

ASSESSMENT OF HYBRID MODEL FOR SUSTAINABLE SANITARY
LANDFILL SITING USING GEOSPATIAL AND GEOTECHNICAL
TECHNIQUES

HABIBA IBRAHIM MOHAMMED

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Built Environment and Surveying
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

MARCH 2019

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my late father Alhaji Ibrahim Mohammed who taught me how to strive for success, self-sufficient and never give up. Also, to my late step mother Hajia Amina Yerima and my late darling sister Safiya Makarfi. May Allah (Azza Wa Jaal) grant them the highest place in Aljannah Firdaus. Amin!!!

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to express my profound gratitude to Allah (Subhanahu Wa Taala) who has helped and guided me in all aspect of my life especially to accomplish this work successfully and made me overcome all circumstances, Alhamdulillah!

Special thanks and appreciation to my able supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr Zulkepli Majid for his guidance, valuable advices, encouragement and continuous guidance throughout the stages of this research. I would like to also thank you for allowing me to grow as a research scientist. I beseech Allah to reward you with highest rank of Aljannah Firdaus. My thanks to all the members of staff of the Department of Geoinformatic, FABU, notably Assoc. Prof Mohamad Nor Said, Dr Mohd Farid, Dr Khairul Idris, Kak Ella, Madam Dewi, and Aini. I also appreciate the technical staff of Geotechnical Engineering laboratory, School of Civil Engineering UTM for their assistance during the research.

I extend my thanks and gratitude to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia for providing me with the International Doctorate Fellowship (IDF) and an enabling environment and facilities for the success of this thesis.

My sincere appreciation to my beloved mother Hajia Bilkisu Bature for her endless support and encouragement throughout the period of my study. Also, to my wonderful husband Engr. Dr. Yamusa Bello Yamusa for his motivation, valuable advice, corrections and commitment to ensure that this thesis was completed. I am also highly obliged to my kids Aliyu, Nur Bilkis and, Suwaibah having you has always been a source of inspiration and encouragement to me. Mummy loves you so much. I would like to use this opportunity to extend my gratitude to my beloved family members especially Aunty Umami, Yaya Umar and the entire family of Alh. Ibrahim Makarfi (One love). I sincerely appreciate the endless effort of my mother-in-law Hajia Suwaiba Bello Yamusa who also contributed to the success of my study.

Finally, my sincere acknowledgement to my research group members and colleagues who sailed through the rough waters with me, worthy of mention are Ngozi Linda Izah, NurSyahirah Binti Ahmad Fuad and Mohd Radhie Bin Mohd Saleh.

ABSTRACT

One of the major challenges in waste management is the issue of selecting an appropriate site for sustainable sanitary landfilling for the disposal of municipal solid waste (MSW) due to the complexity of various factors that must be considered such as environmental, economic, and social. Therefore, this research developed an innovative hybrid model based on the integration of geographic information systems (GIS), multi-criteria decision analysis (MCDA) and geotechnical technique following landfill siting guidelines and regulations. Therefore, in order to have a sustainable sanitary landfill site selection model, there is a need to evaluate geospatial and geotechnical properties of the area where the potential landfill is to be constructed. The research included identification criteria of siting sanitary landfill such as water bodies, geology, soils, elevation, slope, residential areas, archeological sites, airports, population, roads, railways, infrastructures, and land use. Due to the large volume of spatial data, GIS was used to manage, evaluate, and process these data. In addition, analytical hierarchy process (AHP) was applied to solve decision making problems where multiple alternatives and competing objectives are involved. Criteria maps obtained for this research were prepared in the GIS environment followed by the criteria weights obtained from AHP pairwise comparison matrix and normalization. Next, the weighted criteria were evaluated and overlaid through GIS software ArcGIS 10.3. Then, map production of the most suitable sites for sanitary landfill was carried out using QGIS. Finally, a hybrid model was developed by integrating geospatial and geotechnical techniques. The results from the GIS and AHP revealed the three most suitable sites for sustainable sanitary landfill site selection. This was further examined using geotechnical criteria, namely permeability, volumetric shrinkage and shear strength to obtain the best site. Additionally, computer modeling through digital image technique (using Surfer and Matlab software) was used to validate the model. The model developed from the results of this research can be used as a guide for sustainable sanitary landfilling in developed and developing countries.

ABSTRAK

Salah satu cabaran utama dalam pengurusan sisa adalah isu pemilihan tapak yang sesuai untuk pembuangan sampah sanitari yang mampan bagi pelupusan sisa pepejal perbandaran (MSW) kerana kerumitan pelbagai faktor yang perlu dipertimbangkan seperti alam sekitar, ekonomi dan sosial. Oleh itu, kajian ini membangunkan model hibrid inovatif berasaskan penyepaduan sistem maklumat geografi (GIS), analisis keputusan pelbagai kriteria (MCDA) dan teknik geoteknik mengikut garis panduan dan peraturan tapak pelupusan sampah. Oleh itu, untuk mempunyai model pemilihan tapak tambah sanitari sampah yang mampan, terdapat keperluan untuk menilai sifat-sifat geospasial dan geoteknik kawasan di mana potensi tambak pelupusan akan dibina. Kajian ini termasuk kriteria pengenalan tapak pelupusan sanitari seperti badan air, geologi, tanah, ketinggian, cerun, kawasan perumahan, tapak arkeologi, lapangan terbang, penduduk, jalan raya, kereta api, infrastruktur, dan penggunaan tanah. Oleh kerana jumlah besar data spatial, GIS digunakan untuk mengurus, menilai, dan memproses data ini. Di samping itu, proses hierarki analitik (AHP) telah digunakan untuk menyelesaikan masalah membuat keputusan di mana pelbagai pilihan dan objektif bersaing terlibat. Peta kriteria yang diperoleh untuk kajian ini disediakan dalam persekitaran GIS diikuti dengan kriteria berat yang diperoleh dari matriks perbandingan pasangan yang sepadan dengan AHP dan penormalan. Seterusnya, kriteria pemberat telah dinilai dan ditindih melalui perisian GIS ArcGIS 10.3. Kemudian, pengeluaran peta yang paling sesuai untuk tapak pelupusan sanitari telah dilakukan menggunakan QGIS. Akhirnya, model hibrid telah dibangunkan dengan mengintegrasikan teknik geospasial dan geoteknik. Hasil daripada GIS dan AHP menunjukkan tiga tapak paling sesuai untuk pemilihan tapak pelupusan sampah sanitari yang mampan. Kajian ini diperluaskan lagi dengan menggunakan kriteria geoteknik, iaitu kebolehtelapan, pengecutan isipadu dan kekuatan ricih untuk mendapatkan tapak terbaik. Di samping itu, pemodelan komputer melalui teknik imej berdigit (menggunakan perisian Surfer dan Matlab) digunakan untuk mengesahkan model. Model yang dibangunkan dari hasil kajian ini dapat digunakan sebagai panduan untuk pembuangan sampah sanitari yang mampan di negara maju dan berkembang.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xiii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xx
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxi
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	4
	1.3 Aim and Objectives	6
	1.4 Research Questions	7
	1.5 Scope of the Study	7
	1.6 Significance of the Study	9
	1.7 Contribution of the Study	10
	1.8 Thesis Outlines	11
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	13
	2.1 Introduction	13
	2.2 Solid Waste Management (SWM)	13
	2.2.1 Incineration	14
	2.2.2 Composting	14
	2.2.3 Pyrolysis	15

2.2.4	Source Reduction	15
2.2.5	Sanitary Landfill	15
2.3	Landfills Facility	17
2.3.1	Cells	17
2.3.2	Lift	18
2.3.3	Leachate	18
2.3.4	Landfill Liners	18
2.3.5	Landfill Gas	19
2.4	Methods of Landfilling	19
2.4.1	Excavated Cell or Trench	19
2.4.2	Area Method	20
2.4.3	Canyon	20
2.5	Landfill Design	21
2.5.1	Sanitary Landfills	21
2.5.2	Natural Attenuation Landfills	22
2.6	Landfill Site Selection	23
2.6.1	GIS and Site Selection	34
2.6.2	GIS and MCDA in Solving Spatial Problems	35
2.7	Framework for Spatial Multi Criteria Decision Analysis	43
2.7.1.1	Fuzzy Logic	44
2.7.1.2	Weighted Linear Combination	45
2.7.1.3	Ordered Weighted Averaging	45
2.7.1.4	Analytic Hierarchy Process	46
2.8	Criteria for Landfill Siting	47
2.8.1	Environmental Criteria	48
2.8.1.1	Surface Water	48
2.8.1.2	Groundwater Resources	48
2.8.1.3	Soil	49
2.8.1.4	Slope	50
2.8.1.5	Geology	50
2.8.2	Social Criteria	51

2.8.2.1	Distance from Residential Areas	51
2.8.2.2	Proximity to Waste Production Centers	52
2.8.2.3	Airports	52
2.8.2.4	Population Density	52
2.8.3	Economic Criteria	52
2.8.3.1	Site Capacity	53
2.8.3.2	Proximity to Roads	53
2.8.3.3	Land Uses/Land Cover	54
2.8.3.4	Infrastructural Utilities	54
2.8.4	Geotechnical Criteria	54
2.8.4.1	Compaction	55
2.8.4.2	Hydraulic Conductivity	55
2.8.4.3	Volumetric Shrinkage	56
2.8.4.4	Shear Strength	56
2.8.5	Guidelines for Landfill Siting (Local and International)	57
2.9	Digital Image Technique (DIT)	60
2.10	Summary	61
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	63
3.1	Introduction	63
3.2	Flow Chart	63
3.3	Selection of Important Criteria for Sustainable Sanitary Landfill Siting	65
3.3.1	Required Data and their Sources	67
3.3.2	Data Acquisition and Preparation	68
3.4	GIS Data Analysis	69
3.4.1	Preparation of Thematic Maps	71
3.4.2	Creation of Digital Elevation Model (DEM)	71
3.4.3	Digitizing	73
3.4.4	Specifying Environment	78
3.4.5	Clip	78

3.4.6	Distancing	79
3.4.7	Conversion	80
3.4.8	Reclassify	81
3.5	MCDA Method using AHP	84
3.5.1	Decision-Making Tree	84
3.5.2	Questionnaire	86
3.5.3	Deriving Priorities (Weights) for the Criteria	86
3.5.4	Consistency	89
3.6	Sanitary Landfill Suitability Evaluation	91
3.7	Validation of Potential Candidate Sites	92
3.8	Model Development	92
3.9	Geotechnical Method	94
3.9.1	Physical Properties	94
3.9.1.1	Specific Gravity	95
3.9.1.2	Atterberg Limits	96
3.9.1.3	Plastic Limit	98
3.9.1.4	Plasticity Index	99
3.9.1.5	Particle Size Distribution	99
3.9.2	Engineering Properties	100
3.9.2.1	Compaction	101
3.9.2.2	Permeability	102
3.9.2.3	Shear Strength	104
3.9.2.4	Volumetric Shrinkage	105
3.10	Model Validation using Digital Image Technique (DIT)	106
3.10.1	Sample Preparation	107
3.10.2	Laboratory Experimental Procedures	108
3.10.3	Digital Image Processing	109
3.11	Summary	111
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	113
4.1	Introduction	113

