

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES AND SHORT-TERM PERFORMANCE OF
SULPHATE RESISTANCE OF EFFECTIVE MICROORGANISMS-FLY ASH
GEOPOLYMER CONCRETE

MOHD SAFWAN BIN HARUN

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Forensic Engineering

School of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

DISEMBER 2019

DEDICATION

In the name of Allah Most Beneficent Most Merciful

Proclaim! (or Read) in the name of thy Lord and Cherisher, Who created

[Quraan, Al Alaq 96:1]

All glory and honor to Him

This project dedicated to

My wonderful parents who have raised me to be the person I am today

My wife who believe in the richness of learning

My children who made me keen on learning

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Praise Be To Allah S.W.T, the Lord of the World

First and foremost, I like to express my sincere appreciation and profound gratitude to my beloved supervisors, Dr. Mohd Azreen bin Mohd Ariffin and Associate Professor Dr. Sarajul Fikri Bin Mohamed for encouragement, guidance, critics, friendship and funding for the project. Without their continued support and interest, this project would not have been the same as presented here. I am thankful to Materials and Structures laboratory, School of Civil Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for providing the facilities for my research. My thanks also go to technicians and staffs for their assistance in this research.

Nobody has been more important to me in the pursuit of this project than the members of my family. I would like to thank my parents; whose love and guidance are with me in whatever I pursue. They are the ultimate role models. Most importantly, I wish to thank my loving and supportive wife, Noorhafizah, and my three wonderful children, Hana Sakinah, Adeera Azzahra and Emiir Darwisy, who provide unending inspiration.

My sincere thanks also go to Jabatan Kerja Raya (JKR) and Jabatan Perkhidmatan Awam (JPA), for providing me an opportunity to further this Master programme and funding support. Without their precious support it would not be possible to conduct this research.

My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and friends who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed.

To all of you, thank you for everything.

ABSTRACT

This project presented an assessment into the mechanical properties and short-term performance of geopolymer concrete prepared using fly ash in addition of Effective Microorganisms (EM) along with alkaline activators when exposed to 5% of Sodium Sulphate for up to 56 days. EM for concrete were being produced locally and used in the construction industry to promote sustainability and green concrete. EM technology was initially formulated to enhance the growth of plants in the agricultural industry and disaster treatment which the process will not produce harmful substances. Previous studies found that EM in Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) concrete improved the concrete strength and also durability. In this research, the compressive strength of EM-fly ash geopolymer with different EM proportions in the increment of 0% (as control sample), 5%, 10% and 15%, replacing the water content were carried out at 7, 14 and 28 curing days to obtain the optimum dosage of EM. Then, the strength obtained was compared to decide the ultimate trial mix before preparing cubes, cylinders and prisms for further assessment purposes. The performance of EM-fly ash geopolymer concrete were compared and discussed to what extent EM-fly ash geopolymer was able to enhance the mechanical properties of concrete. The finding shown that when adding 10% of EM into the fly ash geopolymer concrete, the compressive, density, modulus elasticity, tensile and flexural strength, were slightly contributed to the strength development respectively. Therefore, the study concluded that the optimum percentage of EM added into the fly ash geopolymer concrete was 10% in which enhancing its mechanical properties in a more sustainable, environmental-friendly and obtainable. Furthermore, the presence of EM gave a positive behavior in terms of water absorption and had a better resistant against sulphate attack compared to the fly ash geopolymer with 0% addition of EM at the ages of 14, 28 and 56 days.

ABSTRAK

Projek ini membentangkan penilaian ke atas sifat-sifat mekanikal dan prestasi jangka pendek konkrit geopolimer yang disediakan menggunakan abu terbang dan Mikroorganisma Efektif sebagai bahan tambah bersama dengan pengaktif alkali apabila terdedah kepada 5% Sodium Sulphate sehingga 56 hari. Mikroorganisma Efektif bagi konkrit ini dihasilkan di dalam negara dan digunakan dalam industri pembinaan untuk mempromosikan kelestarian dan konkrit hijau. Teknologi Mikroorganisma Efektif pada awalnya dirumuskan untuk meningkatkan pertumbuhan tumbuhan dalam industri pertanian dan rawatan penyakit yang prosesnya tidak menghasilkan bahan berbahaya. Kajian terdahulu mendapati bahawa Mikroorganisma Efektif dalam konkrit Portland Simen biasa meningkatkan kekuatan konkrit dan juga ketahanan. Dalam kajian ini, kekuatan mampatan Geopolimer abu terbang-Mikroorganisma Efektif dengan perkadaran Mikroorganisma Efektif yang berlainan dalam kenaikan 0% (sebagai sampel kawalan), 5%, 10% dan 15%, menggantikan kandungan air yang dilakukan pada 7,14 dan 28 hari pengawetan untuk mendapatkan dos optimum Mikroorganisma Efektif. Kemudian, kekuatan yang diperoleh dibandingkan dengan menentukan campuran percubaan muktamad sebelum menyiapkan kiub, silinder dan prisma untuk tujuan penilaian selanjutnya. Prestasi konkrit geopolimer abu terbang-Mikroorganisma Efektif dibandingkan dan dibincangkan tentang sejauhmana geopolimer abu terbang-Mikroorganisma Efektif mampu meningkatkan sifat mekanik konkrit. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa apabila menambah 10% Mikroorganisma Efektif ke dalam konkrit geopolimer abu terbang, kekuatan mampatan, ketumpatan, keanjalan modulus, kekuatan tegangan dan lenturan, telah menyumbang kepada peningkatan kekuatan masing-masing. Oleh itu, kajian itu menyimpulkan bahawa peratusan optimum Mikroorganisma Efektif yang ditambah dalam konkrit geopolimer abu terbang adalah 10% di mana boleh meningkatkan sifat-sifat mekaniknya dengan lebih mampan, mesra alam dan mudah diperolehi. Tambahan pula, kehadiran EM memberikan kelakuan positif dari segi penyerapan air dan mempunyai daya tahan yang lebih baik terhadap serangan sulfat berbanding dengan geopolimer abu terbang dengan tambahan 0% Mikroorganisma Efektif pada umur 14, 28 dan 56 hari.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xv
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of the Problem	1
	1.2 Statement of the Problem	3
	1.3 The Objective of the Study	4
	1.4 Scope of the Study	4
	1.5 Significance of Research	5
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
	2.1 Introduction	7
	2.2 Geopolymer Concrete	8
	2.3 Geopolymerization Process	9
	2.4 Fly Ash	12
	2.5 Effective Microorganisms (EM)	14
	2.6 Properties of Geopolymer Concrete	15

2.7	Mechanical Properties of Concrete	16
2.7.1	Compressive Strength	16
2.7.2	Tensile Strength	16
2.7.3	Flexural Strength	17
2.7.4	Modulus of Elasticity	17
2.8	Factors Affecting Geopolymer Concrete Properties	17
2.9	Strength Properties and Durability Performance of Geopolymer Concrete	19
2.10	Strength Properties and Durability Performance of Effective Microorganism (EM) in Concrete	20
2.11	Sulphate Resistance of Geopolymer Concrete and EM in Concrete	23
2.12	Alkaline Solution	24
2.12.1	Sodium Silicate	25
2.12.2	Sodium Hydroxide	25
2.13	Mix Proportions	25
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	29
3.1	Introduction	29
3.2	Research Framework	29
3.3	Materials	30
3.3.1	Fly Ash	31
3.3.2	Effective Microorganisms (EM)	31
3.3.3	Aggregates	32
3.3.4	Alkaline Solution	32
3.3.5	Superplasticizer (SP)	33
3.3.6	Water	33
3.4	Mix Proportions	33
3.5	Preparation of materials	35
3.6	Mixing Process	35
3.7	Test on Concrete – Mechanical Properties Assessment	36
3.7.1	Compressive Strength Test	36
3.7.2	Density	37
3.7.3	Splitting Tensile Test	37

3.7.4	Flexural Strength Test	38
3.7.5	Modulus of Elasticity	39
3.7.6	Water Absorption Test	41
3.8	Test on Concrete – Sulphate Resistance Assessment	42
3.8.1	Cube Immersed in Sulphate Solution	42
3.8.2	Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity (UPV)Test	43
CHAPTER 4	RESULT AND ANALYSIS	45
4.1	Introduction	45
4.2	Mechanical Properties	45
4.2.1	Compressive Strength	45
4.2.2	Density	46
4.2.3	Flexural Strength	47
4.2.4	Splitting Tensile Strength	49
4.2.5	Modulus of Elasticity	50
4.2.6	Water Absorption	52
4.3	Sulphate Resistance	53
4.4	Discussion	55
4.5	Summary	56
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	57
5.1	Conclusions	57
5.2	Recommendations	58
REFERENCES		59

