

EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION ON LIGHTWEIGHT COMPOSITE SLAB  
SYSTEM WITH HOLLOW BOX BEAM FOR FLOOD RESISTANT

LOW JUN XIU

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Structure)

Faculty of Civil Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2017

To my beloved mother and father

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians, and practitioners. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main thesis supervisor, Dr Shek Poi Ngian, for encouragement, guidance, critics and friendship. I am also very thankful to my co-supervisors Professor Ir Dr. Mahmood Md. Tahir for their guidance, advices and motivation. Without their continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

I am also indebted to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for funding my Ph.D. study. Librarians at UTM also deserve special thanks for their assistance in supplying the relevant literatures.

My fellow postgraduate students should also be recognised for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space. I am grateful to all my family members.

## ABSTRACT

Composite slabs are gaining wide acceptance in many countries as they are faster, lighter and economical in construction buildings. The ultimate behaviour of steel-concrete Lightweight composite slab system with hollow box beams is experimentally investigated in this paper. The strength of composite slabs system relies on the bonding action between the concrete and the steel deck, the shear connections and the cross sectional resistance of steel beam. However, structural behaviour of composite slab is complex phenomenon and therefore experimental study is often conducted to establish the strength of the structure under ultimate load capacity. The main objective of this study is to determine the structural behaviour of lightweight composite slab system with hollow box beam, compare the analysis and design method according to Eurocode 4. Total of two specimens are examined in order to obtain failure mechanism of the composite structure under full load capacity. A new design approach of composite slab for roofing system is proposed in this study and compared to experimental result. The comparison shows good agreement between experiment and theoretical results.

## **ABSTRAK**

Papak komposit semakin mendapatkan penerimaan di banyak negara kerana cara pembinaan ini lebih cepat, ringan dan menjimatkan masa pembinaan bangunan. Sifat-sifat struktur sistem papak komposit skala penuh diuji dalam penyelidikan ini. Sifat-sifat sistem papak komposit bergantung kepada ikatan antara konkrit dan plat keluli, sambungan ricih dan rintangan keratan rentas rasuk keluli. Walau bagaimanapun, sifat-sifat struktur papak komposit adalah fenomena kompleks dan oleh itu kajian eksperimen sering dijalankan untuk mengaji kekuatan struktur di bawah kapasiti beban muktamad. Objektif utama kajian ini adalah untuk menentukan sifat-sifat struktur sistem papak komposit ringan, membandingkan kaedah analisis dan reka bentuk berdasarkan Eurocode 4. Dua ujian berskala penuh dikaji dalam penyelidikan ini untuk mendapatkan mekanisme kegagalan struktur komposit di bawah kapasiti beban penuh. Kaedah reka bentuk baru papak dibandingkan dengan keputusan ujian makmal dan menunjukkan persetujuan yang baik.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	ii
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	iv
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	vii
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	x
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xi
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	xv
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xvii
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Problem statement	2
	1.3 Research objectives	3
	1.4 Scope of study	3
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>5</b>
	2.1 Introduction	5
	2.2 History background of composite slab	5
	2.3 Previous study on composite slab	9
	2.3.1 Shear-bond characteristics (m-k) value of embossed profile Sheeting	9

