

SUSTAINABILITY EVALUATION FRAMEWORK
FOR FOOD WASTE COMPOSTING

MIRZA HUSSEIN BIN SABKI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Philosophy

School of Chemical and Energy Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

SEPTEMBER 2019

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my parents, who have always loved me unconditionally and whose good examples have taught me to work hard for the things that I aspire to achieve.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, praise to God for giving me this opportunity and granting me good health and strength to undergo my Master's Degree and finally complete this thesis. I wish to express my special appreciation to my beloved parents, who have continuously motivated me and provided me with moral support to complete my study.

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, and academicians. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main supervisor, Prof. Dr. Lee Chew Tin, for encouragement, guidance, critics and friendship. Receiving her constructive criticism helps me in my research and also in other life aspects. I am also very thankful to my co-supervisors, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Li Chunjie and Dr. Jully Tan for their guidance, advice and motivation. Without their continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

I am also indebted to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for funding my study during the first semester. Officers at the School of Chemical and Energy Engineering also deserve special thanks for their guidance and assistance throughout my research.

My fellow postgraduate students should also be recognised for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have assisted in various occasions. Their views and tips are useful, indeed. Without their assistance, I would have been struggling a lot and would not know where to find all the information.

ABSTRACT

The high rate of population growth and industrial development have led to a significant increase in the production of municipal solid waste (MSW) worldwide. The authorities have been facing significant challenges to materialise a sustainable framework of solid waste management, especially for food waste (FW). This study aims to develop a sustainability evaluation framework for FW composting. A case study of an existing FW composting plant in Minhang District, Shanghai, China was used as the basis for the framework development and evaluation of its potential impacts from environmental and economic perspectives. The environmental impact focuses on the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions that were analysed through life-cycle inventory methodology in terms of carbon dioxide equivalent (CO₂-eq.) while the economic feasibility (EF) is evaluated using cost-benefit analysis (CBA). The sensitivity analysis for composting was also conducted to determine the critical economic factors that will impact the EF of the composting process. Based on the evaluated framework, the results showed that the FW management contributed to 32,988 kg CO₂-eq. of GHG emissions from the overall composting operation. The composting operation also provided -7,962 kg CO₂-eq. of GHG reduction from compost application on farmland, although insufficient to offset the overall GHG emissions. The CBA showed that the plant is financially viable, with net present worth of, in Chinese yuan, CNY 362,204,575 due to optimum compost selling price to generate profit. The sensitivity analysis revealed that the compost selling price significantly affected economic performance among other economic factors, such as labour, fuel price, and subsidy. The sustainability evaluation framework for FW composting was proposed to show how it can be considered as a guideline for the policymakers and local government to improve FW management via composting in the developing countries, especially Malaysia.

ABSTRAK

Kadar peningkatan penduduk dan pembangunan perindustrian yang tinggi telah menyebabkan peningkatan penghasilan sisa pepejal perbandaran (MSW) yang ketara di seluruh dunia. Pihak berkuasa telah menghadapi cabaran besar untuk mewujudkan rangka kerja pengurusan sisa pepejal, terutamanya untuk sisa makanan (FW). Kajian ini bertujuan untuk membangunkan rangka kerja penilaian kelestarian bagi pengkomposan FW. Kajian kes kilang pengkomposan FW yang beroperasi di daerah Minhang, Shanghai, China telah digunakan sebagai asas untuk pembangunan rangka kerja dan penilaian potensi-potensi impaknya dari perspektif alam sekitar dan ekonomi. Impak alam sekitar ditumpu kepada pelepasan gas rumah hijau (GHG) yang dikaji melalui kaedah inventori kitaran hayat dari segi karbon dioksida setara (CO₂-eq.) manakala prestasi ekonomi (EF) dinilai menggunakan analisis kos-faedah (CBA). Analisis kepekaan untuk pengkomposan juga dijalankan bagi menentukan faktor-faktor kritikal ekonomi yang akan memberi kesan kepada EF proses pengkomposan. Berdasarkan rangka kerja yang dinilai, keputusan menunjukkan bahawa pengurusan FW tersebut menyumbang kepada pelepasan GHG sebanyak 32,988 kg CO₂-eq. daripada proses keseluruhan pengkomposan. Proses pengkomposan juga memberi pengurangan GHG sebanyak -7,962 kg CO₂-eq. melalui penggunaan kompos di ladang, walaupun tidak mencukupi untuk mengatasi keseluruhan pelepasan GHG. Keputusan CBA menunjukkan bahawa kilang tersebut berdaya maju dari segi ekonomi, dengan nilai bersih kini, dalam yuan China, sebanyak CNY 362,204,575 kerana harga jualan kompos yang optimum untuk menjana keuntungan. Analisis kepekaan mendedahkan bahawa harga jualan kompos mempengaruhi prestasi ekonomi di antara faktor ekonomi yang lain, seperti buruh, harga minyak dan subsidi. Rangka kerja penilaian kelestarian untuk pengkomposan FW dicadangkan bagi menunjukkan bagaimana rangka kerja tersebut dapat digunakan sebagai panduan oleh penggubal dasar dan kerajaan tempatan untuk menambah baik proses pengurusan FW melalui pengkomposan di negara-negara membangun, terutamanya Malaysia.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xv
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Research Background	1
1.2	Problem Statement	6
1.3	Research Objectives	7
1.4	Scope of Study	8
1.5	Significant of Study	9
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	10
2.1	Municipal Solid Waste Trend and Composition	10
2.1.1	Solid Waste Management in Malaysia	12
2.1.2	Solid Waste Management in China	16
2.2	Methods of Municipal Solid Waste Disposal	18
2.3	Composting - A Promising Technology for Municipal Solid Waste Disposal	21
2.4	Sustainable Waste Management Framework in Asian Countries	26

