

DEVELOPMENT OF A HYBRID EXOSKELETON TO REDUCE MUSCLE STRAIN
IN OIL PALM HARVESTING

TAHMIDA ISLAM

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Mechatronic and Automatic Control)

School of Electrical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2019

DEDICATION

To my mother, Umme Kulsum and my father, Md. Tariqul Islam for their sacrifices
support in every steps in my life.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I want to thank Dr Hazlina Binti Selamat for her great guidance of the project. I also thank all my examiners for asking creative questions that helped improving the report. Besides, my gratitude goes to my fellow research group members, specially to Nurulaqilla Khamis for her mental support and Muhammad Mazizan Bin Msduki for his kind assistance in creating the test setup. Moreover, I also want to express my gratitude to Mohd Taufiq Bin Mohd Khairi and Dr. Mohd. Amri Md. Yunus for their guidance. Above all, thanks to my husband Seum Bin Rahman for his kind support throughout my thesis.

ABSTRACT

Oil palm harvesting is one of the biggest and highly developing agricultural sector throughout the world. Malaysia, the second top producer of palm oil in the world, with 17.32 million tonnes produced in 2016. Within 1960-2005, areas under oil palm plantation were increased from 54,000 hectares to 4.05 million hectares, which reflects a compound annual growth of 10.06%. In the same time period, palm oil production was increased from 94,000 tonnes to 15 million tonnes. In this area, oil palm harvesting is an essential part where a lot of workers are facing frequent body pain. Most common injuries experienced by workers are health, safety and ergonomic injuries. Long hours of manual works in awkward posture and handling load without any support are the reasons behind this pain. The aim of this study was to develop a hybrid exoskeleton exclusive for oil palm harvesting to reduce muscle strain. Iso-elasticity approach was used for gravitational compensation while DC linear motor was used for torque compensation and position control. EMG signal was used to check muscle strain due to harvesting work. As the signals were contaminated with some noises, it was not feasible for discussion. So, low pass filter has been developed using MATLAB DSP tools. Then the filtered data of each cases (i.e. without exoskeleton, with passive exoskeleton and with hybrid exoskeleton) was compared with each other. From the comparison, it can be seen that the overall muscle strain was reduced by 16% using passive exoskeleton and by 23% using hybrid exoskeleton. According to the results, it is evident that, the developed exoskeleton can be implemented in palm oil harvesting which will reduce the pain level of the workers at a significant rate.

ABSTRAK

Penuaian kelapa sawit adalah salah satu sektor pertanian terbesar dan termaju diseluruh dunia. Malaysia merupakan salah satu pengeluar kedua terbesar kelapa sawit diseluruh dunia, telah menghasilkan sebanyak 17.32 juta tan pada tahun 2016. Pada tahun 1960-2005, kawasan perladangan kelapa sawit telah meningkat dari 54,000 hektar hingga 4.05 juta hektar, iaitu bersamaan dengan 10.06% pertumbuhan tahunan kompaun. Dalam tempoh masa yang sama, pengeluaran minyak kelapa sawit juga telah meningkat dari 94,000 tan kepada 15 juta tan. Dengan ini, penuaian kelapa sawit adalah sangat penting dimana kebanyakan para pekerja berhadapan dengan masalah kesihatan pada bahagian badan dengan kerap. Kecederaan yang paling sinonim dialami oleh pekerja adalah dari segi kesihatan, keselamatan dan ergonomik. Waktu bekerja yang lama dengan melakukan pekerjaan didalam postur badan yang terhad dan statik serta mengangkat barang-barang yang berat tanpa sokongan yang betul adalah punca yang menyebabkan kecederaan tersebut. Tujuan kajian ini dijalankan adalah untuk membangunkan eksoskeletal hibrid khusus untuk penuaian kelapa sawit bagi mengurangkan ketegangan otot badan. Pendekatan “iso-elasticity” digunakan untuk pampasan graviti manakala DC motor tegar digunakan untuk pampasan tork dan juga kawalan kedudukan. Isyarat EMG telah digunakan untuk memantau ketegangan otot sewaktu aktiviti penuaian dijalankan. Oleh kerana isyarat telah terganggu dengan hingar, ini menyebabkan analisa dengan terperinci adalah sukar untuk dibincangkan. Dengan ini, penapis pas rendah telah dibangunkan dengan menggunakan “MATLAB DSP Tool”. Data yang telah ditapis (sebagai contoh tanpa eksoskeletal, pasif eksoskeletal dan hibrid eksoskeletal) telah dibandingkan antara satu sama lain. Dari perbandingan tersebut, ketegangan otot bagi keseluruhan telah menurun sebanyak 16% dengan menggunakan pasif eksoskeletal dan 23% bagi hibrid eksoskeletal. Berdasarkan dari keputusan yang diambil, ini menunjukkan bahawa, eksoskeletal yang telah dibangunkan dapat dilaksanakan dalam penuaian kelapa sawit yang dapat mengurangkan tahap kecederaan dan kesakitan pekerja pada kadar yang signifikan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	1
	ABSTRACT	2
	ABSTRAK	3
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	4
	LIST OF TABLES	6
	LIST OF FIGURES	7
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Problem Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Hypothesis	4
	1.4 Objectives	4
	1.5 Research scope	5
	1.6 Significance of Research	5
	1.7 Organization	6
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
	2.1 Exoskeleton	7
	2.2 Passive Exoskeleton	8
	2.3 Active Exoskeleton	10
	2.4 Hybrid Exoskeleton	13
	2.5 Gravitation Compensation	14
	2.6 Muscles Signal	16
	2.7 EMG Signal Processing	18
	2.7.1 Ambient noise	18

