HORIZONTAL COLLECTOR WELL AS ALTERNATIVE FOR CONVENTIONAL RAW WATER INTAKE

NURHAFIZAH BINTI MOHD ALI @ KAM WONG

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Civil)

> Faculty of Civil Engineering University Teknologi Malaysia

> > JUNE 2017

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians, and practitioners. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main thesis supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Johan Sohaili, for encouragement, guidance, critics and friendship. Without continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

I am also indebted to Pengurusan Air Pahang Berhad for allowing my Master study. Librarians at UTM also deserve special thanks for their assistance in supplying the relevant literatures.

My fellow postgraduate students should also be recognized for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space. I am grateful to all my family members.

ABSTRACT

Water plays an important role in supporting and maintaining human health and sustainable ecosystem development. However, climate change from dry season to rainy season and vice versa are causing stress among water supply agencies and impose pressure to look for an alternative supplementary source. Therefore, this study evaluates water samples from Horizontal Collector Well (underground water) as optional water resources compared to the Conventional Raw Water Intake (surface water) at Sekor river, Pekan Pahang. A number of tests were conducted based on turbidity, iron, manganese, aluminium and pH from January 2016 until December 2016 and results from water samples were compared with Recommended Raw Water Quality Criteria. From samples tested, the turbidity of the underground is seen relatively better compared to surface water, average pH value is 6.8 more acidic than surface water with average pH value of 7.18 and underground water contains higher Iron and Manganese compared to surface water. Therefore, from the results, there is a need to study on effectiveness of using conventional water treatment to treat underground water. Some modifications were done on aerator and changes in the type of chemical used to achieve Drinking Water Quality Standard. Subsequently, the effectiveness of adopting Horizontal Collector Well as a future use in Pahang was investigated and the main focuses were in terms of cost construction, operation (chemical and electrical) and maintenance cost. From the total cost, it was found Horizontal Collector Well is cheaper (RM4,685,857.42) compared to Conventional Raw Water Intake (RM8,720,050.52). As a conclusion, it can be said that the Horizontal Collector Well is a successful alternative as a replacement of conventional raw water intake.

ABSTRAK

Air memainkan peranan yang penting dalam menyokong dan mengekalkan kesihatan manusia dan pembangunan ekosistem mampan. Walau bagaimanapun, perubahan iklim seperti musim panas, musim banjir dan seumpamanya menyebabkan tekanan kepada agensi bekalan air untuk mencari alternatif lain sebagai sumber bekalan air. Oleh itu, kajian ini adalah untuk menilai sampel air pengumpul perigi mendatar (air bawah tanah) sebagai sumber air berbanding dengan mukasauk konvensional (air permukaan) di Sungai Sekor, Pekan Pahang. Beberapa ujian telah di lakukan berdasarkan parameter kekeruhan, besi, mangan, aluminium dan pH bermula dari Januari 2016 sehingga Disember 2016 dan keputusan dari ujian tersebut dibandingkan dengan piawaian kritirea kualiti air mentah. Dari ujian sampel yang telah di uji, di dapati tahap kekeruhan air bawah tanah adalah lebih baik berbanding air permukaan, purata nilai pH ialah 6.8 lebih berasid berbanding air permukaan nilai purata adalah 7.18 dan air bawah tanah juga mengandungi tinggi nilai besi dan mangan berbanding dengan air permukaan. Lanjutan dari keputusan ujian tersebut, seterusnya adalah perlu untuk mengkaji keberkesanan air bawah tanah di rawat menggunakan loji rawatan air. Sedikit pengubahsuaian dilakukan pada pengudaraan dan menukar jenis kimia bagi mencapai piawaian kualiti air minuman. Seterusnya, mengkaji keberkesanan pembangunan Pengumpul Perigi Mendatar untuk digunakan pada masa hadapan, dan focus utama ialah dari segi kos pembinaan, operasi (kimia dan elektrik) dan kos penyelenggaraan. Dari jumlah kos keseluruhan, di dapati Perigi Pengumpul Mendatar adalah lebih murah (RM4,685,857.42) berbanding dengan kos mukasauk konvensional (RM8,720,050.52). Kesimpulannya, ia boleh dikatakan Pengumpul Perigi Mendatar adalah alternatif yang berjaya bagi mengantikan mukasauk konvensional.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER		TITLE	PAGE
	DEC	LARATION	ii
	ACH	NOWLEDGEMENT	iii
	ABS	TRACT	iv
	ABS	TRAK	V
	TAE	LE OF CONTENTS	vi
	LIST	COF TABLES	ix
	LIST	COF FIGURES	Х
	LIS	COF APPENDICES	xiii
1	INT	RODUCTION	
	1.1	Introduction	1
	1.2	Problem Statement	2
	1.3	Objectives of the Study	4
	1.4	Scope of Study of the Study	4
2	LIT	ERATURE REVIEW	
	2.1	Water	6
	2.2	Sources of Water	7
	2.3	Water Quality	8
		2.3.1 Raw Water Quality	9
		2.3.2 Drinking Water Quality	/ 11
	2.4	Water Treatment	12
		2.4.1 Screening	12
		2.4.2 Grid Removal	12

