

MODELLING OF GROUND PENETRATING RADAR BACKSCATTER FOR
WATER PIPELINE LEAKAGE DETECTION

LEE LE MING

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Philosophy

Faculty of Built Environment and Surveying
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2019

DEDICATION

A special dedication

To

My beloved family,

Whom I can always return to;

INSTeG, and The numbered acquaintances out there,

For your supports, and

For the insight and guidance.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, and academicians. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my supervisor, Prof. Sr Dr. Mazlan Bin Hashim and my co-supervisor, Dr. Jaw Siow Wei for their guidance and critics. Without their continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

My fellow lab mates and seniors should also be recognised for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my friends who despite being busy with their own thesis, had never failed to offer a helping hand and constructive critics whenever the need arises, special thanks to RDG Supply Sdn. Bhd. who have provided me with GPR instruments and valuable technical information.

Consequently, I would also like to express my gratitude to UTM, for allowing me to have a go at this study, and all the dedicated staffs of Faculty of Built Environment and Surveying (FBES), for their most diligent fulfilments of any requests concerning this study.

ABSTRACT

Subsurface water leaks not only waste precious natural resources, but also create substantial damages to the transportation system and structures within urban and suburban environments. While many geophysical techniques have been suggested for detecting water leakage including ground-penetrating radar (GPR), acoustic devices, gas sampling devices and pressure wave detectors, there is no ideal solution for it. Nonetheless, GPR, a non-destructive geophysical technique which uses high frequency electromagnetic waves to acquire subsurface information has been regularly utilized as GPR responds to the changes in electrical properties, which is a function of soil and rock material, and moisture content. To evaluate the feasibility of GPR in detecting water pipe leakage, a finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) numerical modelling is conducted together with water pipe leakage detection fieldwork and experimental test. To properly design the features of the imaging approach, and test its capabilities in controlled conditions, the synthetic data was generated in a two dimensional FDTD forward modelling solver capable of accurately simulating real world GPR scenarios. Different types of simulate conditions involving sizes of leakage area, frequencies (250 MHz and 700 MHz), pipe materials (AC, DI, PVC, MS and HDPE) and pipe sizes (100mm, 200mm and 300mm) were conducted. For the fieldwork, case studies were carried out using GPR scanning equipment (Detector Duo) to validate FDTD numerical model. For the experimental test, Detector Duo was used to collect data on top of District Metering Areas testbed. More understanding regarding the signature of leakage was gained in radargram. Compared to a distinct hyperbola or line as shown in radargram of intact pipes, the leakage zone is disturbed by the wave reflection caused by saturated soil. Numerically simulated results seem to be in agreement with the case studies and experimental results. The signature of pipe and leakage are clearly visible in the simulated radargram compared with those in the case studies and experimental radargram. Therefore, GPR survey seems promising as an efficient non-destructive geophysical technique for leakage detection approach. This finding is useful to provide protocols for GPR profile interpretation, particularly in underground water pipe leakage detection.

