

COUPLING OF ADAPTIVE REFINEMENT WITH VARIATIONAL MULTISCALE ELEMENT
FREE GALERKIN METHOD FOR HIGH GRADIENT PROBLEMS

LIEW SIAW CHING

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Mathematics)

Faculty of Science
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

APRIL 2017

Dedicated to my beloved

father, Pooi Chen,

mother, Kam Yin

and my siblings, Siaw Veen,

Yee Loon and Yee Lean

for their endless love, care and support

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, a special thanks and deepest appreciation to my supervisor, Dr. Yeak Su Hoe for his support, guidance, practical advice, thoughtful suggestions and objective comments throughout the course of this study. His willingness to allocate his precious time to advise me in completing this thesis has been extremely appreciated. This thesis would never have been completed without his knowledge and expertise.

Apart from this, I would like to acknowledge with great gratitude, the financial support from MyBrain15 (MyPhD), Kementerian Pengajian Tinggi Malaysia and Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) as I received the Student Working Scheme (SPB) beyond the period of the MyPhD sponsorship. I would also like to extend my sincere appreciation to my entire friends, who had kindly provided valuable and helpful comments in the preparation of the thesis.

Finally, my heartiest love and gratitude goes to my family members for their unlimited love and devotion, throughout my studies.

ABSTRACT

In this thesis, a new adaptive refinement coupled with variational multiscale element free Galerkin method (EFGM) is developed for solving high gradient problems. The aim of this thesis is to propose a new framework of moving least squares (MLS) approximation with coupling method based on the variational multiscale concept. Additional new nodes will be inserted automatically at high gradient regions by adaptive algorithm based on refinement criteria. An enrichment function is embedded in the MLS approximation for the fine scale part of the problem. Besides, this new technique will be parallelized by using OpenMP which is based on shared memory architecture. The proposed new approach is first applied in two-dimensional large localized gradient problem, transient heat conduction problem as well as Burgers' equation in order to analyze the accuracy of the proposed method and validated with an available analytic solutions. The obtained numerical results show a very good agreement with the analytic solutions and is able to obtain more accurate results than the standard EFGM. It is found that the average relative error of this new method is reduced in the range of 15% to 70%. Besides, this new method is also extended to solve two-dimensional sine-Gordon solitons. The results obtained show good agreement with the published results. Moreover, the parallelization of adaptive variational multiscale EFGM can improve the computational efficiency by reducing the execution time without loss of accuracy. Therefore, the capability and robustness of this new method has the potential to investigate more complicated problems in order to produce higher precision solutions with shorter computational time.

ABSTRAK

Dalam tesis ini, satu kaedah baru berdasarkan gabungan penyesuaian penghalusan dengan kaedah multiskala ubahan tanpa unsur Galerkin (EFGM) telah dibangunkan untuk menyelesaikan masalah dengan kadar perubahan tinggi. Matlamat tesis ini adalah untuk mencadangkan satu rangka kerja baru penghampiran jenis pergerakan kuasa dua terkecil (MLS) dalam kaedah gabungan ini berdasarkan konsep multiskala ubahan. Algoritma penyesuaian akan memasukkan nod tambahan baru secara automatik di kawasan kecerunan tinggi berdasarkan kriteria penghalusan. Suatu fungsi tambahan akan digunakan dalam anggaran jenis MLS dalam bahagian skala halus pada masalah tersebut. Selain itu, teknik baru pengiraan ini akan diselarikan dengan menggunakan OpenMP yang berasaskan seni bina perkongsian memori. Kaedah cadangan baru ini akan digunakan untuk menyelesaikan masalah dua dimensi kecerunan tinggi setempat, masalah pengaliran haba serta persamaan Burgers untuk menganalisis ketepatan kaedah ini dan disahkan dengan penyelesaian sebenar. Keputusan berangka yang diperolehi menunjukkan penyesuaian yang sangat baik dengan penyelesaian analitik dan mampu memperolehi keputusan yang lebih tepat berbanding dengan EFGM piawai. Didapati bahawa purata ralat relatif kaedah baru ini boleh dikurangkan dalam lingkungan 15% hingga 70%. Selain itu, kaedah baru ini juga dikembangkan untuk menyelesaikan dua dimensi soliton sinus-Gordon. Keputusan yang diperolehi menunjukkan penyesuaian yang baik berbanding dengan keputusan yang telah diterbitkan. Tambahan pula, kecekapan pengiraan daripada keselarian penyesuaian multiskala ubahan EFGM boleh dipertingkatkan dengan mengurangkan masa kiraan tanpa kehilangan ketepatan. Oleh sebab itu, keupayaan dan keteguhan kaedah baru ini mempunyai potensi untuk mengkaji masalah yang lebih kompleks untuk menghasilkan penyelesaian yang lebih tepat dengan masa pengiraan yang lebih pendek.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xx
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxi
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Background of the Problem	1
	1.3 Statement of the Problem	3
	1.4 Objectives of the Study	4
	1.5 Scope of the Study	4
	1.6 Significance of the Study	5
	1.7 Layout of the Thesis	5

2	LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1	Introduction	8
2.2	Meshfree Methods	8
2.3	Element Free Galerkin Method	12
2.4	Variational Multiscale Meshfree Methods	13
2.5	Adaptive Meshfree Methods	15
2.6	Parallel Computing	21
2.7	Open Multi-Processing	23
2.8	Sine-Gordon Equation	24
3	ELEMENT FREE GALERKIN METHOD	27
3.1	Introduction	27
3.2	Moving Least Squares Approximation	27
3.2.1	Formulation of Moving Least Squares Shape Functions	28
3.2.2	Efficient Computation of Shape Functions and Derivatives	33
3.2.2.1	Case One	33
3.2.2.2	Case Two	34
3.2.3	Determination of Domain of Influence	35
3.2.4	The Weight Functions	38
3.3	Numerical Implementation in Element Free Galerkin Method	40
3.3.1	Weighted Residual Method	41
3.3.1.1	Galerkin Method	42
3.3.2	Integration Scheme in Meshfree Methods	43
3.4	Enforcement of Essential Boundary Conditions in Element Free Galerkin Method	45
3.4.1	Lagrange Multiplier Method	46
3.4.2	Penalty Method	47
3.4.3	Improved Penalty Method	48
3.4.3.1	Dirichlet Boundary Condition	51
3.4.3.2	Mixed Boundary Condition	54
3.5	Conclusions	58