	2.4.3	Chemical Pre-Treatment	13
	2.4.4	Aeration	13
	2.4.5	Pre Chlorination	14
	2.4.6	Chemical Mixing	15
	2.4.7	Coagulant and Flocculation	15
	2.4.8	Sedimentation	17
	2.4.9	Filtration	18
2.5	River B	ank Infiltration	20
2.6	Type of	River Bank Infiltration	21
2.7	Factor A	Affecting of Design	22
2.8	Method	of Construction Horizontal Collector	24
	Well		
2.9	Operation	on Backwash System	32
2.10	Effect o	f Abstraction Underground Water	33

3 METHODOLOGY

3.1	Location of Study Area	36
3.2	Site Layout	38
3.3	Framework of the Study	39
3.4	List of Parameters and Equipment Use	40

4 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

4.1	Introd	uction	42	
4.2	Raw W	Vater Quality of Underground Water	42	
	Comp			
	4.2.1	Turbidity	43	
	4.2.2	Iron	45	
	4.2.3	Aluminium	48	
	4.2.4	Manganese	50	
	4.2.5	pH Value	52	
4.3	Effect	iveness of Using the Existing Water	54	
	Treatn	nent Plant to Treat Water from the		
	Horizontal Collector Well			

	4.3.1	Change Type of Chemical Used	55
	4.3.2	Modifying Existing Aerator	56
	4.3.3	Filter Backwash	59
4.4	Investig	gate Effectiveness of Horizontal Collector	62
	Well A	s Future Use In Pahang	
	4.4.1	Construction Cost	62
	4.4.2	Operational Cost	64
	4.4.3	Maintenance Cost	67
	4.4.4	Summary	69

5 CONCLUSIONS

5.1	Conclusions	72
5.2	Recommendations	74

REFERENCES	75
Appendices A - J	81-90

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Malaysia's Proposed Water Supply CAPEX from 2011 – 2050	7
2.2	Recommended Raw Water Quality Criteria and Frequency of Monitoring	10
2.3	Drinking Water Quality Standard and Frequency of Monitoring	11
3.1	List of Instrument for Water Sampling	41
4.1	Comparison of metal data before and after modification	59
4.2	Detail construction cost	62
4.3	Estimation cost for maintenance	69
4.4	Total cost for HCW and conventional raw water intake	71

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Types of RBF systems	21
2.2	Cutting edge place at suitable location	24
2.3	Joint cutting edge with reinforcement concrete caisson	25
2.4	Jointing material	25
2.5	Jointing material installed on each caisson	26
2.6	Steel plate installed at the wall caisson	26
2.7	Boring caisson	27
2.8	Soil excavation until reaching required depth	27
2.9	Loading place on caisson	28
2.10	Concrete grade 40 poured into the caisson	28
2.11	Casing and horizontal drilling machine	29
2.12	Drill wells in progress	29
2.13	Screen lateral pipe	30
2.14	Screen pipe insert into the blank pipe	30
2.15	Installation of control valve	31
2.16	Raw water pump installed	31
2.17	Check valve and butterfly valve	32
2.18	Horizontal Collector well completed	32
2.19	Schematic type of flow condition at riverbank filtration	34