ABSTRAK

Kebocoran air bawah tanah bukan sahaja membazirkan sumber alam semula jadi tetapi turut menyebabkan kerosakan besar terhadap sistem pengangkutan dan struktur di persekitaran dan pinggir bandar. Walaupun banyak teknik geofizik telah dicadangkan untuk mengesan kebocoran air termasuk Radar Penembusan Tanah (GPR), peranti akustik, peranti pensampelan gas dan pengesan tekanan gelombang tetapi masih tiada jalan penyelesaian yang sesuai untuknya. Walau bagaimanapun GPR, iaitu teknik geofizik yang tidak merosakkan yang menggunakan gelombang elektromagnetik berfrekuensi tinggi untuk mendapatkan maklumat bawah permukaan telah kerap digunakan kerana GPR bertindak balas kepada perubahan dalam sifat elektrik, yang merupakan satu fungsi kepada bahan tanah dan batuan serta kandungan kelembapan. Untuk menilai kebolehlaksanaan GPR dalam mengesan kebocoran paip air, pemodelan berangka perbezaan terhingga domain masa (FDTD) dilakukan bersama dengan kerja lapangan dan ujian eksperimen pengesanan kebocoran paip air. Untuk merekabentuk ciri-ciri pendekatan pengimejan, dan menguji keupayaannya dalam keadaan terkawal, data sintetik dihasilkan dengan penyelesaian pemodelan dua dimensi FDTD yang mampu mensimulasi senario GPR dalam dunia sebenar. Pelbagai jenis simulasi yang berbeza seperti saiz kebocoran, frekuensi radar (250 MHz dan 700 MHz), bahan paip (AC, DI, PVC, MS dan HDPE) dan saiz paip (100mm, 200mm dan 300mm) telah dijalankan. Bagi kerja lapangan, kajian kes dijalankan menggunakan peralatan pengimbas GPR (Detector Duo) untuk mengesahkan model berangka FDTD. Bagi ujian eksperimen, Detector Duo digunakan untuk mengumpul data di permukaan kawasan pengujian permeteran daerah. Pemahaman lebih lanjut mengenai tanda kebocoran diperoleh daripada radargram. Berbanding dengan hiperbola atau garisan berbeza seperti yang ditunjukkan dalam radargram, kawasan bocor terganggu dengan pantulan gelombang yang disebabkan oleh tanah tepu. Hasil simulasi secara berangka adalah sesuai dengan kajian kes dan keputusan eksperimen. Isyarat paip dan kebocoran kelihatan jelas dalam radargram simulasi berbanding dengan kajian kes dan ujian eksperimen. Oleh itu, kajian GPR adalah sesuai sebagai teknik geofizik yang tidak merosakkan untuk mengesan kebocoran. Dapatan ini sangat berguna untuk menyediakan protokol dalam pentafsiran profil GPR, terutamanya dalam mengesan kebocoran paip air bawah tanah.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiv
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of the Study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	6
	1.3 Research Questions	7
	1.4 Objectives of the Study	8
	1.5 Scopes of Study	8
	1.6 Significance of the Study	9
	1.7 Organisation of the Thesis	10
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	13
	2.1 Introduction	13
	2.2 Different Water Leakage Detection Methods	13
	2.2.1 Visual Observation	13
	2.2.2 Tracer Injection	14
	2.2.3 Infrared Thermography	15
	2.2.3.1 Theory for Infrared Thermography	16
	2.2.3.2 Thermography (IR) Camera System	16

2.2.3.3	Factors that Affect Thermal Contrast at Pavement Surface	17
2.2.4	Leak Noise Correlator	18
2.2.5	Ground Microphone	20
2.3	Ground Penetrating Radar	21
2.4	Soil Dielectric Constant	24
2.5	Differential Equation Solver	27
2.5.1	Finite-difference Time-domain	28
2.5.2	Finite Integration Technique	29
2.5.3	Finite Element Method	29
2.6	Observation of Previous Method in Water Leakage Detection	30
2.7	Summary	33
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	35
3.1	Introduction	35
3.2	Materials	35
3.2.1	Finite-difference Time-domain (FDTD) simulation model	35
3.2.2	Constitutive parameters	40
3.2.3	Instrument for Data Acquisition	42
3.2.4	Softwares	44
3.3	Methods	47
3.3.1	Finite-difference Time-domain (FDTD) Simulation Method	49
3.3.2	GPR Data Acquisition and Processing	51
3.4	Analysis and Assessments	54
3.5	Summary	55
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	57
4.1	Introduction	57
4.2	Numerical Model Results and Analysis	57
4.3	GPR Data Acquisition Results	74
4.3.1	Case Study Results	74

4.3.2	Experimental Test Results and Analysis	81
4.4	Results of Assessment between Simulation Model with Case Study and Experimental Test	84
4.5	Discussion	86
4.6	Summary	89
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	91
5.1	Conclusion	91
5.2	Recommendations	92
REFERENCES		95

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 1.1	Terminologies employed to assess the water supply system (Alegre <i>et al.</i> , 2000)	3
Table 2.1	Entire dielectric constants of typical materials (Martinez and Byrnes, 2001)	26
Table 2.2	Electrical characteristics of some materials and rocks (Conyers and Goodman, 1997)	27
Table 2.3	Adequacy of leak detection methods for various types of pipes	31
Table 2.4	Summary of current leak detection techniques	32
Table 3.1	Constitutive parameters (modified from Martinez and Barnes, (2001); Conyers and Goodman, (1997))	41
Table 3.2	Technical specifications of IDS Detector-Duo (IDS, 2014)	43
Table 3.3	Estimations of depth penetration and resolution	44
Table 4.1	Leakage detectability for simulated models: (a) Without leakage, (b) With leak area of $\lambda/2$, (c) With leak area of λ , (d) With leak area of 2λ , (e) With leak area of 3λ , (f) With leak area of 4λ , and (g) With leak area of 8λ	62
Table 4.2	Subsurface layer depth	76