		5
	2.7.2	Inherent noise in electronic equipment 18
	2.7.3	Motion Artefact and Cross Talk 19
	2.7.4	Transducer and Cable noise 19
	2.8	Summary of Literature Review 19
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	21
	3.1	Introduction 21
	3.2	Passive Exoskeleton using Iso-elasticity 22
	3.3	Hybrid exoskeleton incorporating Actuator 25
	3.4	Experimental Work 28
	3.4.1	Experimental Setup 29
	3.4.2	Experimental Steps 29
	3.4.3	Noise Cancellation Techniques 30
	3.5	Chapter Summary 32
4	RESULT AND DISCUSSION	33
	4.1	Introduction 33
	4.2	Participant Demographic Information 33
	4.3	Pre-Processed EMG Signals 34
	4.4	Post-Processed EMG Signal 34
	4.5	Chapter Summary 41
5	CONCLUSION	43
	5.1	Conclusion 43
	5.2	Future Works 44
	REFERENCES	45
	Appendices A – C	51 – 53

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Pain experienced by workers according to the type of work	3
1.2	Body part's pain based on work units	3
3.1	DC Linear Motor Specification	28
3.2	Experimental data collection form	30
4.1	Participant demographic information	34

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Posture for Oil Palm Harvesting (FFB cutting)	3
2.1	Exoskeleton	8
2.2	A upper limb passive exoskeleton	10
2.3	Full body Active Exoskeleton	12
2.4	Standard gravity compensation by parallelogram layout with extension coil spring	15
2.5	Sample motor unit and MUAP	17
2.6	Types of Contractions	17
3.1	The structural design of the exoskeleton	22
3.2	The schematic diagram of the exoskeleton	23
3.3	The developed passive exoskeleton for oil palm harvesting	24
3.4		26
3.5	The Developed Hybrid exoskeleton	28
3.6	Experimental setup used in this study	30
3.7	Step by step rectification process of the sensor embedded circuit [1]	31
4.1	Comparison between raw data of without exoskeleton, with passive and hybrid exoskeleton	35
4.2	Frequency Response of Muscular Strain without exoskeleton (2 sets of data)	35
4.3	Zoomed view of Frequency Response of Muscular Strain without exoskeleton (2 sets of data)	36
4.4	Non-Coincided part of the frequency response	37
4.5	Window Function	38
4.6	The signal after going through LPF in frequency domain	39

4.7	Comparison between Raw data and refined data (no exoskeleton)	39
4.8	Comparison between Raw data and refined data (passive exoskeleton)	40
4.9	Comparison between Raw data and refined data (hybrid exoskeleton)	40
4.10	Comparison between filtered data of without exoskeleton, passive exoskeleton and hybrid exoskeleton	42

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 1.1	Pain experienced by workers according to the type of work	3
Table 1.2	Body part's pain based on work units	3
Table 3.1	DC Linear Motor Specification	28
Table 3.2	Experimental data collection form	30
Table 4.1	Participant demographic information	34