3.1	Location of Water Treatment Plant Sekor, Pekan Pahang	37
3.2	Typical section of Horizontal Collector Well	37
3.3	Typical layout of Horizontal Collector Well	37
3.4	Site layout of Water Treatment Plant Sekor, Pekan Pahang	38
3.5	Research methodology flowchart	39
4.1	Result for turbidity underground water (GW) and surface water (SW)	44
4.2	Different turbidity for (a) underground water and (b) surface water	44
4.3	Aeration process at (a) underground water and (b) surface water	45
4.4	Brown precipitates in the Horizontal Collector Well	46
4.5	Result for Iron underground water (GW) and surface water (SW)	47
4.6	Result for Aluminium underground water (GW) and surface water (SW)	48
4.7	Result for Manganese underground water (GW) and surface water (SW)	51
4.8	pH Content for underground water (GW) and surface water (SW)	52
4.9	Cost for chemical Aluminium Chlorohydrate (ACH)	56
4.10	Orientation of aerator opposite form wind direction	57
4.11	Comparison modification of existing aerator (a) before aeration modification and (b) after aeration modification	58
4.12	Water first enters a distributor tray and then falls from tray to tray	58
4.13	Brown colour filter tank during backwash	61
4.14	Underground water during filtration process	61

4.15	View of existing water treatment plant	61
4.16	During construction (a) Conventional raw water intake and (b) Horizontal collector well	63
4.17	More spaces for (a) Conventional raw water intake than space compare with (b) Horizontal collector well need small space	63
4.18	Chemical expenditure for underground water (GW) and surface water (SF)	65
4.19	Electric expenses for underground water and surface water	67

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX

TITLE

PAGE

A	Turbidity of the Raw Water	81
В	Iron Contents in the Raw Water	82
С	Aluminium Contents in the Raw Water	83
D	Manganese Contents in the Raw Water	84
E	pH Value of the Raw Water	85
F	Amount of Chemical Dosing Used	86
G	Cost of Chemical Consumption	87
Н	Cost of Electrical Consumption	88
I	Malaysia Standard, MS1454:2007	89
J	Meteorological Data	90

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Water is a vital part of the ecosystem and clean water is required for life on Earth in order to support and maintain human health and sustainable ecosystem development. Although the total volume of water in the world remains constant, but the quality and availability of water resources are not constant. The planet has mostly been covered with water in liquid, gaseous or frozen form and out of that, more than 95% of the total water supply is salt water. Only a small portion of the planet presents as groundwater, and as little as 0.01% of the world's total water are present as freshwater in rivers or lakes (Odlare, 2014).

Currently, economic expansion and population explosion have caused water demand to continually increasing for freshwater resources worldwide by municipal, industrial, and agricultural users (Cai, *et al.*, 2014; Bagatin, *et al.*, 2014). However, the availability of water resource is limited due to the polluted surface water which is resulted from erosion, municipal and industrial wastewater and also by weathering (water runoff) as well as climatic condition (Arain., *et al.*, 2014).

Moreover, Marston, *et al.* (2015) has stated that due to climate change, surface water source has been affected in many countries and has the potential to buffer fluctuations in surface water availability. Smith and Chandler (2010) estimated that climate change could lead to drastic changes in the region over the next sixty years and furthermore and it may impact on the whole cycle of water

supply with the changes in the availability, quantity and quality of water resources. Recent modelling has revealed evidence for a doubling in El Nino event occurrences in the future as a result of greenhouse warming (Cai, *et al.*, 2014).

Therefore, in order to full fill demand of water resource and reducing environmental problems caused by excessive exploitation of surface water, effective approach by using underground water was introduced, where river water percolates through riverbeds into aquifers. With underground water, it can be guaranteed clean and dependable water supply without neglecting the resource potential of the surface water used as a supplementary source of water supply (Shamsuddin, *et al.*, 2014).

1.2 Problem Statement

More than 80% of future world water stresses are due to ever increasing population, urbanization and development. One of the most significant disruptive forces (pressures) to get water resources is climate change. Climate change has been predicted to cause high variability in rainfall patterns, with more frequent extreme weather events including droughts and high-intensity rainfall (Van der Pol, *et al.*, 2015; Chen, *et al.*, 2014; Teng, *et al.*, 2012).

Kirschner, *et al.*, (2009) stated that during flood season, the quality of surface water (river) might be deteriorated due to combined sewer overflow events or direct runoff from areas with intensive agriculture. Furthermore, very high turbidity is obtained during and after the monsoon seasons. Pollution has made the surface water unsuitable for treatment, and in specific cases has caused the treatment costs to rise unexpectedly (Shamsuddin, *et al.*, 2014).