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 1.1	Non-revenue water in Malaysia (SPAN, 2013)	4
Figure 2.1	The tracer gas injection (SebaKMT, 2014)	15
Figure 2.2	Thermal contrast for water leakage at the pavement surface (FLIR, 2004)	17
Figure 2.3	Measurement arrangement for a leak using acoustic sensors (Brennan <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	19
Figure 2.4	The ground microphone (SebaKMT, 2014)	21
Figure 2.5	Basic principle of GPR (Provac, 2014)	22
Figure 3.1	2D leakage model from transversal direction	36
Figure 3.2	2D leakage model from the longitudinal direction	36
Figure 3.3	2D FDTD Yee cell (Sullivan, 2000)	39
Figure 3.4	IDS Detector-Duo device (IDS, 2014)	42
Figure 3.5	IDS K2 FastWave software	45
Figure 3.6	IDS GRED HD software	46
Figure 3.7	IDS Access GprMax software using Matlab software	47
Figure 3.8	Flowchart of methodology	48
Figure 3.9	Simulation data flow	51
Figure 3.10	DMA testbed	52
Figure 4.1	Geometry input image for simulations: (a) Without leakage, (b) With leak area of $\lambda/2$, (c) With leak area of λ , (d) With leak area of 2λ , (e) With leak area of 3λ , (f) With leak area of 4λ , and (g) With leak area of 8λ	61
Figure 4.2	4λ size leakage for different types of 300mm pipe: (a) DI, (b) MS, (c) HDPE, (d) AC, and (e) PVC	67
Figure 4.3	4λ size leakage in DI pipe with different diameters: (a) 100mm, (b) 200mm, and (c) 300mm	68
Figure 4.4	300mm DI pipe with different leakage sizes: (a) λ , (b) 4λ , and (c) 8λ	68

Figure 4.5	Simulated radargrams for 300mm DI pipe in longitudinal direction: (a) 250MHz without leakage, (b) 250MHz with 4λ size leakage, (c) 700MHz without leakage, and (d) 700MHz with 4λ size leakage	69
Figure 4.6	Simulated radargrams for 300mm DI pipe in the transversal direction: (a) 250MHz without leakage, (b) 250MHz with 4λ size leakage, (c) 700MHz without leakage, and (d) 700MHz with 4λ size leakage	70
Figure 4.7	Signal Transmission line model: (a) PVC pipe, (b) AC pipe, and (c) HDPE pipe	73
Figure 4.8	Leak area size vs time delay	74
Figure 4.9	Fieldwork radargram before processing	75
Figure 4.10	Processed fieldwork radargram: (a) 700MHz, (b) 250MHz	77
Figure 4.11	Automated layer detection	78
Figure 4.12	Case study signal extraction amplitude vs depth: (a) Red: without leakage, and (b) Green: with leakage	79
Figure 4.13	Case study signal extraction amplitude vs time delay: (a) Red: without leakage, and (b) Green: with leakage	79
Figure 4.14	Colour enhancement: (a) Map_ZEC_INV colour enhancement, (b) Rainbow2 colour enhancement	80
Figure 4.15	MS pipe radargram: (a) 700MHz, (b) 250MHz	82
Figure 4.16	DI pipe radargram: (a) 700MHz, (b) 250MHz	82
Figure 4.17	HDPE pipe radargram: (a) 700MHz, (b) 250MHz	83
Figure 4.18	Experimental study signal extraction amplitude vs depth: (a) Red: without leakage, (b) Blue: with leakage	83
Figure 4.19	Experimental study signal extraction amplitude vs time delay: (a) Red: without leakage, (b) Blue: with leakage	84
Figure 4.20	Comparison of results without leakage: (a) Simulation, (b) Case study, and (c) Experimental	85
Figure 4.21	Comparison of results with leakage: (a) Simulation, (b) Case study, and (c) Experimental	85
Figure 4.22	Leak detection and repairing flow	88

