PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

A new framework for optimisation of Pressurised Water Reactor design as a trigeneration system

To cite this article: K Jamaluddin et al 2019 IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng. 555 012005

View the article online for updates and enhancements.



This content was downloaded from IP address 161.139.222.42 on 22/04/2021 at 05:17

A new framework for optimisation of Pressurised Water **Reactor design as a trigeneration system**

K Jamaluddin^{1, 2}, S R Wan Alwi^{1, 2.a)}, K Hamzah², Z Abdul Manan^{1, 2} and J J Klemeš³

¹Process Systems Engineering Centre (PROSPECT), Research Institute of Sustainable Environment, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 UTM Johor Bahru, Malaysia

²School of Chemical and Energy Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 UTM Johor Bahru, Malaysia

³Sustainable Process Integration Laboratory–SPIL, NETME Centre, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Brno University of Technology-VUT BRNO, Technická 2896/2, 616 69 Brno, Czech Republic

syarifah@utm.my

Abstract. Conventional nuclear power reactors convert between 30 - 35 % only of the total energy input into electricity while the remaining was wasted. The waste heat is sometimes used for desalination processes while the remaining heat is transferred to a cooling media or lost to the surrounding. Therefore, heat from nuclear reactor can be used to produce heat and power, as well as for cooling in a trigeneration system. This paper presents the Trigeneration System Cascade Analysis (TriGenSCA) for an optimal Pressurised Water Reactor (PWR) design. The TriGenSCA framework allows engineers to determine an optimum utility generation system size and estimate the required amount of external utilities. The analysis includes data extraction, cascade analysis for size estimation and calculation of the new trigeneration system size. The technique also enables users to determine accurate results for energy minimisation based on demand fluctuations. Application of the framework on a case study presented on this paper demonstrates the trigeneration PWR system successfully saved energy of 328GWh/y (97 %).

1. Introduction

Trigeneration is a system of technology that produces power, heating and cooling from a single source of fuel. Trigeneration has been one of the most effective use of energy available with a history of more than 100 years [1]. The trigeneration was mainly utilized in a large-scale centralized power plants and industrial applications. Conventionally, production of electricity and heat are by purchasing electricity from the local grid and heat is generated through burning fuel in a boiler. Trigeneration system, however, reuse by-product heat which can be as much as 60 - 80 % of total primary energy for different applications. Most nuclear power plants are almost entirely comprised of PWR [2]. Khamis et al. [3] stated installing a trigeneration system within a nuclear power plant ecosystem allows investors to achieve return on investment within five to six years. Pinch Analysis (PA) is a wellestablished methodology for designing an efficient resource utilization system. Manan et al. [4] first introduced the cascade analysis technique to determine the minimum water targets for water networks.

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

The techniques have been extended to determine the minimum targets for CO_2 [5], resource supply chain and biomass energy generation and storage capacity [6] as well as energy production in integrated energy system such as trigeneration system [7]. Jamaluddin *et al.* [7] developed a novel systematic methodology based on Pinch Analysis for trigeneration system to determine the minimum target for outsourced power, heating and cooling during the first and continuous 24 h operations, and the maximum storage capacity, simultaneously. Varbanov *et al.* [8] introduced Time Slice (TSL) as set of time intervals in integrated energy system to minimize heat waste and carbon footprint of the considered sites. The development of trigeneration system based on Pinch Analysis, however, still open for further development. The objective of this paper is to design an optimum PWR with a trigeneration system and to estimate the amount of external utilities required. The work presented in this paper can help engineers to determine the appropriate size of PWR with a trigeneration system for the load demand, thereby reducing operation cost of the power plant.

2. Methodology

Initially, PWR as a trigeneration system includes a steam generator to generate very high-pressure steam (VHPS) at varying pressures: from high-pressure steam (HPS) to low-pressure steam (LPS). A condenser is used to produce hot water (HW) whereas an absorption chiller is used to produce chilled water (ChW). Figure 1 shows the conceptual design of a trigeneration PWR system. The usage of electricity, heating and cooling as upstream and downstream of the systems is provided. All parameters for simulation are based on PWR NPP in Three Miles Islands [9]. The demand for heating and cooling are taken from [10] for heating and cooling and [11] for electricity. The minimum temperature difference between utility and process as an energy trade-off is set for both sides. As stated by [9], the minimum temperature difference of PWR NPP in Three Miles Island is 27.8 °C. The minimum temperature difference of Plants A, B, C and D are 12 °C [10]. Methodology of designing an optimal design trigeneration PWR system is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 1. Conceptual design of trigeneration PWR system

