# POLICY GUIDELINES TO IMPROVE DATA GOVERNANCE IN UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF AGROSCIENCE MALAYSIA: CASE STUDY

### ROHAYU BINTI A. WAHID

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Science (Information Assurance)

Advanced Informatics School, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

May 2016

|                                                                                                                                                             | iii |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
|                                                                                                                                                             |     |
|                                                                                                                                                             |     |
|                                                                                                                                                             |     |
| This research is dedicated to my parents, my family-in-law, my husband and all my friends. I could not gain this success without your guidance and support. | of  |
|                                                                                                                                                             |     |
|                                                                                                                                                             |     |
|                                                                                                                                                             |     |
|                                                                                                                                                             |     |

### 24 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This thesis could not have been accomplished without the assistance of many people whose contributions I gratefully acknowledge. I wholeheartedly thankful to my supervisor, Prof. Dato Dr. Norbik Bashah Idris, who always contribute ideas, give guidance and support from the beginning to the final stage of completing the study. Despite the hurdles and obstacles that I experienced, but with his full support and encouragement, this study can be completed successfully. Thanks also to the management and staff of UCAM for the cooperation provided during the study was conducted, and all lecturer in Advanced Informatics School, UTM who had given me valuable help and advice throughout this research. Lastly, I offer my regards and blessing to all who have supported me.

### ABSTRACT

This research aims to study the current state of data governance and identify the factors influencing it at the University College of Agroscience Malaysia (UCAM). Data governance refers to the overall management of the availability, usability, integrity, and security of the data employed in an organization. An industry best practice and sound data governance program include a governing body, a defined set of procedures, and a plan to execute those procedures. Generally, unless formally addressed, there is an inherent poor data governance in organizations. Particularly at UCAM, apparently there is insufficient allocation of resources to support data governance. There seem to be failures to set up an effective team of ICT Personnel, lack of IT awareness among staff including data security in the workplace and an absence of complete documentation related to Information Security policies. This study will employ mixed method to collect information from users using quantitative method via questionnaires, and qualitative method via interviews. In case any gap is found between the current state and the best industry practice, this work will also propose a guideline to establish the policies needed to address the gap.

#### ABSTRAK

Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengenalpasti keadaan semasa tadbir urus data dan faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhinya di Kolej Universiti Agroscience Malaysia (UCAM). Tadbir urus data merujuk kepada pengurusan keseluruhan ketersediaan, kebolehgunaan, integriti dan keselamatan data yang digunakan dalam sesebuah organisasi. Amalan terbaik industri dan program tadbir urus data patut termasuk badan induk, satu set prosedur, dan rancangan untuk melaksanakan prosedur. Secara umumnya, melainkan jika ditangani secara rasmi, terdapat tidak baik tadbir urus data wujud dalam organisasi. Terutamanya di UCAM, terdapat sumber peruntukan yang tidak mencukupi untuk menyokong tadbir urus data. Terdapat seolah-olah menjadi kegagalan untuk menubuhkan satu pasukan Personel ICT yang berkesan, kurangnya kesedaran IT di kalangan kakitangan termasuk keselamatan data di tempat kerja dan ketiadaan dokumentasi lengkap yang berkaitan dengan dasar-dasar Keselamatan Maklumat. Kajian ini akan menggunakan kaedah campuran untuk mengumpul maklumat daripada pengguna menggunakan kaedah kuantitatif melalui soal selidik dan kaedah kualitatif melalui temu bual. Sekiranya mana-mana jurang didapati antara amalan industri yang terbaik dan keadaan semasa, kajian ini juga akan mencadangkan satu garis panduan untuk mewujudkan dasar-dasar yang diperlukan untuk menangani jurang.



### TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTER |      | PACI<br>58                             |      |
|---------|------|----------------------------------------|------|
|         | DEC  | CLARATION                              | ii   |
|         | DED  | DICATION                               | iii  |
|         | ACI  | KNOWLEDGEMENT                          | iv   |
|         | ABS  | TRACT                                  | v    |
|         | ABS  | TRAK                                   | vi   |
|         | TAE  | BLE OF CONTENTS                        | vii  |
|         | LIST | Γ OF TABLES                            | x    |
|         | LIST | Γ OF FIGURES                           | xii  |
|         | LIST | Γ OF ABBREVIATIONS                     | xiii |
|         | LIST | Γ OF APPENDICES                        | xiv  |
| 1       | INT  | RODUCTION                              | 1    |
|         | 1.1  | Overview                               | 1    |
|         | 1.2  | Background of the Problem              | 2    |
|         | 1.3  | Statement of the Problem               | 5    |
|         | 1.4  | Research Questions                     | 6    |
|         | 1.5  | Objectives of the Study                | 6    |
|         | 1.6  | Scope of the Study                     | 7    |
|         | 1.7  | Significance of the Study              | 8    |
|         | 1.8  | Chapter Summary                        | 9    |
| 2       | LIT  | ERATURE REVIEW                         | 10   |
|         | 2.1  | Introduction                           | 10   |
|         | 2.2  | Definition of Data Governance          | 11   |
|         | 2.3  | Examples of Breaches Due to Poor Data  | 12   |
|         |      | Governance                             |      |
|         | 2.4  | Impacts/Damage/Consequences to the     | 12   |
|         |      | Organizations/Country Due to Poor Data |      |
|         |      | Governance                             |      |
|         | 2.5  | Data Governance Implementation         | 13   |
|         | 2.6  | Best Practice for Data Governance      | 15   |

viii

|    | 2.7 | Factor<br>54 | s to Impro  | ve Data Governance                          | 16 |
|----|-----|--------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------|----|
|    |     | 2.7.1        |             | nce, Risk <mark>and</mark> Compliance Model | 16 |
|    |     | 2.7.2        | Data Gov    | ernance Maturity Model                      | 17 |
|    |     | 2.7.3        | DGI Data    | Governance Model                            | 19 |
|    |     | 2.7.4        | SHIP-ITO    | GM Model                                    | 19 |
|    |     | 2.7.5        | ITGM M      | odel by Ron Speed                           | 20 |
| 37 | 2.8 | Chapt        | er Summar   | у                                           | 22 |
| 3  | RES | EARCI        | н метно     | DDOLOGY                                     | 23 |
|    | 3.1 | Introd       | uction      |                                             | 23 |
|    | 3.2 | Mixed        | Method R    | desearch Design                             | 23 |
|    | 3.3 | Data (       | Collection  |                                             | 24 |
|    |     | 3.3.1        | Quantitat   | ive Method                                  | 24 |
|    | 94  | 3.3.2        | Qualitativ  | ve Method                                   | 24 |
|    | 3.4 | Data A       | Analysis    |                                             | 25 |
|    |     | 3.4.1        | IBM SPS     | S                                           | 25 |
|    |     | 3.4.2        | Manual C    | Coding                                      | 25 |
|    | 3.5 | Resea        | rch Operat  | ional Framework                             | 26 |
|    | 3.6 | Assun        | nptions & I | Limitations                                 | 30 |
|    | 3.7 | Chapt        | er Summar   | у                                           | 30 |
| 4  | RES | ULT A        | ND ANAL     | YSIS                                        | 31 |
|    | 4.1 | Introd       | uction      |                                             | 31 |
|    | 4.2 | Focus        | Group       |                                             | 31 |
|    |     | 4.2.1        | Interview   | rees Characteristic                         | 32 |
|    |     | 4.2.2        | Interview   | Feedback                                    | 33 |
|    | 4.3 | Web S        | Survey      |                                             | 36 |
|    |     | 4.3.1        | Demogra     | phic Analysis                               | 36 |
|    |     |              | 4.3.1.1     | Gender                                      | 36 |
|    |     |              | 4.3.1.2     | Age                                         | 37 |
|    |     |              | 4.3.1.3     | Job Position                                | 38 |
|    |     |              | 4.3.1.4     | Years of Service                            | 40 |
|    |     | 4.3.2        | Descripti   | ve Analysis                                 | 41 |
|    |     |              | 4.3.2.1     | Awareness Level                             | 41 |
|    |     |              | 4.3.2.2     | Ethics Level                                | 44 |

