INVESTIGATION ON ROAD DAMAGE DUE TO VEHICLE OVERLOADING IN IPOH STATE ROAD

NURUL AINAA BINTI SELAMAT

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Civil)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2017

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah, all praise is due to Allah S.W.T. Due to His blessing, I was able to complete this master project in time.

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Professor Dr. Mohd Rosli bin Hainin for his advices, patience and guidance throughout the process of completing this research. He also has convincingly conveyed the spirit in regards to complete this research.

My utmost appreciation goes to En. Mohd Fazlie from Empayar Indera Sdn Bhd for a huge favour in facilitating the collection of data and information.

Also a special thanks to my beloved family members for their prayers and fellow friends for their support especially Siti Farhanah who always give helping hands when needed.

To all of them, I am truly grateful.

ABSTRACT

Traffic load is a major factor in thickness design due to the main function of pavement which is to resist traffic load. Although efforts to repair the road damage have been done continuously, the recovering effects are almost meaningless if the road is continuously receiving overloading from vehicles. Issues of road damage due to vehicle overloading have been addressed by most agencies in developing countries. However, there is no available study to address this issue on Perak state road. Therefore, this research aims to investigate the effects of vehicle overloading to road damage at Ipoh state road by reviewing the adequacy of existing pavement thickness to withstand current overloading and the reduction of road pavement service life due to overloading using AASHTO and Arahan Teknik (Jalan) 5/85. The selected study area was at Jalan Tuanku Abdul Rahman, Ipoh. Data were employed which acquired from traffic count survey, axle load survey, coring test and dynamic cone penetrometer test. Assessment on existing vehicle loads revealed that more than 50% of vehicles from 4-axle, 5-axle and 6-axle exceeded the maximum permissible gross vehicle weight (PGVW). The analysis on the Equivalency Factor (E.F.) shows that primary and secondary directions have gained E.F. value of 2 and 3 times higher than the E.F. design value respectively. This also denotes that additional overlay pavement thickness is required which is about 50mm and 70mm for primary and secondary direction respectively to ensure the target design life is archived. This study also discovered the reduction of service life of 6 and 7 years for both directions respectively.

ABSTRAK

Beban trafik adalah merupakan faktor yang penting dalam proses merekabentuk ketebalan jalan disebabkan fungsi utama lapisan turapan jalan adalah untuk menanggung beban trafik. Walaupun usaha untuk membaiki kerosakan jalan dilaksanakan secara berterusan, namun kesan pemulihannya tidak akan berkesan jika jalan itu masih berterusan menerima beban trafik yang berlebihan. Masalah berkaitan kerosakan jalan yang disebabkan oleh beban trafik berlebihan telah mendapat perhatian dari kebanyakan agensi dari negara membangun. Namun, sehingga kini tiada kajian terperinci berkaitan masalah ini dijalankan di jalan-jalan negeri di Negeri Perak. Oleh itu, kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji kesan beban trafik berlebihan ke atas kerosakan jalan di jalan negeri di Ipoh dengan meneliti kecukupan ketebalan turapan sedia ada untuk menampung muatan trafik semasa serta kadar pengurangan jangka hayat turapan yang disebabkan oleh beban trafik berlebihan berpandukan AASHTO dan Arahan Teknik (Jalan) 5/85. Kawasan kajian yang terlibat adalah di Jalan Tunku Abdul Rahman, Ipoh. Data yang digunakan diperolehi daripada kerja tinjauan lalulintas, tinjauan beban gandar, ujian korekan dan ujian penetrometer kon dinamik (DCP). Tinjauan pada data beban gandar menunjukkan bahawa lebih 50% daripada bilangan kenderaan 4-gandar, 5-gandar dan 6-gandar yang ditimbang telah melebihi had maksimum berat kasar kenderaan (PGVW) yang dibenarkan. Analisis pada *Equivalency Factor* (E.F.) menunjukkan bahawa dari arah primer dan sekunder masing-masing telah mengalami kenaikan nilai E.F. sebanyak 2 dan 3 kali ganda lebih tinggi dari nilai E.F. rekabentuk. Ini juga menunjukkan bahawa ketebalan lapisan turapan perlu ditambah iaitu sekurang-kurangnya 50mm dan 70mm tebal masing-masing untuk arah primer dan sekunder. Selain itu, kajian ini juga telah menunjukkan pengurangan jangka hayat sebanyak 6 dan 7 tahun masing-masing untuk arah primer dan sekunder.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiv
	LIST OF APPENDIX	xv
1	**INTRODUCTION**	1
	1.1 Problem Statement	2
	1.2 Research Objectives	3
	1.3 Scope of Work and Limitation	3
2	**LITERATURE REVIEW**	6
	2.1 Previous Studies	6
	2.2 Classification and Act Restrictions	9
	2.2.1 Malaysian Traffic Classification	9
	2.2.2 Maximum Permissible Gross Vehicle Weight (PGVW)	10
	2.3 Fundamental Equations	11

