

**SIMULATION OF POLYMER ALTERNATING GAS (PAG) FLOODING IN A
SYNTHETIC HIGHLY PERMEABLE RESERVOIR MODEL**

DHIYA SHABANA ABDULLAH

**A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the
Master of Engineering (Petroleum)**

**School of Chemical and Energy Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia**

JANUARY 2019

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to express my deepest appreciation to all those who helped me, in one way or another, to complete this master's project. It is a pleasure to remind the fine people of University of Technology Malaysia for their sincere guidance that I received. Firstly I would to convey my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Pm Dr Mohd Zaidi Jaafar for his guidance and encouragement throughout this study.

Not forgetting to express my gratitude to Dr Azza Hashim Abbas for her assistance and guidance that helped me to complete my project in time. Special thanks to Dr Wan Rosli Wan Sulaiman for his valuable advice and tips and also, School of Chemical and Energy Engineering (FCEE) UTM for the technical support throughout conducting this research.

Finally, my sincere appreciation also extends to my family members, friends, and all those who helped me in completing this thesis successfully.

ABSTRACT

Water alternating gas (WAG) or miscible CO₂-WAG injection has been a prevalent method to control mobility and enhance volumetric sweep efficiency for CO₂ flooding. Recent studies however show that most fields were unable to achieve the expected recovery factor from the WAG process, especially for high-permeability reservoirs. The effect of using polymer in water alternating gas injection (PAG) method as an enhanced oil recovery method in a synthetic sandstone reservoir model is investigated. The model of under investigation is a high permeable reservoir, so injected flood front would be breakthrough early times of injection periods. Hence, in the present work, a simulation study using reservoir simulator called STARS® commercialized by Computer Modelling Group Ltd. (CMG) was done to evaluate the potential benefit of adding polymer to the water during CO₂ WAG. The studies have shown that PAG flooding has recorded the lowest residual oil saturation (ROS) of 0.04 and the highest recovery factor (RF) of 56% compared to the water, CO₂ flooding, CO₂-WAG flooding and polymer flooding, implying PAG flooding have improved the sweep efficiency due to reduced the mobility ratio. The simulation results also showed a remarkable GOR reduction (at production well), a noticeable delay in the gas breakthrough, and an improvement in the areal sweep efficiencies during the PAG processes. Therefore, the synergy of polymer and CO₂-WAG flooding by taking advantage of polymer conformance control during water cycle and CO₂ miscibility with oil is said to have improved the microscopic displacement efficiency which is the paramount importance in the measurement of field's expected recovery, especially in a highly permeable reservoir.

ABSTRAK

Gas berselang-seling air (WAG) atau suntikan karbon dioksida-gas berselang-seli air (CO₂-WAG) yang terlarut merupakan kaedah lazim untuk mengawal mobiliti dan meningkatkan kecekapan isipadu sapu untuk banjir karbon dioksida CO₂. Kajian terbaru menunjukkan bahawa kebanyakan medan minyak tidak dapat mencapai faktor pemulihan minyak dijangka dari proses WAG, terutamanya reserbor yang mempunyai kebolehtelapan tinggi. Kesan menggunakan kaedah polimer dalam air suntikan gas (PAG) sebagai kaedah pemulihan minyak yang dipertingkatkan dalam model reserbor batu pasir sintetik telah disiasat. Model penyiasatan adalah reserbor resapan tinggi, jadi suntikan banjir akan mengalami terobosan semasa awal suntikan. Oleh itu, dalam siasatan ini, satu kajian simulasi menggunakan simulator reserbor yang dikenali sebagai STARS® dikomersialkan oleh Computer Modeling Group Ltd. (CMG) telah dijalankan untuk menilai potensi manfaat penambahan polimer ke dalam air semasa CO₂ WAG. Kajian telah menunjukkan bahawa banjir PAG telah mencatatkan ketepuan minyak sisa (ROS) paling rendah sebanyak 0.04 dan faktor pemulihan minyak tertinggi (RF) sebanyak 56% berbanding dengan banjir air, banjir CO₂, banjir CO₂-WAG dan banjir polimer, di mana banjir PAG dikatakan telah meningkatkan kecekapan isi padu sapu kerana nisbah mobiliti telah dikurangkan. Hasil simulasi juga menunjukkan pengurangan nisbah gas-minyak (GOR) yang luar biasa (di telaga pengeluaran), kelewatan ketara dalam terobosan gas, dan peningkatan kecekapan sapuan kawasan semasa proses PAG. Oleh itu, sinergi banjir polimer dan CO₂-WAG dengan menggunakan kelebihan daripada kawalan pematuhan polimer semasa kitaran air dan keterlarutcampuran karbon dioksida CO₂ dengan minyak dikatakan telah meningkatkan kecekapan anjakan mikroskopik yang penting bagi pengukuran ramalan faktor pemulihan minyak dalam medan minyak, terutamanya dalam reserbor yang sangat telap.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	TITLE PAGE	i
	DECLARATION	ii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
	ABSTRACT	iv
	ABSTRAK	v
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
	LIST OF TABLES	viii
	LIST OF FIGURES	ix
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xi
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of Study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objective of Study	4
	1.4 Scopes of Study	4
	1.5 Significance of Study	5
	1.6 Thesis Outline	5
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	6
	2.1 Introduction to EOR	6
	2.2 EOR Methods to Improve CO ₂ Flooding	9
	2.3 Definition and Mechanism of Polymer Flooding	13
	2.4 Selection of Simulation Tool for Polymer Flooding	21
	2.5 Application of Polymer Flooding	22
	2.6 Previous PAG studies	28
3	METHODOLOGY	30
	3.1 Introduction	30
	3.2 Construction of Simulation Model	30