2.5	Accounting Mechanisms on Greenhouse Gas Emissions	30
2.5.1	International or National Greenhouse Gas Reporting	31
2.5.2	Greenhouse Gas Accounting in Organisations (Corporation, Local Government and Facility)	32
2.5.3	Life-Cycle Assessment	33
2.6	Mitigation of Greenhouse Gas Emissions through Composting	34
2.7	Economic Feasibility of Composting	35
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	38
3.1	Introduction	38
3.2	Data Collection	38
3.3	Greenhouse Gas Accounting for Composting System via Life-Cycle Analysis	39
3.3.1	Goal and Scope Definition	40
3.3.2	System Boundary and Scenario Analysis of the Food Waste Management in Minhang District, Shanghai, China	41
3.3.2.1	Summary of Process Flow Diagram	41
3.3.2.2	Kitchen Waste Collection and Transportation	42
3.3.2.3	Waste Pre-Treatment	44
3.3.2.4	Waste Treatment	45
3.3.2.5	Compost Production and Distribution	47
3.3.2.6	Wastewater Treatment and Deodorisation System	47
3.4	Inventory Analysis for Quantification of Greenhouse Gas Emissions	48
3.4.1	Emission from Fossil Fuel Consumption	48
3.4.2	Emission from Electricity Consumption	50
3.4.3	Degradation of Organic Materials during Composting Process	50
3.4.4	Compost Application on Land	51

3.4.5	Data Assumptions Used for Life-Cycle Analysis	53
3.5	Economic Feasibility Assessment via Cost-Benefit Analysis	54
3.5.1	Cost-Benefit Analysis Procedure for Waste Management System	54
3.5.1.1	Identifying the Main Project Option	57
3.5.1.2	Identifying Costs and Benefits	57
3.5.1.3	Placing Valuations on All Costs and Benefits	58
3.5.1.4	Assessing and Comparing the Cost-Benefit Performance of Options	58
3.5.1.5	Sensitivity Analysis	59
3.5.2	Limitations and Data Assumptions Used for Cost-Benefit Analysis	59
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	64
4.1	Background of Composting Plant in Minhang District, Shanghai, China	64
4.2	Sustainability Evaluation Framework of the Food Waste Management in Minhang District, Shanghai, China	66
4.3	Greenhouse Gas Emissions of the Current Scenario	70
4.4	Cost-Benefit Analysis for the Composting Scenario	78
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	87
5.1	Conclusion	87
5.2	Recommendation	89
	REFERENCES	91
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	121

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Waste composition by income level of countries. Adapted from Kaza <i>et al.</i> (2018)	11
Table 2.2	Average Malaysian household waste composition. Adapted from Ministry of Urban Wellbeing, Housing and Local Government (2013)	15
Table 2.3	Disposal of MSW by income level of countries. Adapted from Kaza <i>et al.</i> (2018)	19
Table 2.4	Waste disposal methods in Malaysia, as reported by Periathamby, Hamid and Khidzir (2009)	20
Table 2.5	Comparison of different scales of composting. Adapted from Silpa, Lisa and Andrea (2016)	24
Table 2.6	Categories of composting systems. Adapted from Silpa, Lisa and Andrea (2016)	27
Table 3.1	Primary data and assumptions for LCI analysis	55
Table 3.2	Primary data and assumptions used for CBA analysis	61
Table 4.1	Background of the company and composting plant	66
Table 4.2	Net GHG emissions of FW composting using the case study	73
Table 4.3	General estimation of the annual expenditure of the composting plant (200 t/d) in Minhang District	78
Table 4.4	Data estimation obtained from the financial analysis of the current composting scenario	80
Table 4.5	NPW for the large-scale composting plant (200 t/d) ($r = 4.35\%$)	81
Table 4.6	Sensitivity analysis based on the 15 years FW composting (200 t/d) in Minhang District under $\pm 25\%$ and $\pm 50\%$ of economic values fluctuations from the baseline (NPW in CNY)	83

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Waste generation in Malaysia from 2015 and its projection by 2020. Adapted from Ministry of Urban Wellbeing, Housing and Local Government (2015)	13
Figure 2.2	Diverting 40 % of waste from landfill can be done by achieving 18 % of waste treatment and 22 % of recycling rate. Landfilling from BAU will be reduced to 60 % by 2020. Adapted from Ministry of Urban Wellbeing, Housing and Local Government (2015)	13
Figure 2.3	Milestones of FW management in China. Adapted from Zhang and Zhang (2018)	18
Figure 2.4	Main stakeholders involved in the framework development of composting models in Surabaya, Indonesia. Adapted from Maeda (2013)	30
Figure 3.1	Data inventory and analysis method of the case study	39
Figure 3.2	Process flow diagram of daily FW management in Minhang District	43
Figure 3.3	Pre-treatment processes in Process B	44
Figure 4.1	Summary of the proposed sustainability evaluation framework of the FW management system in Minhang District	67
Figure 4.2	The system cycle of the proposed sustainability evaluation framework for the FW management in Minhang District	71
Figure 4.3	The proposed boundaries of the sustainability evaluation framework for the FW management in Minhang District	71
Figure 4.4	GHG emissions based on the activities involved during FW composting using the case study	75
Figure 4.5	Annual cost distribution of the current composting scenario	79
Figure 4.6	Cumulative discounted cash flow (cumulative PW, $r = 4.35\%$) of the current composting scenario	81
Figure 4.7	Sensitivity analysis of various economic parameters for the current composting scenario	85

