

CHARACTERIZATION OF KAOLIN CLAY TREATED WITH GROUND
GRANULATED BLAST-FURNACE SLAG

YOUSEF HAMDAN YOUSEF ALMTHAILEE

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Geotechnics)

School of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

December 2019

DEDICATION

This project is dedicated to my family

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First and foremost, all praises be to Allah S.W.T, the Almighty, for the blessings during the whole of my research work to complete the research successfully.

I would like to express my deep and sincere gratitude to my enthusiastic supervisor, Dr. Nor Zurairahetty Mohd Yunus. Her dynamism, vision, sincerity and motivation have deeply inspired me. She taught me the methodology to carry out the research and to present the research works as clearly as possible. It was a great privilege and honor to work and study under her guidance .Without her leadership this project would not have been possible.

I am also hugely appreciative to Mr. Muhammad Azril Hezmi, especially for sharing his taxonomic expertise so willingly, and for being so dedicated to his role as my co-supervisor

I am extremely grateful to my parents for their love, prayers, caring and sacrifices for educating and preparing me for my future. I am very much thankful to my wife for her love, understanding, sacrifices, prayers and continuing support to complete this project. Also I express my thanks to my sister for her support and valuable prayers.

ABSTRACT

This study was carried out to identify the optimization of GGBS improved the properties of kaolin clay. It is in line with the sustainability approach which concern with the safe reuse, management and disposal of waste material. GGBS collected from local supplier in Johor Bahru was used to improve the geotechnical properties of kaolin clay supplied from a local supplier in Johor Bahru, Malaysia. Treated specimens were prepared at different percentages of GGBS 5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, and 25% and at different curing periods of 7, 14, and 28 days, respectively. Particle size distribution, Atterberg limits, and specific gravity tests were conducted on kaolin clay. Standard proctor test was conducted on both untreated and treated kaolin clay prior preparation of specimen for unconfined compressive strength test (UCS). In addition, consolidated undrained (CU) triaxial tests were conducted for details strength characteristics on development of the treated kaolin clay. It was found that the maximum dry density and the optimum moisture content do not change significantly with the increase of GGBS content. In addition, unconfined compressive strength for the treated kaolin clay shows the highest strength gained after 28 days. Treated kaolin clay with 25% GGBS shows the highest strength gained which shows the highest UCS is 8.75 MPa. At 7 days curing period consolidated undrained (CU) Triaxial test showed that the effective cohesion and friction angle increment of treated kaolin clay with 20% reach up to 417.73%, and 148.17% respectively, of the untreated kaolin clay effective cohesion and friction angle. Overall, the addition of GGBS is very effective in the treatment of kaolin clay due to its promising strength at earlier stages.

