

INCLINED-PLANE TRACKING PARAMETERS VARIABILITY
IN IDENTIFYING LEAKAGE CURRENT AND CARBON TRACK OF
POLYMER NANOCOMPOSITES

FATIN LIYANA BINTI MUHAMEDIN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Electrical)

Faculty of Electrical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2017

Specially dedicated to *Mak* and *Abah*
Family and friends

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful. Alhamdulillah, all praises to Allah for the lights, hopes and strengths and His blessing in my life.

I would like to give special appreciation to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohamed Afendi Bin Mohamed Piah for his guidance, encouragement, ideas, comments and patience throughout finishing the project. Not forgotten special gratitude to Dr. Nordiana Azlin Binti Othman, Mr. Nor Faizal Bin Kasri, Dr. Nor Akmal Binti Mohd Jamail, Mr. Suhi Tan and Mr. Mohamed for their knowledge, guidance and cooperation regarding this project.

Special thanks to all my friends in IVAT especially, Ms. Fatin Nabilah Binti Musa for her friendship, support, and loves during my thick and thin in completing my master project. Thanks for everything.

Last but not least, my deepest gratitude goes to my beloved parents; Mr. Muhamedin Bin Marsidin and Mrs. Faridah Binti Abd. Jalil and also to my siblings for their infinite love, prayers and encouragement. Also not forgetting to those who indirectly contributed in finish this project, may Allah (S.W.T) pay your kindness and prosperity. Thank you very much.

ABSTRACT

Surface discharge is a phenomenon of insulating surface failure due to intensive leakage current (LC) flow. The existence of LC on the wet contaminated material surfaces causes a permanent conducting path to the insulating material due to surface erosion, which is due to high-voltage stress. Conventionally, the standard experimental test requires the inclined plane tracking (IPT) test hardware arrangement and sample material preparation. This experiment is also time consuming and costly. Hence, this thesis proposes field simulation using finite element analysis software to investigate the LC and electric field during surface discharge activity. Different compounds of linear low-density polyethylene (LLDPE) and natural rubber (NR) blended with different percentage of silicone oxide (SiO_2) and alumina hydroxide ($\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$) nanofillers were tested using the IPT test and field simulation at 4.5 kV with a contaminant flow rate of 0.60 ml/min. The controlled parameters of applied voltage, conductivity and permittivity of material as well as contaminant solution were also tested in the IPT test and field simulation. Due to the limitation in the field simulation, the LC obtained displays similar impression though not explicit. Thus, correction factors (f) were determined to achieve absolute value of LC. LLDPE-NR/ SiO_2 at 1wt% (A1) was found to be the lowest LC for both experimental and simulation results. In the experiment, the consequence of LC with the carbon track rate, hydrophobicity loss, and morphological analysis was investigated to obtain the tracking and erosion performance of the insulator materials. The high distribution of LC causes severe carbon track rate and larger hydrophobicity loss on the composition as demonstrated on LLDPE-NR samples. Morphological analysis on the surface also showed greater deterioration of the surface structure. The field simulation approach can be used as another option in investigating surface tracking resistance as the results due to LC could be forecasted.

ABSTRAK

Discas permukaan adalah satu fenomena kegagalan permukaan penebatan yang disebabkan oleh aliran intensif arus kebocoran (LC). Kewujudan LC pada permukaan bahan tercemar yang basah menyebabkan laluan tetap konduktor untuk bahan penebat akibat hakisan permukaan tekanan voltan tinggi. Sebelum ini, ujian eksperimen standard memerlukan susunan perkakasan ujian IPT dan persediaan bahan sampel. Eksperimen ini memerlukan masa dan kos. Oleh itu, tesis ini mencadangkan simulasi medan dengan menggunakan perisian analisis unsur terhingga (FEA) untuk menyiasat LC dan medan elektrik semasa aktiviti discas permukaan. Sebatian linear polietilena berketumpatan rendah (LLDPE) dan getah asli (NR) dicampur dengan pengisi nano yang berbeza iaitu silikon dioksida (SiO_2) dan alumina hidroksida ($\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$) telah diuji menggunakan ujian IPT dan simulasi medan pada 4.5 kV dengan kadar aliran bahan cemar 0.60 ml/min. Parameter terkawal voltan gunaan, kekonduksian dan ketelusan bahan serta penyelesaian bahan cemar juga telah diuji di ujian IPT dan simulasi medan. Oleh kerana batasan dalam simulasi medan, LC yang diperolehi memaparkan gambaran yang sama tetapi tidak jelas. Oleh itu, faktor-faktor pembetulan (f) telah ditentukan untuk mencapai nilai mutlak LC. LLDPE-NR / SiO_2 pada 1wt% (A1) menunjukkan LC yang paling rendah untuk kedua-dua keputusan eksperimen dan simulasi. Dalam eksperimen, akibat LC dengan kadar trek karbon, kehilangan hidrofobik, dan analisis morfologi telah diselidiki dalam mendapatkan pengesanan dan hakisan prestasi bahan penebat. Taburan LC yang tinggi menyebabkan kadar trek karbon yang teruk dan kehilangan hidrofobik lebih besar pada komposisi seperti yang ditunjukkan dalam sampel LLDPE-NR. Analisis morfologi di permukaan juga menunjukkan kemerosotan yang lebih besar pada struktur permukaan. Kerja-kerja simulasi medan boleh digunakan sebagai satu lagi pilihan dalam menyelidiki rintangan pengesanan permukaan kerana keputusan LC boleh diramalkan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATION	xv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xix
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxi
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Research Objectives	4
	1.4 Scope of the Research	4
	1.5 Significance of the Research	5
	1.6 Thesis Organisation	6

2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
	2.1 Introduction	7
	2.2 Polymer Nanocomposite	7
	2.3 Electrical Tracking	9
	2.4 Dry Band Arcing	11
	2.5 Test to Evaluate Electrical Tracking	13
	2.5.1 Inclined Plane Tracking Test	13
	2.5.2 Rotating Wheel Dip Test (RWDT)	16
	2.5.3 Salt Fog Test	16
	2.5.4 Laser Ablation	17
	2.6 Analysis of Surface Discharge	18
	2.6.1 Analysis of Leakage Current	19
	2.6.2 Analysis of Physical Conditions of Insulating Sample	23
	2.6.2.1 Analysis of Carbon Track	23
	2.6.2.2 Analysis of Eroded Mass and Eroded Hole	24
	2.6.3 Analysis of Thermal Conductivity	25
	2.6.4 Analysis of Hydrophobicity	27
	2.7 Physical Parameter	29
	2.8 Field Simulation	32
	2.8.1 Modelling the Finite Element Method (FEM)	32
	2.8.2 Water Droplet and Water Layer	33
	2.8.3 Permittivity and Conductivity of the Surface	34
	2.9 Summary	35

