CORRELATION OF UNIAXIAL COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH BETWEEN UCT AND POINT LOAD INDEX OF ROCK IN KLANG VALLEY

NEESAHANTANI MOGANAKRISNAN

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Geotechnics)

School of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2020

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

A great deal of gratitude I would like to first extend to the Almighty for his graciousness, where I was able to ensure a perfect completion of this dissertation. In addition to that, I would like to use this space to thank all who have, little or much, directly or indirectly aided me throughout this research so far.

First and foremost, a great gesture of thanks goes to my project supervisor, Ir Dr Rini Asnida whom without I would be in day one still. Her guidance throughout the completion of this dissertation has been absolutely remarkable and I am highly in debt with her great deeds.

Also, to the laboratories that hold a great part of this dissertation and without their help, I could not have been able to gather data for my research. Thus, I would like to thank the staffs of Geosoil Engineering and Soilpro Technical Services Sdn Bhd for their kind gestures.

Next, I would like to thank the Director of my workplace, Sealand Drillers (M) Sdn Bhd, Mr AL Ramanathan for his help throughout my dissertation. He has always given his best when it comes to solving a problem and providing compatible solutions to hurdles along the way. He has also given me the flexibility to balance between work and studies as it has helped me a lot in completing my dissertation.

Not to forget, I really am grateful to my family, Mr Moganakrisnan, Mdm Nithiavani and Koshiulahvani for their continuous advice, motivation and for always being able to understand my situation.

ABSTRACT

Uniaxial compressive strength (UCS) is one of the most important properties of rock that are widely used in geotechnical field. Since, the direct method to obtain UCS is expensive; Point Load Test (PLT) is the most commonly used method to estimate UCS. There are several general conversion factor suggested such as by the ISRM. However, it is found that index-to-strength study is rock dependent and site specific. This research presents on the correlation of UCS between Uniaxial Compressive Test (UCT) and PLT in Klang Valley based on location and rock type. UCT and PLT were conducted on a total of 40 sets of Limestone and 45 sets of Granite rock. The correlation equation for each location are; UCS = 21.192 $I_{s(50)}$ + 4.1976 for Serdang Lama, UCS = 5.7239 $I_{s(50)}$ + 73.819 for Wangsa Maju, UCS = 13.326 $I_{s(50)}$ + 46.24 for Jalan Kepong, UCS = 8.1125 $I_{s(50)}$ + 12.344 for Cochrane, UCS = 1.7789 $I_{s(50)}$ + 39.112 for Jalan Stonor, UCS = 12.151 $I_{s(50)}$ + 19.04 for Bandar Malaysia South, UCS = 18.921 $I_{s(50)}$ – 0.7189 for Sungai Long, UCS = 3.9971 $I_{s(50)}$ + 21.322 for Balakong, UCS = 6.618 $I_{s(50)}$ + 19.938 for Sector C, Parkcity and UCS = 33.708 $I_{s(50)}$ - 43.029 for USJ 7, Subang Jaya.In addition, a regional map of correlation equation is produced for future references in obtaining the UCS from the PLT.

ABSTRAK

Kekuatan mampatan satu paksi (UCS) adalah salah satu ciri utama yang lazim digunakan dalam bidang Geoteknikal. Oleh sebab kaedah langsung iaitu ujian mampatan satu paksi (UCT) adalah mahal, kaedah tidak langsung seperti ujian beban titik (PLT) direka untuk mendapatkan nilai UCS melalui faktor pekali hubungkait antara UCS dan kekuatan beban titik ($I_{s(50)}$). Terdapat beberapa faktor pekali umum seperti yang dicadangkan oleh ISRM. Namun, didapati bahawa faktor penukaran indeks-kepada-kekuatan adalah sangat berkait rapat dengan batuan itu sendiri. Oleh itu, kajian ini membentangkan hubungkait antara UCS and $I_{s(50)}$ di Lembah Klang berdasarkan lokasi dan jenis batuan. Ujian makmal UCT dan PLT telah dijalankan pada 40 set batu kapur dan 45 set batu Granit. Persamaan hubungkait di antara UCS dan $I_{s(50)}$ berdasarkan lokasi adalah seperti berikut; UCS = 21.192 $I_{s(50)}$ + 4.1976 untuk Serdang Lama, UCS = 5.7239 $I_{s(50)}$ + 73.819 untuk Wangsa Maju, UCS = 13.326 $I_{s(50)}$ + 46.24 untuk Jalan Kepong, UCS = 8.1125 $I_{s(50)}$ + 12.344 untuk Cochrane, UCS = 1.7789 $I_{s(50)}$ + 39.112 untuk Jalan Stonor, UCS = 12.151 $I_{s(50)}$ + 19.04 untuk Bandar Malaysia South, UCS = 18.921 $I_{s(50)}$ – 0.7189 untuk Sungai Long, UCS = 3.9971 $I_{s(50)}$ + 21.322 untuk Balakong, UCS = 6.618 $I_{s(50)}$ + 19.938 untuk Sector C, Parkcity dan UCS = 33.708 $I_{s(50)}$ - 43.029 untuk USJ 7, Subang Jaya. Akhir sekali, sebuah peta yang menunjukkan persamaan hubungkait berdasarkan lokasi telah dihasilkan.