4	ADAPTIVE VARIATIONAL MULTISCALE ELEMENT FREE GALERKIN METHOD	60
4.1	Introduction	60
4.2	Adaptive Procedures	61
4.2.1	Refinement Criteria	61
4.2.2	Refinement Strategy	62
4.2.3	Data Mapping	64
4.3	The Variational Multiscale Method	65
4.3.1	Scale Decomposition	65
4.3.2	The Variational Multiscale Problem	67
4.4	Moving Least Squares Approximation	68
4.4.1	Coarse Scale Solution	70
4.4.2	Fine Scale Solution	71
4.5	Efficient Computation of Fine Scale Shape Functions and Derivatives	74
4.6	Updating the Shape Functions	76
4.7	Error Analysis	76
4.8	Solution Algorithm	79
4.9	Numerical Examples	85
4.9.1	Large Localized Gradient Problem	85
4.9.2	Transient Heat Conduction Problem	93
4.9.3	2D Burgers' Equation	99
4.10	Conclusions	107
5	ADAPTIVE VARIATIONAL MULTISCALE ELEMENT FREE GALERKIN METHOD FOR TWO-DIMENSIONAL SINE-GORDON SOLITONS	109
5.1	Introduction	109
5.2	Two-Dimensional Sine-Gordon Equation	109
5.3	Formulation of Adaptive Variational Multiscale Element Free Galerkin Method for Sine-Gordon Equation	110
5.3.1	Discretization of Time	111

5.3.2	Formulation of Variational Multiscale Element Free Galerkin Method for Sine-Gordon Equation	111
5.3.3	Solution Algorithm	113
5.3.4	Numerical Examples	117
5.3.4.1	Perturbation of a Line Soliton	117
5.3.4.2	Elliptical Ring Solitons	120
5.4	Conclusions	124
6	PARALLEL COMPUTATION USING OPENMP	125
6.1	Introduction	125
6.2	OpenMP	125
6.3	Performance of Parallel Programs	129
6.4	Parallel Implementation in Adaptive Variational Multiscale Element Free Galerkin Method	131
6.4.1	Numerical Examples	135
6.4.1.1	Large Localized Gradient Problem	135
6.4.1.2	Perturbation of a Line Soliton	138
6.5	Conclusions	140
7	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	141
7.1	Introduction	141
7.2	Conclusions	141
7.3	Recommendations	143
	REFERENCES	145
	Appendix A-C	155-161

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	List of publications on variational multiscale meshfree methods	14
2.2	List of publications on adaptive EFGM	18
6.1	OpenMP runtime library routines	129
6.2	Performance comparison of speedup (S_p) on different node distribution	137
6.3	Performance comparison of speedup (S_p) on different node distribution	139

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Discretization strategies a) Finite element method b) Meshfree method	9
2.2	Schematic of nodes with circular support in two-dimensional	10
2.3	Adaptive strategies	16
2.4	Development of adaptive variational multiscale EFGM	20
2.5	Distributed memory configuration	22
2.6	Shared memory configuration	22
2.7	The components of OpenMP	23
2.8	The Fork and Join model	24
3.1	Moving least squares approximations [100]	28
3.2	2-D MLS shape function and derivatives [101] a) ϕ b) $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}$ c) $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}$	32
3.3	Types of support domain in 2-D a) Circular support b) Rectangular support	37
3.4	Background cells used for integration in EFGM	44
3.5	The numerical results of improved penalty method with Dirichlet boundary condition at $t = 0.8$	52
3.6	Comparison of average relative error with Dirichlet boundary condition versus time	52

3.7	Comparison of numerical results and analytical results with Dirichlet boundary condition at $y = 0.071429$	53
3.8	Comparison of numerical results and analytical results with Dirichlet boundary condition at $y = 1$	53
3.9	Comparison of relative error at $t = 0.5$ along $x = 0$ with Dirichlet boundary condition	54
3.10	Comparison of relative error at $t = 0.8$ along $x = 0$ with Dirichlet boundary condition	54
3.11	The numerical results of improved penalty method with mixed boundary condition at $t = 0.8$	55
3.12	Comparison of average relative error with mixed boundary condition versus time	56
3.13	Comparison of numerical results and analytical results along $y = 0$ with mixed boundary condition	57
3.14	Comparison of numerical results and analytical results along $y = 1$ with mixed boundary condition	57
3.15	Comparison of relative error at $t = 0.5$ with mixed boundary condition along $x = 0$	58
3.16	Comparison of relative error at $t = 0.8$ with mixed boundary condition along $x = 0$	58
4.1	Nodal refinement strategy	63
4.2	Nodal refinement strategy for overlapped nodes	64
4.3	Support radius for original node and new node	65
4.4	The scale components a) coarse scale b) fine scale	66
4.5	The new approximation function $u^h(\mathbf{x})$ in the MLS approximation	69
4.6	Flow of numerical analysis for adaptive variational multiscale EFGM	84
4.7	The numerical result of large localized gradient problem at $t = 0.8$	88
4.8	The top view of Figure 4.7	88