Figure 4.9	Comparison between Raw data and refined data (hybrid exoskeleton)	40
Figure 4.10	Comparison between filtered data of without exoskeleton, passive exoskeleton and hybrid exoskeleton	42

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 1.1	Posture for Oil Palm Harvesting (FFB cutting)	3
Figure 2.1	Exoskeleton	8
Figure 2.2	A upper limb passive exoskeleton	10
Figure 2.3	Full body Active Exoskeleton	12
Figure 2.4	Standard gravity compensation by parallelogram layout with extension coil spring	15
Figure 2.5	Sample motor unit and MUAP	17
Figure 2.6	Types of Contractions	17
Figure 3.1	The structural design of the exoskeleton	22
Figure 3.2	The schematic diagram of the exoskeleton	23
Figure 3.3	The developed passive exoskeleton for oil palm harvesting	24
Figure 3.4		26
Figure 3.5	The Developed Hybrid exoskeleton	28
Figure 3.6	Experimental setup used in this study	30
Figure 3.7	Step by step rectification process of the sensor embedded circuit [1]	31
Figure 4.1	Comparison between raw data of without exoskeleton, with passive and hybrid exoskeleton	35
Figure 4.2	Frequency Response of Muscular Strain without exoskeleton (2 sets of data)	35
Figure 4.3	Zoomed view of Frequency Response of Muscular Strain without exoskeleton (2 sets of data)	36
Figure 4.4	Non-Coincided part of the frequency response	37
Figure 4.5	Window Function	38
Figure 4.6	The signal after going through LPF in frequency domain	39
Figure 4.7	Comparison between Raw data and refined data (no exoskeleton)	39
Figure 4.8	Comparison between Raw data and refined data (passive exoskeleton)	40

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Problem Background

World markets for edible oils are continuously increasing with time. Between 1980 and 2000, the global production of palm oil was increased from 4.5 million to 20.9 million tonnes per year which is 4.6 folds. Later this production became 30.4 million tones per year within 2010 [2]. This market will be doubled in the next twenty years which implies a doubling of the area under oil palm industries [3], since oil palm gives the highest yield of oil per unit of any crop [4]. Palm oil is produced from the fleshy orange-red mesocarp of the fruits of the oil palm tree (*Elaeis guineensis*), which contain 45% to 55% oil. The tree can grow up-to 20 to 30 meters and has an economic life span of 25 to 30 years. The female bunch can produce can 30–40 kg fruits [5]. The palm oil is important in the economic and socio-cultural activities of inhabitants around the plantation area. Palm is well used plant with less wastage as every part of the tree has economic importance [6]. The trunk is used as timber and a local fuel source for cooking. The ash produced from burnt dead trees is rich in potassium oxide, which is used in soap production [7]. The leaf rib is used for making fence and shed in local area. Moreover, the fibers are used to make rope [8]. Palm oil is not only used in domestic and international cuisines but also used for the preparation of a number of local products, for example, candles, soaps detergents, cosmetics etc [9]. Palm oil also a strong candidate for biodiesel production as biodiesel produced from palm oil has been found to have very similar fuel properties to petroleum-derived diesel [10, 11].

Malaysia, the second top producer of palm oil in the world with 17.32 million tonnes produced in 2016 [12]. Oil palm tree was first introduced in Malaysia in

1875, and from 1917 onwards the palm sector started its development to grow up into today's multi-billion Ringgit industry [13]. Within 1960-2005, areas under oil palm plantation were increased from 54,000 hectares to 4.05 million hectares, which reflects a compound annual growth of 10.06%. In the same time period, palm oil production was increased from 94,000 tonnes to 15 million tonnes.[14]. Nowadays, Malaysia is taking pro-active steps in strategizing the development of bio-fuel in the country. Therefore, the five leading producers of palm oil in Malaysia are currently producing the commodity through good and sustainable practices which is certified by Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO) [15].

1.2 Problem Statement

Agricultural activities have always been associated with hazards and injuries. Most common injuries experienced by workers are health, safety and ergonomic injuries. It stems from many causes, such as the use of manual tools, incorrect working position, inadequate rest, overloading and lack of well defined training [16]. In oil palm plantation, palm fruit harvesting is one of the main tasks. Workers cut this fresh fruits branch (FFB) manually using a long pole, which has chisel in its end that weighs around 4-5 kg. During harvesting, workers keep looking up constantly which results in extreme neck and trunk flexion. The situation worsens during harvesting activity for tall trees. Figure 1.1 shows the general posture of cutting out the fruit from palm tree. In this figure, it is shown that, the knees and body is bent down while holding and pushing heavy long-armed sickle.