Water Treatment Plant at Sekor, Pekan, Pahang is one of the water treatment plant affected with these problems. The existing conventional raw water intake is not fully sunken in the river during the dry season and this has caused raw water pump to stop operating. In addition, due to low water level of river, this water treatment plant has to stop its operation due to seawater intrusion was detected during high tide at water intake and halted operation for a while. Frequently, this has caused raw water intakes to operate under capacity condition.

Furthermore, during flooding and monsoon seasons raw water intake and the water treatment plant sometimes need to be shut down due to high levels of turbidity. Sometimes high water level of the river will cause swamp water (black water) intrusion into the conventional raw water intake and worsen the water quality. Several newly developed water treatment methods are being used to obtain higher quality water, but the raw water with high levels of turbidity will cause increases in amount of chemical to use for treatment and consequently increases the water treatment cost unexpectedly.

Besides that, because of Water Treatment Plant at Sekor, Pekan, Pahang is located in the downstream area, therefore the water quality often significantly worse after heavy due to pollution runoff from farming, illegal logging and etc from upstream. Poor quality of surface water will requires more dosing of chemicals thus increases the water treatment cost. In additional, there is also a possibility of raw water pump to break down in the event of flooding. Even though conventional raw water intake has a coarse screen and a fine screen, but solid waste like branch, plastic and etc may enter the pit of the raw water pump during flood season. Therefore, it may increase the cost of maintenance and operation.

Water Treatment Plant at Sekor, Pekan is cater to supply treated water to 16 areas such as Pekan town, Kampung Pelangkah, Kampung Time, Kampung Ganchong and etc, where it consumes around 6593 numbers of accounts. Therefore, frequent closure of water intakes and water treatment plant will directly affect demand for treating water and revenue of the Pengurusan Air Pahang Berhad. company.

This issue is seen very stressful, especially for water supply agencies because of the failure to fulfil the demand and imposed pressure to Pengurusan Air Pahang Berhad to look for other alternative supplementary sources. Therefore, to meet the rising demand of water in that particular area, underground water could be used for water resources using Horizontal Collector Well (HCW). This method is the first in Pahang and it has started to operate in January 2016.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

The objectives of this study are as follows:

- i. To investigate the raw water quality of underground water compared to surface water.
- ii. To study the effectiveness of using the conventional water treatment plant existing water treatment plant to treat water from HCW
- iii. To investigate the effectiveness of HCW as a future use in Pahang.

1.4 Scope of the Study

Water Treatment Plant Sekor, Pekan, Pahang has two phases namely phase one where the water resource is surface water by using conventional raw water intake and phase two where the water resource is underground water by using HCW. The scope of this case study is to investigate the raw water quality of underground water comparable to surface water based on Recommended Raw Water Quality Criteria. Samples taken at the site will be tested in the laboratory and the parameters are focused only to pH value, turbidity, Magnesium, Aluminium, and Iron.

Both phases have their own water treatment plant to treat raw water from underground water and surface water. Therefore, there is a need to study the effectiveness of underground water treated by using conventional water treatment plant. At the end of this research, suggestions were given for the modifications that have been done to ensure underground water can achieve Drinking Water Quality Standards. The effectiveness of HCW as a future use in Pahang was investigated and the benefits of using HCW, compared to conventional raw water intake in term of construction, operation and maintenance cost were investigated and the significant contributions of HCW to the Pengurusan Air Pahang Berhad were outlined.