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini dijalankan untuk mengenalpasti kadar optimum Ground Granulated Blast-Furnace Slag (GGBS) yang meningkatkan sifat tanah liat kaolin. Ia adalah sejajar dengan pendekatan kemampanan yang berkaitan dengan penggunaan semula, pengurusan dan pembuangan bahan buangan yang selamat. GGBS diperoleh dari pembekal tempatan di Johor Bahru digunakan untuk meningkatkan sifat geoteknik tanah liat kaolin. Spesimen yang dirawat disediakan pada kandungan GGBS yang berbeza iaitu 5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, dan 25% dan pada tempoh pengawetan yang berbeza masing-masing adalah pada 7, 14 dan 28 hari. Pengagihan saiz zarah, had Atterberg, dan ujian graviti spesifik dilakukan pada tanah liat kaolin. Ujian proctor piawai dijalankan pada kedua-dua tanah liat kaolin yang tidak dirawat dan dirawat terlebih dahulu sebelum melaksanakan ujian kekuatan mampatan yang tidak terkandung (UCS). Di samping itu, ujian triaksial yang tidak dikendalikan (CU) telah dijalankan untuk ciri-ciri kekuatan terperinci mengenai pembangunan tanah liat kaolin yang dirawat. Telah didapati bahawa kepadatan kering maksimum dan kandungan lembapan optimum tidak berubah dengan ketara dengan peningkatan kandungan GGBS. Di samping itu, kekuatan mampatan yang tidak terkandung untuk tanah liat kaolin yang dirawat menunjukkan kekuatan tertinggi yang diperoleh selepas 28 hari. Tanah liat kaolin yang dirawat dengan 25% GGBS menunjukkan kekuatan tertinggi yang diperolehi yang menunjukkan UCS tertinggi ialah 8.75 MPa. Pada 7 hari ujian pengawetan yang tidak dikendalikan (CU) Triaxial menunjukkan bahawa kenaikan sudut perpaduan dan geseran yang berkesan terhadap tanah liat kaolin yang dirawat dengan 20% masing-masing mencapai 417.73% dan 148.17%, dari kaolin tanah liat yang berkesan dan sudut geseran yang tidak dirawat. Secara keseluruhannya, penambahan GGBS sangat berkesan dalam merawat tanah liat kaolin kerana kekuatannya menjanjikan pada peringkat awal.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xvii
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem statement	2
	1.3 Significance of Study	3
	1.4 Objective of the Study	4
	1.5 Scope of the Study	4
	1.5.1 Material	5
	1.5.2 Testing	5
	1.6 Thesis Outline	5
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
	2.1 Introduction	7
	2.2 Properties of Kaolin Clay	8
	2.3 Ground granulated blast –furnace slag (GGBS)	12
	2.4 Effect of GGBS on Carbonation	13

2.5	Previous studies on clay stabilization with GGBS and other additives	19
2.5.1	Effect of GGBS on compaction characteristics	20
2.5.2	GGBS effects on unconfined compressive strength on the treated soil	25
2.5.3	GGBS effects on consolidated undrained (CU) triaxial parameters on the treated soil	28
2.6	Summary	31
CHAPTER 3	METHODOLOGY	33
3.1	Introduction	33
3.2	Sample Collection and Preparation	35
3.3	Laboratory Tests on the untreated Kaolin clay	36
3.3.1	Specific Gravity	36
3.3.2	Particle size distribution	37
3.3.3	Atterberg Limits	38
3.4	Laboratory testing on treated Kaolin clay	39
3.4.1	Compaction test	39
3.3.2	Unconfined compressive strength test	40
3.3.3	Consolidated Undrained (CU) Triaxial test	43
3.5	Summary	45
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	47
4.1	Introduction	47
4.2	Characterization of materials	47
4.3	Effect of GGBS on treated Kaolin	48
4.3.1	Effect of GGBS contents on particle size distribution of kaolin	49
4.3.2	Effect of GGBS on compaction test	50
4.3.3	Effect of GGBS on Unconfined Compressive Strength	51
4.3.4	Effect of GGBS on shear strength parameters	58
4.4	Summary	65

CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	67
5.1	Result Summarization	67
5.2	Recommendations	69
REFERENCES		71

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	chemical properties of natural kaolin soil from previous findings	11
Table 2.2	Previous findings of physical properties and chemical composition of kaolin clay	11
Table 2.3	Chemical composition of GGBS	12
Table 2.4	Properties of GGBS	13
Table 2.5	Comparison of the environmental impacts of GGBS and Portland cement	14
Table 2.6	Environmental benefits of replacing 50% of the Portland cement in a typical concrete mix, with GGBS or PFA	15
Table 2.7	Chemical Composition Range of Steel Slags with regards to furnace type	18
Table 2.8	Comparison of mechanical properties of steel slag and natural aggregate	19
Table 2.9	Compaction tests results from previous findings	21
Table 2.10	Samples mixtures codes	26
Table 2.11	CU Triaxial test results	29
Table 3.1	Number of sample for each UCS test	43
Table 4.1	Summary of PH, Particle size distribution, specific gravity and Atterbeg limits results	48
Table 4.2	Summary of compaction test results	50
Table 4.3	Untreated kaolin clay CU triaxial tests conditions at failure	59
Table 4.4	Treated kaolin clay with 15% GGBS CU triaxial tests conditions at failure	60
Table 4.5	Treated kaolin clay with 20% GGBS CU triaxial tests conditions at failure	60
Table 4.6	Stress path parameters of CU triaxial tests results	63

