

DEPOSITION OF SYNTHESIZED ZIRCONIUM-BASED METAL ORGANIC
FRAMEWORK ON CERAMIC HOLLOW FIBRE FOR FORWARD OSMOSIS
DESALINATION

NUR ZHATUL SHIMA BINTI YAHAYA

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirement for the award of the degree of
Master of Philosophy

School of Chemical and Energy Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2020

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, The Most Gracious and The Most Merciful, I would like to express my deepest gratitude towards various people that I encountered during this thesis preparation. Gladly to mention, they had huge contribution in my understanding and thoughts in this research. The first and foremost, I sincerely thanked my supervisor, Dr. Mukhlis A Rahman, who always be there to guide me along this journey. He never hesitates even once to make time for his students to discuss about the research and I am grateful for this. Also, with his continuous support and advices, this research was finally accomplished successfully.

Next, my great appreciation was presented to all my fellow researchers in MRU and AMTEC who had aided me well throughout my study. Special thanks to Mr. Muhammad Hanis Tajuddin, Ms. Nur Syuhadha Aseri, Ms. Nurshahida Rosdi, Mr. Amirul Afiat Raffi, Ms. Norfazliana Abdullah, Ms. Siti Nurfatin Nadhirah Makhtar and Ms. Syafikah Huda Paiman for their advices, critiques and motivation towards completion of this research. Their views, tips and thoughts indeed were very helpful to me. Following that, I am also taking this opportunity to extend my gratitude towards AMTEC and School of Chemical and Energy Engineering staffs for all their helps and guidance in this period. Lastly, a huge thanks to all my family members especially my parents who have always inspire me to strive for success in everything that I do. Also, not to forget, my supportive siblings who have my back for all decisions that I made in this life. Thank you for everything.

ABSTRACT

This study was aimed to develop zirconium (Zr)-based metal organic framework (MOF) ceramic membrane that can be used for forward osmosis (FO) desalination. As the first step, ceramic substrate surface was first modified with zirconium dioxide (ZrO_2) using sol-gel Pechini's method to provide active seeds that can favour MOF nucleation. Using this modified substrate, a series of solvothermal synthesis conditions were tested to build the FO applicable membrane. In the unmodulated procedure, only sample synthesized at $220^\circ C$ with 0.3 M and 16 h gave positive water flux. Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) results have shown that the non-uniform coverage and defect frameworks of MIL-140B (Materials of Institute Lavoisier –MIL) on the substrate layer was the cause to this problem. Hence, to tune the defect, modulated synthesis was introduced. Increment in modulator amount by increasing precursor concentration from 0.58 M to 2.32 M had successfully lowered the percentage of defect framework from 26.03% to 16.87%. Despite this framework enhancement, FO test result of this sample still displayed worse performance than the previous synthesis due to its high tendency of agglomeration. Loosely joint particles that formed during agglomeration at high temperature synthesis were easily brushed off during FO test. Therefore, lower synthesis temperature of $120^\circ C$ and longer synthesis time of 24 h was employed in the next procedure to allow slow nucleation process that can form better connected crystals. Instead of MIL-140B, UiO-66-NDC (University of Oslo – UiO) framework was found at $2\theta = 10.36^\circ$ and the crystal shape appeared in octahedron. Even with this reformatted crystal shape, the FO performance result still could not be in positive value. Therefore, the UiO-66-NDC membrane active layer was polymerised with fluorinated polymer as the last resort. Integration between this polymer and UiO-66-NDC had successfully treated the membrane defects by building new bonds inside the framework as proven by FESEM, atomic force microscopy, x-ray diffraction, Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy and TGA results. With better connected crystals, smoother deposition layer and perfect frameworks, FO performance of all UiO-66-NDC samples finally gave positive water flux results and the highest value was $16.189\text{ L/m}^2\cdot\text{h}$. Its lowest reverse solute flux achieved was $0.003\text{ L/m}^2\cdot\text{h}$ with sodium chloride rejection of up to 80 % which is definitely better than the previous study. Therefore, polymer-synthesized UiO-66-NDC on ceramic hollow fibre can definitely serve as an excellent FO membrane option that can be used in the desalination process.

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini bertujuan untuk membangunkan membran seramik berkerangka metal-organik (MOF) berasaskan logam zirconium (Zr) yang boleh digunakan untuk osmosis proses penyahgaraman ke hadapan (FO). Langkah pertama, permukaan gentian berongga seramik telah diubah suai dengan zirkonium dioksida menggunakan kaedah *sol-gel* Pechini untuk menghasilkan benih aktif yang membantu proses nukleasi MOF. Dengan menggunakan substrat yang telah dimodifikasi ini, pelbagai keadaan sintesis *solvothermal* telah diuji untuk menghasilkan membran yang mampu berfungsi untuk FO. Melalui prosedur tanpa modulasi, hanya sampel yang disintesis pada suhu 220°C dengan 0.3 M dan 16 jam sahaja dapat memberikan nilai positif fluks air. Keputusan mikroskop imbasan elektron pancaran medan (FESEM) dan analisis thermogravimetri (TGA) menunjukkan bahawa perlindungan yang tidak seragam dan kecacatan kerangka MIL-140B (bahan dari Institut Lavoisier - MIL) di atas lapisan substrat merupakan penyebab kepada permasalahan tersebut. Oleh itu, untuk membaiki kecacatan ini, sintesis bermodulasi diperkenalkan. Penambahan jumlah modulator melalui kenaikan kepekatan bahan dari 0.58 M kepada 2.32 M berjaya menurunkan kecacatan kerangka dari 26.03% kepada 16.87%. Walaupun penambahbaikan telah dibuat ke atas kecacatan kerangka, keputusan ujian FO masih memaparkan prestasi yang negatif malahan lebih teruk daripada sampel sebelum ini disebabkan oleh kecenderungan terhadap penggumpalan. Partikel longgar yang terbentuk pada sintesis suhu tinggi lebih mudah terhapus semasa ujian FO. Maka, suhu sintesis yang lebih rendah dan masa sintesis yang lebih lama iaitu 120 °C dan 24 jam diperkenalkan dalam prosedur seterusnya bagi membolehkan proses nukleasi perlahan berlaku seterusnya membentuk gabungan kristal yang lebih baik. Selain MIL-140B, pada $2\theta = 10.36^\circ$, formasi kerangka UiO-66-NDC (Universiti Oslo - UiO) dapat diperoleh dan kristal terpapar dalam bentuk bongkah bersegi lapan. Walaupun bentuk kristal telah berubah, keputusan ujian FO masih belum dapat diperoleh dalam nilai positif. Oleh itu, lapisan aktif membran UiO-66-NDC dipolimerkan dengan polimer florin sebagai langkah terakhir. Integrasi antara polimer ini dengan UiO-66-NDC berjaya merawat kecacatan kerangka dengan membina ikatan-ikatan baru yang dibuktikan melalui keputusan FESEM, mikroskop daya atom, pembelaun sinar-X, spektroskopi infra merah transformasi Fourier dan TGA. Melalui gabungan kristal yang lebih baik, permukaan lapisan bersepadu yang lebih licin dan kerangka yang sempurna, keputusan ujian FO bagi semua sampel UiO-66-NDC akhirnya memberikan nilai fluks air yang positif dan nilai tertinggi yang diperoleh adalah 16.189 L/m².h. Nilai terendah bahan larut fluks balikan adalah 0.003 L/m².h dengan prestasi penolakan garam natrium klorida sehingga 80% berbanding dengan membran sebelumnya. Selaras dengan keputusan ini, polimer-disintesis UiO-66-NDC di atas permukaan serat berongga seramik adalah antara pilihan membran FO yang terbaik untuk digunakan dalam proses penyahgaraman..