REFERENCES

- Abdella Ahmed, A. K. (2013). Riverbank filtration for water supply in semi arid environment. *Journal of Engineering Science*, 41 (3), 840–850.
- Abdella Ahmed, A. K. and Marhaba, T.F. (2016). Review on River Bank Filtration as an In Situ Water Treatment Process. *Clean Technology Environment Policy*, 19, 349.
- Arain, M.B., Ullah, I., Niaz, A., Shah, N., Shah, A., Hussain, Z., Tariq, M., Afridi, H.I., Baig, J.A., and Kazi, T.G., (2014). Evaluation of water quality parameters in drinking water of district Bannu, Pakistan: Multivariate study, *Journal Sustainability of Water Quality and Ecology*, 3–4, 114–123
- Bagatin, R., Klemes, J., Reverberi, A.P. and Huisingh, D. (2014). Conservation and Improvements in Water Resource Management: A Global Challenge. *Journal Cleaner Production*, 77, 1-9
- Boisson, A., Guihéneuf, N., Perrin J., Bour O., Dewandel B., Dausse A., Viossanges M., Ahmed S. and Maréchal J. C. (2015). Determining the vertical evolution of hydrodynamic parameters in weathered and fractured south Indian crystalline-rock aquifers: Insights from a study on an instrumented site. *Journal of Hydrogeology* ., 23: 757–773
- Cai, W.J., Borlace, S., Lengaigne, M., van Rensch, P., Collins, M., Vecchi, G., Timmermann, A., Santoso, A., McPhaden, M.J., Wu, L.X., England, M.H., Wang, G.J., Guilyardi, E. and Jin, F.F. (2014). Increasing frequency of extreme El nino events due to greenhouse warming. *Nature Climate Changed*, 4 (2), 111-116
- Chen, J., Xia, J., Zhao, C., Zhang, S., Fu, G. and Ning, L. (2014). The mechanism and scenarios of how mean annual runoff varies with climate change in Asian monsoon areas. *Journal of Hydrology*, 517, 595–606.
- Delphos, P.J. and Wesner, G.M. (2005). Mixing, coagulation, and flocculation. In. Water treatment plant design, American Water Works Association, American Society of Civil Engineers, Ch. 6, 4th Edition, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York.
- Department of Irrigation and Drainage Malaysia, (2011). Review of the National Water Resources Study (2000-2050) and Formulation of National Water Resources Policy, Volume 1: Executive Summary Final Report. Ministry of Natural Resource and Environment, Malaysia.

- Eckert, P. and Irmscher, R. (2006). Over 130 Years of Experience with Riverbank Filtration in Dusseldorf, Germany. *Journal of Water Supply: Research and Technology – AQUA*, 55, 283–291.
- EPA, (1995). *Water Treatment Manuals Filtration*, Environmental Protection Agency, Ardcavan, Wexford, Ireland.
- EPU, (2011). Economic Planning Unit, Tenth Malaysia Plan 2011-2015, Strategy Paper 16: Ensuring Quality and Efficient Water and Sewerage Services.
- Freitas, D.A., Cabral, J.J.S.P., Paiva, A.L.R. and Molica, R.J.R. (2012). Application of Bank Filtration Technology for Water Quality Improvement in a Warm Climate: A Case Study at Beberibe River in Brazil. *Journal Water Supply: Research and Technology*. AQUA 61 (5), 319–330.
- Galczyńska M., Gamrat R., Burczyk P., Horak A. and Kot, M. (2013). The influence of human impact and water surface stability on the concentration of selected mineral macro elements in mid-field ponds. *Water Environment Rural Areas*, 3(3/43), 41-54
- Gidde, Milind, R., Bhalerao, Anand, R., and Tariq, Hamemen. (2012). Occurrence of Aluminium concentration in surface water samples from different areas of Pune city, *International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering*, 2 (7): 2250-2459.
- Giordano, R., and Costantini, S., (1993). Some Aspect Related to the Presence of Aluminium in Waters. 29 (2): 305-311
- Grischek, T., Schoenheinz, D. and Ray, C. (2002). Siting and Design Issues for Riverbank Filtration Schemes. *Riverbank Filtration*, 14, 291–302.
- Hamdan, A.M., Sensoy, M.M. and Mansour, M.S. (2013). Evaluating the effectiveness of bank infiltration process in new Aswan City, Egypt. Arab. *Journal Geoscience*, 6, 4155–4165.
- Harbawi, M., Sabidi, A.A., Kamarudini, E., Hamid, A.A.B., D., Harun, S., Nazlan, A., Yi, C.X. (2010). Design of a portable dual purpose water filter system. *Journal Engineering Science Technology* 5 (2), 165–175.
- Harter, T. (2013). Water Well Design and Construction. UC Cooperative Extension Hydrogeology Specialist at the University of California, Davis, and Kearney Division of Agricultural Centre and Natural Resources, Publication 8086, 1-6
- Hasnul, M.S., Noor Azahari, Z.A. and Skumaran, K. (2015). Impacts of Climate Change to Water Supply. Department of Water Supply, Ministry of Enengy, Green Technology and Water, Malaysia
- Hiscock, K.M. and Grischek, T. (2002). Attenuation of groundwater pollution by bank filtration. *Journal of Hydrology*, 266, 139–144.