2.1. Data Extraction

In the first step, data need to be extracted at demand side are the power rating and time for electricity demand requirement, and flow rate, heat capacity, temperature and time for heating and cooling demand requirements from each industrial sites served by the centralized PWR power supply system. In this paper, the methodology is illustrated using an illustrative case study. PWR as a source side for power, heating and cooling can be obtained from [9]. Energy storage systems for power, heating and cooling are also taken into consideration in the total utility sites system. The energy losses due to charging and discharging of power, heating and cooling are considered in the energy storage systems. Energy losses due to the conversion of the power of AC-DC and DC-AC is also considered. Sodium

sulphide (NaS) is used for the power storage system. NaS battery is highly efficient and can accommodate a large storage capacity of up to 245 MWh. Meanwhile, zinc carbonate (ZnCO₃) is used for HPS and LPS storage systems. HW and ChW use Iron (II) hydroxide (Fe(OH)₂) as energy storage systems. ZnCO₃ and Fe(OH)₂ are phase change materials (PCM) which enables them to store important quantities of heat over narrow temperature ranges, without a large volume changes.



Figure 2. Methodology for determining the optimal trigeneration system

2.2. Single Site Utility Problem Table Algorithm

Single Site Utility Problem Table Algorithm (PTA) is proposed by [12] as the second step to determine the Pinch Temperature as well as to conclude values of minimum external heat and cooling needed in the systems. There are four-time slices for industrial Plants A, B, C and D can be determined which are 0 to 6 h, 6 to 17 h, 17 and 20 h and 20 to 24 h, and every time slice is performed through Single Site Utility PTA. On the other hand, the source side of PWR only has one-time slice which is 0 to 24 h since the PWR generates constant power, heating and cooling for 24 h of operation. The methodology of Single Site Utility PTA can be referred to [12].

2.3. Multiple Site Utility Problem Table Algorithm

Liew *et al.* [13] have extended the PTA to identify pockets and target the exact amounts of utilities needed at specific utility temperature interval. Pinch Temperature from Single Site Utility PTA is needed in this method to determine heating and cooling regions. The method is called the Multiple Utility Problem Table Algorithm. The method is conducted as a third step for all four-time slices for industrial Plants A, B, C and D and one time slice for PWR. Further detail of the methodology can be referred in [13].

2.4. Total Site Utility Problem Table Algorithm

Total Site Utility Problem Table Algorithm (TS PTA) proposed by [13] is used as the fourth step to summarize the different utilities from the highest to the lowest temperature. The TS PTA is conducted at different time slices and total external utility requirements can be obtained on different temperatures at every time slices. The construction of TS PTA can be followed as presented by [13].

2.5. Trigeneration System Cascade Analysis

Trigeneration System Cascade Analysis (TriGenSCA) is a numerical technique of Pinch Analysis methodology to determine the optimal storage size and amount of energy needed from the PWR power plant with trigeneration to supply the energy needed in terms of electricity, heating and cooling by the industrial plants. This methodology is modified from the work of [6] which proposed Electric System Cascade Analysis (ESCA) which only cascade power as the utility to the load demand. There are four main steps that can be used in the TriGenSCA which are data extraction, cascade analysis for sizing

estimation, calculation of the new size of trigeneration system, calculation of new sizing of trigeneration system and percentage change of the new and previous sizing of trigeneration system. Iteration method is involved in this method and will be stopped when the percentage change of new and previous sizing of trigeneration system is equal to or less than 0.05%.

In the first step, results from TS PTA for source and demand sides for heating and cooling is used as data in TriGenSCA. Power data for demands side can be obtained directly from [11]. Power data for source side is obtained from [9]. The second step for constructing TriGenSCA is the construction of cascading analysis for sizing estimation is modified from ESCA in [6] work. The detail construction of TriGenSCA can be referred to [7] and can be shown in Table 1. Time interval of 1 h is used to obtain the initial and final energy of the trigeneration system. The initial and final energy of the trigeneration system is used to calculate the new size of the trigeneration system. The calculation of the new size of the trigeneration system is in the third step to determine the optimal energy generation. Equation (1) shows the calculation of the new size of trigeneration system. The calculation of the new size of trigeneration system is iterated until differences between the new and previous size of trigeneration system equal to or less than 0.05%. As stated by [6], a value of 0.05% is set as a tolerance to make sure the accuracy of the results. Percentage change between the previous and new size of trigeneration system need to be iterated until the value is equal to or less than 0.05%. Equation (2) shows that the percentage change between the new and previous sizing of trigeneration system.