4.9	Refined nodal arrangement at different times a) $t = 0$ b) $t = 0.02$ c) $t = 0.05$ d) $t = 0.1$	89
4.10	Comparison of average relative error versus time for large localized gradient problem	90
4.11	Comparison of numerical solution and analytical solution along $y = 0$ at $t = 0.4$	90
4.12	Comparison of numerical solution and analytical solution along $y = 0$ at $t = 0.8$	91
4.13	Comparison of relative error distribution at times $t = 0.1, t = 0.4$ and $t = 0.7$ a) EFGM b) Adaptive variational multiscale EFGM	92
4.14	Temperature distribution of adaptive variational multiscale EFGM at $t = 0.8$	95
4.15	The top view of Figure 4.14	95
4.16	Comparison of average relative error versus time for transient heat conduction problem	96
4.17	Comparison of temperature distribution along $y = 0$ at $t = 0.2$ and $t = 0.6$	96
4.18	Comparison of temperature distribution along $y = 1$ at $t = 0.2$ and $t = 0.6$	97
4.19	Refined nodal arrangement at different times a) $t = 0$ b) $t = 0.04$ c) $t = 0.05$	98
4.20	Comparison of relative error distribution at times $t = 0.1$ and $t = 0.7$ a) EFGM b) Adaptive variational multiscale EFGM	99
4.21	Numerical results of u velocity at $t = 0.8$	102
4.22	The top view of Figure 4.21	103
4.23	Numerical results of v velocity at $t = 0.8$	103
4.24	The top view of Figure 4.23	103
4.25	Comparison of average relative error of u velocity versus time	104
4.26	Comparison of average relative error of v velocity versus time	104

4.27	Refined nodal arrangement at different times a) $t = 0$ b) $t = 0.01$ c) $t = 0.05$ d) $t = 0.07$	105
4.28	Comparison of relative error distribution for u velocity at times $t = 0.1$ and $t = 0.2$ a) EFGM b) Adaptive variational multiscale EFGM	106
4.29	Comparison of relative error distribution for v velocity at times $t = 0.1$ and $t = 0.2$ a) EFGM b) Adaptive variational multiscale EFGM	107
5.1	Flow of numerical analysis in solving sine-Gordon equation	116
5.2	Comparison result at $t = 2$ a) published result b) numerical result	118
5.3	The top view of Figure 5.2 (b)	118
5.4	Refined nodal arrangement at different times a) $t = 0$ b) $t = 0.04$ c) $t = 0.16$ d) $t = 0.24$	119
5.5	Comparison of absolute sequence error along $y = 0$ at $t = 2$	120
5.6	Comparison results at $t = 0, t = 1.6, t = 3.2$ and $t = 4.8$ a) published results b) numerical results	122
5.7	Refined nodal arrangement at different times a) $t = 0$ b) $t = 0.3$ c) $t = 0.6$ d) $t = 0.9$	123
5.8	Comparison of absolute sequence error along $y = 7$ at $t = 4.8$	124
6.1	Execution scheme for OpenMP program	126
6.2	Execution scheme for <i>static</i> scheduling	128
6.3	Amdahl's law in parallel computation	130
6.4	Modules of parallel performance	130
6.5	Sample partitions of nodes for four processors	131
6.6	Work-sharing for two loops in parallel region	133
6.7	Sample partitions of column for Gaussian elimination	134
6.8	Comparison of analytical solutions and numerical solutions along $y = 1$ at $t = 0.7$	136

6.9	Execution time versus number of processors	136
6.10	Speedup versus number of processors	137
6.11	Execution time versus number of processors	138
6.12	Speedup versus number of processors	139

LIST OF SYMBOLS

$\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x})$	-	Vector of Unknowns
β	-	Dissipative Term
c_I	-	Size of Compact Support of Node I in One-dimensional
cx_I	-	Size of Compact Support in x axis of Node I in Two-dimensional
cy_I	-	Size of Compact Support in y axis of Node I in Two-dimensional
d_I	-	Basic Support of Node I in One-dimensional
dm	-	Dimensionless Size of the Influence Domain
dx_I	-	Basic Support in x Direction of Node I in Two-dimensional
dy_I	-	Basic Support in y Direction of Node I in Two-dimensional
G	-	Differential Operator
$\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}_k)$	-	Integrand Value at Gauss Point \mathbf{x}_k
G_m	-	Gradient of Node m
h_x	-	Spacing of Nodes in x Direction
h_y	-	Spacing of Nodes in y Direction
h	-	Distance Between Two Particles
\mathbf{J}_{kj}	-	Jacobian Associated with Each Gauss Point
m	-	Number of Basis Functions
n	-	Time Level
N	-	Number of Nodes
n_c	-	Number of Background Cells
n_g	-	Number of Gauss Points in Each Background Cell
\mathbf{n}	-	Unit Normal to the Natural Boundary
$N_I(s)$	-	Lagrange Interpolation

$\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x})$	-	Complete Polynomial Basis
\bar{P}	-	Linear Projector onto \bar{V}
$Q(x, y, t)$	-	Heat Generation Rate
q	-	Dimensionless User-defined Threshold Value
R_I	-	Radius of the Domain Support of Node I
R_0	-	Radius of Domain of Influence for the Original Nodes
R_1	-	Radius of Domain of Influence for the New Nodes
Re	-	Reynold Number
$R(\mathbf{x})$	-	Residual Error
r	-	Normalized Radius
S_p	-	Speedup
T	-	Temperature Distribution
T_1	-	Execution Time Spend on One Processor
T_p	-	Execution Time Spend on p Processor
\bar{t}	-	Prescribed Traction on Natural Boundary
t	-	Time Level
$u^h(\mathbf{x})$	-	Moving Least Squares Approximation Function
u_E	-	Prescribed Displacement on Essential Boundary
u	-	Velocity u
$\bar{u}^h(\mathbf{x})$	-	Coarse Scale Approximation
$\hat{u}^h(\mathbf{x})$	-	Fine Scale Approximation
v	-	Velocity v
\bar{V}	-	Coarse Scale Subspace
\hat{V}	-	Fine Scale Subspace
w_k	-	Gauss Weighting Factor for the k^{th} Gauss Point
$w_I(\mathbf{x})$	-	Weight Function
\bar{w}	-	Weighting Function in Coarse Scale
\hat{w}	-	Weighting Function in Fine Scale
Ω	-	Domain of Interest
$\phi_I(\mathbf{x})$	-	Moving Least Squares Shape Function

Ψ	-	Test Function
λ	-	Lagrange Multipliers
α	-	Penalty Parameter
$\bar{\phi}$	-	Coarse Scale Shape Function
$\hat{\phi}$	-	Fine Scale Shape Function
Γ_u	-	Essential Boundary
Γ_t	-	Natural Boundary
δ_{IJ}	-	Kronecker Delta Function
Δt	-	Time Step

