

NUMERICAL SIMULATION ON FOAM STABILITY AND ELECTROKINETIC
POTENTIAL DURING FOAM INJECTION

AMNI HASLINDA BINTI ALPANDI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Philosophy

School of Chemical and Energy Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

SEPTEMBER 2019

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my parents, family, teammates and all the beloved ummah.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, The Beneficent, The Merciful.

First and foremost, all praises to The Almighty as for His mercy and grace, I was able to complete my master studies.

I would like to seize this opportunity to thank all parties and extend my heartfelt gratitude to the following individuals who have made my research studies as milestones for me to nurture my knowledge.

In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main supervisor, Associate Professor Ir. Dr. Mohd Zaidi Jaafar for his encouragement, guidance and advice. I am also very thankful to my co-supervisor, Dr Mohd Akhmal Muhamad Sidek and Encik Azmi Mohd Arshad for their guidance, advices and motivation. Without their continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

My sincere appreciation also extends to my parents, siblings and family for their endless support, guidance and prayers throughout my studies. A special thank is dedicated to all my beloved teammates who always provide me with moral support and remind me about the purpose of life. Last but not least, a huge gratitude also dedicated to all my fellow postgraduate student and others who have provided assistance at various occasions.

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians, and practitioners. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space. Again, thanks to everyone who helped me either directly or indirectly in completing this research studies. May Allah reward all your goodness in this world and hereafter.

ABSTRACT

Numerical models in petroleum reservoir simulation are valuable tools to visualize the pattern of reservoir fluid flow and to estimate production oil. Modelling enhanced oil recovery (EOR) processes requires a complex mathematical model to integrate multiphase flow and electrokinetic phenomena. There have been limited studies done in combining foam stability and electrokinetics by using simulation tools. This study aims to build and integrate a numerical model of foam progression and electrokinetic behaviour in foam injection process. This model was built using COMSOL Multiphysics 5.3 software to investigate fluid flow profiles in free liquid films stabilised by anionic surfactant, simulate foam progression and electrokinetic measurement with reasonable accuracy. Validation of the numerical model was done using finite element method. Several recent lab and simulation works were compared with this numerical simulation result to fill the gap in this research area. Hence, the relationship between stability of foam and the associated streaming potential signals was obtained. The finding shows that the algorithms suitable for this foam injection case are continuity equation, conservation of species transport, Navier Stokes equations and electric current conservation. Based on the comparison, this simulation study has high level of similarity with previous experimental and simulation works. Therefore, the effectiveness of the EOR in terms of foam stability can be monitored in real time.

ABSTRAK

Model berangka dalam penyelakuan reservoir petroleum ialah satu alat yang berharga bagi mencerap corak aliran bendalir reservoir dan menganggar pengeluaran minyak. Pemodelan proses perolehan minyak tertingkat (EOR) memerlukan model matematik yang kompleks bagi menyepadukan aliran berbilang fasa dan fenomena elektrokinetik. Tidak banyak kajian yang dilakukan dalam menggabungkan kestabilan busa dan elektrokinetik menggunakan alat penyelakuan. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk membina dan menyepadukan model berangka pergerakan busa dan tingkah laku elektrokinetik dalam proses suntikan busa. Model ini dibina menggunakan perisian COMSOL Multiphysics 5.3 yang boleh mengkaji profil aliran bendalir dalam filem cecair bebas yang disokong oleh surfaktan anionik, menyelaku pergerakan busa dan pengukuran elektrokinetik dengan ketepatan yang munasabah. Pengesahan model berangka dilaksana menggunakan kaedah elemen finite. Beberapa kerja makmal dan penyelakuan terkini dibandingkan dengan hasil penyelakuan berangka ini bagi memenuhi jurang dalam bidang penyelidikan terbabit. Oleh itu, hubungan antara kestabilan busa dengan isyarat potensi aliran yang berkaitan berjaya diperolehi. Dapatan menunjukkan bahawa algoritma yang sesuai untuk kes suntikan busa ialah persamaan selanjar, pemuliharaan pengangkutan spesies, persamaan Navier Stokes dan pemuliharaan arus elektrik. Berdasarkan perbandingan, kajian penyelakuan ini mempunyai tahap kesamaan yang tinggi terhadap hasil uji kaji dan penyelakuan sebelumnya. Oleh itu, keberkesanan EOR dalam bentuk kestabilan busa boleh dipantau dalam masa nyata.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	ix
	LIST OF FIGURES	x
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xv
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background of Study	1
1.2	Statement of Problem	3
1.3	Objectives of Study	4
1.4	Scopes of Study	4
1.5	Significance of the Study	5
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1	Foam Injection	7
2.2	Monitoring Techniques	11
2.3	COMSOL Multiphysics	16
2.4	The Validation of Numerical Model	20
2.5	Parameters Affecting Foam Stability	29
2.5.1	Concluding Remarks	32
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	33
3.1	Introduction	33
3.2	COMSOL Multiphysics	34