3	METHODOLOGY	36
	3.1 Introduction	36
	3.2 HV Insulating Material of Polymer Nanocomposite	37
	3.3 Preparation of the Samples	38
	3.3.1 Mixing in Extruder	39
	3.3.2 Drying and Compression Moulding	41
	3.4 Field Simulation	43
	3.4.1 PDC Measurement for Measuring Conductivity	44
	3.4.2 Conductivity of Contaminant Solution	48
	3.4.3 Capacitance Test for Measuring Relative Permittivity	49
	3.5 Experimental Setup	51
	3.5.1 Inclined Plane Tracking Test	51
	3.5.2 Test Setup and Equipment in IPT	51
	3.5.3 IPT Test Procedure	54
	3.6 Contact Angle Measurement	56
	3.7 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	57
	3.8 Summary	59
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	60
	4.1 Introduction	60
	4.2 Peak LC and Average LC	60
	4.2.1 LC of Different Loadings of Nanofiller	60
	4.2.2 LC of Different Applied Voltage and Contaminant Flow Rate	64
	4.3 Relationship of LC and Carbon Track Rate	66

4.3.1	Different Nanofiller Loadings	66
4.3.2	Variation of Applied Voltage and Contaminant Flow Rate	70
4.4	Relationship of LC and Mass Loss	73
4.4.1	Different Nanofiller Loadings	73
4.5	Contact Angle Measurement	74
4.6	Morphological Analysis	76
4.7	Field Simulation using Finite Element Analysis	80
4.8	Electric Field	81
4.8.1	Effect of Electric Field on Different Nanofiller Loading	81
4.8.2	Effect of Electric Field on Constant Applied Voltage	85
4.8.3	Effect of Electric Field on Constant Contaminant Flow Rate	87
4.9	Current Density and LC from Field Simulation	88
4.10	Correction Factor	92
4.11	Comparison of Experimental and Simulation Result	94
4.11.1	Leakage Current	94
4.11.2	Electric Field	96
4.12	Summary	97
5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	99
5.1	Conclusion	99
5.2	Summary of the Original Contribution	101
5.3	Recommendation	102
	REFERENCES	103
	Appendices A-B	113-115

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Test parameters[41]	15
2.2	Test parameters in RWDT[44]	16
2.3	Main requirement of the Salt- Fog Test[46]	17
3.1	Compound formulations and designations	38
3.2	Properties of materials	39
3.3	Conductivity of the sample	47
3.4	Electric conductivity of contaminant solution	49
3.5	Capacitance and relative permittivity of the sample	50
4.1	LC of different loadings of nanofiller	61
4.2	LC of different applied voltage and contaminant flow rate	64
4.3	Carbon track rate of different nanofiller loadings	67
4.4	Classification degree of degradation	71
4.5	The contact angle of the samples before and after IPT	74
4.6	LC from field simulation	90
4.7	Correlation factor for different nanofiller loadings	93
4.8	Correlation factor for different applied voltage and contaminant flow rate	94
4.9	Comparison of LC in IPT test and field simulation after considering correlation factor	95
4.10	Maximum electric field	96

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Repeating polymer monomer[12]	8
2.2	Electrical tracking process (a) dry condition (b) wet condition (c) dry band (d) dry band arcing [33]	11
2.3	Schematic diagram of Incline plane tracking test[8]	14
2.4	Typical LC waveforms in time domains and its frequency spectrum [52]	20
2.5	Derivative of the LC [53]	21
2.6	The comparison of eroded mass in IPT and thermal degradation modelling [13]	27
2.7	The contact angle (θ) of water droplets on surface of insulating materials (a) $\theta < 90^\circ$ (b) $\theta = 90^\circ$ (c) $\theta > 90^\circ$	28
2.8	Water droplet	28
3.1	Flowchart of research methodology	36
3.2	Raw materials (a) Silicone oxide (SiO ₂) nanofiller (b) Alumina hydroxide (Al(OH) ₃) nanofiller (c) LLDPE pallet (d) NR grade SMR 20	38
3.3	Extruder basic operation	40
3.4	The process in the materials mixing	40
3.5	Oven for drying process	41
3.6	LLDPE-NR in mould stainless steel	42
3.7	(a) Hot pressed machine (b) Hydraulic hot pressed machine	42
3.8	Test specimen with the electrodes	43
3.9	Simulation model of test specimen with the	44

	electrodes	
3.10	Principle of test arrangement for PDC measurement[91]	45
3.11	(a) Polarization current patterns for sample A5 (b) Depolarization current patterns for sample A5	46
3.12	PDC testing workstation	47
3.13	Capacitance test setup	50
3.14	Schematic Diagram of IPT setup	51
3.15	Hardware set-up	53
3.16	Online monitoring system	53
3.17	High voltage transformer	54
3.18	Test specimen arrangement	56
3.19	The water droplet	57
3.20	(a) SEM test setup (b) Samples after underwent coating	58
4.1	Third harmonic from the LC waveform of the frequency domains	62
4.2	Waveform and amplitude characteristics	63
4.3	Peak LC of different applied voltage and contaminant flow rate	65
4.4	LC magnitude and carbon track rate propagation of LLDPE and NR blends with different contents of nanofillers	68
4.5	Samples after IPT test (a) sample P0 (b) A1 (c) A3 (d) A5 (e) A7 (f) B1 (g) B3 (h) B5 (i) B7	69
4.6	LC versus carbon track rate at 0.30 ml/min contaminant flow rate for different applied voltage	71
4.7	LC versus carbon track rate at 6.0 kV for different contaminant flow rate	72
4.8	Relationship of LC and mass loss of different nanofiller loadings	73
4.9	Relationship of LC with loss in hydrophobicity	75
4.10	SEM images in normal condition (before tracking test)	76

4.11	SEM images after electrical tracking test effect	79
4.12	(a) Electric field distribution (b) Voltage distribution (c) Current density distribution	83
4.13	Distribution of Electric field with contaminant layer and without contaminant layer	84
4.14	Electric field of LLDPE/NR on different nanofiller loadings	85
4.15	Electric field on different contaminant flow rate	86
4.16	Electric field on different applied voltage	87
4.17	Current density of different nanofiller loadings	89
4.18	Current density at different applied voltage when the contaminant flow rate was fixed at 0.30 ml/min	89
4.19	Current density at different of the contaminant flow rate when applied voltage was fixed at 3.5 kV	90
4.20	LC of different contaminant flow rate and applied voltage	91
4.21	Comparison of LC of field simulation and IPT test results	92

