

NANOSILICA-ENHANCED WATER-BASED DRILLING MUD FOR HOLE
CLEANING IN INCLINED WELLS

NATALIE VANESSA BOYOU

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Chemical and Energy Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2019

*Special Dedication to all my family members,
especially to my parents,
my friends and my faith.*

For all the love, care, and support given to me.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First, I would like to thank God for his blessings and for answering my prayers throughout the course of my Doctorate program in Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. I would not be able to complete this research paper on time and fulfill the requirements without my faith.

A special thanks to my compassionate supervisors, Associate Professor Issham Ismail and Dr. Wan Rosli Wan Sulaiman, for their guidance and teaching that pushed me to be a better learner and a better person. I feel that I have grown to be wiser and more dedicated to my work because they believed that I can accomplish greatness with hard work and goals. Their willingness to guide me and overseeing the progress I made was crucial for me to be on the right track with my research. Their help and advice helped me a great deal throughout my journey.

I would also like to express my gratitude to my family members back home who gave me motivation and for lifting my spirits upon completing my Doctorate. A special thanks to my mother who is supporting me all the way and molded me into the person I am today. I could not achieve half of what I have achieved today if it wasn't for her love, care, and support. Not forgetting my sister and her loving family who are always there to cheer me on.

Last but not least, thanks to all my friends especially to Norhafizuddin Husein for helping me to get through the difficult times. The experiences and knowledge gained throughout this journey proved to be invaluable in the process of equipping me to face future challenges.

ABSTRACT

Inadequate hole cleaning often leads to challenges in drilling operations such as poor cuttings lifting that causes pipe sticking, losing tools, and difficulties in liner/casing placements. Designing a drilling mud with improved rheological properties that are facing minimal degradation under high temperature conditions would be a better solution to increase cuttings transportation efficiency. Static tests which included rheological properties, fluid loss, and fluid flow behaviour of the drilling mud with and without nanosilica were examined according to API standards. This study also involved dynamic tests which investigated the performance of nanosilica in degraded and non-degraded water-based drilling muds to improve cuttings lifting in inclined drilling operations. This research uses a jacketed mixing tank with a capacity of 200 litres and an operating temperature of up to 482°F (250°C) to degrade drilling mud with and without nanosilica before testing them at ambient condition in a flow loop. Simulated cuttings of irregular shape and sizes ranging from 1.4 to 4.0 mm were used. Different inclination angles (0, 30, 60, and 90°) have been considered in the dynamic tests with and without pipe rotation (120, 150, and 180 rpm) to simulate the drilling conditions in a wellbore. It was found that the presence of nanosilica has increased the cuttings transportation efficiency (CTE) in all experiments. Results indicate that the presence of nanosilica in mud increases the colloidal interactions with cuttings and contributes to improvements in CTE by 30.8 to 44%. At elevated temperatures, 12 ppg muds performed better than 9 ppg muds. However, after adding the optimum concentration of nanosilica of 1.0 ppb (by weight), the CTE improvement for 9 ppg muds was higher than that for the 12 ppg muds. The presence of nanosilica improves the CTE at elevated temperatures because the distribution of nanosilica in the mud is able to withstand the heat in high temperature conditions thus improving CTE when comparing to mud without nanosilica. The introduction of nanosilica in water-based drilling fluids shows promising results in hole cleaning process which will enable them to be used in extended reach drilling operations.

ABSTRAK

Pembersihan lubang penggerudian yang tidak mencukupi sering membawa kepada cabaran dalam operasi penggerudian seperti pengangkatan keratan batu yang tidak memuaskan akan menyebabkan paip melekat, kehilangan alat, dan kesulitan dalam penempatan pelapisan/kelongsong. Mereka bentuk lumpur penggerudian dengan sifat-sifat reologi yang lebih baik yang mengalami kemerosotan minimum di bawah keadaan suhu tinggi akan menjadi penyelesaian terbaik untuk meningkatkan kecekapan pengangkutan keratan. Ujian statik yang merangkumi sifat-sifat reologi, kehilangan bendalir dan kelakuan aliran bendalir lumpur penggerudian tanpa nanosilica dan dengan nanosilica diperiksa mengikut piawaian API. Kajian ini juga melibatkan ujian dinamik yang menyiasat prestasi nanosilica dalam lumpur penggerudian berasaskan air yang terdegradasi dan tidak terdegradasi untuk meningkatkan penggerudian dalam operasi penggerudian condong. Kajian ini menggunakan tangki pencampuran berjaket dengan kapasiti 200 liter dan suhu operasi sehingga 482 °F (250 °C) untuk merosotkan lumpur penggerudian dengan nanosilica dan tanpa nanosilica sebelum menguji mereka pada keadaan ambien didalam gelung aliran. Bentuk keratan yang digunakan tidak bersaiz tetap dan saiz keratan adalah bersaiz antara 1.4 hingga 4.0 mm. Sudut kecondongan yang berlainan (0, 30, 60, dan 90 °) telah dipertimbangkan dalam ujian dinamik tanpa putaran paip dan dengan putaran paip (120, 150, dan 180 rpm) untuk mensimulasikan keadaan penggerudian dalam lubang sumur. Kajian mendapati bahawa kehadiran nanosilica telah meningkatkan kecekapan pengangkutan keratan (CTE) dalam semua eksperimen. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa kehadiran nanosilica dalam lumpur meningkatkan interaksi koloid dengan keratan dan menyumbang kepada peningkatan CTE sebanyak 30.8 hingga 44%. Pada suhu tinggi, lumpur 12 ppg mempamerkan prestasi lebih baik daripada lumpur 9 ppg. Walaubagaimanapun, selepas menambah kepekatan nanosilica optimum sebanyak 1.0 ppb (berat), peningkatan CTE untuk lumpur 9 ppg lebih tinggi daripada lumpur 12 ppg. Kehadiran nanosilica meningkatkan CTE pada suhu tinggi kerana taburan nanosilica dalam lumpur dapat menahan panas dalam keadaan suhu yang tinggi sehingga meningkatkan CTE jika dibandingkan dengan lumpur tanpa nanosilica. Pengenalan nanosilica dalam bendalir penggerudian berasaskan air menunjukkan prestasi baik dalam proses pembersihan lubang yang akan membolehkan mereka digunakan dalam operasi penggerudian jangkauan lanjutan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xviii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xix
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Problem Statement	7
1.3	Objectives	9
1.4	Hypotheses	9
1.5	Scope of Study	10
1.6	Significance of Study	12
1.7	Chapter Summary	13
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	15
2.1	Drilling Fluid	15
2.2	Water-based Drilling Fluid	18
2.3	Rheology of Drilling Fluid	19

2.4	Rheological Model of Drilling Fluid (Fluid Flow Behaviour)	21
2.5	Drilling Fluid in Extreme Conditions	24
2.6	Parameters Affecting Wellbore Cleaning	27
2.6.1	Annular Fluid Velocity and Slip Velocity of Cuttings	29
2.6.2	Hole Angle Effect	30
2.6.3	Hole or Drill Pipe Eccentricity	31
2.6.4	Drill String Rotation	32
2.6.5	Cuttings Size	33
2.7	Development of Minimum Cuttings Transport Models	34
2.8	Synthesis of Nanoparticles	37
2.9	Nanoparticles	38
2.10	Drilling Fluid with Nanoparticles	40
2.10.1	Nanoparticles Effect on Drilling Mud Rheology	41
2.10.2	Nanoparticles Effect on Drilling Mud Fluid Loss	46
2.10.3	Nanoparticles Effect on Thermal Instability	50
2.10.4	Nanoparticles Effect on Lubricity	53
2.10.5	Nanoparticles Effect on Electrical Stability	55
2.11	Chapter Summary	56
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		59
3.1	Methodology Flow Chart	59
3.2	Characterization of Nanosilica	60
3.3	Preparation of Drilled Cuttings	65
3.4	Water-based Mud Formulation	67
3.4.1	Basic Mud Formulation Procedure	67
3.4.2	Mud with Silica Nanoparticles Formulation Procedure	67
3.5	Mud Density Test	69
3.6	Drilling Mud Degradation	71

3.7	Hot Roll (Aging Process)	72
3.8	Determination of pH	74
3.9	Rheological Test	75
3.9.1	Plastic Viscosity and Yield Point Determination	76
3.9.2	Gel Strength Determination	77
3.10	Fluid Loss Test	77
3.11	Electronic Vernier Calliper	79
3.12	Type of Fluid Flow Determination	80
3.13	Lab Scale Flow Loop (Rig Simulator)	83
3.13.1	Mud tank	85
3.13.2	Annular Test Section	86
3.13.3	Mud pump	87
3.13.4	Drill Pipe Motor	87
3.13.5	Separation Tank	88
3.13.6	Cuttings Feed Hopper	89
3.14	Flow Chart of Dynamic Experimental Procedure	91
3.15	Chapter Summary	92
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	93
4.1	Characterization of Nanosilica	93
4.2	Nanosilica Dispersion	95
4.3	Coding for Mud Samples and Sand Sizes	100
4.4	Rheological Models	100
4.5	Rheological Properties	106
4.5.1	Apparent Viscosity	106
4.5.2	Plastic Viscosity	108
4.5.3	Yield Point	110
4.5.4	Gel Strength	113
4.6	Fluid Loss Control	116
4.7	Mud Cake Thickness	118