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

DEM	-	Diffuse Element Method
DOI	-	Domain of Influence
EFG	-	Element Free Galerkin
EFGM	-	Element Free Galerkin Method
FDM	-	Finite Difference Method
FEM	-	Finite Element Method
FE	-	Finite Element
Flop	-	Floating point operation
Flops	-	Floating point operations per second
GB	-	Gigabyte
GHz	-	Gigahertz
HAM	-	Homotopy Analysis Method
LBIE	-	Local Boundary Integral Equation
MLS	-	Moving Least Squares
MLPG	-	Meshless Local Petrov-Galerkin
MPI	-	Message Passing Interface
ODE	-	Ordinary Differential Equation
OpenMP	-	Open Multi-Processing
PDE	-	Partial Differential Equation
PVM	-	Parallel Virtual Machine
RAM	-	Random Access Memory
RKPM	-	Reproducing Kernel Particle Method
SPH	-	Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	List of Publications	155
B	Weighting Factors and Function Arguments Used in Gauss Quadrature Formulas	157
C	Maple Program of Proving Fine Scale Shape Function is a Bounded Constant	158

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

In this chapter, the background of the problem will be briefly introduced. After that, statement of the problem and objectives of the study will be clearly defined. Besides, the scope of the study will be discussed as well as the significance of the study. Lastly, for clarity, the layout of the thesis is briefly outlined.

1.2 Background of the Problem

The finite element method (FEM) has been widely used by researchers to approximate the solution of partial differential equations. However, the FEM has its drawbacks as this is a mesh-based method and highly reliance on meshes. When dealing with large deformation or high gradient problems, the FEM will produce lower accuracy solutions due to the mesh become extremely skewed or compressed. Moreover, the FEM is also not well suited for discontinuous solutions since the element edges must be aligned to the discontinuity. To overcome these difficulties, the re-meshing process is needed to avoid mesh distortion and allows mesh line to remain coincident with any discontinuities. However, this re-meshing process is tedious and leads to degradation in computational efficiency. Thus, the FEM is not an ideal method to couple with adaptive refinement as the re-meshing of the problem

domain is necessary in every computational time step.

The meshfree methods [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9] have been proposed as an alternative numerical techniques to the FEM. This class of numerical methods solve the problem through constructing the function based on a series of scattered points over the problem domain. Although the re-meshing process can be avoided in the meshfree methods, but this advantage does not come cheap. In particular, the computational cost in element free Galerkin method (EFGM) is much more expensive than the FEM. The increased in computational cost is especially evident for adaptive refinement analysis due to the computation of moving least squares (MLS) shape functions which are formulated at every integration point. The high computational cost is the predominant drawback of EFGM. Furthermore, the EFGM also encounters difficulty in enforcing the essential boundary conditions as its shape functions do not satisfy the Kronecker delta property. Therefore, several methods have been proposed to overcome this problem, in particular, Lagrange multiplier method [1], penalty method [10] and coupling to finite element method [11]. However, the drawback of Lagrange multiplier method is additional unknowns are introduced. In contrast, coupling to finite element method requires well-defined boundary meshes.

The EFGM is an ideal technique to solve steep gradient or rapid variations problems due to the property of meshfree approximations with no nodal connectivity is needed. However, special care must be taken to capture the solution precisely near the high gradient regions and to avoid numerical pollution. A number of techniques have been used in dealing with high gradient problems. The first technique is to refine the spatial discretization near the locally high gradient computation region as reported in References [12,13,14]. In these papers, dense of nodes are moved with the crack tip at each step to provide a more accurate solution. Besides, a higher order quadrature will be used in all the cells where the crack would possibly occur [15,16,17], but this technique will increase the computational cost. Another approach is to use an enriched basis as conducted in [18,19]. However, the enrichment of the trial functions proposed in [19] introduces additional unknowns and considerable computer programming is required. Therefore, more research

efforts should be devoted by researchers for solving problems involving sharp gradient or rapid variation in solutions.

1.3 Statement of the Problem

Adaptive EFGM and variational multiscale EFGM have been numerous reported to solve various kind of problems with satisfactory results obtained. In dealing with sharp gradient problems, numerical errors may occur due to the abrupt change in numerical solutions. Hence, it is desired to obtain the good properties of adaptive EFGM and variational multiscale EFGM to refine the high gradient zones locally in order to get more accurate solutions. Therefore, the development of a numerical model with combination of both properties with less computational cost is in demand. This is the intention of this thesis and this research will clarify the following questions:

- 1) How to overcome the deficiency in penalty method for EFGM?
- 2) How to obtain good properties of adaptive EFGM and variational multiscale EFGM?
- 3) How to improve the solution accuracy in the proposed new method?
- 4) What is the application of the proposed new technique?
- 5) How to reduce the execution time in numerical analysis of the proposed new scheme?

1.4 Objectives of the Study

The principal goal of this thesis is to couple the adaptive refinement analysis with variational multiscale EFGM for high gradient problems. The five main objectives associated with the principal goal are as follows:

- 1) To improve the penalty method for EFGM and apply it to enforce the essential boundary conditions.
- 2) To couple the adaptive EFGM with variational multiscale EFGM and develop its numerical solution procedure.
- 3) To propose a new moving least squares approximation function to improve the solution accuracy by enhancing the shape functions with an enrichment function.
- 4) To apply the proposed new technique to high gradient problems as well as sine-Gordon solitons.
- 5) To develop and implement a parallel computer code using Open Multi-Processing (OpenMP) application programming interface in the proposed new scheme.

1.5 Scope of the Study

There are many sets of meshfree methods available in literature. This research will only focus on one of the meshfree methods, in particular element free Galerkin method. Moreover, the development and implementation of the proposed new scheme is limited to two-dimensional regular high gradient problems. The computational tool used to compute the numerical result is C programming language. Furthermore, the parallel programming scheme developed in this work is focused on OpenMP which is based on share memory architecture.