TABLE OF CONTENT

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	ix
	LIST OF TABLES	xiii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxi
CHAPTER	1 INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Research Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Research Objectives	4
	1.4 Research Scopes	5
	1.5 Significance of Study	7
CHAPTER	2 LITERATURE REVIEW	9
	2.1 Desalination	9
	2.2 Membrane Technology	10
	2.3 Membrane Configuration and Processes	13
	2.4 Forward Osmosis for Desalination	15
	2.4.1 Selection of Proper Draw Solution	16
	2.4.2 Classification of Existing Draw Solution	18

	2.4.3	Current Fabricated FO Membrane	20
	2.5	Nanoporous Materials	25
	2.6	Metal-Organic Framework	27
	2.6.1	MIL-140B and UiO-66-NDC as an Alternative Zr-based MOF Material	31
	2.7	Available Deposition Methods of MOF-based Membrane	36
	2.7.1	Sol-Gel Method to Improve MOF Adhesion	41
	2.7.2	Coordination Modulated Approach to Enhance MOF Structure Formation	42
	2.7.3	Polymer Coating and MOF as an Integrated Layer	45
CHAPTER	3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	49
	3.1	General Preview	49
	3.2	Research Design	50
	3.3	Materials Selection	51
	3.4	Preparation of Ceramic Hollow Fibre	55
	3.4.1	Phase Inversion and Sintering Technique	55
	3.4.2	Surface Modification on Al ₂ O ₃ /YSZ Hollow Fibre Surface	58
	3.5	Synthesis of MIL-140B and UiO-66-NDC Particles	59
	3.6	Deposition of MIL-140B and UiO-66-NDC on Al ₂ O ₃ /YSZ Hollow Fibre	61
	3.7	Photopolymerization Method	61
	3.8	Characterizations of Zr-based MOF Powder	62
	3.8.1	X-ray Diffraction (XRD)	63
	3.8.2	Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)	63
	3.8.3	Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)	63

	3.8.4	Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area	63
	3.9	Characterizations on MOF Ceramic Hollow Fibre	64
	3.9.1	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM)	64
	3.9.2	Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM)	64
	3.9.3	Contact Angle	64
	3.10	Performance Evaluations	65
	3.10.1	Forward Osmosis Test	66
CHAPTER	4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	69
	4.0	General Preview	69
	4.1	Unmodulated Synthesis of MIL-140B on Al ₂ O ₃ /YSZ Hollow Fibre	70
	4.1.1	Effect of Substrate Modification on MIL-140B Synthesis	70
	4.1.2	Effect of Varying Synthesis Concentration and Synthesis Time	71
	4.1.3	Stability Properties of MIL-140B	76
	4.1.4	Performance Evaluation	78
	4.2	Modulated Synthesis of MIL-140B on Al ₂ O ₃ /YSZ Hollow Fibre	80
	4.2.1	Effect of Adding Modulator on MIL-140B Properties	80
	4.2.2	Effect of Adding Modulator on Number of Missing Linkers	86
	4.2.3	Performance Evaluation	90
	4.3	Modulated Synthesis of UiO-66-NDC on Al ₂ O ₃ /YSZ Hollow Fibre	91
	4.3.1	Effects of Lowering Synthesis Temperature	92
	4.3.2	Effect of Increasing Modulator Equivalent and Precursor Concentration	95

	4.4	Polymer-synthesized UiO-66-NDC on Al ₂ O ₃ /YSZ Hollow Fibre	100
	4.4.1	Effects of Adding Polymer as an Integrated Layer on Membrane Surface	100
	4.4.2	Effects of Polymer Integrated Layer on Membrane Properties	105
	4.4.3	Performance Evaluations	110
	4.5	Monovalent Salt Rejections of the Best Hollow Fibre	113
CHAPTER	5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	115
	5.1	Conclusions	115
	5.2	Recommendations for Future Work	117
REFERENCES			119
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS			131

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Typical feed and draw solutions used in forward osmosis process throughout the years.	18
Table 2.2	Summary of previous FO membrane performance.	23
Table 2.3	Source or developer of MOF names.	29
Table 2.4	MOF-based membrane fabrication method.	38
Table 3.1	Chemical and physical properties of the materials selected.	52
Table 3.2	Composition of ceramic suspension and spinning conditions.	56
Table 3.3	Sample design with different synthesis conditions.	60
Table 4.1	Physical properties of each sample formulated.	73
Table 4.2	Sample design and its crystal size.	83
Table 4.3	Number of missing linkers of each sample formulated.	88
Table 4.4	Sample design and their crystal size properties.	96
Table 4.5	Surface characteristics of sample 10-D2 and 10-D2-PF.	104
Table 4.6	Percentage of missing linkers in sample 10-D2 and 10-D2-PF.	110
Table 4.7	Comparison between separation properties of newly developed and previously developed FO membrane.	112

LIST OF FIGURES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Classes of desalination technologies.	10
Figure 2.2	Membrane classifications.	12
Figure 2.3	Characteristics involved in membrane processes.	13
Figure 2.4	Comparison of flow of water in FO (a,b) and RO (c,d).	16
Figure 2.5	Three different types of nanoporous silica materials. (a) A microporous material: zeolite Y. (b) A mesoporous material. (c) A macroporous material.	25
Figure 2.6	Classification of nanoporous materials.	26
Figure 2.7	MOF SBUs metal atoms arrangement; (a) trigonal, (b) square planar, (c) tetrahedron of a metal atoms surrounding a central oxo anion and (d) dimetal paddlewheel.	28
Figure 2.8	Crystalline structures for some of the MOFs.	29
Figure 2.9	Left: view of the crystal structures for the series of MIL-140 (Zr) solids along the c axis. Right: view of the inorganic subunit of the MIL-140. Zr atoms or polyhedral blue, C black and Cl green. Oxygen atoms from the linker are red and oxo groups are grey. Cl atoms in MIL-140D are disordered with a 50% site occupancy.	31
Figure 2.10	Typical structure of MIL-140-type analogs with different “ π ” systems.	33
Figure 2.11	(a) TEM and (b) SEM images of UiO-66-2,6-NDC.	34
Figure 2.12	Common UiO-66 framework topology in octahedron shape.	35

Figure 2.13	Previous PXRD pattern of (a) Ce-UiO-66-Fum, -BDC, -2,6-NDC and -BPDC, (b) UiO-66-2,6-NDC and other UiO-66 species.	35
Figure 2.14	Synthesis method of MOF-based membrane.	39
Figure 2.15	Synthesis method scheme for continuous membrane.	40
Figure 2.16	SEM images show the effect of adding different amount of benzene-carboxylic (modulator) in UiO-66-1,4-NDC.	43
Figure 2.17	Dual effect of pH control and crystal capping during coordination modulation.	44
Figure 2.18	Schematic diagram on how filler can block up pinholes and pores and so further improve the barrier performance over an unfilled polymer coating.	46
Figure 2.19	The bottom up fabrication of polymer/MOF architecture: (i) in-situ formation of MOF layer by hydrothermal treatment of Anodisc in NH ₂ -BDC solution. (ii) Initiator grafting of MOF membrane by functionalizing the amino group with -bromoisobutyl bromide (BiBB). (iii) Coating of ultra-thin polymer film on MOF membrane by ARGETATRP.	47
Figure 3.1	Research flow design.	50
Figure 3.2	Planetary ball mill for ceramic suspension preparation.	57
Figure 3.3	Sintering profile used in the sintering process.	58
Figure 3.4	Illustration on the post-synthetic modification.	62
Figure 3.5	Illustration of forward osmosis (FO) system for hollow fibre membrane.	66
Figure 3.6	Illustration on FO process inside the membrane cell unit	67
Figure 4.1	(i) Cross-section and (ii) surface images of bare substrate, MIL-X and MIL-D membranes.	71

