Stability Dispersion and Colloidal Formation	25
Figure 2.7	Structure of Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate	27
Figure 2.8	Contact Angle of Nanosilica	29
Figure 3.1	Flow Chart of Methodology	33
Figure 4.1	Zeta Potential Measurement of Different Concentration of SDS Surfactant	44
Figure 4.2	Plastic Viscosities of Mud Samples Before and After Hot Rolling	47
Figure 4.3	Yield Point of Mud Samples Before and After Hot Rolling	48
Figure 4.4	Gel Strength of Mud Samples for 10S Before and After Hot Rolling	49
Figure 4.5	Gel Strength of Mud Samples for 10S Before and After Hot Rolling	50
Figure 4.6	Fluid Losses of Mud Samples at LPLT and HPHT Condition	51
Figure 4.7	Mud Cake Thickness for LPLT and HPHT Conditions	52

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

API	-	American Petroleum Institute
ECD	-	Equivalent Circulating Density
HPHT	-	High Pressure High Temperature
KCl	-	Potassium Chloride
LCM	-	Loss Circulating Material
LPLT	-	Low Pressure Low Temperature
Min	-	Minutes
mm	-	millimetre
NP	-	Nanoparticles
OBM	-	Oil Based Mud
PAC	-	Polyanionic Cellulose
PSO	-	Particle Swarm Optimization
SBM	-	Synthetic Based Mud
SDS	-	Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate
WBM	-	Water Based Mud

LIST OF SYMBOLS

$^{\circ}\text{C}$	-	Degree Celsius
F	-	Force
v	-	Velocity
p	-	Pressure
$>$	-	More Than
$<$	-	Less than
Wt %	-	Weight Percentage
μ	-	Viscosity

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Zeta Potential Report	59

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

Drilling mud has evolved enormously since 1901, when first major petroleum discovery using rotary drilling and circulating mud was made at spindletop, Texas USA. The spindletop mud is simply water made viscous by hydrating clay cuttings. The fluid used was just dirt and water till 1920s (Al-Yasri et al., 2015). Since then some improvements have been made to use barite for weight control and bentonite for hole cleaning and suspension purpose. In 1930, Baroid sales company, the first mud sales and Service Company was formed. The first mud product designed to solve various drilling problems including viscosity and fluid loss control phenomenon. Consequently, mud products and mud companies expanded greatly after World War II. Today muds are complex mixtures of bentonite, polymers, thinners, barite and a host of other ingredients that accomplish several task and problems in drilling industry.

Drilling fluid can be defined based on its function, composition and complexity. The American Petroleum Institute (API) defines it as circulating fluid employed to save any or all of the various responsibilities involved in drilling operations of rotary drilling (Al-Yasri et al., 2015). It is used to remove cuttings, stabilise wellbore from damage, cool and lubricate drill bit and control formation pressure. However, there are still several limitations in drilling fluids that reduce drilling performance. Some types of drilling fluids are not suitable to use for certain reservoir conditions, costly and harm the environments. Various properties of drilling fluid need to be focused for ultimate performance and success of the drilling operation (Gokul et al., 2017).

As such, lost circulation of drilling fluid has been a growing challenge to oil and gas industry. As demand for oil and gas has rapidly increasing the focus shifts into exploration of new hydrocarbon fields which often involved complex subsurface environments and harsh condition (Vipulanandan & Mohamed, 2018). This causes severe loss of drilling fluid due to degradation or alteration which adversely affects the drilling activities (Amanullah, 2016). Schlumberger defined fluid loss as leakage of liquid phase of drilling fluid, slurry or treatment fluid containing solid particles into the formation matrix which could cause blowout, kick or collapse. This subsequently leads to excessive cost of drilling due to mud loss, increasing rig time and extensive formation damage. Drilling fluid supposed to be circulated down to the bottom hole and come back to the surface for cutting transport and cooling the bit.

