RESTORATION EXPERIENCE THROUGH PERCEIVED OPEN SPACE QUALITIES AND PERCEIVED RESTORATIVENESS OF STUDENTS IN MALAYSIAN UNIVERSITIES

FAHIMEH MALEKINEZHAD

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Architecture)

Faculty of Built Environment and Surveying Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JULY 2018

Dedicated to my beloved family

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hasanuddin bin Lamit for the continuous support of my Ph.D study and research, for his patience, motivation, enthusiasm and immense knowledge. His guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis.

I would also like to thank my friend, Mellina Bte Jaafar for her friendship and translation of thesis Malay Abstract. I would like to extend thanks to the Faculty of Built Environment (FAB) for providing me support during these years of my graduate study and to all university students who so generously contributed as research participants to the work presented in this thesis.

Finally, but by no means least, thanks go to my family: my parents for giving birth to me at the first place and supporting me spiritually throughout my life. Your unconditional love and support has meant the world to me, I hope that I have made you proud. Also, special mention goes to my daughter, Mantra, for being patient along this journey. You are my inspiration to achieve greatness. And, I would like to thank my husband, Hassan, for almost his unbelievable support. Without you, I would not be where I am today.

ABSTRACT

Experience of restoration through the application of Perceived Sensory Dimension (PSD) and perceived restorativeness have been shown to reduce stress and improve mental health. Furthermore there are other influential factors on restoration experience such as individual and visit related characteristics as well as need for restoration. However, there is little knowledge on the development of restoration experience model through the impact of PSD and perceived restorativeness that takes into consideration the effects of these influential factors. The research developed a restoration experience model through examining the impact of PSD and perceived restorativeness. In this study, Partial Least Square Structural Equation Model (PLS-SEM) was used to examine the interaction among these parameters that developed the restoration experience. Validity and reliability of measurement of restoration experience through the impact of PSD and perceived restorativeness were conducted using 444 students in Malaysian Universities. The results showed that half of the restoration experience was in cases that had higher interactions with campus open spaces, and nearly half of the restoration experience was in cases with lower interaction with campus open spaces. In the former group, experience of restoration was affected by perception of restorativeness through the impact of PSD. On the contrary, in the latter group, restoration experience was more affected through the impact of PSD than perceived restorativeness. Individual related characteristics and need for restoration could not moderate changes in the restoration experience that might have occurred due to the effect of PSD and perceived restorativeness. These findings showed that the experience of restorative outcomes was dependent on the impact of PSD on perceived restorativeness. The effect of visit related characteristics provided information on specific environmental conditions that create experience of higher restorative outcomes. As a conclusion, the research has illustrated that the design of open spaces can promote mental health with a combination of PSD and perceived restorativeness that can be applied in landscape architecture.

ABSTRAK

Pengalaman pemulihan melalui penggunaan Perceived Sensory Dimension (PSD) dan tanggapan pemulihan telah ditunjukkan untuk mengurangkan tekanan dan meningkatkan kesihatan mental. Selain itu terdapat faktor lain yang berpengaruh terhadap pemulihan pengalaman seperti sifat keperibadian dan ciri-ciri lawatan berkaitan serta keperluan pemulihan. Walau bagaimanapun, terdapat sedikit pengetahuan tentang perkembangan pengalaman pemulihan melalui kesan model PSD dan pemulihan yang diperlukan dengan mempertimbangkan kesan faktorfaktor yang berpengaruh ini. Kajian ini membangunkan model pengalaman pemulihan melalui kesan PSD dan tanggapan pemulihan. Dalam kajian ini, Model Persamaan Struktur Kuasa dua Terkecil Separa (PLS-SEM) digunakan untuk menilai interaksi antara parameter dalam membangunkan pengalaman pemulihan. Kesahihan dan kebolehpercayaan pengukuran pengalaman pemulihan melalui kesan PSD dan pemulihan yang dilakukan telah dijalankan menggunakan 444 pelajar di universiti di Malaysia. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa separuh daripada pengalaman pemulihan adalah dalam kes-kes yang mempunyai interaksi yang lebih tinggi dengan ruang terbuka kampus, dan hampir separuh daripada pengalaman pemulihan adalah dalam kes-kes dengan interaksi yang lebih rendah dengan ruang terbuka kampus. Berdasarkan kumpulan pertama, pengalaman pemulihan terjejas oleh persepsi pemulihan melalui kesan PSD. Sebaliknya, dalam kumpulan yang kedua, pengalaman pemulihan lebih terjejas melalui kesan PSD berbanding dengan tanggapan pemulihan. Ciri-ciri dan keperluan individu untuk pemulihan tidak boleh menyederhanakan perubahan dalam pengalaman pemulihan yang mungkin berlaku disebabkan oleh kesan PSD dan tanggapan pemulihan. Dapatan ini menunjukkan bahawa hasil pengalaman pemulihan bergantung kepada kesan PSD terhadap tanggapan pemulihan. Kesan ciri-ciri berkaitan lawatan memberikan maklumat tentang keadaan persekitaran khusus yang mewujudkan hasil pengalaman pemulihan yang lebih tinggi. Sebagai kesimpulan, kajian ini telah menggambarkan bahawa reka bentuk ruang terbuka boleh menggalakkan kesihatan mental dengan gabungan PSD dan tanggapan pemulihan yang boleh digunakan dalam seni bina landskap.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER		TITLE	PAGE
	DECL	ARATION	ii
	DEDIC	CATION	iii
	ACKN	OWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTI	RACT	V
	ABSTI	RAK	vi
	TABL	E OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST (OF TABLES	xii
	LIST (OF FIGURES	XV
	LIST (OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
	LIST (OF APPENDICES	xix
1	INTRO	DDUCTION	1
	1.1	Overview	1
	1.2	Background of Study	2
	1.3	Research Gap	5
	1.4	Problem Statement	7
	1.5	Research Hypotheses	8
	1.6	Research Questions	9
	1.7	Research Aim	9
	1.8	Research Objectives	10
	1.9	Research Scope	10
	1.10	The Significance of Research	11
	1.11	Study Limitation	12
	1.12	Keywords Definition	13
	1.13	Thesis Organisation	14