$$S_{B(new)} = \frac{F}{\Gamma}$$
(1)

where:

 $S_{B(new)}$ = New size of trigeneration system; $S_B =$ Previous size of trigeneration system; $E_{t(final)}$ = Final energy content at t = 24 h; $E_{t(initial)}$ = Initial energy content at t = 0 h; T_{\pm} Total time duration

$$P = \bigvee_{\sim_{B}} X \tag{2}$$

where; $P_{=}$ Percentage change;

 $S_{B(new)}$ = New size of the trigeneration system;

 $S_B =$ Previous size of the trigeneration system

Time (h)	Demand	(MW)		Energy generation (MW)						
	Power	HPS	LPS	HW	ChW	Power	HPS	LPS	HW	ChW
1	52.25	1.148	78.61	24	44.24	60 •	0.012	64.89 •	97.39	0
24	52.25	1.148	78.61	24	44.24	60	0.012	64.89	97.39	0

Table 1a. Construction of TriGenSCA before iteration

IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 555 (2019) 012005 doi:10.1088/1757-899X/555/1/012005

Table 1b. Construction of TriGenSCA before iteration.											
Net energy	gy requir	rement (M	W)	New net energy requirement (MWh)							
Power	HPS	LPS	HW	ChW	Power	HPS	LPS	HW	ChW		
7.75	-1.14	-13.72	73.39	-44.24	7.75	0	-14.86	15.88	0		
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		
-35.67	-1.14	. -17.72	73.39	. -44.24	-35.67	$\dot{0}$	- 18.86	15.88	0		
	Net energ Power 7.75 -35.67	Power HPS 7.75 -1.14 . . -35.67 -1.14	Table 1b. Table 1b. Net energy requirement (M Power HPS LPS 7.75 -1.14 -13.72 . . . -35.67 -1.14 -17.72	Table 1b. Construct Net energy requirement (MW) Power HPS LPS HW 7.75 -1.14 -13.72 73.39 -35.67 -1.14 -17.72 73.39	Table 1b. Construction of Tri Net energy requirement (MW) Power HPS LPS HW ChW 7.75 -1.14 -13.72 73.39 -44.24 -35.67 -1.14 -17.72 73.39 -44.24	Table 1b. Construction of TriGenSCA I Net energy requirement (MW) New net e Power HPS LPS HW ChW Power 7.75 -1.14 -13.72 73.39 -44.24 7.75 -35.67 -1.14 -17.72 73.39 -44.24 -35.67	Table 1b. Construction of TriGenSCA before ite Net energy requirement (MW) New net energy red Power HPS LPS HW ChW Power HPS 7.75 -1.14 -13.72 73.39 -44.24 7.75 0 -35.67 -1.14 -17.72 73.39 -44.24 -35.67 0	Table 1b. Construction of TriGenSCA before iteration.Net energy requirement (MW)New net energy requirement (MW)PowerHPSLPSHWChWPowerHPSLPS7.75-1.14-13.7273.39-44.247.750-14.8635.67-1.14-17.7273.39-44.24-35.670-18.86	Table 1b. Construction of TriGenSCA before iteration. Net energy requirement (MW) New net energy requirement (MWh) Power HPS LPS HW ChW Power HPS LPS HW 7.75 -1.14 -13.72 73.39 -44.24 7.75 0 -14.86 15.88 -35.67 -1.14 -17.72 73.39 -44.24 -35.67 0 -18.86 15.88		

		Г	Table 1c.	Construct	ion of Tri	on of TriGenSCA before iteration.							
Time	Charging	, energy	(MWh)	Discharging energy (MWh)									
(h)													
	Power	HPS	LPS	HW	ChW	Power	HPS	LPS	HW	ChW			
1	5.58	0	0	12.70	0	0	0	-25.61	0	0			
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			
24	$\dot{0}$	• 0	• 0		0	-49.54	• 0	-32.51	• 0	0			

Table 1d. Construction of TriGenSCA before iteration.											
Time	Cumula	tive ener	gy (MWh)		New cumulative energy (MWh)					
(h)											
	Power	HPS	LPS	HW	ChW	Power	HPS	LPS	HW	ChW	
	0	0	0	0	0 <	811.94	0	153.69	0	0	
1	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Initial	energy conten	
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
24	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
	-811.94	0.13	-91.82	114.32	0 <	0	0.13	61.87	114.32		