However, when lost circulation occurs, drilling fluids are lost and Loss Circulation Materials (LCM) needed to be added to the mud to avoid further fluid loss (Mansour & Telegani, 2018). LCM is a material that seals the fractures and minimizes mud loss into formation. Commonly used materials include fibrous materials, granular materials and flake like materials. However, there are several limitations on LCM or additive such as Xanthan Gum or Starch which often degrade at HPHT environment (Al-Yasiri et al, 2015). Although there are many researches are ongoing most of the fluid loss control agent failed to control fluid loss into formation efficiently.

Nanotechnology has come to the forefront research to design smart fluids to enhance filtration performance and to provide better rheological behaviour (Yadav et al., 2017). It has better physio-chemical properties with particle size of 1-100nm and high surface to volume ratio compared to micro or macro chemicals additives. Nanoparticles have better thermal stability for HPHT conditions and able to serve as bridging agents in fluid lost system to control loss circulation (Krishnan et al., 2016). Silica, Iron Oxide, Copper Oxide, Zinc Oxide, Graphene Oxide, Aluminosilicate Clay Hybrid, Multi walled Carbon Nanotube and Titanium Oxide are some of the nanoparticles that have been involved in drilling fluid enhancement studies (Vryzas & Kelessidis, 2017).

Apart from these technical advantages, nanoparticles have great benefits on economic and environment too. Economically, usage of nanoparticles over expensive additives reduces drilling fluid cost. Nanofluid enhances oil recovery process due to its ability to reach deep challenge formations. Non-productive time could be reduced by eliminating further mitigation process of fluid loss at earlier stages, thus reduces rig time and cost. Environmentally, Water Based Mud (WBM) is preferred over Oil Based Mud (OBM) as base for additive (Abduo et al., 2016). Successful application of nanoparticles in water based mud could reduce oil based mud usage and this reduces environmental impact especially by eliminating wastage for disposal (Amanullah, 2016).

While most of the nano drilling, fluid investigations carried out in lab scale, there are few studies have been tested in real conditions. Borisove et al., 2015 have conducted field test on application of nanoparticle based invert emulsion drilling fluids. The test shows nano drilling fluid can significantly reduce fluid loss and produce thinner filter cake compared to LCM alone. This study proven that the total mud losses were reduced by 22-34% in the presence of 0.5 wt % calcium nanoparticles which agrees with what was obtained in lab during preliminary studies. In another field study, application of nanofluid containing a blend of proprietary surfactants engineered with nano graphene been investigated. The nano drilling fluid was tested in HPHT onshore well and results showed 30% of fluid loss control compared to conventional mud (Taha & Lee, 2015).

The nano drilling fluid able to bring a revolution in an oil and gas industry as it can fulfil the specific needs of new drilling technologies. There are several researchers currently working on scaling up the synthesis of various types of nanoparticles in order to render the process economically viable so that it can be used in oil recovery process. Yet, there is a need to overcome some technical challenges on efficiency of nanoparticles in drilling fluid application such as dispersion stability, field scale applications and compatibility with API procedures and specifications.

1.2 Problem Statement

The choice and design of drilling mud and additives becomes more challenging when exploration focused into complex subsurface geological formation as this will influence drilling fluids rheological and filtration properties (Amani et al, 2016). Currently used Lost Control Material (LCM) , xanthan gum and starch has several limitations to control fluid lost efficiently as some will degrade at HPHT condition (Ettehad *et al.*, 2018). Therefore, nanoparticles application has been gaining attention worldwide due to better physiochemical properties even at HPHT (Nurazwan & aslam, 2015).

However, there are several limitations on nanoparticles to be used as efficient fluid loss control material as dispersion stability remains a major technical challenge. Process used to disperse nanoparticles in liquid is another critical factor for effective dispersion (Vryzas & Kelessidis, 2017). Studies show even after high shearing of nanoparticles using various mechanical methods such as ultrasonic bath, magnetic sheerer and homogenizers they tend to re-agglomerate due to strong van der waals attractive forces (Vryzas & Kelessidis, 2017). This limits their advantage of stemming from their high surface area as it is subjected to reduction in higher surface area over volume ratio to form stable dispersion.