As a result, they feel extreme body pain. Generally, most of the workers have experienced pain due to their heavy work load. However, they may differ in terms of frequency of pain and pain level. There are 5 work units at oil palm plantation; fruit cutter, frond stacker, loose fruit collector, MTG drive and Badang driver. The pain experienced by oil palm workers according to work units is shown in Table 1.1. FFB cutters were having highest body pain, which is 37.5% of their working hour. It can be seen from Table 1.2 that, most of the cutters feel pain in upper body parts. This pain mainly occurs due to holding heavy load up for a long time in awkward posture.



Figure 1.1: Posture for Oil Palm Harvesting (FFB cutting)

Table 1.1: Pain experienced by workers according to the type of work

Work Unit	Body Pain			Total	
	Sometimes in pain	Frequent In Pain	Always In pain	Number	%
Cutter	21	6	6	33	37.5
FronD Stacker	8	3	0	11	12.5
Loose Fruit Collector	25	2	5	32	36.36
MTG Truck Driver	6	1	0	7	7.95
Badang Driver	4	1	0	5	5.68

Table 1.2: Body part's pain based on work units

Work Unit	Cutter	%
Shoulder (Left)	30	34.1
Shoulder (Right)	29	33.0
Elbow (Left)	15	17.0
Elbow (Right)	12	13.6
Upper Back	31	35.2
Upper Arm (Left)	24	27.3
Upper Arm (Right)	22	25.0

1.3 Hypothesis

This frequent body pain felt by the harvesting workers can be reduced if we can reduce the muscular strain

- (i) by reducing some load of the pole with chisel felt by the workers,
- (ii) by fixing working posture in correct form,
- (iii) by supporting to lift up the load,
- (iv) by giving support to hold the pole with chisel up for a long time.

Exoskeleton system can be a solution for this problem. By using gravitational compensation, exoskeleton system can decrease the felt load. It can also help workers to work in correct posture and to lower work related musculoskeletal disorders [17]. Exoskeleton system can minimize workload of the sholder flexor muscles during manual lifing/lowering [18]. Therefore, a suitable type of light weighted exoskeleton is needed that will provide support using gravitational compensation. At the same time, it must provide force while lifting the load up.

1.4 Objectives

The objectives of this project are:

- (i) To design an upper back exoskeleton system to provide support and gravitational compensation for load.
- (ii) To develop a light weighted exoskeleton system according to design which has degree of freedom required for oil palm harvesting work.

(iii) To attach suitable motor for providing external force to help lifting up the load.

1.5 Research scope

The scope of the research is stated below:

(i) An exclusive design of exoskeleton was used for oil palm harvesting.

(ii) Cheap, available spring, motor and materials were used to ensure affordability.

(iii) Light materials were used to avoid unwanted weight.

(iv) The testing steps were developed mimicking oil palm harvesting.

(v) The participant that took part in this research was healthy with no history of muscle disorder.

(vi) The muscle signals were acquired by using surface Electromyography (sEMG).

(vii) The data or signal processing was performed using MATLAB DSP tools.

1.6 Significance of Research

The significance of the research are stated as follows:

(i) The development of more suitable exoskeleton system for oil palm harvesting, keeping the required level of flexibility under consideration.

(ii) The approach of hybrid exoskeleton which uses advantages of both passive and active exoskeleton while omitting the limitations of each.

(iii) This exoskeleton can also be used to assist different types of work such as car assembly line, construction works, welding etc. which requires both static work and flexibility.

1.7 Organization

The thesis is organized as follows:

(i) Chapter 1: Introduction

(ii) Chapter 2: Literature review

(iii) Chapter 3: Research methodology

(iv) Chapter 4: Results and discussions

(v) Chapter 5: Conclusions and future works In Chapter 1, current oil palm industry is presented. It also includes the research objectives to be achieved in this work, problem statements, scope of work and also the significance of the research after identifying the problem faced in oil palm plantation. Meanwhile, Chapter 2 supports the argument on the proposed solution by elaborating reported works. Chapter 3 describes the proposed model and development used in this work. Obtained results will be analyzed in Chapter 4. In Chapter 5, the final chapter of this thesis, conclusions from the research findings using the proposed techniques are made.

