

HEAT TRANSFER ENHANCEMENT USING NANOFUIDS IN A PARALLEL
FLOW DOUBLE PIPE HEAT EXCHANGER

MOHAMMED FALEH

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of
the requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Chemical)

School of Chemical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JULY 2020

ABSTRACT

Heat Exchanger are among those engineered designs in which is widely used among many industries. Thus, in this research a double pipe heat exchanger is numerically and mathematically investigated, in which the various characteristics of heat transfer are evaluated. The experiment is mainly conducted using nanofluids, hence why the characteristics of the nanofluid itself is also considered a variable. Copper oxide, and aluminum oxide are the two nanoparticles used to conduct the simulation. Each of these are tested using different diameter sizes, as well as different concentrations dissolved in water. The Reynolds Number is also floating between 5000 and 20,000. The aim is to determine the effect of volume friction and diameter of nanoparticle on heat transfer and fluid flow, via the use of a double pipe heat exchanger. This is done by numerically investigating the heat exchanger by using ANSYS software system, which is able to simulate the results as well as the various characteristics involved. The results indicated that aluminum oxide is superior to copper oxide in terms of heat transfer enhancement. They also indicated that as the diameter of the nanoparticles increased, the heat transfer effectiveness decreased. The most optimal solution presented itself as the lowest diameter, and highest concentration in relation to the base fluid. When compared to the conventional fluid (water) the heat transfer coefficient was improved by 94.7% on average, and the Nusselt Number was also improved by 44.5%. Furthermore, there was a 45.37% improvement observed when comparing the best obtained results to an existing literature that uses a double pipe heat exchanger, and a 61.3% improvement for when the results are compared to an existing baseline straight tube heat exchanger. The results also indicated that there are minor differences between parallel and counter-flow regimes in the double pipe heat exchanger, with the counter-flow performing better at higher Reynolds numbers, while the parallel performs better when under 10,000 Reynolds number. This indicates that the proposed solution is practical and better to use versus water.

ABSTRAK

Pembalik haba adalah antara reka bentuk rekayasa yang banyak digunakan di kalangan industri-industri. Oleh itu, dalam penyelidikan ini penukar haba paip berganda diselidiki secara numerik dan matematik, di mana pelbagai ciri pemindahan haba dinilai. Eksperimen ini dijalankan terutamanya menggunakan nanofluid, oleh itu mengapa ciri-ciri nanofluid itu sendiri juga dianggap pemboleh ubah. Tembaga oksida, dan aluminium oksida adalah dua nanopartikel yang digunakan untuk melakukan simulasi. Masing-masing diuji dengan menggunakan ukuran diameter yang berbeza, serta kepekatan yang berlarutan di dalam air. Nombor Reynolds juga terapung antara 5000 dan 20,000. Tujuannya adalah untuk menentukan kesan geseran isipadu dan diameter nanopartikel pada pemindahan haba dan aliran bendalir, melalui penggunaan penukar haba paip berganda. Ini dilakukan dengan memeriksa penukar haba secara berangka dengan menggunakan sistem perisian ANSYS, yang dapat mensimulasikan hasilnya serta pelbagai ciri yang terlibat. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa aluminium oksida lebih unggul daripada oksida tembaga dari segi peningkatan pemindahan haba. Ini juga menunjukkan bahawa ketika diameter nanopartikel meningkat, keberkesanan pemindahan haba menurun. Penyelesaian yang paling optimum menunjukkan ianya sebagai diameter terendah, dan kepekatan tertinggi berkaitan dengan bendalir asas. Jika dibandingkan dengan cecair konvensional (air), pekali pemindahan haba meningkat rata-rata 94.7%, dan Nusselt Number juga bertambah 44.5%. Selain itu, terdapat peningkatan 45.37% yang diperhatikan ketika membandingkan hasil terbaik yang diperoleh dengan literatur yang ada yang menggunakan penukar haba paip berganda, dan peningkatan 61.3% untuk ketika hasilnya dibandingkan dengan penukar panas tiub lurus garis dasar yang ada. Hasilnya juga menunjukkan bahawa terdapat perbezaan kecil antara rejim selari dan aliran balik dalam penukar haba paip berganda, dengan aliran balas yang lebih baik dalam jumlah Reynolds yang lebih tinggi, sementara selari menunjukkan prestasi yang lebih baik ketika di bawah 10.000 jumlah Reynolds. Ini menunjukkan bahawa penyelesaian yang dicadangkan adalah praktikal dan lebih baik digunakan berbanding air.