|    |      | $4\frac{2}{73}3$ | Quality Control Level              | 45 |
|----|------|------------------|------------------------------------|----|
|    |      | 4.3.2.4          | Security Level                     | 46 |
|    |      | 4.3.2.5          | Development Level                  | 47 |
|    |      | 4.3.2.6          | Roles of IT Department             | 48 |
|    |      | 4.3.2.7          | Provision of Necessary Resources   | 50 |
|    | 4.4  | Summary          |                                    | 52 |
| 5  | DISC | CUSSION          |                                    | 53 |
|    | 5.1  | Introduction     |                                    | 53 |
|    | 5.2  | Summary of the   | Findings                           | 53 |
|    |      | 5.2.1 Current    | State of Data Governance           | 54 |
|    |      | 5.2.2 Factors (  | Contributing to Current Inadequate | 57 |
|    |      | Data Go          | vernance                           |    |
|    | 5.3  | Guideline to De  | velop Data Governance Policies     | 57 |
| 11 | 5.4  | Chapter Summa    | ry                                 | 59 |
| 6  | CON  | CLUSION          |                                    | 60 |
|    | 6.1  | Introduction     |                                    | 60 |
|    | 6.2  | Contribution of  | this Research                      | 60 |
|    | 6.3  | Limitation       |                                    | 61 |
|    | 6.4  | Future Research  |                                    | 61 |
|    | 6.5  | Concluding Ren   | nark                               | 62 |
|    | List | of References    |                                    | 63 |
|    | Appe | endices          |                                    | 66 |

### LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE                                                | PAGE |
|-----------|------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 3.1       | Phases of Research Operational Framework             | 28   |
| 4.1       | Interviewees Characteristic                          | 32   |
| 4.2       | Key Findings from Interview                          | 34   |
| 4.3       | Gender                                               | 36   |
| 4.4       | Age Group                                            | 37   |
| 4.5       | Job Position                                         | 38   |
| 4.6       | Years of Service                                     | 40   |
| 4.7       | Responses on Awareness Level                         | 42   |
| 4.8       | Relationship between Years of Service and            | 43   |
|           | Awareness Level                                      |      |
| 4.9       | Relationship between Years of Service and            | 44   |
|           | Awareness Level using Chi-Square Tests               |      |
| 4.10      | Responses on Attitude Level                          | 44   |
| 4.11      | Responses on Quality Control Level                   | 45   |
| 4.12      | Responses on Security Level                          | 46   |
| 4.13      | Responses on Development Level                       | 47   |
| 4.14      | Responses on IT Department Contribution              | 48   |
| 4.15      | Relationship between Information Security Policy and | 49   |
|           | Awareness Level                                      |      |
| 4.16      | Responses on University Provision                    | 50   |
| 4.17      | Relationship between Years of Service and Data       | 51   |
|           | System Software Tool                                 |      |

| TABLE NO. | TITLE                                          | PAGE |
|-----------|------------------------------------------------|------|
| 4.18      | Relationship between Years of Service and Data | 51   |
|           | System Software Tool using Chi-Square Tests    |      |
| 5.1       | Guideline to Develop Data Governance Policy in | 58   |
|           | UCAM                                           |      |

## LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO. | TITLE                                 | PAGE |
|------------|---------------------------------------|------|
| 2.1        | Privacy Technical Assistant Center    | 14   |
| 2.2        | Governance, Risk and Compliance Model | 16   |
| 2.3        | Data Governance Maturity Model        | 18   |
| 2.4        | DGI Data Governance Model             | 19   |
| 2.5        | SHIP-ITGM Model                       | 20   |
| 2.6        | ITGM Model by Ron Speed               | 21   |
| 3.1        | Research Operational Framework        | 26   |
| 5.1        | Overall State of Data Governance      | 54   |
| 5.2        | Distribution Profile for each Factor  | 55   |

