Synthesis of composite thin-film polymer consisting of tungsten and zinc oxide as hydrogen gas detector

Alister G. Willis^{1,*}, and Saharudin Haron¹

¹Centre of Hydrogen Economy, Institute of Future Energy, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 Johor Bahru, Johor, Malaysia.

Abstract. A composite polymer consisting of polyaniline (PANI) was synthesised via oxidative polymerisation by varying concentrations of transitional metal oxides and the presence of a hydrogen dissociation catalyst, palladium (Pd). The metal oxides chosen for this study were tungsten oxide (WO₃) and zinc oxide (ZnO). The composite polymer samples were characterised using Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy where ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectroscopy was used to observe the optical changes of the thin films due to exposure to hydrogen. The FTIR spectra obtained confirmed the synthesis of PANI composite. Based on the UV-VIS analysis, PANI-ZnO composite polymer showed the highest difference in peak intensity before and after exposure to hydrogen with 11.4% difference.

1 Introduction

Hydrogen (H₂) has gained attention in clean energy research as a fuel source even with the safety risk involved [1]. Firstly, H₂ has a wide range of flammability and capable of leaking through pipelines or containing vessels due to small molecular size [2]. Secondly, it is difficult to detect H₂ because it is colourless and odourless. Most industrial processes such as energy production require 99% and above purity of H₂, where adding additives into the gas as a leak indicator is not applicable for such system. The growth of H₂ economy will lead to the development of massive infrastructures composed of large-scale storage tanks, liquid hydrogen tankers, electrolysis plants, long pipelines, and fuel cell power. Therefore, detection and quantitative estimation of H₂ in a reliable and efficient manner is of excellent value in these applications, not only from a safety standpoint but also economically beneficial [3].

Detection technologies are based on the measurable changes of the sensing material properties. These changes can be physical or chemical such as resistance, electrical current, temperature, dielectric properties, transmittance, bending, and frequency. Currently,

^{*} Corresponding author: agenndi2@live.utm.my

[©] The Authors, published by EDP Sciences. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

hydrogen sensors can be classified into several categories based on the detection mechanism such as catalytic, thermal conductivity, resistivity, electrochemical, acoustic, and optical.

Catalytic sensors are based on the heat output due to the reaction between H_2 and oxygen (O_2) on the surface of the sensor. This type of sensor requires heating of the catalytic bead, which means the sensors are power dependent. Thermal conductivity works on the principle of heat loss from a hot region to the surrounding gas. Due to this principle, this type of sensor can be influenced by ambient temperature fluctuation. A resistive H₂ sensor is based on the resistance change of palladium (Pd). Increased electrical resistance arises due to the formation of palladium hydride. An electrochemical hydrogen sensor is based on the electrochemical reaction at the electrode. Low concentration of H_2 can be detected using this type of sensor and does not require heating [4]. Acoustic sensors detect the acoustic property differences when exposed to H_2 . For optical sensors, the sensing materials change its optical properties when react with H_2 . Commonly used materials are palladium, which reacts with H₂ and changes its optical properties due to structural differences. Over the years, research has been done to improve the existing sensing materials such as faster response time, higher sensitivity, and longer lifetime. Since the discovery of conducting polymer in the mid-19th century [5], these polymers have shown the capability to enhance sensing materials and the possibility to substitute conventional sensing materials.

Polyaniline (PANI) is an intrinsically conducting polymer that consists of two repeating units formed by amine or imine [6]. Conventional synthesis of PANI involves oxidative polymerisation of aniline, which leads to emeraldine salt form [7]. PANI can exist in three different oxidation states [8], namely leucoemeraldine (fully reduced), emeraldine (half oxidised), and pernigraniline (fully oxidised) [9]. In emeraldine form, PANI can drastically change its properties through protonation or deprotonation [10]. Emeraldine base, which is the unprotonated form, is an electrical insulator but with protonation, it is converted to emeraldine salt that conducts electricity close to metals. In this study, composite PANI consisted of transitional metal oxide and Pd was investigated for its optical properties after exposure to H₂. The addition of Pd is to assist in splitting H₂ to H⁺, which is a proton. This study attempted to utilise hydrogen atom as a source for emeraldine base protonation.

2 Experimental methods

2.1 Materials

All materials used in this study were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Co. The materials were tungsten oxide (WO₃) (99.9%), zinc oxide (ZnO) (99.9%), aniline (99%), and methanol (99.8%), as well as palladium chloride (PdCl₂), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), hydrochloric acid (HCl), ammonium persulfate (APS), acetone, N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP), and distilled water.

2.2 Preparation of PANI-ZnO

ZnO (0.2, 0.4, 0.9, and 1.6 g equivalent of 5, 10, 20, and 30 wt. %) was dissolved in 400 ml of 0.1 M aniline in 1 M HCl and stirred for 30 min. 1% w/v of PdCl₂ to aniline was added dropwise into the solution of 0.05 M APS in 1 M HCl while stirring over the period of 30

min. Moderate stirring was continued for 8 h and then the mixture was left to settle overnight. The precipitates were filtered and washed with distilled water until they reached the pH of filtrate. The precipitates were also washed with methanol and acetone to remove oligomers and unreacted monomers. Meanwhile, the polymer was washed with distilled water and left to dry in air for over 24 h. The obtained powder was subjected to 1 M NaOH treatment for conversion to emeraldine base form. The final product of PANI was dissolved in NMP to form 1 wt. % casting solution. The solution was drop casted on a glass substrate and the solvent was left to evaporate completely from the substrate.