Different strategies have been reported to yield homogenous and stable dispersion of nanoparticles. However, very little studies have been conducted to further investigate on re-agglomeration of nanoparticle after the energy supplied by mechanical or ultrasonication is exhausted especially in application of surfactant enhanced nano drilling fluid in order to enhance stability. It is reported that introduction of repulsive forces in terms of steric, electrostatic or electrosteric able to decrease agglomeration and will ensure stability (Paramashivaiah & Rajashekar, 2016). It is proven that introduction of chemical method of surface functionalization to improve chemical compatibility with base fluids using surfactants can improve wetting or adhesion characteristics and reduce tendency to reaggregate in continuous phase solvents (Paramashivaiah & Rajashekar, 2016).

Therefore, new approach of using surfactant to enhance electrostatic repulsion between particles is being focused in order to produce effective dispersion system. This study focus into Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate (SDS), as an anionic surfactant which is proven to enhance stable dispersion in Nanosilica (Vatanprasat *et al.*,2018). Nanosilica is commonly used nanoparticles because of their low cost fabrication and cost effective surface modification. It is expected that electrical double layer formed at the interface between a nanosilica and electrolyte will help the anionic surfactant to approach and cover the surface of nanoparticles that have negative charges. The hydrophobic tails of surfactant will generate a steric repulsive force and prevent the nanoparticles aggregation and dispersion would remain stable (Azadgoleh et al., 2014). This stability will enhance nanoparticles dispersion in water based mud, thus high surface area over volume ration will be retained to plug and seal formation hence this helps to control filtrate lost when introduced into drilling mud.

1.3 Objective of Study

The main objective of this research is to evaluate the performance of surfactant enhanced nanoparticle as efficient fluid Lost Control Material (LCM) as follow: -

- a) To characterize stability of surfactant enhanced nanoparticle water based mud at optimum nanosilica concentration.
- b) To determine rheological properties of surfactant added and non-added nanoparticle water based mud in comparison with conventional mud at ambient and High Pressure and High Temperature (HPHT) condition.
- c) To evaluate efficiency of filtrate properties in surfactant added and non-added nanomaterial drilling fluid.

1.4 Scope of Study

- (a) Conducting laboratory experiments on stability dispersion of nanosilica in anionic surfactant, Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate (SDS) in concentration range of 3%, 4 %, 5%, 6% and 7% by measuring zeta potential value.
- (b) Evaluating rheological properties of surfactant added and non-added nanosilica water based mud in comparison with conventional water based mud, HydroPacR by analysing Plastic Viscosity (PV), Yield Point (YP) and gel Strength at ambient (100psi) and after hot rolling (250°F & 500psi) condition.
- (c) Conducting API Filter Press Test (for filtrate lost volume) at ambient and after hot rolling temperature.

1.5 Rationale of Study

The main concern of this research is to evaluate efficiency of surfactant enhanced nanoparticle to be used in drilling fluid to enhance rheological and filtrate properties. This is to formulate new drilling mud to be used in drilling industry to eliminate dispersion limitation risen from commonly used nano, micro or macro polymers additives.

1.6 Significance of Study

The significance of this study is to reveal the efficiency of surfactant enhanced nanoparticle as drilling fluid lost control material. Surfactant and nanoparticle are widely been used in various industries hence positive results of this study may lead to commercialization of newly formulated mud to be used in petroleum industry to reduce lost circulation, cost and rig time involved in drilling.