2.3.1 Single Axle Load Equivalency Factor (LEF or E.F.) 11

2.3.2 Equivalent Standard Axle (ESA or ESAL) 14

2.3.3 Equivalent Total Pavement Thickness 15

2.3.4 Thickness Design Using Mechanistic Empirical Pavement Design Guide 25

2.3.5 Reduction of Pavement Service Life 26

2.4 Summary 27

3 METHODOLOGY 28

3.1 Stage 1: Preparation 28

3.2 Stage 2: Data Collection 29

3.2.1 Traffic Count Survey 31

3.2.2 Axle Load Survey 31

3.2.3 Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) 33

3.3 Stage 3: Data Analysis 34

3.4 Stage 4: Evaluation and Expected Findings 35

3.5 Stage 5: Conclusion 35

4 ANALYSIS AND RESULTS 36

4.1 Analysis of Traffic Data 36

4.2 Analysis of Axle Load Data 37

4.3 Determination of Axle Load Equivalency Factor (E.F.) 41

4.4 Analysis on Equivalent Thickness 42

4.4.1 Existing Pavement Thickness Measured at Site 43

4.4.2 Required Equivalent Thickness based on Calculated E.F. for Both Primary and Secondary Direction 44

4.4.3 Designed Equivalent Thickness based on Recommended E.F. during Design Stage 48

4.5 Reduction of Pavement Service Life 50

5 CONCLUSIONS 55

5.1 Conclusions 55

5.2 Recommendations 57

REFERENCES 58

Appendices A - B 61 - 83

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Vehicle classification based on RVTM	9
2.2	Axle configuration and Load Equivalence Factors (LEF) based on traffic categories used by HP	13
2.3	Guide for Equivalence Factor (E.F.)	14
2.4	Estimated values of structural coefficient for various conditions on asphalt	20
2.5	Determination of crack severity	21
2.6	Estimated values of structural coefficient for various conditions of base course	21
2.7	Structural layer coefficient	22
4.1	Average Daily Traffic (ADT)	36
4.2	Average payload by axle configuration for primary direction	37
4.3	Average payload by axle configuration for secondary direction	38
4.4	Average Equivalency Factor (E.F.)	42
4.5	Thickness for each layer	43
4.6	Summary of DCP results and CBR value	44
4.7	Corrected equivalent thickness, T_A' based on calculated E.F.	45
4.8	Corrected equivalent thickness, T_D' for recommended E.F. during design stage	49
4.9	Summary of equivalent thickness for different conditions	49
4.10	Traffic load in basic year, w_{18}	50
4.11	Relationship between traffic load and service life (Cont.)	53 - 54

