Journal of

NVIRONMENTA Treatment Techniques J. Environ. Treat. Tech. ISSN: 2309-1185



Journal web link: http://www.jett.dormaj.com

Drilling Fluids: Presence of Hazardous BTEXs and Crystalline Silica

Lakmun Chan¹, Nithiya Arumugam^{2*}, Sathiabama T. Thirugnana³ and Shreeshivadasan Chelliapan²

¹Razak Faculty of Technology and Informatics, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Jalan Sultan Yahya Petra, 54100 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia ²Department of Engineering, Razak Faculty of Technology and Informatics, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Jalan Sultan Yahya Petra, 54100 Kuala Lumpur,

Malaysia

³Ocean Thermal Energy Conversion (OTEC), Razak Faculty of Technology and Informatics, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Jalan Sultan Yahya Petra, 54100 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Received: 25/03/2020

Accepted: 26/06/2020

Published: 20/09/2020

Abstract

In the oil and gas industry occupational health risks due to drilling fluids is severe. Mixing room, shale shaker room and drill floor are sites where workers are highly exposed to air pollutants, hazardous dust and even substances generated via drilling fluids associated activities. Barite, calcium carbonate and linear paraffin or olefin-based oil are three types of chemical that are greatly used in huge quantities to prepare drilling fluids. These drilling fluids contain hazardous substances and pose health risks. Due to the occupational health risk, Occupational Safety and Health Administration OSHA Europe and USA have issued guidelines for the permissible exposure limit (PEL) to be at 5 mg/m³ for barium sulphate, 10 mg/m³ for calcium carbonate, 0.05 mg/m³ for crystalline silica and 0.05 mg/m³ for oil mists. Therefore, this study identified the presence of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene (BTEX) ionic mists and crystalline silica in the drilling fluids. The grain size distribution of additives used in the drilling fluids was also determined. The results showed the presence of BTEX and crystalline silica based on random sampling. Therefore, the existing control measures are necessary to reduce the occupational health risks. As a control measure, Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Internet of Things (IoT) are necessary to be introduced for the automation of drilling fluids associated activities.

Keywords: Drilling fluids; Occupation health risk; Hazardous; BTEX; Barium sulphate

Introduction

Drilling fluids have a vital role in measuring the success rate of drilling operations. These fluids are important to increase the oil recovery and shorten recovery time (1). Commonly used drilling fluids in the oil and gas industry are water-based, oilbased and synthetic-based muds (2). Drilling operation has three simultaneous systems that work in a boring hole. The first is a rotating system while second is a lifting system. The thirdsystem is a circulating system. The rotating system rotates the drill bit while the lifting system is used to lift up and lift down the drill string into the hole. The circulating system will circulate fluids around from the drill stem, out of the drill bit and up again into the hole at the surface.

The drilling fluids are often used to eliminate cuttings from the drilling hole, transport them to the surface and are also used as a stabiliser and supporter to the wellbore (3). Besides, the drilling fluids help to cool and lubricate the drill bit (4). Preparation of drilling fluids starts at the mud mixing hopper. The mixing hopper performs as a chemical mixing station and then the fluids are retained in the mud pits/tanks before being pumped into the drill hole via a mud pump and a discharge line. These drilling fluids are circulated down the drill string and then out through the bit. The drilling fluids are moved back up to the annulus and straight into the surface. The huge quantities of drill cuttings are composed of rocks and particulate mixtures which are released from geological formations generated during the drilling operation (5). Cuttings that are suspended from the hole by drilling fluids are unwanted and removed when they flow through the shale shaker (4). The above-mentioned drilling fluids flow cycle is illustrated in Figure 1.

The selection of drilling fluids solely depends on their behaviours during the operation despite their drawbacks due to environmental concerns. The drilling fluids cycle will happen at elevated temperature together with agitation. This potentially exposes chemicals as well as oil vapour/mists; subsequently, affecting the health of workers both in short-term and long-term (6). Comprehensive risk assessments of drilling fluid systems need to be conducted by the operator well planners, taking into consideration the aspects of health, environment and safety when deciding on the type of drilling fluids to be used for the system.

Corresponding author: Nithiya Arumugam, Department of Engineering, Razak Faculty of Technology and Informatics, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Jalan Sultan Yahya Petra, 54100 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. E-mail: nithiya85.a@gmail.com.

The outcomes of these assessments should be made accessible to all employees who may be exposed to the system.