4.8	High Pressure High Temperature Fluid Loss Control	119
4.9	High Pressure High Temperature Mud Cake Thickness	121
4.10	pH Level	122
4.11	Effect of Hole Inclination Angle on CTE	124
4.11.1	Effect of inclination angle on CTE with no drill pipe rotation	124
4.11.2	Effect of concentration of nanosilica on CTE with no drill pipe rotation	127
4.11.3	Effect of drill pipe rotation on CTE	130
4.11.4	CTE for optimum concentration of nanosilica with optimum drill pipe rotation of 150 rpm	136
4.12	Effect of Hole Inclination Angle on CTE at Elevated Temperatures	139
4.12.1	Effect of inclination angle on CTE with no drill pipe rotation at elevated temperatures	139
4.12.2	Effect of drill pipe rotation on CTE at elevated temperatures	143
4.12.3	Effect of temperature on CTE at different inclination angles	150
4.13	Degradation of mud with and without nanosilica	155
4.14	Chapter Summary	160
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION		163
5.1	Research Conclusions	163
5.1.1	Characterizing Fluid Flow Behaviour, Rheological Properties, and Filtration Properties	163
5.1.2	Cuttings Transportation Efficiency (CTE) at various inclination holes (0° to 90°) and Drill Pipe Rotations in Lifting Different Drill Cuttings Sizes	165
5.2	Recommendations	166
REFERENCES		169
APPENDICES		181

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Common additives in drilling fluid systems (Randall & Estes, 1977)	16
Table 2.2	Nanoparticles impact on rheology	42
Table 2.3	Nanoparticles impact on fluid loss	47
Table 2.4	Nanoparticles impact on thermal stability	51
Table 2.5	Nanoparticles impact on lubricity	54
Table 2.6	Nanoparticles impact on electrical stability	55
Table 3.1	Properties of Nanosilica	61
Table 3.2	Distribution of drilled cuttings sizes	66
Table 3.3	Water-based mud formulations with and without nanosilica	69
Table 4.1	Infrared spectroscopy absorptions by frequency regions	94
Table 4.2	Average zeta potential values of different dispersing methods	99
Table 4.3	Coding for mud samples used in this experiment	100
Table 4.4	Simulated drilled cuttings sizes	100

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Failure temperature of WBM in HPHT conditions (Amani et al. 2012)	19
Figure 2.2	Rheological models for all types of fluids (KMC Oiltools, 2006)	22
Figure 2.3	Variation of Herschel-Bulkley parameters with pressure for a weighted mud at 39°C (Alderman et al., 1988)	26
Figure 2.4	Variation of Herschel-Bulkley parameters with pressure for an unweighted mud at 22°C (Alderman et al., 1988)	26
Figure 2.5	Problems in directional drilling operation (Rasi, 1994)	29
Figure 2.6	Forces acting on particle at an active erosion site of a cuttings bed (Skalle, 2011)	34
Figure 2.7	Synthesis of nanomaterials	38
Figure 2.8	Triangular stacking of silica nanospheres (Miguez <i>et al.</i> , 1997)	40
Figure 2.9	Square stacking of silica nanospheres (Miguez et al., 1997)	40
Figure 3.1	Flow chart overview	60
Figure 3.2	Atomic resolution analytical electron microscope	62
Figure 3.3	Thermogravimetry analyzer interface with FTIR	63
Figure 3.4	Malvern nanoparticle size analyzer with zeta potential	64
Figure 3.5	Components of the Malvern nanoparticle size analyzer with zeta potential (Zetasizer Nano User Manual, 2013)	65
Figure 3.6	Dried sand in oven	66
Figure 3.7	Baroid mud balance	70
Figure 3.8	Jacketed tank: (a) Schematic diagram (b) Actual tank	71
Figure 3.9	Rolling oven	73
Figure 3.10	Pressurized cells	73
Figure 3.11	pH meter	75
Figure 3.12	Brookfield BF35 viscometer	76

Figure 3.13	Low pressure filter press	79
Figure 3.14	Vernier calliper	80
Figure 3.15	RST rheometer	82
Figure 3.16	Components of the RST rheometer	82
Figure 3.17	Schematic diagram of flow loop simulator	83
Figure 3.18	Flow loop rig simulator	84
Figure 3.19	Mud tank with stirrer	85
Figure 3.20	Test section made up of acrylic pipe	86
Figure 3.21	Mud pump	87
Figure 3.22	Drill pipe motor	88
Figure 3.23	Separation tank	89
Figure 3.24	Cuttings feed hopper section with valves	90
Figure 3.25	Flowchart of experimental procedure	91
Figure 4.1	Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy of nanosilica	94
Figure 4.2	TGA analysis	95
Figure 4.3	TEM Images of nanosilica in alkaline solution with different concentrations	96
Figure 4.4	Diffuse layer and zeta potential measurement (Malvern Instruments, 2013)	97
Figure 4.5	Zeta potential measurements with various concentrations of nanosilica	99
Figure 4.6	Shear stress vs shear rate of different drilling fluids at normal temperature	101
Figure 4.7	Shear stress vs shear rate of different drilling fluids at 50°C	102
Figure 4.8	Shear stress vs shear rate of different drilling fluids at 80°C	103
Figure 4.9	Shear stress vs shear rate of different drilling fluids at 120°C	103
Figure 4.10	Viscosity vs shear rate of different drilling fluids at normal temperature	104
Figure 4.11	Viscosity vs shear rate of different drilling fluids at 50°C	105
Figure 4.12	Viscosity vs shear rate of different drilling fluids at 80°C	105
Figure 4.13	Viscosity vs shear rate of different drilling fluids at 120°C	106

Figure 4.14	Apparent viscosities of 9 ppg drilling fluids at different temperatures	108
Figure 4.15	Apparent viscosities of 12 ppg drilling fluids at different temperatures	108
Figure 4.16	Plastic viscosities of 9 ppg drilling fluids at different temperatures	110
Figure 4.17	Plastic viscosities of 12 ppg drilling fluids at different temperatures	110
Figure 4.18	Yield points of 9 ppg drilling fluids at different temperatures	112
Figure 4.19	Yield points of 12 ppg drilling fluids at different temperatures	112
Figure 4.20	Yield points comparison of 9 ppg WBMs containing 0.5 w% of nanosilica from previous studies and 1.5 g of nanosilica (0.4 w%) from this study	113
Figure 4.21	Gel strengths of 9 ppg drilling fluids at different temperature	114
Figure 4.22	Gel strengths of 12 ppg drilling fluids at different temperature	115
Figure 4.23	Gel formations in: (a) Basic mud and (b) Mud with nanosilica	115
Figure 4.24	Fluid loss of 9ppg drilling fluids with and without nanosilica in various temperatures	117
Figure 4.25	Fluid loss of 12ppg drilling fluids with and without nanosilica in various temperatures	117
Figure 4.26	Mud cake thickness of 9ppg mud with and without nanosilica in various temperatures	118
Figure 4.27	Mud cake thickness of 12ppg mud with and without nanosilica in various temperatures	119
Figure 4.28	HPHT fluid loss control of 9ppg muds with and without nanosilica at 120°C	120
Figure 4.29	HPHT fluid loss control of 12ppg muds with and without nanosilica at 120°C	120
Figure 4.30	HPHT mud cake thickness of 9ppg muds with and without nanosilica in various temperatures	121
Figure 4.31	HPHT mud cake thickness of 12ppg muds with and without nanosilica in various temperatures	122

Figure 4.32	pH level of 9ppg muds with and without nanosilica in various temperatures	123
Figure 4.33	pH level of 12ppg muds with and without nanosilica in various temperatures	123
Figure 4.34	CTE of 9 ppg drilling fluids transporting different cuttings sizes	125
Figure 4.35	CTE of 12 ppg drilling fluids transporting different cuttings sizes	126
Figure 4.36	Distribution of particles in flowing mud	127
Figure 4.37	CTE 9 ppg mud with different concentrations of nanosilica at different inclination angles	128
Figure 4.38	CTE 12 ppg mud with different concentrations of nanosilica at different inclination angles	129
Figure 4.39	CTE of 9 ppg mud with different pipe rotation at different inclination angles – Sand 1	131
Figure 4.40	CTE of 9 ppg mud with different pipe rotation at different inclination angles – Sand 4	132
Figure 4.41	CTE of 12 ppg mud with different pipe rotation at different inclination angles – Sand 1	134
Figure 4.42	CTE of 12 ppg mud with different pipe rotation at different inclination angles – Sand 4	135
Figure 4.43	CTE of 9 and 12 ppg mud transporting different cuttings sizes	137
Figure 4.44	CTE improvements of mud with optimum concentration of nanosilica with 150 rpm pipe rotation for different cuttings sizes	138
Figure 4.45	CTE of mud at 50°C with optimum concentration of nanosilica with no pipe rotation for different cuttings sizes	140
Figure 4.46	CTE of mud at 80°C with optimum concentration of nanosilica with no pipe rotation for different cuttings sizes	141
Figure 4.47	CTE of mud at 120°C with optimum concentration of nanosilica with no pipe rotation for different cuttings sizes	142
Figure 4.48	CTE of different drilling fluids degraded at 50°C with different pipe rotations at different inclination angles-Sand 1	144

