ANALYSIS AND DESIGN OF REINFORCED CONCRETE STRUCTURE AGAINST PROGRESSIVE COLLAPSE

SELVARAJ MANONMANI TAMILSELVAN

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Structure)

> School of Civil Engineering Faculty of Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > JULY 2020

ABSTRACT

Progressive collapse in high rise structures due to man-made or natural disasters urged structural engineers to design a safe structure considering all possible loads that a building may encounter throughout its lifetime. This progressive collapse is catastrophic as collapse occurs in an instance, not allowing time for residents to escape. There are certain guidelines such as General Service Administration (GSA) and Department of Defence (DOD) concerning the design and retrofitting of structures to resist the progressive collapse that should be followed, especially for materials such as concrete and steel. The main methodology in this paper is based on a concept "column removal method" as stated in GSA guideline can reduce a chance of progressive collapse by providing alternate load path for structures through additional reinforcement. Using STAAD.Pro software, the G+11 storey is designed and considering several cases: a) an exterior column near the middle of the long side of the building. b) an exterior column near the middle of the short side of the building. c) a column located at the corner of the building. d) a column interior to the perimeter column lines. These columns are removed at an instance as per GSA and for these cases, reinforcement is found for the elements adjacent to the removed column. The results are found for a) reinforcement for adjacent/nearby beam and column, with and without loss of an exterior column near the middle of the long side of the building. b) reinforcement for adjacent/nearby beam and column, with and without loss of column located at the corner of the building. c) reinforcement for adjacent/nearby beam and column, with and without loss of an exterior column near the middle of the short side of the building. d) reinforcement for adjacent/nearby beam and column, with and without loss of a column interior to the perimeter column lines of building. By replacing reinforcement details of both beam and column (without removal of column) with reinforcement details of beam and column (after removal of column) might reduce the chance of structure failure when subjected to natural or man-made disasters. Hence, this prevents the building from Progressive collapse.

ABSTRAK

Runtuhan progresif bagi struktur bertingkat tinggi disebabkan perbuatan manusia atau bencana alam menggesa jurutera struktur untuk merekabentuk satu struktur yang selamat bagi menghadapi kemungkinan lebihan beban yang boleh ditanggung di sepanjang jangka hayat. Terdapat garis panduan tertentu seperti Pentadbiran Perkhidmatan Am (GSA) dan Jabatan Pertahanan (DOD) mengenai reka bentuk dan meretroasi struktur untuk menghalang runtuhan progresif yang harus diikuti, terutamanya untuk bahan seperti konkrit dan keluli. Metodologi utama dalam kertas kerja ini adalah berdasarkan konsep "kaedah pembuangan tiang" seperti yang dinyatakan dalam garis panduan GSA bagi mengurangkan peluang runtuhan progresif dengan menyediakan laluan beban alternatif untuk struktur melalui pengukuhan tambahan. Menggunakan perisian STAAD. Pro, bangunan G+11 tingkat direkabentuk dan pelbagai kes dipertimbangkan, iaitu a) tiang luaran berhampiran tengah-tengah bahagian panjang bangunan. b) Ruang luaran berhampiran tengah-tengah bahagian pendek bangunan. c) ruang yang terletak di bahagian bucu bangunan. d) bahagian dalaman tiang ke garisan lajur perimeter. Tiang ini dialih keluar pada satu kedudukan seperti dinyatakan oleh GSA dan untuk kes ini tetulang ditemui untuk elemen yang bersebelahan dengan tiang yang dialih keluar. Keputusan ditemui untuk a) pengukuhan untuk rasuk bersebelahan/berdekatan dan tiang, dengan dan tanpa kehilangan ruang luaran berhampiran tengah-tengah sebelah panjang bangunan. b) pengukuhan untuk rasuk dan tiang bersebelahan/berdekatan, tanpa kehilangan ruang yang terletak di bahagian bucu bangunan.c) pengukuhan untuk rasuk bersebelahan/berhampiran dan tiang, dengan dan tanpa kehilangan ruang luaran berhampiran tengah-tengah sebelah pendek bangunan. d) pengukuhan untuk rasuk dan tiang bersebelahan/berdekatan, dengan dan tanpa kehilangan bahagian dalaman tiang ke garisan tiang perimeter bangunan. Dengan menggantikan butiran tetulang bagi kedua-dua rasuk dan tiang (tanpa penyingkiran tiang) dengan butiran tetulang rasuk dan tiang (selepas penyingkiran tiang) boleh mengurangkan peluang kegagalan struktur apabila terlibat kepada bencana alam atau perbuatan manusia.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE

DEC	iii		
ABS	ABSTRACT		
ABSTRAK			
TAE	BLE OF CONTENTS	vi	
LIST	Γ OF TABLES	X	
LIST	Γ OF FIGURES	xi	
LIS	Γ OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv	
LIST	Γ OF SYMBOLS	XV	
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1	
1.1	Background of Problem	1	
1.2	Problem Statement	2	
1.3	Objective of the Project	4	
1.4	1.4 Scope of the Project		
1.5	Significance of the Research	5	
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7	
2.1	Reviews on relevant research projects	7	
2.2	Research Gap	8	
2.3	Design Guidelines to Resist Progressive Collapse	9	
	2.3.1 Department of Defence	9	
	2.3.2 GSA Guideline	9	
2.4	Examples of Progressive collapse in Real life	10	
	2.4.1 Ronan Point Apartment Tower collapse	10	
	2.4.2 The Oklahoma city Bombing	11	
	2.4.3 World Trade Center Collapse	11	

CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY		
3.1	Research Design and Procedure		
3.2	Analysis Approach : Linear Static Procedure		
3.3	Design Approach : Alternate Path Method		
3.4	Column Removal Method	14	
3.5	Prevention of Progressive Collapse	15	
3.6	Description of Building	17	
3.7	Sectional Properties of Elements	17	
3.8	Plan of the Building	18	
3.9	Elevation of Building	19	
3.10	Progressive collapse cases	20	
CHAPTER 4	ANALYSIS AND RESULTS		
4.1	Analysis Results obtained from Software Staad	21	
	4.1.1 Bending moment (BM) and Shear Force (SF) diagrams of Base model	21	
	4.1.2 BM and SF diagram case 1	22	
	4.1.3 BM and SF diagram case 2	23	
	4.1.4 BM and SF diagram case 3	23	
	4.1.5 BM and SF diagram case 4	24	
4.2	Comparing SF and BM of Conventional Model & Case 1 Model	25	
4.3	Comparing SF and BM of Conventional Model & Case 2 Model	26	
4.4	Comparing SF and BM of Conventional Model & Case 3 Model	27	
4.5	Comparing SF and BM of Conventional Model & Case 4 Model	28	
4.6	Design Procedure and Result	29	
	4.6.1 Reinforcement required for adjacent beams without loss of a column corresponding to case 1	29	
	4.6.2 Shear reinforcement required for adjacent beam without loss of a column corresponding to case 1	30	

4.6.3	Case 1 Reinforcement required for adjacent beam after loss of a column corresponding to case 1	31
4.6.4	Base model Reinforcement required for adjacent column without loss of a column corresponding to case 1	33
4.6.5	Case 1 Reinforcement required for adjacent column after loss of a column corresponding to case 1	34
4.6.6	Base model Reinforcement required for adjacent beam without loss of a column corresponding to case 2	35
4.6.7	Case 2 Reinforcement required for adjacent beam after loss of a column corresponding to case 2	36
4.6.8	Base model Reinforcement required for adjacent column without loss of a column corresponding to case 2	37
4.6.9	Case 2 Reinforcement required for adjacent column after loss of a column corresponding to case 2	38
4.6.10	Base model Reinforcement required for adjacen without loss of a column corresponding to case 3	t beam 39
4.6.11	Case 3 Reinforcement required for adjacent beam after loss of a column corresponding to case 3	40
4.6.12	Base model Reinforcement required for adjacent column without loss of a column	
	corresponding to case 3	41
4.6.13	corresponding to case 3 Case 3 Reinforcement required for adjacent column after loss of a column corresponding to case 3	41 43
4.6.13 4.6.14	corresponding to case 3 Case 3 Reinforcement required for adjacent column after loss of a column corresponding to case 3 Base model Reinforcement required for adjacent beam without loss of a column corresponding to case 4	41 43 45
4.6.13 4.6.14 4.6.15	corresponding to case 3 Case 3 Reinforcement required for adjacent column after loss of a column corresponding to case 3 Base model Reinforcement required for adjacent beam without loss of a column corresponding to case 4 Case 4 Reinforcement required for adjacent beam after loss of a column corresponding to case 4	41 43 45 46