2.3.2	New simplified method for design of composite slab	10
2.3.3	Load capacity of composite slabs with various end constraints	12
2.3.4	Experimental studies on corrugated steel-concrete composite Slab	14
2.3.5	Investigation of structural behaviour of composite slab with cold-formed steel	17
2.3.6	Strength comparison of composite slab and conventional RC slab	17
2.3.7	Innovative lightweight slab panel designed for flood resistant	18
2.3.8	Empirical m and k method (horizontal/longitudinal shear capacity)	20
2.3.8.1	Disadvantages of using m & k method	22
2.4	Lightweight composite slab panel	23
2.4.1	Types of concrete material	23
2.4.2	Profile steel sheeting/metal deck	24
2.4.3	Shear stud	28
2.5	Failure modes of composite slab	30
<b>3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>32</b>
3.1	Introduction	32
3.2	Set-up procedure	33
3.3	Test procedure	40
3.4	Composite design on Eurocode 4	42
3.4.1	Resistance with full shear connection (flexure)	43
3.4.2	Resistance with partial shear connection	45
3.4.3	Resistance to horizontal/longitudinal shear capacity	47
3.4.4	Resistance to vertical shear	48
3.4.5	Resistance to shear connectors	49
3.4.6	Verification of slab at serviceability limit state	49
3.4.6.1	Concrete cracking	49
3.4.6.2	Deflection	50
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULT AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>51</b>
4.1	Introduction	51

4.2 Concrete cube strength test	51
4.3 Tensile steel test for hollow box beam	53
4.4 Test results	54
4.5 Load vs mid-span deflection	59
4.6 Load vs end-slip behaviour	60
<b>5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</b>	<b>61</b>
5.1 Conclusion	61
5.2 Recommendation	62
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>66</b>
Appendices A	70



**LIST OF TABLES**

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Details of tested composite slabs	13
2.2	Deck section dimensions and properties	14
3.1	Section properties for metal deck	35
3.2	Details of specimens full-scale bending test	40
3.3	Limiting span/depth ratios for lightly stressed concrete slabs according to Eurocode 4	50
4.1	Compressive cube strength 7 and 28 days	52
4.2	Ultimate load capacity of specimens and failure modes	58

**LIST OF FIGURES**

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Loucks Patented Deck in 1926 (Building Construction US, 1926)	6
2.2	Schematic of First Composite Slab (Thomas Mathew Traver, 2002)	7
2.3	Steel Deck Profile in Trapezoidal and Re-entrant	8
2.4	Schematic View of Experimental Set-up of full Scale Slab test according to Eurocode 4 (Klaiber and Porter 1981).	9
2.5	Detailing of the composite deck slab (V.marimuthu and Co., 2006)	10
2.6	Three Partial connection design method (Crisinel and Marimon., 2004)	11
2.7	Simplified moment- curvature relationship (Crisinel and Marimon., 2004)	11
2.8	Domment-curvature relationships for a) non ductile composite slabs b) ductile composite slabs (Crisinel and Marimon., 2004)	12
2.9	Two way embossment detail (Hajir Satih Abbas., 2014)	14
2.10	Composite slab dimensions and distances (Hajir Satih Abbas, 2014)	15
2.11	Load vs Deflection at mid span	16

2.12	Load vs End slip	16
2.13	Test setup of deck slab with steel box block	18
2.14	Buoyancy test of composite slab panel in UTM	19
2.15	Calculation of Ls of composite slab	21
2.16	Relationship between failure mode and span	22
2.17	The relationship between the cube strength and cylinder strength according to EN206-1	23
2.18	Configuration of typical steel-corrugated composite slab with trapezoidal decking (G. Mohan Ganesh et al., 2006)	24
2.19	Illustration of open rib eye of composite slab (G. Mohan Ganesh et al., 2006)	25
2.20	Examples of trapezoidal deck profiles (J W Rackham et al.,2009)	27
2.21	Typical forms of interlock in composite slabs. a) Mechanical anchorage b) End anchorage c) Frictional interlock d) End anchorage by deformation	29
2.22	Thru deck shear connectors (studco, 2012)	29
2.23	6mm Tek screw acting as shear connectors	30
2.24	Composite failure mode type; i) flexural failure,ii) longitudinal/horizontal shear failure iii) vertical shear failure (Johnson, 1994)	31
3.1	Proposed lightweight composite slab panel system a)side view; b)full span	34
3.2	Dimension of metal deck (THFD51-10)	35
3.3	Setting up metal deck and cold-formed beam front view	36