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

DG Data Governance

MAMPU Malaysian Administrative Modernisation and Management

Planning Unit

SME Small and Medium Enterprise

UCAM University College of Agroscience Malaysia

### 10 LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIXE | TITLE                            | PAGE |
|-----------|----------------------------------|------|
| Α         | High-Impact Incidents            | 67   |
| В         | Respondent's Areas of Expertise  | 69   |
| C         | Transcript Interview             | 73   |
| D         | Research Planning and Scheduling | 75   |

### CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Overview

Data governance refers to the overall management of the availability, usability, integrity, and security of the data employed in an organization. An industry best practice and sound data governance program include a governing body, a defined set of procedures, and a plan to execute those procedures. The subject of Data Governance is important as it will help organization to improve the quality of its information. Data Governance activities include monitoring of the data, maintaining the data and managing the data based on rules and policies at every level of the organization. A high quality data ensures that information derived from it can be trusted and useful.

It is unfortunate that many organizations still fail to realize the strategic value of their ICT assets, treating them just like any other asset such as office furniture, for example. As new areas such as "Big Data" and "Business Intelligence" become more prevalent, many companies are also not taking full benefit of the wealth of information that is stored in their systems. It is against such background that the study on governance of data becomes important, and in this project we have chosen to do a case study on the University College of Agroscience Malaysia (UCAM).

Information security can be expressed in terms of threats, risks and vulnerability (Gangwar et al., 2015). Data security which forms one of the fundamental components of information security concerns issues. Such data storage

personnel recruited by the Department of Human Resources, apart from recruitment, there are also other activities including transfer, termination or dismissal of staff. The management staff that uses and manages data must be aware of sensitive information such as salary data, health record, and financial transactions such as staff travel claims recorded by the Finance Department. For the academics, data governance is expected to cover management of confidential information such as exam scores, question papers, students' grades etc. These concerns must be taken seriously to prevent new problems such as data leakage (Olalere et al., 2015).

### 1.2 Background of the problem

UCAM is a private higher education institution which was promoted in 2014 to the status of a University College which focuses on agriculture. Currently, it has 250 employees consisting of the management and academic, serving a student population of about 3500. UCAM offers Bachelor's Degree, Diploma and Certificate in Agriculture, Business Studies, Computer Science and Social Sciences. It also provides 'in-house' training programs, as part of its core business. In line with the aspiration of "Malaysia towards a developed nation by 2020", UCAM aims to create a generation of academics who contributes ideas in agricultural technology in order to be recognized globally. A research center has been developed to realize that aim. Agricultural technology is very important to improve the socio-economic of smallholders living in rural areas whose income is below RM2,000/month. They now have the opportunity to boost their income up to RM4,000/month through programs such as commercial agriculture offered by UCAM. To champion such initiatives, the UCAM management needs to improve technological capabilities, especially related to ICT which forms the backbone of UCAM academic activities.

Massive growth in information assets has created a major challenge for most organizations (Nardulli et al., 2015). Such assets, typically available in various formats such as text, files/folders, video, email, reports, slides presentations, voice messages (voice mail), memos, and images. The information assets are also found in various media such as CD, hard disk, USB/thumb drives, and organization's server storage.

In the global information environment, the explosion of ICT technologies should be fully exploited by organization, and to achieve that aim, data governance must be managed efficiently. Views are abounding expressed by IT professionals about the challenges of data governance. Data governance best practices should be supported by good tools, high-quality data and internal expertise.

In organization such as UCAM, data security can be at risk if data governance is not well managed. It is obvious that the data stored in department such as Human Resources, Finance and Academic Faculties are confidential and not to be disclosed to unauthorized parties. The work by Aggeliki recommends that IT personnel needs to accept their job role in a good way and should subscribe to the creation of safe communication while encouraging end-users to adopt a good data governance culture (Aggeliki Tsohou et al., 2012).