TABLE OF CONTENT

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

A	-	Cross sectional area
ASTM	-	American Society of Rock Testing and Materials
D	-	Diameter
F	-	Correction Factor
ISRM	-	Internatioanal Society for Rock Mechanics
L	-	Length
PLT	-	Point Load Test
UCS	-	Uniaxial Compressive Strength
UCT	-	Uniaxial Compressive Test
W	-	Width

LIST OF SYMBOLS

C_j	-	Cohesion
D_e^2	-	Equivalent Core Diameter
$I_{s(50)}$	-	Corrected Point Load Strength Index
K	-	Correlation Factor
P	-	Maximum Load
R^2	-	Correlation Coefficient
ϕ	-	Friction angle
β	-	Weakness plane orientation
σ	-	Compressive stress

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Rock strength is one of the most important parameters that are considered when it comes to rock mechanic design. Examples of common application that requires rock strength parameters are such as rock cutting for Tunnel Boring Machine (TBM), rock drilling design and performance, blasting, underground excavations, dam constructions and many more. Rock strength varies based on different properties of rock. Lack of proper understanding of rock behavior and its strength leads to a higher possibility of the foundation of rock engineering structures to fail. In order to obtain the rock strength, laboratory tests need to be carried out. Among the laboratory test carried out to determine the Uniaxial Compressive Strength (UCS) of rock are Uniaxial Compressive Test (UCT) and Point Load Test (PLT).

UCT is a direct method in determining the strength of the rock. It reflects the bearing capacity of rock. However, it is not preferable as it is time consuming, complex and costly. The process of preparing the rock sample is a tedious job as it needs to be prepared in a specified condition before the testing. The rock core sample for testing will need to follow the suggested dimensions by International Society for Rock Mechanics (ISRM). It also has a specific coring diameter and also affected by other physical and geological properties. Obtaining a solid rock core sample for UCS test in a weathered rock is a difficult task. Therefore, a considerable attention has been given to indirect method of UCS estimation such as the index test of PLT. PLT is often conducted to replace the UCT because it is reliable, cheap and fast method. Results obtained from PLT are used to predict the UCS value of the same rock sample. The correlation between both the rock tests has been tailored by previous

researchers whereby UCS = (K) $I_{s50,}$ where I_{s50} is the Point Load index normalized to a cylindrical specimen of 50mm in diameter, subjected to a diametric test and *K* is the conversion factor which is in general range of 20-25 as suggested by ISRM (1985).

Although ISRM have suggested a common conversion factor which gives the estimation value of UCS from I_{s50}, studies have shown that there is a tendency for the conversion factor, K to change depending on the different type and properties of rock. Therefore, this study will propose the local correlation between UCS and $I_{s(50)}$ based on samples tested in Klang Valley.

1.2 Problem Statement

Despite the standards and suggested methods (ASTM, 1984; ISRM, 1985) for determination of reliable UCS through the laboratory test, using direct method in determining UCS creates complexity in terms of sample preparation, having quality rock samples, duration (sampling and coring) and also being costly, the determination of UCS of rocks is still the most common way of determining the strength of intact rock (Nazir, *et al*. 2013). In order to save cost and time, it has been a common practice to estimate UCS using Point Load Index (I_s) using established correlation suggested by ISRM. However, the conversion factor, *K* is not always suitable to be used as the reliability of the correlation is dependent on various factors such as location, weathering grade and specific rock type.

Besides that, UCT can become difficult in sedimentary rock as the obtained rock sample are at an irregular geometric parameters which are not allowed by the ISRM standard to have the test performed on them. Moreover, some rocks tend to fail in the preparation stage before performing the UCT due to high weathering and discontinuities present in the core sample. Hence, there is a purpose of research needed in order to obtain the UCS value using different approach. In addition, due to the lack of information on local rocks, the main concern of this research is to obtain

the correlation for UCS between UCT and PLT for Klang Valley. It would be beneficial for quick estimation of UCS for the future references.

1.3 Aim and Objectives

The aim of this research is to establish the correlation for UCS between UCT and PLT test based on rocks located in Klang Valley. The three objectives that are set to achieve the aim of the research are:

1. To classify the UCS and PLT value of rock specimens based on the location and rock type
2. To verify and compare the existing general correlation of UCS and PLT.
3. To develop a regional map of correlation between UCS and PLT for Klang Valley area.

1.4 Scope of Study

The scope of study of this research is mainly focused on finding the correlation of UCS between UCT and PLT based on rock samples in Klang Valley. As a start, a detailed literature review had been studied based on correlations came up by past researchers. Besides that, laboratory test data (UCS and PLT) will be collected for rock samples from Klang Valley. The rock samples that are being studied consist of Granite and Limestone. The anisotropy of the rock will not be taken in count due to data limitation. The rock samples obtained for this research are generally Grade II to Grade III rocks.