4.2	GIS Analysis	113
4.3	Identification of Criteria	114
4.3.1	Water bodies	114
4.3.2	Geology	115
4.3.3	Soil	117
4.3.4	Digital Elevation Model (DEM)	118
4.3.5	Slope	119
4.3.6	Residential Areas	121
4.3.7	Archeological sites	122
4.3.8	Airport	123
4.3.9	Population	124
4.3.10	Distance to Road	125
4.3.11	Railways	126
4.3.12	Infrastructure	127
4.3.13	Land Use/Land Cover (LU/LC)	128
4.4	AHP Implementation	129
4.4.1	Consistency Check	132
4.5	Final Potential Sites Map for Sanitary Landfill	132
4.5.1	Best Potential Sites Map	134
4.6	Validation of Potential Sites for Sustainable Sanitary Landfill	135
4.7	Geotechnical Properties of the Best Landfill Sites	141
4.7.1	Physical Properties	141
4.7.2	Engineering Properties	143
4.7.2.1	Soil Compaction	143
4.7.2.2	Soil Permeability	144
4.7.2.3	Shear Strength	146
4.7.2.4	Volumetric Shrinkage	148
4.7.2.5	Overall Acceptable Zone	150
4.8	Model Validation using Digital Image Technique (DIT)	152
4.9	General Discussion	154

4.10	Summary	156
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	157
5.1	Introduction	157
5.2	Achievement of Objectives	157
5.3	Conclusion	159
5.4	Recommendations	160
	REFERENCES	163
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	208

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2. 1	Summary of recent literature related to landfill site selection models	26
Table 2. 2	Landfill site selection criteria from different researchers	34
Table 2. 3	Landfill site selection using GIS-MCDA methods	41
Table 2. 4	Summary of rock types and their suitability to landfill siting (Şener, 2006)	51
Table 2. 5	Landfill siting rules and regulations of different parts of the world and the World Bank (Ahmad <i>et al.</i> , 2014a)	58
Table 2. 6	Landfill siting criteria ranking of different parts of the world and World Bank (Ahmad <i>et al.</i> , 2014a)	59
Table 3. 1	Selected criteria for sanitary landfill siting.	65
Table 3. 2	Required data	67
Table 3. 3	Data types and their sources	67
Table 3. 4	Sub-criteria ranking used in this study	82
Table 3. 5	Pair wise comparison scale	86
Table 3. 6	Pairwise comparison matrix	88
Table 3. 7	Random Consistency Index (RI) for different values of n (Saaty, 1994)	90
Table 4. 1	Pair wise comparison matrix	130
Table 4. 2	Normalization matrix	131
Table 4. 3	Criteria weight and percentage	131
Table 4. 4	Location of best potential sites	136
Table 4. 5	Physical properties of the soils used in this study	142
Table 4. 6	Acceptable ranges of moulding water contents	151

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURES NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 1.1	Map of the study area	9
Figure 2.1	Open dump landfill (www.good360.org, 2018)	16
Figure 2.2	Sanitary landfill (www.good360.org, 2018)	17
Figure 2.3	Schematic representation of a sanitary landfill with design components (Şener <i>et al.</i> , 2005).	22
Figure 2.4	Schematic representation of a natural attenuation landfill (Şener <i>et al.</i> , 2005).	23
Figure 2.5	Tree Diagram for selection of suitable landfill site (Chabuk <i>et al.</i> , 2016).	25
Figure 2.6	Landfill suitability map (Chabuk <i>et al.</i> , 2016).	25
Figure 2.7	Criteria weights (Mornya <i>et al.</i> , 2010).	36
Figure 2.8	Conceptual model for landfill site selection (Bahrani <i>et al.</i> , 2016).	37
Figure 2.9	Raster calculator embedded in ArcGIS 9.3 (Lawal <i>et al.</i> , 2011)	39
Figure 2.10	GIS and AHP Integration method adopted for regional landfill site selection (Vasiljević <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	40
Figure 2.11	Structure of spatial multi-criteria decision analysis. Source: (Khan and Samadder, 2014a)	43
Figure 2.12	Fuzzy membership function	45
Figure 3.1	Overall flow chart of the methodology	64
Figure 3.2	Data acquisition and preparation	69
Figure 3.3	ArcGIS template	70
Figure 3.4	QGIS template	70
Figure 3.5	Elevation map	72
Figure 3.6	Slope tool	73
Figure 3.7	Soil map of the Peninsular Malaysia	74
Figure 3.8	Geological map of the Peninsular Malaysia	75

Figure 3.9	Topographical map of Johor Bahru	77
Figure 3.10	Environment settings	78
Figure 3.11	Clip tool	79
Figure 3.12	Euclidean distance tool	80
Figure 3.13	Conversion tool	81
Figure 3.14	Reclassify tool	82
Figure 3.15	Hierarchical diagram of decision-making tree	85
Figure 3.16	Raster calculator	91
Figure 3.17	Developed hybrid model for sanitary landfill siting	93
Figure 3.18	Flow chart showing the Geotechnical tests	94
Figure 3.19	Vacuum pycnometer apparatus	96
Figure 3.20	Cone penetrometer	98
Figure 3.21	Mechanical shaker and test sieves	100
Figure 3.22	Compaction apparatus	102
Figure 3.23	Falling head permeameter	103
Figure 3.24	Unconfined compression test machine	105
Figure 3.25	Volumetric shrinkage test	106
Figure 3.26	Flow chart of model validation process	107
Figure 3.27	Digital image laboratory setup	109
Figure 3.28	Digitizing grids and image conversion into HSI value in surfer	110
Figure 3.29	Image transformation in Matlab	111
Figure 4.1	Reclassified map of water body	115
Figure 4.2	Reclassified map of geology	117
Figure 4.3	Reclassified map of soil	118
Figure 4.4	Reclassified map of elevation	119
Figure 4.5	Reclassified map of slope	120
Figure 4.6	Reclassified map of residential	121
Figure 4.7	Reclassified map of archeological sites	122
Figure 4.8	Reclassified map of airport	123

Figure 4.9	Reclassified map of population	124
Figure 4.10	Reclassified map of road	126
Figure 4.11	Reclassified map of railway	127
Figure 4.12	Reclassified map of infrastructures	128
Figure 4.13	Reclassified map of land use/land cover	129
Figure 4.14	Potential sanitary landfill sites map	133
Figure 4.15	Most suitable sites map after filtering	134
Figure 4.16	Best potential sanitary landfill sites map	135
Figure 4.17	Best potential sanitary landfill sites map using Google Earth	137
Figure 4.18	Best potential sanitary landfill site map A	137
Figure 4.19	Best potential sanitary landfill sites map B	138
Figure 4.20	Best potential sanitary landfill sites map C	138
Figure 4.21	Reality view of best potential site A	139
Figure 4.22	Reality view of best potential site B	140
Figure 4.23	Reality view of best potential site C	140
Figure 4.24	Particle size distribution curves for best sites soils	142
Figure 4.25	Compaction curves for best sites soils	144
Figure 4.26	Variation of hydraulic conductivity with moulding water content for best sites soils	145
Figure 4.27	Variation of hydraulic conductivity with dry density for best sites soils	146
Figure 4.28	Variation of unconfined compressive strength with moulding water content for best sites soils	147
Figure 4.29	Variation of unconfined compressive strength with dry density for best sites soils	148
Figure 4.30	Variation of volumetric shrinkage with moulding water content for best sites soils	149
Figure 4.31	Variation of volumetric shrinkage with dry density for best sites soils	150
Figure 4.32	Overall acceptable zone for best site A soil	151
Figure 4.33	Leachate migration in soil column	152

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AHP	-	Analytical Hierarchy Process
ANP	-	Analytical Network Process
ASTER	-	Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer
BSCS	-	British Standard Classification System
BSL	-	British Standard Light
DEM	-	Digital Elevation Model
DIT	-	Digital Image Technique
FL	-	Fuzzy Logic
GDEM	-	Global Digital Elevation Map
GIS	-	Geographic Information Systems
GLOVIS	-	Global Visualization Viewer
KML	-	Keyhole Mark-up Language
MCDA	-	Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis
MDD	-	Maximum Dry Density
MSWDS	-	Municipal Solid Waste Disposal Sites
NA	-	Natural Attenuation
OMC	-	Optimum Moisture Content
OWA	-	Ordered Weighted Averaging
PI	-	Plasticity Index
PL	-	Plastic Limit
QGIS	-	Quantum Geographic Information Systems
RSO	-	Rectified Skewed Orthomorphic
SAW	-	Simple Additive Weighting
SWM	-	Solid Waste Management
TOPSIS	-	Technique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution
UCS	-	Unconfined Compressive Strength
UKEA	-	United Kingdom Environment Agency

USEPA - United States Environmental Protection Agency
USGS - United States Geological Survey
VSS - Volumetric Shrinkage Strain

LIST OF SYMBOLS

λ	-	Maximum Eigen Value
a	-	Area of the standpipe
G_s	-	Specific gravity
k	-	Hydraulic conductivity
kr	-	Relative hydraulic conductivity
ks	-	Saturated hydraulic conductivity
L	-	Length of sample
S_i	-	Free swell index
t	-	Time
ψ	-	Suction
v	-	Velocity
v_s	-	Volume of soil
v_w	-	Volume of water

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Digitized and Derived Maps	186
Appendix B	Distance and Rasterized Maps	192
Appendix C	Questionnaire	197

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

The most significant part of urban planning is identifying a desirable location for municipal solid waste disposal landfill (Bahrani *et al.*, 2016). However, serious environmental problems or hazards to inhabitants can arise from landfill locations as well as the methods with which the solid waste at a site is disposed (Sharholy *et al.*, 2008). The greatest concerns associated with landfill environmental impacts are linked to its effects on ground water, surface water, air, soil, odor emission, and issues occurring as a result of solid waste transportation (Chabuk *et al.*, 2017a). The most common approach used in municipal solid waste management techniques is a landfill (Jeswani and Azapagic, 2016). Other methods are composting and incineration, but the landfill has become the oldest and most common technique as a result of its convenience and affordability. Due to landfills, there are lots of problem that have risen in the waste management sector (Nas *et al.*, 2010). There is a need for effective and efficient solid waste management to prevent public health hazards, which will have a negative environmental impact.

Increase in the world population and the industrialization of more cities means an increase in the volume of waste, and managing the waste produced by cities have become more complex (Tahir *et al.*, 2011). Getting rid of waste using landfills has become an unavoidable component of the entire solid waste management framework. Regardless of reduction, reuse, and recycling activities and practices, there will always be a need for the transfer of the remaining generated waste into the landfill. In majority of developed and some developing countries, the most common techniques adopted for the disposal of solid waste are the sanitary landfills (Alavi *et al.*, 2013).

Presently, solid waste disposal sites are not properly monitored in many regions of the world, especially those that do not adhere strict terms for solid waste management. This has given rise to a combination of commercial, industrial, household waste as well as construction and hazardous waste into the same landfill (Chabuk *et al.*, 2017a; Scott *et al.*, 2005; Stanton and Schrader, 2001). As generally considered, open dumps or unsanitary solid waste landfill sites are the most disposal problem because of their irregularity and threat to the environment. Also, they are often used without sufficient hydrogeological, geological, and environmental assessments (Önal *et al.*, 2013). This threatens the environment due to bad odor, air pollution, the risk of fire, surface water pollution, as well as groundwater pollution. Therefore, undesired visual pollution can easily be traced in these categories of sites.