	4.6.17 Case 4 Reinforcement required for adjacent column after loss of a column corresponding to	
	case 4	49
4.7	Case 1 Result	51
4.8 Case 2 Result		52
4.9 Case 3 Result		53
4.10	Case 4 Result	54
4.11	Discussion	55
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION	57
5.1	Final conclusion	57
REFERENCES		59

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 4.1	CONVENTIONAL MODEL VALUES	25
Table 4.2	CASE 1 MODEL VALUES	25
Table 4.3	CONVENTIONAL MODEL VALUES	26
Table 4.4	CASE 2 MODEL VALUES	26
Table 4.5	CONVENTIONAL MODEL VALUES	27
Table 4.6	CASE 3 VALUES	27
Table 4.7	CONVENTIONAL MODEL VALUES	28
Table 4.8	CASE 4 MODEL VALUES	28
Table 4.9	Reinforcement required for adjacent/nearby beam and column, with and without loss of a column corresponding to case 1	51
Table 4.10	Reinforcement required for adjacent/nearby beam and column, with and without loss of a column corresponding to case 2	52
Table 4.11	Reinforcement required for adjacent/nearby beam and column, with and without loss of a column corresponding to case 3	53
Table 4.12	Reinforcement required for adjacent/nearby beam and column, with and without loss of a column corresponding to case 4	54

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO	. TITLE	PAGE
Figure 1.1	Example of progressive collapse	2
Figure 1.2	Exterior loading blast	3
Figure 1.3	Conventional Design Progenssive collapse	3
Figure 1.4	Alternate load path design. No progenssive collapse	3
Figure 2.1	A partial collapse of Ronan Point apartment tower	10
Figure 2.2	Exterior view of the Alfred P. Murrah Federal building collapse	11
Figure 2.3	View of the north and east faces of the World Trade Centre towers, showing fire and impact damage to both towers	12
Figure 2.4	Progressive collapse of world trade center towers	12
Figure 3.1	Design procedure	13
Figure 3.2	Location of columns	15
Figure 3.3	Exterior and Interior column removal locations	15
Figure 3.4	Ordinary RC Design for structures	16
Figure 3.5	Alternate Load Path Design	16
Figure 3.6	Plan of building	18
Figure 3.7	Elevation of Building	19
Figure 3.8	The location of removal of columns as per GSA criteria	20
Figure 4.1	BM and SF diagrams of Base Model	21
Figure 4.2	BM and SF diagrams of case 1	22
Figure 4.3	BM and SF diagrams of case 2	
Figure 4.4	BM and SF diagrams of case 3	
Figure 4.5	BM and SF diagrams of case 4	24
Figure 4.6	Base model - Beam Design Procedure for Beams adjacent to middle column (first storey) of long side of building (part 1)	30