Figure 4.49	CTE of different drilling fluids degraded at 50°C with different pipe rotations at different inclination angles – Sand 4	145
Figure 4.50	CTE of different drilling fluids degraded at 80°C with different pipe rotations at different inclination angles- Sand 1	146
Figure 4.51	CTE of different drilling fluids degraded at 80°C with different pipe rotations at different inclination angles – Sand 4	147
Figure 4.52	CTE of different drilling fluids degraded at 120°C with different pipe rotations at different inclination angles- Sand 1	148
Figure 4.53	CTE of different drilling fluids degraded at 120°C with different pipe rotations at different inclination angles – Sand 4	149
Figure 4.54	CTE of different drilling fluids degraded under different temperatures with no pipe rotation at different inclination angles – Sand 1	151
Figure 4.55	CTE of different drilling fluids degraded under different temperatures with no pipe rotation at different inclination angles – Sand 4	152
Figure 4.56	CTE of different drilling fluids degraded under different temperatures with 150 rpm pipe rotation at different inclination angles – Sand 1	153
Figure 4.57	CTE of different drilling fluids degraded under different temperatures with 150 rpm pipe rotation at different inclination angles – Sand 2	154
Figure 4.58	FESEM of different drilling muds	156
Figure 4.59	Rheological properties of mud with and without optimum concentration of nanosilica at elevated temperatures: (a) Apparent viscosity of 9 ppg muds, (b) Apparent viscosity of 12 ppg muds, (c) Plastic viscosity of 9 ppg muds, (d) Plastic viscosity of 12 ppg muds, (e) Yield point of 9 ppg muds, and (f) Yield point of 12 ppg muds.	157
Figure 4.60	Apparent viscosities of (a) 9 ppg and (b) 12 ppg of mud with and without optimum concentration of nanosilica at elevated temperatures	158
Figure 4.61	Plastic viscosities of (a) 9 ppg and (b) 12 ppg of mud without and with optimum concentration of nanosilica at elevated temperatures	159

Figure 4.62 Yield points of (a) 9 ppg and (b) 12 ppg of mud with and without optimum concentration of nanosilica at elevated temperatures

159

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

API	-	American Petroleum Institute
ATR	-	Attenuated Total Reflectance
CTE	-	Cuttings Transportation Efficiency
CuO	-	Copper Oxide
EDL	-	Electrical Double Layer
FTIR	-	Fourier-transform-infrared
GBDF	-	Gas-based Drilling Fluid
GS	-	Gel strength
HPHT	-	High Pressure High Temperature
KCl	-	Potassium Chloride
MPa	-	Mega Pascal
Mt	-	Montmorillonite
MWCNT	-	Multiwalled Carbon Nanotube
NaCl	-	Sodium Chloride
NPBM	-	Nanoparticles Based Brine Mud
OBDF	-	Oil-based Drilling Fluid
Pal	-	Palygorskite
PSBR	-	Polystyrene-Butadiene Rubber Copolymer (Oil Base Mud)
PV	-	Plastic Viscosity
RPM	-	Revolution per Minute
RT	-	Room Temperature
SDFL	-	Polymer Based Nanosilica Composite with Core-shell
SEM	-	Scanning Electron microscope
TGA	-	Thermogravimetric Analysis
WBM	-	Water-based Mud
WBDF	-	Water-based Drilling Fluid
YP	-	Yield Point
ZnO	-	Zinc Oxide

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A0	-	9 ppg basic mud
A1	-	9 ppg mud with 0.5 ppb nanosilica
A2	-	9 ppg mud with 1.0 ppb nanosilica
A3	-	9 ppg mud with 1.5 ppb nanosilica
B0	-	12 ppg basic mud
B1	-	12 ppg mud with 0.5 ppb nanosilica
B2	-	12 ppg mud with 1.0 ppb nanosilica
B3	-	12 ppg mud with 1.5 ppb nanosilica
CoF	-	Coefficient of Friction
F_g	-	Gravitational force
F_d	-	Drag force
F_f	-	Friction force
F_c	-	Cohesive force
F_{ga}	-	Resolved gravity force
F_L	-	Lift force
h	-	Thickness of filtration cake
ID	-	Inside diameter
K	-	Consistency index
k	-	Permeability
μ	-	Viscosity (cp)
μ_S	-	Microsiemens
μ_∞	-	Plastic viscosity (cp)
OD	-	Outside diameter
τ	-	Shear stress (Dynes/cm ²)
τ_0	-	Yield stress (Dynes/cm ²)
vs.	-	Versus
γ	-	Shear rate (1/sec)
Q_f	-	Volume of filtrate (cm ³)

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	CTE of 9 ppg Drilling Fluids at Room Temperature (Sand 1)	181
Appendix B	CTE of 9 ppg Drilling Fluids at Room Temperature (Sand 2)	182
Appendix C	CTE of 9 ppg Drilling Fluids at Room Temperature (Sand 3)	183
Appendix D	CTE of 9 ppg Drilling Fluids at Room Temperature (Sand 4)	184
Appendix E	CTE of 12 ppg Drilling Fluids at Room Temperature (Sand 1)	185
Appendix F	CTE of 12 ppg Drilling Fluids at Room Temperature (Sand 2)	186
Appendix G	CTE of 12 ppg Drilling Fluids at Room Temperature (Sand 3)	187
Appendix H	CTE of 12 ppg Drilling Fluids at Room Temperature (Sand 4)	188
Appendix I	CTE of 9 & 12 ppg Drilling Fluids at 50°C (Sand 1)	189
Appendix J	CTE of 9 & 12 ppg Drilling Fluids at 50°C (Sand 2)	190
Appendix K	CTE of 9 & 12 ppg Drilling Fluids at 50°C (Sand 3)	191
Appendix L	CTE of 9 & 12 ppg Drilling Fluids at 50°C (Sand 4)	192
Appendix M	CTE of 9 & 12 ppg Drilling Fluids at 80°C (Sand 1)	193
Appendix N	CTE of 9 & 12 ppg Drilling Fluids at 80°C (Sand 2)	194
Appendix O	CTE of 9 & 12 ppg Drilling Fluids at 80°C (Sand 3)	195
Appendix P	CTE of 9 & 12 ppg Drilling Fluids at 80°C (Sand 4)	196
Appendix Q	CTE of 9 & 12 ppg Drilling Fluids at 120°C (Sand 1)	197
Appendix R	CTE of 9 & 12 ppg Drilling Fluids at 120°C (Sand 2)	198
Appendix S	CTE of 9 & 12 ppg Drilling Fluids at 120°C (Sand 3)	199
Appendix T	CTE of 9 & 12 ppg Drilling Fluids at 120°C (Sand 4)	200
Appendix U	Rheological Properties at Room Temperature	201

Appendix V	Rheological Properties at 50°C	202
Appendix W	Rheological Properties at 80°C	203
Appendix X	Rheological Properties at 120°C	204

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Oil and gas exploration have been improved by new levels of technologies where deeper and harsher environments are being drilled more than ever before. Drilling fluids play a vital role in drilling operations, such as cooling and lubricating the bit and drill string, cleaning the bottom of the hole, controlling formation pressure, improving drilling rate, among others (Bourgoyne *et al.*, 1986). In recent years, drilling in harsh conditions, such as extended reach and deep-water drilling operations, highlighted the unsuitability of conventional muds for the successful drilling and hole cleaning process. Therefore, there is a demand for new drilling fluids that can perform efficiently in such conditions. Oil producers and service companies have been investigating more effective ways to tackle challenging environments in order to drill and produce in a safe and feasible manner. For example, oil-based drilling fluids treated with micronized barite were tested in the North Sea (Kageson-Loe *et al.*, 2007). Oil-based mud showed promising performance in shale inhibition, bit lubrication and torque reduction (Caldarola *et al.*, 2016). However, drilling with oil-based mud is associated with high costs of procurement and toxic waste management. Thus, extensive research has gone into improving water-based mud because of their low cost and environmentally friendly attributes (Rafati *et al.*, 2017).

Water was the first drilling fluid used in drilling operations (Brantly, 1961). However, water is not able to suspend cuttings in static conditions, build an impermeable layer on permeable formations, and also it is not dense enough to balance formation pressure. Today, water-based muds contain several additives. These include alkalis, salts, surfactants, organic polymers in colloidal solution, and various insoluble weighting materials such as barite and clay. The selection of additives is based on the type of formation to be drilled, dispersive materials in the formation, and cost. According to Apaleke *et al.* (2012), increased drilling activities provided a market for heavy muds made by adding heavy minerals to increase mud density for pressure control, and this led to improvements of water-based mud. However, there are still significant limitations of water-based mud in their stability and cuttings lifting ability.