1.6 Significance of the Study

The methods for imposition of essential boundary conditions are the essential tool for EFGM. In this work, the penalty method used in EFGM has been improved and higher accuracy results are obtained compared with the classical penalty method. The mathematical model and algorithm produced in this work will combine the good properties of adaptive refinement analysis and variational multiscale EFGM. In addition, the proposed coupling method with parallelization is capable to produce higher precision results with less time consumption. Thus, this technique is ideally used to solve large deformations problems as well as large domain size problems. The obtained results will be beneficial in the study of large gradient problems in mathematics and engineering fields. Also, the findings obtained can be used for further research in related areas.

1.7 Layout of the Thesis

This thesis consists of seven chapters and it organized as follows:

Chapter 1 starts with the background of the problem, statement of the problem and objectives of the study. In addition, the scope and significance of the study are also demonstrated.

Chapter 2 presents a detailed literature review of previous studies on meshfree methods, element free Galerkin method, variational multiscale meshfree methods, adaptive meshfree methods, parallel computing, OpenMP and sine-Gordon equation.

In Chapter 3, the standard penalty method has been improved for enforcing essential boundary conditions in EFGM. This chapter starts with the development of the moving least squares approximation. The fundamentals required for the numerical implementation in EFGM are reviewed such as efficient calculation of MLS shape functions and derivatives, determination of domain of influence and the

weight function. A discussion on Lagrange multiplier method and penalty method used for enforcing essential boundary conditions in EFGM are given. Also, an improved penalty method is introduced to impose the essential boundary conditions and validated with a numerical problem with Dirichlet and mixed boundary conditions.

In Chapter 4, a new approach based on the coupling of adaptive EFGM and variational multiscale EFGM is developed. A discussion on adaptive procedures such as the refinement criteria, refinement strategy and data mapping are provided as well as the description on variational multiscale method. Additionally, the formulation of a new MLS approximation is described in detail. The description on the coarse scale shape functions and the fine scale shape functions with enrichment function are also outlined. An efficient computation of fine scale shape functions and its derivatives are also considered. Furthermore, a numerical solution procedure based on the combination of refinement procedure and variational multiscale procedure is introduced. The formulation of error analysis for the new approach is shown. The feasibility and efficiency of the proposed new scheme are validated with three high gradient problems with available analytical solutions.

Chapter 5 extends the new scheme presented in Chapter 4 to solve two-dimensional sine-Gordon solitons. The problem description of two-dimensional sine-Gordon equation is illustrated. The formulation of adaptive variational multiscale EFGM for sine-Gordon equation is demonstrated. Two two-dimensional sine-Gordon solitons problems are examined to verify the performance of the proposed new scheme and the solutions obtained are validated with results from the literature.

In Chapter 6, a shared memory parallel computer implementation is developed. The parallel execution scheme in OpenMP and the performance of parallel programs are illustrated. In addition, the implementation of parallel scheme in the new coupling approach is given. The performance of the parallel implementation is validated with solving two numerical problems and analyzed with the corresponding serial implementation.

Finally, conclusions are drawn and recommendations for future research are illustrated in Chapter 7.

REFERENCES

1. Belytschko, T., Lu, Y. Y. and Gu, L. Element-free Galerkin methods. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*. 1994. 37(2): 229-256.
2. Belytschko, T., Krongauz, Y., Organ, D., Fleming, M. and Krysl, P. Meshless methods: An overview and recent developments. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*. 1996. 139(1-4): 3-47.
3. Liu, W. K., Jun, S., Li, S., Adee, J. and Belytschko, T. Reproducing kernel particle methods for structural dynamics. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*. 1995. 38(10): 1655-1679.
4. Duarte, C. A. and Oden, J. T. *H-p* Clouds-An *h-p* meshless method. *Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations*. 1996. 12(6): 673-705.
5. Duarte, C. A. and Oden, J. T. An *h-p* adaptive method using clouds. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*. 1996. 139(1): 237-262.
6. Babuška, I. and Melenk, J. M. The partition of unity method. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*. 1997. 40(4): 727-758.
7. Atluri, S. N. and Zhu, T. A new Meshless Local Petrov-Galerkin (MLPG) approach in computational mechanics. *Computational Mechanics*. 1998. 22(2): 117-127.
8. Lin, H. and Atluri, S. N. The Meshless Local Petrov-Galerkin (MLPG) Method for Solving Incompressible Navier-Stokes Equations. *Computer Modeling in Engineering and Sciences*. 2001. 2(2): 117-142.
9. Sataprahm, C. and Luadsong, A. The meshless local Petrov-Galerkin method for simulating unsteady incompressible fluid flow. *Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society*. 2014. 22(3): 501-510.
10. Zhu, T. and Atluri, S. N. A modified collocation method and a penalty formulation for enforcing the essential boundary conditions in the element free Galerkin method. *Computational Mechanics*. 1998. 21(3): 211-222.

11. Krongauz, Y. and Belytschko, T. Enforcement of essential boundary conditions in meshless approximations using finite elements. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*. 1996. 131(1-2): 133-145.
12. Belytschko, T., Gu, L. and Lu, Y. Y. Fracture and crack growth by element free Galerkin methods. *Modelling and Simulation in Materials Science and Engineering*. 1994. 2(3A): 519-534.
13. Belytschko, T., Lu, Y. Y. and Gu, L. Crack propagation by element-free Galerkin methods. *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*. 1995. 51(2): 295-315.
14. Belytschko, T., Lu, Y. Y., Gu, L. and Tabbara, M. Element-free Galerkin Methods for static and dynamic fracture. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*. 1995. 32(17/18): 2547-2570.
15. Belytschko, T. and Tabbara, M. Dynamic Fracture using Element-Free Galerkin Methods. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*. 1996. 39(6): 923-938.
16. Lu, Y. Y., Belytschko, T. and Tabbara, M. Element-free Galerkin method for wave propagation and dynamic fracture. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*. 1995. 126(1-2): 131-153.
17. Tabbara, M. R. and Stone, C. M. A computational method for quasi-static fracture. *Computational Mechanics*. 1998. 22(2): 203-210.
18. Rao, B. N. and Rahman, S. An efficient meshless method for fracture analysis of cracks. *Computational Mechanics*. 2000. 26(4): 398-408.
19. Fleming, M., Chu, Y. A., Moran, B. and Belytschko, T. Enriched element-free Galerkin methods for crack tip fields. *International Journal for Numerical methods in Engineering*. 1997. 40(8): 1483-1504.
20. Oñate, E., Idelsohn, S., Zienkiewicz, O. C. and Taylor, R. L. A finite point method in computational mechanics. Applications to convective transport and fluid flow. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*. 1996. 39(22): 3839-3866.
21. Lucy, L. B. A numerical approach to the testing of the fission hypothesis. *The Astronomical Journal*. 1977. 82(12): 1013-1024.
22. Gingold, R. A. and Monaghan, J. J. Smoothed particle hydrodynamics: theory and application to non-spherical stars. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*. 1977. 181: 375-389.