REFERENCES

1. 3 lead Muscle / Electromyography Sensor for Microcontroller Applications. Myowareâ€ muscle sensor (at-04-001) datasheet. 2015.
2. Lian Pin Koh and David S Wilcove. Cashing in palm oil for conservation. *Nature*, 448(7157):993, 2007.
3. Perkumpulan Sawit Watch. Promised land: Palm oil and land acquisition in indonesia-implications for local communities and indigenous peoples, 2006.
4. DO Edem. Palm oil: Biochemical, physiological, nutritional, hematological and toxicological aspects: A review. *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition*, 57(3-4):319–341, 2002.
5. AH Hassan. Palm oil and health. *The Planter*, 64(752):505–519, 1988.
6. DO Edem. Palm oil: Biochemical, physiological, nutritional, hematological and toxicological aspects: A review. *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition*, 57(3-4):319–341, 2002.
7. Eno J Usoro. *The Nigerian Oil Palm Industry:(Government Policy and Export Production, 1906-1965)*. Ibadan University Press, 1974.
8. Margaret L Vickery, Brian Vickery, et al. *Plant products of tropical Africa*. MacMillan Press, 1979.
9. Kebe E Obeten, O Anozang, and Charles C Mfem. Studies on the chronic consumption of thermo oxidized palm oil on the histomorphology of the cerebellum in mice. *Studies*, 4(11), 2014.
10. Siew Hoong Shuit, Kok Tat Tan, KEAT TEONG Lee, and AH Kamaruddin. Oil palm biomass as a sustainable energy source: A malaysian case study. *Energy*, 34(9):1225–1235, 2009.
11. Man Kee Lam, Kok Tat Tan, Keat Teong Lee, and Abdul Rahman Mohamed.

- Malaysian palm oil: Surviving the food versus fuel dispute for a sustainable future. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 13(6-7):1456–1464, 2009.
12. Sathia Varqa. Essential palm oil statistics, 2017.
 13. Ahmad Zuhairi Abdullah, B Salamatinia, H Mootabadi, and S Bhatia. Current status and policies on biodiesel industry in malaysia as the world's leading producer of palm oil. *Energy Policy*, 37(12):5440–5448, 2009.
 14. Yusof Basiron. Palm oil production through sustainable plantations. *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology*, 109(4):289–295, 2007.
 15. AR Sani. Sektor pengangkutan jepun digesa guna biodiesel sawit. *Retrieved from http://www.bharian.com.my/Current_News/BH/Saturday/BeritaSawit*, 2009.
 16. Nur Syazwani Mohd Nawi, Baba Md Deros, Mohd Nizam Ab Rahman, Ezrin Hani Sukadarin, and Norani Nordin. Malaysian oil palm workers are in pain: hazards identification and ergonomics related problems. *Mal J Public Health Med*, pages 50–7, 2016.
 17. Michiel P De Looze, Tim Bosch, Frank Krause, Konrad S Stadler, and Leonard W OâSullivan. Exoskeletons for industrial application and their potential effects on physical work load. *Ergonomics*, 59(5):671–681, 2016.
 18. Jean Theurel, Kevin Desbrosses, Terence Roux, and Adriana Savescu. Physiological consequences of using an upper limb exoskeleton during manual handling tasks. *Applied ergonomics*, 67:211–217, 2018.
 19. Seung-Je Shin and Won-Gyu Yoo. Effects of overhead work involving different heights and distances on neck and shoulder muscle activity. *Work*, 51(2):321–326, 2015.
 20. Francis Green and Tarek Mostafa. Trends in job quality in europe. 2012.
 21. Arun Garg and Jay M Kapellusch. Applications of biomechanics for prevention of work-related musculoskeletal disorders. *Ergonomics*, 52(1):36–59, 2009.
 22. William MacDougall. *Industrie 4.0: Smart manufacturing for the future*. Germany Trade & Invest, 2014.