3.4	Setting up metal deck and cold-formed beam side view	37
3.5	Arrangement of 6mm tek screw	37
3.6	Arrangement of 6mm tek screw in close view	38
3.7	Formwork and BRC A6 set-up before casting	38
3.8	Lightweight composite structure after casting (side view)	39
3.9	Lightweight composite structure after casting (front view)	39
3.10	Experimental Setup of specimen	40
3.11	Test setup of specimen	41
3.12	Two LVDT's were placed at each side of the specimens to obtain end-slip deflection	42
3.13	Full shear connection with plastic neutral axis in the slab	44
3.14	Design partial interaction diagram	46
3.15	Cross sectional view for interlocking of slab and beam to resist longitudinal shear capacity	47
4.1	Concrete cube strength test in UTM structural and material Lab.	55
4.2	Tensile steel test	56
4.3	Material of cold-formed steel after tensile steel test	57
4.4	Specimens set up before ultimate load test	58
4.5	Force acting on slab panel system and hollow box beam section	58
4.6	Failure mechanism for lightweight composite slab system CFCB01	59
4.8	Failure mechanism for lightweight composite slab system CFCB01	

	from side view	59
4.9	Failure mechanism for composite slab system CFCB02	60
4.10	Failure mechanism for lightweight lightweight composite slab system CFCB02 from side view	60
4.11	Cracking pattern of specimens	61
4.12	Load vs mid span deflection of test specimens	62
4.13	Load vs end slip of test specimens	63

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$M_{rd}$	-	The bending resistance per unit width
$N_{c,f}$	-	Compressive force in the concrete
$N_p$	-	Tensile force in the profiled steel sheeting/steel deck
$A_{pe}$	-	The effective area of the profiled steel sheeting, per unit width of slab
$Z$	-	The lever arm
$h_s$	-	The depth of the slab
$e$	-	The height of the centroidal axis of the profiled steel sheeting above the underside of the sheet
$b$	-	The unit width of slab
$e_p$	-	The distance from the underside of the profiled steel sheeting to the plastic neutral axis of the profile
$M_{pa}$	-	The bending resistance of the profiled steel sheeting per unit width of slab
$L_x$	-	The distance to the cross section considered from the nearest support
$R_{Ed}$	-	The support reaction
$d_p$	-	The distance between the centroid axis of the profiled steel sheeting and the extreme fibre of the composite slab in compression
$m, k$	-	Design values of empirical factors
$L_s$	-	The shear span
$v_{Ed}$	-	is the design longitudinal shear stress in the concrete slab
$f_{yd}$	-	is the design yield strength of the reinforcing mesh
$h_f$	-	is taken as the depth of concrete above the profile sheeting, equals to $h_c$

$\theta_f$	-	given in BS EN 1992-1-1 as the angle for compression struts.
$f_{ck}$	-	Characteristic cylinder strength of the concrete
$A_{st}$	-	Area of the tensile reinforcement
$b_w$	-	Smallest width of the cross-section in the tensile area
$d$	-	Effective depth of the section
$N_{ed}$	-	Axial force in the cross section due to loading or prestressing
$A_c$	-	Area of concrete cross section

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

- A - Theoretical calculation according to Eurocode 4



## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Introduction**

The conventional methods of building construction in Malaysia are normally prompt to the design of RC structures, precast concrete, timber structures etc. In order to overcome the weakness of tensile stress in reinforced concrete, steel reinforcement bars, reinforcement grid or plates are added. Composite structures have been implemented as one of the innovative concepts to replace the reinforced concrete design. A composite slab with profiled steel decking design is one of the simple, faster, lighter and economical constructions in steel-framed building systems. The system is well accepted by the construction industry due to many advantages over other types of floor system (Andrade 2004; Makelainen and Sum 1999). A composite slab system normally consists of normal weight or lightweight reinforced concrete placed permanently over profile cold-formed steel deck in which acts as permanent formwork during concrete casting and tensile reinforcement of the slab during service. Sometimes, light mesh reinforcement will be added to prevent the shrinkage and cracking of reinforced concrete due to the weather.

