Original Research

Check for updates



SAGE Open January-March 2020: I–I3 © The Author(s) 2020 DOI: I0.1177/2158244020902094 journals.sagepub.com/home/sgo



Ali Salman Hummadi ¹, Seriaznita Binti Mat Said², Rafi' M. Hussein³, Ahmed Abdulateef Sabti ⁴, and Huda Abed Ali Hattab⁵

Abstract

Translators of the Holy Quran confront many quandaries in their attempt to transfer the Qur'anic verses from Arabic into English. One of these quandaries is how to rhetorically communicate the intended meanings (implicatures) of the prepositional phrases in the Holy Qur'an. The translation of Arabic prepositional phrases in the Holy Qur'an as a source text (ST) may lead, in some Qur'anic verses, to a rhetorical loss in communicating their implicature in the target text (TT). That implicature or implicitly communicated meaning other than the explicature is the one intended to be expressed and required to be faithfully translated. In rendering the preposition into the target language (TL), translators bring into home only the explicitly stated meaning unaware of the implicitly stated meaning created as a result of the application of this specific rhetorical device. This study investigates the problem of the rhetorical loss encountered in the translation of prepositional phrases of the Qur'anic verses and identifies the cause of this problem. It also attempts to suggest a mechanism that is, to some extent, helpful and insightful in coping with the difficulties of translating Arabic prepositional phrases in Qur'anic verses. This research adopts a descriptive qualitative content analysis of the Qur'anic verses and their English translations that are relevant to the focus of the research. The source of failure of the English translations of the verses in conveying the rhetorical meanings of prepositional phrases has been identified in terms of the Relevance Theory and the distinction between explicature and implicature of these phrases. The study concludes that meaning equivalence in translation requires translators to be aware of not only the explicitly stated meanings of prepositions but the implicitly communicated ones as well which are recoverable through referring to Arabic heritage resources and interpretation books dedicated to exploring the rhetorical purposes of prepositions alternation in Qur'anic verses. The results of the analysis and the new suggested mechanism have been verified by an Arabic language and Qur'anic sciences expert who is a proficient speaker of English as well.

Keywords

rhetorical loss, relevance theory, implicature, explicature

Introduction

Translation, in general, is a process of transferring a message from the source language (SL) into the target language (TL). In view of this definition, translation, from its inception, has been a form of communicating thoughts among different peoples, languages, and cultures (Imre, 2012; Sadiq, 2008). Steiner (1998) endorses the notion of viewing translation as a means of human communication whereby he states that "human communication equals translation" and "a study of translation is a study of languages" (p. 49). Torop (2008) argues that translators work at removing the boundaries among languages, cultures, and communities. In their contributions to render a text from one language into another, they are harbored a position between the poles of specificity and

adaptation manifested in the skills of their translational activities. In this process, the translator renders a message of the source text (ST) and encodes it into target text (TT) in such a communicative way that meanings and intended

¹Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

²Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

³AL-Farahidi University, Baghdad, Iraq

⁴Al Nisour University College, Baghdad, Iraq

⁵University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

Corresponding Author:

Ali Salman Hummadi, Language Academy, Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Jalan Sultan Yahya Petra, Kuala Lumpur 54100, Malaysia.

Email: alialanish 1977@gmail.com

effects inherited in the SL are to be accurately sold to readers of the TT. Translation, in this sense, is a means of reproducing the content of the original text using a TL.

Insofar as the translation of the Holy Qur'an is concerned, Morris (2000) asserts that the age we live in now accentuates the importance and demand for renditions of the Qur'an especially for non-Arab Muslims where the need for Qur'an translations has turned to be far greater than any past time. However, translating a sacred scripture or text as the Holy Our'an is often beset by many quandaries and complications that may arise as a consequence of the nuances that exist between the two languages and cultures (Abdul-Raof, 2013; Ali & Ahmed, 2006). The word of Allah, the Qur'an, cannot be reproduced by the word of man, and, thus, translations of Our'an often explicitly declare that they are not translations of the Qur'an but of its meanings (Elimam, 2013). One of the quandaries encountered in translating the Holy Qur'an into English is the rhetorical meaning loss that is expected to occur as a result of the translators' failure to bring into home (TL audience) the appropriate required equivalence. Equivalence is a key concept in translation theories as it operates on different levels of language: linguistic, cultural, stylistic, semantic, structural, effect (rhetorical), communicative, and functional (Adab, 1996; Baker, 1992; Vinay & Darbelnet, 1995). These types of equivalence are dramatically underachieved or completely not achieved due to the failure in rendering Qur'an-bound rhetorical features, which pose a serious challenge for translators, or the real lack of the required knowledge of the fundamental characteristics of Arabic language and the Holy Qur'an. The view of the grave loss of rhetorical communication confronted when translating the Qur'an is rightly pointed out by Abdul-Raof (2004). Thus, advocating any approach in translating the Qur'an without being familiarized with Qur'anic variegated rhetorical characteristics will lead to distorting the message underlying the SL text, thus deteriorating the poles of both ST informativity and ST intentionality (Abdul-Raof, 2005; Elimam, 2013). Mason (1998), as reiterated by Abdul-Raof (2005), attributes the rhetorical loss in the TL to the distinct rhetorical and semiotic norms of the SL. Thus, style and meaning are intimately entangled into one another specifically in translating the religious texts because the former contributes to or even creates the latter (Adab, 1996). The Holy Qur'an with its specific characterization of composition and style of Arabic spells a unique effect on listeners and readers and forms a brilliant linguistic, religious, and moral portrait that constitutes minefield of complications in translating it between two linguistically and culturally incongruous languages such Arabic and English. Abdelaal and Rashid (2015) emphasize that because of the intricate boundaries between languages, translators encounter the dilemma of losing meaning in their TTs.

While translators and scholars agree to a certain extent that it is often possible to achieve a fairly good degree of semantic equivalence across texts of two languages such as

Arabic and English (Abdul-Raof, 2013; Gutt, 1991), the same is proved difficult of rhetorical equivalence, which often results from the use of unique linguistic and rhetorical Qur'anic features such as the phenomenon of the prepositions alternation in Qur'anic verses. Meantime, prepositions or prepositional phrases, as one of the most distinctive aspects of Qur'anic discourse, have received little systematic attention from scholars interested in investigating how their rhetorical imports have been rendered into English. Alternation of prepositions in Holy Qur'an entails that the preposition used in the Qur'anic verse communicates one superficial assumption that is explicitly stated in the verse, and another intended assumption that is pragmatically inferred as a result of this alternation. As alternation of prepositions in Qur'anic verses is one of the Qur'an-bound linguistic characteristics and one of the frequent rhetorical devices, the translation of prepositional phrases into English will pose a major problem pertaining to the loss of their implicatures or rhetorical purposes. Non-Arab Muslim translators may commit mistranslation as a result of their lack of the deep knowledge of the potential of Arabic prepositions of alternating with one another in the Holy Qur'an for rhetorical functions. So, one aim of the present research is to address the rhetorical loss in the English translation of the verses containing phrases with the prepositions alternation as a rhetorical device in the Holy Qur'an. A second aim is to examine the source or cause of the identified rhetorical loss. The study also attempts to find a new modest mechanism that is helpful in abridging the gap of the meaning loss encountered in rendering verses with prepositions alternation.