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AD	-	Anaerobic digestion
BAU	-	Business-as-usual
BCR	-	Benefit-cost ratio
BGB	-	Beijing Goldenway Bio-Tech Co., Ltd.
C	-	Carbon
CBA	-	Cost-benefit analysis
CDM	-	Clean Development Mechanism
CH ₄	-	Methane
CNY	-	Chinese yuan
CO ₂	-	Carbon dioxide
CO ₂ -eq.	-	Carbon dioxide equivalent
EF	-	Economic feasibility
FAO	-	Food and Agriculture Organisation
FW	-	Food waste
GHG	-	Greenhouse gas
GPS	-	Global Positioning System
GWP	-	Global warming potential
HIC	-	High-income countries
IPCC	-	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
ISO	-	International Organisation for Standardisation
LCA	-	Life-cycle assessment
LCI	-	Life-cycle analysis
LIC	-	Low-income countries
MIC	-	Middle-income countries
MYR	-	Malaysian Ringgit
MSW	-	Municipal solid waste
N ₂ O	-	Nitrogen oxide
NCV	-	Net-calorific value
NPK	-	Nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K)
NPW	-	Net present worth

O ₂	-	Oxygen
OFMSW	-	Organic fraction of municipal solid waste
OM	-	Organic matter
PLC	-	Programmable logic controller
SJTU	-	Shanghai Jiao Tong University
SWB	-	Shanghai Wenxin Bio-Tech Co., Ltd.
TWD	-	Taiwan dollar
UNFCCC	-	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
USD	-	US Dollar
UTM	-	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

LIST OF SYMBOLS

∅	-	diameter (symbol used in engineering)
%	-	percent
10 ⁹	-	billion
ca	-	capita
cfu	-	colonial forming unit
d	-	day
h	-	hour
J	-	Joule
k	-	kilo
L	-	litre
M	-	million
MW	-	Megawatt
t	-	tonne
T	-	Tera
W	-	watt
w/w	-	weight by weight
y	-	year

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Location of SWB (based on Google Map) in Minhang District (red-coloured borderline)	99
Appendix B	Core composting equipment in SWB	100
Appendix C	Core product of SWB	101
Appendix D	Site visit to SWB	102
Appendix E	List of main structures and equipment in SWB	103
Appendix F	Estimation of total distance travelled for waste and compost transportation	105
Appendix G	Estimation of total fuel consumption for waste and compost transportation	107
Appendix H	Estimated value of carbon and NPK in soil conditioner	108
Appendix I	Calculation of CH ₄ emission and N ₂ O emission during the composting process	109
Appendix J	Calculation for GHG reduction from carbon storage effect after compost application to soil	110
Appendix K	Calculation of N ₂ O emission ($N_2O_{application}$) from the soil after compost application	111
Appendix L	Calculation of the mass of inorganic fertiliser that can be substituted by compost ($Mass_{disp}$)	112
Appendix M	Examples of calculation for GHG emissions	113
Appendix N	Details of cost item and revenue	117
Appendix O	Details of present worth evaluation for current composting scenario	119

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

The expanding world population is one of the factors that influence the principle of sustainable development. United Nations (2017) reported that the world population reached approximately 7.6×10^9 people in 2017. It is estimated to reach 8.6×10^9 in 2030 and will sum to 9.8×10^9 in 2050 and 11.2×10^9 by 2100 due to immense economic growth, rapid urbanisation and industrial development.

The rapid economic transition and increasing of the urban population have led to higher production of municipal solid waste (MSW) annually. As countries urbanise and prosper, living standards and income level also increase and resulting in higher consumption of goods and services, thereby producing a larger amount of solid waste (Kaza *et al.*, 2018). An efficient and sustainable MSW management remains a significant challenge to be implemented by municipalities around the world, especially in the fast-growing cities in developing countries.

A report by The World Bank stated that the world cities produced about 2.01×10^9 t of MSW in 2016, and this value is expected to reach 3.40×10^9 t by 2050 under a business-as-usual (BAU) scenario (Kaza *et al.*, 2018). Hoornweg and Bhada-Tata (2012) reported the cost of solid waste management around the world in 2012 is USD 205.4×10^9 annually and will increase to about USD 375.5×10^9 in 2025. The increasing MSW generation and poor management of MSW may inflict a higher budget for municipalities in the developing countries to manage and dispose of them. Inefficient MSW management may also cause depletion of invaluable land resources, risks to human health and long-term environmental impacts. It is vital to implement a sustainable and efficient MSW management strategy to balance the demand for development, the quality of human life, and the safety of the environment.

Sustainable development has been defined in numerous ways, but often the definition is quoted from the book 'Our Common Future', also known as the Brundtland Report. It is defined as the development that meets current needs without compromising future generations' ability to meet their own needs (World Commission on Environment and Development, 1987).

Elsaid and Aghezzaf (2015) described sustainable solid waste management system as economically viable, environmentally effective and socially acceptable. Viability means that the cost of having a clean environment is accepted by all sectors of the population, while environmentally effective implies that the environmental conservation policy and hierarchy are adopted in the waste management system. Meanwhile, the system is socially acceptable by the population when the service provided meets their needs. Hence, it is essential to identify social drivers and incorporate them into the solid waste management framework to realise a model that is economically and environmentally optimised as well as sustainable.

The increasing waste generation around the world has urged local governments to consider sustainable development to achieve a better and more sustainable future. The development of sustainable solid waste management, especially on food waste (FW), can help to reduce the dependence on landfill. FW streams from MSW can be diverted from large-scale landfilling through composting. In the developed countries, such as Germany, Italy, Denmark, and France, large-scale FW composting may be a good business case due to established MSW legislations and progressive policies, specifically on FW recycling (European Compost Network, 2019). The development of quality standards for organic waste treatment and compost production in the organic waste recycling sector has also generated a bio-based economy in these countries. These factors further become the essentials to develop a sustainable framework for FW composting to ensure the feasibility of the FW management industry in the long term.