Hall *et al.* (1950) stated that the removal of cuttings and sloughs is one of the most important functions of drilling fluids. According to Hakim *et al.* (2018), drilled cuttings removal is critical especially in horizontal wells. In addition to reducing rates of penetration by accumulated cuttings in the wellbore, inefficient hole cleaning increases the possibility of stuck pipe. Wellbore cleaning is highly affected by mud rheology. However, previous studies showed contradictory findings regarding mud rheology and their performance in the hole cleaning process. A study done by Ford *et al.* (1990) showed that high viscosity values increase cuttings lifting performance in inclined wellbores. Kelessidis & Bandelis (2007) however concluded that the performance of hole cleaning worsened when the viscosity of drilling mud was increased in horizontal wells. This contradiction might be due to the transition of turbulent flow to laminar flow when viscosity increases, which deteriorates the performance of drilling fluid to clean the wellbore. In another study, Walker & Li (2000) confirmed the findings of Kelessidis & Bandelis (2007), provided that the flow regime is turbulent. They reported this condition works mainly in horizontal or highly deviated wellbores. They recommended that for vertical or slightly deviated wellbores, a viscous drilling mud with a laminar flow regime should be used.

Hole inclination plays a tremendous role in determining the performance of drilling mud to carry cuttings out of a borehole. There are many complex well trajectories targeting deep reservoirs. Typical well designs in extended reach drilling operations have high inclination and dog-leg severity to reach pay zones. Many researchers have reported that inclination angles between 40° and 60° (deviation from vertical position) are critical angles where most of the accumulation of cuttings happens and it is difficult to transport cuttings out of the hole (Seeberger *et al.*, 1989; Peden *et al.*, 1990; Brown *et al.*, 1989; Onuoha *et al.*, 2015; Ogunrinde & Dosunmu, 2012). The formation of cuttings beds is one of the most common problems that occurs at critical angles when drilling fluid fails to transport cuttings up to the surface. In deviated or horizontal drillings, transportation of cuttings is mainly influenced by net vertical forces. If the net vertical force is downwards, there will be formation of cuttings bed in the annulus.

The cuttings' shape and size determine their dynamic behaviour in a flowing drilling mud. The properties and dimensions of the cuttings in drilling fluids affect their removal from downhole to the surface. There are different findings on the effect of cuttings size on the hole cleaning process. Martins *et al.* (1996) found that cuttings with bigger sizes are difficult to transport to the surface; other researchers (Peden *et al.*, 1990; Walker & Li, 2000) stated that cuttings with smaller sizes are the most difficult to transport. However, if the viscosity of the drilling mud and rotating speed are high, cuttings that are smaller in size can be transported efficiently to the surface (Sanchez *et al.*, 1999). Furthermore, Ford *et al.* (1990) observed that as cuttings size decrease, the minimum transport velocity required for cuttings rolling and suspension decreases. This means, in terms of minimum transport velocity, smaller cuttings are easier to transport.

Duan *et al.* (2009) suggested that different fluids are required for different purposes. Water is usually required for cleanout and polymer solutions are required for drilling operations. They also reported that the increasing number of highly inclined and horizontal wells through unconsolidated reservoirs signifies the challenge for the transportation of smaller cuttings during drilling operations. Based

on the results from a study conducted by Ozbayoglu *et al.* (2004) the most effective drilling parameter in the development of cuttings bed is the flow rate of mud, or the annular fluid velocity. As the flow rate increases, cuttings bed development can be prevented. Therefore, the most effective hole cleaning process is during turbulent flow regime, which reduces the chance of cuttings bed development by efficient cuttings transportation (Piroozian *et al.*, 2012). Other researchers like Sifferman *et al.* (1974) and Larsen *et al.* (1997) found that the acceptable annular velocity for cuttings transport for typical drilling mud is in the range of 1 to 4 ft/sec. The annular velocity of fluid depends pump rate and the hole diameter. Flow rate is usually monitored to ensure the risk of formation of cuttings beds is minimized in dynamic conditions.

In drilling operations, the drill string has the tendency to rest on the low side of the borehole because of gravity, especially in inclined sections of a hole. This creates an eccentric narrow gap in the annulus below the pipe, where fluid velocity will be extremely low. Effectively, the drilling fluids' ability to transport cuttings to the surface in this part of the annulus will be low. As eccentricity increases, the particle and fluid velocities would decrease in the narrow gap, especially in high viscosity fluids. However, such adverse impacts on the hole cleaning process may be unavoidable because the pipe eccentricity is governed by well trajectories during drilling operations. Therefore, as pipes shift away from concentric status, cuttings removal efficiency decreases (Tomren *et al.*, 1986).

Drilling in deeper formations adds more challenges for drilling fluid to lift drilled cuttings effectively to the surface. Conventional bio-polymers are no longer capable of maintaining rheologically stable fluids at temperatures above 300°F. Shah *et al.* (2010) stated that drilling fluids exhibit sagging behaviour under extreme conditions. Drilling fluids also exhibit syneresis which is known as the expulsion of liquid from the gel structure under these circumstances.

Dynamic tests on mud performance in a flow loop system are especially crucial, because results obtained from static tests (rheological properties) do not necessarily translate into dynamic performance of drilling fluids. An experimental study conducted by Wang *et al.* (1995) showed that drill string rotation could significantly reduce cuttings bed height. Rotational speed is more effective in inclined wells compared to vertical wells (Tomren *et al.*, 1986; Sanchez *et al.*, 1999; Yu *et al.*, 2007). This indicates that cuttings transportation at the narrow side of an eccentric wellbore can be improved by rotating drill pipes. Sifferman *et al.* (1992) concluded that at highly deviated wellbores, low rates of penetration and small cuttings are the most desirable conditions for using pipe rotation effectively. Formation of Taylor vortices (beyond a specific rotational speed) can further assist in improving the lifting efficiency in horizontal sections (Sanchez *et al.*, 1999). Therefore, for the removal of small drilled cuttings, the drill pipe rotation factor is a very important parameter to be considered (Duan *et al.*, 2006; Saeid & Busahmin 2016).

To analyse dynamics of flow in the hole cleaning process, Etehad *et al.* (2015) conducted an investigation where different amounts of cuttings were injected into an experimental rig with various air and water flow rates in a multiphase flow system. They compared experimental data with developed models and found that frictional pressure losses can be predicted with reasonable accuracy. In another study, Ogunrinde & Dosunmu (2012) investigated critical factors affecting cuttings removal and bit hydraulics in different inclination angles. They developed a model to determine the optimum flowrate and rate of penetration to reduce non-productive time. Similarly, Guan *et al.* (2016) studies different hole cleaning parameters using the multi-dimensional ant colony algorithm to optimize drilling operation in horizontal wells. They concluded that hole cleaning for horizontal wells can be improved by increasing the flow rate and pressure-bearing capacity of the system. Recently, Boyou *et al.*, (2018) used polymer beads (polypropylene) in water-based drilling fluids to improve its lifting capability of muds. They examined the cuttings transport efficiency using different sizes of cuttings in inclined static annuli and found that small cuttings were transported more efficiently compared to large

cuttings due to drag force on cuttings introduced by polymer beads. However, as the cutting sizes approached the size of beads, cuttings transport efficiency decreased.

In recent years, the application of nanomaterials has been on the rise, especially within the scientific community. There is broad range of applications for nanomaterials in the fields of drilling fluids and reservoir protection that is beneficial for petroleum development and production (Li *et al.*, 2012). There are studies that show significant rheological improvements of water-based drilling fluids due to the presence of nanomaterials (Abdo & Haneef, 2013; Cedola *et al.*, 2016; Noah *et al.*, 2016; Samsuri & Hamzah, 2011; Sharma *et al.*, 2012; Smith *et al.*, 2018; William *et al.*, 2014; Yang *et al.*, 2015). A study reported by Yasir (2016) found that nano drilling fluids performed better in terms of bit cooling, reduced torque and drag, enhanced viscous behaviour and low friction factors compared to conventional drilling fluids. Furthermore, improvements in thermal stability up to 160°C were reported by different studies, in which nanoparticles such as silica, carbon nanotubes and aluminium oxide were added to water-based drilling fluids (Cai *et al.*, 2012; Kang *et al.*, 2016; Smith *et al.*, 2018; Yang *et al.*, 2015; Yuan *et al.*, 2013). Hoelscher *et al.* (2012) reported physical plugging of nanometer-sized Marcellus and Mancos shale pores by using nanosilica which resulted in reduced pressure transmission in shale. Many studies have reported the use of nanomaterials for filtration control, rheological enhancement etc. that are beyond the scope of this study. Overall, nanoparticles have been used to overcome a variety of issues related to drilling fluids, such as enhancing the thermal stability of mud at high-temperature conditions, reducing filtrate volume and thickness of mud cake, modifying friction factor, among others; a detailed review of these studies can be found elsewhere (Rafati *et al.*, 2017; Sharma *et al.*, 2016). Although much literature has focused on the use of nanoparticles to enhance the rheological properties of drilling fluids but there is no investigation on the enhancement of cuttings transport in wellbore during hole cleaning process. There is also no reported investigation of degraded drilling fluids with nanoparticles for wellbore cleaning.