3.2.1	Software Background	34
3.2.2	Finite Element Method (FEM)	35
3.2.3	The COMSOL Modules and Applications	36
3.2.3.1	The CFD Module	38
3.2.3.2	The Chemical Engineering Module	39
3.2.3.3	The MEMS and Electrochemistry Module	41
3.2.4	COMSOL Interface	43
3.3	Modelling and Simulation Procedure	44
3.4	Finite Element Method	47
3.5	Flowchart of Research Design	51
3.6	Concluding Remarks	52
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	53
4.1	Introduction	53
4.2	Sand Pack Simulation Model	53
4.3	Validation of Numerical Model by using FEM	58
4.3.1	Comparison Study with Previous Simulation Result	58
4.3.2	Grid Independent Test (GIT)	63
4.4	Foam Stability and Electrokinetic Signal	65
4.4.1	Comparison between Simulation and Previous Experimental Results	65
4.4.2	Sensitivity Analysis	71
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	83
5.1	Conclusions	83
5.2	Recommendations for Future Work	85
REFERENCES		87

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	The difference between WAG and FAWAG injections	9
Table 2.2	An overview of previous studies on monitoring techniques used for EOR, electrokinetic transport and foam stability	13
Table 2.3	An overview of existing studies covering the types of software used to perform numerical simulation on foam stability and electrokinetic potential during EOR processes	17
Table 2.4	An overview of previous studies covering COMSOL Multiphysics used to perform numerical simulation for foam stability and electrokinetic potential during EOR processes	19
Table 2.5	Comparison studies between several methods for validation of foam and electrokinetic model	25
Table 2.6	An overview of previous studies for validation of foam and electrokinetic model using FEM	28
Table 3.1	Sand pack model information	45
Table 3.2	Components for foam formulation	46
Table 3.3	Boundary conditions used for the numerical simulation	49
Table 4.1	Comparison study to validate the numerical model	62
Table 4.2	Comparison between this simulation study and previous experimental works	69
Table 4.3	Mesh results of 7500 ppm SDS concentration	72
Table 4.4	Mesh statics results of 7500 ppm SDS concentration	73
Table 4.5	Mesh results of 10 000 ppm SDS concentration	75
Table 4.6	Mesh statics results of 10 000 ppm SDS concentration	76
Table 4.7	Mesh results of 12 500 ppm SDS concentration	78
Table 4.8	Mesh statics of 12 500 ppm SDS concentration	79