23. Liu, G. R. and Gu, Y. T. A meshfree method: meshfree weak-strong(MWS) form method, for 2-D solids. *Computational Mechanics*. 2003. 33(1): 2-14.
24. Liu, M. B., Liu, G. R., Lam, K. Y. and Zong, Z. Smoothed particle hydrodynamics for numerical simulation of underwater explosion. *Computational Mechanics*. 2003. 30(2): 106-118.
25. Kobashi, W. and Matsuo, A. Explosion simulation by smoothed particle hydrodynamics. *Computational Methods*. Netherlands: Springer. 1397-1403; 2006.
26. Cohen, R. C. Z. and Cleary, P. W. Computational Studies of the Locomotion of Dolphins and Sharks Using Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics. *6th World Congress of Biomechanics*. August 1-6, 2010. Singapore: Springer. 2010. 22-25.
27. Jun, C., Sohn, J. and Lee, K. Dynamic analysis of a floating body in the fluid by using the smoothed particle hydrodynamics. *Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*. 2015. 29(7): 2607-2613.
28. Toosi, S. L. R., Ayyoubzadeh, S. A. and Valizadeh, A. The Influence of Time Scale in Free Surface Flow Simulation using Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH). *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*. 2015. 19(3): 765-770.
29. Johnson, G. R. and Beissel, S. R. Normalized smoothing functions for SPH impact computations. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*. 1996. 39(16): 2725-2741.
30. Zhang, G. M. and Batra, R. C. Modified smoothed particle hydrodynamics method and its application to transient problems. *Computational Mechanics*. 2004. 34(2): 137-146.
31. Batra, R. C. and Zhang, G. M. Modified Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics (MSPH) basis functions for meshless methods, and their application to axisymmetric Taylor impact test. *Journal of Computational Physics*. 2008. 227(3): 1962-1981.
32. Chen, J. K., Beraun, J. E. and Jih, C. J. An improvement for tensile instability in smoothed particle hydrodynamics. *Computational Mechanics*. 1999. 23(4): 279-287.
33. Liu, G. R. and Gu, Y. T. A point interpolation method for two-dimensional solids. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*. 2001. 50(4): 937-951.

34. De, S. and Bathe, K. J. The method of finite spheres. *Computational Mechanics*. 2000. 25(4): 329-345.
35. Lancaster, P. and Salkauskas, K. Surfaces Generated by Moving Least-Squares Methods. *Mathematics of Computation*. 1981. 37(155): 141-158.
36. Nayroles, B., Touzot, G. and Villon, P. Generalizing the finite element method: Diffuse approximation and diffuse elements. *Computational Mechanics*. 1992. 10(5): 307-318.
37. Soparat, P. and Nanakorn, P. Analysis of cohesive crack growth by the element-free Galerkin method. *Journal of Mechanics*. 2008. 24(1): 45-54.
38. Pant, M. and Sharma, K. A Comparative Study of Modeling Material Discontinuity using Element Free Galerkin Method. *Procedia Engineering*. Elsevier Ltd. 758-766; 2014.
39. Krysl, P. and Belytschko, T. Analysis of Thin Shells by the Element-Free Galerkin Method. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*. 1996. 33(20-22): 3057-3080.
40. Noguchi, H., Kawashima, T. and Miyamura, T. Element free analyses of shell and spatial structures. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*. 2000. 47(6): 1215-1240.
41. Liu, L., Chua, L. P. and Ghista, D. N. Element-free Galerkin method for static and dynamic analysis of spatial shell structures. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*. 2006. 295(1-2): 388-406.
42. Jaberzadeh, E. and Azhari, M. Local buckling of moderately thick stepped skew viscoelastic composite plates using the element-free Galerkin method. *Acta Mechanica*. 2015. 226(4): 1011-1025.
43. Bozkurt, O. Y. and Ozbek, O. Analysis Of Laminated Composite Plates Using Element Free Galerkin Method. *European Journal of Engineering and Natural Sciences*. 2016. 1(1): 1-8.
44. Firoozjaee, A. R., Hendi, E. and Farvizi, F. Element Free Galerkin Method for 2-D Potential Problems. *Applied Mathematics*. 2015. 6(1): 149-162.
45. Ge, H. X., Liu, Y. Q. and Cheng, R. J. Element-free Galerkin (EFG) method for analysis of the time-fractional partial differential equations. *Chinese Physics B*. 2012. 21(1): 010206-1-6.