Planned sites for sanitary landfills must be first evaluated and measured in detail to determine its social, environmental, economic, and geotechnical attributes in term of subsoil and geological formations, which consist of rock types, tectonic properties, groundwater level depth, etc. (Önal *et al.*, 2013). The most problematic aspects of the disposal process of municipal solid waste is the site selection issue (Kemal Korucu and Erdagi, 2012). Due to the many factors required when identifying landfills, this makes it become a very complex process (Önüt and Soner, 2008). These factors can be referred to as social, environmental, geomorphological, economic, geological, hydrological, and geotechnical. In many developing countries, the required data is collected via traditional methods, which are tedious and time consuming, or old existing data is used (Mohamed and Plante, 2002). According to Chabuk *et al.* (2016), there is a need for waste disposal sites in the surrounding area to preserve the biophysical environment and ecology. Similarly, other factors that must also be considered are the factors associated with the economy, which comprise the cost of acquiring the land together with both developmental and operational costs (Yesilnacar and Cetin, 2008). Likewise, when protecting the environment as well as public health while making sure the need for better sustainability features in term of quality of life, the selection of landfill sites is seen as a fundamental step when it comes to ultimate waste disposal practices. In terms of the preliminary landfill process, the required successive steps are determined by proper landfill site selection. To ensure undesirable long-term effects are avoided, it is necessary to implement landfill siting. Therefore,

landfill sites should be carefully selected through the recommendations provided by environmental agencies (Ahmad *et al.*, 2011).

The United State is one of the countries that saw the earliest changes in the development of sanitary landfills in the 20th century through the process of depositing solid waste in layers, compacting, as well as making sure it is often covered with soil. Several countries (e.g. Canada, United States, United Kingdom, Sweden, Australia, and Malaysia) have adopted governmental regulations involving the selection, design, and monitoring of modern landfills to avoid negative social and environmental impacts (Scott *et al.*, 2005). Landfill site selection operation can involve the advantages of geospatial technology, namely remote sensing, Geographical Information Systems (GIS), and Multi-Criteria Decision-Making Methods (MCDM). Hence, they serve as powerful tools for addressing problems arising from landfill site selection. Remote sensing has a great potential to extract earth surface features such as roads, rivers, and vegetation, as well as providing a detailed land cover and land use map from satellite images (Wasige *et al.*, 2013). GIS allows the analyst to efficiently utilize data from various sources to produce detailed models to identify potential places. Multi-Criteria Decision-Making Analysis (MCDA) is often used to handle complex information in very large quantities, especially in this area of research where criteria maps weightings are used together with GIS to recognize the most suitable landfill site.

In order to select a sanitary landfill site, it is significant to conduct geotechnical analysis, which is referred to as soil investigation for the purpose of acquiring the necessary data to study a variety of layers of soil at selected sites as well as to unveil the groundwater depth at these sites (Bagchi, 2004). The investigation of soil at each site is usually based on knowing the properties associated with the subsoil. Similarly, other features of the soil such as the Atterberg limits of fine grained soils or thickness of each stratum are required to estimate both the quantities of solid waste that can be put at each site, and the groundwater depth for each site (Chabuk *et al.*, 2017a).

Many researchers have assessed potential landfill sites using GIS and MCDA methods. This research presents an up-to-date overview on improvements made to landfill site selection evaluation criteria and the methodology used for locating

landfills that combines GIS and MCDA. A hybrid model was developed for the selection of sustainable sanitary landfill sites that consider geotechnical criteria as a basic requirement of the landfill sites selection process to ensure the best sites are underlain by an impermeable layer possessing desirable geotechnical characteristics that is also environmentally friendly, economically affordable, and socially acceptable. Geotechnical properties comprise hydraulic conductivity or permeability, shear strength, and volumetric shrinkage. No research is currently available to fill in the missing research gap regarding geotechnical criteria as an important criterion in landfill site selection. As leachate is the main groundwater contaminant, landfill sites must have soil with excellent geotechnical properties capable of retaining these leachates. Therefore, the soil must have a good retention capacity for leachate in terms of permeability and an adequate shear strength to carry the load of waste and trafficability of vehicles that disposes the waste. Equally, minimal volumetric shrinkage is required to negate seasonal variations due to wetting and drying seasonal variation. This study will help decision-makers, stakeholders, and solid waste management agencies to identify the most optimal and sustainable locations for municipal solid waste disposal.

1.2 Problem Statement

To curtail environmental and health hazard, assessments of major factors such as geotechnical, hydrogeological, seismicity, geological and environmental are required for sanitary solid waste disposal sites to be sustainably constructed (Şener *et al.*, 2011; Önal *et al.*, 2013). Thus, to plan and construct suitable municipal solid waste landfills that have attributes in relation to environmental, social, and economic factors in today's society, the problem of site selection and location is inevitable (Eskandari *et al.*, 2012a). This required the application of integrated specialty that involves professionalism from geospatial and geotechnical which was judiciously deploy in this research.

Malaysia daily disposes up to 28,500 tons of municipal solid waste into landfills (Agamuthu and Fauziah, 2011). Considering this fact enforces sustainable landfilling to curtail danger to the people and the environment. Furthermore, the main

waste disposal technique in Malaysia up-to-date is landfilling, which accounts for more than 80% of the collected MSW (Manaf *et al.*, 2009). Though, the present landfill sites are attaining their maximum limits of containment which means that new sites need to be constructed (Manaf *et al.*, 2009).

Johor is among the states in Malaysia developing at a speedy pace. This means that an increase in the solid waste generation is expected. Unfortunately, record shows that by 2010, Johor had terminated 21 landfills, and 15 are still operating with only 1 among them being sanitary landfill, and the rest are either open or controlled landfills (Samsudina and Dona, 2013). This is causing great concerns as one sanitary landfill cannot accommodate the waste generation for long, except with an alternative for expansion. These uncontrolled landfills have repercussion leading to unpleasant views, leachate generation, water contamination and costlier waste management. These challenges could be overcome by creating new sanitary landfill sites.

Existing methods for landfill site selection regards many objectives and criteria, however, an integrated method that inculcates all policies for optimum landfill site is lacking. Regarding this, a hybrid model is required which simultaneously considers important criteria as environmental, economic, social, and geotechnical. The use of geospatial technology such as remote sensing with GIS to prepare the required input data in GIS-based site selection for improved decision-making was used in this study. Indeed, researchers constantly attempt to improve the techniques to mapping the best sites for waste disposal and resolve the problems associated with the current mapping techniques. In this respect, an innovative approach based on Geotechnical criteria was developed in this study to improve the accuracy of the landfill sites selection process. This is because when environmental measures were not put in place with respect to the construction, problems are expected to occur (Önal *et al.*, 2013; Depountis *et al.*, 2009; Bruno, 2007). Therefore, for a sustainable sanitary landfill site selection model, the geotechnical, environmental, economic, and social factors need to be evaluated and assessed in detail.

Furthermore, it can be observed that landfill siting analysis typically requires evaluating various rules, factors, constraints, and numerous spatial data which modern

GIS, although capable of rapidly processing a massive amount of spatial data, lacks the ability to locate an optimal site when compactness and other factors are simultaneously evaluated. Previously developed GIS-based model could not be applied to resolve this inability for irregularly shaped spatial data. Therefore, an enhanced spatial siting model is developed herein for general spatial data with the integration of both GIS, remote sensing, and multi-criteria evaluation methods. Thereafter, the geotechnical criteria are applied to ensure the integrity of selected sites. The study area (Johor Bahru) was used to demonstrate the applicability of the developed model.

1.3 Aim and Objectives

The aim of this study is to develop a hybrid model for siting a sustainable sanitary landfill site using geospatial and geotechnical techniques. To achieve the aim, the following objectives had been formulated:

1. To identify the most important criteria that conform with sanitary landfill siting guidelines, rules, and regulations.
2. To produce and validate map of potential sites for sanitary landfills according to the identified criteria.
3. To develop a hybrid model for siting suitable and sustainable sanitary landfill sites using an integration of geospatial and geotechnical techniques.
4. To evaluate and validate the developed hybrid model using digital image technique (DIT).

1.4 Research Questions

S/No	Objectives	Research Questions
1	Objective 1	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. What are the important criteria used in sanitary landfill siting?2. What are the rules and regulations governing these criteria?
2	Objective 2	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. What are the methods used in producing the potential map sites for sanitary landfill based on the identified criteria?2. How do you validate the potential map sites produced?
3	Objective 3	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. How can the model be developed?2. What is the impact of the geotechnical criteria in sanitary landfill siting model?
4	Objective 4	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Which method is used to validate the developed model?2. Where are the most suitable sites based on the integration of geotechnical suitability criteria?

1.5 Scope of the Study

The efficiency of solid waste disposal depends upon selection of proper site and there are several issues that have impacts for site selection. One of the reasons for undertaking the present study is the rapid growth in population which is leading to an increase in the amount of waste generated in the study area.

The applications of GIS and MCDA are numerous in different fields of Sciences and Engineering. In this research, GIS and MCDA were integrated with geotechnics to develop a hybrid model for identifying the most suitable site for sustainable sanitary landfill to meet the future waste disposal site demand. Additionally, the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) was adopted as a prevalent

MCDA method for deriving the importance of one criterion over the other. Equally, its flexibility, efficiency, and accuracy when it comes to solving issues related to conflicting objectives. AHP gives range of location from least suitable to most suitable location according to criteria ranking and expert judgement. Therefore, this is important to users especially for the decision makers to decide the most suitable areas to be considered for landfilling.

The various criterion considered for this research are water bodies, geology, soils, elevation, slope, residential area, archeological sites, airport, population, roads, railways, infrastructures, land use, soil permeability, soil volumetric shrinkage, and soil shear strength.

This research work has implemented the use of latest geospatial techniques to select a sustainable sanitary landfill site in the study area focusing on geotechnical criteria as a key factor in siting sanitary landfill. This is to ensure that the soil beneath the ground surface can support the threats of varying climatic conditions of wet and dry season, loads bearing capacities, and conditions posed on it by transportation facilities before any further construction process begins. Also, the goal of the geotechnical investigation was to preserve the safety of the public and the facility constructed, as well as to preserve the economic investment by the government or stakeholders. Figure 1 shows the map of the study area. This study covers Johor Bahru (JB). JB was chosen in this study as it is one of the rapid developing area in Johor state, this has contributed to the increasing amount of solid waste generated. Therefore, selecting sustainable sanitary landfill site in this area is needed because the existing sanitary landfill cannot accommodate the waste produced.



Figure 1.1 Map of the study area

1.6 Significance of the Study

Proper Protection of public health and the environment should be a priority and serve as a right to quality of life. Fundamentally, landfill site selection is frontier in waste disposal techniques used in achieving a cleaner and safe environment. It governs

various of the successive stages in the landfill process, and when appropriately employed, would safeguard against nuisances and negative long-term effects. A well-selected sanitary landfill site will generally facilitate an uncomplicated design and provide ample cover material, which would facilitate an environmentally and publicly acceptable operation at a reasonable cost.