Figure 4.2	PXRD pattern of MIL-140B at different synthesis concentration and different time of reaction synthesis.	72
Figure 4.3	Cross-section (i) and surface view (ii) of samples MIL-A to MIL-D.	74
Figure 4.4	N ₂ adsorption-desorption isotherm for all samples; a) 0.15M – 6 h, b) 0.15 M – 16 h, c) 0.3 M – 6 h, d) 0.3 M – 16 h.	75
Figure 4.5	Pore radius of formulated MIL-140B samples.	76
Figure 4.6	PXRD of MIL-140B before and after being immersed in DI water and 100,000 ppm NaCl solutions for three days.	77
Figure 4.7	Water flux (i) and reverse solute flux (ii) performances of samples X, A, B, C and D.	79
Figure 4.8	(i) Surface and (ii) cross-sectional images of MIL-0, MIL-2.8, and MIL-10 (A to D). The red line is the division between MIL-140B layer and Al ₂ O ₃ /YSZ hollow fiber layer.	82
Figure 4.9	PXRD pattern of samples MIL-0, MIL-2.8, and MIL-10 (A to D). *Recrystallization product of UiO-66.	84
Figure 4.10	N ₂ adsorption-desorption isotherm at 77 K for MIL-10-D.	85
Figure 4.11	Pore radius of MIL-10-D samples.	86
Figure 4.12	TGA plot of derivative weight versus temperature.	86
Figure 4.13	Weight loss profiles for MIL-0, MIL-2.8, and MIL-10 (A and D).	89
Figure 4.14	Thermal degradation (rescaled graph) of MIL-0, MIL-2.8, and MIL-10 (A and D) samples with respect to the remaining weight at high temperature (ZrO ₂) as 100%. The dotted line indicates the weight of MIL-140B defect-free with a value of 117.24%.	89
Figure 4.15	Water flux performance of modulated MIL10B samples.	91

Figure 4.16	Different XRD pattern observed for sample MIL-2.8-A at different synthesis temperature.	92
Figure 4.17	FESEM cross-section (left) and surface (right) images of sample 2.8-A and 2.8-A2 synthesized at two different temperature.	93
Figure 4.18	Comparison in water flux (i) and reverse solute flux (ii) of high temperature (2.8-A) and low temperature (2.8-A2) synthesis.	95
Figure 4.19	XRD pattern of formulated UiO-66-NDC samples.	96
Figure 4.20	FESEM cross-section (left) and surface images (right) of formulated UiO-66-NDC samples.	98
Figure 4.21	Closed view on FESEM surface images of samples MIL-2.8-A2 and MIL-10-C2.	98
Figure 4.22	Water flux (i) and reverse solute flux (ii) of formulated UiO-66-NDC samples.	99
Figure 4.23	Left: cross-section, and right: surface images of UiO-66-NDC with PF coating.	101
Figure 4.24	Comparison of AFM images for sample 10-D2 and 10-D2-PF.	102
Figure 4.25	(a) N ₂ adsorption-desorption isotherm, and (b) pore radius at 77 K of samples MIL-10-D2 and MIL-10-D2-PF.	103
Figure 4.26	XRD Zr-O-F bond matching for samples 10-D2 before and after coated with PF.	106
Figure 4.27	FTIR results of raw PF and sample before (10-D2) and after PF addition (10-D2-PF).	107
Figure 4.28	TGA graph of derivative weight vs temperature for samples before and after PF addition.	108
Figure 4.29	TGA graph of weight percent UiO-66-NDC vs temperature.	108

Figure 4.30	Re-plot graph of weight percent vs temperature. The dotted line indicates the weight of ZrO ₂ defect-free with a value of 117.24%.	109
Figure 4.31	Water flux (a) and reverse solute flux (b) of membranes with polymer integrated layer.	111
Figure 4.32	Rejection of 10-D2-PF membrane in different salt solutions.	113

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Al ₂ O ₃	-	Aluminium oxide
Ar	-	Argon
CO ₂	-	Carbon dioxide
Cu-BTC	-	Copper benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxylate
H ₂ NDC	-	2,6-naphthalenedicarboxylic acid
H ₂ O	-	Water
H ₂	-	Hydrogen
Zr ⁴⁺	-	Zirconium ion
ZrCl ₄	-	Zirconium tetrachloride
ED	-	Electrodialysis
MFD	-	Multistage flash distillation
YSZ	-	Ytria-stabilized zirconia
MOF	-	Metal organic framework
MIL	-	Materials of Institute Lavoisier
SEM	-	Scanning electron microscopy
XRD	-	X-ray diffraction
TGA	-	Thermogravimetric analysis
BET	-	Brunauer-emmett-teller
MF	-	Microfiltration

UF	-	Ultrafiltration
NF	-	Nanofiltration
RO	-	Reverse osmosis
FO	-	Forward osmosis
PSF	-	Polyethersulfone
PTFE	-	Polytetrafluoroethylene
TFC	-	Thin-film composite
LBL	-	Layer-by-layer
SBU	-	Secondary building unit
ZIF	-	Zeolitic imidazolate framework
UiO	-	University of Oslo
DUT	-	Dresden University of Technology
BUT	-	Beijing University of Technology
HCl	-	Hydrochloric acid
NaOH	-	Sodium hydroxide
Cl	-	Chlorine
Pd	-	Palladium
DMF	-	N,N-dimethylformamide
DEF	-	N,N-diethylformamide
NMP	-	N-methylpyrrolidine
TEA	-	Triethylamine
NaCl	-	Sodium chloride

LIST OF SYMBOLS

$^{\circ}$	-	Angle degree
%	-	Percentage
ΔM_{FS}	-	Different mass feed solution
ρ	-	Density
A	-	Area
\AA	-	Angstrom
$^{\circ}\text{C}$	-	Degree celcius
C_p	-	Concentration permeate salt
C_f	-	Concentration of feed solution
D_o	-	Outer diameter
DS_i	-	Initial draw solution
FS_f	-	Final feed solution
J_w	-	Pure water flux
K	-	Kelvin
kV	-	Kilovolt
Le	-	Effective length
mg	-	Milligram
mℓ	-	Microlitre
nm	-	Nanometre
μL	-	Microlitre
μm	-	Micrometre
m	-	Meter
wt%	-	Weight percent
t	-	Time
θ	-	Angle

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

Although this world is mostly covered by water in its portion, fresh water supply is still shortening everywhere. Worsening water pollution, risen of industrial activities and rapid growing of human population are some causes of it. Back then, desalination was one of the ways to convert the cheapest and largest water source (seawater) into fresh water. This seawater desalination is still believed nowadays to offer a steady supply of high-quality water without damaging the natural freshwater ecosystems [1]. Many desalination technologies had been developed since then such as electro dialysis (ED) and multistage flash distillation (MFD). However, those technologies still could not meet the demand and quality acquired for a truly-called fresh water, besides having high operational cost as part of its disadvantages. Hence, another option called membrane-based technology is introduced to encounter previous ineffectiveness. Membrane had exposed its reliability and efficiency in water treatment process throughout the years. The fact that it works without additional chemicals with low energy utilization and by physical process only make it easy to conduct the process and at the same time, producing high quality recycled water [2].

One of the available membrane processes is forward osmosis (FO). It brings numerous benefits such as high water recovery, low membrane fouling and low energy consumption when compared to the others. Its system works based on natural-build osmotic pressure created by two different solutions used. FO membrane which is placed in between the solutions induced the separation process when it allows only water molecules to pass through and retaining the unwanted solutes. Common FO membranes which can be found nowadays were polymeric or composite polymeric membranes. They undoubtedly had shown exceptional water flux performance in FO

process but at the same time, they continue to face problems in sustaining their rejection properties. Also, these type of membrane especially polymeric has significant disadvantages of abrasion, mineral scaling and short life span [1–3]. Therefore, to alleviate the problems, MOF ceramic membrane has been introduced.