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	VSP monitoring in EOR (Shafiq and Galybin, 2015)	11
Figure 2.2	Summary of spontaneous potential (Omar <i>et al.</i> , 2013)	12
Figure 2.3	The geometry of a T-junction microchannel (Sharma <i>et al.</i> , 2013)	22
Figure 2.4	Deformation of fluid flow pattern when it passed through an applied field (Sharma <i>et al.</i> , 2013)	23
Figure 2.5	Computational Domain and the location of analysis window (Hussein <i>et al.</i> , 2018)	23
Figure 2.6	Liquid film pattern at the analysis window (Hussein <i>et al.</i> , 2018)	24
Figure 2.7	The graph of voltages against time for different SDS concentrations (Omar, 2017)	30
Figure 2.8	The graph of zeta potential as a function of surfactant coverage, measured from electro osmosis and streaming current (Joly <i>et al.</i> , 2014)	31
Figure 3.1	Four basic steps of FEM	35
Figure 3.2	COMSOL Multiphysics Modules	37
Figure 3.3	Application modes for Chemical Engineering module	40
Figure 3.4	COMSOL Multiphysics Interface	43
Figure 3.5	Simulation workflow	45
Figure 3.6	Cross section through sand pack model	46
Figure 3.7	2D numerical model using COMSOL Multiphysics 5.3.	48
Figure 3.8	The flowchart of research design	51
Figure 4.1	Sand pack simulation model from view of 3D	54
Figure 4.2	Sand pack simulation model from view of XY-axis	54
Figure 4.3	Sand pack simulation model from view of YZ-axis	55
Figure 4.4	Sand pack simulation model from view of ZX-axis	55
Figure 4.5	Early stage of foam injection model	56
Figure 4.6	Middle stage of foam injection model	56
Figure 4.7	Last stage of foam injection model	57

Figure 4.8	Flow pattern of velocity magnitude	58
Figure 4.9	Meshing of sand pack simulation model with 6270 elements	63
Figure 4.10	Meshing of sand pack simulation model with 13570 elements	63
Figure 4.11	Meshing of sand pack simulation model with 86602 elements	64
Figure 4.12	GIT graph of voltage at Point A versus number of elements	64
Figure 4.13	The dispersed phase of 7500 ppm SDS concentration	66
Figure 4.14	The dispersed phase of 10 000 ppm SDS concentration	67
Figure 4.15	The dispersed phase of 12 500 ppm SDS concentration	67
Figure 4.16	Coarse element size for mesh with 7500 ppm SDS concentration	71
Figure 4.17	Normal element size for mesh with 7500 ppm SDS concentration	71
Figure 4.18	Finer element size for mesh with 7500 ppm SDS concentration	72
Figure 4.19	Coarse element size for mesh with 10 000 ppm SDS concentration	74
Figure 4.20	Normal element size for mesh with 10 000 ppm SDS concentration	74
Figure 4.21	Finer element size for mesh with 10 000 ppm SDS concentration	74
Figure 4.22	Coarse element size for mesh with 12 500 ppm SDS concentration	77
Figure 4.23	Normal element size for mesh with 12 500 ppm SDS concentration	77
Figure 4.24	Finer element size for mesh with 12 500 ppm SDS concentration	77

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AC	-	Alternating Current
ALE	-	Lagrangian Eulerian Algorithm
CFD	-	Computational Fluid Dynamic
CMC	-	Critical Micelle Concentration
CPU	-	Central Processing Unit
DC	-	Direct Current
DOFs	-	Degrees of Freedom
EDL	-	Electric Double Layer
EHD	-	Electrohydrodynamics
EKSF	-	Electrokinetic Soil Flushing
EOR	-	Enhanced Oil Recovery
FAWAG	-	Foam Assisted Water Alternating Gas
FD	-	Finite Difference
FEM	-	Finite Element Method
GIT	-	Grid Independence Test
GOR	-	Gas Oil Ratio
IFT	-	Interfacial Tension
LBM	-	Lattice Boltzmann Method
MEMS	-	Microelectromechanical system
NS	-	Navier Stokes
PDEs	-	Partial Differential Equations
PNM	-	Pore Network Model
PNP	-	Poisson Nernst Planck
SAG	-	Surfactant Alternating Gas
SDS	-	Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate
VSP	-	Vertical Seismic Profiling
WAG	-	Water Alternating Gas