By applying this developed hybrid model, the landfill siting process can be done comprehensively knowing that the safety measure for construction of quality criteria is adopted through geotechnics. All the different organizations involved i.e. solid waste management authorities, policy and decision makers, stakeholders from various fields can implement the use of the latest up to date model for the construction of a well and sustainable sanitary landfill site for the disposal of municipal solid waste. Similarly, this will reduce the cost of construction as it impedes the money paid for site investigation. In addition, it will also help the project managers to cut down the time spent debating location-based problems as well as reduce costs.

Most importantly, the results of the best potential sites obtained in this study will be useful especially to the Johor Bahru solid waste management authority. They can directly use these areas to construct a new sanitary landfill site. This is because the sites have met all the necessary requirements related to landfill siting standards, rules and regulations. Moreover, this newly selected sites, if constructed can serve as a way of reducing illegal waste dumping sites because the waste generated can be transported easily within the municipality.

1.7 Contribution of the Study

In terms of contributions to the frontier of knowledge, this research stretches over the following fields: GIS, MCDA, and Geotechnics. This study contributes to the frontier of knowledge by developing a hybrid model linking Geospatial and Geotechnical techniques for sustainable sanitary landfill siting. Although GIS and MCDA has been widely used for landfill site selection models (Santhosh and Babu, 2018; Khodaparast *et al.*, 2018; Eskandari *et al.*, 2013; Şener *et al.*, 2005;), yet no models have considered geotechnical criteria as a basic requirement analysis. Only

few studies (Mornya *et al.*, 2010; Babalola and Busu, 2011) have linked their site selection model with soil permeability as a criterion for landfill site selection. However, they have failed to employ other engineering and physical properties examination of the soil in their models for landfill site selection. To the author's knowledge, this is the first study that integrates Geospatial and Geotechnical approach to select not just a landfill but a sustainable sanitary landfill site.

Furthermore, all the different organizations involved i.e. solid waste management authorities, policy and decision makers, stakeholders from various fields can implement the use of the latest up to date model for the construction of a well and sustainable sanitary landfill site for the disposal of municipal solid waste.

This research is also the first formal study on sanitary landfill site selection to the Johor Bahru municipality of Johor, Malaysia which rigorously evaluated the process of landfill siting in terms of selection criteria and methodology used in the past and present researches. This developed hybrid model helps to evaluate and illustrate the process of sustainable sanitary landfill siting.

1.8 Thesis Outlines

This thesis is organized in five chapters; introduction, literature review, methodology, results and discussion, and conclusion.

Chapter 1 (Introduction): This chapter covers the introduction which briefly explained an overview of the whole research, which includes background of the study, problem statement, aim and objectives, scope of study, the significance and contributions of the study.

Chapter 2 (Literature Review): This chapter is based on literature review of previous studies and describes the fundamental part of the research which provides appropriate knowledge including the theories and applications employed in this study.

Chapter 3 (Methodology): In this chapter, the whole work flow is explained and addresses each of the objectives outlined in Chapter 1 by adopting the appropriate research methods. In this chapter, the research methodology discusses from the initial study until the model development as well as the model validation technique.

Chapter 4 (Results, Analysis and Discussion): This chapter presents the results, analysis and discussion achieved according to the implementation of the methods adopted in the research. The results and relevant analysis were illustrated and elaborated in various forms such as tables, graphs and figures in this chapter.

Chapter 5 (Conclusions and Recommendations): Finally, this chapter delivers the conclusions drawn from this research and recommendations for future researches related to this study.

REFERENCES

- Abd-El Monsef, Hesham. 2015. Optimization of municipal landfill siting in the Red Sea coastal desert using geographic information system, remote sensing and an analytical hierarchy process. *Environmental Earth Sciences*. 74(3), 2283-2296.
- Abdalqader, Ahmed Fahmi. 2011. Landfills needs assessment in Gaza strip and sites selection using GIS. *Unpublished Master Thesis*. The Islamic University of Gaza, Gaza, Palestine.
- Abdullah, Lazim, Naim, N and Wahab, Af. 2011. Determination of weight for landfill-siting criteria under conflicting bifuzzy preference relation. *J Sustain Sci Manag*. 6, 139-147.
- Abujayyab, Sohaib Km, Ahamad, Mas, Yahya, Ahmad Shukri and Saad, Am Hy. 2015. A New Framework for Geospatial Site Selection Using Artificial Neural Networks as Decision Rules: a Case Study on Landfill Sites. *ISPRS Annals of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*. 2(2), 131.
- Abujayyab, S. K. M., Ahamad, M. S. S., Yahya, A. S. and Aziz, H. A., 2016a. Spatial Data Mining Toolbox for Mapping Suitability of Landfill Sites Using Neural Networks. *ISPRS - International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*. XLII-4/W1, 199-208.
- Abujayyab, Sohaib Km, Ahamad, Mohd Sanusi S, Yahya, Ahmad Shukri, Bashir, Mohammed Jk and Aziz, Hamidi Abdul 2016b. GIS modelling for new landfill sites: critical review of employed criteria and methods of selection criteria. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*. 012053.
- Adams, Barry J, Karney, Bryan W, Cormier, Charles J and Lai, Anita. 1998. Artesian landfill liner system: Optimization and numerical analysis. *Journal of water resources planning and management*. 124(6), 345-356.
- Adeyemi, Gabriel Oladapo, Afolagboye, Lekan Olatayo and Chinwenwa Chukwuemeka, Alexandra. 2015. Geotechnical properties of non-crystalline coastal plain sand derived lateritic soils from Ogua, Niger Delta, Nigeria. *African Journal of Science, Technology, Innovation and Development*. 7(4), 230-235.

- Aerts, Jeroen, Van Herwijnen, Marjan, Janssen, Ron and Stewart, Theodor. 2005. Evaluating spatial design techniques for solving land-use allocation problems. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*. 48(1), 121-142.
- Agamuthu, P. and Fauziah, S. H., 2011. Challenges and issues in moving towards sustainable landfilling in a transitory country - Malaysia. *Waste Manag Res*. 29(1), 13-19.
- Ahmad, Adeel, Javaid, Umar, Javed, Muhammad Asif, Ahmad, Sajid Rashid, Jaffri, Muhammad Abbas and Ashfaq, Mudassar. 2016. Landfill Sites Identification Using GIS and Multi-Criteria Method: A Case Study of Intermediate City of Punjab, Pakistan. *Journal of Geographic Information System*. 08(01), 40-49.
- Ahmad, K, Yamusa, Yb and Rosly, Mh Bin. 2018a. Laterite Soil Shear Strength Characteristics from the Use of the Standard Proctor and Compression Machine. *Arid Zone Journal of Engineering, Technology and Environment*. 14(2), 304-316.
- Ahmad, K., Yamusa, Y. B. and Kamisan, M. A. Bin. 2018b. Effects of Soil Recomposition on Permeability. *Science World Journal Vol 13(No 3)*, 6-9.
- Ahmad, S. Z., Ahamad, M. S. and Yusoff, M. S., 2014a. Spatial effect of new municipal solid waste landfill siting using different guidelines. *Waste management & research*. 32(1), 24-33.
- Ahmad, Siti Zubaidah, Ahmad, S Mohd Sanusi and Wan, Hussein. 2011. Comparative site selection process based on different policies and guidelines for municipal solid waste landfill site. *10th International symposium & exhibition on geoinformation 2011 (ISG 2011), Selangor, Malaysia*.
- Ahmad, S. Z., Ahamad, M. S. and Yusoff, M. S., 2014b. Spatial effect of new municipal solid waste landfill siting using different guidelines. *Waste Manag Res*. 32(1), 24-33.
- Ahmad, Siti Zubaidah, Ahamad, Mohd Sanusi S. and Yusoff, Mohd Suffian. 2015. A Comprehensive Review of Environmental, Physical and Socio-Economic (EPSE) Criteria for Spatial Site Selection of Landfills in Malaysia. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*. 802, 412-418.
- Akbari, V, Rajabi, Ma, Chavoshi, Sh and Shams, R. 2008. Landfill site selection by combining GIS and fuzzy multi criteria decision analysis, case study: Bandar Abbas, Iran. *World Applied Sciences Journal*. 3(1), 39-47.

- Aksoy, E. and San, B. T., 2016. Using Mcda and Gis for Landfill Site Selection: Central Districts of Antalya Province. *ISPRS - International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*. XLI-B2, 151-157.
- Aksoy, Ercument and San, Bekir Taner. 2017. Geographical information systems (GIS) and Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis (MCDA) integration for sustainable landfill site selection considering dynamic data source. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*.
- Al-Adamat, Rida, Diabat, Abdullah and Shatnawi, Ghada. 2010. Combining GIS with multicriteria decision making for siting water harvesting ponds in Northern Jordan. *Journal of Arid Environments*. 74(11), 1471-1477.
- Al-Jarrah, Omar and Abu-Qdais, Hani. 2006. Municipal solid waste landfill siting using intelligent system. *Waste management*. 26(3), 299-306.
- Alanbari, Mohammad, Al-Ansari, Nadhir, Jasim, Hadeel and Knutsson, Sven. 2014a. Modeling landfill suitability based on GIS and multicriteria decision analysis: case study in Al-Mahaweel Qadaa. *Natural Science*. 6(11), 828-851.
- Alanbari, Mohammad Ali, Al-Ansari, Nadhir and Jasim, Hadeel Kareem. 2014b. GIS and Multicriteria Decision Analysis for Landfill Site Selection in Al-Hashimiyah Qadaa. *Natural Science*. 06(05), 282-304.
- Alanbari, Mohammad Ali, Al-Ansari, Nadhir, Jasim, Hadeel Kareem and Knutsson, Sven. 2014c. Al-Mseiab Qadaa Landfill Site Selection Using GIS and Multicriteria Decision Analysis. *Engineering*. 06(09), 526-549.
- Alavi, N., Goudarzi, G., Babaei, A. A., Jaafarzadeh, N. and Hosseinzadeh, M., 2013. Municipal solid waste landfill site selection with geographic information systems and analytical hierarchy process: a case study in Mahshahr County, Iran. *Waste management & research*. 31(1), 98-105.
- Alazaiza, Motasem Yd, Ngien, Su Kong, Bob, Mustafa M, Kamaruddin, Samira A and Faizal Ishak, Wan Mohd. 2016a. Assessment of the behaviour of soil structure in double-porosity kaolin media using light transmission visualization (LTV) method. *International Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*. 1-5.
- Alazaiza, Motasem Yd, Ngien, Su Kong, Bob, Mustafa M, Kamaruddin, Samira A and Ishak, Wan Mohd Faizal. 2017. Influence of Macro-pores on DNAPL Migration in Double-Porosity Soil Using Light Transmission Visualization Method. *Transport in Porous Media*. 1-21.