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Map of Malaysia shows the location of kaolin deposits	8
Figure 2.2	Clay minerals: basic units	9
Figure 2.3	Structure of a) kaolinite b) illite	10
Figure 2.4	Types of slag, according to the melted metal type and the cooling method	15
Figure 2.5	Minerals of air-cooled blast furnace slag	16
Figure 2.6	Schematic illustration of basic oxygen furnace and electric arc furnace	17
Figure 2.7	Relationship between residual lime, precipitated lime and total lime content in steel slag.	18
Figure 2.8	MMD of stabilized soft soil with GGBS	22
Figure 2.9	Effect of GGBFS on the maximum dry unit weight of clayey soils	22
Figure 2.10	OMC and MMD of various BC soil and GGBS percentages	23
Figure 2.11	OMC of stabilized soft soil with GGBS	24
Figure 2.12	Effect of GGBFS on the water content of clayey soils	25
Figure 2.13	Unconfined compressive strength and compaction tests results (Pathak et al, 2014)	26
Figure 2.14	Unconfined compressive strength and compaction tests results (Ling et al., 2019)	27
Figure 2.15	UCS of various BC soil and GGBS percentages for different curing periods of 7days, 14 days and 28 days	28
Figure 2.16	Triaxial behavior of 5% slag-treated colluvial soil	29
Figure 2.17	CIU critical stress path for treated soft clay with different contents of lime	30
Figure 3.1	Flow chart of testing programme	34
Figure 3.2	Sample of kaolin clay collected from local supplier	35

Figure 3.3	Sample of GGBS collected from local supplier	35
Figure 3.4	Specific Gravity tests apparatus	37
Figure 3.5	Horiba LA-960 laser diffraction analyzer	37
Figure 3.6	Liquid limit cone penetrometer	38
Figure 3.7	Plastic limit test's process	39
Figure 3.8	Compaction test equipment	40
Figure 3.9	UCS mould for specimen preparation	41
Figure 3.10	Hydraulic Specimen Extruder	42
Figure 3.11	Unconfined compressive strength machine	42
Figure 3.12	ELE Digital Tritest 50 Load Frame	44
Figure 3.13	Triaxial Testing Accessories	44
Figure 3.14	Triaxial consolidation curve	45
Figure 4.1	Kaolin clay, GGBS and kaolin clay mixed with GGBS at different percentages particle size distribution curves	49
Figure 4.2	Effect of different percentage of GGBS on the optimum moisture content of Kaolin clay	50
Figure 4.3	Effect of different percentage of GGBS on the maximum dry density	51
Figure 4.4	Effect of GGBS contents on the unconfined compressive strength of treated kaolin for different curing periods	53
Figure 4.5	Effect of curing period on the unconfined compressive strength of GGBS treated kaolin	54
Figure 4.6	Strength gained for different proportions of GGBS within the curing periods for (a) 5%, (b) 10%, (c) 15%, (d) 20%, and (e) 25% of GGBS, respectively	57
Figure 4.7	Effective principal stress ratio for different additive content	61
Figure 4.8	Triaxial behaviour of untreated kaolin clay	61
Figure 4.9	Triaxial behaviour of treated kaolin clay with 15% of GGBS	62

Figure 4.10	Triaxial behaviour of treated kaolin clay with 20% of GGBS	62
Figure 4.11	Stress path of untreated kaolin clay	64
Figure 4.12	Stress path of treated kaolin clay with 15% of GGBS cured for 7 days	64
Figure 4.13	Stress path of treated kaolin clay with 20% of GGBS cured for 7 days	65