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

AC	-	Alternate current
ACF	-	Auto correlation factor
ATH	-	Alumina hydroxide
ANN	-	Artificial network neural
A1	-	Linear low density polyethene + Natural Rubber + 1% wt silicone oxide (SiO_2)
A3	-	Linear low density polyethene + Natural Rubber + 3% wt silicone oxide (SiO_2)
A5	-	Linear low density polyethene + Natural Rubber + 5% wt silicone oxide (SiO_2)
A7	-	Linear low density polyethene + Natural Rubber + 7% wt silicone oxide (SiO_2)
B1	-	Linear low density polyethene + Natural Rubber + 1% wt aluminium hydroxide ($\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$)
B3	-	Linear low density polyethene + Natural Rubber + 3% wt aluminium hydroxide ($\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$)
B5	-	Linear low density polyethene + Natural Rubber + 5% wt aluminium hydroxide ($\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$)
B7	-	Linear low density polyethene + Natural Rubber + 7% wt aluminium hydroxide ($\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$)

CT	-	Carbon track rate
DBA	-	Dry band arcing
DC	-	Direct current
EAP	-	Early aging period
EDBA	-	Eroding dry band arcing
ESDD	-	Equivalent salt deposit density
FEA	-	Finite element analysis
FEM	-	Finite element method
FFT	-	Fast fourier transform
GRFB	-	Gaussian radial basic function
HTV	-	High temperature vulcanized
HVDC	-	High voltage direct current
IEC 60587	-	International electrotechnical commission standard test
IPT	-	Inclined plane tracking test
ITV	-	Initial track voltage
LABView	-	Laboratory Virtual Instrument Engineering Workbench
LC	-	Leakage current
LCR	-	Natural rubber
LLDPE	-	Linear low density polyethylene
N	-	Newton
NDI	-	Normalized degradation index
NR	-	Natural rubber

NSDD	-	Non-soluble deposit density
PC	-	Personal computer
Pc	-	Picocoloumb
PD	-	Partial discharge
PDC	-	Polarization and depolarization current
P0	-	Linear low density polyethene (80%) + Natural Rubber (20%)
RWDT	-	Rotating wheel dip test
Rpm	-	Revolutions per minute
SEM	-	Scanning electron microscopy
SiR	-	Silicone rubber
SMR	-	Standard Malaysia rubber
TE	-	Time to eroding
THD	-	Total harmonic distortion
UV	-	Ultra violet
WA	-	Sample P0 with applied voltage of 2.5 kV and contaminant flow rate of 0.15 ml/min
WB	-	Sample P0 with applied voltage of 2.5 kV and contaminant flow rate of 0.30 ml/min
WC	-	Sample P0 with applied voltage of 2.5 kV and contaminant flow rate of 0.60 ml/min
WD	-	Sample P0 with applied voltage of 2.5 kV and contaminant flow rate of 0.90 ml/min
XA	-	Sample P0 with applied voltage of 3.5 kV and contaminant flow rate of 0.15 ml/min

- XB - Sample P0 with applied voltage of 3.5 kV and contaminant flow rate of 0.30 ml/min
- XC - Sample P0 with applied voltage of 3.5 kV and contaminant flow rate of 0.60 ml/min
- XD - Sample P0 with applied voltage of 3.5 kV and contaminant flow rate of 0.90 ml/min
- YA - Sample P0 with applied voltage of 4.5 kV and contaminant flow rate of 0.15 ml/min
- YB - Sample P0 with applied voltage of 4.5 kV and contaminant flow rate of 0.30 ml/min
- YC - Sample P0 with applied voltage of 4.5 kV and contaminant flow rate of 0.60 ml/min
- YD - Sample P0 with applied voltage of 4.5 kV and contaminant flow rate of 0.90 ml/min
- ZA - Sample P0 with applied voltage of 6.0 kV and contaminant flow rate of 0.15 ml/min
- ZB - Sample P0 with applied voltage of 6.0kV and contaminant flow rate of 0.30 ml/min
- ZC - Sample P0 with applied voltage of 6.0 kV and contaminant flow rate of 0.60 ml/min
- ZD - Sample P0 with applied voltage of 6.0 kV and contaminant flow rate of 0.90 ml/min

LIST OF SYMBOLS

I_n	-	Average leakage current
C_n	-	Rate of carbon track
I_c	-	Critical leakage current
C_c	-	Critical rate of carbon track
A	-	Thermal conductivity of composite
λ_m	-	Thermal conductivity of polymer
λ_f	-	Thermal conductivity of filler
v_f	-	Volume of fraction of filler particles
Θ	-	Contact angle
I	-	Leakage current
A	-	Area of cross section
J	-	Current density
ϵ_o	-	Free space dielectric constant (8.854×10^{-12} F.m)
C_o	-	Geometric capacitance
U_o	-	Voltage applied
$i_p(t)$	-	Polarization current
$i_{dp}(t)$	-	Depolarization current
V	-	Volume

L	-	Length
A	-	Area
Σ	-	Electric conductivity
P	-	Resistivity
R	-	Resistance
D	-	Separation between plate
ϵ_r	-	Relative permittivity
C_r	-	Carbon track rate
T	-	Time
Z_o	-	Peak value of z
Z	-	Electric field vary with time
ϕ_m	-	Phase angle
ω	-	Angular frequency
E	-	Electric field
f	-	Correction factor
$LC_{ref(ipt)}$	-	Leakage current from IPT test result
LC_{si}	-	Leakage current from field simulation

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	A1: International and National Journal	113
	A2: International and National Proceeding Paper	113
B	B1: Contact angle: Before Tracking	114
	B2: Contact angle: After Tracking	114
	B3: Carbon track rate of different applied voltage and contaminant flow rate	115

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Vast utilization of the polymer in the field of electrical insulation has developed a lot of investigation into their performance in terms of its electrical, mechanical and chemical properties. Previously, microfillers are added to the polymer-based in order to improve the characteristics of materials, but large amounts of up to 65 wt % are required [1]. The advances of nanotechnology in recent decades had attracted the researcher to apply nanofillers in the nanocomposite polymer to enhance the structure's properties. The major benefits of nanofiller implementation are the high availability of surface areas and the small quantity of nanofillers which are sufficient at typically less than 10 wt%. In the interaction zone, the interaction between the polymer matrix and filler increases as the surface area of the nano-scale filler is enhanced.