The advantages of composite slab structures are as follow:

1. Light construction is achievable
2. Lesser consumptions in materials and energy compared to conventional steel sections

3. Fabrication cost is saved due to simplicity in erection
4. Time saving by simplified construction method

Besides supporting self weight and concrete weight, profile steel deck adhere with concrete firmly in order to cooperate compositely to carry the load bearing in a better and efficient way. In other words, longitudinal shear forces are transferred between the composite form of profiled steel sheeting and concrete to maintain structure's efficiency.

As lightweight composite slab is not widely used in Malaysia industry, most of the constructions are using conventional reinforced concrete design and precast design. An investigation will be carried out to determine the structural behaviour and the strength of lightweight composite slab system. A total of 2 specimens will be tested experimentally and compared it with theoretical result in accordance to Eurocode Part 4.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

The combination of concrete slab and steel deck materials in a structural system provides efficient and economical engineering solution for current design. In current design, long duration is needed to construct a building especially setting up and removal of the formwork. However, there's no specific design in lightweight composite slab system because a variety of metal deck and shear connectors can be used. This has lead to lots of research and investigation to their structural performance. In order to obtain the structural behaviour and the strength, actual modeling of composite slabs has been carried out to justify the structure's performance. (V.Marimuthu, 2006)

Lightweight composite slab system with hollow box beam section is a newly proposed model. Moreover, the structure is designed as a floating structure to encounter flood. Experimental testing and investigation have to be carried on in order to determine the suitability of slab system as floating structure and its design

approach. The ultimate strength of the structure will be the main factor and determined in this newly design approach.

Theoretical analysis according to Eurocode 4 of composite structures will be compared with the experimental results to identify the performance of lightweight composite structure.

### **1.3 Research Objectives**

The objectives of this study are:

1. To investigate the behavior and structural performance of lightweight composite structure in terms of strength, resistance and failure mode.
2. To verify and compare the experimental results with theoretical results of lightweight composite slab system with hollow-box beam
3. To develop a lightweight composite structure design guide for the proposed slab system

### **1.4 Scope of study**

This research study will investigate on whole lightweight composite slab system with hollow box beam through experimental testing, which consists of composite slab and cold-formed steel beams. A total of 2 specimens will be carried out for the experimental testing. Dimensions of the proposed lightweight composite structure are (4500L x 1500W x 700H)mm. Materials used for the slab system are concrete, wire mesh A6, cold formed steel, tek screw and bracket. The concrete compressive strength of specimens is 40N/mm<sup>2</sup> and the design beam will be hollow box cold formed steel section instead of normal I beam.

The composite slab panel system will be tested until failure under monotonic loading and it will be carried out in Structural Lab, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. The mode of failure, cracking pattern, maximum load capacity, end-slip capacity and maximum deflection will be recorded during the testing. The proposed system is designed in accordance to Eurocode 4.

Although the proposed composed structure is designed to float on water, the analysis of buoyancy force is not carried out in this research.