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

GGBS	Ground Granulated Blast-Furnace Slag
MDD	Maximum Dry Density
OMC	Optimum Moisture Content
UCS	Unconfined Compressive Strength
CU	Consolidated Undrained

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Al^{3+}	-	Aluminum
c	-	Cohesion
Ca^{2+}	-	Calcium
CaO	-	Calcium Oxide
Fe^{3+}	-	Ferrum
FeO	-	Ferrous Oxide
H^+	-	Hydrogen
K^+	-	Potassium
kN	-	Kilo Newton
L.O.I	-	Loss On Ignition
m	-	Meter
Mg^{+2}	-	Magnesium
MgO	-	Magnesium Oxide
mm	-	Millimeter
Na^+	-	Sodium
Si^{+2}	-	Silicon
TiO_2	-	Titanium Dioxide

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Particle Size Distribution Test Graphs	77
Appendix B	Compaction Test Graphs	81
Appendix C	Unconfined Compressive Strength Test Raw Data	84
Appendix D	Consolidated Undrained (CU) Triaxial Raw Data	104

CHAPTER 1

INTROUDUCTION

1.1 Background:

In Malaysia, over 100 million tons of kaolin deposits reserves have been found among the country placed in the states of Perak, Johor, Kelantan, Selangor, Pahang and Sarawak (Baiousmy & Ibrahim 2012). Kaolin clay is the weak soil that has the ability to shrinkage when dry and swells when wet. Shrinkage and swelling of subgrade soil may lead to the failure of the structures. Kaolin clay may cause a failure in foundation footings, foundation wall, landslides and roadways. Sometimes these damages can be repaired.

Clayey soils are usually associated with compressibility problems combine with variation of the moisture content due to rainfall and ground water fluctuation. Kaolinite a type of clay is within the soils that are affected by these issues (Abdulhussein *et al.*, 2014). Also, kaolinitic is geochemically and industrially very versatile (Manju *et al.*, 2001) which is used in many industrial products including construction materials and healthcare products. The minerals are formed under tropical weathering conditions in areas where precipitation is relatively high, and where drainage is good, which enables leaching of cations and iron from acidic granitic rocks (Mitchell, 1981).

When a construction is built on this type of soil many problems are expected to take place like severe settlement, heave, and failure of the construction. Considering the high coverage of Malaysia areas with problematic soil effective and attractive soil stabilization methods are required. In Malaysia, the use of chemically stabilized soil is still uncommon practically, assigned to its high cost corresponding to the production cost and environmental uncertainties of bituminous mix and concrete (Eisazadeh *et al.*, 2013).

Previous experiences showed that there are two major problems in constructing in soft clay soft layer and those are the stability of soil deposit and the long term excessive settlement. Those two problem need to be considered in design and construction stages.

Soil stabilization is a technique used to improve soil engineering properties. It is divided in to two types, the first is physical stabilization, which soil is modified to improve mainly its engineering properties, and other type is chemical stabilization, as chemical additive added to the soil profile to enhance not only its engineering properties but also its chemical characteristics.

Chemical stabilization using Portland cement (PC) is a worldwide method used to improve soil characteristics to provide a workable platform for construction (Brandon *et al.*, 2009). However, the production of Portland cement is responsible for 5% of global CO₂ emissions. The chemical mechanism inherent in Portland cement production generates large amounts of CO₂ and it is excessively energy-intensive as one ton of Portland cement consume around 5000 mega joule of energy and produced around 0.95 ton of CO₂ (Higgins, 2007). alternatively some studies examining uses of Ground granulated blast –furnace slag (GGBS) and found the positive effects of GGBS on Engineering characteristics of clays (Cokca *et al.*, 2009; Sivrikaya *et al.*, 2014). In this study the effect of GGBS as chemical stabilizer on the behaviour of the kaolin clay is investigated in term of the physical and engineering properties.