The application of polymer nanocomposite materials in the bushing and power cables of outdoor insulation systems has exposed the insulator to deterioration. Insulating surfaces is exposed to environmental stresses such as contaminants, UV rays, pollution, and severe fog conditions, causing the aging of the insulation. The aging of the polymer due to environmental stresses can cause the degradation of the insulator polymer through surface tracking phenomena. During wet contaminant conditions, leakage current (LC) exists on the insulator surface when it achieves certain voltage gradients. The temperature of the insulator surface

increases with continuous flows of LC and accumulated heat dissipation leads to dry conditions in the insulator surface, eventually forming an arcing spark. Dry band arcing spark occurs to the lowest surface resistance when a non-uniform water layer is formed due to hydrophobicity loss. The hydrophobicity feature in the materials can reduce the onset of LC by increasing the failure time. Degradation occurs when the insulator's resistance diminished as the consequence of an increase in LC magnitude due to hydrophobicity loss in the materials. Meanwhile, surface discharges occur when the air gap reaches a critical flashover voltage across the dry band. Carbonized track and erosion will be formed when an arc burns the insulator material due to surface discharges. The carbonized track that develops a pathway between the two electrodes will eventually cause failure of insulation to the system. All degradation processes that contribute to the growth of carbon tracks such as hydrophobicity loss, weight loss, LC and erosion are called surface tracking [2-4].

The monitoring and prevention of insulation failure at the early stages is crucial to avoid any interruptions of the functions of the high voltage apparatus. Measurement of LC in the areas of surface discharges was implemented by previous researchers using a standard inclined plane tracking (IPT) test [4]. In this test, the LC was recorded for six hours or until the sample failed to investigate the electrical performances of polymeric insulating materials. The LC measurement is used as a tool to indicate the deterioration of materials, as the LC is reported to be proportional to the degree of material degradation [5].

One of the objectives in this research is to establish field simulation analysis which can develop the IPT test characteristics in studying surface discharge in terms of LC. The correlation of results in the simulation and experiment in terms of LC has demonstrated a new non-destructive test for surface discharge. In the field simulation, portrayals of different nanofiller loadings in the materials were constituted with its electrical conductivity and relative permittivity properties. The physical parameters in the IPT test such as applied voltage and contaminant flow rate are correspondingly changed in the field simulation to the voltage supply and electrical conductivity of contaminants. The study of surface discharge in the

insulator materials is important to estimate the capability of the dielectric material, particularly in tracking and erosion resistance.

1.2 Problem Statement

The IPT test was used as the standard test to evaluate tracking and erosion resistance. In this test, the level of material degradation can be analyzed using the result of LC waveform in time domains, and harmonics in frequency domains with the consequence of surface conditions of the materials [6, 7]. The outcome shows that the LC is proportional to the degree of deterioration in insulator materials [8]. The establishment of the IPT test required preparation of the experimental equipment and tested sample material. However, the construction of hardware set-up and samples in the experimental works consuming time and cost. Therefore, a new non-destructive simulation works in prediction the LC due to the electrical tracking of the polymer materials was proposed.

A two-dimensional plane parallel model was built in accordance with the IPT test configuration of IEC 60587 standard tracking and erosion test are studied using the Finite Element software. The simulation model to estimate the results in the numerical solution of the electric field and current density problems by considering the physical parameters that may contribute to the electrical tracking results was carried out. By applying the voltage, electric conductivity, and permittivities of insulating sample and contaminant solutions as the controlled parameters, the investigation of the electric field, current density and LC distribution of insulator surface were achieved. The practice of model simulation in the new material of insulating sample can estimate the performance of the materials in the electrical tracking and erosion resistance. Thus, the conventional approach to the experimental test of the tracking and erosion can be replaced by working on the simulation test that much faster and economical.

1.3 Research Objectives

The purpose of this research is to establish a simulation analysis that can produce similar practices as the experimental standard. To fulfill this purpose, the study was separated into three objectives. The objectives of this research are:

1. To conduct simulation analysis using Finite Element Software to study the characteristics of IPT test results due to the variation in physical parameters characteristics
2. To conduct experimental works of IPT on different types of polymer nanocomposite.
3. To compare the result of simulation works and experimental work with references of IPT test.

1.4 Scope of the Research

This research was conducted in the Institute of High Voltage and High Current (IVAT), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) and the research focuses on using

- a) IEC 60587 standard was used as references to both Inclined-Plane Tracking test and field simulation using Finite Element Analysis (FEA).
- b) Linear Low Density Polyethylene(LLDPE) and Natural Rubber(NR) grade SMR 20 as base materials with the ratio composition of 80:20.
- c) Nanofiller chosen which are Silicone Oxide (SiO₂) and Aluminium hydroxide (Al(OH)₃) with 1wt %, 3wt %, 5wt % and 7wt % percentage content.
- d) The finite element software of Quickfield in field simulation analysis.

1.5 Significance of the Research

The implementation of polymer nanocomposites in high voltage insulation stimulates the study on material properties. Solid dielectric materials during a breakdown will cause permanent alteration of its structure. There are various mechanisms that cause a breakdown to occur under high electrical stresses. Some of them are the intrinsic or ionic breakdown, electromechanical breakdown, treeing, tracking, thermal breakdown, electromechanical breakdown, and internal discharges [9]. The focus on this research is the breakdown as a result of electrical tracking due to surface discharge on insulator surfaces. The investigation into the tracking effect using experimental test was conducted the most by researchers in this field [10, 11]. From the experimental test, the factors that contribute to the tracking formation have been examined closely to be implemented into field simulation study.

In this study, the characteristics of IPT test results of a variation in physical parameters have been applied for simulation analysis using the Finite Element Software. The different nanofiller loadings in the LLPE-NR compounding in the field simulation are set according to their values of electrical conductivity and relative permittivity. Meanwhile, applied voltage and conductivity of the contaminant flow rate was used as the variable parameters in the field simulation due to the respect of changing applied voltage and contaminant flow rate in the IPT test. The results of the experimental work on the IPT and simulation analysis in terms of LC has been studied under various conditions in finding the correlation.

The field simulation is a non-destructive test and successful demonstration of the analysis and could act as another option to investigate surface discharge in the materials under the tracking effect. Thus, the field simulation analysis can be practice as mean to study the material in an indication of tracking and erosion resistance by studying the LC as from the experimental study the relationship of LC effect with the physical visuals such as tracking marks, erosion depth and erosion mass can be used to evaluate the tracking phase.