- Alazaiza, Motasem Yd, Ngien, Su Kong, Mustafa, M Bob, Samira, A Kamaruddin and Wmf, Wan Ishak. 2016b. Application of Light Reflection Method to Observe DNAPL Movement in Different Soil Media. The National Conference for Postgraduate Research, Universiti Malaysia Pahang.
- Alaziya, Motasem Yd, Ngien, Su Kong, Bob, Mustafa M, Kamaruddin, Samira A and Ishak, Wan Mohd Faizal. 2016. Investigation of Light Non-Aqueous Phase Liquid Migration Single and Double-Porosity Soil Using Light Transmission Visualization Method (LTV). *MATEC Web of Conferences*. 47.
- Alkhuzaie, Marwah M and Janna, Hussein. 2018. Optimum Location for Landfills Sites Based on GIS Modeling for Al-Diwaniyah City, Iraq. *International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology* Volume 9(8), pp. 941-951.
- Allen, A, Brito, G, Caetano, P, Costa, C, Cummins, V, Donnelly, J, Fernades, C, Koukoulas, S, O'donell, V and Robalo, C 2002. Procedure for the location of landfill sites using a GIS model. *9th Congress of the International Association of Engineering Geology and the Environment, Durban*. 16-20.
- Allesch, Astrid and Brunner, Paul H. 2014. Assessment methods for solid waste management: A literature review. *Waste Management & Research*. 32(6), 461-473.
- Amadi, Aa and Eberemu, Ao. 2013. Characterization of geotechnical properties of lateritic soil-bentonite mixtures relevant to their use as barrier in engineered waste landfills. *Nigerian J Technol*. 32(1), 93-100.
- Amadi, Aa, Eberemu, Ao and Osinubi, Kj. 2012. Strength consideration in the use of lateritic soil stabilized with fly ash as liners and covers in waste landfills. *State-of-the-art and practice in geotechnical engineering. American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) geotechnical special publication (GSP)*. 225, 3835-3844.
- Amadi, Agapitus A., 2012. Improvement of Barrier Soil Properties with Fly Ash to Minimize Desiccation Shrinkage. *International Journal of Engineering Research in Africa*. 7, 1-11.
- Amadi, Agapitus Ahamefule and Eberemu, Adrian Oshioname. 2012. Delineation of compaction criteria for acceptable hydraulic conductivity of lateritic soil-bentonite mixtures designed as landfill liners. *Environmental Earth Sciences*. 67(4), 999-1006.

- Arikan, Emre, Şimşit-Kalender, Zeynep Tuğçe and Vayvay, Özalp. 2017. Solid waste disposal methodology selection using multi-criteria decision making methods and an application in Turkey. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 142, 403-412.
- Asha, Poorna C and Vinod, P. G. 2016. Solid waste disposal site selection by data analysis using GIS and Remote sensing tools A case study in Thiruvananthapuram corporation area. *International Journal of Geomatics and Geosciences*. Volume 6.
- Aydi, Abdelwaheb, Zairi, Moncef and Dhia, Hamed Ben. 2012. Minimization of environmental risk of landfill site using fuzzy logic, analytical hierarchy process, and weighted linear combination methodology in a geographic information system environment. *Environmental Earth Sciences*. 68(5), 1375-1389.
- Babalola, Ayo and Busu, Ibrahim. 2011. Selection of Landfill Sites for Solid Waste Treatment in Damaturu Town-Using GIS Techniques. *Journal of Environmental Protection*. 02(01), 1-10.
- Baban, Serwan Mj and Flannagan, Joseph. 1998. Developing and implementing GIS-assisted constraints criteria for planning landfill sites in the UK. *Planning Practice & Research*. 13(2), 139-151.
- Bagchi, Amalendu (2004). *Design of landfills and integrated solid waste management*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Bahrani, Sara, Ebadi, Taghi, Ehsani, Hadi, Yousefi, Hossein and Maknoon, Reza. 2016. Modeling landfill site selection by multi-criteria decision making and fuzzy functions in GIS, case study: Shabestar, Iran. *Environmental Earth Sciences*. 75(4).
- Baiocchi, V., Lelo, K., Poletini, A. and Pomi, R., 2014. Land suitability for waste disposal in metropolitan areas. *Waste management & research*. 32(8), 707-716.
- Barakat, Ahmed, Hilali, Abdessamad, El Baghdadi, Mohamed and Touhami, Fatima. 2017. Landfill site selection with GIS-based multi-criteria evaluation technique. A case study in Béni Mellal-Khouribga Region, Morocco. *Environmental Earth Sciences*. 76(12), 413.
- Bello, Aa. 2011a. Analysis of shear strength of compacted lateritic soils. *The Pacific Journal of Science and Technology*. 12(1), 425-434.

- Bello, Adefemi Afeez. 2011b. The use of Standard Proctor for the determination of Shrinkage Properties of Reddish Brown Tropical soil. *Leonardo Journal of Sciences*. (19), 57-68.
- Bello, Adefemi Afeez. 2013a. Design Parameters for Abandoned Dumpsite Soil as Liner Material. *Leonardo Journal of Sciences*. (23), 29-40.
- Bello, Afeez Adefemi. 2013b. Hydraulic conductivity of three compacted reddish brown tropical soils. *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*. 17(5), 939-948.
- Bello, Afeez Adefemi and Osinubi, Kolawole Juwonlo. 2011. Attenuative capacity of compacted abandoned dumpsite soils. *Electronic Journal of Geotech. Engineering.(EJGE)*. 16, 71-91.
- Benson, Craig H, Zhai, Huaming and Wang, Xiaodong. 1994. Estimating hydraulic conductivity of compacted clay liners. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*. 120(2), 366-387.
- Beskese, Ahmet, Demir, H. Handan, Ozcan, H. Kurtulus and Okten, H. Eser. 2014. Landfill site selection using fuzzy AHP and fuzzy TOPSIS: a case study for Istanbul. *Environmental Earth Sciences*. 73(7), 3513-3521.
- Blueprint for Iskandar Malaysia. 2010. Integrated Solid Waste Management Blueprint of Iskandar Malaysia. Johor Bahru, Malaysia. .
- Bruno, B. 2007. Hydro-geotechnical properties of hard rock tailings from metal mines and Subsoil Characteristics and Hydrogeology..... emerging geo-environmental disposal approaches. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*. 44(9), 1019-1052.
- BSI 1990. Methods of Testing Soil for Civil Engineering Purposes (BS 1377:Part 1-9). British Standards Institute, London.
- BSI 1999. Code of practice for site investigations (BS 5930). British Standards Institute, London.
- Cabała, Paweł. 2010. Using the analytic hierarchy process in evaluating decision alternatives. *Operations research and decisions*. 20(1), 5-23.
- Chabuk, A., Al-Ansari, N., Hussain, H. M., Knutsson, S. and Pusch, R., 2016. Landfill site selection using geographic information system and analytical hierarchy process: A case study Al-Hillah Qadhaa, Babylon, Iraq. *Waste management & research*. 34(5), 427-437.

- Chabuk, Ali, Al-Ansari, Nadhir, Hussain, Hussain M, Kamaledin, Suhair, Knutsson, Sven, Pusch, Roland and Laue, Jan. 2017a. Soil Characteristics in Selected Landfill Sites in the Babylon Governorate, Iraq: Soil Characteristics in Selected Landfill Sites in the Babylon Governorate, Iraq. *Journal of Civil Engineering and Architecture*. 11(4), 348-363.
- Chabuk, Ali Jalil, Al-Ansari, Nadhir, Hussain, Hussain Musa, Knutsson, Sven and Pusch, Roland. 2017b. GIS-based assessment of combined AHP and SAW methods for selecting suitable sites for landfill in Al-Musayyab Qadhaa, Babylon, Iraq. *Environmental Earth Sciences*. 76(5).
- Chandio, Imtiaz Ahmed, Matori, Abd Nasir B, Wanyusof, Khamaruzaman B, Talpur, Mir Aftab Hussain, Balogun, Abdul-Lateef and Lawal, Dano Umar. 2013. GIS-based analytic hierarchy process as a multicriteria decision analysis instrument: a review. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*. 6(8), 3059-3066.
- Chandio, Imtiaz Ahmed, Matori, Abdul-Nasir, Lawal, Dano Umar and Sabri, Soheil. 2011. GIS-based land suitability analysis using AHP for public parks planning in Larkana City. *Modern applied science*. 5(4), 177.
- Chang, N. B., Parvathinathan, G. and Breeden, J. B., 2008. Combining GIS with fuzzy multicriteria decision-making for landfill siting in a fast-growing urban region. *J Environ Manage*. 87(1), 139-153.
- Chen, Yun, Yu, Jia and Khan, Shahbaz. 2013. The spatial framework for weight sensitivity analysis in AHP-based multi-criteria decision making. *Environmental Modelling & Software*. 48, 129-140.
- Cointreau, Sandra. 2004. Sanitary landfill design and siting criteria. *World Bank guidance as an urban infrastructure sanitary landfill siting and design guidance note*. World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Cowen, David J. 1988. GIS versus CAD versus DBMS: What are the differences? *Photogramm. Eng. Remote Sens*. 54(11), 1551-1555.
- Daniel, David E and Wu, Yung-Kwang. 1993. Compacted clay liners and covers for arid sites. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*. 119(2), 223-237.
- Daniel, David Edwin (2012). *Geotechnical practice for waste disposal*. Springer Science & Business Media, DOI:10.1007/978-1-4615-3070-1.
- Day, Robert W. 1997. Discussion of "Hydraulic Conductivity of Desiccated Geosynthetic Clay Liners" by Tom Boardman and David E. Daniel. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*. 123(5), 484-486.

- Delgado, Otoniel Buenrostro, Mendoza, Manuel, Granados, Erna López and Geneletti, Davide. 2008. Analysis of land suitability for the siting of inter-municipal landfills in the Cuitzeo Lake Basin, Mexico. *Waste management*. 28(7), 1137-1146.
- Demesouka, Oe, Vavatsikos, Ap and Anagnostopoulos, Kp. 2013. Suitability analysis for siting MSW landfills and its multicriteria spatial decision support system: method, implementation and case study. *Waste management*. 33(5), 1190-1206.
- Demesouka, Oe, Vavatsikos, Ap and Anagnostopoulos, Kp. 2014. GIS-based multicriteria municipal solid waste landfill suitability analysis: A review of the methodologies performed and criteria implemented. *Waste Management & Research*. 32(4), 270-296.
- Demesouka, Oe, Vavatsikos, Ap and Anagnostopoulos, Kp. 2016. Using Macbeth multicriteria technique for GIS-based landfill suitability analysis. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*. 142(10), 04016042.
- Depountis, Nikos, Koukis, George and Sabatakakis, Nikos. 2009. Environmental problems associated with the development and operation of a lined and unlined landfill site: a case study demonstrating two landfill sites in Patra, Greece. *Environmental geology*. 56(7), 1251-1258.
- Djokanović, S., Abolmasov, B. and Jevremović, D., 2016. GIS application for landfill site selection: a case study in Pančevo, Serbia. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*. 75(3), 1273-1299.
- Doumpos, Michael and Zopounidis, Constantin (2014). *An overview of multiple criteria decision aid. Multicriteria Analysis in Finance*. (pp. 11-21). Springer.
- Eberemu, Adrian O., 2011. Desiccation Induced Shrinkage of Compacted Tropical Clay Treated with Rice Husk Ash. *International Journal of Engineering Research in Africa*. 6, 45-64.
- Ebistu, Tirusew Ayisheshim and Minale, Amare Sewnet. 2013. Solid waste dumping site suitability analysis using geographic information system (GIS) and remote sensing for Bahir Dar Town, North Western Ethiopia. *African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*. 7(11), 976-989.
- Effat, Hala A and Hegazy, Mohamed N. 2012. Mapping potential landfill sites for North Sinai cities using spatial multicriteria evaluation. *The Egyptian Journal of Remote Sensing and Space Science*. 15(2), 125-133.