	3.2.1 Description of Reservoir Model	32
	3.2.2 Simulation Cases	36
	3.2.3 Polymer Model in CMG-STARS	37
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	38
	4.1 Introduction	38
	4.2 Results and Discussions	38
	4.2.1 Effect of water and polymer flooding on the rate of water cut	38
	4.2.2 Sensitivity analysis on the residual oil saturation	40
	4.2.3 Effect of polymer flooding on the flood front, areal sweep efficiency and mobility ratio	47
	4.2.4 Effect of PAG flooding on gas-oil ratio	49
	4.2.5 Incremental oil recovery factor between PAG flooding, polymer flooding, miscible CO ₂ -WAG flooding, CO ₂ flooding and water flooding	52
5	CONCLUSION	54
	5.1 Conclusion	54
	5.2 Recommendations	55
	REFERENCES	56
	APPENDICES	67
	APPENDIX A	67
	APPENDIX B	68
	APPENDIX C	77

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Summary of input parameters	33
3.2	General properties of reservoir model	34
3.3	Constraints of production well and injection well	36
3.4	Viscosity versus polymer concentration	37

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Oil-recovery mechanisms	7
2.2	Mobility ratio of water-flooding (top) and polymer flooding (bottom)	15
2.3	Vertical displacement of injected water (blue) for water flooding (left) and polymer flooding (right)	15
2.4	Typical fractional flow curves (left) and saturation profile (right) for 1D polymer flooding	18
2.5	Reported polymer applications - Field names and references	24
2.6	Reported polymer applications - Field properties	26
3.1	Flowchart of simulation work	31
3.2	Location of injector and producer wells	32
3.3	3D Model representation of reservoir used in the simulation	34
3.4	Relative permeability to oil and water as a function of water saturation	35
4.1	Water cut versus cumulative oil production for water flooding	39
4.2	Water cut versus cumulative oil production for polymer flooding	40
4.3	Residual oil saturation maps for water flooding	41
4.4	Residual oil saturation maps for CO ₂ flooding	42
4.5	Residual oil saturation maps for miscible CO ₂ -WAG flooding	43
4.6	Residual oil saturation maps for polymer flooding	45
4.7	Residual oil saturation maps for PAG flooding	46
4.8	Fingering effect after (a) CO ₂ -WAG flooding and (b)	48

polymer flooding and (c) PAG flooding

4.9	Gas oil ratio for CO ₂ flooding	50
4.10	Gas oil ratio for CO ₂ -WAG flooding	50
4.11	Gas oil ratio for PAG flooding	51
4.12	Oil recovery factor for all the EOR processes	52
4.13	Cumulative oil production for all the EOR processes	53