In this study, important factors in hole cleaning processes are discussed, and an experimental flow loop simulator was modified to analyse the impact of nanoparticles on cuttings transport efficiency in directional drilling operations. The dynamic performance of degraded drilling mud after heated at different temperatures with and without nanoparticles is also analysed. The setup is capable of simulating the hole cleaning process in the annulus with different rotational speeds and inclinations. Furthermore, different cuttings sizes are studied to understand the effect of cuttings size on dynamics of flow. It is assumed there is no pipe eccentricity and mud properties remain unchanged during the hole cleaning process. Through analysis of the results, the performance of nano-enhanced water-based muds can be summarised.

1.2 Problem Statement

In recent years, drilling for oil and gas exploration encounters complex and harsh conditions in deep and ultra-deep formations such as high temperature and high pressure (HTHP) (Yang *et al.*, 2017). API data show that the cost of drilling increases exponentially with increasing depth (Smith, 2001). Offshore exploration drilling and appraisal wells in the development of an oilfield are among the highest capital costs up to 60% (US Energy Information Administration, 2016). The success of any drilling operation highly depends on the performance of drilling fluids especially in extended reach and deep-water drillings (Smith *et al.*, 2018).

Wellbore cleaning has been an integral part of a drilling operation in oil and gas exploration and field development. Challenges of wellbore cleaning in deep and harsh environments increase due to mud degradation in high temperature conditions (Bland *et al.*, 2006; Bybee, 2001; Elward-Berry & Thomas, 1994). Consequently, the degraded mud loses its carrying capacity over time and forces the oil operator to take

a longer time to completely drill a hole. This means the oil operator experiences higher non-productive time and cost for drilling operation, thus affecting its profitability (Shaughnessy *et al.*, 2003). Therefore, a better understanding of how degraded mud affects cuttings lifting performance is a key component for deep hole drilling in extreme conditions.

In recent years, oil-based muds are often required for deep drilling in harsh conditions because of their stability in high pressure and high temperature environments. However, due to their challenging toxic waste management and high cost of procurement and handling, extensive research works are re-aligned towards improving water-based mud performance in such conditions (Amani *et al.*, 2012; Srivatsa & Ziaja, 2011).

There are a lot of studies focusing on improving water-based muds by adding nanoparticles, however all of the previous studies have only included tests in static conditions (Abdo & Haneef, 2013; William *et al.*, 2014; Yang *et al.*, 2015; Noah *et al.*, 2016; Nasser *et al.*, 2013; Mao *et al.*, 2015; Baghbanzadeh *et al.*, 2012; Samsuri & Hamzah, 2011). Thus, a research gap exists because there is no study which focuses on the use of nanosilica in water-based muds in dynamic conditions. This research not only studies hole cleaning efficiency of water-based muds without and with nanosilica, but also studies the cuttings transport efficiency of degraded muds without and with nanosilica to bridge the gap in this area.

1.3 Objectives

This study embarks on the following objectives:

- (1) To comparatively characterise the rheological properties, fluid flow behaviour and filtration properties of non-degraded and degraded water-based mud both with and without nanosilica.
- (2) To study cuttings transportation efficiency of non-degraded and degraded water-based mud with and without nanosilica at various inclination holes (0° to 90°).
- (3) To analyse cuttings transport efficiency of non-degraded and degraded water-based mud with and without optimum concentration of nanosilica in lifting different drill cuttings sizes with and without drill pipe rotations.

1.4 Hypotheses

The hypotheses of this research works are as follow:

- (1) The presence of nanosilica in drilling fluids decreases shear stress *vs.* shear rate readings which could reduce the pump pressure required for mud circulation in drilling operations, especially when heavy mud is needed to drill deep formations to balance formation pressures.
- (2) Well distribution of nanosilica particles in the mud disrupts excessive gel formation between gelling agents. This gel disruption reduces the attractive forces which is crucial for heavy muds and reduces progressive gels.
- (3) Particle distribution of nanosilica in flowing mud increases cuttings transport efficiency. This is because as the mud flows in a turbulent state towards the surface, the presence of nanosilica in dispersed form which possesses high

surface area to volume ratio increases the interaction with drilled cuttings and enhances colloidal forces.

- (4) Degraded mud affects cuttings lifting performance. Silica nanoparticles can improve drilled cuttings lifting performance in degraded mud because it reduces the degradation of rheological properties and thus improve lifting capacity of the mud as compared to mud without nanosilica.
- (5) Drill pipe rotation plays an important role in cuttings transportation improvement for non-degraded and degraded water-based mud without and with nanosilica. However, after the optimum drill pipe rotation is reached, drill pipe rotation has no additional contribution to hole cleaning.

1.5 Scope of Study

The scope of study for this research works are:

- (1) The experimental rig was modified to study the cuttings transport efficiency. Pipe ID (hole) of 2.75 inches and a pipe OD (drill pipe) of 1.05 inches were used as annular size. The scale of the experimental flow loop used in this research work was a scaled down representation of an actual hole drilled offshore Peninsular Malaysia (Ming *et al.*, 2014). The actual well was drilled using heavy mud (17.8 – 18.1 ppg) in an 8.5 inches hole, at a flow rate of about 380 gpm to reach over-pressurized zones in TA field. The experimental flow loop and the parameters used in this research was a scaled down replica of that well. The size of the well and the flow rate used were (annular size: 2.75 × 1.05 in, flow rate: 74 gpm). In this research, two different mud weights (9 and 12 ppg) were used for better understanding and comparison of cuttings transport efficiency to improve future predictions of different mud weights in cuttings transport performance. The test section used in this experimental work is 20 ft long. An experimental investigation of cuttings transport efficiency done by Ozbayoglu & Sorgun (2010) showed reasonable

accuracy of 10% from their empirical correlation and experimental data using a 12 ft annular test section. Thus, for this research work, a test section of 20 ft is used to gain higher accuracy of cuttings transport performance.

- (2) Characterization of rheological properties of basic water-based mud and degraded water-based mud without and with nanosilica with concentrations from 0.5 to 1.5 ppb. Types of fluid flow behaviour were also covered.
- (3) Performance study of basic water-based mud and degraded water-based mud in lifting drilled cuttings in different inclination holes (0° to 90°) without and with pipe rotation (0 to 180 rpm). The condition of the flow loop experiment was studied under turbulent flow regime (9 ppg mud: $Re = 4047.1$; 12 ppg mud: $Re = 5136.7$).
- (4) Cuttings transportation efficiency was studied with various cuttings sizes that were irregular in shape ranged from 1.4 to 4.0 mm. These cuttings sizes were according to the range of sizes studied by Walker & Li (2000), Ramadan *et al.* (2004), and Duan *et al.* (2006).
- (5) Water-based mud with and without nanosilica were degraded to 50°C , 80°C , and 120°C in a jacketed heating tank before cuttings transportation efficiency was studied. This temperature range was chosen because the conventional water-based mud starts to degrade at 43°C and completely fails at 120°C (Amani *et al.*, 2012). A similar study was also conducted by Smith *et al.* (2018) where they investigated the performance of nano aluminium oxide and nanosilica in water-based mud at 50°C and 80°C in static condition.

1.6 Significance of Study

The significance of study for this research works are:

- (1) This research can contribute an additional knowledge on cuttings transport in high temperature environments by studying the performance of nanosilica in degraded water-based mud. Cuttings transport efficiencies were studied in different inclination angles to simulate directional drilling. This research work complements previous works done by other researchers (Piroozian & Ismail, 2011; Abimbola *et al.*, 2014; Cai *et al.*, 2012; Yuan *et al.*, 2013; Yang *et al.*, 2015; Kang *et al.*, 2016) on drilled cuttings transport by presenting experimental results of non-degraded and degraded water-based mud with nanoparticles in wellbore cleaning.
- (2) The oil and gas industry may utilize nonpolymeric additives such as nanoparticles (i.e. nanosilica) to improve the performance of water-based mud in high temperature wells. The findings from this research work improved cuttings transportation efficiency by 30.8 to 44% at room temperature and up to 15% at elevated temperatures which would increase the likelihood of successful extended drilling operations using nano-enhanced water-based mud.
- (3) Nanosilica is a non-toxic additive and is highly available in Malaysia. Apart from being abundant, it is also able to increase the rheological properties of drilling mud under high temperatures.

1.7 Chapter Summary

Deeper holes are being drilled more frequently and high temperature holes are becoming a big problem because of the tendency of drilling mud to degrade thus affecting cuttings transport performance. There are numerous findings on the benefit of using nanomaterials in drilling mud for high temperature environments, however all these studies are conducted in static conditions. Literature on cuttings transportation of water-based mud with the presence of nanomaterials are still scarce in dynamic conditions.