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

2D		Two-dimensional
3D	-	Three-dimensional
AC		Asbestos cement
AWWA		American Water Works Association
DI		Ductile iron
DMA		District Metering Areas
DSLR	-	Digital Single-lens Reflex
EM	-	Electromagnetic
EMP	-	Electromagnetic pulse
FDTD		Finite-difference time-domain
FEM	-	Finite element method
FIT	-	Finite integration technique
FW		FastWave
GPR		Ground penetrating radar
GPS		Global Positioning System
GUI		Graphical user interface
HDPE		High-density polyethylene
HPC		High-performance computing
IRT		Infrared thermography
IWSA		International Water Supply Association
MS		Mild steel
NRW		Non-Revenue Water
PBAPP	-	Perbadanan Bekalan Air Pulau Pinang
PML		Perfectly matched layer
PTP		Port Tanjung Pelepas
PVC		Polyvinyl chloride
SPAN		National Water Services Commission
SPD		Symmetric positive unequivocal
TEM		Transverse electromagnetic
TM		Transverse magnetic

LIST OF SYMBOLS

d_1	-	Length from sensor 1 to leak
d	-	Gap between two sensors
c		Sound wave propagation velocity or speed of light
t_{peak}		Time difference between the arrival of identical frequencies to each sensor
λ		Signal wavelength
f_m		Signal frequency
\tilde{D}		Electric flux density
\tilde{E}, H		Vectors in three dimensions
ϵ_{rs}		Relative permittivity of the medium
$\epsilon_{r\infty}$		Relative permittivity at theoretically infinite frequency
τ		Relaxation time of the medium
σ		Conductivity of the medium
μ_r		Relative permeability of the medium
σ^*		Magnetic conductivity of the medium
Δt		Time step

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Water pipe leakage is currently one of the most critical issues in water industry. This is mainly due to the waste of precious natural resources and of increasing water demand (Fontana and Morais, 2016). Moreover, water leakage has a very high potential that lead to the damage of transportation system and structures within urban and suburban areas. According to an investigation made by the National Water Services Commission (SPAN) in 2013, the measurement of lost or ‘unaccounted-for’ water from the water pipes is commonly 20 to 30 percent of aggregate water generation (Cheong, 1991; Ghazali, 2012; SPAN, 2013). Some other distribution systems, mostly older ones, may reach until 50 percent (AWWA, 1987). The substantial amount of water has lost in water conveyance frameworks while travel from the treatment plants to the end users. Whilst the ‘unaccounted-for’ water is normally due to spillage, metering blunders or thievery (Cheong, 1991; Salleh and Malek, 2012; SPAN, 2013). According to the International Water Supply Association (IWSA) survey, the major cause of water losses is leakage (Cheong, 1991; Salleh and Malek, 2012).

The water distribution pipelines in Malaysia were used since ten years ago, where almost 50% of the total water production was lost and contribute to unaccounted-for-water (AWWA, 1987). According to Salleh and Malek (2012), there are about 127,275 km in length of water pipe of various types in the whole of Malaysia. These pipes are of asbestos cement type (AC) of 44,282 km (34.80%), mild steel pipe (MS) of 29,372 km (23.10%), HDPE pipe of 22,111 km (17.37%), un-plasticised polyvinyl chlorine (uPVC) of 18,683 km (14.70%), ductile iron pipe (DI) /CI of 9,885 km (7.70%) and other types with total length of 2942 km (2.30%) (Salleh and Malek, 2012). Among 127,275 km of water pipelines throughout

Malaysia, the main reason for high physical loss of water are caused by leakage of these old water pipelines and dilapidated asbestos-cement (transmit) pipes where its pipe materials and structure are damaged due to aging, weathering and natural disasters like flood (Puust *et al.*, 2010). These water losses happen in mostly all old distribution pipelines, even for those that are appropriately managed and maintained. Some of these water losses are not detectable as the pipelines are buried underground and do not cause severe disturbance to the water services. Water losses from these leakages can be active for a long time if no immediate actions are taken. As days go on, it is resulting in high volumes of lost water which indirectly prompts out the issues of Non-Revenue Water (NRW) in Malaysia.

Table 1.1 shows the terminologies employed to evaluate the urban water supply system (Alegre *et al.*, 2000). Non-revenue water (NRW) can be defined as the difference between the volume of water put into a water distribution system and the volume that is billed to customers. NRW is comprised of three components, i.e. real losses, apparent losses, and unbilled but authorised consumption. Actual losses are determined by losses in the service infrastructure, from the raw water to the point at which the water reaches the final user. Apparent losses are associated with unauthorised consumption and metering inaccuracies. While unbilled but authorised consumption is associate with unbilled metered consumption and unbilled unmetered consumption. Water management for each city will be inefficient if the levels of water losses continue getting higher.

suitable for PVC pipe. This is because the acoustical attributes of leakage indicators in PVC and metallic pipes are different, where PVC pipes are "quieter" and don't transfer echo or fluctuation as capable as metallic ones. Issues that are usually faced by spotting leakage using acoustic devices, e.g. meddling activity signs, and exhaustion of leakage indicators along pipes, turn even worse for PVC pipes (AWWA and NRC, 2010).