- Hu, B.H., Teng, Y., Zhai, Y., Zuo, R., Li, J., and Chen, H., (2016). Riverbank filtration in China: A Review and Perspective, Engineering Research Centre of Groundwater Pollution Control and Remediation of Ministry of Education. *Journal of Hydrology*, 541, Part B, 914–92.
- Huber, D.L. (2005). Synthesis, properties, and applications of iron nanoparticles. Small. Wiley Publication, DOI: 10.1002/smll.200500006, 1 (5): 482-501
- International Manganese Institute (2013). Manganese in Groundwater: Research and potential risks. 39th Annual Conference, Istanbul
- Irawan, D.E., Silaen, H., Sumintadireja P., Lubis., R. F., Brahmantyo B. and Puradimaja D.J. (2015). Groundwater surface water interactions of Ciliwung River streams, segment Bogor–Jakarta, Indonesia. *Journal of Environment Earth Science*, 73, 1295–1302.
- Irmscher, R. and Teermann, I. (2002). Riverbank filtration for drinking water supply: a proven method, perfect to face today's challenges. *Journal Water Science Technology and Water Supply*, 2 (5-6), 1–8.
- Kirschner, A., Kavka, G., Velimirov, B., Mach, R., Sommer, R. and Farnleitner, A., (2009). Microbiological Water Quality along a 2600 KM Longitudinal Profile of the Danube River: Integrating Data from Two Whole River Surveys and a Transnational Monitoring Network. *Journal of Water Research*. 43, 3673– 3684.
- Kneisel C. (2006). Assessment of Subsurface Lithology in Mountain Environments Using 2D Resistivity Imaging. *Journal of Geomorphology*, 80 (1-2), 32–44
- Kolanek A. and Kowalski A. (2002). On the contribution of biochemical processes and humic substances to calcium and magnesium concentrations in watercourses. *Journal of Elementology*, 1(84), 9-12
- Lee, S.I. and Lee, S.S. (2010). Development of site suitability analysis system for riverbank filtration. *Journal of Water Science Engineering*, 3(1), 85–94.
- Marston, L., Konar, M., Cai, X. and Troy, T.J. (2015). Virtual groundwater transfers for overexploited aquifers in the United States. *Proceeding of National Academic Science*. USA, 112, 8561–8566.
- Moore, R., Kelson, V., Wittman, J., and Rash, V. (2012). A modelling framework for the design of collector wells. *Groundwater*, 50(3), 355–366.
- Morris, B.L., Lawrence, A.R., Chilton, P.J, Adams, B., Calow, R and Klinck, BA. (2003). Groundwater and its susceptibility to degradation: A global assessment of the problems and options for management. Early Warning and Assessment Report Series, RS, 03-3. United Nations Environment Programme, Nairobi, Kenya.