46. Cheng, R. J. and Ge, H. X. Element-free Galerkin (EFG) method for a kind of two-dimensional linear hyperbolic equation. *Chinese Physics B*. 2009. 18(10): 4059-4064.
47. Cheng, R. J. and Ge, H. X. The element-free Galerkin method of numerically solving a regularized long-wave equation. *Chinese Physics B*. 2012. 21(4): 040203-1-6.
48. Eynbeygi, M. and Aghdam, M. M. A micromechanical study on the electro-elastic behavior of piezoelectric fiber-reinforced composites using the element free Galerkin method. *Acta Mechanica*. 2015. 226(9): 3177-3194.
49. Hughes, T. J. R. Multiscale phenomena: Green's functions, the Dirichlet-to-Neumann formulation, subgrid scale models, bubbles and the origins of stabilized methods. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*. 1995. 127(1-4): 387-401.
50. Zhang, L., Ouyang, J. and Zhang, X. H. On a two-level element-free Galerkin method for incompressible fluid flow. *Applied Numerical Mathematics*. 2009. 59(8): 1894-1904.
51. Zhang, L., Ouyang, J. and Zhang, X. H. The variational multiscale element free Galerkin method for MHD flows at high Hartmann numbers. *Computer Physics Communications*. 2013. 184(4): 1106–1118.
52. Zhang, L., Ouyang, J., Wang, X. X. and Zhang, X. H. Variational multiscale element-free Galerkin method for 2D Burgers' equation. *Journal of Computational Physics*. 2010. 229(19): 7147-7161.
53. Zhang, L., Ouyang, J., Zhang, X. H. and Zhang, W. B. On a multi-scale element free Galerkin method for the Stokes problem. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. 2008. 203(2): 745-753.
54. Zhang, L., Ouyang, J., Jiang, T., Ruan, C. L. Variational multiscale element free Galerkin method for the water wave problems. *Journal of Computational Physics*. 2011. 230(12): 5045-5060.
55. Zhang, P. and Zhang, X. H. Numerical Modeling of Stokes Flow in a Circular Cavity by Variational Multiscale Element Free Galerkin Method. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*. Egypt: Hindawi Publishing Corporation.1-7; 2014.

56. Zhang, X. H. and Zhang, P. The variational multiscale element free Galerkin method for the simulation of power-law fluid flows. *Boundary Elements and Other Mesh Reduction Methods XXXVI*. Southampton: WIT Press. 103-113; 2013.
57. Yeon, J. H. and Youn, S. K. Variational multiscale analysis of elastoplastic deformation using meshfree approximation. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*. 2008. 45(17): 4709-4724.
58. Xiang, H. and Zhang, X. H. Variational Multiscale Element-free Galerkin Method And Precise Time Step Integration Method for Convection-Diffusion Problems. *An International Journal of Computation and Methodology*. 2015. 67(2): 210-223.
59. Zhang, P., Zhang, X. H. and Song, L. Z. Variational Multiscale Element Free Galerkin Method Coupled with Low-Pass Filter for Burgers' Equation with Small Diffusion. *Advances in Mathematical Physics*. Egypt: Hindawi Publishing Corporation. 1-12; 2016.
60. Li, Q. and Lee, K. M. An Adaptive Meshless Method for Magnetic Field Computation. *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics*. 2006. 42(8): 1996-2003.
61. Li, Q. and Lee, K. M. An Adaptive Meshless Method for Analyzing Large Mechanical Deformation and Contacts. *Journal of Applied Mechanics*. 2008. 75(4): 041014-1-10.
62. Liu, G. R., Huynh, D. B. P. and Gu, Y. T. An Adaptive Meshfree Collocation Method for Static and Dynamic Nonlinear Problems. In: Liu, G. R., Tan, V. B. C. and Han, X. *Computational Methods*. Netherlands: Springer. 1459-1464; 2006.
63. Liu, M. B., Liu, G. R. and Lam, K. Y. Adaptive smoothed particle hydrodynamics for high strain hydrodynamics with material strength. *Shock Waves*. 2006. 15(1): 21-29.
64. Shuai, Y. Y. Adaptive Reproducing Kernel Particle Method for Fracture Analysis of Cracks. In: Qu, S. Y. and Lin, S. *Civil Engineering and Urban Planning 2012*. American Society of Civil Engineers. 69-77; 2012.
65. Amani, J., Bagherzadeh, A. S. and Rabczuk, T. Error Estimate and Adaptive Refinement in Mixed Discrete Least Squares Meshless Method. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*. Egypt: Hindawi Publishing Corporation. 1-16; 2014.

66. Lee, G. H., Chung, H. J. and Choi, C. K. Adaptive crack propagation analysis with the element-free Galerkin method. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*. 2003. 56(3): 331-350.
67. Le, C. V., Askes, H. and Gilbert, M. Adaptive Element-Free Galerkin method applied to the limit analysis of plates. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*. 2010. 199(37-40): 2487-2496.
68. Rabczuk, T. and Belytschko, T. Adaptivity for structured meshfree particle methods in 2D and 3D. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*. 2005. 63(11): 1559-1582.
69. Wu, C. T., Hu, W., Wang, H. P. and Lu, H. S. A Robust Numerical Procedure for the Thermomechanical Flow Simulation of Friction Stir Welding Process Using an Adaptive Element-Free Galerkin Method. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*. Egypt: Hindawi Publishing Corporation. 1-16; 2015.
70. Qin, C. H., Yang, X., Feng, J. C., Liu, K., Liu, J. T., Yan, G. R., Zhu, S. P., Xu, M. and Tian, J. Adaptive improved element free Galerkin method for quasi- or multi-spectral bioluminescence tomography. *Optics Express*. 2009. 17(24): 21925-21934.
71. Zhang, Z., Liu, G. and Liu, T. X. An Adaptive EFG-FE Computational Model for Thermal Elasto-Plastic Frictional Contact Problems. *Advanced Materials Research*. 2008. 33-37: 821-826.
72. Liu, L., Liu, G., Tong, R. T. and Jin, S. Y. An Adaptive EFG-FE Coupling Method for Elasto-plastic Contact of Rough Surfaces. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*. 2010. 10(1): 1-9.
73. Zhang, Z., Liu, G., Liu, T. X., Zeng, Q. R. and Wu, L. Y. An Adaptive Meshless Computational System for Elastoplastic Contact Problems. *International Journal of Computational Methods*. 2008. 5(3): 433-447.
74. Mei, S. L. HAM-Based Adaptive Multiscale Meshless Method for Burgers Equation. *Journal of Applied Mathematics*. Egypt: Hindawi Publishing Corporation. 1-10; 2013.
75. Yang, S. W., Budarapu, P. R., Mahapatra, D. R., Bordas, S. P. A., Zi, G. and Rabczuk, T. A meshless adaptive multiscale method for fracture. *Computational Materials Science*. 2015. 96(PB): 382-395.