The infrared thermography (IRT) method is based on detecting the temperature differences between the surroundings and piping systems. The thermal characteristics of soil adjacent to the pipe with a leak have a more massive heatsink compared with a pipe without a leak. Infra-red scanners are used to identify thermal anomalies above the pipes (Hunaidi *et al.*, 2005). However, the IRT method can only be used with pipe structure with liquid or gas that has a higher temperature than its surroundings, such as the pipeline system for hot water or steam. Various elements may affect the capability of this method, for example, cloud cover, solar radiation, ambient temperature and surface conditions of the test area (Burn *et al.*, 1999).

On the other hand, ground penetrating radar (GPR), a non-destructive image-based technique used for locating objects or interfaces buried beneath the earth's surface or located within a visually opaque structure was introduced. GPR can detect both metallic and non-metallic targets in non or partially-conducting host materials. It measures and maps changes in the complex dielectric permittivity in the ground as a function of depth for any particular observation point. The main operational advantage of this technique is that the radar antennae do not need to be in contact with the surface of the earth, enabling rapid surveying. Besides that, GPR has advanced with its penetration proficiency until a few meters into the subsurface (Puust *et al.*, 2010; Jaw and Hashim, 2011).

Ground penetrating radar technique produced a never-ending record or cross-sectional of subsurface options. Strategies like this are accustomed to discover leakage in water pipes by detection either signal distortion reflection from subsurface made by leaking water due to high moisture content around leakage area or by recognition of abnormalities of pipe deepness as measured by the measuring system.

REFERENCES

- Alegre, H., Hirnir, W., Baptista, J. M., and Parena, R. (2000). Performance Indicators for Water Supply Services. Manual of Best Practice Series (London: International Water Association Publ.).
- Alhismawi, M., Elfeky, M., Khalifa, A. E., and Ben Mansour, R. (2012). In-pipe leak detection based on pressure gradient. *U.S. Patent Application 13/095,135*.
- Annan, A. P. (1999). Practical processing of GPR data. *Sensors & Software Inc., Proceeding of the second government workshop on ground penetrating radar*. Mississauga, Canada.
- ASHRAE (1972). *ASHRAE Handbook of fundamentals: An instrument of service prepared for the profession containing reference material pertaining to fundamental theory and basic data*. New York.
- AWWA. (1987). *Leaks in water distribution systems: A technical/economic overview*. Denver, Colo: American Water Works Association.
- AWWA and NRC. (2010). *ARCHIVED - Leak Detection Methods for Plastic Water Distribution Pipes*. Retrieved 14 January, 2014, from <http://archive.nrc-cnrc.gc.ca/eng/projects/irc/leak-detection.html>.
- Ayala-Cabrera, D., Herrera, M., Izquierdo, J., Ocana-Levario, S. J., and Pérez-García, R. (2013). GPR-based water leak models in water distribution systems. *Sensors*, 13(12), 15912-15936.
- Bentz, D. P. (2000). *A computer model to predict the surface temperature and time of wetness of concrete pavements and bridge decks*. US Department of Commerce, Technology Administration, National Institute of Standards and Technology.
- Brennan, M. J., Gao, Y., and Joseph, P. F. (2007). On the relationship between time and frequency domain methods in time delay estimation for leak detection in water distribution pipes. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 304(1-2), 213-223.
- Brennan, M. J., Joseph, P. F., Muggleton, J. M., and Gao, Y. (2008). Some Recent Research Results on the use of Acoustic Methods to Detect Water Leaks in Buried Plastic water Pipes. *Institute of Sound and Vibration Research, University of Southampton*.