## REFERENCES

- Abbas, H.S., Bakar, S.A., Ahmadi, M. and Haron, Z., 2015. Experimental studies on corrugated steel-concrete composite slab. *Gradevinar*, 67(03.), pp.225-233.
- Abdullah, R., Easterling, W. S. (2009) New evaluation and modeling procedure for horizontal shear bond in composite slabs, *Journal of constructional steel research*, 65 (2009), pp. 891-899.
- Andrade V. (2004) Standardized composite slab systems for building constructions. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research* , 60: 493–524.
- ASCE (1992) Standard for the structural design of composite slabs, American society of Civil Engineering 1992, ANSI/ASCE 3-91
- Cai C.S. (2003) Steel–Concrete Composite Beams Considering Shear Slip Effects, *Journal of Structural Engineering*
- Chen, S.: Load carrying capacity of composite slabs with various end constraints, *Journal of Construction Steel Research*, 59 (2003), pp. 385-387., doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0143-974X\(02\)00034-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0143-974X(02)00034-2)
- Chen S.M., Shi, S.Y and Qiu,Z.H. (2011) Shear bond failure in composite slabs– a detailed experimental study *Steel and Composite Structures*, Vol. 11, No. 3 (2011) 233-250.
- Crisinel, M., Marimon, F.: A new simplified method for the design of composite slabs, *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*, 60 (2004), pp. 481-491., doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0143-974X\(03\)00125-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0143-974X(03)00125-1)
- Eurocode 4 (2001). Design of composite steel and concrete structures-part 1.1:General rule and rules for building, EN 1994-1-1:2001, BSI, Brussels.
- Eurocode Design SCI (2011), Composite design of steel frame building, SCI P259
- Ganesh, G.M., Upadhyay, A. and Kaushik, S.K., 2006. Assessment of Longitudinal Shear
- J.F.Loucks (1926) *Building construction* 2, 1926, serial. N 686,493

- Johnson, R. (1994) Composite structure of steel and concrete: Beams, slabs, columns and frames for building, Blackwell Scientific Publication.
- J.W. Rackham, Graham H Couchman, S.J. Hicks (2009) Composite slabs and beams using steel decking: best practice for design and construction, Metal Cladding & Roofing Manufacturers Association in partnership with the Steel Construction Institute.
- Kim H.Y., Jeong Y.J. (2009) Ultimate strength of a steel-concrete composite bridge deck slab with profiled sheeting.
- Kim H.Y., Jeong Y.J. (2006). Experimental investigation on behaviour of steel-concrete composite bridge decks with perfbond ribs. *J Construct Steel Res* 2006;62:463-71.
- Makelainen P, Sum Y (1999) The longitudinal shear behaviour of a new steel sheeting profile for composite floor slabs. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research* 1999, 49:117–128.
- Marimuthu, V., Seetharaman, S., Arul, S., Chellappan, A., Bandyopadhyay, T., Dutta, D.: Experimental studies on composite deck slabs to determine the shear-bond characteristic (m-k) values of the embossed profiled sheet, *Journal of construction steel research*, 63 (2006), pp. 791-803.
- Michel.C, Frederic. Marimon (2004) A new simplified method for the design of composite slabs, *Journal of Constructional Steel Research* 2004, volume 60, issues 3-5, pp. 481-489.
- Mohammad S. (2014) Investigation on composite metal deck slab of cold formed steel. *International Journal of Science, Technology & Management* 2014, Volume No.03, Issue no. 12, pp 307-314
- Ong, K.C.G., Mansurt, M.A. (1986) Shear-bond capacity of composite slabs made with profile sheeting, *The international journal of cement composite and lightweight concrete*, 1986, 8 (4), pp. 231- 237.
- Porter, M., Ekberg, C.: Investigation of cold-formed steel-deckreinforced concrete floor slabs. In: Yu W-W, editor. *First specialty conference on cold-formed steel structures*. Rolla: University of Missouri-Rolla, (1971) pp.179-85.
- Strength Parameters of Composite Slab by Artificial Neural Network. *Asian Journal of Civil Engineering (Building and Housing)*, 7(3), pp.287-300.

Tenhovuori, A., Leskela, M.: Longitudinal shear resistance of composite slab, *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*, 46 (1998), 1-3, pp. 228., doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0143-974X\(98\)00169-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0143-974X(98)00169-2)

Thomas Sputo, (2012) Development of composite steel deck, *Structure magazine*, [www.iapmoes.org](http://www.iapmoes.org)

Traver, T. M. (2002). *Behavior and Strength of Simple and Continuous Span Re-Entrant Composite Slabs*. (Thesis). Virginia Tech. Retrieved from <http://hdl.handle.net/10919/34256>