There are basic characteristics of organizations with a good data governance culture. Any breach or security incidents will be reported directly to the Head of ICT Department. The ICT department in organization such as the one in UCAM is responsible for maintenance of existing computer assets so that they are in good condition and the data stored in them are firmly secured. Management of UCAM for example may engage external parties to provide advisory or consultancy services in data governance. Appointing external parties will help organization to govern data systematically but in terms of data security it may open up risk either intentional or unintentional (Yan et al., 2013).

Data loss is another common problem in many organizations because the organization has no reference guidelines and information security policies in data governance. Especially in the public sector, information security is a line of work or organizational worries that ought to be identified and settled in the state of affairs of the organization's strategic drivers which involve its aims, targets, and purposes (Suhazimah Dzazali and Ali Hussein Zolait, 2012).

One symptom of a poorly administered data governance is when employees perceive that in general information security problems will be managed by the IT department or other functional areas and thus their own fact of complying with a wish or command practices are not critical (John D'Arcy and Gwen Greene, 2014).

How must UCAM address governance of the data which are in various format and which are stored on various media and locations, ie heterogeneous data? In the case of UCAM, some examples of problems in data governance which will affect data security include:

- Data storage personnel which include recruitment of new staff, termination or dismissal of staff by the Department of Human Resources,
- Salary data and staff travel claims recorded by the Department of Finance, and
- Examination marks and grades recorded by the lecturers.

There are a growing number of ethical issues regarding the collection and analyses of educational data (Slade and Prinsloo, 2013). Unintentional neglect can be devastating primary (first-hand source) or secondary (acquired from existing resources) such as data corruption (Benoit et al., 2015), data redundancy (Qtaish and Ahmad, 2015) and duplication of data (Skoogh et al., 2012)

### 3 1.3 Statement of the problem

Based on the background described in Section 1.2, the problem to be researched in this project is that, generally, the current observations in ICT usage shows lack of availability of data. There is currently insufficient allocation of resources by the management UCAM to procure appropriate tools such as software to support data governance. At the same time management has been calling for good data governance practices to all employees.

Another problem relates to the apparent failure by the management to set up a team of ICT Personnel, which is capable and responsible for advising on the overall development of ICT in the UCAM. These include areas such as resources, policies and maintenance.

The next problem in this study is an apparent lack of IT awareness among staff, including data security in the workplace. Backup data is done by the ICT department as well as by outsiders / vendor appointed. Data may be lost due to lack of IT awareness among staff. There exists an absence/lack of importance of IT awareness within an organization to avoid data loss or destruction of data.

The final issue that has been observed prior to this study is the absence of a complete documentation related to Information Security policies. For example, the use of IT resources or how to use a personal computer securely using such as passwords to access the computer or emails, what must a user do upon a virus attack on his computer, unstable internet speed and internet downtime for lecturers to update data on student scores and how to enter sensitive information such as salary data of employees every month.

### 1.4 Research Questions

The research questions are listed down below:

- i. What is the current state of data governance in UCAM?
- ii. What are the factors which have contributed to the current state of data governance in UCAM?
- iii. What are the efforts which can be done to address the shortcomings which will improve data governance among staff in UCAM?
- iv. What are the relevant Information Security policies to be proposed for better data governance in UCAM?

### 1.5 Objectives of Study

This study has four major objectives:

- i. To identify current state of data governance in UCAM.
- To identify the factors which contribute to the current state of data governance in UCAM.
- To identify shortcomings within the current state of data governance in UCAM.
- iv. To propose a guideline to develop appropriate policies this will address the current shortcomings to improve data governance in UCAM.

### 1.6 Scope of Study

### The scope of this study covers the following:

- Location: We plan to cover only the University College of Malaysia Agroscience. However, within the UCAM itself, the study will include data governance in all departments addressing a total of 250 employees from:
  - a. The Department of Human Resource Management
  - b. The Department of Finance
  - c. The Academic Faculties, namely:
    - i. Faculty of Agro Science,
    - ii. Faculty of Business Management,
    - iii. Faculty of Computer Science and
    - iv. Faculty of Social Science.
- Subject area: Our work will cover issues and factors relating to data governance only in the UCAM.
- iii. Proposal for improvement: Our proposal will only focus on guidelines for policy development, although there are other areas as well to be covered in such a proposal.