Ceramic membrane is a class of inorganic membrane which consists of materials such as carbon, silica, oxides (alumina, titania, zirconia) and metals. In terms of better properties compared to polymeric, ceramic membrane possess superior thermal and mechanical stability, high resistance towards solvents and well-defined stable pore structure [4], [5]. However, despite of this benefits, ceramic membrane has certain shortcomings that need to be solved such as formation of cracks in its synthesis process and inter-crystalline defects which later on could affect the membranes' performances [3], [6]. A solution to this problem was exposed by incorporating nanoporous material such as metal-organic framework (MOF) on the ceramic membrane active layer. MOF has an outstanding features which consists of metal ions and organic linkers in its framework. Effectiveness of this material can be found in broad applications such as water separation, gas storage, and catalytic reaction previously [7], [8]. Incorporation of such interesting material on ceramic membrane during dope preparation or coating process was believed to give an enhance properties to the pristine membrane [9]. Furthermore, crack issue in the ceramic membrane also expected to be solved since MOF membrane synthesis does not require thermal burning of organic templates such in the synthesis of zeolite MFI membrane [3].

Among the available MOF materials, zirconium-based MOF, especially MIL series (MIL: Materials from Institute Lavoisier) and UiO series (UiO: University of Oslo) had shown their high stability in water and acidic condition [10]. Their strong ionic bonding between Zr^{4+} and carboxylate oxygen atoms in these MOF was what cause it to possess strong chemical and mechanical stability [11]. Moreover, their unique feature which none other MOF could offer was their pore. It can be tuned readily only by changing its organic linker to fit for selected separation application [12], [13]. This tuneable framework characteristic enables efficient combination of Zr-based MOF with other materials that will result to synergistic effect between them [7].

Hence, this kind of materials were definitely suitable for FO process due to their amazing characteristics. There are various methods available on producing MOF membranes including solvothermal/hydrothermal method, interfacial (contradiffusion) method and liquid phase epitaxy method [14]. Compared to others, solvothermal synthesis emerged as a facile and competitive method besides showing the most applicability in synthesizing existing MOF membranes [3], [6], [15], [16].

1.2 Problem Statement

Despite the solvothermal capability, this method of in situ and seeded growth has some difficulty in controlling the heterogeneous nucleation sites on the membrane substrates. Without any resolution, it would further results to non-uniform deposition, big inter-crystal gaps, and cracks on the membrane surface. Structure defects like these are undesirable as they would affect the membrane performance since gaps existed would allow solutes to pass through instead of water molecules. According to Fang et al., recent fabricated FO membrane with double skin (RO-like and NF-like) layers also could not prevent the reverse solute flux from happened during FO process although it did improved the water flux performance. Besides that, some studies indeed increased its water flux performance to a better level but at the same time, the reverse solute flux still increased rapidly. Other than that, fabrication of MOF membrane without any delamination issue was still remained as a challenge since it is difficult to obtain MOF crystals that can form strong coordination bonds with the mother substrates. Therefore, it remains a challenge to fabricate a competitive FO membrane that possess incredible structure which can perform high FO water flux with high rejection and low or none occurrence of reverse solute flux. Formation of a continuous MOF ceramic membrane might be a great option to build another potential FO membrane with high performance since excellent properties from both materials will be combined together. This research will discover the possibility of fabricating such membrane by varying the solvothermal synthesis conditions and polymerised the active layer with fluorinated polymer.

1.3 Research Objectives

To achieve the aim of this research, the following objectives were fulfilled respectively:

- a) To study the effects of varying synthesis conditions on physicochemical properties and FO performance of MIL-140B and UiO-66-NDC hollow fibre produced through solvothermal method.
- b) To investigate the effects of adding polymer on physicochemical properties of UiO-66-NDC hollow fibre.
- c) To evaluate performance of the polymer-integrated UiO-66-NDC ceramic hollow fibre in term of water flux, reverse solute flux and salt rejection.

1.4 Research Scopes

As stated below are the steps needed to accomplish the aforementioned objectives:

Scope objective 1:

- a) Preparation of Al₂O₃/YSZ substrate using phase inversion and sintering technique.
- b) Modified the Al₂O₃/YSZ substrate surface with ZrO₂ layer using sol-gel Pechini's method.
- c) Synthesize MIL-X and MIL-D at same solvothermal condition of 0.3 M and 16 h at 220 °C.
- d) Varying synthesis time (6 h and 16 h) and synthesis concentration of (0.15 M and 0.30 M) for MIL (A to D) at temperature of 220 °C.
- e) Regulating modulator equivalent condition (0, 2.8 and 10) and synthesis concentration of (0.58 M, 1.16 M, 1.74 M and 2.32 M) for MIL (2.8-A, 10-A, 10-B, 10-C and 10-D) at temperature of 220 °C.
- f) Lowering synthesis temperature to 120 °C for sample UiO (2.8-A2, 10-A2, 10-B2, 10-C2 and 10-D2).
- g) Regulating modulator equivalent (0, 2.8 and 10), synthesis concentration of (0.58 M, 1.16 M, 1.74 M and 2.32 M) for UiO (2.8-A2, 10-A2, 10-B2, 10-C2 and 10-D2) at temperature of 120 °C.

- h) Characterize all samples using Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopic (FESEM), X-ray Diffraction (XRD), Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) and Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) tests.
- i) Evaluate the performance of all samples in term of water flux and reverse solute flux using forward osmosis test: feed solution (deionized water) and draw solution (100,000 ppm NaCl).

Scope objective 2:

- a) Deposit sample UiO (2.8-A2, 10-A2, 10-B2, 10-C2 and 10-D2) hollow fibre active layer with fluorinated polymer (DEFENSA OP-4003) using dip-coating method and activated it with UV curing process.
- b) Characterized the polymer-integrated UiO-66-NDC hollow fibre using Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopic (FESEM), Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM), contact angle, X-ray Diffraction (XRD), Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA), Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) and Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) tests.
- c) Evaluate the performance of polymer-integrated UiO-66-NDC hollow fibre in terms of water flux and reverse solute flux using forward osmosis test (active layer facing feed solution, AL-FS): feed solution (deionized water) and draw solution (100,000 ppm NaCl).

Scope objective 3:

- a) Evaluate the monovalent salts rejection performance of the best polymer-integrated UiO-66-NDC hollow fibre produced in this study using active layer facing draw solution (AL-DS) configuration system: feed solution (deionized water) and draw solution (40,000 ppm KCl and NaCl).

1.5 Significance of Study

This research was the first to provide an insight on depositing MIL-140B and UiO-66-NDC on ceramic substrate for FO desalination process. Besides that, new reaction ability of Zr-based MOF especially UiO-66-NDC towards fluoride ion (F^-) has been discovered which helps gaining deeper knowledge on the materials properties. Also, throughout this research, the negative performance of FO membrane has been made possible to give positive value when synthesis temperature of $120^{\circ}C$ and membrane active layer is polymerized with polymer resin using UV-curing method. Therefore, it is noteworthy to acknowledge that this study is important based on the stated significances. The final product of this research definitely fulfill the research aim by fabricating FO ceramic membrane that has high water flux, low reverse solute flux and at the same time has higher rejection that the existing FO membrane in literature.