- Mucha, I., Rodak, D., Hlavary, Z. and Bansky, L. (2002). Ground water quality processes after the bank infiltration from the Danube at Cunovo. In: Ray, C., Laszlo, F., Bourg, A. (Eds.), Riverbank Filtration: Understanding Biogeochemistry and Pathogen Removal. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- MWA (1994). Design Guidelines for Water Supply System, Malaysia Water Association, December 1994, Kuala Lumpur.
- NDWQS, (2004), National Drinking Water Quality Standard, Ministry of Health Malaysia
- Odlare, M. (2014), Introductory Chapter for Water Resources, Malardalen University, Vasteras, Sweden, Elsevier Inc.
- Othman, M.N., Pauzi, Md., Abdullah and Abd Aziz, Y.F., (2010). Removal of Aluminium from Drinking Water, *Journal of Science Malaysian*, 39(1), 51–55
- Polomcic, D., Hajdin, B., Stevanovic, Z., Bajic, D. and Hajdin, K. (2013). Groundwater Management By Riverbank Filtration and An Infiltration Channel: The Case of Obrenovac, Serbia. *Journal of Hydrogeology*, 21, 1519– 1530.
- Rajvaidya, N. and Markandey, D.K. (2005). Water, A.P.H. Publishing Cooperation, ISBN 81-7648-793-7
- Ray, C., Melin, G. and Linsky, B.R. (2002a). Riverbank Filtration: Improving Source-Water Quality. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Netherlands.
- Ray, C., Grischek, T., Schubert, J., Wang, J.Z. and Speth, T.F. (2002b). A Perspective of Riverbank Filtration. *Water Works Association*. 94: 149–160.
- Ray, C. (2011). Riverbank Filtration Concepts and Applicability to Desert Environments. Riverbank Filtration for Water Security in Desert Countries. Springer, Dordrecht, 1–4
- Roth, J.A. (2006). Homeostatic and toxic mechanisms regulating manganese uptake, retention, and elimination. *Biological Research*. 39, 45–57
- Sandhu, C., Grischek, T., Kumar, P. and Ray, C. (2011). Potential for Riverbank Filtration in India. *Clean Technology Environment Policy*. 13(2), 295–316
- Schecher, W.D. and Driscoll, C.T. (1988). An evaluation of equilibrium calculations within acidification models: The effect of uncertainty in measured chemical components. *Research Water Resource*, 24, 533-542.
- Schiermeier, Q. (2014). The parched planet: Water on Tap. Nature, 510, 326-328
- Schubert, J. (2002). Hydraulic aspects of river bank filtration field studies. *Journal of Hydrology*, 321,145–161

- Shamsuddin, M.K.N., Azmin, S.W.N., Saim, S., Pauzi, Z.M and Kamarudin, S. (2014). Groundwater and surface water utilisation using a bank infiltration technique in Malaysia. *Journal Hydrogeology*, 22, 543–564
- Sieliechi, J.M., Kayem, G.J. and Ssandu, I. (2010). Effect of water treatment residuals (aluminium and iron ions) on human health and drinking water distribution systems. International . *Journal Conservation Science*. 1(3), 175– 182
- Smith, I. and Chandler, E. (2010). Refining rainfall projections for the Murray Darling Basin of south-east Australia the effect of sampling model results based on performance. *Climate Change*, 102, 377–393.
- Sprenger, C., Lorenzen, G., Hulshoff, I., Grutzmacher, G., Ronghang, M., and Pekdeger, A. (2011). Vulnerability of Bank Filtration Systems to Climate Change. *Journal Science Total Environment*. 409, 655–663.
- Teng J., Chiew, F.H.S. and Vaze. J. (2012). Estimation of climate change impact on mean annual runoff across continental Australia using Budyko and Fu equations and hydrological models. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 13, 1094– 1106
- U.S Department of Health and Human Services. (2008). Toxicological Profile for Aluminium Public Health Service Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, Atlanta, Georgia
- Ulrich, C., Hubbard, S.S., and Florsheim, J. (2015). Riverbed Clogging Associated with a California Riverbank Filtration System: An Assessment of Mechanisms and Monitoring Approaches. *Journal of Hydrology*, 529, 1740–1753.
- Van Der Pol, T.D., Van Ireland, E.C., Gabbert, S., Weikard, H.P., and Hendrix, E.M.T. (2015). Impacts of rainfall variability and expected rainfall changes on cost effective adaptation of water systems to climate change, *Journal Environment Management*, 154, 40–47
- Voeroesmarty, C.J., McIntyre, P.B., Genssner, M.O., Dudgeon, D., Prusevich, A., Green, P., Bunn, E.S., Sullivan, A.C., Liermann, R.C., and Davies, M.P. (2010). Global threats to human water security and river biodiversity. *Nature*, 467, 555–561.
- Wan Ismail, W.M.Z., Yusoff, I., and A. Rahim, B.E., (2013). Simulation of horizontal well performance using Visual MODFLOW, *Environment Earth Science*, 68, 1119–1126
- WHO, (2009). Calcium and magnesium in drinking-water: public health significance, World Health Organization, 191, ISBN 978 92 4 156355 0
- Wons, M., Szymczyk, S., and Glińska, L.K. (2012). Ecological approach to monitoring the hardness of the groundwater. *Journal of Ecology*, 31, 137-143

Zahidi, M. (2008). Effect of Terminalia Catappa Linn Extract On Wastewater, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Thesis.