Arabic Language and Prepositions of the Holy Qur'an

Qur'an-bound rhetoric-linguistic norms form a specific genre in its own right that is alien to languages and cultures other than Arabic (Abdul-Raof, 2005). Dkhissi (2018) goes in line with this notion stating that "the Qur'anic structures are so selective in that Arab grammarians categorize the structure of their language as Qur'anic Arabic and non-Qur'anic Arabic" (p. 43). Abdul-Raof (2005) goes further to refer to Our'anic pragma-linguistic conventions as constituting a specific culture that is dramatically perplexing to its interpreters and translators. In the context of Arabic language, it has semantic and rhetorical flexibility, whether at the level of words or at the level of structures. On the contrary, Arabic language represents a major linguistic challenge to any attempt to understand the Holy Qur'an where this is a complex task to be rendered unless a deep knowledge of those linguistic characteristics is mastered. Prepositions are one of the rhetoric-linguistic devices that establish the Qur'anic style and discourse. Thus, one of the challenges that the language of the Holy Qur'an poses is how to properly render the rhetorical meanings (implicatures) of prepositions mentioned in Qur'anic verses.

In the Arabic language, the word that connects structures before and after is called harf al-Jarr. In English, it is called a preposition. In general, a preposition is a word that connects two entities; one of them is represented by the complement of the preposition (Quirk & Greenbaum, 1989). In Arabic, Ibn Jinni points out that a preposition is defined as an entity referring to meaning obtained in relation to the context that precedes and follows it (cited in Al-Attiya, 2008). Ibn Ilsiraj says that Arabic prepositions have fundamental functions in the structure of the Arabic language. Basically, prepositions are important to build the semantic structure of the sentence in Arabic where prepositions link the words of the sentence to each other to show their meanings. Prepositions are a means to link the meanings of the verbs that precede them and the nouns (objects) that follow them. In other words, a preposition in Arabic works as a bridge by which the verb passes to its object especially when verbs are intransitive (cited in Al-Attiya, 2008). In this way, "the genitive noun that follows the preposition is construed as an object of the verb literally, virtually but not actually. And this is one of the ways in which the intransitive verb becomes transitive and the mono-transitive becomes ditransitive" (Nasser, 2013, p. 68). So, like English, Arabic verbs are of two types: transitive and intransitive. A transitive verb is one that requires to be followed by a noun which is object, while an intransitive verb is one that does not require to be followed by an object. Transitivity, in the Arabic language, means that some verbs are linked to their objects through prepositions, even if these verbs are intransitive. The preposition, in Arabic, is the means by which the verb passes to its object.

The two languages, Arabic and English, belong to two different families. While English stems from Germanic languages, Arabic belongs to Semitic languages (Alhaj, 2015/2016). That will definitely differentiate the sub-systems and lead to very different linguistic structures of these two languages (Almahammed, 2016). This significant distinction between Arabic and English would lead translators and speakers of English to commit errors of transferring prepositions in Qur'anic verses from Arabic into English as they lack the required knowledge of the use of prepositions in the Holy Qur'an. The Arabic of the Holy Qur'an has some very unique characteristics that any attempt of translating it into another language is bound to fail. The meaning and use of Arabic prepositions in Qur'anic verses is one of the frequent rhetorical characteristics that pose complications to translators in their efforts to accommodate the TT and not betraying the ST.

The use of prepositions in Arabic language in general and Qur'anic discourse in particular reflects various explicit and implicit effects of meaning (Khan & Ali, 2017; Mat & bin Nokman, 2016). They are deemed to be a complex issue due to their flexible faculty of alternating with one another for rhetorical purposes where each preposition has a specific central meaning not logically altered with another unless it communicates more than what is said (implicature;

Al-Batliosy, 1996; Al-Darweesh, 2003; Hassan, 2004). Prepositions alternation and the rhetorical use of Arabic prepositions in the Holy Quran have been studied by earlier researchers. Esseesy (2010), in his book, uses the concept of grammaticalization to widely "expand the prepositional repertoire of Arabic." Mat (2014) examines the functional aspects of prepositions in the Holy Qur'an, indicating that the lexical meaning alone is not enough to bring into Malay their real meanings inherited in Qur'anic verses. Hummadi (2016) examines the influence of metaphor and metonymy in the polysemy of the Arabic prepositions in the Holy Qur'an, concluding that, because of metaphor and metonymy, prepositions undergo extension patterns from their basic meanings in Arabic language to their new meanings experienced in some Qur'anic verses. Mat and bin Nokman (2016) inves-على (in) and في tigates the syntax-semantics of prepositions فى (on/over) in the Holy Qur'an restricted to partial phrase ever discussed by Ibn Athir and in terms على الهدى and الضلال of Arabic rhetoric (Balagha). However, little attention has been given, so far, to explore how and why rendering prepositional phrases of some Qur'anic verses into English causes a rhetorical loss of meaning—a gap attracting the interests of researchers in different disciplines.

Relevance Theory and Translation

Relevance theory has emerged from the field of cognitive pragmatics that accounts to the contextual and inferential aspects of language communication, namely, the relationship between what is explicitly stated in language (explicature) and what is implicitly communicated (implicature) (Jobes, 2007). According to Wilson and Sperber (2004), explicit content (explicature) can be considered as a complement of Gricean notion of implicit content (implicature) which is theoretically underpinned to mean that expressing and recognizing intentions is the fundamental feature of any human communication. Haugh (2002) argues that by introducing the notion of explicature, Sperser and Wilson attempt to claim that pragmatic inferences contribute not only to what is implicated but to what is explicated as well. For Grice, explicitly stated meaning is a group of decoded assumptions and, reversely, implicitly stated meaning is a group of inferred assumptions. In contrast, Sperber and Wilson (1995) argue that no assumption can simply be decoded without elements of inference. They hold that to better understand the utterance meaning within the relevance-theoretic account, two important considerations are to be taken into account. The first one is between the linguistically decoded meaning and pragmatically inferred meaning. The second one involves the two types of propositions communicated by the speaker: explicature and implicature. Sperber and Wilson (1995) define explicature and implicature as follows:

An assumption communicated by an utterance U is explicit [hence an "explicature"] if and only if it is a development of a logical form encoded by U.

An assumption communicated by U which is not explicit is implicit. [hence an "implicature"]. (p. 182)

Carston (2000, p. 12) expands definitions of explicature and implicature through displaying that explicature

is a propositional form communicated by an utterance which is pragmatically constructed on the basis of the propositional schema or template (logical form) that the utterance encodes; its content is an amalgam of linguistically decoded material and pragmatically inferred material,

and implicature is "any other propositional form communicated by an utterance, its content consists of wholly pragmatically inferred matter." Hence, Sperber and Wilson (1995) conclude that "any assumption communicated which is not explicit must be implicit, and thus must be an implicature" (p. 182).