LIST OF SYMBOLS

%	-	percentage
°F	-	degree Fahrenheit
μ_o	-	Viscosity of oil
μ_w	-	Viscosity of water
API	-	American Petroleum Institute
CO ₂	-	Carbon dioxide
EOR	-	Enhanced Oil Recovery
GOR	-	Gas-to-Oil Ratio
k	-	Permeability
k_o	-	Effective permeability of oil phase
k_{ro}	-	Oil relative permeability
M	-	Mobility
Md	-	milli-Darcy
PAG	-	Polymer Alternating Gas
RF	-	Recovery Factor
ROS	-	Residual oil saturation
S_o	-	Oil Saturation
S_w	-	Water Saturation
t	-	Time
WAG	-	Water Alternating Gas
wt %	-	weight percentage
λ	-	Mobility
μ	-	Viscosity
ϕ	-	Porosity

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Residual Oil Saturation Map (PAG)	67
B	Data File Base Case: Water Flooding	68
C	CMG Numerical: Keyword Setting	77

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

Carbon dioxide has been used commercially to recover oil from reservoirs over 40 years. Presently, CO₂ flooding is the second most applied enhanced oil recovery (EOR) process in the world after steam flooding. Water alternating gas (WAG) or miscible CO₂-WAG injection has been a prevalent method to control mobility and enhance volumetric sweep efficiency for CO₂ flooding. Typical EOR is about 9.7% with a range between 6 and 20% for miscible WAG injection. Despite the success of WAG injection, sweeping efficiency is a typical challenge to achieve higher oil recovery during CO₂ flooding.

Almost all commercial miscible gas injection projects use WAG to control mobility of gas and lessen fingering problems. Recovery of WAG is better compared to gas injection alone, and 80% of commercial WAG projects in the US are cost-effective (Christensen, Stenby and Skauge, 1998). Recent studies however, show that most fields were unable to achieve the expected recovery factor from the WAG process, especially for high-permeability reservoirs (Christensen, Stenby and Skauge, 2001).

A new combination method was proposed to overcome the problems of gas breakthroughs and gravity segregation, just like during the WAG. This new method, called polymer alternating gas (PAG), combines the elements of CO₂ flooding with polymer flooding to make the WAG flood chemically improved. Polymers coupled with CO₂ are expected to enhance the efficiency of the current WAG. The main feature of PAG is that water is injected into the polymer during the entire WAG process. Polymer injection chased with gas alternative water (PGAW) experiment based on Saskatchewan crude was conducted by Zhang, Huang and Luo (2010). They mentioned that the coupled CO₂ and polymer

injection improved recovery and efficiency compared to WAG and polymer flooding. The first coupled CO₂ and polymer injection simulation study for light oil based on a synthetic and homogeneous model was conducted by Majidaie, Khanifar and Onur (2012). Their study showed that PAG and WAG have almost the same recovery. Successful PAG and WAG application requires a good understanding of conformance, mobility and areal and vertical sweep efficiencies.

1.2 Problem Statement

Traditional gas (CO₂) flood methods suffer from insufficient sweep efficiency and incomplete recovery of oil. Caudle and Dyes (1958) observed that the sweep efficiency of a gas injection process can be enhanced by reducing the mobility behind the flooding front. This is achieved through the injection of a water slug and a gas slug. The water slug can reduce relative gas permeability and thus reduce the total mobility of the gas. The miscible slug is driven by a simultaneous injection of water and gas into the correct ratio in their proposed method. This method is changed to the Water Alternating Gas (WAG) process to prevent injectivity problems and other operational limitations associated with simultaneous injection.

During the WAG process, short slugs of gas and water are alternately injected to reduce the residual oil saturation and to control the mobility of gas. The recovery is better than water and gas injection alone (Rogers and Grigg, 2000) because the higher macroscopic efficiency of water merges with the higher microscopic efficiency of gas, giving a better oil recovery (Poolen, 1980; Christensen *et al*, 2001; Crogh *et al*, 2002; Awan *et al*, 2008). WAG has been widely used to improve the areal and vertical sweep efficiencies of gas/CO₂ flood (Kane, 1979; Champion and Shelden, 1989). WAG improves the recovery and the use of gas/CO₂ because the water injected has a higher viscosity than gas, which provides a better conformance control.