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Chemical composition of binder	13
Table 3.1	The mix proportion of trial mix EM-geopolymer concrete	34
Table 3.2	The ultimate design mix of EM-geopolymer concrete	34
Table 3.3	Quality of concrete based on Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity	44
Table 4.1	Water absorption for control and optimum samples	52

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Reactions during geopolymerization	10
Figure 2.2	Reaction mechanism of fly ash-based geopolymer	11
Figure 3.1	The flow chart of research approach	30
Figure 3.2	Fly ash from Tanjung Bin	31
Figure 3.3	EM supplied in 10L pail for research purposes	31
Figure 3.4	Alkaline Solution (a) Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and (b) sodium silicate (Na ₂ SiO ₃)	32
Figure 3.5	Compressive Strength Test (a) Compressive test machine (b) cube after compressed until failure	36
Figure 3.6	Splitting Tensile Test (a) Splitting specimen after tested (b) Condition of sample was observed visually	38
Figure 3.7	Flexural strength test (a) Prism arrangement before testing (b) Condition of failure was observed visually	39
Figure 3.8	Test procedure for Modulus of Elasticity (a) Specimens was prepared (b) Specimens was trimmed (c) Extensometer and load cell was attached to the specimen (d) Data logger was connected (e) Equipment was set up	40
Figure 3.9	Water absorption test (a) Specimens was immersed in water (b) Specimens was drained using towel (c) Weight was recorded (d) Specimens were oven-dried	41
Figure 3.10	Sulphate resistance assessment (a) Bottle of 5% solution of Sodium Sulphate (Na ₂ SO ₄) (b) Cubes were immersed in sulphate solution	42
Figure 3.11	Test procedure of Ultra Sonic Velocity (UPV) (a) Specimens was marked and grease was applied (b) Pulse generator was calibrated before tested	43
Figure 4.1	Strength performance at different percentages (%) of EM	46
Figure 4.2	Relationship between compressive strength and density for control and optimum sample	47
Figure 4.3	Flexural strength for control and optimum sample	48
Figure 4.4	Relationship between flexural and compressive strength	48

Figure 4.5	Splitting tensile strength for control and optimum sample	49
Figure 4.6	Relationship between splitting tensile and compressive strength	50
Figure 4.7	Modulus of Elasticity for control and optimum sample	51
Figure 4.8	Relationship between Modulus Elasticity and Compressive Strength	51
Figure 4.9	Relationship between compressive strength and water absorption 0% EM (control sample) and 10% EM (optimum sample)	53
Figure 4.10	Strength and sulphate resistance performance of samples immersed in sulphate solution	54
Figure 4.11	Relationship between compressive strength due to sulphate attack and UPV values	54

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ASTM	-	American Society for Testing and Materials
BS	-	British Standard
EM	-	Effective Microorganisms
CASH	-	Calcium Aluminatesilicate Hydrate
NASH	-	Sodium Aluminatesilicate Hydrate
XRF	-	X-Ray Fluorescence
XRD	-	X-Ray Diffraction
SEM	-	Scanning Electron Microscopy
EDX	-	Energy Dispersive X-Ray
UPV	-	Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity
CO ₂	-	Carbon Dioxide
NO ₃	-	Nitrate
CH ₄	-	Methane
SiO ₄	-	Silicate
AlO ₄	-	Aluminate
Na ₂ SiO ₃	-	Sodium Silicate
SP	-	Superplasticizer
BA	-	Bamboo Ash
FA	-	Fly Ash
POFA	-	Palm Oil Fuel Ash
RHA	-	Rice Husk Ash
OPC	-	Ordinary Portland Cement
GGBS	-	Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag
SCWA	-	Sugar Can Waste Ash
RC	-	Reinforced Concrete
NaCl	-	Sodium Chloride
AAR	-	Alkaline aggregate reactions
H ₂ SO ₄	-	Sulfuric Acid
MgSO ₄	-	Magnesium Sulphate
NaOH	-	Sodium Hydroxide