2.7	Summary	29
CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY		31
3.1	Introduction	31
3.2	Nanofluids Thermophysical Properties	32
3.3	Boundary Conditions and Geometry	35
3.4	Simulation Process	36
3.5	Simulation Work	38
3.6	Summary	41
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		43
4.1	Introduction	43
4.2	Simulation of Nanofluids	43
4.2.1	Heat Transfer Coefficient	43
4.2.2	Nusselt Number	47
4.2.3	Friction Factor	50
4.2.4	Discussion	53
4.3	Comparative Analysis	54
4.3.1	Nanofluid versus Water	54
4.3.2	Parallel versus Counter-Flow	58
4.4	Correlation and Relationships	60
4.5	Summary	64
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION		65
5.1	Conclusion	65
5.2	Recommendation and Further Study	66
REFERENCES		69

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Summary of literature review on double pipe heat exchangers with turbulent flow	24
Table 2.2	Comparative analysis of existing heat transfer enhancement techniques that use nanofluids	26
Table 2.3	Comparison of proposed research and existing work	28
Table 3.1	Thermophysical properties of nanofluids at T=350K (Salman, Mohammed, & Kherbeet, 2012).	32
Table 3.2	β values for different particles with its boundary conditions (Corcione, 2010).	34
Table 4.1	Heat transfer coefficient improvement values	54
Table 4.2	Nusselt Number improvement values	55
Table 1	Water simulation results (cold/hot)	82
Table 2	Nusselt Number values for aluminum oxide at 1% volume fraction	82
Table 3	Nusselt Number values for aluminum oxide at 2% volume fraction	82
Table 4	Nusselt Number values for aluminum oxide at 3% volume fraction	82
Table 5	Nusselt Number values for aluminum oxide at 4% volume fraction	83
Table 6	Heat transfer coefficient values for aluminum oxide nanofluid at 1% volume fraction concentration	83
Table 7	Heat transfer coefficient values for aluminum oxide nanofluid at 2% volume fraction concentration	83
Table 8	Heat transfer coefficient values for aluminum oxide nanofluid at 3% volume fraction concentration	83
Table 9	Heat transfer coefficient values for aluminum oxide nanofluid at 4% volume fraction concentration	83
Table 10	Friction factor values for aluminum oxide at 1% volume fraction	84
Table 11	Friction factor values for aluminum oxide at 2% volume fraction	84

Table 12	Friction factor values for aluminum oxide at 3% volume fraction	84
Table 13	Friction factor values for aluminum oxide at 4% volume fraction	84
Table 14	Copper Oxide Simulation Results	84

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Al ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles as viewed from a microscope (Aalam et al., 2015)	10
Figure 2.2	CuO nanoparticles as viewed from a microscope (Salavati-Niasari et al., 2009)	11
Figure 2.3	Double pipe heat exchanger – (A) parallel flow (B) counter flow	18
Figure 2.4	Twisted inner tube of DPHE	20
Figure 2.5	DPHE corrugated tubes	21
Figure 2.6	Thermal conductivity of various fluids (Lee, 2014)	29
Figure 3.1	Overall Research Flow	31
Figure 3.2	Cross-section of the double pipe heat exchanger	36
Figure 3.3	The simulation process diagram	38
Figure 3.4	Double pipe mesh in ANSYS	39
Figure 3.5	Double pipe mesh wireframe in ANSYS – front view	40
Figure 3.6	Thermal Heat Map Distribution Contour	40
Figure 3.7	The Contour plot of the temperature obtained from ANSYS	41
Figure 4.1	Aluminum oxide heat transfer coefficient values at 1%	44
Figure 4.2	Aluminum oxide heat transfer coefficient values at 2%	45
Figure 4.3	Aluminum oxide heat transfer coefficient values at 3%	45
Figure 4.4	Aluminum oxide heat transfer coefficient values at 4%	46
Figure 4.5	Aluminum oxide nanofluid Nusselt Number values at 1%	47
Figure 4.6	Aluminum oxide nanofluid Nusselt Number values at 2%	48
Figure 4.7	Aluminum oxide nanofluid Nusselt Number values at 3%	48
Figure 4.8	Aluminum oxide nanofluid Nusselt Number values at 4%	49
Figure 4.9	Aluminum oxide friction factor values at 1%	50
Figure 4.10	Aluminum oxide friction factor values at 2%	51
Figure 4.11	Aluminum oxide friction factor values at 3%	51
Figure 4.12	Aluminum oxide friction factor values at 4%	52
Figure 4.13	Heat transfer coefficient enhancement of nanofluids versus water	56

Figure 4.14	Nusselt Number enhancement of nanofluids versus water	56
Figure 4.15	Friction Factor values for Aluminum Oxide Nanofluid Highest Value versus the Water	57
Figure 4.16	Heat transfer coefficient improvement comparison parallel and counter flow regimes vs water	58
Figure 4.17	Nusselt Number improvement comparison parallel and counter flow regimes vs water	59
Figure 4.18	Correlation between proposed and expected nanofluid Nusselt numbers (aluminium oxide and copper oxide) at 1% and 25 nm	61
Figure 4.19	Correlation between proposed and expected nanofluid Nusselt numbers (aluminium oxide and copper oxide) at 4% and 25 nm	62
Figure 4.20	Correlation between proposed and expected nanofluid friction factor for aluminium oxide at 25 nm	63