1.2 Problem statement:

Kaolin clay is problematic soil in term of physical and engineering properties. The fluctuation of water content crucially effect the behavior of kaolin clay caused by rainfall and ground water level's variation. As the volume of the kaolin clay is expected to change significantly with the water content fluctuation. As well as the strength of the kaolin clay is low and don't meet with the most of the engineering structure requirement and may lead to instability and severe defects on the

constructed structure. Conventional compaction method is not efficient and ineffective in improving kaolin clay behavior.

.Conventional soil replacement consists of excavating poor or inadequate bearing material and replacing it with stiffer and stronger material. This is commonly used when bearing soil is weak and very compressible. This method is very efficient when the thickness of kaolin clay layer is small otherwise it will reflect on the cost.

Chemical stabilization using Portland cement has been extensively used as binder in soil stabilization, and its effective on stabilizing various types of soil, but as reported that the cement industry have high pollution contribution worldwide including many harmful emissions to the environment a sustainable approach like using Ground granulated blast –furnace slag (GGBS) an industrial steel by product has been encouraged as a novel replacement to PC in many engineering materials. Adding GGBS to Kaolin clay will improve kaolin clay physical and engineering properties and will minimize the need for other chemical stabilizer and reduce the emission of carbon to the atmosphere.

1.3 Significance of Study

This study was carried out to assist the sustainability approach of promoting Ground granulated blast –furnace slag as effective soil stabilizer. This could improve the physical and engineering properties of the soil and reduces the environmental pollution. However, Chemical soil stabilization is the foremost well-known procedure for treatment of soft clay soil with poor engineering characteristics. Cement and lime are the common conventional stabilizers that have been used for improving the soil. Nevertheless, the excessive environmental and economic issues related with cement and lime increases the demand of identifying new more sustainable options. The influence of utilizing GGBS as soil stabilizer is not well defined, as the studies which discussed the characteristics of soils treated with only GGBS have been carried out by few researchers (Cokca *et al.*, 2009; Pathak *et al.*, 2014; Yi *et al.*, 2012; Kumar *et al.*, 2012; Sivrikaya *et al.*, 2014).

However, no studies used GGBS only as stabilizing agent for kaolin clay have been published to date. Thus, the influence of treated soil with GGBS on the consolidated undrained (CU) triaxial parameters have not been discussed in previous studies the only expiation for this is (Pathak *et al.*, 2014) study, but the type of triaxial test which was conducted on his study was not clearly defined. Furthermore the well-defined characteristics of the treated soil using GGBS obviously increase the utilizing of GGBS as alternative of the natural resources and decrease the energy consumption applied for manufacturing of the common soil stabilizers wish includes Portland cement. On the other hand reduce the environmental risks of utilizing manufactured chemical compounds as soil stabilizers.

1.4 Objective of study:

The aim of this study is to identify the optimum proportion of Ground granulated blast –furnace slag (GGBS) in order to improve the geotechnical properties of Kaolin Clay. The objectives of this study are:

- 1) To determine the physical properties of Ground granulated blast –furnace slag (GGBS) and Kaolin Clay.
- 2) To identify the effect of various proportions of Ground granulated blast – furnace slag (GGBS) on strength characteristics of kaolin at different curing periods.
- 3) To verify the changes in the morphology and mineral structure due to strength development of the treated Kaolin clay.

1.5 Scope of the study:

The study investigated the influence of GGGBS as stabilizing agent on kaolin clay engineering characteristics and considered GGBS is the main and only additive for kaolin clay treatment. It further focused on the compaction and strength parameters development. Appropriate research methodological procedures and

relevant techniques were adopted. The current research study was limited to the strength characteristics and strength development.

1.5.1 Material:

Soil used in this study is Kaolin Clay supplied by a local factory. Meanwhile Ground granulated blast –furnace slag (GGBS) is obtained from Local source in Johor Bahru, Malaysia.

1.5.2 Testing:

Physical tests to be carried out on Kaolin Clay and GGBS alone are Atterberg limit, Particle Size Analysis, Specific gravity, and standard proctor compaction.