REFERENCES

Agustawijaya, D.S.. 2007. "The Uniaxial Compressive Strength of Soft Rock "Civil Engineering Dimension, 9(1): 9-14, March 2007, Mataram University, Mataram, Indonesia

Aifan Al-Harthi, Abbas. (1998). Effect of planar structures on the anisotropy of Ranyah sandstone, Saudi Arabia. Engineering Geology. 50. 49-57.

Akram, M. And M.Z.A. Bakar, 2007." Correlation between Uniaxial Compressive Strength and Point Load Index for Salt-Range Rocks" Pakistan J. Engg. & Appl. Sci. Vol. 1.

Alitalesh, M., Mollaali, M., & Yazdani, M. (2016). Correlation between uniaxial strength and point load index of rocks. *Japanese Geotechnical Society Special Publication*, 2(12), pp. 504-507. doi:10.3208/jgssp.irn-08.

ASTM. 1984. American Society for testing and materials, Standard test method for unconfined compressive strength of intact rock core specimens, *Soil and rock, building stones: annual book of ASTM standards, 4.08,* Philadelphia, Pennsylvania Barton.

ASTM D2938-95(2002), Standard Test Method for Unconfined Compressive Strength of Intact Rock Core Specimens (Withdrawn 2005), ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 1995

Bagheripour, Mohammad Hossein, Reza Rahgozar, Hassan Pashnesaz, and Mohsen Malekinejad. 2011. "A Complement to Hoek-Brown Failure Criterion for Strength Prediction in Anisotropic Rock." *Geomechanics and Engineering* 3(1): 61–81.

E. Broch and J. Franklin. 1972. *J. Rock Mech. Min. Sci.* 9(6) 669-697.

F. P. Hassani, M. J. J. Scoble and B. N. Whittaker. 1980. In: *Summers, D. A. (ed.) Proc. 21st US Symposium*, Rock Mech., Rolla, Missouri, 543-553.

International Society for Rock Mechanics. 1985. Suggested methods for determining point load strength. *Int. J. Rock Mech. Min. Sci. & Geomech. Abstr.* 22, 53~0

ISRM. (1978). Suggested Methods for Determining Tensile Strength of Rock Materials. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences & Geomechanics Abstracts. 15. 99-103.

J. Rusnak and C. Mark. 2000. *19th Ground Control Conference in Mining*, West Virginia University, 362-371.

J. S. Cargill and A. Shakoor. 1990. I*nt. J. Rock Mech. Min. Sci & Geomech*, 495 503.

Jaeger, J C, Cook, N G W and Zimmerman, R W, 2007. Fundamentals of Rock Mechanics, pp 146 (Blackwell: USA).

Kwasniewski, M.A.. (1993). Mechanical behavior of anisotropic rocks. Comprehensive rock engineering. Vol. 1. 285-312.

Li,D, Wong L N Y. 2012. Point Load Test on Meta – Sedimentary Rocks and Correlation to UCS and BTS [online]. *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering*, 46 (3). 889-896.

Matsukura, Y., K. Hashizume, and C. T. Oguchi. 2002. "Effect of Microstructure and Weathering on the Strength Anisotropy of Porous Rhyolite." *Engineering Geology* 63(1-2): 39–47.

Metcalfe, I. (2013). Tectonic evolution of the Malay Peninsula. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences*, 76, pp. 195-213. doi:10.1016/j.jseaes.2012.12.011.

N. Brook. 1985. *Int. J. Rock Mech. Min. Sci. & Geomech*, (22) 61-70.

Nazir. R., Momeni. E. Armaghani, J. D and Amin, M F M. 2013. Correlation Between Unconfined Compressive Strength and Indirect Tensile Strength of Limestone Rock Samples, in EJGE, 18(2): 1738-1741.

Quane S.L. and J.K. Russel. 2003. Rock strength as a metric of welding intensity in pyroclastic deposits. Eur. J. Mineral, 15:855-864.

Rusnak, J. and C. Mark. 2000. "Using The Point Load Test To Determination The Uniaxial Compressive Strength Of Coal Measure Rock", 19th Ground Control Conference in Mining, West Virginia University, pp: 362-371.

Salager, Simon, Bertrand François, Mathieu Nuth, and Lyesse Laloui. 2013. "Constitutive Analysis of the Mechanical Anisotropy of Opalinus Clay." *Acta Geotechnica* 8(2): 137–54.

Suggested Methods for determining the Uniaxial Compressive Strength and Deformability of Rock Materials, Commission on Standardization of Laboratory and Field Tests, Int. J. Rock Mech. Min. Sci. & Geomech, 1979, Abstr., 16, 135-140, Reprinted in Rock Characterization, Testing and Monitoring – ISRM Suggested Methods, ed. E.T. Brown, Pergamon, Oxford, (1985) 111- 116.

Walhlstrom, E. E. 1973. *Tunnelling in Rock*. 1st ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier.

Z. T. Bieniawski. 1975. *Bulletin of Eng. Geol.* 9(1) 1-11.

Fener, M., Kahraman, S., Bilgil, A., & Gunaydin, O. (2005). A Comparative Evaluation of Indirect Methods to Estimate the Compressive Strength of Rocks. *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering*, 38(4), pp. 329-343. doi:10.1007/s00603-005-0061-8.