Although the WAG process is theoretically sound, its field incremental recovery is unsatisfactory because it seldom exceeds 5 to 10 % OOIP. Recent studies have shown that most of the fields were unable to reach the expected recovery factor from WAG processes (Sharma and Rao, 2008). Christensen *et al* (2001) have reported that the average recovery factor in immiscible WAG is 6.4 percent and in miscible case it is around 9.7 percent. Some studies also show that WAG occasionally has problems with high permeability zone channelling (Christensen *et al*, 2001; Chen et al, 2010). This is because the mobility ratio between the displacement and the displaced phases is not sufficiently reduced. In addition to operational problems, the WAG mechanism has inherent challenges such as gravity segregation water blocking, high viscosity oil mobility control, and reduced relative permeability of the oil and reduced injectivity of gas.

In this study, the above-mentioned WAG problems are addressed by adding polymer to the WAG cycle to further increase water viscosity and reduce the mobility ratio in order to reduce channelling and improve oil recovery. Polymer alternating gas (PAG) is therefore proposed to improve the efficiency of sweeping and recovery of oil. There have been a number of PAG research studies (Zhang *et al*, 2010; Li and Schechter, 2014; Li *et al*, 2014). Li *et al*, (2014) carried out a PAG simulation study using ECLIPSE for a highly heterogeneous North Burbank Unit field in Osage County, Oklahoma. Their study showed that the optimized PAG could increase oil recovery by approximately 14.3 percent compared with WAG by 7.3 percent. These studies have shown the potential benefit of polymer and CO₂ synergies. Hence, in the present work, a simulation study using reservoir simulator called STARS® commercialized by Computer Modelling Group Ltd. (CMG) is conducted to investigate the potential benefit of adding polymer to the water during CO₂ WAG process by taking the advantage of polymer conformance control during water cycle and CO₂ miscibility with oil. Each EOR method's performance on incremental oil recovery is also evaluated, including the water flood, CO₂ (gas) flood, water alternating gas (WAG), polymer flood and polymer alternating gas (PAG). The effect of each flooding method on residual oil saturation and sweep efficiency is also addressed.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

The objectives of this study are:

1. To compare the incremental oil recovery factor between PAG flooding, polymer flooding, miscible CO₂-WAG flooding, gas flooding and water flooding.
2. To visualize and evaluate the areal sweep efficiency of polymer flooding.
3. To evaluate and compare the effect of each EOR method on the residual oil saturation.
4. To evaluate the potential of PAG as a secondary or tertiary enhanced oil recovery mechanisms in a synthetic sandstone reservoir.

1.4 Scopes of Study

In order to achieve the objectives, the following scopes are drawn:

1. The study is done in a simulator developed by the Computer Modelling Group (CMG) known as STARS, Thermal & Advanced Processes Reservoir Simulator.
2. STARS is a thermal, k-value compositional, chemical reaction and geomechanics reservoir simulator in which one can perform advanced modelling of EOR processes such as polymer.
3. Options available for polymer flooding in STARS are studied.
4. The synthetic sandstone reservoir is constructed using CMG Builder.
5. The study is conducted in a homogeneous oil reservoir.
6. The optimum water injection rate is 1,200 STB/day
7. The optimum gas injection rate is 2052.94 MSCF/day.
8. WAG cycle time is 4 months. (2 months of CO₂ injection, followed by 2 months of water injection)
9. WAG ratio is 1:2 (50% time of CO₂ is injected and 50% of time water is injected)
10. Both production and injector well are vertical wells.

1.5 Significance of Study

This study is vital to solve problems related to Water Alternating Gas (WAG) such as early gas breakthrough and poor sweep efficiency by using PAG flooding. Polymers act essentially to increase the viscosity of the water injected and to reduce the permeability of the swept zone, to increase the vertical and areal sweeping efficiency of the water injection and thus to increase the recovery of the oil. Only few polymer and gas flooding studies using CMG-STARs have been published (Li and Schechter, 2014). Therefore, it is the interest of this study to investigate the feasibility of PAG flooding compared to other EOR methods in order to be implemented in Malaysian oilfields.