LIST OF SYMBOLS

F_c	-	Compressive Strength
F/P	-	Maximum Load
A_c	-	Cross section of the Specimen
ρ	-	Density
M	-	Mass of the Specimen
V	-	Volume of the Specimen
F_{ct}	-	Splitting Tensile Strength
L	-	Length of the Specimen
d	-	Depth of the Specimen
b	-	Width of the Specimen
R	-	Flexural Strength
E_c	-	Modulus Elasticity
ΔL	-	Deformed Length
L_o	-	Initial Length
T	-	Time Taken by the Pulse to Traverse that Length (s)

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Problem

Concrete has been used for construction since ancient Rome. The era during which concrete was first manufactured depends on how the word ‘concrete’ was defined. Old materials were crude cements created by crushing and burning gypsum or limestone. Lime also refers to calcareous smashed, charred. These became mortar when sand and water were applied to these cements, which was a plaster-like substance used to bind stones to each other. Today, concrete is the single most commonly used structural material in the world and is used in the construction of houses, bridges and mega-structures such as dams, pavements and many more. The reason for this is because the materials used to make concrete are so diverse and readily available; another reason for this is that concrete can be precast or poured into any shape.

Despite the versatility of concrete structures, spalling, corrosion, carbonation are common impairments that sabotage the integrity of structures over time. External events such as earthquake, landslide also impact negatively on our structures. The durability of concrete can be clearly defined as the longevity of materials under different environmental conditions. Concrete is known to be a rock counterpart. It is pretty known long-lasting in the sense that ordinary water or environment does not affect it. These agents can intrude into the concrete matrix, but they do not cause any deleterious effects. Durable life is known to be a period before the material shows signs of distress. This means that the concrete structure has to demonstrate distress. For example, plain concrete is not affected by processes such as carbonation, whereas carbonation corrosion affects reinforced concrete (rc) structures. Some of the most common problems identified are AAR (alkali aggregate reactions), corrosion, sulphate attack, freeze thawing and shrinkage.

Global warming is a worrying issue today and the cement industry also leads to CO₂ emissions. Cement is an essential part of the binding product to be produced concrete in the construction industry. Hence, to produce the cement, not only consume a lot of energy and high temperature about 1500 °C but also emits harmful gas such as CO₂, NO₃ and CH₄ to the atmosphere (Andrew, 2018). About 0.9 ton of cement manufactured releases 1 ton of carbon dioxide being greenhouse gas is mainly responsible for global warming (Sharma et al.,2018). Rapid development in Malaysia has increased the consumption of concrete, where about 16.5 million tons of cement is consumed annually (Bakhtyar et. al, 2017).

As increase the demand of concrete year by year, the demand in high performance and durability concrete also increase. Most of the building like high-rise or bridges needs both strength and durability as important considerations. In construction industries, they focused on ordinary Portland cement (OPC) as the construction material. At the same time, OPC is not effective against aggressive environment condition. Therefore, construction industry was force to produce renewable material that high performance in strength and durability as well as environmental-friendly, such as using fly ash as binder in construction material.

It needs alternative construction materials to reduce carbon emissions and promote sustainable development. One of these is the geopolymer concrete. In addition, it also uses waste materials/by-products and substantial natural resources for the manufacture of geopolymer concrete in order to meet global infrastructure developments. By solving this problem, many researchers are considered to be replacing cement with waste materials. There are two types of waste materials used to replace cement; (i) industrial waste and (ii) agricultural waste; And then these wastes are subdivided into natural and recycled. Some agricultural waste by-products like peanut shell ash, sawdust ash, sugarcane bagasse ash and bamboo ash and so on are now considered for a fully replacement of cement OPC. Therefore, construction industry is force to produce renewable material that high performance in strength and durability as well as environmental friendly.

Recently, the complexity of microorganisms and their often-unpredictable nature and biosynthetic capacities, given a specific set of environmental and cultural conditions, have made it possible for them to be candidates to solve particularly difficult problems in life sciences and other fields. The various ways in which microorganisms have been used over the last 50 years to advance medical technology, human and animal health, food processing, food safety and quality, genetic engineering, environmental protection, agricultural biotechnology and more effective treatment of agricultural and industrial waste are the most remarkable achievements. Many of these technological advances would not have been possible by using common chemical and physical engineering techniques, or, if they had been, would not have been technically or economically feasible.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

Sustainable and green concrete is the only way to get rid of the environmental and sustainability issues mentioned above. The use of green concrete in building, which minimizes the environmental impact and makes it economic-environmental-friendly, may therefore be referred to as sustainable construction. Fly-ash also decreases greenhouse gas emissions and negative effects. As far as the conservation of resources is concerned, geopolymer concrete is a revolutionary building material created by the chemical action of inorganic molecules. Fly ash, a by-product of the coal generated by the thermal power plant, is widely available worldwide. Fly ash is rich in silica and alumina reacts with an alkaline solution formed by an aluminosilicate gel that acts as a binding agent for the concrete. It is an excellent alternative construction material to conventional plain concrete. Geopolymer concrete shall be produced without the use of any quantity of ordinary Portland cement.