LIST OF SYMBOLS

β_0	-	Zeta Potential at the Surface Covered Solid-Liquid Interface
C_i	-	Concentration
cm	-	Centimetre
CO_2	-	Carbon Dioxide
Cu/CuCl	-	Copper/Copper Chloride
D	-	Diameter
D_i	-	Diffusion Coefficient
E	-	Electric Field
ϵ_0	-	Absolute Permittivity of Vacuum
ϵ_r	-	Relative Permittivity of the Test Fluid
$\epsilon_r \epsilon_0$	-	Solution Permittivity
f	-	Foam Quality
F_{ivf}	-	Force Per Unit Volume
h , hr	-	Hour
H^+	-	Hydrogen Ion
I	-	Identity Matrix
L	-	Length
min	-	Minutes
mm	-	Millimetre
mV	-	MilliVolt
NaCl	-	Sodium Chloride
η	-	Dynamic Viscosity
OH^-	-	Hydroxide Ion
P	-	Pressure
ppm	-	Part Per Million
Φ	-	Electric Potential
R_i	-	All the Reactions Involving Species i
s	-	Seconds
u	-	Velocity Field Vector

$u_{G/L}$	-	Slip Velocities Gas-Liquid
μ_i	-	Mobility
μm	-	Micrometre
$u_{S/L}$	-	Slip Velocities Solid-Liquid
V	-	Electric Potential
V_g	-	Volume of Gas in Foam
V_l	-	Volume of Liquid in Foam
ψ_0	-	Zeta Potential at the Surfactant Covered Gas-Liquid Interface
z_i	-	Electric Charge

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Modelling and Simulation Procedure	93

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

In 1958, foam injection was first introduced as an enhanced oil recovery (EOR) method and foam was able to act as an agent for gas blocking. In EOR process, foam has shown favourable characteristics of flow including mobility, flow diversion to region of low permeability and selective blocking of thief zones (Farajzadeh *et al.*, 2012).

A dispersion of gas in a continuous liquid phase is known as foam. However, the gas phase is discontinuously organized in gas bubbles. Besides that, with the presence of surfactant, flowing gas in porous medium causes the generation of foam (Simjoo *et al.*, 2012). The surfactant solution in a liquid phase acts as a stabilizer when the gas breaks into bubbles.

After a period of hydrocarbon production, pressure in the reservoir will be depleted and this situation might lead to the use of EOR as a solution (Tunio and Chandio, 2012). Foam Assisted Water Alternating Gas (FAWAG) has been applied as one of the EOR techniques because of the capability to maximize the rate of hydrocarbon production in the production tubing, reduce gas oil ratio and improve sweep efficiency especially during gas injection. Moreover, FAWAG also becomes a new method for the improvement of well flow. By delaying early gas breakthrough, FAWAG provides better control of mobility for gas flow (Shabib-asl *et al.*, 2014).

Several researchers have proposed to use electrical current for EOR. In producing reservoir, passing of electrical current between electrodes is a process in electrical enhanced oil recovery. Alternating current (AC) electricity has been proposed in order to heat oil recovery which causes decreasing viscosity of oil and

enhanced recovery (Wittle, Hill and Chilingar, 2011). Unfortunately, AC current face some problems such as corrosion of electrodes, losses of inductive energy and shallow penetration missing from the electrodes. In the application of direct current (DC) EOR, these problems do not occur. Wittle *et al.* (2011) suggested to use DC current with high density to drive oil into wells from reservoirs.

When tangential electric field interrelates with a charged surface immersed in electrolyte solution, a phenomena called electrokinetic has been discovered. When a liquid is forced through the system, the movement of part of the Electric Double Layer (EDL) from the charged pore surfaces is defined as the effects in a porous system by the electrokinetic. The movement of liquid through capillaries brings a net charge which is a mobile part known as EDL and this causes the increase of the streaming current. The potential at the shear surface between the charged surface and the electrolyte solution is one of the factors affecting the electrokinetic behaviour. This potential is known as zeta potential or electrokinetic (Omar *et al.*, 2013).