Figure 1: The drilling fluids recirculation and preparation

As highlighted in Figure 1, workers working in these three areas (drilling floor, shale shaker, chemical mixing station and mud pits/tanks) are highly exposed to hydrocarbons and oil mists (7). At the shale shaker, they are possibly exposed by inhaling aerosols and vapour/mists or through dermal contact. Dermal contact predominantly occurs with drilling fluids, lubricants, hydraulic oils and other personnel at the drilling floor. At the chemical mixing station and mud pits/tanks, workers are greatly exposed to burns, or physical injuries due to dermal or eye contact. Potential inhalation risk and explosions or aggressive reactions from improper chemical mixing are also greatly possible in these areas.

Skin irritation and skin diseases are the prevalent short-term health hazards observed when working with drilling fluids (7). Physicochemical properties of the drilling fluids, together with the inherent properties of the drilling fluid additives, are the root cause of these problems and are reliant on the exposure route, either through dermal absorption, inhalation or orally. There are concerns about the break down of organic components or even occurrence of chemical reactions which will produce more toxic components due to the operation of drilling fluids in an open system at elevated temperature and pressure (6). There are facts that work-related illnesses may only be visible after a few years of exposure to toxic substances (8). Long-term exposure to barite (barium sulphate) in drilling fluids and oil mist/vapour may cause lung diseases (9). Drill cuttings are usually characterised by high content of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), which is potentially carcinogenic or mutagenic to mammals and aquatic organisms (10). Chen et al. (11) assessed the inhalator and dermal exposures to PAHs in oil mists and their consequential risks of cancer, specifically lung and skin, with regard to health-risk management. However, very few studies were carried out on oil well drilling workers' exposure hazards (7,12–14).

The basic three components in drilling fluids that are used in large quantities are barite, calcium carbonate and linear paraffinbased oil. The vapour of non-aqueous drilling fluids consists of low-boiling point portion of hydrocarbons, while the mist consists droplets of the hydrocarbon portion. Although these hydrocarbon fractions may contain insignificant amount of known toxic components like benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene. They are collectively referred as BTEX, which will evaporate relatively faster, resulting in vapour with higher concentration than the estimated. Exposure to BTEX is correlated with health risks and may cause neurological effects, which is generally to the central nervous system depression via the oral route, as well as renal and hepatic effects (7,15-17).

Barite is one of the most important components in drilling mud with its principal use as a weighting agent. It is used in substantial tonnages to increase the density of drilling mud due to its high specific gravity, balancing formation pressure and preventing a blowout (18–20). Barite used combinely with other substances like calcium carbonate to produce mud. This mud will be pumped down into the drill hole to control the high pressure formation and avoid explosive discharges of oil and gas from the well. The soft characteristic of barite prevents the drilling equipment from becoming worn. Besides, it is nonmagnetic and does not interfere with the logging drill holes' instrumentation. Basically, the usage of barite in drill hole differs significantly from site to site and depends on factors, such as hole depth and diameter, rocks types and drilling conditions like fractures and hole pressure (21).

Silica consists of silicon and oxygen in the form of silicon dioxide (SiO₂) and is found abundantly on Earth. It exists either in a crystalline or non-crystalline form. Crystalline silica exists in several forms (polymorphs) and the most common polymorph is quartz. The surface of crystalline silica possesses reactive oxygen species when fractured, which is the reason of crystalline silica particles toxicity when inhaled (22). A common component of rock and soils is quartz, and employees may be exposed to dust that contains quartz during the drilling processs. When drilling materials contain quartz and are subjected to high temperature, it may cause the quartz to tranform physically and chemically, which are eventually exposed to the workers.

The Agency for Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) of Europe and the USA have issued the guidelines of permissible exposure limit (PEL) for barite to be at 5 mg/m³, 0.05 mg/m³ for crystalline silica and 0.05 mg/m³ for oil mists. The particle size of barite is only controlled by personal protective equipment (PPE) at the cutoff point of 3.5μ m. PPE is not able to filter a size that is finer than this, which means it will possibly enter the respiratory system of workers. Taking into account the occupational risks of drilling fluids, the objective of this research is to identify the existence of hazardous substances, such as BTEX in drilling fluids and mists/vapours that are released into the air, identify the presence of quartz (crystalline silica) followed by particle size analysis of crystalline silica in barite.