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
APPENDIX A	FORMULATION EXAMPLE	72
APPENDIX B	RESULTS TABLES	77

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

HTE	Heat Transfer Enhancement
DPHE	Double Pipe Heat Exchanger

ν	-	Kinematic viscosity (m^2/s)
\dot{M}	-	Mass flow rate, (kg/s)
n_f	-	Nanofluid
n_p	-	Nanoparticle
d_p	-	Nanoparticle diameter, (m)
Nu	-	Nusselt Number (dimensionless)
Pr	-	Prandtl number (dimensionless)
p	-	Pressure (Pa)
T_0	-	Reference temperature
Re	-	Reynolds Number (dimensionless)
C_p	-	Specific heat capacity, ($\text{J}/\text{kg K}$)
T	-	Temperature (Celsius, Kelvin)
k	-	Thermal conductivity, ($\text{W}/\text{m. K}$)
α	-	Thermal diffusivity (mm^2/s)
η	-	Thermal performance factor (dimensionless)
D_h	-	Tube hydraulic diameter, (m)
D_i	-	Tube inner diameter, (m)
L	-	Tube length, (m)
D_o	-	Tube outer diameter, (m)
u	-	Velocity (m/s)
ϕ	-	Volumetric concentration (%)



INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Heat exchangers play a great role in energy efficiency, as the world is moving towards a more fuel efficient environment, and the industries aim to save capital and resources in any way possible, leads to the popularity and importance of the heat exchangers as an important energy management system. Heat exchangers are also used in many everyday appliances and utilities, from refrigerators, to air conditioners, they usage is rather universal. Thus, the popularity and usage of double pipe heat exchangers has only grown with the rising demand in the industry (Goodarzi et al., 2016; Hashemian et al., 2016; Sheikholeslami et al., 2016a; Bahmani et al., 2018).

Generally, heat transfer methods are divided into two types of active and passive (Sidik et al., 2017). Each of these types depends on the source in which they originate from. While the active techniques rely on an external source in order to regulate the heat transfer process, the passive techniques would focus on internal solutions, such as changing the chemistry of the fluid involved as well as changing the shape of the pipes or tubes. In this scenario, a double pipe heat exchanger has been proved to be an effective form of heat transfer enhancement. On the other hand, other techniques such as the usage of nanofluids, which are basically a mixture of a base fluid such as water, with nanoparticles, have shown to improve the heat transfer rate as well.

Previously, there has been studies that use a double pipe heat exchanger in order to improve heat transfer rate (Goodarzi et al., 2016; Hashemian et al., 2016; Shakiba et al., 2016; Sheikholeslami et al., 2016a; Sheikholeslami et al., 2016c; Templeton et al., 2016; Bahmani et al., 2018). For instance, in a research that was carried out with the aim of investigating the effectiveness of the double pipe heat

exchanger, it was found that by combining both heat transfer and a variety of different working fluids, that the heat transfer rate is enhanced considerably when compared to a base fluid with no nanoparticles (Goodarzi et al., 2016). Another researcher investigated the effect of discontinuous helical tabulators on heat transfer rate and characteristics in a double pipe heat exchanger (Sheikholeslami et al., 2016a). The results of the experiment indicated that the Nusselt Number and the Friction factors are affected by the open area and pitch ratios. However, this relationship has been ordained to be a negative relationship, meaning that as one is increased, the other is decreased or reduced. A numerical investigation on heat transfer properties of a double pipe heat exchanger was performed, which involves in digitally simulating the heat exchanger with various characteristics such as those that relate to the thermodynamics, as well as the geometrical and hydraulic attributes (Hashemian et al., 2016). Their simulation resulted in a solid enhancement in effectiveness of 55%, and a 40% improvement in the heat transfer number.

However, there are other studies that focus on nanofluids as the source of heat transfer improvement technique. Some of these techniques use a variety of nanoparticles mixed with a base fluid, which can either be water or any other type of base fluid, which ultimately contribute into creating the nanofluid. For instance, a study that conducted both a numerical, and practical experiment in order to analyze the heat transfer effectiveness of α -Al₂O₃ mixed with water as the base fluid (Akhtari et al., 2013). The experiment was conducted using the laminar flow conduction, with the results indicating that when compared to pure water, the double pipe performs better with a nanofluid than with no nanofluid.