A thin charged double layer exists in reservoir rocks at the interface between the water in the pores and the rock matrix. Usually, the matrix surface is negatively charged. Electric current is produced when the water moves under a pressure gradient. Omar *et al.* (2013) stated that the source of the streaming potential is this conductive current. By providing direct information on the charged solid and the liquid interface, electrokinetic technique becomes a valuable tool for monitoring processes in EOR applications.

In order to control mobility ratio and improve the volumetric sweep efficiency, foam is widely used in EOR displacement process. Stability of the foam film is a factor that affects the process efficiency of foam displacement. However, this direct observation of foam stability in laboratory using physical observation is not possible to be applied in reservoir. Therefore, Omar *et al.* (2013) proposed a better alternative which is using the electrokinetic potential to measure indirect assessment of foam stability. Previously, a detecting tool for the encroachment of water towards a production well has been recommended using the measurement of electrokinetic potential (Jackson, 2010). By installing the electrodes downhole, the dynamics of

electrically charged fluid in porous media such as the formation and injected fluid can be measured (Mohd *et al.*, 2017).

1.2 Statement of Problem

Mathematical models in petroleum reservoir simulation are valuable tools in order to visualize the pattern of reservoir fluid flow and to estimate the production of oil. Electrokinetic study in the EOR requires a complex mathematical modelling to integrate multiphase flow and process of the electrokinetic transport.

Recent laboratory experiment by Omar (2017) has been conducted to investigate the relationship between foam stability and electrokinetic phenomena. However, the experimental result has not been validated by any numerical modelling. In terms of modelling, many studies have looked at the progression of foam in reservoirs and a few numerical studies also have been conducted to predict electrokinetic potential variation in the water flooding process. Nevertheless, there are limited studies that combine foam stability and electrokinetic in the reservoir. Therefore, a recent laboratory and few simulation works were compared with this simulation work to fill the gap in this research area.

Moreover, most of the previous experiments and simulations conducted by other researchers related to electrokinetic phenomena during foam system involved an electro-osmosis process. However, there are limited studies that combine foam stability and streaming potential signal. Therefore, this simulation study focuses on streaming potential to fill the gap in this research area.

1.3 Objectives of Study

Followings are the objectives proposed for this study:

- (a) To develop a numerical models for foam progression and electrokinetic behaviour in foam injection process.
- (b) To validate the numerical model by using Finite Element Method (FEM).
- (c) To compare simulation result with previous experimental work in relation to foam stability and associated streaming signals in foam injection process.

1.4 Scopes of Study

The study area of this research is focusing on the fundamental of governing equation of electrokinetic EOR, mass transport model of electrokinetic, coupling of electrical and pressure gradients, the solution strategy used and the implementation of numerical model for the simulation process. In addition, governing equation of fluid dynamic such as foam, modelling of the multiphase flow in porous media, fundamental studies on foam films properties and characteristics, parameters affecting foam stability together with the rupture of films between bubbles under dynamic condition will also be investigated.

COMSOL Multiphysics 5.3 was used to develop a model and run the simulation in order to investigate the correlation between stability of foam and streaming potential signals in foam injection process. The COMSOL software used were available for academic purposed. The numerical model of electrokinetic and foam stability was validated by using FEM. Therefore, the result obtained from this numerical simulation was compared with the outcome from the experimental works.

1.5 Significance of the Study

This research look into monitoring the changes in behaviour of foam during foam injection process which contributes to the changes of streaming potential signals. From this research, parameters affecting the stability of foam in foam injection process have also been identified.

Based on the findings from this simulation study, the application can be implemented in the real field which can give values to the oil and gas industry. A predictive numerical model of foam injection process is important for efficient process design and timely project evaluation. In addition, monitoring foam progression in EOR is necessary to ensure the process is effective or not. Extensive field application of foam in EOR requires appropriate project design, careful evaluation of reservoir candidates and process optimization to ensure the project success, both economically and technically.