### 1.7 Significance of Study

The study will be significant because it will provide information and awareness about the current state of data governance and its weaknesses so that proper measures can be taken. More significantly, the study will also give direct benefits to the primary stake-holders of UCAM which consist of the highest management, its staff, its students and the public at large which engages and interact with UCAM.

To the highest management of UCAM, the finding from this study is particularly important to provide a benchmark on the state of its data governance. The findings should expose what are the problematic areas, and what are the factors that contribute to the problems. Consequently, the highest management will then be able to aggressively follow-up on the findings and recommendations to improve the situation. The information obtained as a result of this study can assist management in preparing UCAM, particularly its policy documents related to data governance.

To the staff of UCAM which consists of the non-academic as well academic, the findings will expose the activities, set of behavior and attitudes which contribute to the current state of data governance. The staff must realize and eventually be aware that in discharging their responsibilities which nowadays inevitably uses ICT, issues such as data integrity, confidentiality and availability must be treated seriously. They must be exposed to the causes of vulnerability in data governance among staff of all departments so that proper steps can be taken. After all, the staffs constitute the most important group that uses and continuously process all kinds of classified data in UCAM.

The student community forms the biggest group of ICT users in UCAM. Although they may not be the ones administering data governance, as the biggest user group their actions will easily impact data governance culture in UCAM. Rogue users, unethical hackers and script kiddies may easily be found among the students who may want to test their skills, a few perhaps in the unguided way. As such, there must be activities which can be planned but targeted specifically to the student community, as a result of the findings of this study. It is also important to expose

them early to the issues of data governance before they join the industry or the work force.

Finally, to the public at large, the study is significant as it gives an indication of how they would be expected to engage and interact with UCAM. External parties, consultants, contract workers and even visitors to UCAM would value the importance of how information is managed in UCAM. Consequently, this will add values in terms of the quality and reliability of information which are derived from data which are well managed and governed in UCAM. Above all, the well managed data governance will lend credence and improve the reputation of UCAM to the public.

### 1.8 Chapter Summary

In summary, we first presented an overview of the issue of data governance and led on to select UCAM as the organization to do this case study. Next, the background of the problem in data governance in UCAM is discussed. We then gave formal statement of the problem to be solved in this research, followed by the research questions, objectives and scope of this work. The chapter closes with a discussion on the expected significance of this work.

### REFERENCES

Abhishek Narain Singh, M.P. Gupta, Amitabh Ojha, 2014. Identifying factors of "organizational information security management." J. Enterp. Inf. Manag. 27, 644-667. doi:10.1108/JEIM-07-2013-0052

Aggeliki Tsohou, Maria Karyda, Spyros Kokolakis, Evangelos Kiountouzis, 2012. Analyzing trajectories of information security awareness. Inf. Technol. People 25, 327–352. doi:10.1108/09593841211254358

Baruh, L., Popescu, M., 2015. Big data analytics and the limits of privacy selfmanagement. New Media Soc. 1461444815614001.

Benoit, 75 Raina, S.K., Robert, Y., 2015. Efficient checkpoint/verification patterns. Int. J. High Perform. Comput. Appl. doi:10.1177/1094342015594531

Bergin, D.L., 2015. Cyber-attack and defense simulation framework. J. Def. Model. 383-392. Simul. Appl. Methodol. Technol. 12. doi:10.1 82 1548512915593528

Berita Harian, 2015. Serangan siber senjata baharu ancam keselamatan negara Document]. BHOnline. http://www.bharian.com.my/node/76416. (accessed 12.23.15).