This study investigates the effect of nanosilica in water-based mud in an experimental flow loop at various angles without and with pipe rotation. Cuttings transportation efficiency is also investigated after mud degradation. Nanosilica is introduced into water-based mud because of its thermal stability. This will mitigate mud degradation in high temperature holes and increase the rheological properties of the mud.

The experimental works were divided into three parts. All these parts were conducted using degraded water-based mud with and without silica nanoparticles. The first part was to study the rheological and fluid loss properties, the second part was to study the rheological behaviour of the mud, and the third part was to study the cuttings transportation efficiency in different hole inclinations and without and with different pipe rotations.

REFERENCES

- Abdo, J., & Haneef, M. D. (2010). Nanoparticles: Promising Solution to Overcome Stern Drilling Problems. *Clean Technology*, 33–36.
- Abdo, J., & Haneef, M. D. (2012). Nano-Enhanced Drilling Fluids: Pioneering Approach to Overcome Uncompromising Drilling Problems. *Journal of Energy Resources Technology*, 134, 1–6. <http://doi.org/10.1115/1.4005244>
- Abdo, J., & Haneef, M. D. (2013). Clay nanoparticles modified drilling fluids for drilling of deep hydrocarbon wells. *Applied Clay Science*, 86, 76–82. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2013.10.017>
- Abimbola, M. O., Chukwu, G. A., & Khan, F. I. (2014). Cuttings Transport Evaluation in Deviated Wells. In the International Conference on Marine and Freshwater Environments (pp. 1–10). St. John's, Canada.
- Adari, R. B., Miska, S., Kuru, E., Bern, P., & Saasen, A. (2000). Selecting Drilling Fluid Properties and Flow Rates For Effective Hole Cleaning in High-Angle and Horizontal Wells. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/63050-MS
- Ahmed, R.M. (2001). Mathematical Modelling and Experimental Investigation on Solids and Cuttings Transport. PhD Dissertation, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Norway.
- Alderman, N. J., Gavignet, A., Guillot, D., & Maitland, G. C. (1988). High-Temperature, High-Pressure Rheology of Water-Based Muds. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/18035-MS
- Amani, M., Al-Jubouri, M., & Shadravan, A. (2012). Comparative Study of Using Oil-Based Mud Versus Water-Based Mud in HPHT. *Advances in Petroleum Exploration and Development*, 4(2), 18–27. <http://doi.org/10.3968/j.aped.1925543820120402.987>
- American Petroleum Institute, (2010). Recommended Practice for Field Testing Water-based Drilling Fluid. API-RP-13B-1, fourth ed. American Petroleum Institute, Dallas.

- Apaleke, A. S., Al-Majed, A. A., & Hossain, M. E. (2012). Drilling Fluid: State of The Art and Future Trend. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/149555-MS
- ASTM D4253-00. (2006). Standard Test Methods for Maximum Index Density and Unit Weight of Solids using a Vibratory Table. ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA. <https://doi.org/10.1520/D4253-00R06>.
- ASTM D4648-00. (2000). Standard Test Method for Laboratory Miniature Vane Shear Test for Saturated Fine-Grained Clayey Soil. ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA. <https://doi.org/10.1520/D4648-00>.
- Baghbanzadeh, M., Rashidi, A., Rashtchian, D., Lotfi, R., & Amrollahi, A. (2012). Synthesis of spherical silica / multiwall carbon nanotubes hybrid nanostructures and investigation of thermal conductivity of related nanofluids. *Thermochimica Acta*, 549, 87–94. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tca.2012.09.006>
- Bilgesu, H. I., Mishra, N., & Ameri, S. (2007). Understanding the Effect of Drilling Parameters on Hole Cleaning in Horizontal and Deviated Wellbores Using Computational Fluid Dynamics. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/111208-MS
- Bland, R. G., Mullen, G. A., Gonzalez, Y. N., Harvey, F. E., & Pless, M. L. (2006). HPHT Drilling Fluid Challenges. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/103731-MS
- Bourgoyne, A. T., Millheim, K. K., Chenevert, M. E., & Young, F. S. (1991). Applied Drilling Engineering. Richardson: Society of Petroleum Engineers.
- Boyoun, N. V., Ismail, I., Hamzah, M. H., & Onuoha, M. D. (2018). Polypropylene Beads in Water-Based Mud for Cuttings Transportation Improvement. *Chemical Engineering Transactions*, 63(1), 787–792. <http://doi.org/10.3303/CET1863132>
- Brantly, J. E. (1961). History of Petroleum Engineering. New York: American Petroleum Institute.
- Brown, N. P., Bern, P. A., & Weaver, A. (1989). Cleaning Deviated Holes: New Experimental and Theoretical Studies. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/18636-MS
- Bybee, K. (2001). Water-Based Drilling-Fluid Systems for Deepwater Norway. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/1101-0036-JPT

- Cai, J., Chenevert, M. E., Sharma, M. M., & Friedheim, J. E. (2012). Decreasing Water Invasion into Atoka Shale Using Nonmodified Silica Nanoparticles. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/146979-PA
- Caldarola, V. T., Akhtarmanesh, S., Cedola, A. E., Qader, R., & Hareland, G. (2016). Potential Directional Drilling Benefits of Barite Nanoparticles in Weighted Water Based Drilling Fluids. American Rock Mechanics Association.
- Casson, N. (1959) A Flow Equation for Pigment-Oil Suspensions of the Printing Ink Type. In: Mill, C.C., Ed., *Rheology of Disperse Systems*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 84-104.
- Cedola, A. E., Akhtarmanesh, S., Qader, R., Caldarola, V. T., Hareland, G., Nygaard, R., & Alsaba, M. (2016). Nanoparticles in Weighted Water Based Drilling Fluids Increase Loss Gradient. American Rock Mechanics Association.
- Cheang, T.Y., Tang, B., Xu, A.W., Chang, G.Q., Hu, Z.J., He, W.L., Xing, Z.H., Xu, J.B., Wang, M., Wang, SM. (2012). Promising plasmid DNA vector based on APTES-modified silica nanoparticles. *Int. J. Nanomed.* 7, 1061–1067. doi: 10.2147/IJN.S28267
- Duan, M., Miska, S. Z., Yu, M., Takach, N. E., Ahmed, R. M., & Zettner, C. M. (2008). Transport of Small Cuttings in Extended-Reach Drilling. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/104192-PA
- Duan, M., Miska, S. Z., Yu, M., Takach, N. E., Ahmed, R. M., & Zettner, C. M. (2009). Critical Conditions for Effective Sand-Sized Solids Transport in Horizontal and High-Angle Wells. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/106707-PA
- Egenti, N. B. (2014). Understanding Drill-cuttings Transportation in Deviated and Horizontal Wells. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/172835-MS
- Elward-Berry, J., & Thomas, E. W. (1994). Rheologically Stable Deepwater Drilling Fluid Development and Application. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/27453-MS
- Ettehadi Osgouei, R., Ozbayoglu, E. M., Ozbayoglu, M. A., & Yuksel, E. H. (2015). A New Model to Determine the Two-phase Drilling Fluid Behaviours through Horizontal Eccentric Annular Geometry, Part B: Frictional Pressure Losses Estimation, Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization, and Environmental Effects, 37:16, 1756-1765, doi: 10.1080/15567036.2011.561275

- Fereydouni, M., Sabbaghi, S., Saboori, R., & Zeinali, S. (2012). Effect of Polyanionic Cellulose Polymer Nanoparticles on Rheological Properties of Drilling Mud. *International Journal of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology*, 8(3), 171–174.
- Ford, J. T., Peden, J. M., Oyenehin, M. B., Gao, E., & Zarrouh, R. (1990). Experimental Investigation of Drilled Cuttings Transport in Inclined Boreholes. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/20421-MS
- Gavignet, A. A., & Sobey, I. J. (1989). Model Aids Cuttings Transport Prediction. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/15417-PA
- Guan, Z., Liu, Y., Liu, Y., & Xu, Y. (2016). Hole cleaning optimization of horizontal wells with the multi- dimensional ant colony algorithm. *Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering*, 28, 347–349.
- Hakim, H., Katende, A., Sagala, F., Ismail, I., & Nsamba, H. (2018). Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering Performance of polyethylene and polypropylene beads towards drill cuttings transportation in horizontal wellbore. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 165, 962–969. doi:10.1016/j.petrol.2018.01.075
- Hall, H. N., Thompson, H., & Nuss, F. (1950). Ability of Drilling Mud to Lift Bit Cuttings. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/950035-G
- Hemphill, T., and Ravi, K. (2006). Turning on Barite Sag with Drillpipe Rotation: Sometimes Surprises Are Really Not Surprise. AADE, Fluids Conference, Houston, Texas.
- Hoelscher, K. P., De Stefano, G., Riley, M., & Young, S. (2012). Application of Nanotechnology in Drilling Fluids. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/157031-MS
- Honary, S., & Zahir, F. (2013). Effect of Zeta Potential on the Properties of Nano-Drug Delivery Systems - A Review (Part 1). *Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*, 12(2), 255–264. <http://doi.org/10.4314/tjpr.v12i2.19>
- Hussaini, S. M., & Azar, J. J. (1983). Experimental Study of Drilled Cuttings Transport Using Common Drilling Muds. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/10674-PA