REFERENCES

- Al-Fetlawi, H., Shah, A.A. and Walsh, F.C. (2010). Modelling the effects of oxygen evolution in the all-vanadium redox flow battery. *Electrochimica Acta*. 55(9), 3192–3205.
- Alkafeef, S. F., Al-Ajmi, N. M., & Alajmi, A. F. F. (2007). Applications of streaming potential transient tests to electrokinetic characterization of oil reservoirs. In *SPE Middle East Oil and Gas Show and Conference*. Society of Petroleum Engineers.
- Andrianov, A., Farajzadeh, R., Mahmoodi Nick, M., Talanana, M. and Zitha, P.L.J. (2012). Immiscible foam for enhancing oil recovery: Bulk and porous media experiments. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*. 51(5), 2214–2226.
- Anuar, M., Mardhiah, S., Jaafar, M. Z., Sulaiman, W., Rosli, W., & Ismail, A. R. (2013, July). Monitoring Water Alternate Gas (WAG) process using streaming potential measurement. In *SPE Enhanced Oil Recovery Conference*. Society of Petroleum Engineers.
- Arabadzhiya, D., Mileva, E., Tchoukov, P., Miller, R., Ravera, F., & Liggieri, L. (2011). Adsorption layer properties and foam film drainage of aqueous solutions of tetraethyleneglycol monododecyl ether. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 392(1), 233-241.
- Bagheri, S.R. (2017). Experimental and simulation study of the steam-foam process. *Energy and Fuels*. 31(1), 299–310.
- Bekbauov, B., Berdyshev, A., Baishemirov, Z. and Bau, D. (2017). Numerical Validation of Chemical Compositional Model for Wettability Alteration Processes. *Open Engineering*. 7(1), 416–427.
- Dean, T., Constantinou, A., Cuny, T., Frignet, B., Hartog, A., Kimura, T., Lane, D. and Lees, G. (2015). Vertical seismic profiles : Now just another log ? *Seg 2015.*, 5544–5548.
- Djuraev, U., Jufar, S.R. and Vasant, P. (2017). A review on conceptual and practical oil and gas reservoir monitoring methods. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*. 152, 586–601.

- Farajzadeh, R., Eftekhari, A. A., Hajibeygi, H., Kahrobaei, S., Van der Meer, J. M., Vincent-Bonnieu, S., & Rossen, W. R. (2016). Simulation of instabilities and fingering in surfactant alternating gas (SAG) foam enhanced oil recovery. *Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering*, 34, 1191-1204.
- Farajzadeh, R., Andrianov, A., Krastev, R., Hirasaki, G.J. and Rossen, W.R. (2012). Foam-oil interaction in porous media: Implications for foam assisted enhanced oil recovery. *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science*. 183–184, 1–13.
- Gargar, N. K., Mahani, H., Rehling, J. G., Vincent-Bonnieu, S., Kechut, N. I., & Farajzadeh, R. (2015, April). Fall-Off Test Analysis and Transient Pressure Behavior in Foam Flooding. In *IOR 2015-18th European Symposium on Improved Oil Recovery*.
- Goudarzi, A., Delshad, M., & Sepehrnoori, K. (2013). A critical assessment of several reservoir simulators for modeling chemical enhanced oil recovery processes. In *SPE Reservoir Simulation Symposium*. Society of Petroleum Engineers.
- Hossan, M.R., Dillon, R. and Dutta, P. (2014). Hybrid immersed interface-immersed boundary methods for AC dielectrophoresis. *Journal of Computational Physics*. 270, 640–659.
- Hussein, A., Montazersadgh, F., Starov, V., Trybala, A. and Bandulasena, H.C.H. (2018). Procedures used in electrokinetic investigations of surfactant-laden interfaces, liquid films and foam system. *Current Opinion in Colloid & Interface Science*. 37, 128–135.
- Hussein Sheik, A., Bandulasena, H.C.H., Starov, V. and Trybala, A. (2017). Electroosmotic flow measurements in a freely suspended liquid film: Experiments and numerical simulations. *Electrophoresis*. 38(20), 2554–2560.
- Jaafar, M., Omar, S., Anuar, S. M. M., & Suradi, S. R. (2014). Reservoir Monitoring of EOR Processes (WAG, Foam and Polymer) Using Streaming Potential. In *Scientific Cooperations International Workshops on Engineering Branches*, 8-9.
- Jaafar, M. Z., Jackson, M. D., Saunders, J., Vinogradov, J., & Pain, C. C. (2009, January). Measurements of streaming potential for downhole monitoring in intelligent wells. In *SPE Middle East Oil and Gas Show and Conference*. Society of Petroleum Engineers.