Coleman, D.W., Hughes, A.A., Perry, W.D., 2009. The Role of Data Governance to Relieve Information Sharing Impairments in the Federal Government. IEEE, pp. 267-271. doi:10.1109/CSIE.2009.630

Conaway, C., Keesler, V., Schwartz, N., 2015. What Research Do State Education

Agencies Really Need? The Promise and Limitations of State Longitudinal Data Systems. 56 c. Eval. Policy Anal. 37, 16S–28S.

Creswell, J.W., 2003. Research design: qualitative, quantitative, and mixed method approaches. Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks, Calif.

Denscombe, M., 2008. Communities of Practice: A Research Paradigm for the 22 ked Methods Approach. J. Mix. Methods Res. 2, 270-283. doi:10.1177/1558689808316807

Di Salvo, P., Negro, G., 2015. Framing Edward Snowden: A comparative analysis of four newspapers in China, United Kingdom and United States. Journalism 146488491 2095472.

Elizabeth Lomas, 2010. Information governance: information security and access within 182-198. UK context. Rec. Manag. J. 20, doi:10.1108 29565691011064322

Ernst & Young's, 2011. Insights on governance, risk and compliance [ONLINE] http://www.ey.com/Publication/vwLUAssets/EY Data Loss Prevention/\$FI LE/EY D281 Loss Prevention.pdf.

Forbes, 2015. The Big Data Breaches of 2014 [WWW Document]. URL http://www.forbes.com/sites/moneybuilder/2015/01/13/the-big-databreaches-of-2014/

Foster, A., 2015. Initiatives. Bus. Inf. Rev. 32, 130-144. doi:10.1177/0266382115590505

secure, 2014. Threat Report 2014 [ONLINE] Available at: https://www.fsecure.com/documents/996508/1030743/Threat Report H1\_2014.pdf. Secure Corporation.

- ာ
- Gangwar, H., Date, H., Ramaswamy, R., 2015. Developing a Cloud-Computing Adoption Framework. Glob. Bus. Rev. 16, 632–651. doi:10.1177/0972150915581108
- Hagmann, J., 2013. Information governance beyond the buzz. Rec. Manag. J. 23, 228–240. doi:10.1108/RMJ-04-2013-0008
- Hulme, T., 2012. Information Governance: Sharing the IBM approach. Bus. Inf. Rev. 29, 921 04. doi:10.1177/0266382112449221
- IBM, 2007. IBM Data Governance Council Maturity Model [ONLINE] Available at: https://www-
- 935.ibm.com/services/uk/cio/pdf/leverage\_wp\_data\_gov\_council\_maturity\_
- 23 model.pdf.
- Imperial, M.T., 2005. Using Collaboration as a Governance Strategy: Lessons From Six Watershed Management Programs. Adm. Soc. 37, 281–320. doi:10.11 660095399705276111
- James, R., 2014. Out-of-the-box: New, better, different 25 years on. Bus. Inf. Rev. 31, 212–215. doi:10.1177/0266382114564268
- Janahi, L., Griffiths, M., Al-Ammal, 64 2015. A conceptual model for IT governance in public sectors, in: 2015 Fourth International Conference on Future Gene 55 on Communication Technology (FGCT). Presented at the 2015 Fourth International Conference on Future Generation Communication Technology (FGCT), pp. 1–9. doi:10.1109/FGCT.2015.7300242
- John D'Arcy, Gwen Greene, 2014. Security culture and the employment relationship as vers of employees' security compliance. Inf. Manag. Comput. Secur. 22, 474–489. doi:10.1108/IMCS-08-2013-0057
- Kher, V., 2007. Secure Authorization and Accounting for Networked Storage.ProQuest.
- Lopez, R.H., 2012. Information Data Security Specialists' and Business Leaders' Experiences Regarding Communication Challenges. WALDEN UNIVERSITY.
- McKim, C.A., 2015. The Value of Mixed Methods Research: A Mixed Methods Study. J. Mix. Methods Res. doi:10.1177/1558689815607096
- Mosley, M., Brackett, M.H., Earley, S., Henderson, D., Data Administration Management Association (Eds.), 2010. The DAMA guide to the data management body of knowledge: (DAMA-DMBOK Guide), 1st ed. ed. Technics Publications, Bradley Beach, New Jersey.
- Nardulli, P.F., Althaus, S.L., Hayes, M., 2015. A Progressive Supervised-learning Approach to Generating Rich Civil Strife Data. Sociol. Methodol. 45, 148–183. doi:10.1177/0081175015581378
- Olalere, M., Abdullah, M.T., Mahmod, R., Abdullah, A., 2015. A Review of Bring Y30 Own Device on Security Issues. SAGE Open 5, 2158244015580372.
- PTAC, 2011. Data Governance and Stewardship [ONLINE] Available at: http://ptac.ed.gov/sites/default/files/issue-brief-data-governance-and-stewardship.pdf.
- Qtaish, A., Ahmad, K., 2015. Model mapping approaches for XML documents: A review. J. Inf. Sci. 41, 444–466. doi:10.1177/0165551515579995
- Skoogh, A., Johansson, B., Stahre, J., 2012. Automated input data management: evaluation of a concept for reduced time consumption in discrete event simulation. SIMULATION 88, 1279–1293. doi:10.1177/0037549712443404
- Slade, S., Prinsloo, P., 2013. Learning analytics ethical issues and dilemmas. Am. Behav. Sci. 57, 1510–1529.