The effect of GGBS content with various curing periods on kaolin is determined by unconfined compression tests (UCS) and standard proctor compaction test. GGBS contents of (5, 10, 15, 20 and 25%) are prepared at different curing periods of 0,7,14 and 28 days, respectively.

In addition triaxial compression consolidated undrained (CU) test will be carried out for the stabilized Kaolin clay at the selected percentages samples at 7 days curing period to determine the development of the effective strength parameters (i.e cohesion and friction angle) of the treated soil.

1.6 Thesis Outline:

Chapter 1: This chapter presented the general introduction of the study. This included the problem statement, the objectives, and the scope of the research.

Chapter 2: This chapter comprised a historical and relevant literature review from previous studies on kaolin clay stabilization with different additives and the improvements on its properties.

Chapter 3: This chapter generally presented and justified the research methodology and material collection techniques. It covered a discussion of the laboratory tests used in this study, and data analysis techniques that will be used.

Chapter 4: This chapter discusses and analysis the tests results in comparison with the previous findings on the subject matter of stabilizing kaolin clay with GGBS.

Chapter 5: This chapter provides the study results summarization which was discussed in the chapter 4, and the recommendation about which proportion is the optimum proportion that can be used to stabilize the Kaolin clay.

REFERENCES

- Abdulhussein Saeed, K., Anuar Kassim, K., & Nur, H. (2014). Physicochemical characterization of cement treated kaolin clay. *Građevinar*, 66(06.), 513-521.
- Awoyera, P., Adekeye, A., & Babalola, O. (2015). Influence of electric arc furnace (EAF) slag aggregate sizes on the workability and durability of concrete. *International Journal of Engineering and Technology (IJET)*, 7(3), 1049-1056.
- Baioumy, H., & Ibrahim, A. R. (2012). Mineralogical variations among the kaolin deposits in Malaysia. Paper presented at the Annual International Conference on Geological and Earth Science.
- Brandon, T. L., Brown, J. J., Daniels, W. L., DeFazio, T. L., Filz, G. M., Mitchell, J. K., Forsha, C. (2009). Rapid Stabilization/Polymerization of Wet Clay Soils; Literature Review: Virginia Polytechnic Inst and State Univ Blacksburg Dept of Civil Engineering.
- Cheah, C. B., Chung, K. Y., Ramli, M., & Lim, G. K. (2016). The engineering properties and microstructure development of cement mortar containing high volume of inter-grinded GGBS and PFA cured at ambient temperature. *Construction and Building Materials*, 122, 683-693.
- Chen, P.-Y., Lin, M.-L., & Zheng, Z. (1997). On the origin of the name kaolin and the kaolin deposits of the Kauling and Dazhou areas, Kiangsi, China. *Applied Clay Science*, 12(1-2), 1-25.
- Cokca, E., Yazici, V., & Ozaydin, V. (2009). Stabilization of expansive clays using granulated blast furnace slag (GBFS) and GBFS-cement. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*, 27(4), 489.
- Cyr, M., Aubert, J., Husson, B., & Clastres, P. (2004). Recycling waste in cement based materials: a studying methodology. Paper presented at the RILEM Proceedings of the Conference on the Use of Recycled Materials in Building and Structures, Barcelona, Spain.