1.2 Problem Statement

Conventional fluids such as water, oil, and ethylene glycol have relatively low thermal conductivity (Sajid et al., 2019). Thus, in order to enhance the thermophysical conduciveness of the liquids, nanoparticles are used that have innately higher thermal conduciveness. However, in many industries, the goal is to achieve the highest level of heat transfer enhancement. Thus, in most cases a combination of techniques are

used in order to increase the performance of the heat transfer rate. Nanofluids is considered to be one of the additives that is typically combined with other techniques, such as shaped tubes for heat exchangers.

However, in spite of their great potential, these nanofluids are still in the early stages of development. There are several studies that indicate a relationship between nanoparticle sizes versus heat transfer coefficient. Some have also reported that an increase in concentration (volume fraction) of the nanofluid has led to an improved heat transfer (Chamkha et al., 2018). These parameters and factors all have a relationship with one another, and understanding this relationship is essential in identifying the most optimal combination of the aforementioned factors in order to enhance heat transfer. A big unresolved issue for most environmental and industrial applications is to find the flow and heat transfer behaviors of nanofluids towards different nanoparticle size and concentration on turbulent flow regime (which is widely used in all industries) (Sajid et al., 2019). The volume fraction and particle size have shown to have great effect on the heat transfer properties, however the extent of their usefulness in a parallel flow double pipe heat exchanger needs to be explored further.

Double Pipe Heat Exchangers also have several innate disadvantages, and almost none of the existing techniques uses parallel flow, as mostly focus on counter flow (Bahmani et al., 2018). Thus, there is a need to enhance the parallel flow heat transfer enhancement so that there are less application restrictions.

In this study, heat transfer and turbulent fluid flow of water/ Al_2O_3 -CuO nanofluids in a double pipe heat exchanger are numerically investigated. In this research, effects of nanoparticles volume fraction and Reynolds Number on temperature variations of base fluid, nanofluid and wall, thermal efficiency, Nusselt Number and convective heat transfer coefficient has been investigated. According to the abundant application of heat exchangers in heat transfer of power plant equipment, the results of this research can be used in many industries, especially oil, gas and petrochemical industries.

1.3 Research Objectives

The objectives of this research are:

- i. to determine the heat transfer coefficient in parallel flow double pipe heat exchanger.
- ii. to measure the effects of flow rate on nanofluids and water for heat transfer enhancement.
- iii. to analyze the effects of nanoparticle diameter and volume fraction (starting from 1% to 4%) on the heat transfer enhancement.

1.4 Scope of Research

The goal of this numerical experiment is to be as thorough as possible when it comes to the simulation. However, there are limits set that ensure the simulation process remains focused and not devolve into too many variations. For this reason, the focus of the simulation is on a parallel flow only, with a turbulent fluid flow characteristics using Reynolds Numbers 5,000 to 20,000.

The nanoparticles used are Copper Oxide (CuO) and Aluminum Oxide (Al₂O₃). These two metal oxide nanoparticles are among the most popular of the nanofluids, and are also very cost effective compared to the other metal oxides (Sajid et al., 2019). The pattern of these nanofluids is predictable, and thus they are used in order to better understand the parameters and characteristics of their effects on heat transfer. These particles are combined with water as a base fluid in order to create the nanofluid. This combination occurs at different concentrations which is measured in terms of volume fraction 1% to 4%. This volume fraction is chosen mainly due to previous research only focusing on less than 1% concentration (volume fraction). The goal is to see if higher volume fraction can enhance the heat transfer properties further,

or that it would have an adverse effect. These values are also at around 4% maximum, since more than that is it not cost effective to use nanofluids (Chamkha et al., 2018).

The particles are also combined at different diameters, 25 nm, 50 nm and 100 nm. Usually, most studies take a single diameter, and test only using that value, however, in this study the goal is to see the effect of various different diameters, versus the other parameters that affect heat transfer enhancement.

There are many unknown factors that can affect the heat transfer effectiveness in a heat exchanger. A double pipe heat exchanger is used in order to better isolate the heat enhancement criteria, by controlling the temperature of the fluids inside and outside of the pipe (Bahmani et al., 2018). Thus, with the use of a double pipe heat exchanger, a nanofluid enhancement can be better examined and understood, with their underlying parameters that affect it.