REFERENCE

1. J. Glater, S. Hong, and M. Elimelech, "The search for a chlorine-resistant reverse osmosis membrane," vol. 95, pp. 325–345, 1994.
2. H. El-saied, A. H. Basta, B. N. Barsou, and M. M. Elberry, "Cellulose membranes for reverse osmosis Part I . RO cellulose acetate membranes including a composite with polypropylene," vol. 159, 2003.
3. Y. Zhu, K. M. Gupta, Q. Liu, J. Jiang, J. Caro, and A. Huang, "Synthesis and seawater desalination of molecular sieving zeolitic imidazolate framework membranes," *Desalination*, vol. 385, pp. 75–82, 2016.
4. X. Da, J. Wen, Y. Lu, M. Qiu, and Y. Fan, "An aqueous sol–gel process for the fabrication of high-flux YSZ nanofiltration membranes as applied to the nanofiltration of dye wastewater," *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, vol. 152, pp. 37–45, 2015.
5. J. Shao, Z. Zhan, J. Li, Z. Wang, K. Li, and Y. Yan, "Zeolite NaA membranes supported on alumina hollow fibers: Effect of support resistances on pervaporation performance," *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 451, pp. 10–17, 2014.
6. "Synthesis of a composite inorganic membrane for the separation of nitrogen, tetrafluoromethane and hexafluoropropylene," vol. 109, no. 9, pp. 1–11, 2013.
7. J. Yang, J. J. Ma, D. M. Zhang, T. Xue, and Y. J. Guan, "Effect of organic moieties (phenyl, naphthalene, and biphenyl) in Zr-MIL-140 on the hydrogenation activity of Pd nanoparticles," *Chinese Chem. Lett.*, vol. 27, no. 11, pp. 1679–1682, 2016.
8. B. Van De Voorde *et al.*, "Isolation of Renewable Phenolics by Adsorption on Ultrastable Hydrophobic MIL-140 Metal – Organic Frameworks," vol. 140, pp. 3159–3166, 2015.
9. J.-Y. Lee, Q. She, F. Huo, and C. Y. Tang, "Metal-Organic Framework – Based Porous Matrix Membranes for Improving Mass Transfer in Forward Osmosis Membranes," *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 492, pp. 392–399, 2015.
10. F. Drache, V. Bon, I. Senkovska, C. Marschelke, A. Synytska, and S. Kaskel, "Postsynthetic Inner-Surface Functionalization of the Highly Stable Zirconium-Based Metal-Organic Framework DUT-67," *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 55, no. 15, pp.

7206–7213, 2016.

11. O. V. Gutov *et al.*, “Water-Stable Zirconium-Based Metal Organic Framework Material with High-Surface Area and Gas-Storage Capacities,” *Chem. - A Eur. J.*, vol. 20, no. 39, pp. 12389–12393, 2014.
12. V. Guillerme *et al.*, “A series of isorecticular, highly stable, porous zirconium oxide based metal-organic frameworks,” *Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed.*, vol. 51, no. 37, pp. 9267–9271, 2012.
13. T. He *et al.*, “Fast and scalable synthesis of uniform zirconium-, hafnium-based metal-organic framework nanocrystals,” *Nanoscale*, vol. 9, no. 48, pp. 19209–19215, 2017.
14. W. Li, Y. Zhang, Q. Li, and G. Zhang, “Metal-organic framework composite membranes: Synthesis and separation applications,” vol. 135, pp. 232–257, 2015.
15. J. Fu, S. Das, G. Xing, T. Ben, V. Valtchev, and S. Qiu, “Fabrication of COF-MOF Composite Membranes and Their Highly Selective Separation of H₂/CO₂,” *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 138, no. 24, pp. 7673–7680, 2016.
16. C. Dey and T. Kundu, “Crystalline metal-organic frameworks (MOFs): synthesis, structure and function,” *Crystal Engineering*, pp. 3–10, 2014.
17. M. Wu, H. Ye, F. Zhao, and B. Zeng, “High-Quality Metal – Organic Framework ZIF-8 Membrane Supported on Electrodeposited ZnO/2-methylimidazole Nanocomposite: Efficient Adsorbent for the Enrichment of Acidic Drugs,” *Nat. Publ. Gr.*, no. November 2016, pp. 1–9, 2017.
18. W. Fang, C. Liu, L. Shi, and R. Wang, “Composite forward osmosis hollow fiber membranes: Integration of RO- and NF-like selective layers for enhanced organic fouling resistance,” *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 492, pp. 147–155, 2015.
19. P. Sukitpaneenit and T. Chung, “High Performance Thin-Film Composite Forward Osmosis Hollow Fiber Membranes with Macrovoid-Free and Highly Porous Structure for Sustainable Water Production,” 2012.
20. A. Zirehpour, A. Rahimpour, S. Khoshhal, M. D. Firouzjaei, and A. A. Ghoreyshi, “The impact of MOF feasibility to improve the desalination performance and antifouling properties of FO membranes,” *RSC Adv.*, vol. 6, no. 74, pp. 70174–70185, 2016.
21. A. Zirehpour, A. Rahimpour, and M. Ulbricht, “Nano-sized metal organic framework to improve the structural properties and desalination performance of

- thin film composite forward osmosis membrane,” *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 531, no. October 2016, pp. 59–67, 2017.
22. Y. Zhang *et al.*, “Constructing free standing metal organic framework MIL-53 membrane based on anodized aluminum oxide precursor,” *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 4, pp. 2–7, 2014.
 23. J. Kucera, “Introduction to Desalination,” *Desalin. Water from Water*, pp. 1–37, 2014.
 24. M. Shatat and S. B. Riffat, “Water desalination technologies utilizing conventional and renewable energy sources,” *Int. J. Low-Carbon Technol.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1–19, 2014.
 25. R. W. Baker, *Membrane Technology and Applications*. 2004.
 26. P. Li *et al.*, “Recent developments in membranes for efficient hydrogen purification,” *Journal of Membrane Science*, vol. 495. pp. 130–168, 2015.
 27. H. P. H. P. K. T. L. R. T. Dillman, “Microporous Ceramic Membranes,” *Polymer Journal*, vol. 23, no. 5. pp. 407–415, 1991.
 28. M. O. Daramola, E. F. Aransiola, and T. V. Ojumu, “Potential applications of zeolite membranes in reaction coupling separation processes,” *Materials (Basel)*, vol. 5, no. 11, pp. 2101–2136, 2012.
 29. K. C. Khulbe, C. Y. Feng, and T. Matsuura, “Synthetic Polymeric Membranes: Characterization by Atomic Force Microscopy (Springer Laboratory),” *Springer*, p. 216, 2008.
 30. A. G. Fane, R. Wang, and Y. Jia, “Membrane Technology: Past, Present and Future,” in *Handbook of Environmental Engineering: Membrane and Desalination Technologies*, vol. 13, 2011, pp. 691–692.
 31. K. Lutchmiah, A. R. D. Verliefde, K. Roest, and L. C. Rietveld, “Forward osmosis for application in wastewater treatment : A review,” *Water Res.*, vol. 58, no. 0, pp. 179–197, 2014.
 32. M. Qasim, N. A. Darwish, S. Sarp, and N. Hilal, “Water desalination by forward (direct) osmosis phenomenon : A comprehensive review,” *Desalination*, vol. 374, pp. 47–69, 2015.
 33. T. Y. Cath, A. E. Childress, and M. Elimelech, “Forward osmosis: Principles, applications, and recent developments,” *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 281, no. 1–2, pp. 70–87, 2006.
 34. S. S. Ray *et al.*, “Forward osmosis desalination by utilizing chlorhexidine

- gluconate based mouthwash as a reusable draw solute,” *Chem. Eng. J.*, vol. 304, pp. 962–969, 2016.
35. J. R. McCutcheon, R. L. McGinnis, and M. Elimelech, “A novel ammonia-carbon dioxide forward (direct) osmosis desalination process,” *Desalination*, vol. 174, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 2005.
 36. C. Klaysom, T. Y. Cath, T. Depuydt, and I. F. J. Vankelecom, “Forward and pressure retarded osmosis: potential solutions for global challenges in energy and water supply Chem Soc Rev,” *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, vol. 42, pp. 6959–6989, 2013.
 37. L. Chekli, S. Phuntsho, H. K. Shon, S. Vigneswaran, and A. Chanan, “A review of draw solutes in forward osmosis process and their use in modern applications,” *Desalin. Water Treat.*, vol. 43, no. february 2012, pp. 167–184, 2012.
 38. W. A. Phillip, J. D. Schiffman, and M. Elimelech, “High Performance Thin-Film Membrane,” vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 3812–3818, 2010.
 39. A. Achilli, T. Y. Cath, and A. E. Childress, “Selection of inorganic-based draw solutions for forward osmosis applications,” *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 364, no. 1–2, pp. 233–241, 2010.
 40. J. Eun, S. Phuntsho, L. Chekli, J. Yong, and H. Kyong, “Environmental and economic assessment of hybrid FO-RO / NF system with selected inorganic draw solutes for the treatment of mine impaired water,” *Desalination*, vol. 429, no. November 2017, pp. 96–104, 2018.
 41. Q. Long, G. Qi, and Y. Wang, “Evaluation of Renewable Gluconate Salts as Draw Solutes in Forward Osmosis Process,” 2015.
 42. Q. Ge, J. Su, T. Chung, and G. Amy, “Hydrophilic Superparamagnetic Nanoparticles : Synthesis , Characterization , and Performance in Forward Osmosis Processes,” pp. 382–388, 2011.
 43. H. Dong, L. Zhao, L. Zhang, H. Chen, C. Gao, and W. S. W. Ho, “High- flux reverse osmosis membranes incorporated with NaY zeolite nanoparticles for brackish water desalination,” vol. 476, pp. 373–383, 2015.
 44. X. Li, C. Heng, R. Wang, W. Widjajanti, and J. Torres, “Fabrication of a robust high-performance FO membrane by optimizing substrate structure and incorporating aquaporin into selective layer,” *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 525, no. October 2016, pp. 257–268, 2017.

45. X. Liu and H. Yong, "Fabrication of layered silica – polysulfone mixed matrix substrate membrane for enhancing performance of thin- film composite forward osmosis membrane," *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 481, pp. 148–163, 2015.
46. S. You *et al.*, "Forward Osmosis with a Novel Thin-Film Inorganic Membrane," 2013.
47. B. Jeong *et al.*, "Interfacial polymerization of thin film nanocomposites : A new concept for reverse osmosis membranes," vol. 294, pp. 1–7, 2007.
48. M. Amini, M. Jahanshahi, and A. Rahimpour, "Synthesis of novel thin film nanocomposite (TFN) forward osmosis membranes using functionalized multi-walled carbon nanotubes," *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 435, pp. 233–241, 2013.
49. G. L. Jadav and P. S. Singh, "Synthesis of novel silica-polyamide nanocomposite membrane with enhanced properties," vol. 328, pp. 257–267, 2009.
50. W. A. Phillip, J. U. I. S. Yong, and M. Elimelech, "Reverse Draw Solute Permeation in Forward Osmosis : Modeling and Experiments," vol. 44, no. 13, pp. 5170–5176, 2010.
51. T. Y. Cath, "Solute Coupled Diffusion in Osmotically Driven Membrane Processes," vol. 43, no. 17, pp. 6769–6775, 2009.
52. Q. She, X. Jin, and C. Y. Tang, "Osmotic power production from salinity gradient resource by pressure retarded osmosis : Effects of operating conditions and reverse solute diffusion," *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 401–402, pp. 262–273, 2012.
53. S. Polarz and B. Smarsly, "Nanoporous Materials," vol. 2, no. 6, pp. 581–612, 2008.
54. W. Li, J. Liu, and D. Zhao, "Mesoporous materials for energy conversion and storage devices," *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, no. May, p. 16023, 2016.
55. J. J. Schneider, "Micro- and mesoporous solids: From science to application," *Beilstein J. Nanotechnol.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 774–775, 2011.
56. K. D. Sattler, *Handbook of Nanophysics: Functional Nanomaterials*. CRC Press, 2010.
57. R. J. Kuppler *et al.*, "Potential applications of metal-organic frameworks," vol. 253, pp. 3042–3066, 2009.
58. J. L. C. Rowsell and O. M. Yaghi, "Metal – organic frameworks : a new class of porous materials," vol. 73, pp. 3–14, 2004.
59. B. Wang *et al.*, "Highly Stable Zr(IV)-Based Metal – Organic Frameworks for

- the Detection and Removal of Antibiotics and Organic Explosives in Water,” no. iv, 2016.
60. W. Li, Y. Zhang, Q. Li, and G. Zhang, “Metal-organic framework composite membranes: Synthesis and separation applications,” *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, vol. 135, pp. 232–257, 2015.
 61. Y. R. Lee, J. Kim, and W. S. Ahn, “Synthesis of metal-organic frameworks: A mini review,” *Korean J. Chem. Eng.*, vol. 30, no. 9, pp. 1667–1680, 2013.
 62. W. Li, Y. Zhang, Q. Li, and G. Zhang, “Metal À organic framework composite membranes : Synthesis and separation applications,” *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, vol. 135, pp. 232–257, 2015.
 63. Q. Yuan *et al.*, “Selective liquid phase hydrogenation of furfural to furfuryl alcohol by Ru/Zr-MOFs,” *J. Mol. Catal. A Chem.*, vol. 406, pp. 58–64, 2015.
 64. H. Liu, Y. Li, R. Luque, and H. Jiang, “A Tuneable Bifunctional Water-Compatible Heterogeneous Catalyst for the Selective Aqueous Hydrogenation of Phenols,” pp. 3107–3113, 2011.
 65. W. Liang *et al.*, “Tuning the cavities of zirconium-based MIL-140 frameworks to modulate CO₂ adsorption,” *Chem. Commun.*, vol. 51, no. 56, pp. 11286–11289, 2015.
 66. Z. Q. Li, J. C. Yang, K. W. Sui, and N. Yin, “Facile synthesis of metal-organic framework MOF-808 for arsenic removal,” *Mater. Lett.*, vol. 160, pp. 412–414, 2015.
 67. W. Liang, R. Babarao, and D. M. D’Alessandro, “Microwave-assisted solvothermal synthesis and optical properties of tagged MIL-140A metal-organic frameworks,” *Inorg. Chem.*, vol. 52, no. 22, pp. 12878–12880, 2013.
 68. W. Liang and D. M. D’Alessandro, “Microwave-assisted solvothermal synthesis of zirconium oxide based metal-organic frameworks.,” *Chem. Commun.*, vol. 49, no. 35, pp. 3706–37088, 2013.
 69. A. Lieb, K. A. Lomachenko, D. Vos, and N. Stock, “UiO-66 architecture: synthesis , properties and redox catalytic activity,” pp. 12578–12581, 2015.
 70. S. J. Garibay and S. M. Cohen, “Isoreticular synthesis and modification of frameworks with the UiO-66 topology,” pp. 7700–7702, 2010.
 71. N. I. M. Nawi, M. R. Bilad, and N. A. H. M. Nordin, “Effect of dope solution temperature on the membrane structure and membrane distillation performance,” *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.*, vol. 140, no. 1, 2018.

72. Y. Chen *et al.*, “A new MOF-505 GO composite with high selectivity for CO₂/CH₄ and CO₂/N₂ separation,” *Chem. Eng. J.*, vol. 308, pp. 1065–1072, 2017.
73. Y. Pan, B. Wang, and Z. Lai, “Synthesis of ceramic hollow fiber supported zeolitic imidazolate framework-8 (ZIF-8) membranes with high hydrogen permeability,” *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 421–422, pp. 292–298, 2012.
74. M. He, J. Yao, Q. Liu, K. Wang, F. Chen, and H. Wang, “Facile synthesis of zeolitic imidazolate framework-8 from a concentrated aqueous solution,” *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, vol. 184, pp. 55–60, 2014.
75. S. Qiu, M. Xue, and G. Zhu, “Metal-organic framework membranes: from synthesis to separation application,” *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, vol. 43, pp. 6116–6140, 2014.
76. X. Liu, N. K. Demir, Z. Wu, and K. Li, “Highly Water-Stable Zirconium Metal-Organic Framework UiO-66 Membranes Supported on Alumina Hollow Fibers for Desalination,” *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 137, no. 22, pp. 6999–7002, 2015.
77. S. Qiu, M. Xue, and G. Zhu, “Chem Soc Rev Metal – organic framework membranes : from,” pp. 6116–6140, 2014.
78. V. A. Online *et al.*, “Journal of Materials Chemistry A,” pp. 10635–10638, 2013.
79. L. Kong, X. Zhang, H. Liu, and J. Qiu, “Synthesis of a highly stable ZIF-8 membrane on a macroporous ceramic tube by manual-rubbing ZnO deposition as a multifunctional layer,” *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 490, pp. 354–363, 2015.
80. K. Devi, R. Choudhary, A. K. Satsangi, and R. K. Gupta, “Sol-gel Synthesis and Characterisation of Nanocrystalline Yttrium Aluminum Garnet Nanopowder,” vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 545–549, 2008.
81. M. Sharma, M. Pathak, and P. N. Kapoor, “The Sol-Gel Method: Pathway to Ultrapure and Homogeneous Mixed Metal Oxide Nanoparticles,” no. January, 2018.
82. A. E. Danks, S. R. Hall, and Z. Schnepf, “Materials Horizons technique for materials synthesis,” pp. 91–112, 2016.
83. K. Nishio and T. Tsuchiya, “Sol-Gel Processing of Thin Films with Metal Salts,” pp. 133–154.
84. N. Mu *et al.*, “Synthesis and performance evaluation of zeolitic imidazolate framework-8 membranes deposited onto alumina hollow fiber for desalination,”

- vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 439–449, 2019.
85. T. Tsuruoka, S. Furukawa, Y. Takashima, K. Yoshida, S. Isoda, and S. Kitagawa, “Nanoporous Nanorods Fabricated by Coordination Modulation and Oriented Attachment Growth,” pp. 4739–4743, 2009.
 86. V. V Butova *et al.*, “Modulator Effect in UiO-66-NDC (1,4-Naphthalenedicarboxylic Acid) Synthesis and Comparison with UiO-67-NDC Isorecticular Metal – Organic Frameworks,” no. July, 2017.
 87. S. Kitagawa, “Controlled Multiscale Synthesis of Porous Coordination Polymer in Nano / Micro Regimes St,” pp. 4531–4538, 2010.
 88. F. Vermoortele *et al.*, “Synthesis Modulation as a Tool To Increase the Catalytic Activity of Metal – Organic Frameworks: The Unique Case of UiO-66(Zr),” vol. 66, pp. 0–3, 2013.
 89. B. Chen, Z. Yang, Y. Zhu, and Y. Xia, “Zeolitic imidazolate framework materials : recent progress in synthesis and applications,” *J. Mater. Chem. A Mater. energy Sustain.*, vol. 2, pp. 16811–16831, 2014.
 90. C. V Mcguire and R. S. Forgan, “The surface chemistry of metal – organic frameworks,” *Chem. Commun.*, vol. 51, pp. 5199–5217, 2015.
 91. Z. Hu *et al.*, “Modulator Effects on the Water-Based Synthesis of Zr/Hf Metal – Organic Frameworks: Quantitative Relationship Studies between Modulator, Synthetic Condition, and Performance,” 2016.
 92. J. Ren, H. W. Langmi, B. C. North, M. Mathe, and D. Bessarabov, “Modulated synthesis of zirconium-metal organic framework (Zr-MOF) for hydrogen storage applications,” *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 890–895, 2014.
 93. H. Bux and M. Wiebcke, “CrystEngComm Formate modulated solvothermal synthesis of ZIF-8 investigated using time-resolved in situ X-ray diffraction and scanning electron microscopy †,” no. 3, pp. 492–498, 2012.
 94. M. R. Destefano *et al.*, “Room Temperature Synthesis of UiO-66 and the Thermal Modulation of Densities of Defect Sites Room Temperature Synthesis of UiO-66 and the Thermal Modulation of Densities of Defect Sites,” 2017.
 95. A. Schaate *et al.*, “Modulated Synthesis of Zr-Based Metal – Organic Frameworks : From Nano to Single Crystals,” pp. 6643–6651, 2011.
 96. H. Wu *et al.*, “Unusual and Highly Tunable Missing-Linker Defects in Zirconium Metal – Organic Framework UiO-66 and Their Important Effects on

- Gas Adsorption,” 2013.
97. R. Vakili, S. Xu, N. Al-janabi, P. Gorgojo, S. M. Holmes, and X. Fan, “Microporous and Mesoporous Materials Microwave-assisted synthesis of zirconium-based metal organic frameworks (MOFs): Optimization and gas adsorption,” vol. 260, no. August 2017, pp. 45–53, 2018.
 98. C. McKinstry, E. J. Cussen, A. J. Fletcher, S. V. Patwardhan, and J. Sefcik, “Effect of synthesis conditions on formation pathways of metal organic framework (MOF-5) crystals,” *Cryst. Growth Des.*, vol. 13, no. 12, pp. 5481–5486, 2013.
 99. G. C. Shearer *et al.*, “Tuned to Perfection: Ironing Out the Defects in Metal – Organic Framework UiO-66,” pp. 13–16, 2014.
 100. R. Seetharaj, P. V. Vandana, P. Arya, and S. Mathew, “Dependence of solvents, pH, molar ratio and temperature in tuning metal organic framework architecture,” *Arab. J. Chem.*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 295–315, 2019.
 101. S. De, M. I. Nandasiri, H. T. Schaef, B. P. Mcgrail, S. K. Nune, and J. L. Lutkenhaus, “Water-Based Assembly of Polymer – Metal Organic Framework (MOF) Functional Coatings,” pp. 63–66, 2017.
 102. P. C. Basic, “11 Polymer Coating Basic Information,” 2011.
 103. S. Brandani and M. Ferrari, “Development of mixed matrix membranes containing zeolites for post-combustion carbon capture,” *Energy Procedia*, vol. 63, pp. 160–166, 2014.
 104. A. Manuscript, “Energy & Environmental Science,” 2016.
 105. K. Xie *et al.*, “Continuous assembly of a polymer on a metal-organic framework (CAP on MOF): A 30 nm thick polymeric gas separation membrane,” *Energy Environ. Sci.*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 544–550, 2018.
 106. S. Friebe, B. Geppert, F. Steinbach, and J. Caro, “Novel MOF UiO-66 Layer : A Highly Oriented Membrane with Good Selectivity and Hydrogen,” 2017.
 107. A. Charas *et al.*, “Plastic Optical Fibers with Gain and Switching,” *Basic Sci. Enabling Technol.*, vol. 026365, pp. 6–9, 2009.
 108. D. O’Hagan, “Understanding organofluorine chemistry. An introduction to the C-F bond,” *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 308–319, 2008.
 109. K. Li, *Ceramic Membranes for Separation and Reaction*. 2007.
 110. M. A. Rahman, M. Ha, D. Othman, and A. F. Ismail, “Morphological study of yttria-stabilized zirconia hollow fibre membrane prepared using phase

- inversion / sintering technique,” vol. 41, pp. 12543–12553, 2015.
111. N. Agoudjil, S. Kermadi, and A. Larbot, “Synthesis of inorganic membrane by sol – gel process,” vol. 223, pp. 417–424, 2008.
 112. A. Manuscript, “Materials Chemistry A,” no. 207890, 2013.
 113. Y. Pan, Q. Zhao, L. Gu, and Q. Wu, “Thin film nanocomposite membranes based on imolomite nanotubes blended substrates for forward osmosis desalination,” *Desalination*, vol. 421, no. April, pp. 160–168, 2017.
 114. F. Yang, J. Efome, D. Rana, T. Matsuura, and C. Lan, “Applications of Polymer, Composite and Coating Materials Metal-organic frameworks supported on nanofiber for desalination by direct contact membrane distillation,” 2018.
 115. M. Zahir, M. Pauzi, N. Mu, and N. Abdullah, “Feasibility study of CAU-1 deposited on alumina hollow fiber for desalination applications,” *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, vol. 217, no. May 2018, pp. 247–257, 2019.
 116. U. O. F. Pure, “Provisional International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry Commission on Colloid and Surface Chemistry Subcommittee on Reporting Gas Adsorption Data * Reporting Physisorption Data for Gas/Solid Systems with Special Reference to the Determination of S,” vol. 54, no. 11, 1982.
 117. M. Thommes *et al.*, “Physisorption of gases, with special reference to the evaluation of surface area and pore size distribution (IUPAC Technical Report),” 2015.
 118. P. Li *et al.*, “Water Stability and Competition Effects Toward CO₂ Adsorption on Metal Organic Frameworks,” vol. 2119, 2015.
 119. N. C. Burch, H. Jasuja, and K. S. Walton, “Water Stability and Adsorption in Metal – Organic Frameworks,” *Chem. Rev.*, vol. 114, no. 20, pp. 10575–10612, 2014.
 120. F. Drache, V. Bon, I. Senkovska, J. Getzschmann, and S. Kaskel, “The modulator driven polymorphism of Zr (IV) based metal – organic frameworks,” no. Iv, pp. 2–11, 2016.
 121. L. Zhou, X. Zhang, and Y. Chen, “Modulated synthesis of zirconium metal – organic framework UiO-66 with enhanced dichloromethane adsorption capacity,” *Mater. Lett.*, vol. 197, pp. 167–170, 2017.
 122. Z. Hu, A. Nalaparaju, Y. Peng, J. Jiang, and D. Zhao, “Modulated Hydrothermal Synthesis of UiO-66 (Hf)-Type Metal – Organic Frameworks for Optimal Carbon Dioxide Separation,” vol. 66, 2015.