- Jackson, M. D. (2010). Multiphase electrokinetic coupling: Insights into the impact of fluid and charge distribution at the pore scale from a bundle of capillary tubes model. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 115(B7).
- Joly, L., Detcheverry, F., & Biance, A. L. (2014). Anomalous ζ potential in foam films. *Physical review letters*, 113(8), 088301.
- Khoshnevis, N., Mahani, H., Rehling, J., VincentBonnieu, S., Kechut, N. I., & Farajzadeh, R. (2017). Investigation of pressure transient behaviour during Injection Fall-Off (IFO) test in foam flooding. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 149, 860-872.
- Kopacz, A. M., & Liu, W. K. (2013). Immersed molecular electrokinetic finite element method. *Computational Mechanics*, 52(1), 193-199.
- Kostoglou, M., Georgiou, E. and Karapantsios, T.D. (2011). Colloids and Surfaces A : Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects A new device for assessing film stability in foams: Experiment and theory. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*. 382(1–3), 64–73.
- Leeftink, T. N., Latooj, C. A., & Rossen, W. R. (2015). Injectivity errors in simulation of foam EOR. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 126, 26-34.
- Lu, J. R., Thomas, R. K., & Penfold, J. (2000). Surfactant layers at the air/water interface: structure and composition. *Advances in Colloid and Interface science*, 84(1-3), 143-304.
- Lystad, T. A. (2016). *Study of Gas Mobility Control during CO₂ Injection for Enhanced Oil Recovery*. Master's thesis, The University of Bergen.
- Ma, K., Ren, G., Mateen, K., Morel, D. and Cordelier, P. (2015). Modeling Techniques for Foam Flow in Porous Media. *SPE Journal*. 20(3), 453–470.
- Mansouri, A., Vali, A. and Kostiuk, L.W. (2016). Electrokinetic power generation of non - Newtonian fluids in a finite length microchannel. *Microfluidics and Nanofluidics*. 20(5), 1–13.
- Mohd, T. A. T., Jaafar, M. Z., Rasol, A. A. A., & Hamid, M. F. (2017). Measurement of Streaming Potential in Downhole Application: An Insight for Enhanced Oil Recovery Monitoring. In *MATEC Web of Conferences* (Vol. 87, p. 03002). EDP Sciences.
- Obliger, A., Jardat, M., Coelho, D., Bekri, S. and Rotenberg, B. (2014). Pore network model of electrokinetic transport through charged porous media. *Physical Review E - Statistical, Nonlinear, and Soft Matter Physics*. 89(4), 1–10.