1.6 Thesis Outline

This thesis basically comprises of five main chapters. The first chapter explains the introduction of the project work. The second chapter describes all the related literature reviews pertaining to the project. The third one explains the methodology of the project and the fourth chapter carries the results for this study backed up by relevant references in the literature. The last chapter concludes the project.

REFERENCES

- Al Adasani, A. and Bai, B. (2011). Analysis of EOR projects and updated screening criteria. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 79(1-2), pp.10-24.
- Al-Ali, A., Schechter, D. and Lane, R. (2013). Application of Polymer Gels as Conformance Control Agents for Carbon Dioxide EOR WAG Floods. *SPE International Symposium on Oilfield Chemistry*.
- Al-Bahar, M., Merrill, R., Peake, W., Jumaa, M. and Oskui, R. (2004). Evaluation of IOR Potential within Kuwait. *Abu Dhabi International Conference and Exhibition*.
- Aleidan, A. and Mamora, D. (2010). SWACO₂ and WACO₂ Efficiency Improvement in Carbonate Cores by Lowering Water Salinity. *Canadian Unconventional Resources and International Petroleum Conference*.
- Archer, J. and Wall, C. (1986). *Petroleum Engineering*. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- Awan, A. R., Teigland, R. and Kleppe, J. (2008). A Survey of North Sea Enhanced-Oil-Recovery Projects Initiated During the Years 1975 to 2005. *SPE Reservoir Evaluation & Engineering* 11(3), pp.497-512.
- Bae, J. and Irani, C. (1993). A Laboratory Investigation of Viscosified CO₂ Process. *SPE Advanced Technology Series*, 1(01), pp.166-171.
- Bhuyan D, Lake LW, Pope GA. (1990). Mathematical modelling of high-pH chemical flooding. *SPE Reservoir Engineering* 5(2):213–220.

- Blaker, T., Aarra, M., Skauge, A., Rasmussen, L., Celius, H., Martinsen, H. and Vassenden, F. (2002). Foam for Gas Mobility Control in the Snorre Field: The FAWAG Project. *SPE Reservoir Evaluation & Engineering*, 5(04), pp.317-323.
- Bond, D.C. and Holbrook, O.C. *Gas Drive Oil Recovery Process*. US Patent No. 2,866,507. 1958.
- Camilleri, D., Engelson, S., Lake, L., Lin, E., Ohnos, T., Pope, G. and Sepehrnoori, K. (1987). Description of an Improved Compositional Micellar/Polymer Simulator. *SPE Reservoir Engineering*, 2(04), pp.427-432.
- Carcoana, A. (1992). *Applied enhanced oil recovery*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Caudle, B. H., & Dyes, A. B. (1958). Improving Miscible Displacement by Gas-Water Injection. *Society of Petroleum Engineers*.
- Champion, J. and Shelden, J. (1989). An Immiscible WAG Injection Project in the Kuparuk River Unit. *Journal of Petroleum Technology*, 41(05), pp.533-540.
- Chang, H. (1978). Polymer Flooding Technology Yesterday, Today, and Tomorrow. *Journal of Petroleum Technology*, 30(08), pp.1113-1128.
- Chen, S., Li, H., Yang, D. and Tontiwachwuthikul, P. (2010). Optimal Parametric Design for Water-Alternating-Gas (WAG) Process in a CO₂-Miscible Flooding Reservoir. *Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology*, 49(10), pp.75-82.
- Christensen, J., Stenby, E. and Skauge, A. (1998). Review of WAG Field Experience. *International Petroleum Conference and Exhibition of Mexico*.

Christensen, J., Stenby, E. and Skauge, A. (2001). Review of WAG Field Experience. *SPE Reservoir Evaluation & Engineering*, 4(02), pp.97-106.

CMG Manual, Computer Modelling Group, 2010.

Crogh, N., Eide, K. and Morterud, S. (2002). WAG Injection at the Statfjord Field, A Success Story. *European Petroleum Conference*.

DeHekker, T., Bowzer, J., Coleman, R. and Bartos, W. (1986). A Progress Report on Polymer-Augmented Waterflooding in Wyoming's North Oregon Basin and Byron Fields. *SPE Enhanced Oil Recovery Symposium*.

Delamaide, E., Zaitoun, A., Renard, G. and Tabary, R. (2014). *Pelican Lake Field: First Successful Application of Polymer Flooding In a Heavy-Oil Reservoir*.