In view of the distinction between explicature and implicature of the relevance theory, the connection between the linguistic structure of the message and its rhetorical interpretation requires to be explored. Haugh (2002) states that theorists such as Carston and Sperber & Wilson working in relevance theory emphasize that "conceptual content of implicatures must be wholly inferred" and others, as Sperber & Wilson and Papafragou, add that for implicatures to be inferred, "they must be intended by the speaker, and understood by the hearer as intended" (p. 120). Based on this, Sperber and Wilson (1995), as reiterated by Al-Jarrah et al. (2018), point out that any verbal message reflects two aspects of intentions. One of them is the informative intention, which encompasses all possible interpretations that are contextually justifiable. These include the linguistically decoded meanings. The second is the communicative intention that involves the process of conveying the intended meaning (implicature) that the SL producer really intends to express. In translation, implicature can be recognized as a level of equivalence between an ST and a TT where effect (rhetorical) equivalence represents the seventh kind in Baker's (2005) theory of translation. In Wilson and Sperber's (2012) terms, the translator should look for the "fuzzier speaker's meanings, consisting not only of what was said, but also of what was implicated" (p. 1).

Relevance theory is concerned with language communication. In Gutt's (1991) point of view, translation is a special form of communication. Thus, instead of merely concentrating on encoding and decoding of utterances, relevance theory weights much importance to the inference from ostensive-linguistic clues. In relevance-theoretic terms, Gutt (1991) asserts that implicitly stated meanings are not usually conveyed explicitly without some (structure) distortion as explication often narrows the range of the intended meanings conveyed. Wilson and Sperber (2004) view that for communication to be appropriately communicated, there will be a need for a stimulus. Knowing of the speakers' intention to pick out the most relevant stimuli in hearers' environment

and guide them to maximize their relevance, speakers are required to produce a stimulus which is likely to attract readers' attention, to prompt their retrieval of certain contextual assumptions, and to project them toward an intended conclusion (implicitly communicated meaning). This type of ostensive-inferential communication "is not just a matter of intending to affect the thoughts of an audience; it is a matter of getting them to recognise that one has this intention" (Wilson & Sperber, 2004, p. 611). Jobes (2007), inspired by Wilson and Sperber (2004), argues that this inferential model of connecting meanings to words takes translation seriously as a form of human communication, and assumes that

a communicator provides evidence of her intention to convey a certain meaning, which is inferred by the audience on the basis of the evidence provided. An utterance is, of course, a linguistically coded piece of evidence, so that verbal comprehension involves an element of decoding. However, the decoded linguistic meaning is just one of the inputs to a non-demonstrative inference process which yields an interpretation of the speaker's meaning. (p. 607)

Method

Data Collection

The context for this study is the Holy Qur'an. In this research, some Qur'anic verses related to the problem statement constitute the data of analysis. In view of the research objectives, the study adopts a purposive sampling where the selection of the English translations of the Qur'anic verses focuses on those samples that demonstrate rhetorical loss of meaning. The translated verses were extracted from the works of different non-Arab Muslim translators so as to ensure the generalizability principles of the resulting findings. These translations have been collected from A. Y. Ali's (1938/1968) work of The Holy Our 'an: Text, Translation and Commentary, Mamdouk Pickthall's (1956) work of The Meaning of the Glorious Koran, and Muhammad Taqi-ud-Din Al-Hilali and Muhammad Mohsin Khan's (1984) work of Translation of the Meanings of the Noble Ouran in the English Language. Insofar as data collection procedures are concerned, Qur'anic verses and their rhetorical interpretations in the interpretation and Arabic heritage books were identified followed by identifying the matching translation of these verses in different Qur'an translation works. After that, the study initiates to make a comparison of the rhetorical meanings inherited in the Qur'anic verses and demonstrated in the interpretation books and their translated versions in an attempt to examine the rhetorical loss of meaning and its underlying cause.

Data Analysis

A descriptive qualitative content analysis was adopted in this research to examine qualitatively the exegetic content of the Qur'anic verses and the equivalence of their English

Table I. A Qur'anic Verse With Rhetorical Loss.

No.	Qur'anic verse	Transliteration	Translator	English version
I	أَلَمْ يَعْلَمُواْ أَنَّ اللَّهَ هُوَ يَقْبَلُ التَّوْبَةَ عَنْ عِبَادِهِ At-Tawba—104	Alam yaAAlamoo anna Allaha huwa yaqbalu alttawbata AAan AAibadihi	Abdullah Yusuf Ali	"Know they not that Allah doth accept repentance from His votaries"
		-	Mamdouk Pickthall	"Know they not that Allah is He Who accepteth repentance from His bondmen"
			Muhammad Taqi-ud-Din Al-Hilali and Muhammad Mohsin Khan	"Know they not that Allah accepts repentance from His slaves"

translations. Qualitative content analysis is considered one of different qualitative methods currently used for analyzing data and interpreting its meaning. Qualitative content analysis, as a research method, "represents a systematic and objective means of describing . . . phenomena" (Elo et al., 2014, p. 1). Qualitative research, in general, "contributes to an understanding of the human condition in different contexts and of a perceived situation" (Bengtsson, 2016, p. 8). Pertinent to the focus of the current research, the research consulted interpretation books such as Arabic exegesis and heritage books to uncover the authentic interpretations of the verses under examination and to identify the rhetorical losses of meanings in their English translations. For purposes of trustworthiness, the rhetorical loss in the translation of prepositional phrases of the Qur'anic verses and the meanings of their new translated versions were verified by an Arabic language expert who is well-qualified in Qur'anic sciences and had mastered English as well.

Results and Discussion

This section is devoted to analyze in depth some examples of Qur'anic verses related to the problem statement of the current study. Each example of Qur'anic verse and its translated and transliterated versions are arranged within a table. Table 1 presents Example 1.

Translating the Holy Qur'an from Arabic as an SL into English as TL is accompanied by many linguistic problematic issues. The alternation of prepositions in Qur'anic verses is one of the big problems encountered by translators in rendering the intended meaning (pragmatically inferred assumptions) of the verse. In the above Qur'anic verse, Ali, Pickthall, and Al-Hilali & Khan adopted literal approach in rendering the preposition (\circ) into English. Their translations of the verse in general and its preposition in particular comprised only the explicitly stated meaning (explicature) of the verse. However, the translations are not communicative in the sense that they never made a reference to the rhetorical meaning inherited in the verse due to the alternation of the prepositions (\circ) and (\circ). According to the structure of Arabic, the verb (\circ)

preposition (من). In Ali, Pickthall, and Al-Hilali & Khan's English translations, the verb of the target utterance passed to its object with the preposition (from—نب) which causes a loss of rhetorical assumption communicated in virtue of this Qur'an-bound pragma-linguistic characteristic, alternation of prepositions in the Holy Qur'an. In view of the ostensivelinguistic clue demonstrated by alternating (عن) with (عن) in the verse, and based on Gutt's (1991) view of translation as an inferential process of verbal communication closely related to the brain mechanism, there is an implicature or rhetorical assumption inherited in the Qur'anic verse which is needed to pragmatically infer it. The ostensive-inferential communication intended by the evidence provided is the notice that Almighty not only accepts His slaves' sincere repentance and their good deeds which is explicitly communicated by the meaning of the preposition (from—نب but forgives their earlier sins and erases them completely to be pure again (Al-Kudari, 1989; Al-Shafi'I, 1994). This contextual and inferential aspect of communication, being a powerful rhetorical assumption and more communicative than informative, has been conveyed by the use of the preposition (عن) which has a central meaning of "passing away from" and "being out of." The loss of meaning that is not rendered in the translated verses as a result of the literal translation of the verse in general and the preposition in particular is the implicature of erasing all the slaves' earlier sins besides the explicature of accepting their sincere repentance.