- Brunone, B., Ferrante, M., and Ubertini, L. (2000). Leak analysis in pipes using transients. *Proceedings of the Second Annual Seminar on Comparative Urban Projects*. 19-23 June. Rome.
- Burn, S., DeSilva, D., Eiswirth, M., Hunaidi, O., Speers, A., and Thornton, J. (1999). Pipe leakage—future challenges and Solutions. *Pipes Wagga Wagga, Australia*.
- Cardimona, S., Webb, J., and Lippincot, T. (2000). Ground Penetrating Radar. *Geophysics 2000*. 11-15 Dec. St Louis, Missouri.
- Casillas, J., Cordón, O., Triguero, F. H., and Magdalena, L. (Eds.). (2013). *Interpretability issues in fuzzy modeling* (Vol. 128). Springer.
- Cheong, L. C. (1991). Unaccounted for water and the economics of leak detection. *Proceedings of the 18th International Water Supply Congress and Exhibition*. 15-31 May.
- Cheung, P.B., Girol, G.V., Abe, N., Propato, M. (2010) Night flow analysis and modeling for leakage estimation in a water distribution system. *Integrating water systems*, 509-513.
- Chyuan, H. J. (2007). Leak Detection and Localisation in Water Distribution Network by Acoustic Method. Retrieved 18 December, 2013 from <http://www.efka.utm.my/thesis/IMAGES/3PSM/2007/JHH/PARTS2/hojiannchyuanaa020113d07ttt.pdf>.
- Clemens, M., and T. Weiland. (2002). Magnetic field simulation using conformal FIT formulations. *Magnetics, IEEE Transactions*, 38(2), 389-392.
- Conyers, L. B. (2004). *Ground-Penetrating Radar for Archaeology*. Walnut Creek, California. AltaMira Press.
- Conyers, L. B., and Goodman, D. (1997). *Ground-penetrating radar: An introduction for archaeologists*. Walnut Creek, California. AltaMira Press. 149-194.
- Courant, R. L. (1943). Variational methods for the solution of problems of equilibrium and vibration. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 49, 1-23.
- Daniels, D. J. (2004). GPR for landmine detection, an invited review paper. In *Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on Grounds Penetrating Radar, 2004. GPR 2004*. (Vol. 1, pp. 7-10). IEEE.
- Daniels, D. J. (1996). Surface-penetrating radar. *Electronics & Communication Engineering Journal*, 8(4), 165-182.

- Davis, J. L., and Annan, A. P. (1989). Ground Penetrating Radar for high resolution mapping of soil and rock stratigraphy 1. *Geophysical prospecting*, 37(5), 531-551.
- Diehl, J. F. (2011). Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR). Retrieved 27 May, 2018, from <http://pages.mtu.edu/~jdiehl/GPR.pdf>.
- Fahmy, M., and Moselhi, O. (2009). Detecting and locating leaks in Underground Water Mains Using Thermography. *Proceedings of the 26th Int. Symp. on Automation and Robotic in Construction*. ISARC, 61-67.
- Farley, M., Wyeth, G., Zainuddin, M., Istandar, A., and Singh, S. (2008). The Manager's Non-Revenue Water Handbook: a Guide to Understanding Water Losses. *United States of America: United States Agency for International Development (USAID)*.
- FLIR. (2004). ThermaCAM S60 Operator's Manual. Retrieved 18 December, 2013 from http://www.workswell.cz/manuals/flir/hardware/Sxx_SxxHS_SxxHSV_models/ThermaCAM_S60.pdf.
- Fontana, M. E., and Morais, D. C. (2016). Decision model to control water losses in distribution networks. *Production*, 26(4), 688-697.
- Fuchs, H., and Riehle, R. (1991). Ten years of experience with leak detection by acoustic signal analysis. *Applied Acoustics*. 33(1), 1-19.
- Ghasemi, F. S. A., and Abrishamian, M. S. (2007). A novel method for FDTD numerical GPR imaging of arbitrary shapes based on Fourier transform. *NDT & E International*, 40(2), 140-146.
- Ghazali, M. F. (May, 2012). *Leak detection using instantaneous frequency analysis*. Doctoral dissertation, University of Sheffield. 19-27.
- Griffin, S., and Pippett, T. (2002). Ground penetrating radar. *Geophysical and Remote Sensing Methods for Regolith Exploration, CRC LEME Open File Report, 144*, 80-89.
- Hamilton, S., and Hartley, D. (2008). Misconceptions around acoustic leak detection. *Water21- Magazine of the International Water Association*. IWA, 54-56.
- Heitbrink, W. A., Earnest, G. S., Mickelsen, R. L., Mead, K. R., and D'Arcy, J. B. (1999). Evaluation of leakage from a metal machining center using tracer gas methods: A case study. *American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal*. 60(6), 785-788.