1.5 Significance of Study

The role of conservation both in energy and material has become a critical point of interest as the price of fuel and material increases every day. However, with the rapid development and advancements in technology, the demand has only increased and become more conscious as with products that are smaller in size and shape, having new requirement for heat exchangers in which previously was not an issue. Thus, with the increase in demand, more effort has been put into improving the heat transfer rate of equipment, via heat transfer enhancement techniques. Based on the existing literature, some of the key elements and parameters affecting heat transfer when using a double pipe heat exchanger with various types of nanofluids. Furthermore, a sensitivity analysis is needed to evaluate the effects of Reynolds Number, nanoparticles volume fraction and entrance status of nanofluid on heat transfer rate and heat exchanger effectiveness inside a double pipe heat exchanger filled with nanofluid. By conducting a numerical study, an understanding of the effects of nanoparticles and their benefits are explored in a double pipe environment. This allows a deeper understanding of the nanoparticles such as copper oxide and aluminum oxide

and their main difference due to their molecular composition. The experiment is also conducted on turbulent flow, which changes the way most particles behaves, thus it provides different challenges to overcome when it comes to heat transfer optimal level.

1.6 Research Outline

This research is structured into five chapters. Each of these chapters cover a specific aspect of the research. The structure is as following:

In Chapter 1, the main goals of the research are elaborated by first providing a basic background into heat transfer and heat exchangers, as well as nanofluids as a form of heat transfer improvement method. Once the background is elaborated, it is used as a precursor to the problem statement, which is then followed by the objectives of the research. The scope of research elaborates on the details that are used in the research. Finally, this chapter closes with significance of study and the research outline.

In Chapter 2, the literature review is elaborated. The aim of this chapter is to provide a complete background of the elements involved in heat transfer enhancement. The first main section focuses on the nanofluids as a heat transfer enhancement technique and the various sub-attributes that affect the performance of heat transference. The second section focuses on the double pipe heat exchanger and its effect on heat transfer enhancement. The third section performs a literature review and comparative analysis on different techniques that use both nanofluids and double pipe heat exchangers. The chapter closes with a critical analysis of existing research that is most recent and focuses on the usage of nanofluids and double pipe heat exchangers.

In Chapter 3, the research methodology is elaborated. This chapter starts with an overview of the research, and its various modules. It also elaborates the thermophysical properties of nanofluids as well the boundary conditions that are used for simulating the heat exchanger. The sections that follow focus on the simulation

process with detailed steps on how the simulation is conducted using the appropriate tool.

In Chapter 4, the results of the simulation are discussed and analyzed. This chapter mainly follows the simulation process by numerically simulating the base fluid, the nanofluids and then comparing the results with one another and with researches that follow a similar research pattern. The chapter concludes by comparing the various heat transfer attributes such as Nusselt Number, heat transfer coefficient, and friction factor with nanofluid properties such as diameter and volume fraction (concentration).

In Chapter 5, the research is concluded. A reflection of the current objectives and how they were achieved is elaborated in the first section. The final section of this chapter proposes how future studies can be conducted in order to further the research field in both heat exchangers and nanofluids.

REFERENCES

- Aalam, C. S., Saravanan, C., & Kannan, M. (2015). Experimental investigations on a CRDI system assisted diesel engine fuelled with aluminium oxide nanoparticles blended biodiesel. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, 54(3), 351-358.
- Agrawal, A. K., & Sengupta, S. (1993). Laminar fluid flow and heat transfer in an annulus with an externally enhanced inner tube. *International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow*, 14(1), 54-63.
- Aicher, T., & Kim, W. (1998). Experimental investigation of the influence of the cross flow in the nozzle region on the shell-side heat transfer in double-pipe heat exchangers. *International communications in heat and mass transfer*, 25(1), 43-58.
- Akhtari, M., Haghshenasfard, M., & Talaie, M. (2013). Numerical and experimental investigation of heat transfer of α -aluminum oxide/water nanofluid in double pipe and shell and tube heat exchangers. *Numerical Heat Transfer, Part A: Applications*, 63(12), 941-958.
- Asirvatham, L. G., Raja, B., Lal, D. M., & Wongwises, S. (2011). Convective heat transfer of nanofluids with correlations. *Particuology*, 9(6), 626-631.
- Azari, A., Kalbasi, M., Derakhshandeh, M., & Rahimi, M. (2013). An experimental study on nanofluids convective heat transfer through a straight tube under constant heat flux. *Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 21(10), 1082-1088.
- Bagherzadeh, F., Saffar-Avval, M., Seyfi, M., & Abbassi, A. (2017). Numerical investigation of nanofluid heat transfer in helically coiled tubes using the four-equation model. *Advanced Powder Technology*, 28(1), 256-265.
- Bahmani, M. H., Sheikhzadeh, G., Zarringhalam, M., Akbari, O. A., Alrashed, A. A., Shabani, G. A. S., & Goodarzi, M. (2018). Investigation of turbulent heat transfer and nanofluid flow in a double pipe heat exchanger. *Advanced Powder Technology*, 29(2), 273-282.
- Bhadouriya, R., Agrawal, A., & Prabhu, S. (2015). Experimental and numerical study of fluid flow and heat transfer in an annulus of inner twisted square duct and outer circular pipe. *International Journal of Thermal Sciences*, 94, 96-109.
- Bhogare, R. A., & Kothawale, B. (2014). Performance investigation of automobile radiator operated with aluminum oxide based nanofluid. *IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering*, 11(3), 23-30.