A second example of a Qur'anic verse with rhetorical loss is shown in Table 2.

Again, in the Qur'anic verse above, Ali, Pickthall and Al-Hilali & Khan did not recognize the communicative linguistic stimulus or the act of ostensive communication provided by the verb of the verse which passes to its object with the preposition (with—) other than (from—) as it is structured in Arabic. In using the verb (drink) preceded by (wherefrom) as the preposition that the verb passes into in the TT, translators are only faithful to the explicitly communicated meaning of the ST unaware of the rhetorical purpose of alternating the preposition (i) with the preposition (i) in the source Qur'anic verse. In this type of translation, the translator does not often pay attention to the textual content,

Table 2. A Qur'anic Verse With Rhetorical Loss.

No.	Qur'anic verse	Transliteration	Translator	English version
2	عَيْنًا يَشْرَبُ بِهَا عِبَادُ اللَّهِ يُفَجَّرُونَهَا تَشْجِيرًا Al-Insaan—6	AAaynan yashrabu biha AAibadu Allahi yufajjiroonaha tafjeera n	Abdullah Yusuf Ali	"A Fountain where the Devotees of Allah do drink, making it flow in unstinted abundance"
			Mamdouk Pickthall	"A spring wherefrom the slaves of Allah drink, making it gush forth abundantly"
			Muhammad Taqi-ud-Din Al-Hilali and Muhammad Mohsin Khan	"A spring wherefrom the slaves of Allah will drink, causing it to gush forth abundantly"

and the target readers' cognitive aspects are almost neglected (Gutt, 1991). Based on the framework of relevance theory, translation is also a process of ostensive-inferential communication. Accordingly, in translation,

the translator must make inference according to the ostensive behavior of the original author and get the efficient contextual effect on one hand, and on the other he has to show his understanding of the original author's intention in an ostensive way to the target language text reader so that the reader can make inference and get contextual effect. (Tina, 2011, p. 3)

Not adopting the ostensive-inferential process caused the translated TT to be pragmatically or rhetorically not equivalent. So, according to Sperber and Wilson's (1995) definition of an implicature as "an assumption communicated by U which is not explicit is implicit" (p. 182), the implicitly communicated meaning by the verse in employing the meaning of "attachment" represented by (—with) is that people who are righteous and nearest to Allah not only drink water from the blessed spring, but they delectate it and reach to the extent of being fully satisfied and intoxicated. To be satisfied means to be completely filled and enjoyed in drinking water and having the sufficient amount of it. The meaning from) accounts for the explicature of the verse, while the basic meaning of the preposition (—of, by, with, at), of "attachment," accounts for the implicature of the verse. The explicit proposition of "just drinking" reflected by from) does not necessarily mean having water to the extent of saturation and with the meaning of intoxication and pleasure achieved by the use of the preposition (الباء with). Thus, this idea of being fully satisfied and intoxicated with drinking is not possible to be construed if the verb (سيشرب drink) passes with the preposition (من—from). So, the meaning of the preposition (جالباء) communicated not only what is explicitly proposed in words but also what is implicitly stated (Al-Kudari, 1989; Al-Samarai, 1983).

Another Qur'anic verse is presented as an example in Table 3.

The English translations of the Qur'anic verse presented by Ali and Al-Hilali & Khan above are simply a matter of replacing the linguistic units of the ST with linguistic equivalent units of the TT without reference to factors such as the linguistic context, connotation, or implicature resulting from the phenomenon of prepositions alternation. This type of approach is called linguistic translation which is deemed "faithful" as it "contains elements which can be directly derived from the ST wording, avoiding any kind of explanatory interpolation or cultural adjustment which can be justified on this basis" (Nida & Taber, 1969, p. 134). In Arabic language, the verb (نصر helped) should pass to its object with the preposition (على against). Using the preposition (from—من instead of (against—على is an ostensive-linguistic behavior of the original author in an attempt to have readers not merely focusing on encoding and decoding of the verse but to project more emphasis on the inference from this specific ostensive-linguistic clue. Lacking the necessary knowledge of the rhetorical purposes of the prepositions alternation in the Holy Qur'an caused the rhetorical meaning of this verse to be lost in the translated version. Using the preposition (from instead of (against—على) rhetorically communicates the meaning of delivering the prophet Noah from the people who denied Almighty revelations and Signs as shown in Pickthall's translation which is more matching to the ST than other translations. In other words, the use of the preposition (against in the verse implicitly conveys the rhetorical meaning على of preventing those who denied Almighty Signs from doing harm to Noah especially when he was alone without followers as they (not Noah) were the powerful and controlling side in this conflict. Thus, this pragmatically inferred assumption has been completely lost in English translations presented by Ali and Al-Hilali & Khan. The literal translation of the preposition (from—على of the SL into (against—على communicated the explicitly stated meaning only. This explicature involved the meaning of victory awarded to the prophet Noah as the powerful and controlling side over those who denied Al-Mighty Signs and that contradicts the real meaning intended from prepositions alternation (Al-Kudari, 1989). Thus, Pickthall's translation of this specific verse was more brought into home when rendered into English.

Another example of rhetorical loss is elucidated in the selected Qur'anic verse in Table 4.

Table 3. A Qur'anic Verse With Rhetorical Loss.

No.	Qur'anic verse	Transliteration	Translator	English version
3	وَنَصَرْنَاهُ مِنَ الْقَوْمِ الَّذِينَ كَذَّبُوا بِآيَاتِنَا Al-Anbiyaa—77	Wanasarnahu mina alqawmi allatheena		"We helped him against people who rejected Our Signs"
	ŕ	ka <u>thth</u> aboo biayatina	Mamdouk Pickthall	"And delivered him from the people who denied Our revelations"
			Muhammad Taqi-ud-Din Al-Hilali and Muhammad Mohsin Khan	"We helped him against the people who denied Our Ayat (proofs, evidence, verses, lessons, signs, revelations, etc.)"

Table 4. A Qur'anic Verse With Rhetorical Loss.