Delshad, M., Han, C., Sepehrnoori, K. and Najafabadi, N. (2009). Development of a Three Phase, Fully Implicit, Parallel Chemical Flood Simulator. *SPE Reservoir Simulation Symposium*.

Delshad, M., Kim, D., Magbagbeola, O., Huh, C., Pope, G. and Tarahhom, F. (2008). Mechanistic Interpretation and Utilization of Viscoelastic Behavior of Polymer Solutions for Improved Polymer-Flood Efficiency. *SPE Symposium on Improved Oil Recovery*.

Detling, K. D., *Process of recovering oil from oil sand*, U. S. Patent No. 2,341,500, 1944.

Elmkies, P., Lasseux, D., Bertin, H., Pichery, T. and Zaitoun, A. (2002). Polymer Effect on Gas/Water Flow in Porous Media. *SPE/DOE Improved Oil Recovery Symposium*.

- Enick, R., Olsen, D., Ammer, J. and Schuller, W. (2012). Mobility and Conformance Control for CO₂ EOR via Thickeners, Foams, and Gels-- A Literature Review of 40 Years of Research and Pilot Tests. *SPE Improved Oil Recovery Symposium*.
- Faisal, A., Bisdorn, K., Zhumabek, B., Zadeh, A. and Rossen, W. (2009). Injectivity and Gravity Segregation in WAG and SWAG Enhanced Oil Recovery. *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition*.
- Falode, O. and Idoko, K. (2017). Simulation Study of Polymer Flooding Performance: Effect of Polymer Rheology. *Physical Science International Journal*, 14(2), pp.1-12.
- Green, Don W & Willhite, G. Paul & Society of Petroleum Engineers (U.S.) (1998). *Enhanced oil recovery*. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Richardson, Texas.
- Han, C., Delshad, M., Sepehrnoori, K. and Pope, G. (2005). A Fully Implicit, Parallel, Compositional Chemical Flooding Simulator. *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition*.
- Heller, J., Dandge, D., Card, R. and Donaruma, L. (1985). Direct Thickeners for Mobility Control of CO₂ Floods. *Society of Petroleum Engineers Journal*, 25(05), pp.679-686.
- Hild, G. and Wackowski, R. (1999). Reservoir Polymer Gel Treatments To Improve Miscible CO₂ Flood. *SPE Reservoir Evaluation & Engineering*, 2(02), pp.196-204.
- Huang, S. (1997). Comparative Effectiveness of CO₂, Produced Gas, and Flue Gas for Enhanced Heavy Oil Recovery. *International Thermal Operations and Heavy Oil Symposium*.

- Jackson, D., Andrews, G. and Claridge, E. (1985). Optimum WAG Ratio vs. Rock Wettability in CO₂ Flooding. *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition*.
- Jamal, M., Alnuaim, S., Awotunde, A. and Khan, R. (2016). Optimal Parameter Selection in a Polymer Alternating Gas PAG Process. *SPE Kingdom of Saudi Arabia Annual Technical Symposium and Exhibition*.
- Jenkins, M. (1984). An Analytical Model for Water/Gas Miscible Displacements. *SPE Enhanced Oil Recovery Symposium*.
- Jeong, M., Cho, J., Choi, J., Lee, J. and Lee, K. (2014). Compositional Simulation on the Flow of Polymeric Solution Alternating CO₂ through Heavy Oil Reservoir. *Advances in Mechanical Engineering*, 6, p.978465.
- Kane, A. (1979). Performance Review of a Large-Scale CO₂-WAG Enhanced Recovery Project, SACROC Unit Kelly-Snyder Field. *Journal of Petroleum Technology*, 31(02), pp.217-231.
- Kang, Z., Jian, H., Xiaoning, Li. (2016). The Effect of Well Patterns on Surfactant/Polymer Flooding, *International Journal of Energy and Power Engineering*. Vol. 5, No. 6, 2016, pp. 189-195.
- Karaoguz, O., Topguder, N., Lane, R., Kalfa, U. and Celebioglu, D. (2007). Improved Sweep in Bati Raman Heavy-Oil CO₂ Flood: Bullhead Flowing Gel Treatments Plug Natural Fractures. *SPE Reservoir Evaluation & Engineering*, 10(02), pp.164-175.
- Keshtkar, S., Sabeti, M. and Mohammadi, A. (2016). Numerical approach for enhanced oil recovery with surfactant flooding. *Petroleum*, 2(1), pp.98-107.