Final energy content

2.6. Comparison between Initial and Final Cascade Analysis

The results of initial and final energy contents using TriGenSCA can be compared to determine the total energy that is deficit/excess. A positive value of total energy represents energy in excess whereas a negative value of total energy represents energy in deficit. Figure 3 shows the comparison between the initial and final cascade of PWR as trigeneration system using TriGenSCA. Equation (3) shows the total energy that is required/excess using TriGenSCA. Based on the calculation, the energy that is required from external at initial cascade analysis is 922.15 MWh whereas 22.62 MWh of energy are in excess at final analysis.

$$E_T = \sum \sum (3)$$

where;

 $E_T \equiv$ Total energy required (-)/excess (+);

 $\frac{\sum}{\sum}$

Summation of final energy content;

Summation of initial energy content

3. Conclusions

A new methodology based on the optimisation technique to obtain the optimal size of trigeneration system called the Trigeneration System Cascade Analysis (TriGenSCA) has been developed. The work is an extension of the methodology by [6] which only considered power whereas this method considers power, heating and cooling optimal energy, simultaneously. The development of TriGenSCA can save energy up to 899.53 MWh/d (922.15 MWh - 22.62 MWh = 899.53 MWh) or 328 GWh/y. Work is in progress to extend the methodology to consider cost and maximum energy storage capacity. Development of this methodology can help designers, engineers and energy manager to minimise the total energy usage and operation cost.

4. References

- [1] Wu D W and Wang R Z 2006 Combined cooling, heating and power: A review *Progress in Energy and Combustion Sci.* **32** p 459 495.
- [2] Shaw S 2017 Advantages of pressurized water reactors (PWR) *Physics 241*. From <large.stanford.edu/courses/2017/ph241/shaw-s1/> accessed on 29 July 2018.
- [3] Khamis I, Koshy T and Kavvadias K C 2013 Opportunity for cogeneration in nuclear power plants *The 2013 World Congr. on Advances in Nano, Biomechanics, Robotics, and Energy Research.* (Seoul, Korea: 25-28 August).
- [4] Manan Z A, Foo C Y and Tan Y L 2004 Targeting the minimum water flowrate using water cascade analysis technique *AIChE* **50(12)** p 3169 3183.
- [5] Manan Z A, Wan Alwi S R, Sadiq M M and Varbanov P 2014 Generic carbon cascade analysis technique for carbon emission management. *Appl. Thermal Eng.* **70** (2) p 1141 1147.
- [6] Ho W S, Hashim H, Hassim M H, Muis Z A and Shamsuddin N L M 2012 Design of distributed energy system through Electric System Cascade Analysis (ESCA) *Applied Energy* 99 p 309-315.
- [7] Jamaluddin K, Wan Alwi S R, Manan Z A and Klemeš J J 2018 Pinch analysis methodology for trigeneration and energy storage system design. *Chem. Eng. Trans.* **70** p 1885-1890.
- [8] Varbanov P S and Klemeš J J 2011 Integration and management of renewables into total sites with variable supply and demand *Computers and Chem. Eng.* **35** p 1815-1826.
- [9] Barnes S 2013 Using Pinch Analysis to optimize the Heat Exchanger Network of a regenerative Rankine Cycle for an existing modern Nuclear Power Plant (Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Hartford, Connecticut, USA: MSc Dissertation).
- [10] Liew P Y, Wan Alwi S R, Klemeš J J, Varbanov P S and Abdul Manan Z 2014 Algorithmic targeting for total site heat integration with variable energy supply/demand *Appl.Thermal Eng.* 70 p 1073 – 1083.
- [11] Esmailnajad S and Sundquist J 2014 *Demand side management in Swedish industry* (Chalmers University of Technology. Goteborg, Sweden: MSc. Dissertation).
- [12] Linnhoff B and Flower J R 1978 Synthesis of heat exchanger networks: 1. Systematic generation of energy optimal networks *AIChE J*. **24(4)** p 633-642.
- [13] Liew P Y, Wan Alwi S R, Varbanov P S, Abdul Manan Z and Klemeš J J 2012 A numerical technique for total site sensitivity analysis *App.Thermal Eng.* **40** p 397-408.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Universiti Teknologi Malaysia and the Ministry of Education of Malaysia for the financial support provided under Skim Latihan Akademik Muda (SLAM) and the Research University Fund under Vote Numbers Q.J130000.2509.12H88 and Q.J130000.2546.18H90. The authors also gratefully acknowledge the EC project fund No. CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/15 003/0000456, provided to the Sustainable Process Integration Laboratory-SPIL, which is funded as the Operational Programme Research, Development and Education of the Czech Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports by EU European Structural and Investment Funds, Operational Programme Research, Development and Education agreement with Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.