In contrast with developed countries, several factors such as weak enforcement, lack of technology, and ineffective policy implementation make the MSW management in the developing countries inefficient with a very low possibility of

improvement. Being a rapidly developing country, Malaysia faces similar problems: technologies and facilities are insufficient to cope with the ever-increasing rate of MSW generation, especially FW. Implementing sustainable FW management framework through composting that can produce valuable organic fertilisers is still a significant challenge in this country.

Several possible factors are impeding the framework development of FW recycling industry. FW composting plants in Malaysia, especially at large scale, generally have not been widely constructed and operated due to lack of finance, expertise and know-how of technology, which cause the plant to be financially and economically unattractive. Some operating large-scale composting plants are using agricultural waste and animal manure. The compost from these plants are of higher quality, thereby sold at a higher price, compared to compost from FW derived from MSW-based sources.

There are several manufacturers of organic fertilisers operating at different scales using agricultural-based materials as feedstock in Malaysia. Legenda Madu Sdn. Bhd. (www.lmorganicfertilizer.com), Green Plant Organic Fertilizer Sdn. Bhd. (www.greenplant.com.my) and Global Green Synergy Sdn. Bhd. (www.ggs.my) are among manufacturers of organic fertilisers using biomass from the oil palm industry to produce organic fertilisers. Most of these manufacturers use relatively more consistent agricultural waste as feedstock; thus, the quality control of the end products is more consistent compared to using FW.

FW composting uses FW that varies in content, thereby posing difficulties in controlling the amount of nutrients in the final product. Maintaining minimum percentages of nutrient content in compost produced from FW to meet Malaysian quality standard of organic fertiliser is hard from batch to batch, and may require other additional feedstock that is rich in nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium (NPK) content to supplement the compost quality. Manufacturers of organic fertilisers using FW as feedstock are not many in Malaysia, especially at large-scale operation. To date, Mentari Alam Eko (M) Sdn. Bhd. (www.maeko.com.my) that sells in-vessel composting machines and Promise Earth (M) Sdn. Bhd. (www.biomate.com.my) that

also sells in-vessel composters, as well as organic fertilisers made from FW, are among the few companies that promote FW composting.

Taking examples in Johor state alone, two operating companies producing organic fertilisers are All Cosmos Industries Sdn. Bhd. (www.allcosmos.com) and Sarjani (M) Sdn. Bhd. (www.sarjani-malaysia.com.my). All Cosmos Industries Sdn. Bhd., which is based in Pasir Gudang and founded in 1999, is a Malaysia-based investment holding company established by Taiwanese investors that focuses on the production and marketing of high grade bio-chemical and bio-organic fertilisers, as well as agriculture-related activities. The company uses biomass from cocoa and palm oil industry as a feedstock for the production of organic fertilisers. Being a 100 % subsidiary under All Cosmos Bio-Tech, the company spent a total capital cost of MYR 50 M for its setup. All Cosmos Industries Sdn. Bhd. is one of the biggest fertiliser manufacturers in Asia, with an annual production capacity of around 300 kt of fertilisers.

On the other hand, Sarjani (M) Sdn. Bhd. is a Malaysian bumiputra-owned company founded in 2007 that manufactures organic fertilisers using manure from livestock farm as feedstock and supplies them to local farmers and municipalities for agricultural and landscaping purposes. The company has a monthly production capacity of 80 to 100 t (around 960 to 1,200 t/y) to meet the demand for organic fertilisers. The total capital cost is not stated on the website.

Despite having many organic fertiliser companies using agricultural waste as feedstock for composting in Malaysia, the development of a large-scale FW composting plant to produce organic fertiliser is still entirely new and requires a comprehensive framework to be feasible prior implementation. Most of the FW composting operations existing nowadays are only on-site composting facilities operated at small to medium scale by local community or municipality. This scenario may be due to unclear profitability, thereby regarded as a non-sustainable business. FW composting faces a range of complex issues, including lack of waste segregation at source, cost of waste collection, and negative perceptions about the varied quality of the end compost produced from FW due to the inconsistent composition of the daily

FW generated. These challenges pose difficulties in making the FW composting a business case to generate significant profit and may require external intervention such as government subsidies to sustain the operations.

In the fast-developing countries such as Malaysia, municipalities are on the lookout for practical solutions to improve their solid waste management, primarily on FW at large scale. The establishment of a comprehensive framework that can be used as a guide or a set of benchmark indicators for FW management to ensure its sustainability is vital. In Malaysia, there are not many feasibility studies have been reported on large-scale FW composting. Learning the steps involved and replicating an existing sustainable FW composting framework from other developing countries are crucial to realise a similar waste treatment plant in the developing countries, including Malaysia. Such a framework is essential to guide the proper steps, minimise the risk of failure and to attract the interests of various stakeholders, including the investors and policymakers.

China, being one of the largest generators of solid waste among countries around the world, manages to deal with its solid waste disposal efficiently and this could serve as an excellent example for other developing countries to follow the steps in tackling solid waste problems. Due to the high generation of MSW compared to other Asian countries, China contributes to 70 % of the total waste generated in East Asia and the Pacific region (Hoornweg and Bhada-Tata, 2012). The FW dominates its MSW composition at about 55.86 % (Mian *et al.*, 2017), which is identical to most of the fast-developing countries. Hence, the case study from China is suitable for other developing countries to benchmark.