- Kong, X., Delshad, M. and Wheeler, M. (2015). A Numerical Study of Benefits of Adding Polymer to WAG Processes for a Pilot Case. *SPE Reservoir Simulation Symposium*.
- Kulkarni, M.M. and Rao, D.N. (2005). Experimental investigation of miscible and immiscible Water-Alternating-Gas (WAG) process performance. *Journal Petroleum Science Engineering* 48 (1-2): 1-20.
- Langmuir, I. (1916). The Constitution and Fundamental Properties of Solids and Liquids. Part I. Solids. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 38(11), pp.2221-2295.
- Latil, M. (1980). *Enhanced oil recovery*. Paris: Editions Technip.
- Li, W. and Schechter, D. (2014). Using Polymer Alternating Gas to Maximize CO₂ Flooding Performance. *SPE Energy Resources Conference*.
- Li, W., Dong, Z., Sun, J. and Schechter, D. (2014). Polymer-Alternating-Gas Simulation: A Case Study. *SPE EOR Conference at Oil and Gas West Asia*.
- Li, Weirong (2014). *Using Polymer to Maximize CO₂ Flooding Performance in Light Oils*. Doctoral dissertation, Texas A & M University.
- Lin, E. and Huang, E. (1990). The Effect of Rock Wettability on Water Blocking During Miscible Displacement. *SPE Reservoir Engineering*, 5(02), pp.205-212.
- Majidaie, S., Khanifar, A., Onur, M. and Tan, I. (2012). A Simulation Study of Chemically Enhanced Water Alternating Gas (CWAG) Injection. *SPE EOR Conference at Oil and Gas West Asia*.

- Maneeintr et al, K. (2013). Preliminary Study of In-situ Combustion in Heavy Oil Field in the North of Thailand. *Procedia Earth and Planetary Science*, 6, pp.326-334.
- Masalmeh, S. (2002). The Effect of Wettability on Saturation Functions and Impact on Carbonate Reservoirs in the Middle East. *Abu Dhabi International Petroleum Exhibition and Conference*.
- Muller, T. and Lake, L. (1991). Theoretical Study of Water Blocking in Miscible Flooding. *SPE Reservoir Engineering*, 6(04), pp.445-451.
- Osterloh, W. and Law, E. (1998). Polymer Transport and Rheological Properties for Polymer Flooding in the North Sea. *SPE/DOE Improved Oil Recovery Symposium*.
- Paul, G., Lake, L., Pope, G. and Young, G. (1982). A Simplified Predictive Model for Micellar-Polymer Flooding. *SPE California Regional Meeting*.
- Pope, G. (1980). The Application of Fractional Flow Theory to Enhanced Oil Recovery. *Society of Petroleum Engineers Journal*, 20(03), pp.191-205.
- Pye, D. (1964). Improved Secondary Recovery by Control of Water Mobility. *Journal of Petroleum Technology*, 16(08), pp.911-916.
- Rai, S., Bera, A. and Mandal, A. (2014). Modelling of surfactant and surfactant-polymer flooding for enhanced oil recovery using STARS (CMG) software. *Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production Technology*, 5(1), pp.1-11.