- Hiptmair, R. (1998). Multigrid method for Maxwell's equations. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 36(1), 204-225.
- Hunaidi, O., and Chu, W. (1999). Acoustical characteristics of leak signals in plastic water distribution pipes. *Applied Acoustics*. 58(3), 235-254.
- Hunaidi, O., Chu, W., Wang, A., and Guan, W. (2000). Detecting leaks in plastic pipes. *Journal American Water Works Association (AWWA)*, 82-94,
- Hunaidi, O., and Giamou, P. (1998). Ground Penetrating Radar for detection of leaks in buried plastic water distribution pipes. *Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Ground-Penetrating Radar*. Lawrence, Kansas. 783-786.
- Hunaidi, O., and Wang, A. (2006). A new system in locating leaks in urban water distribution pipes. *Management of Environment Quality: An International Journal*. 17(4), 450-466.
- Hunaidi, O., Wang, A., Bracken, M., Gambino, T., and Fricke, C. (2005). Detecting Leaks in Water Distribution Pipes. *Arab Water World*. 29(4) 52-55.
- Hutcheon, N., and Handegord, G. (1983). *Building Science for a Cold Climate*. National Research Council of Canada, Toronto.
- Hyun, S. Y., Jo, Y. S., Oh, H. C., Kim, S. Y., and Kim, Y. S. (2007). The laboratory scaled-down model of a ground-penetrating radar for leak detection of water pipes. *Measurement Science and Technology*, 18(9), 2791.
- IDS. (2014). *Detector Duo: Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR)*. Retrieved 14 January, 2014, from <https://www.idscorporation.com/georadar/our-solutions-products/utility-mapping-detection/products/item/11-detector-duo-ground-penetrating-radar-gpr#nogo>.
- Jaw, S. W., and Hashim, M. (2013). Locational accuracy of underground utility mapping using ground penetrating radar. *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology*, 35, 20-29.
- Jaw, S. and Hashim, M. (2011). Accuracy of Data Acquisition Approaches with Ground Penetrating Radar for Subsurface Utility Mapping. 12-14 Dec Seremban, Malaysia: IEEE. 40 - 44.
- Jol, H. M. (Ed.). (2008). *Ground penetrating radar theory and applications*. Elsevier.

- Lai, W. W., Chang, R. K., Sham, J. F., and Pang, K. (2016). Perturbation mapping of water leak in buried water pipes via laboratory validation experiments with high-frequency ground penetrating radar (GPR). *Tunnelling and underground space technology*, 52, 157-167.
- Leckebusch, J. (2003). Ground penetrating Radar: A Modern Three-dimensional Prospection Method. *Archaeological Prospection* , 10, 213-240.
- Leucci, G., Masini, N., and Persico, R. (2012). Time frequency analysis of GPR data to investigate the damage of monumental buildings. *Journal of Geophysics and Engineering*, 9(4), S81.
- Liu, B., Liu, W., and Peng, S. (2005). Study of Heat and Moisture Transfer in Soil with a Dry Surface Layer. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 48, 4579-4589.
- Liu, Z., and Kleiner, Y. (2013). State of the art review of inspection technologies for condition assessment of water pipes. *Measurement*, 46(1), 1-15.
- Loomans, M., Oversloot, H., De Bondt, A., Jansen, R., and Van Rij, H. (2003). Design Tool for the Thermal Energy Potential of Asphalt Pavements. Proceedings of the *Eighth International IBPSA Conference*. Eindhoven, Netherlands.
- Maninder, Pal., Neil Dixon, and James Flint. (2010). Detecting & locating leaks in water distribution polyethylene pipes. *In Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering Vol II*.
- Martinez, A., and Byrnes, A. P. (2001). *Modeling dielectric-constant values of geologic materials: An aid to ground-penetrating radar data collection and interpretation*. Kansas Geological Survey, University of Kansas.
- Nakhkash, M., and Mahmood-Zadeh, M. R. (2004). Water leak detection using ground penetrating radar. In *Ground Penetrating Radar, 2004. GPR 2004. Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on* (pp. 525-528). IEEE.
- NST (2017). M'sia targets 'non-revenue water' reduction of 25% by 2020: Water Ministry. Retrieved 20 May, 2017, from <https://www.nst.com.my/news/nation/2017/04/233817/msia-targets-non-revenue-water-reduction-25-2020-water-ministry>.
- Orlando, L. (2007). Georadar Data Collection, Anomaly Shape and Archaeological Interpretation. *Archaeological Prospection* , 14, 213-225.