This study examines the FW management system of urban China, using Minhang District, Shanghai as a case study, and identifies the major steps involved to make the system feasible that other growing cities can learn from, particularly for cities in Malaysia. The study also evaluates the environmental and economic impacts of the existing system in the case study. Through these processes, the study proposes a sustainability evaluation framework for FW management that can be used as a guideline and put forward for consideration by stakeholders from the private, public,

academic, and non-profit sectors in Malaysia. The framework intends to provide valuable inputs for developing policy on FW management industry via large-scale composting in the country.

To build a comprehensive framework for feasible FW management, the engagement between specific stakeholders such as the government, industry and community needs to be well-developed. Understanding the crucial steps involved, such as the engagement between stakeholders, waste collection and treatment processes, as well as the environmental and economic impact assessments, are vital as these building blocks are involved in developing the sustainability evaluation framework. The three essential pillars of sustainability are environmental, economic, and social. In this study, the environmental sustainability evaluation was based on the inventory of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from the composting operation as this is the most common method used. The sustainability evaluation for the economic aspect used cost-benefit analysis (CBA) to assess the economic feasibility (EF) of the large-scale FW composting operation to ensure if the proposed process could generate profit to sustain its operation in the long run. However, this study did not assess sustainability in terms of the social aspect and may be considered in future work.

Hence, it is of great interest to evaluate the existing framework of a large-scale FW composting system along with its GHG emissions and EF from a case study as a referral for Malaysia. The large-scale FW composting (200 t/d) implemented in Minhang District, Shanghai, China is selected as the case study.

1.2 Problem Statement

Malaysia is one of the many developing countries that face a challenging task to materialise sustainable MSW management. Currently, under the framework for sustainable solid waste management, Malaysia has targeted 18 % of waste treatment and 22 % of recycling rate to divert 40 % of waste from landfill by 2020 (Ministry of Urban Wellbeing, Housing and Local Government Malaysia, 2015). Despite the creation of the National Solid Waste Management Policy in 2007 to standardise and

improve waste management nationwide, the deployment efforts were confronted by a limited budget, short of staff's technical capacity, and uncertainty in the policy's guidelines (Wee *et al.*, 2017). The implementation of the framework and other supporting policies are, however, still weak and challenging in this country. Malaysia almost reaches its target year, but the progress of developing the composting sector as a feasible industry to treat waste is still unclear. There is still limited FW composting plant operated at large scale (> 100 t/d) in Malaysia. Such a processing plant is currently viewed as not economically feasible due to tedious work for waste segregation at source and high cost for frequent waste collection daily; otherwise it would contribute to odour and public health problem.

In Malaysia, there is a limited number of feasibility studies reported on large-scale FW composting, especially on the sustainable framework or detailed analysis reporting on the impacts of large-scale FW composting in terms of environmental and economic aspects. Those available studies did not address either the critical factors or key drivers, causing the success or failure of the composting facilities. A sustainability evaluation framework is required to achieve good feasibility.

Hence, this study aims to develop a sustainability evaluation framework based on an existing large-scale composting plant (200 t/d) in Minhang District, Shanghai, China. This case study can become a benchmark for other developing countries, including Malaysia, to replicate a similar framework for FW management. The proposed sustainability evaluation framework serves as a basic structure underlying a feasible and sustainable FW management system and serves as general guidelines to operate the process flows to be replicated in Malaysia.

1.3 Research Objectives

The objectives of the research are:

- (a) To develop a sustainability evaluation framework for food waste (FW) composting.

- (b) To analyse the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of FW composting for the sustainability evaluation framework.
- (c) To evaluate the economic feasibility (EF) of FW composting for the sustainability evaluation framework.

1.4 Scope of Study

The scopes of this research are:

- (a) To develop the sustainability evaluation framework for FW composting using a case study in Minhang District, Shanghai, China. The study evaluates the upstream, bio-waste management, and downstream level involved in the FW management along with the strategies implemented to make the system feasible and sustainable. The sustainability evaluation is conducted based on the assessment of environmental and economic impacts of the FW management system.
- (b) The evaluation of GHG emissions of FW composting based on the developed sustainability evaluation framework in terms of carbon dioxide equivalent (CO₂-eq.) and global warming potential (GWP) per ton of compost produced to analyse its environmental impact. A streamline of life-cycle inventory (LCI) analysis is conducted using the case study. The system boundaries for this assessment include waste collection and transportation, pre-treatment, waste treatment, as well as product distribution and application on farmland, based on the developed sustainability evaluation framework.
- (c) The EF analysis of the FW composting plant using cost-benefit analysis (CBA), which involve net present worth (NPW) analysis, benefit-cost ratio (BCR) and payback period to evaluate its profitability and self-sustainability. The cost efficiency is analysed based on the estimated capital expenditure, operation expenditure and revenue generated. Sensitivity analysis is also conducted to assess the influence of several economic factors on the EF of the case study.

1.5 Significant of Study

The significant of the research are:

- (a) The development of a sustainability evaluation framework for FW composting involving public-private-community partnership.
- (b) The evaluation of the environmental and economic impacts of FW composting using the case study to show the applicability of FW composting as an alternative technology for FW management in Malaysia.
- (c) The outcome presented through the sustainability evaluation framework can be a valuable input for developing policy on FW management industry and composting sector in Malaysia.