- Das, B. M. (2013). *Advanced soil mechanics*: Crc Press.
- Das, B., Prakash, S., Reddy, P., & Misra, V. (2007). An overview of utilization of slag and sludge from steel industries. *Resources, conservation and recycling*, 50(1), 40-57.
- Eisazadeh, A., Kassim, K. A., & Nur, H. (2012). Stabilization of tropical kaolin soil with phosphoric acid and lime. *Natural Hazards*, 61(3), 931-942. doi: 10.1007/s11069-011-9941-2
- Goodarzi, A. R., & Movahedrad, M. (2017). Stabilization/solidification of zinc-contaminated kaolin clay using ground granulated blast-furnace slag and different types of activators. *Applied geochemistry*, 81, 155-165.
- Grim, R. E., Bray, R. H., & Bradley, W. F. (1937). The mica in argillaceous sediments. *American Mineralogist: Journal of Earth and Planetary Materials*, 22(7), 813-829.
- Grubeša, I. N., Barisic, I., Fucic, A., & Bansode, S. S. (2016). *Characteristics and uses of steel slag in building construction*: Woodhead Publishing.
- Higgins, D. (2005). *Soil stabilisation with ground granulated blastfurnace slag*. UK Cementitious Slag Makers Association (CSMA), 1-15.
- Higgins, D. (2007). *Briefing: GGBS and sustainability*: Thomas Telford Ltd.
- Ho, M.-H., Tarmizi, A., Chan, C.-M., & Bakar, I. (2011). Leachability and strength of kaolin stabilized with cement and rubber. *International Journal of Sustainable Construction Engineering and Technology*, 2(1).
- Indraratna, B. (1996). Utilization of lime, slag and fly ash for improvement of a colluvial soil in New South Wales, Australia. *Geotechnical & Geological Engineering*, 14(3), 169-191.
- Ingles, O. G., & Metcalf, J. B. (1972). *Soil stabilization principles and practice*.
- Kalianan, S., & Chan, C.-M. (2017). 1-D Compressibility parameters of lightly solidified dredged marine soil (DMS) using cement, ggbs and coarse sand. *international journal of geomate*, 12(33), 167-171.

- Knappett, J., & Craig, R. F. (2012). *Craig's soil mechanics*: CRC press.
- Kubliha, M., Trnovcová, V., Ondruška, J., Štubňa, I., Bošák, O., & Kaljuvee, T. (2017). Comparison of dehydration in kaolin and illite using DC conductivity measurements. *Applied Clay Science*, 149, 8-12.
- Kumar Sharma, A., & Sivapullaiah, P. (2012). Improvement of strength of expansive soil with waste granulated blast furnace slag *GeoCongress 2012: State of the Art and Practice in Geotechnical Engineering* (pp. 3920-3928).
- Manju, C., Nair, V. N., & Lalithambika, M. (2001). Mineralogy, geochemistry and utilization study of the Madayi kaolin deposit, North Kerala, India. *Clays and clay minerals*, 49(4), 355-369.
- Manjunath, K., Kuma, B., Kumar, K., Imran, M., & Mahto, N. K. (2012). Stabilization of red soil using ground granulated blast furnace slag. Paper presented at the Proceedings of International Conference on Advances in Architecture and Civil Engineering (AARCV 2012).
- Mitchell, J. K. (1981). Soil improvement-state of the art report. Paper presented at the Proc., 11th Int. Conf. on SMFE.
- Mochón, J., Quintana, M. J., Ruiz-Bustinza, I., Ojeda, R. G., Garcia, E. M., Fernández, M. Á. B., & González, L. F. V. (2012). Protection mechanisms for blast furnace crucible using titanium oxides. *Metallurgical and Materials Engineering*, 18(3), 195-202.
- Murray, H. H. (2000a). Clays. *Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*, 6th Edition. Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH, Weinheim, Germany, 30pp.
- Murray, H. H. (2000b). Traditional and new applications for kaolin, smectite, and palygorskite: a general overview. *Applied Clay Science*, 17(5-6), 207-221.
- Murray, H. H. (2006). *Applied clay mineralogy: occurrences, processing and applications of kaolins, bentonites, palygorskitesepiolite, and common clays* (Vol. 2): Elsevier.