However, no previous study regarding the utilization of fly ash in geopolymer concrete with addition of EM is available. Most of the researchers only focuses onto well-known agriculture waste such as sugar cane waste ash (SCWA), rice husk ash (RHA) and palm oil fuel ash (POFA) any many more. This strongly indicates important gaps to be filled in the process of development of an efficient EM-fly ash

geopolymer concrete for practical construction purposes. The points to be illustrated in this research are that the application of EM is still at an early stage in the field of construction which is why thorough research is needed to determine the actual effects on concrete. What are the major impacts on the mechanical properties of geopolymer concrete? What is the optimal dosage of EM in geopolymer concrete? To what degree EM would improve the mechanical properties of concrete.

1.3 The Objective of the Study

The aim of this research as mentioned above is to assess on the mechanical properties and short-term performance of sulphate resistance of EM-fly ash geopolymer concrete. The objectives of the research are;

- (i) to determine an optimum dosage of EM in fly ash geopolymer concrete;
- (ii) to examine the mechanical properties of EM- fly ash geopolymer concrete;
- (iii) to evaluate the performance of EM-fly ash geopolymer in enhancing strength and sulphate resistance of EM-fly ash geopolymer concrete.

1.4 Scope of the study

In this experimental work, the trial mix of fly ash geopolymer with different EM proportions in the increment of 0% (as control sample), 5%, 10% and 15%, replacing the water content were developed. The trial mix was carried out first to obtain the optimum dosage of EM at 7, 14, 28 days curing base on compressive strength test. Then, the ultimate design mix consisted of cubes size of 100 x 100 x 100 mm, prisms size of 100 x 100 x 500 mm, and cylinders size of 100 x 200 mm were casted for mechanical properties assessment. For sulphate resistance assessment, cubes strength which immersed in sulphate solution for 14, 28, 56 days were determined based on

compressive strength test. The mechanical properties of the EM-fly ash geopolymer concrete such as compressive, density, splitting tensile, flexural, modulus elasticity and water absorption were to be conducted. The materials used and the methods for conducting the tests were given in the following sections.

1.5 Significance of Research

The research study found that the application of EM in geopolymer concrete showed improvements in the various properties of concrete in terms of compressive strength, density, tensile strength, flexural, modulus elasticity, water absorption and sulphate resistance. Since the EM can be generated in the laboratory, it could be shown to be safe. The ultimate design mix of EM-geopolymer concrete will be obtained the optimum dosage of EM to enhance the mechanical properties of concrete. EM-geopolymer exhibited lower rate of water absorption than conventional concrete which was good for concrete durability respectively. It was expected due to the anaerobic and aerobic activities of the microorganism in the pores present in concrete, resulting in a lesser void and therefore less permeability through microstructural changes. The study also found that the use of EM in concrete improves its strength properties and resistance to sulphate attack so that the use of this type of microorganism can create strong and durable structures.