- El Baba, Moustafa, Kayastha, Prabin and De Smedt, Florimond. 2014. Landfill site selection using multi-criteria evaluation in the GIS interface: a case study from the Gaza Strip, Palestine. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*. 8(9), 7499-7513.
- Elahi, Athena and Samadyar, Hassan. 2014. Municipal solid waste landfill site selection using analytic hierarchy process method for Tafresh Town. *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research*. 22(9), 1294-1307.
- Epa, Nsw. 1996. Environmental guidelines: solid waste landfills. *NSW Environment Protection Authority, Sydney*.
- Eskandari, M, Homae, M, Mahmoodi, S and Pazira, E. 2013. Integrating GIS and AHP for municipal solid waste landfill site selection. *Journal of Basic and Applied Scientific Research*. 3(4), 588-595.
- Eskandari, M., Homae, M. and Mahmodi, S., 2012a. An integrated multi criteria approach for landfill siting in a conflicting environmental, economical and socio-cultural area. *Waste Management*. 32(8), 1528-1538.
- Eskandari, M., Homae, M., Mahmoodi, S., Pazira, E. and Van Genuchten, M. T., 2015. Optimizing landfill site selection by using land classification maps. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int*. 22(10), 7754-7765.
- Eskandari, Mahnaz, Homae, Mehdi and Mahmodi, Shahla. 2012b. An integrated multi criteria approach for landfill siting in a conflicting environmental, economical and socio-cultural area. *Waste Management*. 32(8), 1528-1538.
- Fetter, Charles Willard, Boving, Thomas and Kremer, David (2017). *Contaminant hydrogeology*. Waveland Press.
- Foomani, Mahdih Saadat, Karimi, Saeed, Jafari, Hamidreza and Ghorbaninia, Zahra. 2017. Using boolean and fuzzy logic combined with analytic hierarchy process for hazardous waste landfill site selection: A case study from Hormozgan province, Iran.
- Foong, Loke Kok, Rahman, Norhan Abd and Ramli, Mohd Zamri. 2016. A Laboratory study of vibration effect for deformable double-porosity soil with different moisture content. *Malaysian Journal of Civil Engineering*. 28 (3), 207-222.
- Gbanie, Solomon Peter, Tengbe, Paul Bobby, Momoh, Jinnah Samuel, Medo, James and Kabba, Victor Tamba Simbay. 2013. Modelling landfill location using Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis (MCDA): Case study Bo, Southern Sierra Leone. *Applied Geography*. 36, 3-12.

- Ghobadi, Mohammad Hosein, Taheri, Milad and Taheri, Kamal. 2017. Municipal solid waste landfill siting by using analytical hierarchy process (AHP) and a proposed karst vulnerability index in Ravansar County, west of Iran. *Environmental Earth Sciences*. 76(2).
- Gorsevski, P. V., Donevska, K. R., Mitrovski, C. D. and Frizado, J. P., 2012. Integrating multi-criteria evaluation techniques with geographic information systems for landfill site selection: a case study using ordered weighted average. *Waste Management*. 32(2), 287-296.
- Güler, Doğuş and Yomralıoğlu, Tahsin. 2017. Alternative suitable landfill site selection using analytic hierarchy process and geographic information systems: a case study in Istanbul. *Environmental Earth Sciences*. 76(20), 678.
- Head, K H (2006). *Manual of soil laboratory testing, third edition*. Whittles publishing, Dunbeath, Caithness KW6 6EY, Scotland, UK.
- Head, K. H. and Epps, R. J. (2011). *Manual of soil laboratory testing, volume 2*. Whittles publishing, Dunbeath, Caithness KW6 6EY, Scotland, UK.
- Hengl, T, Gruber, S and Shrestha, Dp. 2003. Digital terrain analysis in ILWIS. *International Institute for Geo-Information Science and Earth Observation Enschede, The Netherlands*. 62.
- Henry, R. K., Yongsheng, Z. and Jun, D., 2006. Municipal solid waste management challenges in developing countries--Kenyan case study. *Waste Management*. 26(1), 92-100.
- <https://Glovis.Usgs.Gov>. 2018. *United States Geological Survey Global Visualization Viewer (USGS GloVis)* [Online]. [Accessed 13/01/2018].
- Hussain, Mh. 2004. Assessment of groundwater vulnerability in an alluvial interfluvium using GIS. *Unpublished Ph. D Thesis, Department of Hydrology, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee-247*. 667, 2224.
- Isa, Muhammad Zumo and Saidu, Ahmad Vokna. 2014. Identification of Suitable Site for Solid Waste Disposal in Yola, Nigeria Using GIS Method.
- Issa, Sm and Shehhi, Bal. 2012. A gis-based multi-criteria evaluation system for selection of landfill sites: a case study from Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. *International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*. 39, b2.
- Jamshidi, Azadeh, Kazemijahandizi, Elmira, Allahgholi, Leili, Monavari, Seyed Masoud, Tajziehchi, Sanaz, Hashemi, Alireza, Moshtaghi, Minoos and

- Jamshidi, Mojgan. 2015. Landfill Site Selection: a Basis Toward Achieving Sustainable Waste Management. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*. 24, 1021-1029.
- Javaheri, H, Nasrabadi, T, Jafarian, Mh, Rowshan, Gr and Khoshnam, H. 2006. Site selection of municipal solid waste landfills using analytical hierarchy process method in a geographical information technology environment in Giroft. *Journal of Environmental Health Science & Engineering*. 3(3), 177-184.
- Jeswani, H. K. and Azapagic, A., 2016. Assessing the environmental sustainability of energy recovery from municipal solid waste in the UK. *Waste management*. 50, 346-363.
- Jones, Rm, Murray, Ej, Rix, Dw and Humphrey, Rd. 1995. Selection of clays for use as landfill liners. *Waste disposal by landfill-GREEN*. 93, 433-438.
- Kara, C. and Doratli, N., 2012. Application of GIS/AHP in siting sanitary landfill: a case study in Northern Cyprus. *Waste management & research*. 30(9), 966-980.
- Keelson, Kodwo Beedu. 2015. Evaluation of Landfill Cover Design Options for Waste Disposal Sites in the Coastal Regions of Ghana. *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*. 5(1), 45-55.
- Kemal Korucu, M. and Erdagi, B., 2012. A criticism of applications with multi-criteria decision analysis that are used for the site selection for the disposal of municipal solid wastes. *Waste Management*. 32(12), 2315-2323.
- Khademalhosseiny, M. S., Nadoushan, M. A. and Radnezhad, H., 2017. Site selection for landfill gas extraction plant by fuzzy analytic hierarchy process and fuzzy analytic network process in the city of Najafabad, Iran. *Energy & Environment*. 28(7), 763-774.
- Khamehchiyan, Mashalah, Nikoudel, Mohammad Reza and Boroumandi, Mehdi. 2011. Identification of hazardous waste landfill site: a case study from Zanjan province, Iran. *Environmental Earth Sciences*. 64(7), 1763-1776.
- Khan, D. and Samadder, S. R., 2014a. Municipal Solid Waste Management using Geographical Information System aided methods: a mini review. *Waste Management & Research*. 32(11), 1049-1062.
- Khan, Debishree and Samadder, Sr. 2014b. Application of GIS in Landfill Siting for Municipal Solid Waste. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Development*. 4(1), 37-40.

- Kharat, Manoj Govind, Kamble, Sheetal Jaisingh, Raut, Rakesh D., Kamble, Sachin S. and Dhume, Sudheer M., 2016. Modeling landfill site selection using an integrated fuzzy MCDM approach. *Modeling Earth Systems and Environment*. 2(2).
- Khodaparast, M., Rajabi, A. M. and Edalat, A., 2018. Municipal solid waste landfill siting by using GIS and analytical hierarchy process (AHP): a case study in Qom city, Iran. *Environmental Earth Sciences*. 77(2), 12.
- Khorrarn, Alireza, Yousefi, Maryam, Alavi, Seyed Ali and Farsi, Javad. 2015. Convenient Landfill Site Selection by Using Fuzzy Logic and Geographic Information Systems: A Case Study in Bardaskan, East of Iran. *Health Scope*. 4(1).
- Kontos, Themistoklis D, Komilis, Dimitrios P and Halvadakis, Constantinos P. 2005. Siting MSW landfills with a spatial multiple criteria analysis methodology. *Waste management*. 25(8), 818-832.
- Kreith, Frank. 1999. *Handbook of solid waste management*.
- Kumar, Manish and Biswas, Vivekananda. 2013. Identification of Potential Sites for Urban Development Using GIS Based Multi Criteria Evaluation Technique. A Case Study of Shimla Municipal Area, Shimla District, Himachal Pradesh, India. *Journal of Settlements and Spatial Planning*. 4(1), 45.
- Kurttila, Mikko, Pesonen, Mauno, Kangas, Jyrki and Kajanus, Miika. 2000. Utilizing the analytic hierarchy process (AHP) in SWOT analysis—a hybrid method and its application to a forest-certification case. *Forest policy and economics*. 1(1), 41-52.
- Latinopoulos, D and Kechagia, K. 2015. A GIS-based multi-criteria evaluation for wind farm site selection. A regional scale application in Greece. *Renewable Energy*. 78, 550-560.
- Lawal, Dano Umar, Matori, Abdul-Nasir and Balogun, Abdul-Lateef. 2011. A Geographic Information System and Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis in Proposing New Recreational Park Sites in Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. *Modern Applied Science*. 5(3), 39.
- Lin, Hung-Yueh and Kao, Jehng-Jung. 1999. Enhanced spatial model for landfill siting analysis. *Journal of environmental engineering*. 125(9), 845-851.