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Ipoh region map	4
1.2	Location of Jalan Tuanku Abdul Rahman road segment	4
2.1	AASHO combined load equivalence factor (LEF) for rigid and flexible pavements	12
2.2	Thickness design nomograph (ATJ 5/85, 1993)	16
2.3	Pavement structure for traffic category T1: < 1.0 million ESALs (80kN), ATJ 5/85 (2013)	17
2.4	Pavement structure for traffic category T2: 1.0 to 2.0 million ESALs (80kN), ATJ 5/85 (2013)	18
2.5	Pavement structure for traffic category T3: 2.0 to 10.0 million ESALs (80kN), ATJ 5/85 (2013)	18
2.6	Pavement structure for traffic category T4: 10.0 to 30.0 million ESALs (80kN), ATJ 5/85 (2013)	19
2.7	Pavement structure for traffic category T5: > 30.0 million ESALs (80kN), ATJ 5/85 (2013)	19
2.8	Pavement structure for traffic category T5: > 30.0 million ESALs (80kN) (use of polymer modified asphalt), ATJ 5/85 (2013)	20
2.9	Relationship between CBR values and DCP values (Livneh, M., 1987)	23
2.10	Universal data for relationship between DCP and CBR (Webster et.al., 1992)	24
3.1	Methodology of the study	30
3.2	Traffic count station	31
3.3	Axle load survey using Dynamic Axle Scale (DAW300)	32
3.4	Asphalt coring using rotary coring machine	33
3.5	DCP test equipment	34

4.1	Gross vehicle weight (2-axle) and maximum permissible gross vehicle weight	39
4.2	Gross vehicle weight (3-axle) and maximum permissible gross vehicle weight	39
4.3	Gross vehicle weight (4-axle) and maximum permissible gross vehicle weight	40
4.4	Gross vehicle weight (5-axle) and maximum permissible gross vehicle weight	40
4.5	Gross vehicle weight (6-axle) and maximum permissible gross vehicle weight	41
4.6	Corrected equivalent thickness for primary direction	46
4.7	Corrected equivalent thickness for secondary direction	47
4.8	Designed equivalent thickness, T_D'	48
4.9	Relationship between traffic load and service life on standard and overloaded conditions (primary direction)	51
4.10	Relationship between traffic load and service life on standard and overloaded conditions (secondary direction)	52

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

SYMBOL	DESCRIPTION
AASHTO	*American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials*
ADT	*Average Daily Traffic*
ATJ	*Arahan Teknik (Jalan)*
CBR	*California Bearing Ratio*
DCP	*Dynamic Cone Penetration*
E.F.	*Equivalency Factor*
ESA	*Equivalent Standard Axle*
HPU	*Highway Planning Unit*
IRI	*International Roughness Index*
MAL	*Maximum Axle Load*
MEPDG	*Mechanistic Empirical Pavement Design Guide*
PGVW	*Permissible Gross Vehicle Weight*
RTVM	*Road Traffic Volume Malaysia*

LIST OF SYMBOLS

an	-	Structural layer coefficient
a_1	-	Structural coefficient for asphalt
a_2	-	Structural coefficient for Sub-base
a_3	-	Structural coefficient for Road base
dn	-	Thickness of each structure pavement
h_1	-	Surface thickness
h_2	-	Road base thickness
h_3	-	Sub base thickness
n	-	Service life
P_c	-	Percentage of commercial vehicles
r	-	Annual traffic growth rate
T_A	-	Required equivalent thickness
T_A’	-	Corrected equivalent thickness
T_D	-	Designed equivalent thickness
T_E	-	Existing pavement thickness
T_o	-	Overlay thickness
V_o	-	Initial annual commercial vehicle
W_{18}	-	Predicted traffic load
w_{18}	-	Traffic load in basic year

LIST OF APPENDIX

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Axle Load Data	61
B	Equivalency Factor (E.F.) Calculation	71
C	Determination of ESA and Equivalent Thickness, T_A'	82

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Pavements are engineering structure which economically designed to withstand traffic loading and climate action with minimal deterioration (Hudson et al., 2003). Pavements may encounter different mode of failures depending on its structural types which caused by specific factors. For example, flexible pavement may experience fatigue failure, rutting, undulating, etc. while rigid pavement may experience faulting edge, cracking, etc. All these mode of failure are caused by several factors such as heavy traffic loading, climate effect, drainage effect, material properties and inadequate design thickness (Hudson et al., 2003).