REFERENCES

- Azreen M.A., Husiin M.W., Bhutta M.A.R. (2011) Mix design and compressive strength of geopolymer concrete containing blended ash from agro-industrial wastes. *Advanced Materials Research*, 339(11), pp.452-457.
- B. Bakhtyar, Tarek Kacemi and Md Atif Nawaz (2017) A Review on Carbon Emissions in Malaysian Cement Industry *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 2017, 7(3), 282-286. ISSN: 2146-4553
- B. Vijaya Rangan (2014) Geopolymer concrete for environmental protection. *The Indian Concrete Journal*, April 2014, Vol. 88, Issue 4, pp. 41-48, 50-59
- Davidovits J. (1994) Geopolymer man-made rock geosynthesis and the resulting development of very early high strength cement, *J. Mater. Educ.*, 16(9), pp.91.
- Ellis Gartner (2014) Industrially interesting approaches to 'low-CO₂' cements. *Cement and Concrete Research* 34 (2004) 1489–1498
- Jamaludin M.Yatim, Mohamad Ismail, Wan A.W.A. Rahman and Chiew V. YAW (2009) Performance of Concrete Containing Effective Microorganisms (EM) under Various Environments
- Pushpendra Kumar Sharma and Pulkit Agrawal (2018) Green Concrete: A Sustainable Solution *International Journal of Computational Engineering Research (IJCER)*. ISSN (e): 2250 – 3005 Volume, 08.
- Robbie M. Andrew (2018) CICERO Center for International Climate Research, Oslo 0349, Norway
- Shafiq Ishak, Mohd Azreen Mohd Ariffin, Muhammad Fakhrudin, Mohd Warid Hussin, Han-Seung Lee and Mohamed Ismail (2016) Sulphate Resistance of Geopolymer Bamboo Ash. Conference: International Conference on Sustainable Built Environment, At Seoul, Korea
- Srinivasa Reddy V, Achyutha Satya K, Seshagiri Rao M V and Azmatunnisa M (2012) A Biological Approach to Enhance Strength and Durability in Concrete Structures. *International Journal of Advances in Engineering & Technology*, Sept 2012. ©IJAET ISSN: 2231-1963 392 Vol. 4, Issue 2, pp. 392-399

- Tan Cheng Siong Andrew, Ibrahim Izni Syahrizal and Mohd. Yatim Jamaluddin (2012) Effective Microorganisms for Concrete (EMC) Admixture – Its Effects to the Mechanical Properties of Concrete. Awam International Conference on Civil Engineering (AICCE'12) Geohazard Information Zonation (GIZ'12)
- Teruo Higa and James F. Parr (1994) Beneficial and Effective Microorganisms for a Sustainable Agriculture and Environment. International Nature Farming Research Center Atami, Japan
- Davidovits J. (2008) GEOPOLYMER chemistry and applications, 2nd ed.
- Abdullah, M.M.; Hussin, K.; Bnhussain, M.; Ismail, K.N.; Ibrahim, W.M. (2011) Mechanism and chemical reaction of fly ash geopolymer cement-A Review. International Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences and Technology 6, 35-44
- Chanh, V., Trung, B. D. and Van Tuan, D. (2008) Recent research geopolymer concrete. The 3rd ACF International Conference-ACF/VCA, 235-241.
- Duxson P., Fernández-Jiménez A., Provis J.L., Lukey G.C., Palomo A., Van Deventer J.S.J. (2007) Geopolymer technology: the current state of the art. J Mater Sci 2007;42:2917–33.
- Andrei Victor SANDU, Kamarudin HUSSIN, Muhammad Faheem Mohd TAHIR, Mohd Mustafa Al Bakri ABDULLAH, Norshahrizan NORDIN (2016) Utilization of fly ash waste as construction material. International Journal of Conservation Science. ISSN: 2067-533X Volume 7, Issue 1, January-March 2016: 161-166.
- Khairul Nizar Ismail, Kamarudin Hussin and Mohd Sobri Idris (2007) Physical, chemical & Mineralogical Properties of Fly ash. Journal of Nuclear and Related Technology Vol. 4, Special Edition 2007, 47-51
- Michael Thomas (2007). Optimizing the Use of Fly Ash in Concrete; Portland Cement Association.
- Rangan, B. V. (2014). Upcycling Fly Ash into Geopolymer Concrete Products, 3(4). pp.55-60
- A Wardhono (2017). Comparison Study of Class F and Class C Fly Ashes as Cement Replacement Material on Strength Development of Non-Cement Mortar. The 2nd Annual Applied Science and Engineering Conference (AASEC 2017) IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 288 (2017) 012019