REFERENCES

- Aftab,A., Ismail,A.R., Uibupoto., Z.H., Akeiber,H., and Malghani,M.G.K.(2017) Nanoparticles based drilling muds a solution to drill elevated temperature wells.,*Journal of Renewable and Sustainable Energy Review Elsevier, UTM*, 1303-1313
- Al-Saba, M..T., Fadhli,A., Marafi,A., Hussain,A., Bander,F., and Dushashi,M.F.(2018) Application of Nanoparticles in Improving Rheological Properties of Water Based Drilling Fluids, *Journal of Society of Petroleum Engineers*, Texas A&M International University, , SPE-192239-MS
- Abduo, M.I., Dahab,A.S., Abuseda,H., Aziz,A.M. and Elhossieny (2016)Comparative Study of Using Water Based Mud Containing Multiwall Carbon Nanotubes Versus Oil Based Mud in HPHT Fields, *Egyptian Journal of Petroleum*, Cairo University, Vol 25,459-464
- Afolabi,R.O., Orodu,O.D., Vincent,E.E. and Rotimi,O.J., (2017) Optimizing the Rheological Properties of Silica Nano-odified Bentonite Mud Using Overlaid Contour Plot and Estimation of Maximum or upper Shear Stress Limit, Department of Petroleum Engineering Covenant University, Nigeria, 4:1287248
- Al-Saba,M. and Nygaard,R. (2014)Review of Lost Circulation Materials and Treatments with an Updated Classification, *American Association of Drilling Engineers*, Univeristy of Calgari,AADE--14-FTCE-25
- Al-Yasiri,M.S. and Al-Sallami,W.T. (2015) How The Drilling Fluid Can be Made More Efficient by Using Nanomaterial,*American Journal of Nano Research and Applications*,Univeristy Baghdad, Iraq,3(3)pp41-45
- Amani,M., Shadravan,A. and Jubouri,M.A. (2012) Comparative Study of Using Oil Based Mud Versus Water Based Mud in HPHT, Texas A&M University ,Qatar, *Journal of Advances in Petroleum Exploration and Development*, Vol4,pp18-27
- Amanullah, (2016) A multiple Contaminant Tolerant and Eco-Friendly Water Based Mud System for Superior Down Hole Performance, *Journal of Saudi Aramco*,SPE-182894-MS

- Anoop,K., Sadr,R., Jubouri and Amani,M. (2016) Rheology of Mineral Oil SiO₂ Nanofluids at High Pressure and Temperature, *Journal of Thermal Science*, Vol 77, pg108-115
- Azadgoleh,J.E., Kharrat,R., Barati,N. and Sobhani,A. (2014) Stability of Silica Nanoparticle Dispersion in Brine Solution, an Experimental Study Iranian *Journal of Oil and Gas Technology*, Petroleum University of Technology, , Vol 3, No4 , pp26-40
- Borisov,A.S.,Husein, M.,Hareland, G. (2015) A Field Application of Nanoparticle Based Invert Emulsion Drilling Fluids, *Journal of Nanoparticles Res*, 17,340
- Baker Hughes Company (1999). *Prevention and Control of Lost Circulation Best Practices*
- Biltayib,B.M., Rashidi,M.,Balhasan,S.,Allothman,R. and Kabuli (2018) Experimental Analysis on Rheological and Fluid Loss Control of Water Based Mud using New Additive, *Journal of Petroleum and Gas Engineering*,University of Ras Al Khaimah,UAE,Vol 9(3) pp23-31
- Chaudhuri, R. G., & Paria, S.(2010) Synthesis of sulfur nanoparticles in aqueous surfactant solutions, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 343(2), 439–446. doi:10.1016/j.jcis.2009.12.004
- Semaeei,J.,Kharrat,R.,Barati,N. and Sobhani,A.(2014) Stability of Silica Nanoparticle Dispersion in Brine Solution: an Experimental Study, Iranian *Journal of Oil and Gas Science and Technology*,Iran,Vol3, No 4, pp.26-40
- Ettehadi,A. & Katip,I.(2018) In Situ Thermal Rheological Properties of Drilling Muds, Istanbul Technical University, SPE-IADC-189349-MS
- Gokul,P.R.,Sarang,A.,Uddin,M.K. and Kanna,R.(2017) Determining The Loss of Liquid from Different Types of Mud by Various Additives for Cost Effective Drilling, International Referred *Journal of Engineering and Science*, Institute Engineering and Technology,Hydrabad,PP 87-93
- Guan,O.S.,Gholami,R.,Raza,A.,Rabiei,M.,Fakhari,N.,Rasouli,V. and Nabinezhad,O. (2018) A Nano Particle Based Approach to Improve Filtration Control of Water Based Mud Under High Pressure High Temperature Conditions, *An article in Press*, Department of Petroleum Engineering, Curtin Satarwak,<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petlm.2018.10.006>