23. Zhiyong Yang, Wenjin Gu, Jing Zhang, and Lihua Gui. *Force Control Theory and Method of Human Load Carrying Exoskeleton Suit*. Springer, 2017.
24. Hugh Herr. Exoskeletons and orthoses: classification, design challenges and future directions. *Journal of neuroengineering and rehabilitation*, 6(1):21, 2009.
25. Michiel P De Looze, Tim Bosch, Frank Krause, Konrad S Stadler, and Leonard W OâSullivan. Exoskeletons for industrial application and their potential effects on physical work load. *Ergonomics*, 59(5):671–681, 2016.
26. Amy L Barrett. Evaluation of four weight transfer devices for reducing loads on lower back during agricultural stoop labor. In *ASAE Annual International Meeting, 2001*, 2001.
27. Stefania Spada, Lidia Ghibaudo, Silvia Gilotta, Laura Gastaldi, and Maria Pia Cavatorta. Investigation into the applicability of a passive upper-limb exoskeleton in automotive industry. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 11:1255–1262, 2017.
28. Kirsten Huysamen, Tim Bosch, Michiel de Looze, Konrad S Stadler, Eveline Graf, and Leonard W O’ Sullivan. Evaluation of a passive exoskeleton for static upper limb activities. *Applied ergonomics*, 70:148–155, 2018.
29. DiGiulio et al. Adjustable, iso-elastic support apparatus. *United States Patent*, 5,360,196,.
30. Ruprecht Altenburger, Daniel Scherly, and Konrad S Stadler. Design of a passive, iso-elastic upper limb exoskeleton for gravity compensation. *Robomech Journal*, 3(1):12, 2016.
31. Stefania Spada, Lidia Ghibaudo, Silvia Gilotta, Laura Gastaldi, and Maria Pia Cavatorta. Analysis of exoskeleton introduction in industrial reality: main issues and eaws risk assessment. In *International Conference on Applied Human Factors and Ergonomics*, pages 236–244. Springer, 2017.
32. Grzegorz Redlarski, Krzysztof Blecharz, Mariusz Dąbkowski, Aleksander Pałkowski, and Piotr M Tojza. Comparative analysis of exoskeletal actuators. *Pomiary Automatyka Robotyka*, 16:133–138, 2012.
33. Joel C Perry, Jacob Rosen, and Stephen Burns. Upper-limb powered

- exoskeleton design. *IEEE/ASME transactions on mechatronics*, 12(4):408–417, 2007.
34. Keitaro Naruse, Satoshi Kawai, and Takuji Kukichi. Three-dimensional lifting-up motion analysis for wearable power assist device of lower back support. In *Intelligent Robots and Systems, 2005.(IROS 2005). 2005 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on*, pages 2959–2964. IEEE, 2005.
 35. Kirsten Huysamen, Michiel de Looze, Tim Bosch, Jesus Ortiz, Stefano Toxiri, and Leonard W O’Sullivan. Assessment of an active industrial exoskeleton to aid dynamic lifting and lowering manual handling tasks. *Applied ergonomics*, 68:125–131, 2018.
 36. Kazuo Kiguchi, Takakazu Tanaka, Keigo Watanabe, and Toshio Fukuda. Design and control of an exoskeleton system for human upper-limb motion assist. In *Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics, 2003. AIM 2003. Proceedings. 2003 IEEE/ASME International Conference on*, volume 2, pages 926–931. IEEE, 2003.
 37. Kazuo Kiguchi, Takakazu Tanaka, Keigo Watanabe, and Toshio Fukuda. Exoskeleton for human upper-limb motion support. In *Robotics and Automation, 2003. Proceedings. ICRA’03. IEEE International Conference on*, volume 2, pages 2206–2211. IEEE, 2003.
 38. Ratiba Fellag, Takieddine Benyahia, Mustapha Drias, Mohamed Guiatni, and Mustapha Hamerlain. Sliding mode control of a 5 dofs upper limb exoskeleton robot. In *Electrical Engineering-Boumerdes (ICEE-B), 2017 5th International Conference on*, pages 1–6. IEEE, 2017.
 39. Miomir Vukobratović. Active exoskeletal systems and beginning of the developement of humanoid robotics. *Facta universitatis-series: Mechanics, Automatic Control and Robotics*, 7(1):243–262, 2008.
 40. Barbara M Doucet, Amy Lam, and Lisa Griffin. Neuromuscular electrical stimulation for skeletal muscle function. *The Yale journal of biology and medicine*, 85(2):201, 2012.
 41. Antonio J del Ama, Juan C Moreno, Ángel Gil-Agudo, and José L Pons. Hybrid fes-robot cooperative control of ambulatory gait rehabilitation