- Igbani, S., Ikporo, B., Awofeso, I., & Siepiribo, J. (2015). Estimating the Weighting Effect of Nanoparticles of Mud-Solids and Formation-Imported-Solids While Drilling. *RA Journal of Applied Research*, 1(8), 313–321.
- Igwilo, K. C., Anawe, P. A. L., Okolie, S. T. A., Uzorchukwu, I., & Charles, O. (2017). Evaluation of the Fluid Loss Property of *Annona Muricata* and *Carica Papaya*. *Open Journal of Yangtze Gas and Oil*, 2, 144–150. <http://doi.org/10.4236/ojogas.2017.23010>
- Ismail, A. R., Aftab, A., Ibupoto, Z. H., & Zolkifile, N. (2016). The novel approach for the enhancement of rheological properties of water-based drilling fluids by using multi-walled carbon nanotube, nanosilica and glass beads. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 139, 264–275. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2016.01.036>
- Ismail, A. R., Seong, T. C., Buang, N. A., & Wan Sulaiman, W. R. (2014). Improve Performance of Water-based Drilling Fluids Using Nanoparticles. In *The 5th Sriwijaya International Seminar on Energy and Environmental Science & Technology* (pp. 43–47). Palembang, Indonesia.
- Kageson-Loe, N. M., Massam, J., Prebensen, O. I., Van Der Zwaag, C., Taugbol, K., & Omland, T. H. (2007). Formation-Damage Observations on Oil-Based-Fluid Systems Weighted With Treated Micronized Barite. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/107802-MS
- Kang, Y., She, J., Zhang, H., You, L., & Song, M. (2016). Strengthening shale wellbore with silica nanoparticles drilling fluid. *Petroleum*, 2, 189–195. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.petlm.2016.03.005>
- Kasiralvalad, E. (2014). The great potential of nanomaterials in drilling & drilling fluid applications. *International Journal of Nano Dimension*, 5(5), 463–471. <http://doi.org/10.7508/IJND.2014.05.006>
- Kavitha, R., Ravichandran, P., Nay, M. M. T. S., Ahmed, M. M. G., Abdelrahman, R. A., Dietrich, K. & Iryna, S. (2014). Effects of Different Encapsulation Agents and Drying Process on Stability of Betalains Extract. *J Food Sci Technol*. 51(9):2216-2221. doi:10.1007/s13197-012-0728-6
- Kelessidis, V., Bandelis, G. E., & Li, J. (2007). Flow of Dilute Solid-Liquid Mixtures in Horizontal Concentric and Eccentric Annuli. *Petroleum Society of Canada*. doi:10.2118/07-05-06

- KMC Oiltools. (2006). *Drilling Fluid Engineering Manual: Section 5 – Drilling Fluid Rheology*. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Kok, M.V. and Alikaya, T. (2004). Determination of Rheological Models for Drilling Fluids: A Statistical Approach. *Energy Sources*. 26(2), 153–165. doi:10.1080/00908310490258533
- Larsen, T. F. (1990). *A Study of the Critical Fluid Velocity in Cuttings Transport for Inclined Wellbores*. Tulsa, Oklahoma: University of Tulsa.
- Larsen, T. I., Pilehvari, A. A., & Azar, J. J. (1997). Development of a New Cuttings-Transport Model for High-Angle Wellbores Including Horizontal Wells. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/25872-PA
- Li, G., Zhang, J., Zhao, H., & Hou, Y. (2012). Nanotechnology to Improve Sealing Ability of Drilling Fluids for Shale with Micro-cracks During Drilling. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/156997-MS
- Li, H., Gao, L., Meng, Y., Shu, G., Zhu, K., & Xu, X. (2012). Attenuation law of MWD pulses in aerated drilling. *Petroleum Exploration and Development*, 39(2), 250–255. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S1876-3804\(12\)60039-1](http://doi.org/10.1016/S1876-3804(12)60039-1)
- Li, M., Jv, Y. F., Wang, Z. Q., Hao, B. Y., & Hong, Y. K. (2010). Simulation on the Effect of Drillstring Rotation on Hole Cleaning for Extended Reach Well. *West-china Explor. Eng.*, 5, 51-54.
- Liu, M., Gan, L., Chen, L., Zhu, D., Xu, Z., Hao, Z., Chen, L. (2012) A novel liposome-encapsulated haemoglobin/silica nanoparticle as an oxygen carrier. *Int. J. Pharm.* 2012, 427, 354–357. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpharm.2012.02.019
- Mahmoud, O., Nasr-El-Din, H. A., Vryzas, Z., & Kelessidis, V. C. (2016). Nanoparticle-Based Drilling Fluids for Minimizing Formation Damage in HP/HT Applications. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/178949-MS
- Malvern Instruments. (2013). MAN0485: Zetasizer Nano User Manual. Worcestershire, United Kingdom.
- Mao, H., Qiu, Z., Shen, Z., & Huang, W. (2015). Hydrophobic associated polymer-based silica nanoparticles composite with core – shell structure as a filtrate reducer for drilling fluid at ultra-high temperature. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 129, 1–14. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2015.03.003>

- Martins, A. L., Sa, C. H. M., Lourenco, A. M. F., & Campos, W. (1996). Optimizing Cuttings Circulation in Horizontal Well Drilling. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/35341-MS
- Mellott, J. C. (2006). Technological Improvements in Wells Drilled Utilizing a Pneumatic Fluid as the Primary Circulating Medium. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/99162-MS
- Miguez, H., Meseguer, F., Lopez, C., Mifsud, A., Moya, J. S. and Vazquez, L. (1997). Evidence of FCC Crystallization of SiO₂ Nanospheres. *Langmuir*, 13 (23), 6009-6011. doi:10.1021/la970589o
- Ming, L. J., Mousa, M., Setiawan, T. B., Saikam, W., R Raju, S. V., Zahir, A., ... Gallo, F. (2014). Overcoming a 0.35 ppg Mud Weight Window--A Case History of Successful Automated Managed Pressure Drilling and Managed Pressure Cementing Offshore Malaysia. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/168945-MS
- Nasser, J., Jesil, A., Mohiuddin, T., Al-Ruqeshi, M., Devi, G., & Mohataram, S. (2013). Experimental Investigation of Drilling Fluid Performance as Nanoparticles. *World Journal of Nano Science and Engineering*, 3, 57–61. <http://doi.org/10.4236/wjnse.2013.33008>
- Nazari, T., Hareland, G., & Azar, J. J. (2010). Review of Cuttings Transport in Directional Well Drilling: Systematic Approach. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/132372-MS
- Noah, A. Z., El-Semary, M. A., Youssef, A. M., & El-safty, M. A. (2016). Enhancement of yield point at high pressure high temperature wells by using polymer nanocomposites based on ZnO & CaCO₃ nanoparticles. *Egyptian Journal of Petroleum*, 26, 33–40. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpe.2016.03.002>
- Ogunrinde, J. O., & Dosunmu, A. (2012). Hydraulics Optimization for Efficient Hole Cleaning in Deviated and Horizontal Wells. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/162970-MS
- Okrajni, S., & Azar, J. J. (1986). The Effects of Mud Rheology on Annular Hole Cleaning in Directional Wells. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/14178-PA
- Onuoha, M. D., Ismail, I., Piroozian, A., Mamat, N., & Ismail, A. (2015). Improving the Cuttings Transport Performance of Water-based Mud Through the Use of Polypropylene Beads. *Sains Malaysiana*, 44(4), 629–634.

- Overton, H. L., & Lipson, L. B. (1958). A Correlation of the Electrical Properties of Drilling Fluids with Solids Content. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*.
- Ozbayoglu, M. E., & Sorgun, M. (2010). Frictional Pressure Loss Estimation of Water-Based Drilling Fluids at Horizontal and Inclined Drilling with Pipe Rotation and Presence of Cuttings. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/127300-MS
- Ozbayoglu, M. E., Miska, S. Z., Reed, T., & Takach, N. (2004). Analysis of the Effects of Major Drilling Parameters on Cuttings Transport Efficiency for High-Angle Wells in Coiled Tubing Drilling Operations. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/89334-MS
- Ozbayoglu, M. E., Saasen, A., Sorgun, M., & Svanes, K. (2008). Effect of Pipe Rotation on Hole Cleaning for Water-Based Drilling Fluids in Horizontal and Deviated Wells. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/114965-MS
- Parker, D.J. (1987). An Experimental Study of The Effects of Hole Washout and Cutting Size on Annular Hole Cleaning in Highly Deviated Wells. MS Thesis, University of Tulsa, Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA.
- Peden, J. M., Ford, J. T., & Oyenevin, M. B. (1990). Comprehensive Experimental Investigation of Drilled Cuttings Transport in Inclined Wells Including the Effects of Rotation and Eccentricity. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/20925-MS
- Piroozian, A., & Ismail, I. (2011). Cuttings Transport in Horizontal and Highly Deviated Wellbores. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 56(Sains & Kejuruteraan), 1–14. <http://doi.org/10.11113/jt.v56.50>
- Piroozian, A., Ismail, I., Yaacob, Z., Babakhani, P., & Ismail, A. S. (2012). Impact of drilling fluid viscosity, velocity and hole inclination on cuttings transport in horizontal and highly deviated wells. *Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production Technology*, 2, 149–156. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s13202-012-0031-0>
- Rafati, R., Smith, S. R., Haddad, A. S., Novara, R., & Hamidi, H. (2018). Effect of nanoparticles on the modifications of drilling fluids properties: A review of recent advances. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 161, 61–76. doi:10.1016/j.petrol.2017.11.067