- Patrick, L. (2014). 4.27 billion litres water waste via leaky pipes. Retrieved Jan, 2015, from <http://www.thestar.com.my/News/Nation/2014/09/04/Water-waste-via-leaky-pipes-427-billion-litres-lost-daily-enough-to-supply-Perlis-for-53-days/>.
- Persico, R. (2014). *Introduction to ground penetrating radar: inverse scattering and data processing*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Powers, M. H. (1997). Modeling frequency-dependent GPR. *The leading edge*, 16(11), 1657-1662.
- Provac, A. P. (2014). GPR. Retrieved 14 January, 2014, from <http://www.provac.net.au/locating-gpr-provac-hydro-vacuum-excavating-australia.php>.
- Puust, R., Kapelan, Z., Savic, D. A., and Koppel, T. (2010). A review of methods for leakage management in pipe networks. *Urban Water Journal*, 7(1), 25-45.
- Riyanti, C. D., Kononov, A., Erlangga, Y. A., Vuik, C., Oosterlee, C. W., Plessix, R. E., and Mulder, W. A. (2007). A parallel multigrid-based preconditioner for the 3D heterogeneous high-frequency Helmholtz equation. *Journal of Computational physics*, 224(1), 431-448.
- Robert, S. Freeland (2008). Ground-Penetrating Radar Mapping of Near-Surface Preferential Flow. *Handbook of Agricultural Geophysics*, pp.337-344.
- Salleh, I. D., and Malek, N. A. (2012). *Non-Revenue Water, Impact to The Service, Environment and Financial*. Retrieved 14 January, 2014 from <http://www.jba.gov.my/index.php/en/rujukan/papers/471-non-revenue-water-impact-to-the-service-environment-and-financial>.
- Schlangen, E. (2000). Heat of FEMMASSE Manual. In *INTRON BV, The Institute For Quality Assessment in the Building Industry in the Netherlands*.
- SebaKMT. (2014). *Tracer Gas*. Retrieved 25 Dec, 2014, from <https://www.sebakmt.com/en/product-portfolio.html>.
- Senin, S. F., and Hamid, R. (2016). Ground penetrating radar wave attenuation models for estimation of moisture and chloride content in concrete slab. *Construction and Building Materials*, 106, 659-669.
- SPAN. (2011). *Media Statement - The Problem of Non Revenue Water*. Retrieved 14 January, 2014, from http://www.span.gov.my/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=275:media-statement-the-problem-of-non-revenue-water-&lang=en.

- SPAN (2013). *Malaysia NRW Action Plan*. Retrieved 25 Dec, 2013, from http://www.jwrcnet.or.jp/aswin/projectsactivities/trainee_files/201302_CR04.pdf.
- Sullivan, D. (2000). *Electromagnetic simulation using the FDTD Method*. New York, NY. *IEEE Press*.
- Sung, K., Yavuz, C., and Drew, M. (2002). Heat and Mass Transfer in the Vadose Zone. *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology*, 57, 99-127.
- Taflove, A., and Hagness, S. (2000). *Computational electrodynamics, the finite difference time domain method. 2nd ed. Artech House Inc.*
- Weiland, T. (1977). A discretization method for the solution of Maxwell's equations for six-component fields. *Electronics and Communications AEUE*, 31(3).
- Yee, K. (1966). Numerical solution of initial boundary value problems involving Maxwell equations in isotropic media. *IEEE Trans Antennas Propagat.* 4(3), 302-307.
- Yelf, R. J. (2007). Application of Ground Penetrating Radar to Civil and Geotechnical Engineering. *Electromagnetic Phenomena*, 7(1(18)), 102-117.
- Zhang, J. (1997). Designing a cost-effective and reliable pipeline leak-detection system. *Pipes and Pipelines International*, 42(1), 20-26.
- Zhao, L., Wang, T., Shi, P., and Wang, M. (2012). A novel adaptive leak diagnosis and localization method for infrared image. *International Journal of Innovative Computing, Information and Control*. 8(5B), 3553-3563.
- Zhao, Y., Wu, J., Wang, J., and Wan, M. (2001). Ground penetrating radar techniques and its application in nondestructive testing of reinforced concrete. In *Proc., 10th Asia-Pacific Conf on Nondestructive Testing*.
- Zeng, X., and McMechan, G. A. (1997). GPR characterization of buried tanks and pipes. *Geophysics*, 62(3), 797-806.