2.3 Preparation of PANI-WO₃

PANI was prepared through oxidative polymerisation using a standard procedure [10] with the presence of WO₃ and Pd. 0.1 M aniline was prepared in 1 M HCl. WO₃ was added to the solution in different weight percentages. 1% w/v of PdCl₂ to aniline was added into the solution. The aniline solution was subjected to sonication for 20 min. The solution then was stirred using a magnetic stirrer whereas 0.05 M APS was added dropwise over the period of 6 h. The precipitate was left to settle overnight. The precipitate was filtered and washed with acetone and deionised water until the filtrate became clear. The obtained solid was dried in an oven and ground to powder. WO₃-PANI was treated with 1 M NaOH to convert PANI in salt form into base form. The final product of PANI was dissolved in NMP to form 1 wt. % casting solution. The solution was drop casted on a glass substrate and the solvent was left to evaporate completely from the substrate.

2.4 Characterisation

The obtained powder was characterised using Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy to confirm the synthesis of PANI. The optical properties of PANI composite were investigated using ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectroscopy. Eight different compositions were tested for this study, which were labelled as W5, W10, W20, and W30 for PANI-WO₃ and Z5, Z10, Z20, and Z30 for PANI-ZnO, which correspond to the weight percentages. UV-Vis spectra were obtained before and after exposure to H₂ in order to observe the response. H₂ was set at 0.5 L min⁻¹ and passed through the test chamber.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 FTIR spectra of PANI-WO3

FTIR spectra of PANI-WO₃ composite shown in Figure 1 were observed for each sample in the range of 500–3600 cm⁻¹ to confirm the polymerisation of PANI. All samples showed high peaks in the region of 750–1600 cm⁻¹, which are the characteristics of PANI [11]. The main WO₃ vibrations were found in the regions of 600–1453 cm⁻¹ and 3200–3400 cm⁻¹, which correspond to its bending, stretching, and lattice modes [12].



Fig. 1. FTIR spectra of PANI-WO₃.

3.2 FTIR spectra of PANI-ZnO

FTIR spectra of PANI-ZnO composite were observed for each sample in the range of 600– 3600 cm^{-1} to confirm the polymerisation of PANI as shown in Figure 2. The spectra exhibited characteristic bands at 3200–3300, 2113, 1637, 1583, 1491, 1289, 1221, 1162, 1109–893, 826, and 450 cm⁻¹ [13]. The band at 3200–3300 cm⁻¹ is due to the amine stretching of benzenoid. The peaks at 1583 and 1491 cm⁻¹ are attributed to the C=C stretching of quinoid and benzenoid rings, respectively. The emeraldine base structure is indicated by the peaks at 1289 cm⁻¹. Furthermore, the band at 1162 cm⁻¹ is due to the imine unit in the quinoid ring of PANI-ZnO nanocomposite [14].



Fig. 2. FTIR spectra of PANI-ZnO.

3.3 UV-Vis analysis

The samples were analysed using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer to measure the peak before and after the samples were exposed to H₂. The results of UV-Vis test were evaluated between the ranges of 190 and 1100 nm. Then, the range was scaled down at the peak of 600 nm, which corresponds to the exciton formation in the imine nitrogen [15]. Figure 3 shows the result of PANI-WO₃ composite samples before exposure to H₂. It was observed that the increase of WO₃ caused the peak intensity to increase as well.



Fig. 3. UV-Vis spectra of PANI-WO₃ before H₂ exposure.

Figure 4 shows the result of UV-Vis spectroscopy for PANI-WO₃ after it passed through H₂. The results showed that the peak intensity of the samples increased after exposure to H₂. The increased intensity indicates the relative amount of quinoid imine unit in PANI molecules [16]. After exposure to H₂, H⁺ delocalised the amine unit, which leads to the increased relative amount of quinoid imine unit [17]. This increment explains the increased peak intensity.



Fig. 4. UV-Vis spectra of PANI-WO₃ after H₂ exposure.

Figure 5 shows the difference of peak intensity for PANI-WO₃ before and after the samples passed through H_2 . W30 had the highest peak difference of up to 11.3% compared to others. It can be concluded that W30 is the best composition to be used as a hydrogen sensor as it has the highest detection for PANI-WO₃ composite compared to others.



Fig. 5. Difference in peak intensity for PANI-WO₃.

Figure 6 shows the overall result of PANI-ZnO samples before exposure to H_2 . Based on the results, an increase of ZnO content in the composite reduced the transmittance. After that, the samples were placed inside a vessel. Figure 7 shows the UV-Vis spectra of the samples after exposure to H_2 . It was observed that all samples showed an increased peak intensity whereas Z30 peak seemed to be shifted to the left. A further study is needed to investigate the shifting of this peak.