1.6 Thesis Organisation

The thesis is divided into five chapters. In chapter two, elaboration of literature review on topics of surface discharge, dry band arcing (DBA), inclined plane tracking (IPT), other tests to evaluate surface discharges, and field simulation consisting of finite element method (FEM) in high voltage test method application are explained.

Chapter three outlines the test methods used in the inclined plane tracking (IPT) test, hydrophobicity test and field simulation. The experimental setup, procedure and method of the experimental work and simulation work are expanded in detail in this chapter.

Chapter four presents the results from experiment and simulation works. The discussion of the result has been done in this chapter.

Lastly, chapter five concludes the research and gives some recommendations of future exploration.

REFERENCES

1. Rowland, S.M., G.P. Bruce, L. Yuting, A. Krivda, and L.E. Schmidt, Use of image analysis in DC inclined plane tracking tests of nano and micro composites. *Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation, IEEE Transactions on*, 2011. 18(2): 365-374.
2. Kumar, R. and N. Gupta. Tracking and surface degradation of barium titanate filled silicone rubber nanocomposites. *Electrical Insulation and Dielectric Phenomena (CEIDP), 2015 IEEE Conference on*.of Conference.: IEEE. 2015. 495-498.
3. Ghunem, R.A., Using the inclined-plane test to evaluate the resistance of outdoor polymer insulating materials to electrical tracking and erosion. *IEEE Electrical Insulation Magazine*, 2015. 31(5): 16-22.
4. Kumagai, S., Leakage current suppression and resistance to tracking and erosion of HTV silicone rubber with added silicone plasticizer. *Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation, IEEE Transactions on*, 2007. 14(2): 384-392.
5. Jamail, N.A.M., M.A.M. Piah, F.L. Muhamedin, N.F. Kasri, N.A. Muhamad, and Q.E. Kamarudin. Electrical tracking characterization of LLDPE-Natural Rubber blends filled with nanofillers. *Electrical Insulation and Dielectric Phenomena (CEIDP), 2013 IEEE Conference on*.20-23 Oct. 2013 of Conference. 2013. 695-698.
6. Fernando, M. and S. Gubanski, Leakage current patterns on contaminated polymeric surfaces. *Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation, IEEE Transactions on*, 1999. 6(5): 688-694.
7. El-Hag, A., S. Jayaram, and E. Cherney. Low frequency harmonic components of leakage current as a diagnostic tool to study aging of silicone rubber insulators. *Electrical Insulation and Dielectric Phenomena, 2001 Annual Report. Conference on*.of Conference.: IEEE. 2001. 597-600.
8. Piah, M.A.M., A. Darus, and A. Hassan, Electrical tracking performance of LLDPE-natural rubber blends by employing combination of leakage current level and rate of carbon track propagation. *Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation, IEEE Transactions on*, 2005. 12(6): 1259-1265.
9. Naidu, M.S. and V. Kamaraju. *High voltage engineering*: Tata McGraw-Hill Education. 2013.
10. Venkatesulu, B. and M.J. Thomas, Erosion resistance of alumina-filled silicone rubber nanocomposites. *Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation, IEEE Transactions on*, 2010. 17(2): 615-624.

11. Syakur, A., H. Berahim, T. Tumiran, and R. Rochmadi, Electrical Tracking Formation on Silane Epoxy Resin under Various Contaminants. *TELKOMNIKA (Telecommunication Computing Electronics and Control)*, 2013. 11(1): 17-28.
12. Bernstorff, R.A., R.K. Niedermier, and D.S. Winkler, Polymer compounds used in high voltage insulators. *Hubbell power Systems*, 2000.
13. Meyer, L.H., E.A. Cherney, and S.H. Jayaram, The role of inorganic fillers in silicone rubber for outdoor insulation alumina tri-hydrate or silica. *Electrical Insulation Magazine, IEEE*, 2004. 20(4): 13-21.
14. Bian, S., S. Jayaram, and E.A. Cherney, Erosion Resistance of Electrospun Silicone Rubber Nanocomposites. *IEEE Transactions on Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation*, 2013. 20(1): 185-193.
15. Vas, J.V., B. Venkatesulu, and M.J. Thomas, Tracking and erosion of silicone rubber nanocomposites under DC voltages of both polarities. *Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation, IEEE Transactions on*, 2012. 19(1): 91-98.
16. Vasudev, N., S. Vynatheya, and R. Senthilkumar. Comparative performance of silicone rubber insulators with IEC stipulated test methods. *Properties and Applications of Dielectric Materials (ICPADM), 2012 IEEE 10th International Conference on the*.of Conference.: IEEE. 2012. 1-4.
17. Schmidt, L., X. Kornmann, A. Krivda, and H. Hillborg, Tracking and erosion resistance of high temperature vulcanizing ATH-free silicone rubber. *Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation, IEEE Transactions on*, 2010. 17(2): 533-540.
18. Han, D., H. Park, D. Kang, and K. Min. Tracking and erosion resistance and hydrophobicity of HTV silicone rubber for outdoor insulators. *Properties and Applications of Dielectric Materials, 2000. Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on*.of Conference.: IEEE. 2000. 354-357.
19. Ghunem, R., S. Jayaram, and E. Cherney. Statistical indicators of silicone rubber erosion in the DC inclined plane test. *Electrical Insulation and Dielectric Phenomena (CEIDP), 2012 Annual Report Conference on*.of Conference.: IEEE. 2012. 737-740.
20. Arief, Y.Z., W.A. Izzati, Z. Adzis, N.A. Muhamad, M. Ghazali, M. Nazren, M. Sharip, M. Ridhuan, and M. Makmud. Effects of nanosilica and nanotitania on partial discharge characteristics of natural rubber-llDPE blends as high voltage insulation material. *Electrical Insulating Materials (ISEIM), Proceedings of 2014 International Conference on*.of Conference.: IEEE. 2014. 299-302.
21. Piaah, M., M. Afendi, A. Darus, and A. Hassan, Effect of ATH filler on the electrical tracking and erosion properties of natural rubber-LLDPE blends

- under wet contaminated conditions. *Journal of Industrial Technology*, 2004. 13(1): 27-40.
22. Ganga, S., G.R. Viswanath, and R.S.S. Aradhya. Improved performance of silicone rubber insulation with nano fillers. *Properties and Applications of Dielectric Materials (ICPADM), 2012 IEEE 10th International Conference on the.24-28 July 2012 of Conference.* 2012. 1-4.
 23. Nakamura, T., M. Kozako, M. Hikita, R. Inoue, and T. Kondo. Experimental investigation on erosion resistance and hydrophobicity of silicone rubber nanocomposite. *Solid Dielectrics (ICSD), 2013 IEEE International Conference on. June 30 2013-July 4 2013 of Conference.* 2013. 230-233.
 24. Hang, X., B.X. Du, L. Zhonglei, L. Yong, and L. Yunpeng. Tracking and erosion resistance of silicone rubber/BN nanocomposites under DC voltage. *Solid Dielectrics (ICSD), 2013 IEEE International Conference on. June 30 2013-July 4 2013 of Conference.* 2013. 218-221.
 25. Ramirez, I., E. Cherney, and S. Jarayam, Comparison of the erosion resistance of silicone rubber and EPDM composites filled with micro silica and ATH. *Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation, IEEE Transactions on,* 2012. 19(1): 218-224.
 26. Ugur, M., A. Kuntman, and A. Merev. Investigation the effect of environmental factors on the performance of polymeric outdoor insulation. *Electrical Insulation and Dielectric Phenomena, 1999 Annual Report Conference on. 1999 of Conference.* 1999. 743-746 vol.2.
 27. Venkataraman, S., R. Gorur, R. Bass, and C. Rhodes. Arc tracking resistance of polymeric materials in oxygen deficient conditions. *Electrical Insulation and Dielectric Phenomena, 2003. Annual Report. Conference on. of Conference.: IEEE.* 2003. 104-107.
 28. Amin, M., S. Amin, and M. Ali, Monitoring of leakage current for composite insulators and electrical devices. *Rev. Adv. Mater. Sci,* 2009. 21: 75-89.
 29. Fernando, M. and S. Gubanski. Analysis of leakage current wave forms for field-aged and new composite insulators. *Electrical Insulation and Dielectric Phenomena, 1997. IEEE 1997 Annual Report., Conference on. of Conference.: IEEE.* 1997. 350-353.
 30. Hernández, R., G. Vallejo, G. Montoya, and I. Ramirez. Performance of hydrophobicity of different polymeric insulators in an accelerated ageing test. *Electrical Insulation and Dielectric Phenomena (CEIDP), 2012 Annual Report Conference on. of Conference.: IEEE.* 2012. 753-756.
 31. Charalampidis, P., A. Haddad, R.T. Waters, H. Griffiths, N. Harid, and P. Sarkar. Five-electrode inclined-plane tests of textured silicone rubber samples. *Universities Power Engineering Conference (UPEC), 2010 45th International. Aug. 31 2010-Sept. 3 2010 of Conference.* 2010. 1-5.

32. Kuroyagi, T., H. Homma, T. Takahashi, and K. Izumi. A fundamental study on the surface degradation of polymer insulation materials in DC voltage application. *Electrical Insulation and Dielectric Phenomena, 1998. Annual Report. Conference on.* of Conference.: IEEE. 1998. 682-685.
33. Piah M.A.M., D.A.a.H.A. *New Design of High Voltage Surge Arresters.* 2004.
34. Kim, S., E. Cherney, and R. Hackam. Effect of dry band arcing on the surface of RTV silicone rubber coatings. *Electrical Insulation, Conference Record of the 1992 IEEE International Symposium on.* of Conference.: IEEE. 1992. 237-240.
35. Lopes, I.J., S.H. Jayaram, and E.A. Cherney, A method for detecting the transition from corona from water droplets to dry-band arcing on silicone rubber insulators. *Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation, IEEE Transactions on,* 2002. 9(6): 964-971.
36. El-Hag, A. Detection of dry-band arcing using time series modeling [silicone rubber insulator arcing]. *Electrical Insulation and Dielectric Phenomena, 2004. CEIDP'04. 2004 Annual Report Conference on.* of Conference.: IEEE. 2004. 615-618.
37. Waters, R.T., A. Haddad, H. Griffiths, N. Harid, P. Charalampidis, and P. Sarkar, Dry-band discharges on polluted silicone rubber insulation: control and characterization. *Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation, IEEE Transactions on,* 2011. 18(6): 1995-2003.
38. Ghunem, R.A., S.H. Jayaram, and E.A. Cherney, Investigation into the eroding dry-band arcing of filled silicone rubber under DC using wavelet-based multiresolution analysis. *Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation, IEEE Transactions on,* 2014. 21(2): 713-720.
39. Zhang, X., S. Rowland, and V. Terzija, Increased energy in stable dry-band arcs due to length compression. *Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation, IEEE Transactions on,* 2010. 17(2): 473-480.
40. Chrzan, K.L. and F. Moro, Concentrated discharges and dry bands on polluted outdoor insulators. *Power Delivery, IEEE Transactions on,* 2007. 22(1): 466-471.
41. Name of the Standard, B. *IEC 60587 Electrical insulating materials used under severe ambient conditions-Test methods for evaluating resistance to tracking and erosion of the standard.* Standard Number. 2007.
42. Ghunem, R.A., S.H. Jayaram, and E.A. Cherney. Inclined plane initial tracking voltage for AC, +DC and -DC. *Electrical Insulation (ISEI), Conference Record of the 2012 IEEE International Symposium on.* 10-13 June 2012 of Conference. 2012. 459-463.

43. Ghunem, R.A., S.H. Jayaram, and E. Cherney, Erosion of Silicone Rubber Composites in the AC and DC Inclined Plane Tests. *IEEE Transactions on Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation*, 2013. 20(1): 229-236.
44. Homma, H., T. Takahashi, T. Taniguchi, and K. Izumi. Evaluation of surface degradation of polymer insulating materials related to leakage current. *Electrical Electronics Insulation Conference and Electrical Manufacturing & Coil Winding Conference, 1993. Proceedings., Chicago'93 EEIC/ICWA Exposition.* of Conference.: IEEE. 1993. 655-659.
45. Cho, H.-G., I.-s. Kim, and Y.-K. Park. Tracking resistance by the RWDT and flashover characteristics of polymeric materials of outdoor HV insulator. *Properties and Applications of Dielectric Materials, 1997., Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on.* of Conference.: IEEE. 1997. 790-793.
46. El-Hag, A.H., L.H. Meyer, and A. Naderian, Experience with salt-fog and inclined-plane tests for aging polymeric insulators and materials. *Electrical Insulation Magazine, IEEE*, 2010. 26(2): 42-50.
47. Ramirez-Vazquez, I. and R. Nava, Application of nano particles for the modification of high voltage insulators. *Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation, IEEE Transactions on*, 2013. 20(6): 2262-2269.
48. Ramirez, I., E.A. Cherney, and S. Jarayam, Comparison of the erosion resistance of silicone rubber and EPDM composites filled with micro silica and ATH. *Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation, IEEE Transactions on*, 2012. 19(1): 218-224.
49. Hosier, I.L., M.S. Abd Rahman, A.S. Vaughan, A. Krivda, X. Kornmann, and L.E. Schmidt, Comparison of laser ablation and inclined plane tracking tests as a means to rank materials for outdoor HV insulators. *Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation, IEEE Transactions on*, 2013. 20(5): 1808-1819.
50. Vazquez, I.R. Nano particle reinforcement of HTV silicone rubber for high voltage insulators. *Electrical Insulation and Dielectric Phenomena (CEIDP), 2012 Annual Report Conference on.* 14-17 Oct. 2012 of Conference. 2012. 557-560.
51. Abdullah, A.R., N. Norddin, N. Abidin, A. Aman, and M. Jopri. Leakage current analysis on polymeric and non-polymeric insulating materials using time-frequency distribution. *Power and Energy (PECon), 2012 IEEE International Conference on.* of Conference.: IEEE. 2012. 979-984.
52. Piah, M.A.M. and A. Darus, Computer-based monitoring system for analysing surface leakage current in an IEC587 test set-up. 2003.
53. El-Hag, A.H., A.N. Jahromi, and M. Sanaye-Pasand, Prediction of leakage current of non-ceramic insulators in early aging period. *Electric Power Systems Research*, 2008. 78(10): 1686-1692.