REFERENCES

- Aleluia, J. and Ferrão, P. (2017). Assessing the costs of municipal solid waste treatment technologies in developing Asian countries. *Waste Management*. 69, 592–608.
- Andersen, J.K., Boldrin, A., Christensen, T.H. and Scheutz, C. (2012). Home composting as an alternative treatment option for organic household waste in Denmark: An environmental assessment using life cycle assessment-modelling. *Waste Management*. 32(1), 31–40.
- Andersen, J.K., Boldrin, A., Samuelsson, J., Christensen, T.H. and Scheutz, C. (2010). Quantification of greenhouse gas emissions from windrow composting of garden waste. *Journal of Environment Quality*. 39(2), 713–724.
- Boldrin, A., Andersen, J.K., Møller, J., Christensen, T.H. and Favoino, E. (2009). Composting and compost utilization: Accounting of greenhouse gases and global warming contributions. *Waste Management and Research*. 27(8), 800–812.
- Cadena, E., Colón, J., Artola, A., Sánchez, A. and Font, X. (2009). Environmental impact of two aerobic composting technologies using life cycle assessment. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*. 14, 401–410.
- Cesaro, A., Belgiorno, V. and Guida, M. (2015). Compost from organic solid waste: Quality assessment and European regulations for its sustainable use. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*. 94, 72–79.
- Chen, H., Jiang, W., Yang, Yu, Yang, Yan and Man, X. (2017). State of the art on food waste research: a bibliometrics study from 1997 to 2014. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 140, 840–846.
- Chen, Y.-T. (2016). A cost analysis of food waste composting in Taiwan. *Sustainability*. 8(11), 1210.
- Colón, J., Cadena, E., Pognani, M., Barrena, R., Sánchez, A., Font, X. and Artola, A. (2012). Determination of the energy and environmental burdens associated with the biological treatment of source-separated municipal solid wastes. *Energy and Environmental Science*. 5(2), 5731–5741.

- Cui-mei, M.A. and Quan-sheng, G.E. (2014). Method for calculating CO₂ emissions from the power sector at the provincial level in China. *Advances in Climate Change Research*. 5(2), 92–99.
- Elsaid, S. and Aghezzaf, E.H. (2015). A framework for sustainable waste management: challenges and opportunities. *Management Research Review*. 38(10), 1086–1097.
- European Compost Network (2019). European Policy. Available at: www.compostnetwork.info/about-ecn/workstructure/european-policy/ [Accessed August 18, 2019].
- Evans, T.D., Boor, M. and MacBrayne, D. (2007). Biofertiliser plant design – food waste to biofertiliser and biogas. In *12th European Biosolids and Organic Resources Conference*. Manchester, England, pp. 1–9.
- Fan, Y. Van, Lee, C.T., Klemeš, J.J., Bong, C.P.C. and Ho, W.S. (2016). Economic assessment system towards sustainable composting quality in the developing countries. *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy*. 18(8), 2479–2491.
- FAO (2012). *Towards The Future We Want: End Hunger and Make the Transition to Sustainable Agricultural and Food Systems*, Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations.
- Farrell, M. and Jones, D.L. (2009). Critical evaluation of municipal solid waste composting and potential compost markets. *Bioresource Technology*. 100(19), 4301–4310.
- Federal Government Administrative Centre Malaysia (2017). *Current Population Estimates*, Putrajaya, Malaysia: Federal Government Administrative Centre Malaysia.
- Fruergaard, T., Astrup, T. and Ekvall, T. (2009). Energy use and recovery in waste management and implications for accounting of greenhouse gases and global warming contributions. *Waste Management and Research*. 27(8), 724–737.
- Galgani, P., Voet, E. van der and Korevaar, G. (2014). Composting, anaerobic digestion and biochar production in Ghana. Environmental-economic assessment in the context of voluntary carbon markets. *Waste Management*. 34(12), 2454–2465.
- Gentil, E., Christensen, T.H. and Aoustin, E. (2009). Greenhouse gas accounting and waste management. *Waste Management and Research*. 27(8), 696–706.

- GlobalPetrolPrices.com (2019). Shanghai Gasoline prices, liter. Available at: www.globalpetrolprices.com/China/Shanghai/gasoline_prices/ [Accessed March 15, 2019].
- Hao, H., Liu, F., Liu, Z. and Zhao, F. (2017). Measuring energy efficiency in China's transport sector. *Energies*. 10(660), 1–18.
- Hargreaves, J.C., Adl, M.S. and Warman, P.R. (2008). A review of the use of composted municipal solid waste in agriculture. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*. 123(1–3), 1–14.
- Hoornweg, D. and Bhada-Tata, P. (2012). *What a Waste: A Global Review of Solid Waste Management*, Washington, D.C., USA: World Bank.
- International Standards Organisation (2006a). *ISO 14040: Environmental Management - Life Cycle Assessment - Principles and Framework*, Geneva, Switzerland.
- International Standards Organisation (2006b). *ISO 14044: Environmental Management - Life Cycle Assessment - Requirements and Guidelines*, Geneva, Switzerland: ISO.
- IPCC (2006). *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories* H. S. Eggleston, L. Buendia, K. Miwa, T. Ngara, & K. Tanabe, eds., Hayama, Japan: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC).
- IPCC (2014). *Climate Change 2014 - Synthesis Report* Core Writing Team, R. K. Pachauri, & L. A. Meyer, eds., Geneva, Switzerland: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC).
- JobStreet.com (n.d.). JobStreet.com - No. 1 Job Site in Malaysia. Available at: www.jobstreet.com.my [Accessed December 20, 2018].
- Kaza, S., Yao, L., Bhada-Tata, P. and Woerden, F. Van (2018). *What a Waste 2.0: A Global Snapshot of Solid Waste Management to 2050*, Washington, D.C., USA: World Bank.
- Lim, S.L., Lee, L.H. and Wu, T.Y. (2016). Sustainability of using composting and vermicomposting technologies for organic solid waste biotransformation: Recent overview, greenhouse gases emissions and economic analysis. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 111, 262–278.
- Lou, X.F. and Nair, J. (2009). The impact of landfilling and composting on greenhouse gas emissions - A review. *Bioresour. Technol.* 100(16), 3792–3798.