No.	Qur'anic verse	Transliteration	Translator	English version
4	فَنَظَرَ نَظْرُةً فِي النُّجُومِ As-Saaffaat—88	Fanathara nathratan fee alnnujoomi	Abdullah Yusuf Ali Mamdouk Pickthall Muhammad Taqi-ud-Din Al-Hilali and Muhammad Mohsin Khan	"Then did he cast a glance at the Stars" "And he glanced a glance at the stars" "Then he cast a glance at the stars"

In Arabic, as usual, the verb نظر (cast a glance) passes into its object with the preposition الى (to/into). Alternating (to/into) with (in) as shown in the Qur'anic verse is not without an implicature lost in the English translations of the verse. The three translators failed in bringing into home the intended rhetorical assumption needed from this clear break of the prepositions' rules. Rendering the Arabic preposition in) of the verse into (at) does not express more than the semantic message of the prophet Ibrahim's look (through mere eyes) at the stars. The rhetorical loss that is caused and lost by the literal or linguistic translation when rendering the -in) into (at) unaware of the rhetorical communication behind this ostensive-linguistic clue is the meaning that it is Ibrahim's heart and thought that were preoccupied by the Stars' creation. Ibrahim's heart and thought were completely surrounded and controlled by this creation. Basically, this is the paramount difference between passing the verb (cast a glance) with the preposition (at) which explicitly communicates looking at the skies through eyes, and with the preposition (in) which rhetorically and implicitly communicates Ibrahim's long thinking and contemplation in one of the brilliant God's creatures (Al-Kudari, 1989). Thus, Ali, Pickthall, and Al-Hilali & Khan did not recognize municates one assumption that is explicitly stated in the verse, and another assumption that is pragmatically inferred as a result of this alternation. Their English translations are deemed inadequate as they betrayed the real intended meaning or the implicature of the verse.

Another Qur'anic verse identifying the prepositions alternation is shown in Table 5.

In the above Qur'anic verse, Ali, Pickthall, and Al-Hilali & Khan advocated a literal approach in transferring the preposition (على) into TT. Their translations of the preposition in particular revealed only the explicitly stated meaning (explicature) of the verse. They were not aware of the implicitly communicated meaning created as a result of the alternation of the preposition (on/over—على) with (from—من) in this specific Qur'anic verse. According to the structure of Arabic, take by measure) passes into its object with the preposition (من). In Ali, Pickthall, and Al-Hilali & Khan's English translations, the verb of the translated version of the verse passed to its object with the preposition (from-من) causing a loss of rhetorical meaning communicated due to the use of the preposition (on/over—على). The ostensiveinferential communication intended by the evidence provided through the use of (on/over—على instead of (from—نس) is to implicitly communicate the meaning that taking by measure is not to be understood positively as taking justly by measure but those who when they have to take by measure from mankind take in full through attempting tricks and other twisted ways (Al-Samarai, 1983). One of these tricks is to push strongly down the scale measure to allude people that it is a full measure. This contextual and inferential aspect of communication has been achieved through prepositions alternation to make distinctive the difference between two meanings. The first involves taking by measure from men which connotes that what has been taken from men is right and justly established and that is represented by the preposition (from—من). The second involves tricking by measure on men through zig-zag ways which is reflected by the use of the preposition (on/over—على), and evidenced by the

Table 5. A Qur'anic Verse With Rhetorical Loss.

No.	Qur'anic verse	Transliteration	translator	English version
5	الَّذِينَ إِذَا اكْتَالُوا عَلَى النَّاسِ يَشْتَوْفُونَ Al-Mutaffifin—2	Allatheena itha iktaloo AAala alnnasi yastawfoona	Abdullah Yusuf Ali	"Those who, when they Have to receive by measure <u>From</u> men, exact full measure"
			Mamdouk Pickthall	"Those who when they take the measure from mankind demand it full"
			•	"Those who, when they have to receive by measure <u>from</u> men, demand full measure"

Table 6. A Qur'anic Verse With Rhetorical Loss.

No.	Qur'anic verse	Transliteration	Translator	English version
6	وَلَقَدْ كَرَّمْنَا بَنِي آدَمَ وَحَمَلْنَاهُمْ فِي الْبَرِّ وَالْبَحْرِ Al-Isra'—70	Walaqad karramna banee adama wahamalnahum fee albarri waalbahri	Abdullah Yusuf Ali	"We have honoured the son of Adam; provided them With transport <u>on</u> land and sea"
			Mamdouk Pickthall	"Verily we have honoured the Children of Adam. We carry them on the land and the sea"
			Muhammad Taqi-ud-Din Al-Hilali and Muhammad Mohsin Khan	"We have honoured the son of Adam; provided them With transport <u>on</u> land and sea"

negative use of the word "defrauders" used in the preceding Qur'anic verse.

Example 6 also shows the problem of rhetorical loss of meaning when prepositional phrase is rendered into English, as indicated in Table 6.

Again, the pragmatically inferred assumption in this Qur'anic verse has been created as a result of the application of the Qur'an-bound rhetorical device of prepositions alternation. In Arabic language, the verb يحمل (carry) passes into its object with the preposition (على on) and not (في in). This prepositions alternation exists to communicate that the Sons of Adam have been honored not only by providing them with transport on land and sea-the explicitly stated meaning reflected through the use of the preposition (____on) but by the ability, stability, and control in traveling across land and sea and administrating everything of daily life pertinent to land and sea to do their responsibilities toward humanity—the implicitly communicated meaning estabin) in lished by the intentional use of the preposition (في in) in the this specific Qur'anic verse. Thus, the use of the containin) is more communicative to God's honor to the Sons of Adam than the elevation preposition on) which delivers the meaning of being elevated on land and sea without the ability to do their requested human tasks (Al-Kudari, 1989). Unaware of the rhetorical purpose of prepositions alternation in this Qur'anic verse, the three translators failed in bringing into home the real equivalent of the intended meaning, and their translations of the verse impaired the implicature intended from the clear break of the preposition rules.

Another more example is indicated in Table 7 to elucidate the problem of rhetorical loss resulting from prepositions alternation in the Holy Qur'an.

Again, the three translations of the Qur'anic verse above are simply a matter of replacing the linguistic units of the ST with linguistic equivalent units of the TT committed to the explicature of the verse without digging deeply into the implicitly assumption intended from the prepositions alter-عاد nation in this specific verse. In Arab speech, the verb /to/الحى) passes into its object with the preposition into). In the specific Qur'anic verse above, the rules of prepositions in Arabic have been intentionally broken to deliver a more communicative meaning that has been lost in the TT of the translators. Not adopting the ostensive-inferential process caused the translated TTs to be pragmatically or rhetorically not equivalent to the ST. The rhetorical equivalence that should be inherited in the translated versions of the text is that the disbelievers aim that the messengers' return to the disbelievers' religion should be real not fake which is secured in) in the ST (Al-Qurtubi, 2004). to/into) in the TT الى) Replacing في in) in the ST with causes the expression of the explicitly stated meaning and, unconsciously, neglecting the implicitly stated one causing فى) this rhetorical loss. So, the meaning of the preposition in) communicated not only what is explicitly proposed in words but also what is implicitly stated.

Table 7. A Qur'anic Verse With Rhetorical Loss.

No.	Qur'anic verse	Transliteration	Translator	English version
7.	وَقَالَ الَّذِينَ كَفَرُوا لِرُسُلِهِمْ لَنُخْرِجَنَّكُمْ مِّنْ أَرْضِنَا أَوْ لَتَعُودُنَّ فِي مِلِّتِنَا الله Ibrahim—13	Waqala allatheena kafaroo lirusulihim lanukhrijannakum min ardina aw lataAAoodunna fee millatina	Abdullah Yusuf Ali	"And the Unbelievers said to their messengers": "Be sure We shall drive you out Of our land. or ye shall Return <u>to</u> our religion"
	· ·	"And those who disbelieved said unto their messengers: Verily we will drive you out from our land, unless ye return <u>to</u> our religion"		
			Muhammad Taqi-ud-Din Al-Hilali and Muhammad Mohsin Khan	"And those who disbelieved, said to their messengers": "Surely we shall drive you out of our land, or you shall return <u>to</u> our religion"

Summary of the Discussion

The Cause of Rhetorical Loss

Translation of Qur'anic verses has always been and still is a big challenge for translators in terms of equivalence, accuracy, and translatability. Translation quandaries can be caused as a result of rendering into English the Qur'an-bound rhetorical devices which flow into an effective and sublime Qur'anic style. One of the difficulties that translators confront and lead them to fail in accomplishing rhetorical equivalence when rendering Our'anic verses into the TL is the phenomenon of prepositions alternation in the Holy Qur'an. Translators, especially the non-Arab Muslims, are frequently unaware of the implicature or the pragmatically inferred meaning that is communicated as a result of the ostensive-linguistic behavior represented by the breakage of the structures of the prepositions use in Arabic language. When a preposition is ostensibly alternated by another in a Qur'anic verse, an implicature or implicitly communicated meaning other than the explicature is intended to be expressed as a result of the application of this rhetorical device which is required to be faithfully translated. In rendering the preposition into the TL, translators bring into home only the explicitly stated meaning, thus accommodating the TL and betraying the SL causing rhetorical equivalence to be lost in the TT. In other words, translators, in the process of translation, recall into the TT the development of the logical form of the preposition used (explicature) unaware of its real intended assumption (implicature). Lack of the deep knowledge of this rhetorical device has been attributed to the short or absent reference to the interpretation and heritage books used for examining the authentic meanings of the verses under study and identifying their explicatures and implicatures.

At-tadmiin (Implication) as a Mechanism for Translating Qur'anic Prepositional Phrases

This section offers a modest mechanism or method to solve the problem of the rhetorical loss experienced when rendering Qur'anic prepositional phrases into English. The notion of At-tadmiin (implication) in Arabic is considered an attempt to justify why a preposition is used instead of another in some Qur'anic verses. At-tadmiin (implication) occurs when a verb implies the meaning of another verb and consequently obtains its same effect concerning transitivity. That is, if the implied verb is transitive and passes into its object with the preposition (in), for example, (in) is used instead of the structurally supposed preposition in that specific context (Al-Kudari, 1989; Al-Nahas, 1988). In other words, any verb has a specific meaning with one preposition and another distinct but related one with another preposition. As many Arabic words are not lexicalized in the English language (Baker, 1992), At-tadmiin (implication) is operationalized in the current research to occur when a verb implies the meaning of another verb or any other part of speech and consequently obtains its same effect concerning transitivity. As a method contributing, to a certain extent, to the rhetorical equivalence between the ST and TT, At-tadmiin can be applied, with detailed explanation, to Example (2) of the Our'anic verses exemplified earlier to better express the meaning of the English translations presented by Pickthall and Al-Hilali & Khan as shown in Table 8.

A Spring Wherewith the Slaves of Allah Are Saturated (and Intoxicated), Making It Gush Forth Abundantly

In this new rendering of the Qur'anic verse, the verb (saturate) has been used to imply the meaning of (drink—بيشر) as it appears in the Qur'anic verse and another meaning of being fully satisfied and intoxicated as the one that is implicitly communicated and pragmatically inferred through the ostensive-linguistic clue of prepositions alternation. By adopting the phenomenon of At-tadmiin (implication), the translators are not only able to find the suitable equivalent verb or parts of speech to render the original verb of the ST, they even pass the new part of speech with the equivalent preposition that is alternated in the Qur'anic verse as this is

Table 8. A Qur'anic Verse With Rhetorical Loss.

No.	Qur'anic verse	Transliteration	Translator	English version
I	عَيْنًا يَشْرَبُ بِهَا عِبَاهُ اللَّهِ يُفَجُرُونَهَا تَفْجِيرًا Al-Insaan—6	AAaynan yashrabu biha AAibadu Allahi yufajjiroonaha tafjeeran	Mamdouk Pickthall Muhammad Tagi-ud-Din	"A spring wherefrom the slaves of Allah drink, making it gush forth abundantly" "A spring wherefrom the
			Al-Hilali and Muhammad Mohsin Khan	

proved in the new version of the English translation of the verse (Al-Kudari, 1989). The same is also true for Examples 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7, investigated earlier:

Example 1: Know they not that Allah doth pass over His slaves' sins at repentance

In applying the phenomenon of At-tadmiin (implication), the verb يقبل (accept) in the translated versions of the Qur'anic verses has been replaced with verb (pass) to match the preposition (عن—over), and imply the meanings of accepting repentance, forgiving slaves, and erasing their old committed sins.

Example 3: And delivered him from the people who denied Our revelations

In this example, the researcher sticks to Pickthall's translation of the Qur'anic verse as it is the more matching one, among others, to the meaning of the preposition in the ST. The verb نصر (deliver) used in the TT with the preposition it passes with not only implies the meaning of helping the prophet Noah against those who denied Allah Signs but extends to involve the meaning of saving and delivering Him for ever.

Example 4: Then did he meditate carefully at the Stars

Because the verb نظر (cast a glance) with the preposition (وفي—at) in the translated versions of the verse expresses the semantic message of the prophet Ibrahim's look (through mere eyes) at the stars only, it has been changed into the verb (meditate) in the TT to imply the meaning of quiet thinking about the stars as creatures of Allah besides Ibrahim's look through mere eyes.

Example 5: Those who when they take the measure from mankind, employ tricks to take it full

Here, the mechanism of At-tadmiin (implication) has been employed to include the meaning of يستوفي (demand, take) in the ST within the new suggested verb (employ tricks) in TT

as it embodies the implicitly stated meaning behind the use of the preposition (على on) in the Arabic Qur'anic verse.