Among all these factors, heavy traffic loading has contributed significantly to pavement damage. According to Yu et al. (1998), the magnitude and configuration of vehicle loads in conjunction with environmental factor have imposed significant effect on the induced tensile stresses within flexible pavement.

Although heavy vehicle load is subjected to high stresses causing pavements damage, not all trucks have the same damaging effects. The damaging factors depend on speed, wheel loads, number and location of axles, load distributions, type of

suspension, number of wheels, types of tire, inflation pressure and many other factors (Gillespie et al., 1993).

1.1 Problem Statement

Ipoh has been known as the busiest town in Perak State. It is located at the heart of Perak under District of Kinta. Hence it has quite a numbers of inter-city road networks which connects Sungai Siput in the North of Perak, Simpang Pulai in the South, Batu Gajah in the West, Tambun in the North-West, Cameron Highland in the East and Jelapang in North-East. Other than that, crossing through Ipoh is the North-South Expressway with two (2) main exits i.e. in the South (Ipoh Selatan Interchange) and North (Ipoh Utara Interchange). In conjunction with this, obviously the road has been used by numbers of vehicles with various types and the pavement may have been imposed by high stresses from overloaded vehicle which causing road damage.

Based on records of previous maintenance works, it was found that several rehabilitation works has been carried out for the past 3 years. In addition, Notice of Defect by maintenance concessionaire's company has also been issued to local authorities regarding the pavement damage and the necessity to conduct repairing work. This has been further proved by the records of pothole defect found on the road pavement.

This phenomenon has indicated that the road may experience fatigue failure due to rapid overloading imposed on pavement surface. This also shows that the pavement could not last longer as per design life and the pavement service life has become shorter.

1.2 Research Objectives

The aim of this study is to analyse the effect of overloaded heavy vehicle to road pavement damage. The objectives were detailed as follows:

a) To determine the current traffic composition of the road

b) To assess the percentage of overloading vehicle according to different types of vehicle based on local Weight Restriction Order and the damaging factor from equivalency factor (E.F.)

c) To calculate the adequacy of existing pavement thickness to withstand current overloading traffic

d) To estimate the reduction of pavement service life due to overloading

1.3 Scope of Work and Limitation

In this study, the research scope and limitations are as follows:

a) The case study was conducted at one of the major State Road in Ipoh, i.e. Jalan Tuanku Abdul Rahman (also known as Jalan Kuala Kangsar). It has about 5km length of flexible pavement road and consists of two (2) and three (3) carriageways at both directions. The road links between Federal Road FT001, state administrative centre of Perak and mixed development area (business and residential)

Figure 1.1: Ipoh region map



Figure 1.2: Location of Jalan Tuanku Abdul Rahman road segment

b) The calculation of pavement service life was based on Average Daily Traffic (ADT) and equivalent standard axle (ESA) of overloaded vehicle

c) The guideline used in this study are AASHTO 1993 and Arahan Teknik (Jalan) 5/85

REFERENCES

AASHTO (1993), *AASHTO Guide for Design of Pavement Structure*, Washington D.C.

Arahan Teknik (Jalan) ATJ 5/85 (1993), *Manual on Pavement Design*, Jabatan Kerja Raya Malaysia Kuala Lumpur.

Arahan Teknik (Jalan) ATJ 5/85 (Pindaan 2013), *Manual for the Structural Design of Flexible Pavement*, Jabatan Kerja Raya Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur.

D.R. Kinder and M.G. Lay (1988), *Review of the Fourth Power Law*, Australian Road Research Board International Report AIR 000-248, Victoria.

Fauzi A., Shabri S. (1992), *DCP/CBR Relationship for Soft Soil in Malaysia*, Proceeding 7th REAAA Conference, Singapore.

Gillespie T.D., Karamihas S.M., Cebon D., Sayers M.W., Nasim M.A., Hansen W. and N. Ehsan (1993), *Effects of Heavy Vehicles Characteristic on Pavement Response and Performance*, National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report 353, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington D.C., 150.

Hang Wen, Li Xu-Hong, Ju Peng, He Jie (2005), *Site Survey and Analysis of Highway Trucks Overloading Status Quo in Anhui*, Journal of the Eastern Asia Society for Transportation Studies, Vol. 6, pp.1790-1803.