- Maeda, T. (2013). *JICA Training on Composting Management - Waste Reduction through Composting: Application of Surabaya's Model*, Kitakyushu, Japan: Institute for Global Environmental Strategies (IGES).
- Melikoglu, M., Lin, C.S.K. and Webb, C. (2013). Analysing global food waste problem: Pinpointing the facts and estimating the energy content. *Central European Journal of Engineering*. 3(2), 157–164.
- Mian, M.M., Zeng, X., Nasry, A. al N. Bin and Al-Hamadani, S.M.Z.F. (2017). Municipal solid waste management in China: A comparative analysis. *Journal of Material Cycles and Waste Management*. 19, 1127–1135.
- Ministry of Economic Affairs Malaysia (2015). *Eleventh Malaysia Plan 2016-2020: Anchoring Growth on People*, Putrajaya, Malaysia: Ministry of Economic Affairs Malaysia.
- Ministry of Housing and Local Government Malaysia (2005). *National Strategic Plan for Solid Waste Management: Executive Summary*, Putrajaya, Malaysia: Ministry of Housing and Local Government Malaysia.
- Ministry of Urban Wellbeing, Housing and Local Government Malaysia (2016). *Dasar Perbandaran Negara Kedua (DPN2) - Semenanjung Malaysia & Wilayah Persekutuan Labuan*, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: Ministry of Urban Wellbeing, Housing and Local Government Malaysia.
- Ministry of Urban Wellbeing, Housing and Local Government Malaysia (2015). *Solid Waste Management Lab 2015: Final Lab Report*, Putrajaya, Malaysia: Ministry of Urban Wellbeing, Housing and Local Government.
- Ministry of Urban Wellbeing, Housing and Local Government Malaysia (2013). *Survey on Solid Waste Composition, Characteristics & Existing Practice of Solid Waste Recycling in Malaysia*, Putrajaya, Malaysia: Ministry of Urban Wellbeing, Housing and Local Government.
- Mu, D., Horowitz, N., Casey, M. and Jones, K. (2017). Environmental and economic analysis of an in-vessel food waste composting system at Kean University in the U.S. *Waste Management*. 59, 476–486.
- National Bureau of Statistics of China (2018). China Statistical Yearbook 2018. Available at: www.stats.gov.cn/tjsj/ndsj/2018/indexeh.htm [Accessed August 1, 2019].

- Ng, C.G. and Yusoff, S. (2015a). Assessment of GHG emission reduction potential from source-separated organic waste (SOW) management : Case study in a higher educational institution in Malaysia. *Sains Malaysiana*. 44(2), 193–201.
- Ng, C.G. and Yusoff, S. (2015b). Life cycle inventory of institutional medium-scaled co-composting of food waste and yard waste in tropical country. *Sains Malaysiana*. 44(4), 517–527.
- Pandyaswargo, A.H., Onoda, H. and Nagata, K. (2012). Energy recovery potential and life cycle impact assessment of municipal solid waste management technologies in Asian countries using ELP model. *International Journal of Energy and Environmental Engineering*. 3(28), 1–11.
- Pandyaswargo, A.H. and Premakumara, D.G.J. (2014). Financial sustainability of modern composting: the economically optimal scale for municipal waste composting plant in developing Asia. *International Journal of Recycling of Organic Waste in Agriculture*. 3(66), 1–14.
- Periathamby, A. and Fauziah, S.H. (2007). Sustainable management of wet market waste. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Sustainable Solid Waste Management*. Chennai, India, pp. 239–243.
- Periathamby, A., Hamid, F.S. and Khidzir, K. (2009). Evolution of solid waste management in Malaysia: Impacts and implications of the solid waste bill, 2007. *Journal of Material Cycles and Waste Management*. 11(2), 96–103.
- Puyuelo, B., Gea, T. and Sánchez, A. (2014). GHG emissions during the high-rate production of compost using standard and advanced aeration strategies. *Chemosphere*. 109, 64–70.
- Rajaeifar, M.A., Tabatabaei, M., Ghanavati, H., Khoshnevisan, B. and Rafiee, S. (2015). Comparative life cycle assessment of different municipal solid waste management scenarios in Iran. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 51, 886–898.
- Ranganathan, J., Corbier, L., Bhatia, P., Schmitz, S., Gage, P. and Oren, K. (2004). *The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)*, Washington, D.C., USA: World Resources Institute (WRI) & World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD).
- Rogers, M.G. and Duffy, A. (2012). *Engineering Project Appraisal 2nd ed.*, Sussex, UK: Wiley-Blackwell.