Fig. 6. UV-Vis spectra of PANI-ZnO before H₂ exposure.



Fig. 7. UV-Vis spectra of PANI-ZnO after H₂ exposure.

Figure 8 shows the peak difference for PANI-ZnO before and after the samples were exposed to H₂. Z10 had the highest difference up to 11.4 % compared to others. It can be concluded that Z10 is the best composition for a hydrogen sensor as it has the highest detection for PANI-ZnO composite compared to others. The findings are similar to a study where decreased peak intensity occurred when the weight percentage of ZnO increased. This indicates the reduction of singlet exciton generation due to the presence of ZnO and might interact with the interchain of PANI species [18].



Fig. 8. Difference in peak intensity for PANI-ZnO.

Based on the results obtained, a comparison was made between PANI-ZnO and PANI-WO₃ samples. The samples showed a distinct difference between the two types of composite, where increased content of WO₃ increased the peak intensity while it was the opposite for PANI-ZnO samples. However, both composites showed an increase in peak intensity after exposure to H₂, with sample Z10 showed the highest response among all the samples. Therefore, a further study is needed to optimise the performance of the sensing film.

4 Conclusion

This study consists of two different composite polymers, which are PANI-WO₃ and PANI-ZnO composite polymers. The samples were successfully prepared and characterised using FTIR spectroscopy and UV-Vis spectroscopy. For FTIR analysis, the peaks obtained were discussed and it is shown that the spectra are similar with a previous study. The characterisation of the composite polymers by FTIR spectra identified that different weight percentages of metal oxide resulted in similar absorption peaks. Based on UV-Vis analysis, it was shown that increased of ZnO content in the composite caused the decrease of peaks. In contrast, an opposite pattern was detected for PANI-WO₃ composite. Based on the results, Z10 showed the highest difference in peak intensity before and after exposure to H₂ with 11.4% difference.

This work was financially supported by Universiti Teknologi Malaysia under the Research University Grant Q.J130000.2646.15J62 and the Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia.

References

- R. Chen, X. Ruan, W. Liu, C. Stefanini, Int. J. of Hydrog. Energy, 40(1), 746–751 (2015)
- A. Kumar, P. Zhang, A. Vincent, R McCormack, R. Kalyanaraman, H. J. Cho, S. Seal, Sens Actuators B Chem., 155(2), 884-892 (2011)
- T. Hubert, L. Boon-Brett, G. Black, U. Banach, Sens Actuators B Chem., 157(2), 329-352 (2011)
- W. Li, D.M. Jang, S.Y. An, D. Kim, S. Hong, H. Kim, Sens Actuators B Chem., 160(1), 1020-1025 (2011)
- 5. G. Inzelt, Conducting Polymers (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2008)
- 6. W.S. Huang, B.D. Humphrey, A.G. MacDiarmid, J. Chem. Soc., 82, 2385 (1986)
- 7. A.G. MacDiarmid, J.C. Chiang, A.F. Richter, A.J. Epstein, Synth. Met., 18, 285 (1987)
- 8. J. Stejskal, P. Kratochvil, N. Radhakrishnan, Synth. Met., 61, 225-231 (1993)
- 9. A. Ray, G.E. Asturias, D.L. Kershner, A.F. Richter, A.G. MacDiarmid, Synth. Met., 29, 141 (1989)
- 10. Y. Cao, P. Smith, A.J. Heeger, Synth. Met., 32, 263 (1989)
- 11. J. Diaz-Reyes, V. Dorantes-Garcia, A. Perez-Benitez, J.A. Balderas-Lopez, Superf. vacio, 21(2), 12-17 (2008)
- 12. P. R. Somani, R. Marimuthu, A.B Mandale, Polymer, 42(7), 2991-3001 (2001)
- T. Mathavan, J. Archana, Y. Hayakawa, K. Anitha, M.A. Jothirajan, A. Divya, A. Milton, Int. J. ChemTech Res., 7(3), 1253-1258 (2014)
- 14. F. Alvi, M.K. Ram, H. Gomez, R.K. Joshi, A. Kumar, Polym. J., 42(12), 935 (2010)
- 15. A.P. Monkman, J.L. Bredas, R.R. Chance, *Conjugated polymeric materials* (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1990)
- 16. J.F. Wolf, C.E. Forbes, S. Gould, L.W. Shacklette, J. Elect. Soc., 136(10), 2887 (1989)
- 17. J.E. Albuquerque, L.H.C Mattoso, D.T. Balogh, R.M. Faria, J.G. Masters, A.G. MacDiarmid, Synth. Met., **113**, 19 (2000)
- 18. S. Ameen, M.S. Akhtar, Y.S. Kim, O. Yang, H. Shin, Colloid Polym. Sci., 289(14), 415-421 (2011)

Acknowledgement

This work was financially supported by the Universiti Teknologi Malaysia under the Research University Grants Q.J130000.2646.15J62 and Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia.