

COMPARISON BETWEEN CARBOXYMETHYL CELLULOSE (CMC) AND
PALM OIL FUEL ASH (POFA) AS ALTERNATIVES IN FLUID LOSS AGENT

EFA SOFEA BINTI ABD MUTALIB

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the
degree of Master of Engineering (Petroleum)

Faculty of Chemical and Renewable Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2018

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In completing this dissertation, I have committed to many individuals, lecturers, staff, and students. They are contributing on the knowledge and guidance for this task.

First of all, I would like to convey my greatest gratitude to Allah the Almighty for His Mercy for giving me the strength and capability to complete this dissertation. I would like to give my bunches thanks to my supervisor, Dr. Mohd Akhmal in constantly giving ideas, help and supervising me to complete the task

I would also like to thank to Drilling fluid laboratory staff for their guidance and information they have given in conducting my experiment in the laboratory. I have gain many information that beyond the core course by undergoing researches and experiment for the master project. Not to forget, my colleague Siti Aishah that always support, helping and contribute idea in completing this project and laboratory task.

Finally, above all, I would like to thank to my family for the continuous support and understanding on my commitment to complete the project.

ABSTRACT

In drilling operation, fluid loss can be defined when high hydro static pressure compared to formation pressure that caused the mud filtrate lost to the permeable formation. Fluid loss agent are used to control the process and avoid potential reservoir damage. Drilling fluid formulation has become important in the effort of obtaining right formulated drilling fluid. The purpose of this study is to investigate the potential of Palm Oil Fuel Ash (POFA) additive in water-based drilling fluid as fluid loss agent. Performance of WBM with POFA additive will be compared to basic mud and WBM with Carboxymethyl Cellulose (CMC) additive as commercial fluid loss agent. There are 10 samples prepared in this project including 1 basic WBM sample, 3 different concentration of POFA mud sample, 3 different concentration of CMC mud sample and 3 different concentration of combined mud sample with 50% CMC 50% POFA additive. The 3 concentrations were tested are 0.5 g, 1.0 g and 1.5 g. The rheological properties; plastic viscosity, yield point and gel strength of all water-based mud samples in this project were analyzed at 75°F and 250 °F temperature. The filtration were tested for both Low Pressure Low Temperature (LPLT) at 75°F with 100 psi pressure and High Pressure High Temperature (HPHT) at 250°F with 500 psi to study the fluid loss property on both conditions. Rheology properties in for 75°F temperature showed that POFA has good plastic viscosity within the range but not good in yield point and gel strength reading compared to CMC. For high temperature, POFA showed degradation in the rheological properties. Based on both fluid loss test in LPLT and HPHT, POFA showed recommended result for LPLT condition but it reduces in performance with higher fluid loss at HPHT condition.

ABSTRAK

Dalam operasi penggerudian, kehilangan bendalir boleh ditakrifkan apabila tekanan statik hidro tinggi berbanding dengan tekanan pembentukan yang mengakibatkan penapisan lumpur hilang kepada pembentukan yang telap. Agen kehilangan bendalir digunakan untuk mengawal proses dan mengelakkan potensi kerosakan reserbor. Rumusan bendalir penggerudian telah menjadi penting dalam usaha mendapatkan bendalir penggerudian yang dirumuskan dengan betul. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk menyiasat potensi abu minyak kelapa sawit (POFA) dalam bendalir penggerudian berasaskan air sebagai agen kehilangan bendalir. Prestasi lumpur berasaskan air dengan bahan tambah POFA akan dibandingkan dengan lumpur asas dan lumpur berasaskan air dengan Carboxymethyl Cellulose (CMC) aditif sebagai agen kehilangan bendalir komersil. Terdapat 10 sampel yang disediakan dalam projek ini termasuk 1 sampel lumpur berasaskan air, 3 sampel berbeza kepekatan POFA lumpur, 3 sampel berbeza kepekatan lumpur CMC dan 3 sampel berbeza kepekatan untuk gabungan lumpur dengan 50% CMC 50% POFA aditif. 3 kepekatan diuji adalah 0.5 g, 1.0 g dan 1.5 g. Ciri rheologi seperti kelikatan plastik, titik hasil dan kekuatan gel semua sampel lumpur berasaskan air dalam projek ini dianalisis pada 75 ° F dan suhu 250 ° F. Penapisan telah diuji untuk kedua-dua suhu rendah tekanan rendah (LPLT) pada 75 ° F dengan tekanan 100 psi dan suhu tinggi tekanan tinggi (HPHT) pada 250 ° F dengan 500 psi untuk mengkaji harta bendalir dalam kedua-dua keadaan. Sifat reologi dalam suhu 75°F menunjukkan bahawa POFA mempunyai kelikatan plastik dalam julat yang baik tetapi tidak baik dalam titik hasil dan bacaan kekuatan gel berbanding CMC. Untuk suhu tinggi, POFA menunjukkan kemerosotan dalam sifat reologi. Berdasarkan kedua-dua ujian kehilangan bendalir dalam LPLT dan HPHT, POFA menunjukkan keputusan yang disyorkan untuk keadaan LPLT tetapi ia mengurangkan prestasi dengan kehilangan bendalir yang lebih tinggi pada keadaan HPHT.

TABLE OF CONTENT

| CHAPTER | TITLE | PAGE |
|---------|---|------|
| | DECLARATION | ii |
| | ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | iv |
| | ABSTRACT | v |
| | ABSTRAK | vi |
| | LIST OF TABLES | x |
| | LIST OF FIGURES | xi |
| | LIST OF APPENDICES | xiii |
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1 Study Background | 1 |
| | 1.2 Problem statement | 2 |
| | 1.3 Objectives | 3 |
| | 1.4 Scope of study | 3 |
| 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW | 4 |
| | 2.1 Drilling fluids | 4 |
| | 2.1.1 Function of drilling fluid | 5 |
| | 2.1.2 Type of WBM | 5 |
| | 2.1.3 Improved drilling fluid formulation | 6 |
| | 2.2 Fluid loss | 7 |
| | 2.3 Carboxymethyl Cellulose (CMC) | 8 |
| | 2.3.1 Characteristic of CMC | 8 |
| | 2.3.2 CMC application in industry | 9 |
| | 2.3.3 CMC in oil and gas industry | 10 |
| | 2.4 Palm Oil Fuel Ash (POFA) | 10 |

| | | |
|---|--|----|
| 3 | METHODOLOGY | 13 |
| | 3.1 Research Methodology | 13 |
| | 3.2 Experimental Techniques | 14 |
| | 3.2.1 Drilling mud formulation | 14 |
| | 3.2.2 POFA Sample Preparation | 15 |
| | 3.3 Materials | 17 |
| | 3.4 Equipment | 18 |
| | 3.5 Mud preparation | 18 |
| | 3.6 Mud Weight and pH measurement | 19 |
| | 3.7 Rheological Properties | 19 |
| | 3.8 Filtration test for Low Pressure Low Temperature (LPLT) | 21 |
| | 3.9 High Pressure High Temperature (HPHT) | 21 |
| 4 | RESULT AND DISCUSSION | 23 |
| | 4.1 Palm Oil Fuel Ash (POFA) composition analysis | 23 |
| | 4.1.1 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) Analysis | 23 |
| | 4.1.2 X-ray Diffraction (XRD) | 24 |
| | 4.1.3 Energy Dispersion X-ray (EDX) | 25 |
| | 4.2 Rheology Properties at Ambient Temperature (before aging) | 27 |
| | 4.2.1 Plastic Viscosity Ambient Temperature | 28 |
| | 4.2.2 Yield Point Ambient Temperature | 30 |
| | 4.2.3 Gel Strength Ambient Temperature | 32 |
| | 4.3 Filtration Test For Low Pressure Low Temperature, LPLT (before aging) | 35 |
| | 4.3.1 Mud Thickness LPLT | 37 |
| | 4.4 Rheology Properties at High Temperature, HPHT (after aging) | 38 |
| | 4.4.1 Plastic Viscosity High Temperature | 39 |
| | 4.4.2 Yield Point High Temperature | 40 |
| | 4.4.3 Gel Strength High Temperature | 42 |
| | 4.5 Filtration High Pressure High Temperature (after aging) | 45 |
| | 4.5.1 Mud thickness HPHT | 46 |

| | | |
|---|---------------------|-------|
| 5 | CONCLUSION | 48 |
| | 5.1 Conclusion | 48 |
| | 5.2 Recommendations | 49 |
| | REFERENCES | 50 |
| | Appendices A-K | 55-61 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------------|--|-------------|
| 3.1 | Basic Water-Based Drilling Mud Formulation (Scomi OilTools, 2008) | 14 |
| 3.2 | CMC and POFA concentration | 15 |
| 4.1 | XRD result | 25 |
| 4.2 | Recommended rheological properties by M-I Swaco | 27 |
| 4.3 | Mud thickness for POFA sample | 37 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|-------------------|--|-------------|
| 3.1 | Soak and filtration of soaked POFA | 16 |
| 3.2 | Wet and dried POFA | 16 |
| 3.3 | Sieving Process | 17 |
| 4.1 | SEM Image for POFA sample 1 | 24 |
| 4.2 | SiO ₂ result by XRD | 25 |
| 4.3 | Spectrum image | 26 |
| 4.4 | Electron result for Spectrum 2 | 26 |
| 4.5 | Plastic Viscosity Basic Mud vs POFA at ambient temperature | 28 |
| 4.6 | Plastic viscosity CMC, POFA and Combined Additives at ambient temperature | 29 |
| 4.7 | Yield Point Basic Mud vs POFA at ambient temperature | 30 |
| 4.8 | Yield point CMC, POFA and combined additives at ambient temperature | 31 |
| 4.9 | Gel Strength 10 Sec Basic Mud vs POFA at ambient temperature | 32 |
| 4.10 | Gel Strength 10 Min Basic Mud vs POFA at ambient temperature | 33 |
| 4.11 | Gel Strength 10 Sec CMC, POFA and combined additives | |

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| | at ambient temperature | 34 |
| 4.12 | Gel Strength 10 Min CMC, POFA and combined additives at ambient temperature | 35 |
| 4.13 | Filtration result for basic mud, POFA, CMC and combined additives for LPLT | 36 |
| 4.14 | Mud Thickness for all sample LPLT | 37 |
| 4.15 | POFA mud thickness and fluid loss for HPHT | 38 |
| 4.16 | Plastic Viscosity Basic Mud vs POFA for high temperature | 39 |
| 4.17 | Plastic Viscosity CMC,POFA and combined additive for high temperature | 40 |
| 4.18 | Yield point Basic mud vs POFA for high temperature | 41 |
| 4.19 | Yield point CMC,POFA and combined additive for high temperature | 41 |
| 4.20 | Gel Strength 10 seconds Basic Mud vs POFA for high temperature | 42 |
| 4.21 | Gel Strength 10 minutes Basic Mud vs POFA for high temperature | 43 |
| 4.22 | Gel strength 10 seconds CMC, POFA and combined additive for high temperature | 44 |
| 4.23 | Gel Strength 10 minutes CMC, POFA and combined additive for high temperature | 44 |
| 4.24 | Filtration test for High Pressure High Temperature (HPHT) | 45 |
| 4.25 | Mud Thickness for HPHT sample | 46 |
| 4.26 | POFA mud thickness and fluid loss result | 47 |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX | TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------------|---|-------------|
| A | Project Gantt Chart | 55 |
| B | Rheological equipment description | 56 |
| C | Formula drilling mud rheology | 56 |
| D | Rheological properties and fluid loss result for LPLT and HPHT | 57 |
| E | Mixing process 1 | 58 |
| F | Mixing process 2 | 58 |
| G | Filter press (filtration test) | 59 |
| H | Measured filtration and mud cake | 59 |
| I | Mud thickness measurement | 60 |
| J | HPHT Mud cake | 60 |
| K | 1.5 g CMC mud cake | 61 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Study Background

Additive in drilling fluid is important in the formulation as it will react with the formation in the well bore that improves the rheological properties of the bentonite and less harm to the environment. Fluid loss is the most common encountered problem in most of the drilling operation, the circulation rate and differential pressure between circulating fluid and well increase, it becomes more significant (Iskan & Kok , 2007). Fluid loss should be avoided as the cost to treat the well will less benefit the operation.

CMC is a white-to-almost-white powder that is nontoxic, biodegradable, and odorless, and does not ferment under normal condition use (Esmaelirad et al., 2016). CMC acts as an additive in drilling mud to obtain thixotropic behavior and fluid loss control. Formulating fracturing fluids also shows the importance of CMC in benefiting polymer character to be crosslinker, cellulose derivative and because of its good rheological characteristics and ecological consideration. CMC also has been used widely in different industries as food industries, pharmaceutical, paper, and cosmetic (Asef & Roshan 2010).

Palm Oil Fuel Ash (POFA) obtained from palm oil production industries after the process of burning the palm oil to be ashes. It is widely used as geopolymer in construction industry as binder for concrete as many researchers found that POFA

can be used to develop sustainable construction material (Liu et al., 2014). To reduce the production cost of drilling fluid, POFA is seen to be used to utilize the formulation of drilling fluid as fluid loss agent.

This study focused in drilling fluid additive using Palm Oil Fuel Ash (POFA) performance compared to existing approach using CMC for drilling fluid as fluid loss agent. Additives that are used in drilling fluid are vary depending on the type of drilling fluid. Among type of drilling fluids are non-dispersed polymer, potassium polymer, saturated salt, dispersed drilling fluids, air foam drilling fluid, oil based drilling fluid and salt water drilling fluids (Zhang et al., 2001).

1.2 Problem statement

Malaysia has become the second larger palm oil producer for the entire world (Liu et al., 2014). The growth and development of country increased by year as palm oil production become among major contributor following the economic growth and demand. The management of output and waste from the palm oil production attracted the attention from various bodies and individual to reuse or improve without any means to be wasted. Increase in palm oil waste requires a large area of land for the waste to be treated thus reduce area for other potential and further planning for country economic and industrial usage.

Previous approach in palm oil industry proved that the wastage were widely used as fertilizers, feed stock and sand conditioner (Soh et al., 2013). In addition to that, based on thermochemical showed that palm oil waste is converted through liquefaction process enhanced the waste to be used for beneficial products (Awalludin et al., 2015). This process has gained the attention to improve the palm oil waste because of the simplicity to produce solvents and biomass product. However, all approaches taken in need of burning the palm oil waste effected the environment. Even after being utilized, there is still large amount of palm oil waste occupied the land area.

As there are many effort done to utilize palm oil waste, oil and gas industry is taking an initiative to improved palm oil waste management. This research focus on Palm Oil Fuel Ash (POFA) potential as drilling fluids additive as compared to Carboxymethyl Cellulose (CMC) that has been used widely that support the technical specification of drilling fluid as fluid loss agent.

1.3 Objectives

Throughout this study, there are several goals to be achieved. the main objective in this project including:-

- i. To compare industrial fluid loss agent Carboxymethyl Cellulose (CMC) and Palm Oil Fuel Ash (POFA) agriculture based as drilling fluid additives
- ii. To study rheological properties of POFA in WBM

1.4 Scope of study

In completing the project, there are scopes had been focused to achieve the objective. The scope mainly narrowed to the study of WBM, POFA and CMC as fluid loss agent. The rheological studies and fluid loss performance for all mud sample were tested. The scopes are:

- i. Rheology study of CMC mud sample, POFA mud sample and combined mud sample with 3 concentrations which are 0.5 g, 1.0 g and 1.5 g.
- ii. Fluid loss test for Low Pressure Low Temperature (LPLT) and High Pressure High Temperature (HPHT) for all mud sample.

REFERENCES

- Amanullah, M., and Yu, L. (2005) Environment Friendly Fluid Loss Additives to Protect The Marine Environment from The Detrimental Effect of Mud Additives. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 48(3-4): 199-208.
- Atzeni, C., Massidda, L., and Sanna, U. 1990. Mechanical Properties of Epoxy Mortars with Fly Ash as Filler. *Cement and Concrete Composites*.12(1):3–8.
- Azizov, E., Quintero, H. J., Saxton, K., & Sessarego, S. (2015, October 20). Carboxymethylcellulose a Cost Effective Alternative to Guar, CMHPG and Surfactant-Based Fluid Systems. Society of Petroleum Engineers. doi:10.2118/175904-MS
- Apaleke AS, Al-Majed A, Enamul HM (2012). ‘Drilling Fluid: State of The Art and Future Trend’. North Africa Technical Conference and Exhibition. 20–22 February 2012. Cairo, Egypt.
- Awalludin, Mohd, F., Sulaiman, Othman, Hashim, Rokiah, Wan, N., & Wan, N.A. (2015). An overview of the oil palm industry in Malaysia and its waste utilization through thermochemical conversion, specifically via liquefaction. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 50. 1469-1484. 10.1016/j.rser.2015.05.085.
- Behnamanhar, H., Shamsollah, N., Hoseinali, M., (2014). ‘Environmentally Friendly Water-Based Drilling Fluid for Drilling of Water-Sensitive Formations’ *Journal of Petroleum and Gas Exploration Research*, 4(4), 60-71 Retrieved from (ISSN 2276-6510) Vol.
- Benyounes, K., Benmounah, A., and Mellak, A. 2013. Effect of Concentration on the Rheological Behaviour of Aqueous Nonionic Polymer Solutions.

<http://fhc.univ-boumerdes.dz/images/articles15/benyounes15.pdf>.

- Caenn, R., and Chillingar, G. V. (1996) Drilling fluids: State of The Art. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 14(3–4): 221-230.
- Dagde, K. K., Nmegbu, Chukwuma, G. J. (2014). ‘Drilling Fluid Formulation Using Cellulose Generated From Groundnut Husk’. *International Journal of Advancements in Research & Technology*, 3(6), 65-70. Retrieved from ISSN 2278-7763
- Dasunmu A and Jashua O (2010). ‘Development of environmentally friendly oil-based mud using Palm-Oil and Groundnut-Oil’. Nigeria Annual International Conference and Exhibition, 31 July-7 August, Tinapa-Calabar, Nigeria 2010, SPE-140720-MS.
- Fontenelle, L., Weston, M., and Lord, P. 2013. Recycling Water: Case Studies in designing fracturing fluids using flowback, produced, and nontraditional water sources, Presented at the SPE Latin American and Caribbean Health, Safety, Environment and Social Responsibility Conference, Lima, Peru, 26-27 June. SPE-165641-MS.
- Ghazali, N. A., Alias, N. H., Mohd, T., Adeib, S. and Noorsuhana, M. Potential of Corn Starch as Fluid Loss Control Agent in Drilling Mud Applied Mechanics and Materials 754-755 (2015): 682-687
- Growcock, F., and Harvey, T. (2005) Drilling Fluids. In: ASME Shale Shaker Committee. *Drilling Fluids Processing Handbook*. UK: Elsevier. 15-68
- Hossain ME and Al-Majeed AA (2012) ‘Fundamentals of Sustainable Drilling Engineering’ John Wiley & Sons and Scrivener Publishing Company, Austin, TX 78702, in press.

Hughes T.L., Jones T.G.J and Houwen O.H. (1993, September 1). Chemical Characterization of CMC and Its Relationship to Drilling-Mud Rheology and Fluid Loss. Society of Petroleum Engineers. doi:10.2118/20000-PA
 T.L. Hughes, SPE, T.G. Jones, SPE, and O.H. Houwen

Iscan A. G. & Kok M. V. (2007) Effects of Polymers and CMC Concentration on Rheological and Fluid Loss Parameters of Water-Based Drilling Fluids, Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization, and Environmental Effects, 29:10, 939-949, DOI: 10.1080/00908310600713966

Ismail, I., and Abdul Kadir, A. A. (1998) The Importance of Implementing Proper Mixing Procedures in The Preparation of HEC and Corn Starch Mixtures for Controlling Fluid Loss. *Proceedings of International Conference on Mixing and Crystallization*. April 22-25. Tioman Island, Malaysia: UTM, 1-8.

Jamo, H. U., & Abdu, S. G. (2015). Characterization of A Treated Palm Oil Fuel Ash. *Science World Journal*, 10(1), 27-31. Retrieved from www.scienceworldjournal.org

Kanna, R., Nandhu, M., Joy, J., Vijayan, S., & Johnest, P. C. (2017). Effects of Solid Contents and Various Additives in Drilling Fluids. *Research Inventy: International Journal of Engineering And Science*, 7(2), 01-03.