- Liu, Hu-Chen, You, Jian-Xin, Fan, Xiao-Jun and Chen, Yi-Zeng. 2014. Site selection in waste management by the VIKOR method using linguistic assessment. *Applied Soft Computing*. 21, 453-461.
- Madurika, Hkgm and Hemakumara, Gpts. 2015. GIS based analysis for suitability location finding in the residential development areas of greater Matara region. *Int. J. Sci. Technol. Res.* 4, 96-105.
- Makropoulos, Ck and Butler, D. 2006. Spatial ordered weighted averaging: incorporating spatially variable attitude towards risk in spatial multi-criteria decision-making. *Environmental Modelling & Software*. 21(1), 69-84.
- Malczewski, Jacek (1999). *GIS and multicriteria decision analysis*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Malczewski, Jacek. 2006. GIS-based multicriteria decision analysis: a survey of the literature. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*. 20(7), 703-726.
- Manaf, L. A., Samah, M. A. and Zukki, N. I., 2009. Municipal solid waste management in Malaysia: practices and challenges. *Waste Management*. 29(11), 2902-2906.
- Marshall, Rachael E and Farahbakhsh, Khosrow. 2013. Systems approaches to integrated solid waste management in developing countries. *Waste Management*. 33(4), 988-1003.
- Mef. 2000. The Municipal Solid Wastes Management & Handling Rules. Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii). Ministry of Environment and Forests, India.
- Mejía, Zafra, Alfonso, Carlos, Mendoza Castañeda, Franklin Andrés and Montoya Varela, Paula Alejandra. 2012. A methodology for landfill location using geographic information systems: a Colombian regional case. *Ingeniería e Investigación*. 32(1), 64-70.
- Mhlg (2005a). Ministry of Housing and Local Government, Criteria for Siting Sanitary Landfills: National Strategic Plan for Solid Waste Management, Vol. 3, Appendix 6B, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Mhlg, Ministry of Housing and Local Government. 2005b. National Strategic Plan for Solid Waste Management, Putrajaya, Malaysia. www.kpkt.gov.my/kpkt/fileupload/hebahan/lab_sisa_pepejal.pdf.
- Moeinaddini, M., Khorasani, N., Danehkar, A., Darvishsefat, A. A. and Zienalyan, M., 2010. Siting MSW landfill using weighted linear combination and analytical

- hierarchy process (AHP) methodology in GIS environment (case study: Karaj). *Waste management*. 30(5), 912-920.
- Mohamed, Hanine, Omar, Boutkhoul, Abdessadek, Tikniouine and Tarik, Agouti. 2016. An application of OLAP/GIS-Fuzzy AHP-TOPSIS methodology for decision making: Location selection for landfill of industrial wastes as a case study. *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*.
- Mohamed, M and Plante, R 2002. Remote sensing and geographic information systems (GIS) for developing countries. *Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, 2002. IGARSS'02. 2002 IEEE International*. 2285-2287.
- Mohammed, Habiba Ibrahim, Majid, Zulkepli, Yusof, Norhakim Bin and Yamusa, Yamusa Bello 2018. Analysis of Multi-Criteria Evaluation Method of Landfill Site Selection for Municipal Solid Waste Management. *E3S Web of Conferences*.
- Mornya, A, Majid, Rafee and Yola, L 2010. Identification of Landfill Sites by Using GIS and Multi-Criteria Method in Batam, Indonesia. *3rd International Graduate Conference on Engineering, Science and Humanities, University Teknologi Malaysia*. <http://s3.amazonaws.com/academia.edu>.
- Motlagh, Z. K. and Sayadi, M. H., 2015. Siting MSW landfills using MCE methodology in GIS environment (Case study: Birjand plain, Iran). *Waste management*. 46, 322-337.
- Naphade, Kshitija S. 1999. Soil characterization using digital image processing.
- Nas, B., Cay, T., Iscan, F. and Berkay, A., 2010. Selection of MSW landfill site for Konya, Turkey using GIS and multi-criteria evaluation. *Environ Monit Assess*. 160(1-4), 491-500.
- Ngien, Su Kong, Rahman, Norhan Abd, Bob, Mustafa M, Ahmad, Kamarudin, Sa'ari, Radzuan and Lewis, Roland W. 2012. Observation of light non-aqueous phase liquid migration in aggregated soil using image analysis. *Transport in porous media*. 92(1), 83-100.
- Nwambuonwo, Okeibunor Jude and Mughele, Es. 2012. Using geographic information system to select suitable landfill sites for megacities (case study of Lagos, Nigeria). *Comput, Inform Syst Dev Inform J (CISDI)*. 3(4), 48-57.
- O'leary, Pr, Tchobanoglous, G and Kreith, F. 2002. Handbook of solid waste management. *Landfilling*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

- Oeltzschner, Hansjorg and Mutz, Dieter (1994). *Guidelines for an appropriate management of domestic sanitary landfill sites*. GTZ.
- Ogunmola, Olaitan Pius. 2016. Selection of Landfill Site for Waste Disposal Management in Ile Ife, Southwest Nigeria Using Geo- Spatial Technique (Case Study of Ile-Ife and its Environs, South-West Nigeria). *Greener Journal of Environmental Management and Public Safety*. 5(2), 041-050.
- Ohri, Anurag, Maurya, Satya Prakash and Mishra, Sachin. 2015. Sanitary Landfill Site Selection by Using Geographic Information System.
- Önal, Ayten Öztüfekçi, Demirbilek, Deniz and Demir, Veysel. 2013. Geo-environmental site investigation for Tunceli, Turkey municipal solid waste disposal site. *Engineering Geology*. 159, 76-82.
- Önüt, Semih and Soner, Selin. 2008. Transshipment site selection using the AHP and TOPSIS approaches under fuzzy environment. *Waste Management*. 28(9), 1552-1559.
- Osinubi, K. J. and Bello, A. A. (2009). Desiccation-induced shrinkage in compacted abandoned dumpsite soil. [researchgate.net/publication/282325487](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/282325487): researchgate publication.
- Osinubi, K. J., Moses, G., Oriola, F. O. P. and Liman, A. S., 2015a. Influence of Molding Water Content on Shear Strength Characteristic of Compacted Cement Kiln Dust Treated Lateritic Soils for Liners and Covers. . *Nigerian Journal of Technology*. 34(2), 266.
- Osinubi, K. J., Moses, G., Oriola, F. O. P. and Liman, A. S., 2015b. Influence of Molding Water Content on Shear Strength Characteristic of Compacted Cement Kiln Dust Treated Lateritic Soils for Liners and Covers. . *Nigerian Journal of Technology*. 34 (2), pp. 266 – 271.
- Osinubi, KJ, Bello, Aa, Quadros, C and Jacobsz, Sw 2011. Characterization of shear strength of abandoned dumpsite soils, Orita-Aperin, Nigeria. *Proceedings of the 15th African Regional Conference on Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering. Resource and infrastructure geotechnics in Africa: putting the theory into practice, Maputo, Mozambique, 18-21 July 2011.*, 293-298.
- Osinubi, KJ and Eberemu, Ao 2008. Effect of desiccation on compacted lateritic soil treated with bagasse ash. *Proceedings of Bi-Monthly Meetings/Workshops, Materials Society of Nigeria (MSN) Zaria Chapter, January-December*. 1-9.

- Osinubi, Kj, Eberemu, Ao, Bello, Ao and Adzegah, A. 2012. Effect of fines content on the engineering properties of reconstituted lateritic soils in waste containment application. *Nigerian Journal of Technology*. 31(3), 277-287.
- Osinubi, Kj and Moses, G 2011a. Compacted Foundry Sand Treated with Bagasse Ash As Hydraulic Barrier Material. *Geo-Frontiers 2011@ sAdvances in Geotechnical Engineering*. 915-925.
- Osinubi, Kj and Moses, G (2011b). *Compacted foundry sand treated with bagasse ash as hydraulic barrier material. Geo-Frontiers 2011: Advances in Geotechnical Engineering*. (pp. 915-925).
- Osinubi, Kj, Moses, G and Liman, As. 2015c. The Influence of Compactive Effort on Compacted Lateritic Soil Treated with Cement Kiln Dust as Hydraulic Barrier Material. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*. 33(3), 523-535.
- Osinubi, Kj and Nwaiwu, Cmo 2006a. Compatibility of compacted lateritic soils with municipal solid waste leachate. *5th ICEG Environmental Geotechnics: Opportunities, Challenges and Responsibilities for Environmental Geotechnics: Proceedings of the ISSMGE's fifth international congress organized by the Geoenvironmental Research Centre, Cardiff University and held at Cardiff City Hall on 26–30th June 2006*. 608-615.
- Osinubi, Kolawole J and Nwaiwu, Charles M. 2006b. Design of compacted lateritic soil liners and covers. *Journal of geotechnical and geoenvironmental engineering* 132(2), 203-213.
- Osinubi, Kolawole J. and Eberemu, Adrian O., 2010. Desiccation Induced Shrinkage of Compacted Lateritic Soil Treated with Blast Furnace Slag. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*. 28(5), 537-547.
- Osinubi, Kolawole J. and Nwaiwu, Charles M. O., 2008. Desiccation-induced Shrinkage in Compacted Lateritic Soils. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*. 26(5), 603-611.
- Ouma, Yashon O., Kipkorir, Emmanuel C. and Tateishi, Ryutaro. 2011. MCDA-GIS integrated approach for optimized landfill site selection for growing urban regions: an application of neighborhood-proximity analysis. *Annals of GIS*. 17(1), 43-62.
- Oweis, Issa S and Khera, Raj P. 1990. Geotechnology of waste management.

- Peavy, Howard S Rowe and Tchobanoglous, Donald R (1985). *Environmental engineering*.
- Prakash, Anjali and Poulouse, Emy. 2016. Kuttanad Clay Amended Laterite as a Landfill Liner for Waste Disposal Facilities. *International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Research (IJSER)*. 4 (3), 75-78.
- Punmia, Bc and Jain, Ashok Kumar (2005). *Soil mechanics and foundations*. Firewall Media.
- Rahmat, Zeinab Ghaed, Niri, Mehdi Vosoughi, Alavi, Nadali, Goudarzi, Gholamreza, Babaei, Ali Akbar, Baboli, Zeinab and Hosseinzadeh, Mohsen. 2016. Landfill site selection using GIS and AHP: a case study: Behbahan, Iran. *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*. 21(1), 111-118.
- Rathore, S, Ahmad, Sr and Shirazi, Sa. 2016. Use of the suitability model to identify landfill sites in Lahore-Pakistan. *Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*. 12, 103-108.
- Rikalovic, Aleksandar, Cosic, Ilija and Lazarevic, Djordje. 2014. GIS based multi-criteria analysis for industrial site selection. *Procedia Engineering*. 69, 1054-1063.
- Rowe, Kerry R (1995). *Clayey barrier systems for waste disposal facilities*. E & FN Spon Lond.
- Sa'ari, R, Rahman, N, Yusof, Z, Ngien, S, Kamaruddin, S, Mustaffar, M and Hezmi, M. 2015. Application of digital image processing technique in monitoring LNAPL migration in double porosity soil column. *Jurnal Teknologi*. 72(3), 23-29.
- Saatsaz, M., Monsef, I., Rahmani, M. and Ghods, A., 2018. Site suitability evaluation of an old operating landfill using AHP and GIS techniques and integrated hydrogeological and geophysical surveys. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*. 190(3), 31.
- Saaty, Thomas. 2005. The analytic hierarchy and analytic network processes for the measurement of intangible criteria and for decision-making. *Multiple criteria decision analysis: state of the art surveys*. 345-405.
- Saaty, Thomas L. 1977. A scaling method for priorities in hierarchical structures. *Journal of mathematical psychology*. 15(3), 234-281.
- Saaty, Thomas L (1988a). *What is the analytic hierarchy process? Mathematical models for decision support*. (pp. 109-121). Springer.