Figure 4.7	Base model – Shear Design Procedure for Beams adjacent to middle column (first storey) of long side of building (part 2)			
Figure 4.8	4.8 Case 1 – Beam and shear Design Procedure for adjacent beams after removing middle column (first storey) of long side of building			
Figure 4.9	4.9 Base model - Column Design Procedure for Column adjacent to middle column (first storey) of long side of building			
Figure 4.10	gure 4.10 Case 1 - Column Design Procedure for Column adjacent to middle column (first storey)			
Figure 4.11 Base model - Reinforcement required for adjacent beam without loss of column corresponding to case 2		35		
Figure 4.12	The 4.12 Case 2 - Reinforcement required for adjacent beam after loss of column corresponding to case 2			
Figure 4.13	re 4.13 Base model - reinforcement required for adjacent column without loss of column corresponding to case 2			
Figure 4.14	4.14 Case 2 - Reinforcement required for adjacent column after loss of column corresponding to case 2			
Figure 4.15	5 Base model - reinforcement required for adjacent beam without loss of a column corresponding to case 3			
Figure 4.16	Case 3 - reinforcement required for adjacent beam after loss of an exterior column corresponding to case 3	40		
Figure 4.17	Base model - reinforcement required for adjacent column without loss of a column corresponding to case 3	41		
Figure 4.18	Design procedure for links	42		
Figure 4.19	Case 3 - reinforcement required for adjacent column after loss of an exterior column corresponding to case 3	43		
Figure 4.20	Design procedure for links	44		
Figure 4.21	Reinforcement required for adjacent beam without loss of a	column		
	corresponding to case 4	45		
Figure 4.22	ure 4.22 case 4 - reinforcement required for adjacent beam after loss of a column corresponding to case 4			
Figure 4.23	Base model - reinforcement required for adjacent column with	out loss		
	of a column corresponding to case 4	47		
Figure 4.24	Design procedure for links	48		

Figure 4.25 case 4 - reinforcement required for adjacent column aft loss of a column corresponding to case 4		49
Figure 4.26	Design procedure for links	50

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

RC	-	Reinforced concrete
BM	-	Bending Moment
SF	-	Shear force

LIST OF SYMBOLS

fck	-	Compressive strength of concr	
Ecm	-	Youngs modulus of concrete	
fyk	-	Tensile strength of steel	
Es	-	Youngs Modulus of steel	
cnom	-	Nominal cover	
φdia	-	Diameter	
MEd	-	Bending Moment	
As max	-	Area of Reinforcement	
VEd	-	Shear force	

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND OF THE PROBLEM

Increase in natural disasters and terrorist actions carried out on landmark buildings, it is important to introduce new concepts in designing a safe structure which could resist the structure from destruction, damage, and also save people. It is difficult to predict what exact, very high load is induced on a building, so the most important factor is progressive collapse when designing for structural integrity. The outcome is progressive collapse when a localized failure extends to a greater part of the structure. Several examples of progressive collapses occurring due to abnormal loading in structures will be given. There are certain guidelines regarding the design of structures that should be followed to avoid progressive collapse, especially for materials such as concrete and steel [1].

As a result of rising catastrophic events in recent years, the prevention of collapse has become a necessity in design and analysis. Several solutions have been suggested in controlling the collapse of the new and existing building. Among variety of building codes and guidelines, the General Services Administration (GSA) and Department of Défense (DoD) specifically discuss progressive collapse prevention. They provide design procedures to resist progressive collapse. [8]

Progressive collapse is described as the process of failure of whole part of the building due to the small local failure of the structure.

Figure 1.1 represents about the building which is safe before a collapse and the second one shows how a primary column is damaged due to natural or manmade disaster and it causes the collapse of the whole building. This leads to loss of many lives. Hence designing a safe structure is a must.



Figure 1.1 Example of progressive collapse

1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

The progressive collapse guidelines stated in General Service administration (GSA) and Department of Defence (DOD) is mainly limited to special buildings like army buildings and important security buildings, because for residential buildings this procedure doesn't seem to be economical due to the addition of more reinforcement. Hence not only limited to certain type of building this progressive collapse design procedure to be updated for all type of structures.