- Boudouh, M., Gualous, H. L., & De Labachellerie, M. (2010). Local convective boiling heat transfer and pressure drop of nanofluid in narrow rectangular channels. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 30(17-18), 2619-2631.
- Chamkha, A. J., Molana, M., Rahnama, A., & Ghadami, F. (2018). On the nanofluids applications in microchannels: a comprehensive review. *Powder Technology*, 332, 287-322.
- Chougule, A., Bal, A., Das, A., & Nayak, B. (2014). Thyroid-like follicular renal cell carcinoma: an emerging morphological variant. *Pathology-Journal of the RCPA*, 46(7), 657-660.
- Chougule, S. S., & Sahu, S. (2014). Thermal performance of automobile radiator using carbon nanotube-water nanofluid—experimental study. *Journal of Thermal Science and Engineering Applications*, 6(4), 041009.
- Chun, B.-H., Kang, H. U., & Kim, S. H. (2008). Effect of alumina nanoparticles in the fluid on heat transfer in double-pipe heat exchanger system. *Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 25(5), 966-971.
- Ding, Y., Alias, H., Wen, D., & Williams, R. A. (2006). Heat transfer of aqueous suspensions of carbon nanotubes (CNT nanofluids). *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 49(1-2), 240-250.
- Dizaji, H. S., Jafarmadar, S., & Mobadersani, F. (2015). Experimental studies on heat transfer and pressure drop characteristics for new arrangements of corrugated tubes in a double pipe heat exchanger. *International Journal of Thermal Sciences*, 96, 211-220.
- Ebrahimnia-Bajestan, E., Niazmand, H., Duangthongsuk, W., & Wongwises, S. (2011). Numerical investigation of effective parameters in convective heat transfer of nanofluids flowing under a laminar flow regime. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 54(19-20), 4376-4388.
- Elias, M., Mahbubul, I., Saidur, R., Sohel, M., Shahrul, I., Khaleduzzaman, S., & Sadeghipour, S. (2014). Experimental investigation on the thermo-physical properties of aluminum oxide nanoparticles suspended in car radiator coolant. *International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer*, 54, 48-53.
- Ghanbarpour, M., Haghigi, E. B., & Khodabandeh, R. (2014). Thermal properties and rheological behavior of water based aluminum oxide nanofluid as a heat transfer fluid. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*, 53, 227-235.
- Gherasim, I., Roy, G., Nguyen, C. T., & Vo-Ngoc, D. (2009). Experimental investigation of nanofluids in confined laminar radial flows. *International Journal of Thermal Sciences*, 48(8), 1486-1493.

- Goodarzi, M., Kherbeet, A. S., Afrand, M., Sadeghinezhad, E., Mehrali, M., Zahedi, P., Wongwises, S., & Dahari, M. (2016). Investigation of heat transfer performance and friction factor of a counter-flow double-pipe heat exchanger using nitrogen-doped, graphene-based nanofluids. *International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer*, 76, 16-23.
- Halelfadl, S., Maré, T., & Estellé, P. (2014). Efficiency of carbon nanotubes water based nanofluids as coolants. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*, 53, 104-110.
- Hashemi, S., & Akhavan-Behabadi, M. (2012). An empirical study on heat transfer and pressure drop characteristics of CuO–base oil nanofluid flow in a horizontal helically coiled tube under constant heat flux. *International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer*, 39(1), 144-151.
- Hashemian, M., Jafarmadar, S., & Dizaji, H. S. (2016). A comprehensive numerical study on multi-criteria design analyses in a novel form (conical) of double pipe heat exchanger. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 102, 1228-1237.
- Heris, S. Z., Nassan, T. H., Noie, S., Sardarabadi, H., & Sardarabadi, M. (2013). Laminar convective heat transfer of aluminum oxide/water nanofluid through square cross-sectional duct. *International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow*, 44, 375-382.
- Heris, S. Z., Shokrgozar, M., Poorpharhang, S., Shanbedi, M., & Noie, S. (2014). Experimental study of heat transfer of a car radiator with CuO/ethylene glycol-water as a coolant. *Journal of Dispersion Science and Technology*, 35(5), 677-684.
- Javadi, F., Sadeghipour, S., Saidur, R., BoroumandJazi, G., Rahmati, B., Elias, M., & Sohel, M. (2013). The effects of nanofluid on thermophysical properties and heat transfer characteristics of a plate heat exchanger. *International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer*, 44, 58-63.
- Jmai, R., Ben-Beya, B., & Lili, T. (2013). Heat transfer and fluid flow of nanofluid-filled enclosure with two partially heated side walls and different nanoparticles. *Superlattices and Microstructures*, 53, 130-154.
- Khairul, M. A., Saidur, R., Rahman, M. M., Alim, M. A., Hossain, A., & Abdin, Z. (2013). Heat transfer and thermodynamic analyses of a helically coiled heat exchanger using different types of nanofluids. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 67, 398-403.
- Lachi, M., El Wakil, N., & Padet, J. (1997). The time constant of double pipe and one pass shell-and-tube heat exchangers in the case of varying fluid flow rates. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 40(9), 2067-2079.