- Saaty, Thomas L (1988b). *What is the analytic hierarchy process?* , Springer.
- Saaty, Thomas L. 1994. Highlights and critical points in the theory and application of the analytic hierarchy process. *European Journal of operational research*. 74(3), 426-447.
- Saaty, Thomas L. 2008. Relative measurement and its generalization in decision making why pairwise comparisons are central in mathematics for the measurement of intangible factors the analytic hierarchy/network process. *RACSAM-Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Fisicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matematicas*. 102(2), 251-318.
- Saaty, Thomas L and Vargas, Luis G (2012). *Models, methods, concepts & applications of the analytic hierarchy process*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Samsudina, Mohd Dinie Muhaimin and Dona, Mashitah Mat. 2013. Municipal solid waste management in Malaysia: Current practices, challenges and prospect. *Jurnal Teknologi*. 62(1), 95–101.
- Santhosh, L. G. and Babu, G. L. S., 2018. Landfill site selection based on reliability concepts using the DRASTIC method and AHP integrated with GIS - a case study of Bengaluru city, India. *Georisk-Assessment and Management of Risk for Engineered Systems and Geohazards*. 12(3), 234-252.
- Santok Singh, D. 1985. Director General geological survey Malaysia 1985. *Geological map of peninsular Malaysia*.
- Schwartz, 2001. A simple approach to solid waste planning for urbanizing counties. *Department of Geosciences. University of Missouri--Kansas City*.
- Scott, Jason, Beydoun, Donia, Amal, Rose, Low, Gary and Cattle, Julie. 2005. Landfill management, leachate generation, and leach testing of solid wastes in Australia and overseas. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*. 35(3), 239-332.
- Şener, Başak, Süzen, M. Lütfi and Doyuran, Vedat. 2005. Landfill site selection by using geographic information systems. *Environmental Geology*. 49(3), 376-388.
- Şener, Başak, Süzen, M Lütfi and Doyuran, Vedat. 2006. Landfill site selection by using geographic information systems. *Environmental geology*. 49(3), 376-388.

- Şener, Şehnaz, Sener, Erhan and Karagüzel, Remzi. 2011. Solid waste disposal site selection with GIS and AHP methodology: a case study in Senirkent–Uluborlu (Isparta) Basin, Turkey. *Environmental monitoring and assessment*. 173(1), 533-554.
- Shahabi, Himan, Keihanfard, Soroush, Ahmad, Baharin Bin and Amiri, Mohammad Javad Taheri. 2014. Evaluating Boolean, AHP and WLC methods for the selection of waste landfill sites using GIS and satellite images. *Environmental Earth Sciences*. 71(9), 4221-4233.
- Sharholy, M., Ahmad, K., Mahmood, G. and Trivedi, R. C., 2008. Municipal solid waste management in Indian cities - A review. *Waste management*. 28(2), 459-467.
- Sharifi, M., Hadidi, M., Vessali, E., Mosstafakhani, P., Taheri, K., Shahoie, S. and Khodamoradpour, M., 2009. Integrating multi-criteria decision analysis for a GIS-based hazardous waste landfill sitting in Kurdistan Province, western Iran. *Waste management*. 29(10), 2740-2758.
- Shekdar, Ashok V. 2009. Sustainable solid waste management: an integrated approach for Asian countries. *Waste management*. 29(4), 1438-1448.
- Sims, John E, Elsworth, Derek and Cherry, John A. 1996. Stress-dependent flow through fractured clay till: A laboratory study. *Canadian geotechnical journal*. 33(3), 449-457.
- Stanton, P and Schrader, Tony P. 2001. Surface geophysical investigation of a chemical waste landfill in northwestern Arkansas.
- Stark, Timothy D, Huvaj-Sarihan, Nejan and Li, Guocheng. 2009. Shear strength of municipal solid waste for stability analyses. *Environmental geology*. 57(8), 1911-1923.
- Stewart, Di, Cousens, Tw, Studds, Pg and Tay, Yy. 1999. Design parameters for bentonite-enhanced sand as a landfill liner. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Geotechnical Engineering*. 137(4), 189-195.
- Sumathi, V. R., Natesan, U. and Sarkar, C., 2008. GIS-based approach for optimized siting of municipal solid waste landfill. *Waste Manag*. 28(11), 2146-2160.
- Sureshkumar, M., 2017. Selection of Alternative Landfill Site in Kanchipuram, India by Using Gis and Multicriteria Decision Analysis. *Applied Ecology and Environmental Research*. 15(1), 627-636.

- Taha, Omer Muhie Eldeen and Taha, Mohd Raihan. 2015. Volume change and hydraulic conductivity of soil-bentonite mixture. *Jordan Journal of Civil Engineering*. 9(1), 43-58.
- Tahir, Adnan Ahmad, Chevallier, Pierre, Arnaud, Yves, Neppel, Luc and Ahmad, Bashir. 2011. Modeling snowmelt-runoff under climate scenarios in the Hunza River basin, Karakoram Range, Northern Pakistan. *Journal of Hydrology*. 409(1), 104-117.
- Tchobanoglous, George (1993). *Integrated solid waste management engineering principles and management issues*.
- Torabi-Kaveh, M., Babazadeh, R., Mohammadi, S. D. and Zaresefat, M., 2016. Landfill site selection using combination of GIS and fuzzy AHP, a case study: Iranshahr, Iran. *Waste Manag Res*.
- Trupti, I. Lokhande, Sachin, J. Mane and Sandip, T. Mali. 2017. Landfill Site Selection using GIS and MCDA Methods : A Review. *International Journal of Research in Engineering, Science and Technology*.
- Ukea. 2014. Earthworks in landfill engineering: LFE4. *Design, construction and quality assurance of earthworks in landfill engineering*. UK Environment Agency.
- Usepa. 1989. Requirements for hazardous waste landfill design, construction, and closure. EPA/625/4-89/022. *United States Environmental Protection Agency*. 53-74.
- Usepa. 1991. Design and construction of RCRA/CERCLA final covers. *Soils Used in Cover Systems*, EPA/625/4-91/025. *United States Environmental Protection Agency*, 1-25.
- Usepa. 1993a. Solid Waste Disposal Facility Criteria: Technical Manual. EPA 530-R-93-017. *United States Environmental Protection Agency*, 1-84
- Usepa. 1993b. Technical Guidance Document: Quality Assurance And Quality Control For Waste Containment Facilities. Epa/600/R-93/182. *United States Environmental Protection Agency*.
- Uyan, Mevlut. 2013. MSW landfill site selection by combining AHP with GIS for Konya, Turkey. *Environmental Earth Sciences*. 71(4), 1629-1639.
- Vasiljević, Tamara Zelenović, Srdjević, Zorica, Bajčetić, Ratko and Miloradov, Mirjana Vojinović. 2012. GIS and the analytic hierarchy process for regional

- landfill site selection in transitional countries: a case study from Serbia. *Environmental management*. 49(2), 445-458.
- Viswanadham, B.V.S. (2018). Advanced Geotechnical Engineering. nptel.ac.in/courses/105101001/downloads/L12. Department of Civil Engineering, IIT Bombay.
- Voogd, Henk (1983). *Multicriteria evaluation for urban and regional planning*. Pion London.
- Wang, G., Qin, L., Li, G. and Chen, L., 2009. Landfill site selection using spatial information technologies and AHP: a case study in Beijing, China. *J Environ Manage*. 90(8), 2414-2421.
- Wasige, John E, Groen, Thomas A, Smaling, Eric and Jetten, Victor. 2013. Monitoring basin-scale land cover changes in Kagera Basin of Lake Victoria using ancillary data and remote sensing. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*. 21, 32-42.
- Widomski, Marcin K., Stępniewski, Witold, Horn, Rainer, Bieganowski, Andrzej, Gazda, Lucjan, Franus, Małgorzata and Pawłowska, Małgorzata. 2015. Shrink-swell potential, hydraulic conductivity and geotechnical properties of clay materials for landfill liner construction. *International Agrophysics*. 29(3).
- Www.Good360.Org. 2018. *The social benefits of zero waste to landfill*. Access on 13/03/2018 [Online]. [Accessed 13/03/2018].
- Www.Ritdye.Com. 2017. *Rit dye powder*. Access on 10/04/2017 [Online]. [Accessed 2017-04-10].
- Www.Westerncostumes.Com. 2017. Westerncostume.com/sites/default/files/sds/rit%20powdered%20dyes. Access on 10/04/2017 [Online]. [Accessed 2017-04-10].
- Www.Yourarticlelibrary.Com. 2017. *Yourarticlelibrary.com*. Access on 05/01/2018 [Online]. [Accessed 05/01/2018].
- Yamusa, Yamusa Bello. 2018. Effects of Gradation on Engineering Properties of Compacted Laterite Soil Liner. *Unpublished PhD Thesis, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia*.
- Yamusa, Bello Yamusa, Kamarudin, Ahmad, Norhan, Abd Rahman, Radzuan, Sa'ari, Nor Zurairahetty, Yunus and Ahmad, Rashid. 2018a. Volumetric Shrinkage of Compacted Soil Liner for Sustainable Waste Landfill. *CHEMICAL ENGINEERING TRANSACTIONS*. 63, 613-618.

- Yamusa, Yamusa Bello, Ahmad, Kamarudin and Rahman, Norhan Abd. 2016. Gradation Effect Review on Hydraulic Conductivity and Shear Strength Properties of Compacted Laterite Soil Liner. *Proceedings of The 11th International Civil Engineering Postgraduate Conference - The 1st International Symposium on Expertise of Engineering Design (SEPKA-ISEED'16)*. 26-27th September, 2016. Faculty of Civil Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 Johor Bahru, Johor, Malaysia.
- Yamusa, Yamusa Bello, Ahmad, Kamarudin and Rahman, Norhan Abd. 2017. Hydraulic Conductivity and Volumetric Shrinkage Properties Review on Gradation Effect of Compacted Laterite Soil Liner. *Malaysian Journal of Civil Engineering* 29(1), 153-164.
- Yamusa, Yamusa Bello, Yunus, Nor Zurairahetty Mohd, Ahmad, Kamarudin, Rahman, Norhan Abd and Sa'ari, Radzuan. 2018b. Effects of fines content on hydraulic conductivity and morphology of laterite soil as hydraulic barrier. *E3S Web of Conferences, International Conference on Civil & Environmental Engineering (CENVIRON 2017)*. 34.
- Yesilnacar, M Irfan and Cetin, Hasan. 2008. An environmental geomorphologic approach to site selection for hazardous wastes. *Environmental Geology*. 55(8), 1659-1671.
- Yıldırım, Ümit and Güler, Cüneyt. 2016. Identification of suitable future municipal solid waste disposal sites for the Metropolitan Mersin (SE Turkey) using AHP and GIS techniques. *Environmental Earth Sciences*. 75(2).
- Yıldırım, V., 2012. Application of raster-based GIS techniques in the siting of landfills in Trabzon Province, Turkey: a case study. *Waste management & research*. 30(9), 949-960.
- Younes, M. K., Nopiah, Z. M., Basri, N. E., Basri, H., Abushammala, M. F. and Younes, M. Y., 2016. Landfill area estimation based on integrated waste disposal options and solid waste forecasting using modified ANFIS model. *Waste management*. 55, 3-11.
- Youssef, Ahmed M, Pradhan, Biswajeet and Tarabees, Elhami. 2011. Integrated evaluation of urban development suitability based on remote sensing and GIS techniques: contribution from the analytic hierarchy process. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*. 4(3-4), 463-473.

- Zadeh, Lotfi A. 2008. Is there a need for fuzzy logic? *Information sciences*. 178(13), 2751-2779.
- Zamorano, M., Molero, E., Hurtado, A., Grindlay, A. and Ramos, A., 2008. Evaluation of a municipal landfill site in Southern Spain with GIS-aided methodology. *J Hazard Mater*. 160(2-3), 473-481.
- Zopounidis, Constantin and Doumpos, Michael. 2002. Multicriteria classification and sorting methods: A literature review. *European Journal of Operational Research*. 138(2), 229-246.