Khalid, N. H., Hussin, M. W., Mirza, J., Ariffin, N. F., Ismail, M. A., Lee, H., ... Jaya, R. P. (2016). Palm oil fuel ash as potential green micro-filler in polymer concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*, 102, 950-960. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.11.038

- Liu, M. Y., Chua, C. P., Alengaram, U. J., & Jumaat, M. Z. (2014). Utilization of Palm Oil Fuel Ash as Binder in Lightweight Oil Palm Shell Geopolymer Concrete. *Advances in Materials Science and Engineering, 2014*, 1-6. doi:10.1155/2014/610274
- Mirza, J., A. Khalid, N. H., Hussin, M. W., Ismail, M., A. Ismail, M., Md. Tahir, Mohamed, A. & Ariffin, F.. (2015). EFFECTIVENESS OF PALM OIL FUEL ASH AS MICRO-FILLER IN POLYMER CONCRETE. *Jurnal Teknologi, 77*(16). doi:10.11113/jt.v77.6402
- Okon, A. N., Udoh, F. D., & Bassey, P. G. (2014, August 5). Evaluation of Rice Husk as Fluid Loss Control Additive in Water-Based Drilling Mud. Society of Petroleum Engineers. doi:10.2118/172379-MS
- Omole, O., Adeleye, J. O., Falode, O., Malomo, S., & Oyedeji, O. A. (2013). Investigation Into The Rheological And Filtration Properties of Drilling Mud Formulated With Clays From Northern Nigeria. *Journal of Petroleum and Gas Engineering, 4*(1), 01-13. doi:10.5897/JPGE09.017
- Pica, N. E., Terry, C., & Carlson, K. (2017, April 1). Optimization of Apparent Peak Viscosity in Carboxymethyl Cellulose Fracturing Fluid: Interactions of High Total Dissolved Solids, pH Value, and Crosslinker Concentration. Society of Petroleum Engineers. doi:10.2118/184396-PA
- Roshan, H., & Asef, M. R. (2010, September 1). Characteristics of Oilwell Cement Slurry Using CMC. Society of Petroleum Engineers. doi:10.2118/114246-PA
- Sauki, A., Shah, M. S., & Bakar, W. Z. (2015). Application of Ester based Drilling Fluid for Shale Gas Drilling. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 83*, 012012. doi:10.1088/1757-899x/83/1/012012

- Scomi Oiltools Sdn. Bhd. (2008). "Drilling Fluid Engineering Handbook" (2nded.).
Kuala Lumpur.
- Soh, K.L., Stephen, J.B., Muzzamil N., Kah, Y.C., Yuen, M.C., & Weng, S.L. (2013)
Enhancement of palm oil refinery waste - Spent bleaching earth (SBE) into bio
organic fertilizer and their effects on crop biomass growth. *Journal of Industrial
Crops and Products*
- Tangchirapat, W., Jaturapitakkul, C., & Chindaprasirt, P. (2009). Use of palm oil
fuel ash as a supplementary cementitious material for producing high-strength
concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*, 23(7), 2641-2646.
doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2009.01.008
- Wang, M., Sun, M., Shang, H., Fan, S., Liu, M., & Liu, F. (2012, January 1).
Biodiesel-based Drilling Fluids. Society of Petroleum Engineers.
doi:10.2118/155578-MS
- Watson, R.B., Viste, P., & Lauritzen, R., (2012, January 1). The Influence of Fluid
Loss Additives in High-Temperature Reservoirs. Society of Petroleum
Engineers. Doi:10.2118/151662-MS.
- Zhang, D., Niu, Y., & Liu, J. (2001, January 1). Application of Drilling Fluid
chemicals in China. Society of Petroleum Engineers. doi:10.2118/65384-MS
- Zheng, J., Wang, J., Musa, O., Farrar, D., Cockcroft, B., Robinson, A., & Gibbison,
R. (2011, January 1). Innovative Chemistry for Drilling Fluid Additives.
Society of Petroleum Engineers. doi:10.2118/142099-MS