Materials and Methods

Materials

The barite was sampled under controlled conditions from a barite storehouse. All samplings were done on a random basis. Barite was basically used without further purification. X-ray diffraction (XRD) and particle size analyser (PSA) were the analytical methods used for barite. XRD was used to identify the presence of crystalline silica, while PSA was used to determine the particle size. As for the mists/vapours, the sampling and measuring were conducted during recirculation of drilling fluids. Oil vapour was dispersed into the atmosphere when the high temperature drilling fluids were circulated out from the well. As the drilling floor, shale shaker, chemical mixing station and mud pits/tanks are identified as the highest possible locations where workers are subjected to exposure of mists/vapours, all samplings for BTEX analysis were conducted in these areas. The Gastec sampling method was used to analyse the BTEX level. The details

of analytical methods used in this paper are discussed in subsequent sections.

Analytical Methods

a) Gastec® Gas Sampling System

The Gastec® gas sampling system was used to check the level of BTEX in various locations at the drilling rig. These conventional Gastec tubes used colorimetric technology, whereby the chemical reagent in the gas tube reacted with the gas sample as it was drawn towards the tube via a pump. Detector tubes were specified according to types of gas to be measured, as shown in Table 1. The length of colour stains produced was proportional to the concentration of gas. The concentration may be read immediately by using the calibration scale printed on the tube.

b) X-ray Diffraction (XRD)

Barite powder was compacted in the sample holder and placed in the PANalytical XRD Machine for analysis. The XRD patterns were documented with Cu K α -radiation (λ =1.5406 Å). The 2 θ values were scanned from 10° to 70° with 45kV and at a scan speed of 2°/min at 25°C.

c) Particle Size Analyzer

The particle size distribution (PSD) of barite was measured by using a Malvern Mastersiser 3000 instrument with Hydro EV Flexible volume wet dispersion (23). The particle size was determined as volume percentage of particles classed into 101 logarithmically distributed (log-spaced) size bins that ranged from 0.01 μ m to 3000 μ m. The signal was transformed into PSD data by using Fraunhofer or Mie scattering theory with the use of a laser device software (24). Fraunhofer approximation is a simplified method. Meanwhile a more accurate data can be obtained by using the Mie theory, but it requires the optical properties (refractive index and absorption coefficient of the sample) and the dispersant. Therefore, the latter provided more precise results (25). The analysis parameters for both barium sulphate is shown in the Table 2.

Results and Discussions

a) BTEX Analysis

Benzene, toluene and xylene were detected at various locations in the drilling rig with concentrations of 1ppm, 20ppm and 5ppm, respectively. As there was no benchmark, standards and acts were available to compare the data collected. Therefore, this finding clearly showed and shall be considered as a base case for studying further on the existence of BTEX and its exposure at drilling rigs. Though the hydrocarbon fractions may contain insignificant quantities of toxic components, such as BTEX, these will evaporate at low-boiling point relatively at higher rates, and thus resulting in higher concentrations of BTEX in the vapour phase than expected (7). Furthermore, the high level of BTEX emissions due to ancillary activities associated with drilling operations were also proven by others (26, 27). Despite the challenges in setting the standards for health exposure of drilling fluid to workers, research studies were conducted by the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) for BTEXs released during agitation of drilling fluids at high pressure and temperature (7). These results were used as an exposure indicator or lowest observed adverse effect level (LOAEL) for workers' exposure to drilling fluids. This indicator may act as a guideline to help decrease the adverse effects of exposure.

b) Identification of Crystalline Silica

The dominant peak as shown in Figure 2a is the XRD analysis result for barite. The samples were analysed at 2θ values from 20to 35. The peak was close to the selected reference data provided for silicon dioxide, as shown in the Figure 2b. This peak was also supported and matched with the crystalline silica XRD analysis by others (28,29).

Table 1: Type of gases to be measured			
	Benzene Detector	Toluene Detector	Xylene Detector
Measurement Range (ppm)	0.1 to 10	10 to 300	10 to 250
No. Pump Stroke	5	1	1
Correction Factor	1	1	1
Sampling Time (minute/stroke)	1.5	1.5	1.5
Detecting Limit (ppm)	0.05	1	1
Color Change	White to Dark Green	White to Brown	White to Brown
Reaction Principle	$C_6H_6+I_2O_5+H_2S_2O_7->I_2$	$C_6H_5CH_3+I_2O_5+H_2SO_4->I_2$	$C_{6}H_{4}(CH_{3})+I_{2}O_{5}+H_{2}SO_{4}->I_{2}$



Figure 2a: XRD analysis for barium sulphate



Figure 3b: XRD analysis for barium sulphate with reference data

Analysis Parameters	Barium Sulphate	
Particle Refractive Index	1.643	
Particle Absorption Index	0.010	
Dispersant Refractive Index	1.330	
Dispersant	Water	

Table 2: Analysis parameters of barium sulphate

The PSD for barite is shown in Table 3, as the cutoff point of $3.5\mu m$ filter in breathing apparatus. There were some portions of particle size, which were below $1\mu m$ and it will strengthen the threat of these particles. Therefore, it will flow through the filter and possibly be inhaled. Further to the particle size and with confirmation of the crystalline silica presence in barite, the threat was imminent to the workers' health .