- Shafiq, I. (2018) Characterization and properties of bamboo ash replacement in fly ash based geopolymer concrete; Thesis Master of Philosophy University Teknologi Malaysia
- Ibourahema, C., (2008) Characterization of lactic acid bacteria isolated from poultry farms in Senegal. *African Journal of Biotechnology*
- F. Yanagida, Y.S. Chen, and M. Yasaki, (2007) Isolation and characterization of lactic acid bacteria from lakes. *Journal of basic microbiology*, 47(2): p. 184-190
- L. M. Proctor (1997) Nitrogen-fixing, photosynthetic, anaerobic bacteria associated with pelagic copepods. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* 12, no. 2: 105-113,.
- Y. Chen, K. Jeff, H. Weimin, M. Rich, and G. Vik, (2008) Anaerobic yeast fermentation for the production of ethanol in a versatile lab fermentor. *Nature Methods* 5, no. 12,.
- N. Sato, H. Teruo, S. Sugita, and M. Shuya, Some properties of concrete mixed with effective microorganisms and the on-site investigation of the completed structures. In *Proceedings of the 28th International conference, our world in concrete and structures*, 28-29 August, 2003, Singapore, (2003).
- Suresh .G. Patil, Manojkumar (2013) Factors Influencing compressive strength of geopolymer concrete. *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology* Eissn: 2319-1163 | pISSN: 2321-7308.
- M. Albitar, M.S. Mohamed Ali, P. Visintin, M. Drechsler (2018) Durability evaluation of geopolymer and conventional concretes. *Construction and Building Materials* 136 (2017) 374–385
- Chatweera, B. and Lertwattanakruk, P. (2011) Durability of conventional concretes containing black rice husk ash. *Journal of Environmental Management*, Vol. 92, pp. 59-66
- M. W. Hussin, M. A. R. Bhutta, M. Azreen, P. J. Ramadhansyah, J. Mirza. (2015) Performance of blended ash geopolymer concrete at elevated temperatures. *Materials and Structures* (2015) 48:709–720
- Ghasan F.H., Jahangir Mirza, Mohammad Ismail, S.K. Ghosal, Mohd Azreen Mohd Ariffin (2016) Effect of Metakaolin replaced Granulated Blast Furnace Slag on Fresh and Early Strength Properties of Geopolymer Mortar. *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, 20(8), pp.1-10

- Shafiq, I., Azreen, M., & Hussin, M. W. (2017) Sulphuric Acid Resistant of Self Compacted Geopolymer Concrete Containing Slag and Ceramic. MATEC Web of Conferences, 97(4), pp.1-7.
- M. W. Hussin, M. A. R. Bhutta, M. Azreen, P. J. Ramadhansyah, J. Mirza. (2015) Performance of blended ash geopolymer concrete at elevated temperatures. Materials and Structures (2015) 48:709–720
- ASTUTININGSIH Sotya, NURJAYA Dwi Marta, ASHADI Henki Wibowo, SWASTIKA Niken (2010) Durability of Geopolymer Concrete upon Seawater Exposure Advances in Science and Technology Vol. 69 (2010) pp 92-96
- Ahmet Emin Kurtoğlu, Radhwan Alzebaree, Omar Aljumaili, Anıl Niş, Mehmet Eren Gülşan, Ghassan Humur, Abdulkadir Çevik (2018) Mechanical and durability properties of fly ash and slag based geopolymer concrete. Advances in Concrete Construction, Vol. 6, No. 4 (2018) 345-362 ISSN: 2287-5301 (Print), 2287-531X (Online).
- S.S. Jamkar, Y.M. Ghugal and S.V. Patankar (2013) “Effect of Fly ash Fineness on Workability and Compressive strength of Geopolymer Concrete” The Indian Concrete Journal.
- Subhash V. Patankar, Yuwaraj M. Ghugal and Sanjay S. Jamkar (2015) “Mix Design of Fly Ash Based Geopolymer Concrete” Advances in Structural Engineering
- Prakash R. Vora and Urmil V. Dave (2013) “Parametric Studies on Compressive Strength of Geopolymer Concrete” Procedia Engineering 51 (2013) 210 – 219.
- A. Wardhono (2018). The Effect of Sodium Hydroxide Molarity on Strength Development of Non-Cement Class C Fly Ash Geopolymer Mortar. IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 947 (2018) 012001
- Shankar H. Sanni , R. B. Khadiranaikar (2013) Performance of alkaline solutions on grades of geopolymer concrete. International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology, eISSN: 2319-1163 | pISSN: 2321-7308.
- D. Hardjito and B. V. Ranga (2005) Development and properties of low-calcium fly ash geopolymer concrete. Research Report GC 1 Faculty of Engineering Curtin University of Technology Perth, Australia
- Mohd Azreen, Mahmood Mohd Tahir, Warid M. Hussin, Muhammad Aamer Rafique Bhutta (2014) Sulphate Resistance of Geopolymer Concrete Prepared from Blended Waste Fuel Ash Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, © ASCE, ISSN 0899-1561/04014080(6)