- Lee, Y. (2014). The use of nanofluids in domestic water heat exchanger. *Journal of Advanced Research in Applied Mechanics*, 3(1), 9-24.
- Leong, K., Saidur, R., Kazi, S., & Mamun, A. (2010). Performance investigation of an automotive car radiator operated with nanofluid-based coolants (nanofluid as a coolant in a radiator). *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 30(17-18), 2685-2692.
- Leong, K., Saidur, R., Mahlia, T., & Yau, Y. (2012). Modeling of shell and tube heat recovery exchanger operated with nanofluid based coolants. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 55(4), 808-816.
- Lin, C.-Y., Wang, J.-C., & Chen, T.-C. (2011). Analysis of suspension and heat transfer characteristics of aluminum oxide nanofluids prepared through ultrasonic vibration. *Applied Energy*, 88(12), 4527-4533.
- Madhesh, D., Parameshwaran, R., & Kalaiselvam, S. (2014). Experimental investigation on convective heat transfer and rheological characteristics of copper-titanium oxide hybrid nanofluids. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*, 52, 104-115.
- Maiga, S. E. B., Palm, S. J., Nguyen, C. T., Roy, G., & Galanis, N. (2005). Heat transfer enhancement by using nanofluids in forced convection flows. *International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow*, 26(4), 530-546.
- Mohammed, H., Al-Shamani, A., & Sherif, J. (2012). Thermal and hydraulic characteristics of turbulent nanofluids flow in a rib-groove channel. *International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer*, 39(10), 1584-1594.
- Mohammed, H., Gunnasegaran, P., & Shuaib, N. (2010). Heat transfer in rectangular microchannels heat sink using nanofluids. *International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer*, 37(10), 1496-1503.
- Namburu, P. K., Das, D. K., Tanguturi, K. M., & Vajjha, R. S. (2009). Numerical study of turbulent flow and heat transfer characteristics of nanofluids considering variable properties. *International Journal of Thermal Sciences*, 48(2), 290-302.
- Naraki, M., Peyghambarzadeh, S., Hashemabadi, S., & Vermahmoudi, Y. (2013). Parametric study of overall heat transfer coefficient of CuO/water nanofluids in a car radiator. *International Journal of Thermal Sciences*, 66, 82-90.
- Pahud, D., & Matthey, B. (2001). Comparison of the thermal performance of double U-pipe borehole heat exchangers measured in situ. *Energy and Buildings*, 33(5), 503-507.
- Pak, B. C., & Cho, Y. I. (1998). Hydrodynamic and heat transfer study of dispersed fluids with submicron metallic oxide particles. *Experimental Heat Transfer an International Journal*, 11(2), 151-170.

- Peyghambarzadeh, S., Hashemabadi, S., Hoseini, S., & Jamnani, M. S. (2011). Experimental study of heat transfer enhancement using water/ethylene glycol based nanofluids as a new coolant for car radiators. *International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer*, 38(9), 1283-1290.
- Peyghambarzadeh, S., Hashemabadi, S., Naraki, M., & Vermahmoudi, Y. (2013). Experimental study of overall heat transfer coefficient in the application of dilute nanofluids in the car radiator. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 52(1), 8-16.
- Putra, N., & Iskandar, F. N. (2011). Application of nanofluids to a heat pipe liquid-block and the thermoelectric cooling of electronic equipment. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*, 35(7), 1274-1281.
- Ravisankar, R., Venkatachalapathy, V., & Alagumurthy, N. (2017). Thermal performance improvement of tractor radiator using CuO/Water nanofluid. *Heat Transfer—Asian Research*, 46(1), 61-74.
- Rennie, T. J., & Raghavan, V. G. (2005). Experimental studies of a double-pipe helical heat exchanger. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*, 29(8), 919-924.
- Sajid, M. U., & Ali, H. M. (2019). Recent advances in application of nanofluids in heat transfer devices: a critical review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 103, 556-592.
- Salavati-Niasari, M., & Davar, F. (2009). Synthesis of copper and copper (I) oxide nanoparticles by thermal decomposition of a new precursor. *Materials Letters*, 63(3-4), 441-443.
- Shakiba, A., & Vahedi, K. (2016). Numerical analysis of magnetic field effects on hydro-thermal behavior of a magnetic nanofluid in a double pipe heat exchanger. *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, 402, 131-142.
- Shalchi-Tabrizi, A., & Seyf, H. R. (2012). Analysis of entropy generation and convective heat transfer of aluminum oxide nanofluid flow in a tangential micro heat sink. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 55(15-16), 4366-4375.
- Sheikholeslami, M., & Ganji, D. (2016a). Heat transfer improvement in a double pipe heat exchanger by means of perforated turbulators. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 127, 112-123.
- Sheikholeslami, M., Ganji, D., & Gorji-Bandpy, M. (2016b). Experimental and numerical analysis for effects of using conical ring on turbulent flow and heat transfer in a double pipe air to water heat exchanger. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 100, 805-819.