76. Häussler-Combe, U. and Korn, C. An adaptive approach with the Element-Free Galerkin method. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*. 1998. 162(1-4): 203-222.
77. Rossi, R. and Alves, M. K. Recovery based error estimation and adaptivity applied to a modified element-free Galerkin method. *Computational Mechanics*. 2004. 33(3): 194-205.
78. Jie, Y., Tang, X. W., Luan, M. T. and Yang, Q. Adaptive Element Free Galerkin Method Applied to Analysis of Earthquake Induced Liquefaction. *The Electronic Journal of geotechnical Engineering*. 2009. 14(L): 1-12.
79. Li, D. and Xu, J. C. h-Adaptive Analysis Based on B Spline Wavelet for Element Free Galerkin Method. *2010 2nd International Conference on Computer Engineering and Technology*. April 16-18, 2010. Chengdu: IEEE, 2010. V5-494 - V5-498.
80. Ullah, Z. and Augarde, C. E. Finite deformation elasto-plastic modelling using an adaptive meshless method. *Computers and structures*. 2012. 118: 39-52.
81. Du, Y. X., Hu, J. R., Zhang, Y. An Adaptive Element-free Galerkin Method based on the Strain Energy Density. *Advanced Materials Research*. 2013. 677: 225-229.
82. He, Y. Q., Yang, H. T. and Deeks, A. J. A Node-Based Error Estimator for the Element Free Galerkin (EFG) Method. *International Journal of Computational Methods*. 2014. 11(4): 1350059-1-1350059-24.
83. Liew, S. C., Yeak, S. H. and Ismail, M. B. Coupling of Modified Variational Multiscale Method with Adaptive Element Free Galerkin Method for Two Dimensional High Gradient Problem. *5th Annual International Conference on Computational Mathematics, Computational Geometry & Statistics (CMCGS 2016)*. January 18-19, 2016. Singapore: Global Science and Technology Forum. 2016. 134-140.
84. Wei, W. J., al-Khayat, O. and Cai, X. An OpenMP-enabled parallel simulator for particle transport in fluid flows. *Procedia Computer Science*. 2011. 4: 1475-1484.

85. Lima, A. M. d., Webber, T., Netto, M. A. S., Czekster, R. M., Rose, C. A. F. D. and Fernandes, P. OpenMP-based Parallel Algorithms for Solving Kronecker Descriptors. *22nd International Symposium on Computer Architecture and High Performance Computing Workshops (SBAC-PADW)*. October 27-30, 2010. Petropolis: IEEE. 2010. 55-60.
86. Khan, A. A., Hassan, L. and Ullah, S. OpenMP-based parallel and scalable genetic sequence alignment. *Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*. 2015. 34(2): 29-34.
87. Singh, I. V. Parallel implementation of the EFG Method for heat transfer and fluid flow problems. *Computational Mechanics*. 2004. 34(6): 453-463.
88. Wei, Q. and Cheng, R. J. The Improved Moving Least-Square Ritz Method for the One Dimensional Sine-Gordon Equation. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*. Egypt: Hindawi Publishing Corporation. 1-10; 2014.
89. Uddin, M., Hussain, A., Haq, S. and Ali, A. RBF Meshless Method of Lines for the Numerical Solution of Nonlinear Sine-Gordon Equation. *Engineering and Physical Sciences*. 2014. 11(4): 349-360.
90. Uddin, M., Haq, S. and Qasim, G. A Meshfree Approach for the Numerical Solution of Nonlinear sine-Gordon Equation. *International Mathematical Forum*. 2012. 7(24): 1179-1186.
91. Mohebbi, A. and Dehghan, M. High-order solution of one-dimensional sine Gordon equation using compact finite difference and DIRKN methods. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*. 2010. 51(5-6): 537-549.
92. Mirzaei, D. and Dehghan, M. Meshless local Petrov-Galerkin (MLPG) approximation to the two dimensional sine-Gordon equation. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*. 2010. 233(10): 2737-2754.
93. Dehghan, M. and Shokri, A. A numerical method for solution of the two dimensional sine-Gordon equation using the radial basis functions. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*. 2008. 79(3): 700-715.
94. Mirzaei, D. and Dehghan, M. Implementation of meshless LBIE method to the 2D non-linear SG problem. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*. 2009. 79(13): 1662-1682.

95. Li, X. L., Zhang, S. G., Wang, Y. and Chen, H. Analysis and application of the element-free Galerkin method for nonlinear sine-Gordon and generalized sinh-Gordon equations. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*. 2016. 71(8): 1655-1678.
96. Jiwari, R., Pandit, S. and Mittal, R. C. Numerical simulation of two-dimensional sine-Gordon solitons by differential quadrature method. *Computer Physics Communications*. 2012. 183(3): 600-616.
97. Shukla, H. S., Tamsir, M. and Srivastava, V. K. Numerical simulation of two dimensional sine-Gordon solitons using modified cubic B-spline differential quadrature method. *AIP Advances*. 2015. 5(1): 017121-1-017121-14.
98. Bratsos, A. G. The solution of the two-dimensional sine-Gordon equation using the method of lines. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*. 2007. 206(1): 251-277.
99. Bratsos, A. G. A third order numerical scheme for the two-dimensional sine Gordon equation. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*. 2007. 76(4): 271-282.
100. Liu, G. R. and Gu, Y. T. *An Introduction to Meshfree Methods and Their Programming*. Netherlands: Springer. 2005.
101. Ren Ji. *Numerical Modeling and Simulation of Shape Memory Alloys Using the Mesh-Free Method*. Ph.D. Thesis. Nanyang Technological University; 2002.
102. Galerkin, B. G. Rods and Plates. Series in some questions of elastic equilibrium of rod and plates. *Vestnik inzhenerov i tekhnikov*. 1915. 19: 897-908.
103. Bazilevs, Y., Calo, V. M., Cottrell, J. A., Hughes, T. J. R, Reali, A. and Scovazzi, G. Variational multiscale residual-based turbulence modeling for large eddy simulation of incompressible flows. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*. 2007. 197(1-4): 173-201.
104. Amdahl's law. Available from:
<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Amdahl%27s_law>. [27 May 2016].