Figure 1.2 and Figure 1.3 shows that when the structure is exposed to an external blast loading, the conventionally designed structure will collapse entirely. The main reason for this is that systems designed for gravity-load are not sufficiently detailed to transfer the load paths after removing a primary vertical support. Buildings designed for seismic & wind loads can be capable of resisting lateral loads and creating alternate load paths after loss of column. [3] Hence, the special moment resisting frames (SMRF) have less chance of collapse on external blast loading. (Figure 1.4)



Figure 1.2 Exterior loading (blast)



Figure 1.3 Conventional design: Progressive collapse



Figure 1.4 Alternate load path design: No progressive collapse

1.3 OBJECTIVE OF THE PROJECT

The Objectives of this project are

- To examine the inherent resistance of conventionally designed building for which progressive collapse requirements have not been included in the design.
- To propose simple concepts in designing a more robust structure with respect to progressive collapse threat.
- To propose a robust G+11 structure using STAAD.Pro software for different column removal cases respect to GSA and providing additional reinforcement for alternate load path to maintain structural integrity.
- Progressive collapse design guidelines to be outlined and to discuss the assessment of ordinary structural design vulnerabilities.

1.4 SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The scope of this project is

- To change the load path of the structure in emergency crisis by introducing alternate load path method in design procedure.
- To apply the specified load combination after removing first storey columns at each of the four locations of the buildings as specified in guidelines and demand forces are found for each element using STAAD.
- To design a safe structure which resist all natural and man-made disasters which could save both money and lives.

1.5 SIGNIFICANCE OF THE RESEARCH

Significance of the research are

- To develop a safe structure which resist all lateral and vertical load and forming a perfect path for the loads.
- To safeguard important buildings like army weapon storage building, army buildings and main Government buildings by introducing new concepts in design procedure.
- To transfer the load path by the alternate load path design procedure when an element fails saving both life and money.

REFERENCES

- Hao Wang*, Anqi Zhang, Yi Li and Weiming Yan, (2014) "A Review on Progressive Collapse of Building Structures" Beijing Key Laboratory of Earthquake Engineering and Structural Retrofit, Beijing University of Technology, Beijing 100124, China. The Open Civil Engineering Journal, 2014, 8, 183-192.
- Honghao Li and Sherif El-Tawil, (2011) "Three-Dimensional Effects in Progressive Collapse Modeling" University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109. Structures Congress 2011 © ASCE 2011-2829
- 3. LI Zhongxian, SHI Yanchao, (2008) "Methods for Progressive Collapse Analysis of Building Structures Under Blast and Impact Loads" Transactions of Tianjin University Vol.14 No.5. 2008-07-15. 329-339.
- Panagiotis M. Stylianidis, David A. Nethercot, Bassam A. Izzuddin, Ahmed Y. Elghazouli, (2009) "Progressive Collapse: Failure Criteria Used in Engineering Analysis" Journal of Imperial College London, Structures 2009.
- 5. Al-Salloum, Y.A., Abbas, H., Almusallam, T.H., Ngo, T., Mendis, P., "Progressive Collapse Analysis of a Typical RC High-Rise Tower", Journal of King Saud University - Engineering Sciences (2017).
- 6. Keun-Hyeok Yang, (2016) "Shear Stress-Relative Slip Relationship at Concrete Interfaces", Department of Plant & Architectural Engineering, Kyonggi University, Suwon, Republic of Korea, Volume 2016, Article ID 6475161.

- 7. Edward Eskewa, Shinae Janga, Kelly Bertolaccinia (2016) "Progressive collapse analysis using updated models for alternate path analysis after a blast" Journal of University of Connecticut.
- 8. Spencer E. Quiel and Shalva M. Marjanishvili. (2012)" Fire Resistance of a Damaged Steel Building Frame Designed to Resist Progressive Collapse" Journal of Performance of Constructed Facilities, Vol. 26.
- 9. Emanuele Brunesi, Roberto Nascimbene, and Fulvio Parisi, (2016) "Progressive collapse fragility models of RC framed buildings based on pushdown analysis",5–10 June 2016.