54. Jahromi, A.N., A.H. El-Hag, S.H. Jayaram, E. Cherney, M. Sanaye-Pasand, and H. Mohseni, A neural network based method for leakage current prediction of polymeric insulators. *Power Delivery, IEEE Transactions on*, 2006. 21(1): 506-507.
55. Bashir, N. and H. Ahmad. A neural network based method for the diagnosis of ageing insulators. *Industrial Electronics & Applications, 2009. ISIEA 2009. IEEE Symposium on.*of Conference.: IEEE. 2009. 41-46.
56. Dhahbi-Megrache, N. and A. Beroual, Time–frequency analyses of leakage current waveforms of high voltage insulators in uniform and non-uniform polluted conditions. *Science, Measurement & Technology, IET*, 2015. 9(8): 945-954.
57. Ahmad, H., M.A. Salam, L.Y. Ying, and N. Bashir, Harmonic components of leakage current as a diagnostic tool to study the aging of insulators. *Journal of Electrostatics*, 2008. 66(3): 156-164.
58. El-Hag, A.H., Leakage current characterization for estimating the conditions of non-ceramic insulators' surfaces. *Electric Power Systems Research*, 2007. 77(3): 379-384.
59. Kumagai, S. and N. Yoshimura, Leakage current characterization for estimating the conditions of ceramic and polymeric insulating surfaces. *Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation, IEEE Transactions on*, 2004. 11(4): 681-690.
60. Natarajan, A. and S. Narayanan, S-Transform Based Time-Frequency Analysis of Leakage Current Signals of Transmission Line Insulators under Polluted Conditions. *JOURNAL OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY*, 2015. 10(2): 616-624.
61. Ramirez-Vazquez, I., J. Ruiz-Pinales, and J. Salgado-Talavera, Fractal analysis of nano-reinforced silicone rubber insulators evaluated on a tracking wheel. *Electrical Insulation Magazine, IEEE*, 2014. 30(4): 21-27.
62. Chen, W., W. Wang, Q. Xia, B. Luo, and L. Li, Insulator contamination forecasting based on fractal analysis of leakage current. *Energies*, 2012. 5(7): 2594-2607.
63. Crespo-Sandova, J., A. Haddad, H. Griffiths, and P.F. Coventry, Rate of energy absorption as indicator for the tracking/erosion test of silicone rubber. *Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation, IEEE Transactions on*, 2010. 17(6): 1772-1780.
64. Ersoy, A., A. Kuntman, and M. Ugur. Improvement of flame retardant properties in polyester insulators. *Solid Dielectrics, 2004. ICSD 2004. Proceedings of the 2004 IEEE International Conference on.*of Conference.: IEEE. 2004. 336-338.

65. Abdollahian, A., A.H. El-Hag, A.N. Jahromi, M. Farahani, and G.A. Ranjbar. A study on the aging characteristics of RTV insulator coatings. *Electrical and Computer Engineering, 2008. CCECE 2008. Canadian Conference on.* 4-7 May 2008 of Conference. 2008. 000043-000046.
66. Kong, S., M. Mariatti, and J. Busfield, Effects of types of fillers and filler loading on the properties of silicone rubber composites. *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*, 2011: 0731684411416267.
67. Du, B.X. and X. Hang, Effects of thermal conductivity on dc resistance to erosion of silicone rubber/BN nanocomposites. *Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation, IEEE Transactions on*, 2014. 21(2): 511-518.
68. Jaya, A. and H. Berahim. The hydrophobicity improvement of high voltage insulator based on epoxy polysiloxane and rice husk ash compound. *Electrical Engineering and Informatics (ICEEI), 2011 International Conference on.* of Conference.: IEEE. 2011. 1-6.
69. Syakur, A., H. Berahim, T. Tumiran, and R. Rochmadi. Leakage current measurement of epoxy resin compound with silicon rubber. *Electrical Engineering and Informatics (ICEEI), 2011 International Conference on.* 17-19 July 2011 of Conference. 2011. 1-5.
70. Syakur, A. and H. Berahim. Leakage Current Measurement of epoxy resin compound with Silicon Rubber. *Electrical Engineering and Informatics (ICEEI), 2011 International Conference on.* of Conference.: IEEE. 2011. 1-5.
71. Lopes, I.J., S.H. Jayaram, and E. Cherney, A study of partial discharges from water droplets on a silicone rubber insulating surface. *Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation, IEEE Transactions on*, 2001. 8(2): 262-268.
72. Kumagai, S. and N. Yoshimura, Tracking and erosion of HTV silicone rubber and suppression mechanism of ATH. *Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation, IEEE Transactions on*, 2001. 8(2): 203-211.
73. Krivda, A., L. Schmidt, X. Kornmann, H. Ghorbani, A. Ghorbandaeipour, M. Eriksson, and H. Hillborg, Inclined-plane tracking and erosion test according to the IEC 60587 standard. *IEEE Electrical Insulation Magazine*, 2009. 6(25): 14-22.
74. Ugur, M. and B.R. Varlow, Analyzing and modeling the 2D surface tracking patterns of polymeric insulation materials. *Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation, IEEE Transactions on*, 1998. 5(6): 824-829.
75. Husin, N.L., *Discharge characteristics of polymer nanocomposites At different level of esdd under tracking test*, 2012, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia: Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. p. 75.