- Ragab A. M. S., and Noah A. (2014). Reduction of Formation Damage and Fluid Loss using Nano-sized Silica Drilling Fluids. *Petroleum Technology Development Journal*, 2, 75-88
- Randall, B.V., & Estes, J.C. (1977). Optimized Drilling Applicable Worldwide. *Petroleum Eng.* 26-36.
- Riley, M., Young, S., Stamatakis, E., Guo, Q., Ji, L., De Stefano, G., ... Friedheim, J. (2012). Wellbore Stability in Unconventional Shales - The Design of a Nano-Particle Fluid. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/153729-MS
- Robertson, R. E., & Stiff, H. A. (1976). An Improved Mathematical Model for Relating Shear Stress to Shear Rate in Drilling Fluids and Cement Slurries. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/5333-PA
- Rommetveit, R., & Bjorkevoll, K. S. (1997). Temperature and Pressure Effects on Drilling Fluid Rheology and ECD in Very Deep Wells. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/39282-MS
- Saeid, N. H., & Busahmin, B. S. (2016). Numerical investigations of drilling mud flow characteristics in vertical well. *Engineering Science and Technology: An International Journal*, 6(4), 16–22.
- Samsuri, A., & Hamzah, A. (2011). Water based mud lifting capacity improvement by multiwall carbon nanotubes additive. *Journal of Petroleum and Gas Engineering*, 2(5), 99–107.
- Sanchez, R. A., Azar, J. J., Bassal, A. A., & Martins, A. L. (1997). The Effect of Drillpipe Rotation on Hole Cleaning During Directional Well Drilling. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/37626-MS
- Sanchez, R. A., Azar, J. J., Bassal, A. A., & Martins, A. L. (1999). Effect of Drillpipe Rotation on Hole Cleaning During Directional-Well Drilling. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/56406-PA
- Seeberger, M. H., Matlock, R. W., & Hanson, P. M. (1989). Oil Muds in Large-Diameter, Highly Deviated Wells: Solving the Cuttings Removal Problem. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/18635-MS
- Shah, S. N., Shanker, N. H., & Ogugbue, C. C. (2010). Future Challenges of Drilling Fluids and Their Rheological Measurements. In *AADE Fluids Conference and Exhibition* (pp. 1–16). Houston, Texas: American Association of Drilling Engineers.

- Sharma, A. K., Tiwari, A. K., & Dixit, A. R. (2016). Rheological behaviour of nano fluids: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 53, 779–791. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.09.033>
- Sharma, M. M., Zhang, R., Chenevert, M. E., Ji, L., Guo, Q., & Friedheim, J. (2012). A New Family of Nanoparticle Based Drilling Fluids. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/160045-MS
- Shaughnessy, J. M., Romo, L. A., & Soza, R. L. (2003). Problems of Ultra-Deep High-Temperature, High-Pressure Drilling. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/84555-MS
- Sifferman, T. R., & Becker, T. E. (1992). Hole Cleaning in Full-Scale Inclined Wellbores. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/20422-PA
- Sifferman, T. R., Myers, G. M., Haden, E. L., & Wahl, H. A. (1974). Drill Cutting Transport in Full Scale Vertical Annuli. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/4514-PA
- Singh, S. K., Ahmed, R. M., & Growcock, F. (2010). Vital Role of Nanopolymers in Drilling and Stimulation Fluid Applications. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/130413-MS
- Skalle, P. (2011). *Pressure Control During Oil Well Drilling* (6th ed.). London, UK: BookBoon.
- Smith, J. R. (2001). Energy Demand Creates New Opportunities and Challenges for Drilling. *Petroleum Society of Canada*. doi:10.2118/01-05-DAS
- Smith, S. R., Rafati, R., Haddad, A. S., & Cooper, A. (2018). Application of aluminium oxide nanoparticles to enhance rheological and filtration properties of water-based muds at HPHT conditions. *Colloids and Surfaces A*, 537, 361–371. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2017.10.050>
- Sorgun, M., (2010). Modelling of Newtonian fluids and cuttings transport analysis in high inclination wellbores with pipe rotation. Doctoral Dissertation. Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Turkey.
- Srivatsa, J. T., & Ziaja, M. B. (2011). An Experimental Investigation on Use of Nanoparticles as Fluid Loss Additives in a Surfactant - Polymer Based Drilling Fluids. International Petroleum Technology Conference. doi:10.2523/IPTC-14952-MS

- Sun, X., Kelin, W., Tie, Y., Yang, Z., Shuai, S., Shinzu, L. (2013). Review of Hole Cleaning in Complex Structural Wells. 6, 25-32. doi:10.2174/1874834101306010025
- Suradi, S., Mamat, N., Jaafar, M., Wan Sulaiman, W. R., & Ismail, A. R. (2015). Study of Cuttings Transport Using Stable Foam Based Mud in Inclined Wellbore. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 15(5), 808–814. <http://doi.org/10.3923/jas.2015.808.814>
- Tomren, P. H. (1979). The Transport of Drilled Cuttings in an Inclined Eccentric Annulus. Tulsa, Oklahoma: University of Tulsa.
- Tomren, P. H., Iyoho, A. W., & Azar, J. J. (1986). Experimental Study of Cuttings Transport in Directional Wells. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/12123-PA
- US Energy Information Administration. (2016). Trends in U.S. Oil and Natural Gas Upstream Costs. Washington DC, USA.
- Walker, S., & Li, J. (2000). The Effects of Particle Size, Fluid Rheology, and Pipe Eccentricity on Cuttings Transport. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/60755-MS
- Wang, F., Tan, X., Wang, R., Sun, M., Wang, L., & Liu, J. (2012). High temperature and high-pressure rheological properties of high-density water-based drilling fluids for deep wells. *Petroleum Science*, 9, 354–362. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s12182-012-0219-4>
- Wang, H., Liu, X., Li, H., & Ding, G. (1995). AN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY OF TRANSPORT OF DRILLING CUTTING IN A HORIZONTAL WELL. *Acta Petrolei Sinica*, (4), 125–132. <http://doi.org/10.7623/syxb199504018>
- William, J. K. M., Ponmani, S., Samuel, R., Nagarajan, R., & Sangwai, J. S. (2014). Effect of CuO and ZnO nano fluids in xanthan gum on thermal, electrical and high-pressure rheology of water-based drilling fluids. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 117, 15–27. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2014.03.005>
- Wissing, S., Kayser, O., & Muller, R. (2004). Solid lipid nanoparticles for parenteral drug delivery. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 56, 1257–1272. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2003.12.002>
- Yang, L., Jiang, G., Shi, Y., Lin, X., & Yang, X. (2017). Application of ionic liquid to a high-performance calcium-resistant additive for filtration control of

- bentonite / water-based drilling fluids. *Journal of Materials Science*, 52, 6362–6375. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-017-0870-7>
- Yang, S.R., Zhang, J.F., Shen, J.N. (1997). Experimental study on the law of drilling fluid carrying cuttings in highly-deviated wells. *J. Daq. Petrol. Inst.*, 21(1), 126-129.
- Yang, X., Yue, Y., Cai, J., Liu, Y., & Wu, X. (2015). Experimental Study and Stabilization Mechanisms of Silica Nanoparticles Based Brine Mud with High Temperature Resistance for Horizontal Shale Gas Wells. *Journal of Nanomaterials*, 2015, 1–9. <http://doi.org/10.1155/2015/745312>
- Yasir, I. (2016). Study of Viscosity and Friction Factor of Nano Drilling Fluids Along with Torque and Drag Reduction. MS Thesis, University of Stavanger, Norway.
- Yu, M., Takach, N. E., Nakamura, D. R., & Shariff, M. M. (2007). An Experimental Study of Hole Cleaning Under Simulated Downhole Conditions. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*. doi:10.2118/109840-MS
- Yuan, Y., Cai, J., Wang, J., and Xiao, C. (2013). Experimental study on improving filtration properties of drilling fluid using silica nano-particles. *Oil Drilling & Production Technology*, 35 (3), 30–41.
- Zawrah, M. ., Khattab, R. ., Girgis, L. ., El Daidamony, H., & Aziz, R. R. A. (2014). Stability and electrical conductivity of water-based Al₂O₃ nanofluids for different applications. *HBRC Journal*, 12, 227–234. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.hbrcj.2014.12.001>