- Saer, A., Lansing, S., Davitt, N.H. and Graves, R.E. (2013). Life cycle assessment of a food waste composting system: Environmental impact hotspots. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 52, 234–244.
- Seider, W.D., Seader, J.D., Lewin, D.R. and Widagdo, S. (2009). *Product and Process Design Principles: Synthesis, Analysis and Design* 3rd ed., Hoboken, New Jersey, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Shanghai Municipal People's Government (2010). Shanghai Municipal Agricultural Commission. Available at: www.shanghai.gov.cn/shanghai/node27118/node27386/node27400/node27834/u22ai45821.html [Accessed August 1, 2019].
- Shanghai Wenxin Bio-Tech Co., Ltd. (2017). *Minhang District kitchen waste resource utilization and harmless treatment project: Comprehensive emergency plan for sudden environmental incidents*, Shanghai, China: Shanghai Wenxin Bio-Tech Co., Ltd.
- Shen, Y., Ren, L., Li, G., Chen, T. and Guo, R. (2011). Influence of aeration on CH₄, N₂O and NH₃ emissions during aerobic composting of a chicken manure and high C/N waste mixture. *Waste Management*. 31, 33–38.
- Silpa, K., Lisa, Y. and Andrea, S. (2016). *Sustainable Financing and Policy Models for Municipal Composting*, Washington, D.C., USA: World Bank Group.
- Su, B., Heshmati, A., Geng, Y. and Yu, X. (2013). A review of the circular economy in China: Moving from rhetoric to implementation. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 42, 215–227.
- Tan, S.T., Ho, W.S., Hashim, H., Lee, C.T., Taib, M.R. and Ho, C.S. (2015). Energy, economic and environmental (3E) analysis of waste-to-energy (WTE) strategies for municipal solid waste (MSW) management in Malaysia. *Energy Conversion and Management*. 102, 111–120.
- Tan, S.T., Lee, C.T., Hashim, H., Ho, W.S. and Lim, J.S. (2014). Optimal process network for municipal solid waste management in Iskandar Malaysia. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 71, 48–58.
- Tarmudi, Z., Abdullah, M.L. and Md Tap, A.O. (2012). A review of municipal solid waste management in Malaysia. *Jurnal Teknologi*. 57, 41–56.
- Tian, J., Liu, W., Lai, B., Li, X. and Chen, L. (2014). Study of the performance of eco-industrial park development in China. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 64, 486–494.

- Toyota Material Handling Europe (2017). *Engine powered forklift: 1.5 - 3.5*, Mjölby, Sweden: Toyota Material Handling Europe.
- Trading Economics (2019). China - Economic Indicators. Available at: www.tradingeconomics.com/china/indicators [Accessed January 10, 2019].
- Trautmann, N.M. and Krasny, M.E. (1997). *Composting in The Classroom - Scientific Inquiry for High Students*, New York, USA: Cornell University.
- UNEP (2011). *Towards a Green Economy: Pathways to Sustainable Development and Poverty Eradication*, Nairobi, Kenya: United Nations Environment Programme.
- UNFCCC (2006a). *Clean Development Mechanism Project Design Document Form (CDM-PDD) Version 03 - in effect as of 28 July 2006. Project Title: Malaysia - Kota Kinabalu Composting Project*, Bonn, Germany: United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC).
- UNFCCC (2006b). *Clean Development Mechanism Project Design Document Form (CDM-PDD) Version 03 - in effect as of 28 July 2006. Project Title: Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Composting Project in Urumqi, China*, Bonn, Germany: United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC).
- United Nations (2017). *World Population Prospects: The 2017 Revision, Key Findings and Advance Tables*, New York, USA: United Nations.
- USEPA (2016). *Greenhouse Gas Inventory Guidance: Direct Emissions from Mobile Combustion Sources*, Washington, D.C., USA: United States Environmental Protection Agency.
- Wee, S.T., Abas, M.A., Mohamed, S., Chen, G.K. and Zainal, R. (2017). Good governance in national solid waste management policy (NSWMP) implementation: A case study of Malaysia. In *AIP Conference Proceedings*. Langkawi, Kedah, Malaysia, pp. 1–6.
- Wenhui Network (2018). Wet garbage resource treatment cannot be blocked in the “last mile” | Shanghai waste classification full chain investigation and treatment.
- World Bank (2013). *Implementation Status & Results: MY-CF-Kota Kinabalu Composting Project (P106857)*, Washington, D.C., USA: The World Bank.
- World Commission on Environment and Development (1987). *Our Common Future* V. Hauff, ed., New York, USA: Oxford University Press.
- Xinhua News Agency (2018). Let the garbage be classified into the home of the people - Jiangsu, Zhejiang and Shanghai promote the classification of domestic garbage.

- Yoshizaki, T., Shirai, Y., Hassan, M.A., Samsu Baharuddin, A., Raja Abdullah, N.M., Sulaiman, A. and Busu, Z. (2012). Economic analysis of biogas and compost projects in a palm oil mill with clean development mechanism in Malaysia. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*. 14(6), 1065–1079.
- Yu, H. and Qian, H. (2008). Method for recycling resources of kitchen waste organic waste by using composite bacteria, CN100383235C.
- Yuan, J., Chadwick, D., Zhang, D., Li, G., Chen, S., Luo, W., Du, L., He, S. and Peng, S. (2016). Effects of aeration rate on maturity and gaseous emissions during sewage sludge composting. *Waste Management*. 56, 403–410.
- Yukalang, N., Clarke, B. and Ross, K. (2018). Solid waste management solutions for a rapidly urbanizing area in Thailand: Recommendations based on stakeholder input. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 15(7), 1302.
- Zeng, J., Shen, X., Han, L. and Huang, G. (2016). Dynamics of oxygen supply and consumption during mainstream large-scale composting in China. *Bioresource Technology*. 220, 104–109.
- Zhang, D.Q., Tan, S.K. and Gersberg, R.M. (2010). Municipal solid waste management in China: Status, problems and challenges. *Journal of Environmental Management*. 91, 1623–1633.
- Zhang, H., Schuchardt, F., Li, G., Yang, J. and Yang, Q. (2013). Emission of volatile sulfur compounds during composting of municipal solid waste (MSW). *Waste Management*. 33, 957–963.
- Zhang, J. and Zhang, C. (2018). *Sustainability Insights: Shanghai's Food Waste Management* J. B. Mura, ed., Shanghai, China: Collective Responsibility.
- Zhou, Z., Chi, Y., Dong, J., Tang, Y. and Ni, M. (2018). Model development of sustainability assessment from a life cycle perspective: A case study on waste management systems in China. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 210, 1005–1014.
- Zhu-Barker, X., Bailey, S.K., U., K.T.P., Burger, M. and Horwath, W.R. (2017). Greenhouse gas emissions from green waste composting windrow. *Waste Management*. 59, 70–79.