- Nationalslag.org. (2019). Common Uses for Slag | National Slag Association. [online] Available at: <http://www.nationalslag.org/common-uses-slag> [Accessed 4 Oct. 2019].
- Ogola, P. F. A. (2009). ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT GENERAL PROCEDURES. Short Course IV on Exploration for Geothermal Resources(UNU-GTP, KenGen and GDC, at Lake Naivasha, Kenya).
- Oza, J., & Gundaliya, P. (2013). Study of black cotton soil characteristics with cement waste dust and lime. *Procedia Engineering*, 51, 110-118.
- Pathak, A. K., Pandey, V., Murari, K., & Singh, J. (2014). Soil stabilisation using ground granulated blast furnace slag. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications*, 4(5), 164-171.
- Pappu, A., Saxena, M., & Asolekar, S. R. (2007). Solid wastes generation in India and their recycling potential in building materials. *Building and environment*, 42(6), 2311-2320.
- Radwan, A. M. (2012). Different possible ways for saving energy in the cement production. *Advances in Applied Science Research*, 3(2), 1162-1174.
- Roy, D. M. (1999). Alkali-activated cements opportunities and challenges. *Cement and Concrete Research*, 29(2), 249-254.
- Safiuddin, M., Jumaat, M. Z., Salam, M., Islam, M., & Hashim, R. (2010). Utilization of solid wastes in construction materials. *International Journal of Physical Sciences*, 5(13), 1952-1963.
- Salih, M. A., Farzadnia, N., Ali, A. A. A., & Demirboga, R. (2015). Development of high strength alkali activated binder using palm oil fuel ash and GGBS at ambient temperature. *Construction and Building Materials*, 93, 289-300.
- Seetharaman, S. (2013). *Treatise on process metallurgy, volume 3: industrial processes (Vol. 3)*: Newnes.
- Sharma, N. K., Swain, S., & Sahoo, U. C. (2012). Stabilization of a clayey soil with fly ash and lime: a micro level investigation. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*, 30(5), 1197-1205.

- Shi, C. (2004). Steel slag—its production, processing, characteristics, and cementitious properties. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*, 16(3), 230-236.
- Singh, M., Lamba, P., Srivastava, A., & Bhunia, D. (2017). Long term effect of partially replacing cement by waste marble slurry in concrete. Paper presented at the Congress on Technical Advancement 2017.
- Sivrikaya, O., Yavascan, S., & Cecen, E. (2014). Effects of ground granulated blast-furnace slag on the index and compaction parameters of clayey soils. *ground improvement*, 4(5), 6-7.
- Virgalitte, S. J., Luther, M. D., Rose, J. H., Mather, B., Bell, L. W., Ehmke, B. A., Hooton, R. D. (1995). *Ground Granulated Blast-Furnace Slag as a Cementitious Constituent in Concrete*. American Concrete Institute ACI Report 233R-95.
- W. Lewis, D. (1992). *PROPERTIES AND USES OF IRON AND STEEL SLAGS*. National Slag Association MF182-6.
- Wang, G., & Thompson, R. (2011). Slag use in highway construction—The philosophy and technology of its utilization. *International Journal of Pavement Research and Technology*, 4(2), 97-103.
- Yadu, L., & Tripathi, R. (2013a). Effects of granulated blast furnace slag in the engineering behaviour of stabilized soft soil. *Procedia Engineering*, 51, 125-131.
- Yadu, L., & Tripathi, R. (2013b). Stabilization of soft soil with granulated blast furnace slag and fly ash. *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology*, 2(2), 115-119.
- Yi, Y., Liska, M., & Al-Tabbaa, A. (2012). Initial investigation into the use of GGBS-MgO in soil stabilisation *Grouting and Deep Mixing 2012* (pp. 444-453).
- Yoshizawa, S. (2004). *Global trends in waste generation*. REWAS2004, Madrid Spain.

Zegeye, A., Yahaya, S., Fialips, C. I., White, M. L., Gray, N. D., & Manning, D. A. (2013). Refinement of industrial kaolin by microbial removal of iron-bearing impurities. *Applied Clay Science*, 86, 47-53.

Zolfeghari Far, S. Y., Kassim, K. A., Eisazadeh, A., & Khari, M. (2013). An evaluation of the tropical soils subjected physicochemical stabilization for remote rural roads. Paper presented at the *Procedia Engineering*.