Example 6: We have honored the Sons of Adam; enabled them in the land and the sea

Because of the literal translation of the Qur'anic verse, translators fail to bring into home the rhetorical purpose behind alternating the preposition (في—in) with the supposed preposition (على (carry)) which passes the verb يحمل (carry) in Arabic. To suggest more accurate translation, the researcher has replaced the verb يمكن (carry) in the TT with the verb يمكن (enable) as it reflects the meaning of capacity and stability in administrating things and doing tasks, and the meaning of being carried on land and sea as well.

Example 7: And the Unbelievers said to their messengers: "Be sure We shall drive you out Of our land, or ye shall truly be in our religion."

Again, to faithfully transfer the rhetorical meaning of the true and real involvement of the messenger to their religion, the verb يكون (be) has been employed to imply both the meaning of return and the real involvement in disbelievers' religion.

Conclusion

This research has uncovered that rhetorical loss of meaning in the English translation of Qur'anic prepositions exists. The loss can be attributed to the lack of the knowledge of the Qur'an-specific linguistic-rhetorical device of prepositions alternation, which states that any alternation of preposition in the Holy Qur'an is accompanied with a new meaning that is not explicitly stated, but pragmatically inferred, an implicature. The study also concludes that translators, especially non-Arab Muslims, need to refer to Arabic heritage resources and interpretation books of Holy Qur'an that are dedicated to explore the rhetorical purposes of the literariness of the ST when confront prepositions alternation so as to identify the appropriate implicature and transfer into the TT the more matching translation of the verse. This research has also shown that mistranslation causing rhetorical loss of

meaning occurs as a result of adopting either linguistic translation (semantic and syntactic) or literal translation (word for word). Rhetorical equivalence in translation posits that translators need to be aware of not only the explicitly communicated meanings but the implicitly stated assumptions as well. The study has also revealed that At-tadmin (implication) offers a modest solution to bridge the gap of

translation inadequacy as it enables translators to choose from the multiple parts of speech to imply the original verb of the ST and to match the preposition with which it passes. As such, to avoid rhetorical loss of communication, translators need to cooperate with a committee of those who are experts in varied knowledge related to language and the science of the Holy Quran.

Appendix

Adopted from Islamicbulletin.org of the Qur'an Transliteration.

		A1 .			N.
أ+ فتحة -	a	About	ن	n	Nurse
Ī	a	Cat	و	00	Pool
ع	AA	Say "a" twice distinctly with an open mouth	İ	0	On
ب	Ь	Вох	ق	P	Queen ("k" sound made in back of throat)
3	d	Door	ر	r	Rabbit (rolled "r" sound, similar to Spanish "r")
ض	<u>d</u>	Heavy "d" sound (open jaw but keep lips slightly round, that is, duh)	m	sh	Ship
ي	ee	Feet	w	S	Sea
ف	f	Fish	ص	S	Heavy "s" sound (open jaw but keep lips slightly round)
غ	gh	The sound you make when gargling (touch very back of tongue to very back of mouth)	ت	t	Tan
٥	h	Hat	ط	t	Heavy "t" sound (open jaw but keep lips slightly round)
۲	<u>h</u>	Heavy "h" sound (drop back of tongue to open back of throat, then force air out for "h")	ث	th	Think
<u>ا</u> + كسرة	i	Ink		th	The
ج	j	Jar	ظ	th	"Th" sound as in "the," but heavier (open jaw but keep lips slightly round)
গ্র	k	Kit	ضمة	u	Put
خ	kh	Gravely "h" sound (touch back of tongue to roof of mouth and force air out)	9	W	Water
J	I	Look	;+;	/	Pronounce the letter before but cut it short by stopping suddenly
٩	m	Man	يْ	у	Yarn
			 ز	Z	Zebra
Bold letters	Bold letters are silent, that is, w: write			(-) is to m	nake some words easier to read

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iDs

Ali Salman Hummadi https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2703-9824 Ahmed Abdulateef Sabti https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0657-5238

Note

 An Iraqi expert, with strong Islamic background knowledge, from Department of Arabic, College of Arts, University of Anbar, Iraq. He is a holder of PhD degree in the Arabic language. He is a specialist in literature and literary criticism. His research areas include rhetoric and Qur'anic studies.

References

Abdelaal, N. M., & Md Rashid, S. (2015). Semantic loss in the Holy Qur'an translation with special reference to Surah Al-W a qiAAa (Chapter of The Event Inevitable). *SAGE Open*, 5(4), 2158244015605880.

Nasser, M. M. A. (2013). The polysemous nature of some Arabic prepositions. *International Journal of Linguistics*, 5(2), 66–86.

- Abdul-Raof, H. (2004). The Qur'an: Limits of translatability. In S. Faiq (Ed.), Cultural encounters in translation from Arabic (pp. 91–106). Multilingual Matters.
- Abdul-Raof, H. (2005). Pragmalinguistic forms in cross-cultural communication: Contributions from Qur'an translation. *Intercultural Communication Studies*, 14(4), 115–130.
- Abdul-Raof, H. (2013). *Qur'an translation: Discourse, texture and exegesis*. Routledge.
- Adab, B. J. (1996). Annotated texts for translation: English-French. Multilingual Matters Ltd.
- Al-Attiya, A. M. (2008). Prepositions between Alternation and Inclusion [Huruf Al-Jarr Bayn Al-Niyabiti Wa ?at-tadmiin]. Arabic Heritage Journal, 112, 233–261.
- Al-Batliosy. (1996). *Al-Eqtidab fi Sharah Adab Al-Qitab* [Brevity in explaining the literature of the book] (2nd ed., Verified by M. Al-Saqa & H. Abdulmajeed). Cairo: Dar al-Kutub al-Misriya.
- Al-Darweesh, M. (2003). E'rab Al-Qur'an Wa Bianah [Syntax and style of AlQur'an] (9th ed.). Al-Yamama Dar for Publication and Press.
- Alhaj, A. (2016). The ambit of English/Arabic translation. A practical and theoretical guide for English/Arabic translators.