- Norsyaza Aqila Abd Rahman and Abdul Rahman Mohd.Sam (2016) Properties of Normal Cement Concrete Containing Effective Microorganism. 1st Proceeding of Civil Engineering Structure and Materials, pp. 305-314, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, ISBN 978-967-0194-73-8.
- Nurul As'shikin Ramli, Abd Rahman Mohd Sam (2018) Effects of Effective Microorganism on Properties of Blended Cement Concrete. Human Sustainability Procedia (INSAN 2018 E-Proceeding) Johor Bahru, Johor, Malaysia, 7 – 8 November 2018
- Muhammad Nura Isa, Magaji Muhammad Garba and Nuruddeen Usman (2015) Durability of Harden Concrete Containing Locally Made Effective Microorganisms Under Different Environmental Conditions. ADRRI JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY pISSN: 2026-674X ISSN-L: 2026-674X VOL. 2, No.6 (1), March, 2015
- Muhammad Nura Isa, Magaji Muhammad Garba and Dauda Dahiru Danwata (2015) Influence of Locally Made Effective Microorganisms on the Compressive Strength of Concrete. World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology International Journal of Civil and Environmental Engineering Vol:9, No:12, 2015
- Noorli Ismail and Hamidah Mohd.Saman (2014) Microstructure Examination and Strength Characteristics of Effective Microbed Cement International Conference on Biological, Civil and Environmental Engineering (BCEE-2014) March 17-18, 2014 Dubai (UAE)
- Noorli Ismail, Hamidah Mohd Saman, Mohd Faizal Mohd Jaafar, and Siti Radziah Abdullah (2017) The Effect of Effective Microorganism (EM) Inclusion to the Setting Time of Microbed Cement Paste. MATEC Web Of Conferences 103, 01022
- Ajay Sharma and Juned Ahmad (2017) Factors affecting compressive strength of geopolymer concrete-A review. International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) e-ISSN: 2395 -0056 Volume: 04 Issue: 04.
- Neville A.M. (2011). Properties of Concrete (5th Edition). California : Pearson
- H. Xu and J. S. J. V. Deventer, "The geo polymerisation of alumino-silicate minerals," International Journal of Mineral Processing, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 247-266, 2000

- A. Palomo, M. W. Grutzeck, and M. T. Blanco (1999) Alkali-activated fly ashes A cement for the future,” *Cement and Concrete Research*, vol. 29(8), pp. 1323-1329
- J. T. Gourley (2003) Geopolymers; opportunities for environmentally friendly construction materials. Paper presented at the Materials 2003 Conference on Adaptive Materials for a Modern Society, Sydney
- Komljenović M., Bascarevic Z., Bradic V. (2010) Mechanical and microstructural properties of alkali-activated fly ash geopolymer. *Journal on Hazardous Material*, 181, pp. 35-42
- Panias, D., Giannopoulou, I. P., and Perraki, T. (2007) Effect of synthesis parameters on the mechanical properties of fly ash-based geopolymers. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, Vol. 301, Nos. 1-3, pp. 246-254
- S. V. Joshi and M. S. Kadu (2012) Role of Alkaline Activator in Development of Eco-friendly Fly Ash Based Geo Polymer Concrete. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Development*, Vol. 3, No. 5, October 2012
- M.I. Abdul Aleem and P.D. Arumairaj (2012) Optimum mix for the geopolymer concrete. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology* Vol. 5 No. 3 (Mar 2012) ISSN: 0974- 6846
- Tomasz Z. Błaszczynski and Maciej R. Król (2017) Alkaline Activator Impact on the Geopolymer Binders. *IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering* 245 (2017) 022036
- Amir Fauzi, Muhd Fadhil Nuruddin, Ahmad B. Malkawi, Mohd Mustafa Al Bakri Abdullah and Bashar S. Mohammed (2017) Effect of Alkaline Solution to Fly Ash Ratio on Geopolymer Mortar Properties. *Key Engineering Materials* Submitted: 2016-10-26 ISSN: 1662-9795, Vol. 733, pp 85-88 Accepted: 2016-11-11
- Weena Lokuge, Aaron Wilson, Chamila Gunasekara, David W. Law, Sujeeva Setunge (2018) Design of fly ash geopolymer concrete mix proportions using Multivariate Adaptive Regression Spline model. *Construction and Building Materials* 166 (2018) 472–481