- Omar, S. (2017). *Correlation between foam stability and electrokinetic*. Ph.D Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Omar, S., Jaafar, M. Z., Ismail, A. R., & Sulaiman, W. R. W. (2014). FAWAG (Foam Assisted Water Alternate Gas) Processes. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 14(11), 1123-1130.
- Omar, S., Jaafar, M. Z., Ismail, A. R., Sulaiman, W., & Rosli, W. (2013). Monitoring foam stability in foam assisted water alternate gas (FAWAG) processes using electrokinetic signals. In *SPE Enhanced Oil Recovery Conference*. Society of Petroleum Engineers.
- Roostapour, A., & Kam, S. I. (2013). Anomalous foam-fractional-flow solutions at high-injection foam quality. *SPE Reservoir Evaluation & Engineering*, 16(01), 40-50.
- Saunders, J.H., Jackson, M.D. and Pain, C.C. (2008). Fluid flow monitoring in oil fields using downhole measurements of electrokinetic potential. *Geophysics*. 73(5), E165–E180.
- Saunders, J. H., Jackson, M. D., & Pain, C. C. (2006). A new numerical model of electrokinetic potential response during hydrocarbon recovery. *Geophysical research letters*, 33(15).
- Shahib-asl, A., Ayoub, M.A., Alta'ee, A.F., Saaid, I.B.M. and Valentim, P.P.J. (2014). Comprehensive review of foam application during foam assisted water alternating gas (FAWAG) method. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology*. 8(17), 1896–1904.
- Shafiq, M., Galybin, K., & Asgharzadeh, M. (2015). Look Ahead Rig Source Vertical Seismic Profile (VSP) Applications-Case Studies. *ASEG Extended Abstracts*, 2015(1), 1-3.
- Sharma, A., Timung, S., Tiwari, V. and Mandal, T.K. (2013). External Field Induced Flow Patterns in Microscale Multiphase Flows. 2–3.
- Simjoo, M., Dong, Y., Andrianov, A., Talanana, M., & Zitha, P. L. (2012). A CT scan study of immiscible foam flow in porous media for EOR. In *SPE EOR Conference at Oil and Gas West Asia*. Society of Petroleum Engineers.
- Spirov, P., Rudyk, S.N. and Khan, A.A. (2012). Foam assisted WAG, snorre revisit with new foam screening model. *Society of Petroleum Engineers - North Africa Technical Conference and Exhibition 2012, NATC 2012: Managing Hydrocarbon Resources in a Changing Environment*. 1(February), 624–641.

- Talebian, S.H., Masoudi, R., Tan, I.M. and Zitha, P.L.J. (2014). Foam assisted CO₂-EOR: A review of concept, challenges, and future prospects. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*. 120, 202–215.
- Tunio, S.Q. and Chandio, T.A. (2012). Recovery enhancement with application of FAWAG for a Malaysian field. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology*. 4(1), 8–10.
- Walker, E., Glover, P.W.J. and Ruel, J. (2014). A transient method for measuring the DC streaming potential coefficient of porous and fractured rocks. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*. 119(2), 957–970.
- Wittle, J.K., Hill, D.G. and Chilingar, G. V. (2011). Direct electric current oil recovery (EEOR)-A new approach to enhancing oil production. *Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization and Environmental Effects*. 33(9), 805–822.
- Yoshida, H., Kinjo, T. and Washizu, H. (2014). Coupled lattice Boltzmann method for simulating electrokinetic flows: A localized scheme for the Nernst-Planck model. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*. 19(10), 3570–3590.
- Yustres, López-Vizcaíno, R., Sáez, C., Cañizares, P., Rodrigo, M.A. and Navarro, V. (2018). Water transport in electrokinetic remediation of unsaturated kaolinite. Experimental and numerical study. *Separation and Purification Technology*. 192 (October 2017), 196–204.
- Zecheru, M., & Goran, N. (2013). The use of chemical tracers to water injection processes applied on Romanian reservoirs. In *EPJ Web of Conferences* (Vol. 50, p. 02005). EDP Sciences.
- Zhang, K., Seetahal, S., Hu, Y., Zhao, C., Hu, Y., Wu, K., ... & Alexander, D. (2016, June). A Way to Improve Water Alternating Gas Performance in Tight Oil Reservoirs. In *SPE Trinidad and Tobago Section Energy Resources Conference*. Society of Petroleum Engineers.
- Zhang, Z. F., Freedman, V. L., & Zhong, L. (2009). *Foam Transport in Porous Media-A Review* (No. PNNL-18918). Pacific Northwest National Lab.(PNNL), Richland, WA (United States).