 Anchor Academic Publishing. (Original work published 2015)
- Al-Hilali, M. T. U. D., & Khan, M. M. (Trans.). (1984). *Translation of the meanings of the Noble Quran in the English language*. King Fahd Complex for the Printing of the Holy Qur'ān.
- Ali, A. Y. (Trans.). (1968). *The Holy Qur'an: Text, translation and commentary*. Dar al AArabia. (Original work published 1938)
- Ali, A., & Ahmed, A. (2006). Word repetition in the Qur'an: Translating form or meaning. *Language and Translation*, 19, 17–34.
- Al-Jarrah, R. S., Abu-Dalu, A. M., & Obiedat, H. (2018). Translation of strategic ambiguity: A relevance-theoretic analysis. *Poznań Studies in Contemporary Linguistics*, 54(1), 1–35.
- Al-Kudari, M. A. (1989). Secrets of prepositions in the Holy Qur'an [Asrar Huruf Ajarr Fi Al-Thiqr Al-Hakim]. Al-Amana Press.
- Almahammed, Y. S. O. (2016). First language transfer in the acquisition of English prepositions by Jordanian EFL learners [Doctoral dissertation]. Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia.
- Al-Nahas, A. (1988). E'rab Al-Qur'an [Syntax of Al-Qur'an] (3rd ed., Verified by G. Z. Zuhair). The World of Books.
- Al-Qurtubi, M. (2004). The General Judgements of Al Qur'an [Al JamAA liahkam al Qur'an] (Tafsir Al-Qurtubi). Dar Al-Fikr.
- Al-Samarai, I. (1983). Fiqih Al-uga Al-Mukaran [Comparative philology] (3rd ed.). Dar Al-Elim for Millions.
- Al-Shafi'I, A. (1994). *Al-Waseet Fi Tafsir Al-Qur'an Al-Majeed* [The mediator in the explanation of the glorious Qur'an] (2nd ed., Verified by A. A. Aadel, M. M. Ali, M. S. Ahmed, A. A. Ahmed, & A. Abdulrahman). Dar of the Scientific Books.
- Baker, M. (1992). In other words. Routledge.
- Baker, M. (2005). Routledge encyclopedia of translation studies. Routledge.
- Bengtsson, M. (2016). How to plan and perform a qualitative study using content analysis. *Nursing Plus Open*, 2, 8–14.
- Carston, R. (2000). *Explicature and semantics* (Vol. 12, No. 1, pp. 44–89). UCL Working Papers in Linguistics.
- Dkhissi, Y. (2018). The English translation of the Quranic text: The structural asymmetries. AWEJ for Translation & Literary Studies, 2(4), 41–57.

Elimam, A. S. (2013). *Marked word order in the Qurān and its English translations: Patterns and motivations.* Cambridge Scholars Publishing.

- Elo, S., Kääriäinen, M., Kanste, O., Pölkki, T., Utriainen, K., & Kyngäs, H. (2014). Qualitative content analysis: A focus on trustworthiness. *SAGE Open*, 4(1), 2158244014522633.
- Esseesy, M. (2010). Grammaticalization of Arabic prepositions and subordinators: A corpus-based study (Vol. 59). Brill.
- Gutt, E.-A. (1991). Translation and relevance: Cognition and context. Basil Blackwell.
- Hassan, A. (2004). *An-Nahw Al-Waafi* [Comprehensive syntax] (15th ed., 4 Vols.). Dar Al-Ma'aarif.
- Haugh, M. (2002). The intuitive basis of implicature. *Pragmatics*, 12(2), 117–134.
- Hummadi, A. S. (2016). The cognitive semantics of prepositions in the Holy Qur'an and their implicatures. *Journal of Al_Anbar University for Language and Literature*, 21, 362–408.
- Imre, A. (2012). Communication through translation. https:// www.researchgate.net/publication/287890275_COMM UNICATION THROUGH TRANSLATION
- Jobes, K. H. (2007). Relevance theory and the translation of scripture. *Journal of the Evangelical Theological Society*, 50(4), 773–797.
- Khan, S., & Ali, R. (2017). A cognitive-semantic study of the spatial preposition Fī (في) in the Quran. *KEMANUSIAAN: The Asian Journal of Humanities*, 24(2), 89–122.
- Mason, I. (1998). Discourse connectives, ellipsis and markedness. In L. Hickey (Ed.), *The pragmatics of translation* (pp. 170–185). Multilingual Matters.
- Mat, A. C. (2014). Model of translating preposition (MTP) of Arabic into Malay. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 5(23), 2420–2424.
- Mat, A. C., & bin Nokman, A. Z. (2016). Translation of rhetoric in Arabic preposition in the text of Al-Qur'an. *Humaniora*, 7(3), 287–292.
- Morris, J. W. (2000). Qur'an translation and the challenges of communication: Towards a "literal" study-version of the Qur'an. *Journal of Qur'anic Studies*, 2(2), 53–67.
- Nida, E. A., & Taber, C. R. (1969). The theory and practice of translation. E. J. Brill.
- Pickthall, M. (Trans.). (1956). *The meaning of the glorious Koran*. The New American Library of World Literature.
- Quirk, R., & Greenbaum, S. (1989). A university grammar of English. Longman Group Ltd.
- Sadiq, S. (2008). Some semantic, stylistic and cultural problems of translation with special reference to translating the glorious Qur'an. *Sayyab Translation Journal*, *1*, 37–59.
- Sperber, D., & Wilson, D. (1995). *Relevance: Communication and cognition* (2nd ed.). Blackwell.
- Steiner, G. (1998). After Babel: Aspects of language and translation. Oxford University Press.
- Tina. (2011). Relevance theory and translation. http://www.ccjk.com/relevance-theory-and-translation/
- Torop, P. (2008). Translation as communication and auto-communication. *Sign Systems Studies*, *36*(2), 375–397.
- Vinay, J.-P., & Darbelnet, J. (1995). Comparative stylistics if French and English: A methodology for translation (J. C. Sager & M. J. Hamel, Eds. & Trans.). John Benjamins Publishing Company.

Wilson, D., & Sperber, D. (2004). Relevance theory. In L. Horn & G.
Ward (Eds.), *The handbook of pragmatics* (pp. 607–632). Blackwell.
Wilson, D., & Sperber, D. (2012). *Meaning and relevance*.
Cambridge University Press.

Author Biographies

Ali Salman Hummadi has been an educator for the past 14 years. Currently, he is pursuing his PhD studies at the Language Academy, faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, University Technology Malaysia (UTM), Malaysia. His research areas include Genre Analysis, (Critical) Discourse Analysis, Rhetorical Analysis, Translation Studies, Pragmatic Analysis, and ESP.

Seriaznita Binti Mat Said has been an educator for the past 2 decades. Her interests are LSP, qualitative research, needs analysis, discourse analysis, TESL & sociolinguistics. Her latest research is entitled DISCOURSE ANALYSIS OF SEXUAL ABUSE CHILD VICTIMS' LEXICAL DIFFICULTY WHEN NARRATING THE ABUSIVE EXPERIENCE.

Rafi' M. Hussein is an emiritous professor of Linguistics and Translation. He got his BA in English Language and Literature in

1975 and MA in linguistics and Translation in 1988. His main major is in Applied Linguistics, Pragmatics, Discourse Analysis and Semantics. He published a book in morphology entitled, Textual Analysis of Neologism in Selected British Papers, and a book in Translatology entitled, Some Reflections on the Translatology of Nominals from E into A; along with a number of papers and articles.

Ahmed Abdulateef Sabti is a PhD holder in English Language Studies obtained from the faculty of Modern Languages and Communication, Universiti Putra Malaysia. His master degree (master of Arts in English Language Studies) was obtained from the National University of Malaysia, in 2013. He is currently a senior lecturer in Al-Nisour University College, Baghdad, Iraq. His research interests are in Applied Linguistics, English Language Studies, and EFL/ESL Writing.

Huda Abed Ali Hattab is a holder of master in Linguistics. She is the head of TOEFL Center, College of Education (Ibn Rushd)/ Baghdad University. She is specialist in stylistics, and interested in Psycholinguistics, culturalism, Socio Linguistics, and Diploma in Body language.