- Sheikholeslami, M., Gorji-Bandpy, M., & Ganji, D. (2016c). Effect of discontinuous helical turbulators on heat transfer characteristics of double pipe water to air heat exchanger. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 118, 75-87.
- Sidik, N. A. C., Muhamad, M. N. A. W., Japar, W. M. A. A., & Rasid, Z. A. (2017). An overview of passive techniques for heat transfer augmentation in microchannel heat sink. *International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer*, 88, 74-83.
- Sundar, L. S., & Sharma, K. (2010). Turbulent heat transfer and friction factor of aluminum oxide nanofluid in circular tube with twisted tape inserts. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 53(7-8), 1409-1416.
- Suresh, S., Chandrasekar, M., & Sekhar, S. C. (2011). Experimental studies on heat transfer and friction factor characteristics of CuO/water nanofluid under turbulent flow in a helically dimpled tube. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*, 35(3), 542-549.
- Taler, D., & Taler, J. (2017). *Simple heat transfer correlations for turbulent tube flow*. Paper presented at the E3S Web of Conferences.
- Tang, X., Dai, X., & Zhu, D. (2015). Experimental and numerical investigation of convective heat transfer and fluid flow in twisted spiral tube. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 90, 523-541.
- Templeton, J., Hassani, F., & Ghoreishi-Madiseh, S. (2016). Study of effective solar energy storage using a double pipe geothermal heat exchanger. *Renewable Energy*, 86, 173-181.
- Teng, T.-P., & Yu, C.-C. (2013). Heat dissipation performance of MWCNTs nano-coolant for vehicle. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*, 49, 22-30.
- Tiecher, R. F., & Parise, J. A. (2013). A comparative parametric study on single-phase aluminum oxide–water nanofluid exchanging heat with a phase-changing fluid. *International Journal of Thermal Sciences*, 74, 190-198.
- Tiwari, A. K., Ghosh, P., & Sarkar, J. (2013). Performance comparison of the plate heat exchanger using different nanofluids. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*, 49, 141-151.
- Vajjha, R. S., Das, D. K., & Kulkarni, D. P. (2010). Development of new correlations for convective heat transfer and friction factor in turbulent regime for nanofluids. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 53(21-22), 4607-4618.
- Vermahmoudi, Y., Peyghambarzadeh, S., Hashemabadi, S., & Naraki, M. (2014). Experimental investigation on heat transfer performance of iron oxide/water

- nanofluid in an air-finned heat exchanger. *European Journal of Mechanics-B/Fluids*, 44, 32-41.
- Wen, D., & Ding, Y. (2005). Formulation of nanofluids for natural convective heat transfer applications. *International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow*, 26(6), 855-864.
- Xiao, P., Guo, L., & Zhang, X. (2015). Investigations on heat transfer characteristic of molten salt flow in helical annular duct. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 88, 22-32.
- Yang, J.-C., Li, F.-C., He, Y.-R., Huang, Y.-M., & Jiang, B.-C. (2013). Experimental study on the characteristics of heat transfer and flow resistance in turbulent pipe flows of viscoelastic-fluid-based Cu nanofluid. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 62, 303-313.
- Zamzamian, A., Oskouie, S. N., Doosthoseini, A., Joneidi, A., & Pazouki, M. (2011). Experimental investigation of forced convective heat transfer coefficient in nanofluids of aluminum oxide/EG and copper oxide/EG in a double pipe and plate heat exchangers under turbulent flow. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*, 35(3), 495-502.
- Zarringhalam, M., Karimipour, A., & Toghraie, D. (2016). Experimental study of the effect of solid volume fraction and Reynolds number on heat transfer coefficient and pressure drop of CuO–water nanofluid. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*, 76, 342-351.
- Zhao, N., Yang, J., Li, H., Zhang, Z., & Li, S. (2016). Numerical investigations of laminar heat transfer and flow performance of aluminum oxide–water nanofluids in a flat tube. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 92, 268-282.