- exoskeleton for spinal cord injured users. In *Converging Clinical and Engineering Research on Neurorehabilitation*, pages 155–159. Springer, 2013.
42. Antonio J Del-Ama, Aikaterini D Koutsou, Juan C Moreno, Ana De-Los-Reyes, Ángel Gil-Agudo, and José L Pons. Review of hybrid exoskeletons to restore gait following spinal cord injury. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research & Development*, 49(4), 2012.
 43. Chen Ying, Zhang Jia-fan, Yang Can-jun, and Niu Bin. Design and hybrid control of the pneumatic force-feedback systems for arm-exoskeleton by using on/off valve. *Mechatronics*, 17(6):325–335, 2007.
 44. A Pedrocchi, S Ferrante, C Casellato, E Ambrosini, M Gandolla, and G Ferrigno. Mundus: multimodal neuroprosthesis for daily upper limb support. In *BioMed@ POLIMI: 20 years and beyond*, pages 1–4, 2010.
 45. Rui CV Loureiro, William S Harwin, Kiyoshi Nagai, and Michelle Johnson. Advances in upper limb stroke rehabilitation: a technology push. *Medical & biological engineering & computing*, 49(10):1103, 2011.
 46. Ashley M Stewart, Christopher G Pretty, Mark Adams, and XiaoQi Chen. Review of upper limb hybrid exoskeletons. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 50(1):15169–15178, 2017.
 47. Salam Moubarak, Minh Tu Pham, Richard Moreau, and Tanneguy Redarce. Gravity compensation of an upper extremity exoskeleton. In *Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC), 2010 Annual International Conference of the IEEE*, pages 4489–4493. IEEE, 2010.
 48. Angela Vincent, W Dennis, et al. *Neuromuscular transmission: basic and applied aspects*. Number 12. Manchester University Press, 1990.
 49. Peter Konrad. The abc of emg. *A practical introduction to kinesiological electromyography*, 1:30–35, 2005.
 50. Carlo J De Luca. The use of surface electromyography in biomechanics. *Journal of applied biomechanics*, 13(2):135–163, 1997.
 51. Butle Shier, D. and Lewis R. *Holeâs human anatomy (10th edition)*. New York: McGraw Hill., 1996.
 52. Abdulhamit Subasi. Classification of emg signals using pso optimized svm for

- diagnosis of neuromuscular disorders. *Computers in biology and medicine*, 43(5):576–586, 2013.
53. Muhammad Zahak Jamal. Signal acquisition using surface emg and circuit design considerations for robotic prosthesis. In *Computational Intelligence in Electromyography Analysis-A Perspective on Current Applications and Future Challenges*. InTech, 2012.
 54. Yunfen Wu, María Ángeles Martínez Martínez, and Pedro Orizaola Balaguer. Overview of the application of emg recording in the diagnosis and approach of neurological disorders. In *Electrodiagnosis in New Frontiers of Clinical Research*. InTech, 2013.
 55. I Elamvazuthi, NHX Duy, Zulfiqar Ali, SW Su, MKA Ahamed Khan, and S Parasuraman. Electromyography (emg) based classification of neuromuscular disorders using multi-layer perceptron. *Procedia Computer Science*, 76:223–228, 2015.
 56. Abdulhamit Subasi. Classification of emg signals using pso optimized svm for diagnosis of neuromuscular disorders. *Computers in biology and medicine*, 43(5):576–586, 2013.
 57. Mohd Z Che Hassan, Puspa I Khalid, Nurul A Kamaruddin, Nurul A Ishak, and Mokhtar Harun. Derivation of simple muscle fatigue index for biceps muscle based on surface electromyography temporal characteristics. In *Biomedical Engineering and Sciences (IECBES), 2014 IEEE Conference on*, pages 662–666. IEEE, 2014.
 58. Arul V H ** Amrutha N *. A review on noises in emg signal and its removal characteristics. volume 7, pages 23–27. IEEE, 2017.
 59. Peter Konrad. A practical introduction to kinesiological electromyography. volume 7, pages 23–27. ISBN 0-9771622-1-4, 2006.
 60. Ganesh R Naik. Computational intelligence in electromyography analysis: A perspective on current applications and future challenges. 2012.
 61. <http://www.seniam.org/>.
 62. Massachusetts Institute of Technology MIT OpenCourseWare. Lecture on electromyography. 2018.