- Heijman, S.G.J. & Stein, H.N. (1995) Electrostatic and Sterical Stabilization of TiO₂ Dispersions, *Eindhoven University of Technology*, 11(2), 422-427, DOI:10.1021/la00002a010
- Irfan, Y. (2016) Study of Viscosity and Friction Factor of Nano Drilling Fluids along with Torque and Drag Reduction, *Master's Thesis*, University of Stavanger, pg 128
- Ilyiana, N. (2015) Performance Evaluation of Durian Rind and Pectin as Fluid Loss Control Agents in Water Based Mud, *Master's Thesis*, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia,
- Jaaveri, S.M., Haindade, Z.W., and Jere, C.B. (2011) Mitigation Loss Circulation and Differential Sticking Problems Using Silicon Nanoparticles, *Journal of Society of Petroleum Engineering*, SPE 14580-MS
- Krishnamoorthy, A. and Varghese, S. (2015) Role of Surfactants on the Stability of Nano Zinc Oxide Dispersions, Particulate Science and Technology, *Journal of Rubber Research Institute, India*, DOI 10.1080/02726351.2015.1131787
- Krishnan, Abyat, Z., and Chok, C. (2016) Characterization of Boron Based Nanomaterial Enhanced Additive in Water Based Drilling Fluids, A Study on Lubricity, Drag, ROP and Fluid Loss Improvement, *Journal of Petroleum Engineering*, SPE/IADC-178240-MS
- Li, S., Osisanya, S., and Haroun, M. (2016) Development of New Smart Drilling Fluid, Using Nanomaterial for Unconventional Reservoirs, *Journal of Society of Petroleum Engineering*, SPE-183509-MS.
- Mahmoud, O., Nasr-El-Din, Vyzas, Z., and Kelessidis, V.C. (2018) Using Ferric Oxide and Silica Nanoparticles to Develop Modified Calcium Bentonite Drilling Fluids, Texas A&M University a Qatar, *Journal of SPE Drilling and Completion*, Society of Petroleum Engineers, SPE 178949
- Mahmoud, O., Nassr-El-Din, H.A., Vryzas, Z., and Kelessidis (2018) Effect of Ferric Oxide Nanoparticles on the Properties of Filter Cake Formed by Calcium Bentonite Based Drilling Muds, *Journal of Society of Petroleum Engineering*, University of Qatar, SPE-184572
- Mandzy, N., Grulke, E. and Druffel, T. (2005) Breakage Of TiO₂ Agglomerates in Electrostatically Stabilised Aqueous Dispersions, Department of Chemical and Material Engineering, United State, 160(2005)121-126