- Robert D. Sydansk. (2007). Polymers, Gels, Foams, and Resins. In Petroleum Engineering Handbook Vol. 5, ed. Edward D. Holstein, 13, pp. 1219-1224, Richardson: *Society of Petroleum Engineers*.
- Rogers, J. and Grigg, R. (2000). A Literature Analysis of the WAG Injectivity Abnormalities in the CO₂ Process. *SPE/DOE Improved Oil Recovery Symposium*.
- Sandiford, B. (1964). Laboratory and Field Studies of Water Floods Using Polymer Solutions to Increase Oil Recoveries. *Journal of Petroleum Technology*, 16(08), pp.917-922.
- Sharma, A. and Rao, D. (2008). Scaled Physical Model Experiments to Characterize the Gas-Assisted Gravity Drainage EOR Process. *SPE Symposium on Improved Oil Recovery*.
- Sheng, J. (2013). *Enhanced oil recovery field case studies*. Waltham, Mass.: Elsevier.
- Silva, I. P. G., Lucas, E. F. Franca, F. P. (2010). Study of conditions for polyacrylamide use in petroleum reservoirs: physical flow simulation in porous media. *Chemistry & Chemical Technology*, v. 4, pp. 73-80.
- Sohrabi, M., Danesh, A. and Tehrani, D. (2005). Oil Recovery by Near-Miscible SWAG Injection. *SPE Europec/EAGE Annual Conference*.
- Sorbie, K. (2013). *Polymer-Improved Oil Recovery*. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- Speight, J. (2009). *Enhanced recovery methods for heavy oil and tar sands*. Houston, Texas: Gulf Publication. Cooperation.

- Stone, H. (2004). A Simultaneous Water and Gas Flood Design With Extraordinary Vertical Gas Sweep. *SPE International Petroleum Conference in Mexico*.
- Sun, T., Li, Y. and Ma, K. (2017). Research and Practice of the Early Stage Polymer Flooding on LD Offshore Oilfield. *Journal of Petroleum & Environmental Biotechnology*, 08(04).
- Taber, J. (1983). Technical Screening Guides for the Enhanced Recovery of Oil. *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition*.
- Taber, J., Martin, F. and Seright, R. (1997a). EOR Screening Criteria Revisited - Part 1: Introduction to Screening Criteria and Enhanced Recovery Field Projects. *SPE Reservoir Engineering*, 12(03), pp.189-198.
- Taber, J., Martin, F. and Seright, R. (1997b). EOR Screening Criteria Revisited—Part 2: Applications and Impact of Oil Prices. *SPE Reservoir Engineering*, 12(03), pp.199-206.
- Terry, R., Zaid, A., Angelos, C. and Whitman, D. (1987). Polymerization in Supercritical CO₂ to Improve CO₂/Oil Mobility Ratios. *SPE International Symposium on Oilfield Chemistry*.
- Topguder, N. (2010). A Review on Utilization of Crosslinked Polymer Gels for Improving Heavy Oil Recovery in Turkey. *SPE EUROPEC/EAGE Annual Conference and Exhibition*.
- Touray, S. (2013). *Effect of Water Alternating Gas Injection on Ultimate Oil Recovery*. Master's thesis, Dalhousie University Halifax, Nova Scotia.

- Van Poolen, H. (1982). *Fundamentals of enhanced oil recovery*. Tulsa, Okla: PennWell Books.
- Vaskas, A.J. (1996). *Optimization of surfactant flooding: an economic approach*. Master's thesis, The University of Texas at Austin, Texas.
- Wang, D., Cheng, J., Wu, J. and Wang, Y. (2002). Producing by Polymer Flooding more than 300 Million Barrels of Oil, What Experiences Have Been Learnt?. *SPE Asia Pacific Oil and Gas Conference and Exhibition*.
- Wei, B. (2016). Advances in Polymer Flooding. *Viscoelastic and Viscoplastic Materials*.
- Woods, P., Schramko, K., Turner, D., Dalrymple, D. and Vinson, E. (1986). In-Situ Polymerization Controls CO₂/Water Channeling at Lick Creek. *SPE Enhanced Oil Recovery Symposium*.
- Yang, Y., Li, W., Zhou, T., Dong, Z., (2018). Using Polymer Alternating Gas to Enhance Oil Recovery in Heavy Oil *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* 113
- Zampieri, M. and Moreno, R. (2013). Water Injection, Polymer Injection and Polymer Alternating Water Injection for Enhanced Oil Recovery: A Laboratory Study. *Volume 6: Polar and Arctic Sciences and Technology; Offshore Geotechnics; Petroleum Technology Symposium*.
- Zerón, L. R. (2012). Introduction to Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) Processes and Bioremediation of Oil Contaminated Sites. Rijeka: *InTech Open*.

Zhang, Y., Huang, S. and Luo, P. (2010). Coupling Immiscible CO₂ Technology and Polymer Injection to Maximize EOR Performance for Heavy Oils. *Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology*, 49(05), pp.25-33.