Conclusions

This paper presents the tangible threats to workers working on drilling operations at drilling rigs, especially activities allied with preparation and recirculation of drilling fluids. The presence of BTEX and crystalline silica in these activities is confirmed by quantitative analysis of samples taken randomly at the sites. Furthermore, other than the BTEX and crystalline silica, the handling of barite is necessary to adhere to the guidelines where its permissible exposure limit (PEL) is at 5mg/m^3 .

Measuring	Results	
Concentration	0.207%	
Span	2.740	
Uniformity	1.064	
Specific Surface Area	713.1m²/kg	
Dv (10)	3.48µm	
Dv (50)	17.8µm	
Dv (90)	52.2µm	

Control measures such as engineering control or PPEs implementation of these activities should also be reviewed and revised to ensure the mitigation of occupational risks. The introduction of new control measures, namely Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Internet of Things (IoT), whichare aligned with the 4th Industrial Revolution such as automation in drilling fluids preparation and during circulation, will benefit the workers as well as companies reputation in the long run. Having considered all the risks related to incidental ingestion and inhalation of BTEX, crystalline silica and fine powder chemicals, below are the proposed control measures to minimise the risks:

- i) Substitution shale shakers to the mud cube system (confined with vacuum)
- ii) Engineering Control in-situ local exhaust ventilation and isolation
- iii) Personal Protective Equipment zero-leak breathing apparatus

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank UTM Razak School for partly supporting this research with the assistance of the grant vot number (R.K130000.7740.4J302). The authors also thank Mr. Mohammad Saiful Omar from UTM UPMU and Shell for their support in conducting the necessary analysis.

Ethical issue

Authors are aware of, and comply with, best practice in publication ethics, specifically with regard to authorship (avoidance of guest authorship), dual submission, manipulation of figures, competing interests and compliance with policies on research ethics. Authors adhere to publication requirements that submitted work is original and has not been published elsewhere in any language.

Competing interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that would prejudice the impartiality of this scientific work.

Authors' contribution

All authors of this study have a complete contribution for data collection, data analyses and manuscript writing.

References

- Nasser J, Jesil A, Mohiuddin T, Ruqeshi M Al, Devi G, Mohataram S. Experimental investigation of drilling fluid performance as nanoparticles. World J Nano Sci Eng. 2013;3:57–61.
- Vryzas Z, Mahmoud O, Nasr-El-Din HA, Kelessidis VC. Development and testing of novel drilling fluids using Fe₂O₃ and SiO₂ nanoparticles for enhanced drilling operations. In: International Petroleum Technology Conference. 2015;1–16.
- Cook J, Growcock F, Guo Q, Hodder M, van Oort E. Stabilizing the wellbore to prevent lost circulation. Oilfield Review. 2011;23:26–35.
- Al-Yasiri MS, Al-Sallami WT. How the drilling fluids can be made more efficient by using nanomaterials. Am J Nano Res Appl. 2015;3(3):41–5.
- Ayotamuno JM, Okparanma RN, Araka PP. Bioaugmentation and composting of oil-field drill-cuttings containing Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs). J Food, Agric Environ. 2009;7(2):658–64.
- Gardner R. Overview and characteristics of some occupational exposures and health risks on offshore oil and gas installations. Ann Occup Hyg. 2003;47(3):201–10.
- Broni-Bediako E, Amorin R. Effects of drilling fluid exposure to oil and gas workers presented with major areas of exposure and exposure indicators. Res J Appl Sci Eng Technol. 2010;2(8):710–9.
- Gardner RJ, Wiig H. Management of occupational health offshore: Still a challenge for the industry? In: Offshore Europe Oil and Gas

Exhibition and Conference. 1999;1-8.