HRB (1962), *The ASSHO Road Test*, Special Report 61A, 61C, 61E, Highway Research Board, Washington D.C.

Huang Y.H. (2004), *Pavement Analysis and Design,* Publication, 2nd Edition Prentice Hall, New Jersey.

Hudson W.R., Monismith C.L., Dougan C.E. and Visser W. (2003), *Use Performance Management System Data for Monitoring Performance:*

Example with Superpave, Transportation Research Records 1853, TRB, Washington D.C.

Ibrahim Abobaker Ali Langer (2011), *Analysis of Road Damage Due to Over Loading (Case Study: Demak-Trengguli Arterial Road, Central Java Province, Indonesia*, Thesis, Department of Civil Engineering University of Diponegoro Samarang, Indonesia.

IKRAM (1994), *Interim Guide to Evaluation and Rehabilitation of Flexible Road Pavement*, Jabatan Kerja Raya Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur.

Ikram Paves Sdn Bhd (2015), *Pavement Testing Results for Kinta District*, Report on Long Term Contract in Relation to the Maintenance of Perak State Roads, Kajang.

Koesdarwanto (2004), *Evaluation of Flexible Pavement Service Life as a Function of Overloaded Vehicles*, Thesis, Surakarta Muhammadiyah University, Surakarta Indonesia.

Livneh, M. (1987), *Correlation Between the DCP and CBR Values*, Publication 87-065, Transportation Research Institute, Technion, Haifa Israel.

Livneh, M., I.Ishai and N.A.Livneh (1990), *Carrying Capacity of Unsurfaced Runways for Low Volume Aircraft Traffic. Phase III. Application of the Dynamic Cone Penetrometer*, Preliminary Report, Transportation Research Institute, Technion, Haifa Israel.

Mechanistic-Empirical Pavement Design Guide MEPDG (2008), *A Manual of Practice*, Publication, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington D.C.

Pad27 (1997), *The Damaging Effects of Overloaded Heavy Vehicles on Roads*, Department of Transport, Republic of South Africa, Pretoria, pp.1-20.

Rahim (2000), *Analysis of Road Damage Due to Overloading on the Causeway in Eastern Sumatra Riau Province*, Thesis, Master System and Transportation Engineering, Gajahmada University, Yogyakarta.

RTA (1987), *Road Transport Act*, Malaysia.

RTVM (2015), *Road Traffic Volume Malaysia*, Publication, Ministry of Works Malaysia, Highway Planning Division, Kuala Lumpur.

Sabri M., Zain A., Shafii M. (1990), *Quick In-Situ CBR for Road Engineering from In-Situ CBR/DCP Relationship Developed in Malaysia*, Proceeding 6th REAAA Conference, Kuala Lumpur.

Sulisty, B.S. and Handayani C. (2002), *The Effect of Heavy Vehicle's Overloading to the Pavement Damage/Service Life*, Thesis, Department of Civil Engineering University of Diponegoro Samarang, Indonesia.

Transport and Road Research Laboratory (1969), *Instruction for using the Portable Skid Resistance Tester*, TRRL Road Note 27, Ministry of Transport, London.

Transport and Road Research Laboratory (1978), *Guide to the Measurement of Axle Load in Developing Countries using Portable Weightbridge*, TRRL Road Note 40, Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London.

Warta Kerajaan Negeri Perak (1994), *Weight Restriction (State Roads) Order,* Ipoh, pp.1242, 1217-1223.

Webster, S.L., H. Grau and T.P. Williams (1992), *Description and Application of Dual Mass Dynamic Cone Penetrometer*, Instruction Report GL-92-3, U.S. Army Engineers Waterways Experimental Station.

Yu H.T., Khazanovich L., Darter M.L. and Ardani A. (1998), *Analysis of Concrete Pavement Responses to Temperature and Wheel Load Measured from Instrumented Slabs*, Journal of Transportation Research Record, 1639, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington D.C., pp.94-101.