- SOPHOS, 2013. Security Threat Report [ONLINE] Available at: https://msisac.cisecurity.org/whitepaper/documents/3.pdf. SOPHOS.
- Spears, P.D., 2013. Education and the degree of data security (D.B.A.). Capella University, United States -- Minnesota.
- Speed, R., 2011. 11v5-IT-Governance-and-the-Cloud-Principles-and-Practice-forverning-Adoption-of-Cloud-Computing.pdf [WWW Document]. URL http://www.isaca.org/Journal/archives/2011/Volume-5/Documents/11v5-IT-Governance-and-the-Cloud-Principles-and-Practice-for-Governing-Adoptionof-Cloud-Computing.pdf (accessed 6.15.16).
- Suhazimah Dzazali, Ali Hussein Zolait, 2012. Assessment of information security maturity. J. Syst. Inf. Technol. 14, 23–57. doi:10.1108/13287261211221128
- Sun, H., 2011. Enterprise Information Management:Best Practices in Data Governance [ONLINE] Available at: oea-best-practices-data-gov-400760.pdf. 2
- Sushma Mishra, 2015. Organizational objectives for information security governance: a value focused assessment. Inf. Comput. Secur. 23, 122–144. doi:10.1108/ICS-02-20 12 016
- The Wall Street Journal, 2013. Standard Chartered's Private Bank Client Data Stolen in Singapore WSJ.html [WWW Document]. Available At.
- THEDRUM, 2015. Morrisons to be sued by 2,000 staff over data leak \_ The Drum.html [WWW Document]. Available At. URL http://www.thedrum.com/news/2015/10/27/morrisons-be-sued-2000-staff-over-036-leak
- Thomas, G., 2014. The DGI Data Governance Framework [ONLINE] Available at: http://www.datagovernance.com/wp59 htent/uploads/2014/11/dgi framework.pdf.
- USM, 2011. Dasar Teknologi Maklumat Dan Komunikasi [ONLINE] Availabe at: http://www.ppkt.eng.usm.my/new/images/pdf/DasarTeknologiMaklumatDan KomunikasiUsmFinal.pdf.
- Utusan, 2014. Sektor PKS diminta lindungi keselamatan data [WWW Document].

  Utusan Online. URL

  http://ww1.utusan.com.my/utusan/Ekonomi/20140203/ek\_02/Sektor-PKS
  diminta-lindungi-keselamatan-data (accessed 12.23.15).
- Yan, G., Xue, M., Xu, Z., 2013. Disposal of waste computer hard disk drive: data destruction and resources recycling. Waste Manag. Res. 31, 559–567.