- Okhrimenko A, Thuriere A, Tyczynski P. Evaluation of the respirable crystalline silica content in common oilfield minerals. 2015;2006.
- Olson GM, Meyer BM, Portier RJ. Assessment of the toxic potential of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) affecting Gulf menhaden (Brevoortia patronus) harvested from waters impacted by the BP Deepwater Horizon Spill. Chemosphere. 2016;145:322–8.
- Chen M-R, Tsai P-J, Wang Y-F. Assessing inhalatory and dermal exposures and their resultant health-risks for workers exposed to Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) contained in oil mists in a fastener manufacturing industry. Environ Int. 2008;34(7):971–5.
- Steinsvåg K, Bråtveit M, Moen BE. Exposure to oil mist and oil vapour during offshore drilling in Norway, 1979-2004. Ann Occup Hyg. 2006;50(2):109–22.
- Kazerouni N, Thomas TL, Petralia SA, Hayes RB. Mortality among workers exposed to cutting oil mist: Update of previous reports. Am J Ind Med. 2000;38(4):410–6.
- Stenehjem JS, Kjærheim K, Bråtveit M, Samuelsen SO, Barone-Adesi F, Rothman N, Lan Q, Grimsrud TK. Benzene exposure and risk of lymphohaematopoietic cancers in 25000 offshore oil industry workers. Br J Cancer. 2015;112:1603–12.
- Mitra S, Roy P. BTEX: A serious ground-water contaminant. Res J Environ Sci. 2011;65(5):394–8.
- López E, Schuhmacher M, Domingo JL. Human health risks of petroleum-contaminated groundwater. Environ Sci Pollut Res. 2008;15(3):278–88.
- Gagnaire F, Langlais C. Relative ototoxicity of 21 aromatic solvents. Arch Toxicol. 2005;79(6):346–54.
- Reynier M V., Tâmega FTS, Daflon SDA, Santos MAB, Coutinho R, Figueiredo MAO. Long- and short-term effects of smothering and burial by drill cuttings on calcareous algae in a static-renewal test. Environ Toxicol Chem. 2015;34(7):1572–7.
- Barlow MJ, Kingston PF. Observations on the effects of barite on the gill tissues of the suspension feeder Cerastoderma edule (Linné) and the deposit feeder Macoma balthica (Linné). Mar Pollut Bull. 2001;42(1):71–6.
- Hamed SB, Belhadri M. Rheological properties of biopolymers drilling fluids. J Pet Sci Eng. 2009;67(3–4):84–90.
- Bleiwas DI, Miller MM. Barite—A case study of import reliance on an essential material for oil and gas exploration and development drilling. 2015;2014–5230:1–14.
- 22. Jr. BLF. Longitudinal analysis of the OSHA IMIS Database for worker exposure to crystalline silica dust by industry and comparisons to the OSHA PEL, ACGIH TLV and NIOSH REL. In: ASSE Professional Development Conference and Exposition. 2016;1–17.
- Ahmed J, Taher A, Mulla MZ, Al-Hazza A, Luciano G. Effect of sieve particle size on functional, thermal, rheological and pasting properties of Indian and Turkish lentil flour. J Food Eng. 2016;186:34–41.
- Varga G, Újvári G, Kovács J. Interpretation of sedimentary (sub)populations extracted from grain size distributions of Central European Loess-Paleosol series. Quat Int. 2019;502(Part A):60–70.
- Varga G, Kovács J, Szalai Z, Cserháti C, Újvári G. Granulometric characterization of Paleosols in Loess series by automated static image analysis. Sediment Geol. 2018;370:1–14.
- 26. Field RA, Soltis J, McCarthy MC, Murphy S, Montague DC. Influence of oil and gas field operations on spatial and temporal distributions of atmospheric non-methane hydrocarbons and their effect on ozone formation in winter. Atmos Chem Phys. 2015;15:3527–42.
- 27. Field RA, Soltis JJ, Pérez-Ballesta P, Grandesso E, Montague DC. Distributions of air pollutants associated with oil and natural gas development measured in the upper green river basin of Wyoming. Elem Sci Anthr. 2015;3:1–14.
- Nandanwar R, Purnima S, Fozia ZH. Synthesis and characterization of SiO₂ nanoparticles by sol-gel process and its degradation of methylene blue. Am Chem Sci J. 2015;5(1):1–10.

 Joni IM, Nulhakim L, Vanitha M, Panatarani C. Characteristics of crystalline silica (SiO₂) particles prepared by simple solution method using sodium silicate (Na₂SiO₃) Precursor. J Phys Conf Ser. 2018;1080:1–6.