123. J. W. Maina, C. Pozo, A. Merenda, L. Kong, J. A. Schütz, and L. F. Dumée, “The growth of high density network of MOF nano-crystals across macroporous metal substrates – Solvothermal synthesis versus rapid thermal deposition,” *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, vol. 427, pp. 401–408, 2018.
124. G. Wißmann, A. Schaate, S. Lilienthal, I. Bremer, A. M. Schneider, and P. Behrens, “Modulated synthesis of Zr-fumarate MOF,” *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials*, vol. 152, pp. 64–70, 2012.
125. V. A. Online *et al.*, “A facile synthesis of UiO-66, UiO-67 and their derivatives,” pp. 9449–9451, 2013.
126. M. A. Ashraf, W. Peng, Y. Zare, and K. Y. Rhee, “Effects of Size and Aggregation/Agglomeration of Nanoparticles on the Interfacial/Interphase Properties and Tensile Strength of Polymer Nanocomposites,” *Nanoscale Res. Lett.*, vol. 13, 2018.
127. Y. Wang *et al.*, “Missing-node directed synthesis of hierarchical pores on a zirconium metal-organic framework with tunable porosity and enhanced surface acidity: Via a microdroplet flow reaction,” *J. Mater. Chem. A*, vol. 5, no. 42, pp. 22372–22379, 2017.
128. L. Setiawan, R. Wang, L. Shi, K. Li, and A. G. Fane, “Novel dual-layer hollow fiber membranes applied for forward osmosis process,” *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 421–422, pp. 238–246, 2012.
129. X. Zhang *et al.*, “Surface functionalization of TFC FO membranes with zwitterionic polymers: Improvement of antifouling and salt-responsive cleaning properties,” *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 544, no. September, pp. 368–377, 2017.
130. M. Rastgar, A. Shakeri, A. Bozorg, H. Salehi, and V. Saadattalab, “Impact of nanoparticles surface characteristics on pore structure and performance of forward osmosis membranes,” *Desalination*, vol. 421, pp. 179–189, 2017.
131. D. Walter, “Primary Particles–Agglomerates–Aggregates. Commission for the Investigation of Health Hazards of Chemical Compounds in the Work Area,” *Nanomaterials*, vol. 9, pp. 9–24, 2013.
132. P. H. H. Duong, J. Zuo, and T. Chung, “Highly crosslinked layer-by-layer polyelectrolyte FO membranes : Understanding effects of salt concentration and deposition time on FO performance,” *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 427, pp. 411–421, 2013.
133. C. Li *et al.*, “Cross-linked anion exchange membranes with hydrophobic side-

- chains for anion separation,” *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 581, no. January, pp. 150–157, 2019.
134. N. A. Mokri, O. P. Ching, H. Mukhtar, and C. T. Leng, “Tailoring particle size and agglomeration state of mesoporous MCM-48 via optimisation of sol-gel silica process,” *J. Phys. Sci.*, vol. 30, no. 1, p. 145168, 2019.
 135. F. Ferrero, M. Periolatto, and C. Udrescu, “Water and oil-repellent coatings of perfluoro-polyacrylate resins on cotton fibers: UV curing in comparison with thermal polymerization,” *Fibers Polym.*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 191–198, 2012.
 136. J. Wang, Q. Zhou, J. Wang, S. Yang, and G. L. Li, “Hydrophobic self-healing polymer coatings from carboxylic acid- and fluorine-containing polymer nanocontainers,” *Colloids Surfaces A*, vol. 569, no. January, pp. 52–58, 2019.
 137. J. B. DeCoste and G. W. Peterson, “Preparation of Hydrophobic Metal-Organic Frameworks via Plasma Enhanced Chemical Vapor Deposition of Perfluoroalkanes for the Removal of Ammonia,” *J. Vis. Exp.*, no. 80, pp. 1–7, 2013.
 138. Z. Chen *et al.*, “Low-temperature remote plasma enhanced atomic layer deposition of ZrO₂ /zirconia nanolaminate film for efficient encapsulation of flexible organic light-emitting diodes,” *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 7, no. November 2016, pp. 1–9, 2017.
 139. K. M. Gupta, K. Zhang, and J. Jiang, “Water Desalination through Zeolitic Imidazolate Framework Membranes: Significant Role of Functional Groups,” *Langmuir*, vol. 31, no. 48, pp. 13230–13237, 2015.
 140. X. Liu, N. K. Demir, Z. Wu, and K. Li, “Highly Water-Stable Zirconium Metal – Organic Framework UiO-66 Membranes Supported on Alumina Hollow Fibers for Desalination,” pp. 3–6, 2015.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Nur Zhatul Shima Yahaya, Mohamad Zahir Mohd Pauzi, Nizar Mu'ammam Mahpoz, Mukhlis A Rahman, Khairul Hamimah Abas, Ahmad Fauzi Ismail, Mohd Hafiz Darfan Othman, Juhana Jaafar, 2019, Chapter 10– Forward Osmosis for Desalination Application in *Membrane Separation Principles and Applications*, pp. 315-337. United States: Elsevier. (Indexed by SCOPUS)

Nur Zhatul Shima Yahaya, Syafikah Huda Paiman, Norfazliana Abdullah, Nizar Mu'ammam Mahpoz, Amirul Afiat Raffi, Mukhlis A Rahman, Khairul Hamimah Abas, Azian Abd Aziz, Mohd Hafiz Dzarfan Othman, Juhana Jaafar, 2019, Synthesis and Characterizations of MIL-140B-Al₂O₃/YSZ Ceramic Membrane using Solvothermal Method for Seawater Desalination, *Journal of Australian Ceramic Society*. (Q3, IF: 0.692)

Nur Zhatul Shima Yahaya, Syafikah Huda Paiman, Mukhlis A Rahman, Siti Nurfatim Nadhirah Mohd Makhtar, Khairul Hamimah Abas, Ahmad Fauzi Ismail, Mohd Hafiz Dzarfan Othman, Juhana Jaafar, 2019, Influence of Acetic Acid in Modulated Synthesis of MIL-140B on Al₂O₃/YSZ Ceramic Hollow Fibre. Submitted to *Ceramics International*. (Q1, IF: 3.187)

Nur Zhatul Shima Yahaya, Syafikah Huda Paiman, Mukhlis A Rahman, Khairul Hamimah Abas, Ahmad Fauzi Ismail, Mohd Hafiz Dzarfan Othman, Juhana Jaafar, 2019, Polymer-synthesized UiO-66-NDC-Al₂O₃/YSZ on Ceramic Hollow Fibre for Forward Osmosis Desalination. Submitted to *Journal of Membrane Science*. (Q1, IF: 7.015)