- Mansour, A.K. and Teleghani, A.D. (2018) Smart Loss Circulation materials For Drilling Highly Fractured Zones, Pennsylvania State of University, SPE/IADC-189413-MS
- Mao,H.,Qiu,Z.,Huang,Z.,Zhong,H.,and Dai,W. (2016) Novel Hydrophobic Associated Polymer Based Nanosilica, Composite With Core Shell Structure For Intelligent Drilling Fluid Under Ultra High Temperature And Ultra High Pressure , *Journal of Science Matter*, Vol 25 , pg 90-93
- Norazwan,W., Yusof,M.A., and Hazimastura,H. (2016) Optimal Nanosilica Concentration in Synthetic Based Mud for HPHT wells, *Journal of Society of Petroleum Engineering*, SPE176036
- Nurhazwan,W. & Aslam,M.Y. (2016) Optimal Nanosilica Concentration in Synthetic Based Mud for HPHT Wells, *SPE Asia Pacific Oil and Gas Conference*, JPT Nov 2016
- Paramashiva,B.M., & Rajashekar,C.R. (2016) Studies on Effect of Various Surfactants On Stable Dispersion of Graphene Nano Particles in Simarouba Biodiesel, *IOP Conference Series, Materials Science and Engineering*, India, doi:10.1088/1757-899X/149/1/012083
- Riley,M., Stamatakis,E., Young,S., Hoelscher,K.P., Stefano,G.D., and Swaco,M-I. (2012) Novel Water Based Mud for Shale Gas,Part II: Mud Formulations and Performance, *Journal of Society of Petroleum Engineers*, SPE 152945
- Saboori,R., Sabbhagi,S., Kalantariasi,A., and Mowla,D. (2018) Improvement in filtration properties of water-based drilling fluid by nanocarboxymethyl cellulose/polystyrene core-shell nanocomposite, *Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production Technology*, Vol 8, pp445-454
- Sagitov,R.R., Minaev,K.M., Zakharov.A.S.,Korolev,A.S., and Minaeva.,D.O. (2017) The Study of the Drilling Mud Fluid Loss Reducing Agents Based on Carboxylmethyl Starch and Cellulose, *Oil Industry Journal*,V11,Pg 102-105
- Salih,A.H., Elshehabi,T.A., & Bilgesu,H.I.(2016) Impact of Nanomaterials On the Rheological and Filtration Properties of Water-Based Drilling Fluids, *Journal of Petroleum Engineering*, SPE-18406-MS
- Sapart, M.D.K., Aziman, M.J., Rosiah, R., Chung,Y.T., Rosli,W.W.S. (2018) Hydrophobic Nanosilica as Fluid Loss Control Additive for High Performance Water Based Drilling Fluids,Jurnal Kejuruteraan SI, Research centre for Sustainable Process Technology,UKM,75-85

- Sidik,N.A.C., Mohammed, H.A., Alawi,O.A., and Samion (2014) A Review on Preparation Methods and Challenges of Nanofluids, *Journal of Common Heat Mass Transfers* Vol 54, pg11-125
- Taha,N.M. and Lee,S. (2015) Nano Graphene Application Improving Drilling Fluids Performance, International Petroleum Technology Conference, IPTC-18539-MS
- Vatanparast,H., Shahabi,F., Alireza,B., Javadi,A. and Miller,R. (2018)The Role of Electrostatic Repulsion on Increasing Surface Activity of Anionic Surfactants in The Presence of Hydrophilic Silica Nanoparticles, *Journal of Scientific Reports*, (2018) 8:7251 | DOI:10.1038/s41598-018-25493-7
- Vipulanandan,C. and Mohamed,A.(2018) Fluid Loss Control in Smart Bentonite Drilling Mud Modified with Nano clay and Quantified with Vipulanandan Fluid Loss Model, University of Houston,OTC-28947-MS
- Vryzas, Z. and Kelessidis,V.C.(2017) Nano based drilling Fluids : A Review, *Journal of Energies*, Department of Petroleum Engineering, Texas A&M University of Qatar,10,540,en10040540
- Wagle,V.,Kalgaonka,R., and Al-Yami,A.S. (2018) Nanoparticle Based Chemical Treatment for Preventing Loss Circulation, *Journal of Society of Petroleum Engineers*, Saudi Aramco, SPE-192309-MS
- Willimson,D. (2013) Drilling Fluids Basics, *Oilfield Review Spring*, Norway,Vol25,No.1
- Wilson (2012) Nanoparticle use in Drilling Fluid Can Effectively Reduce Permeability in shale, *Journal of Society of Petroleum Engineers*, SPE-157031
- Yadav,P., Sarmah,P., Jadhav,P., Agarwal,G., Kosender,B., Tawat,N.A. and Addagalla,A. (2017) New Phase Transformation Fluid Based Loss Circulation Material: Hi-Strength, Year Round Use to Cure Severe to Total Loss in Reservoir and Non Reservoir Sections, *Journal of Baker Hughes*, SPE-183945-MS
- Zhou,B.,Ramirez,J.P.,Shumway,B.,Deville,J. and Galindo,K. (2017)Challenging HPHT Limits of Water Based Drilling Fluids, *Article by Haliburton*, retrieved from <https://www.epmag.com/challenging-hpht-limits-water-based-drilling-fluids-1461201#p=full>