76. Gorur, R., J. Montesinos, L. Varadadesikan, S. Simmons, and M. Shah, A laboratory test for tracking and erosion resistance of HV outdoor insulation. *Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation, IEEE Transactions on*, 1997. 4(6): 767-774.
77. Sarkar, P., A. Haddad, R. Waters, H. Griffiths, N. Harid, and P. Charalampidis. Inclined-plane tests of textured silicone rubber Samples. *High Voltage Engineering and Application (ICHVE), 2010 International Conference on*.of Conference.: IEEE. 2010. 532-535.
78. R. A. Ghunem, S.H.J.a.E.A.C. Dynamics of Dry- Band Arcing on Silicone Rubber in the Inclined Plane Test under AC, +DC and -DC Voltages. *2014 Annual Report Conference on Electrical Insulation and Dielectric Phenomena*.of Conference. 2014. 55-58.
79. Bruce, G.P., S.M. Rowland, and A. Krivda, Performance of silicone rubber in DC inclined plane tracking tests. *Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation, IEEE Transactions on*, 2010. 17(2): 521-532.
80. Vasudev, N., S. Ganga, R. Shivakumara Aradhya, and B.L. Pai. Effect of ATH filler content on the performance of silicone rubber by inclined plane tracking and erosion test method. *Properties and Applications of Dielectric Materials (ICPADM), 2012 IEEE 10th International Conference on the*.of Conference.: IEEE. 2012. 1-4.
81. Yong, Z., M. Otsubo, C. Honda, Y. Hashimoto, and A. Ohno, Mechanism for change in leakage current waveform on a wet silicone rubber surface-a study using a dynamic 3-D model. *Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation, IEEE Transactions on*, 2005. 12(3): 556-565.
82. Haifeng, G., J. Zhidong, M. Yingke, G. Zhicheng, and W. Liming, Effect of Hydrophobicity on Electric Field Distribution and Discharges Along Various Wetted Hydrophobic Surfaces. *Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation, IEEE Transactions on*, 2008. 15(2): 435-443.
83. Basappa, P., V. Lakdawala, B. Sarang, and A. Mishra. Simulation of Electric Field distribution around water droplets on outdoor insulator surfaces. *Electrical Insulation, 2008. ISEI 2008. Conference Record of the 2008 IEEE International Symposium on*.of Conference.: IEEE. 2008. 50-54.
84. Sarang, B., P. Basappa, and V. Lakdawala. Effect of water droplets and water films on the electrical performance of practical outdoor insulators. *Electrical Insulation (ISEI), Conference Record of the 2010 IEEE International Symposium on*.of Conference.: IEEE. 2010. 1-6.
85. Du, B., Y. Liu, Y. Xia, B. Yang, and B. Liu. Dynamic behavior of water droplet for evaluating outdoor insulator. *Properties and Applications of Dielectric Materials, 2009. ICPADM 2009. IEEE 9th International Conference on the*.of Conference.: IEEE. 2009. 272-275.

86. Kara, A., O. Kalenderli, and K. Mardikyan. Investigation of AC discharges with water droplets on solid dielectric layers. *Power Modulator and High Voltage Conference (IPMHVC), 2012 IEEE International.* 3-7 June 2012 of Conference. 2012. 382-385.
87. Lau, K., N. Muhamad, N. Bashir, Y. Arief, M. Piah, A. Vaughan, and G. Chen. Modeling of polymer nanocomposites: Permittivity vs. electric field intensity. *Power and Energy (PECon), 2014 IEEE International Conference on.* of Conference.: IEEE. 2014. 140-145.
88. Wang, J., X. Wen, L. Lan, H. Liu, and Y. Yan. Study of Discharge Process and Characteristics of Discrete Water Droplets on the RTV Hydrophobic Surface in the Non-uniform Electric Field. *Power System Technology, 2006. PowerCon 2006. International Conference on.* 22-26 Oct. 2006 of Conference. 2006. 1-6.
89. Nakason, C., A. Kaesaman, and P. Supasanthitkul, The grafting of maleic anhydride onto natural rubber. *Polymer testing*, 2004. 23(1): 35-41.
90. Da Silva, E. and S.M. Rowland. The dependency of water droplet behaviour and leakage current pattern on electrode configuration. *Electrical Insulation and Dielectric Phenomena, 2009. CEIDP '09. IEEE Conference on.* 18-21 Oct. 2009 of Conference. 2009. 242-245.
91. Jamail, M., N. Akmal, M. Piah, M. Afendi, N.A. Muhamad, R. Zainir, N.F. Kasri, and Q.E. Kamarudin, DC conductivity of polymer nanocomposites for different types and amount of nanofiller. *International Journal on Electrical Engineering and Informatics*, 2013. 5(2).
92. Muhamad, N.A., B. Phung, T. Blackburn, and K. Lai. Polarization and Depolarization Current (PDC) tests on biodegradable and mineral transformer oils at different moisture levels. *Power Engineering Conference, 2009. AUPEC 2009. Australasian Universities.* of Conference.: IEEE. 2009. 1-6.
93. Johnson, J.D.C.a.K.W. *PHYSICS*: John Willey & Son Inc. 2007.
94. Rao, Y. and C. Wong, Material characterization of a high- dielectric-constant polymer–ceramic composite for embedded capacitor for RF applications. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 2004. 92(4): 2228-2231.
95. Liu, Q., X. Wan, T. Xu, J. Nan, and Z. Xu. Analysis of leakage current of long string insulators of high-altitude artificial contamination test. *Electrical Insulation and Dielectric Phenomena (CEIDP), 2014 IEEE Conference on.* of Conference.: IEEE. 2014. 303-307.
96. Jamail, N., M. Piah, F. Muhamedin, N. Kasri, N. Muhamad, and Q. Kamarudin. Electrical tracking characterization of LLDPE-Natural Rubber blends filled with nanofillers. *2013 Annual Report Conference on Electrical Insulation and Dielectric Phenomena.* of Conference.: IEEE. 2013. 695-698.

97. Muhamedin, F.L., M. Piah, and N.A. Othman, Modelling on Tracking Test Condition of Polymer Nanocomposite using Finite Element Simulation. *TELKOMNIKA (Telecommunication Computing Electronics and Control)*, 2015. 13(4): 1194-1203.
98. Muhamedin, F., M. Piah, N. Othman, and N.A. Algeelani, Effect of Contaminant Flow-rate and Applied Voltage on the Current Density and Electric Field of Polymer Tracking Test. *International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (IJECE)*, 2016. 6(2): 819-826.