LITI	ERATURE	REVIEW	
2.1	Introdu	iction	
2.2	Restora	ation Experience in Contact with Nature	
	2.2.1	Biophilia Hypothesis	
	2.2.2	Stress Recovery Theory (SRT)	
	2.2.3	Attention Restoration Theory (ART)	
2.3	Influen	cing Factors Restoration Experience	
	2.3.1	Open Space Characteristics	
	2.3.2	Perceived Restorativeness	
	2.3.3	Personal Related Characteristics	
	2.3.4	Visit Related Characteristics	
	2.3.5	Individual Level of Need for Restoration	
2.4	Structu	ral Equation Modeling	
2.5	Measu	rement Methodologies	
	2.5.1	Measurement of Restoration Experience	
	2.5.2	Measurement of Perceived Restorative-	
		ness	
	2.5.3	Assessment of Perceived Sensory Dimen-	
		sion (PSD)	
	2.5.4	Measurement of Need for Restoration	
		Experienec	
2.6	Univer	sity Students as a Vulnerable Population	
2.7	Percep	tion of Stress among University Studies	
2.8	Coping	with Stress on University Campus	
2.9	Summa	ary	
RES	EARCH M	ETHODOLOGY	
3.1	Introdu	iction	
3.2	Theore	tical Framework and Conceptual Model of	
	Study		
3.3	Resear	ch Design	

1.14

Summary

3.4	Study A	rea	63
3.5	Sampling Method		64
3.6	Questionnaire		
3.7	Data Collection Procedure		
3.8	Conduct	ting Pilot Testing	70
3.9	Content	Validity	71
3.10	Data An	alysis Procedure	72
	3.10.1	Data Quality Screening	73
	3.10.2	Model Evaluation Approaches	76
	3.10.3	Mediator and Moderator Effect Tests	79
3.11	Descript	tion on Study Model	82
3.12	Summar	ry	83
DATA A	NALYSI	IS	84
4.1	Introduc	ction	84
4.2	Data Qu	ality Screening	84
4.3	Universit	ity Students Profile	87
	4.3.1	t-Test Analysis of Individual Related	
		Characteristics	87
	4.3.2	Individual Related Characteristics and	
		Need for Restoration	91
4.4	Model E	Evaluation Analysis	93
	4.4.1	Measurement Model Reliability and Va-	
		lidity Evaluation	93
	4.4.2	Structural Model Validation Tests	97
4.5	Path Mo	odel of Restoration Experience	101
	4.5.1	Direct, Indirect and Total Effect Analysis	102
	4.5.2	Mediator Effect Analysis	102
	4.5.3	Significant Measurable Indicators	104
4.6	Moderat	tor Effect Analysis	106
	4.6.1	Individual Related Characteristics	107
	4.6.2	Visit Related Characteristics	108

	4.6.3	Mediation Effect of Perceived Restora-	
		tiveness on Restoration Experience Mod-	
		els	121
	4.6.4	Need for Restoration	123
4.7	Sample	Size Confidence Interval	127
4.8	Summa	ary	127
RESE	CARCH FI	NDINGS	129
5.1	Introdu	ction	129
5.2	Develo	pment and Validation of Proposed Model	129
5.3	Influen	cing Indicators on Restoration Experience	133
	5.3.1	Significant Indicators of Perceived	
		Restorativeness	133
	5.3.2	Significant Indicators of Perceived Open	
		Space Qualities	135
5.4	Effects	of Individual and Visit Related Characteris-	
	tics		140
	5.4.1	Individual Related Characteristics	140
	5.4.2	Visit Related Characteristics	141
5.5	Effect of	of Need for Restoration	150
5.6	Summa	ury	153
CON	CLUSION		155
6.1	Introdu	ction	155
6.2	Objecti	ve 1: Development of Restoration Experi-	
	ence M	odel	157
6.3	Objecti	ve 2: Determining Significant Perceived	
	Qualitie	es on Restoration Experience Model	158
6.4	Objecti	ve 3: Determining Differences on Develop-	
	ment of	f Restoration Experience Models	159
6.5	Objecti	ve 4: Determining Effect of Need for	
	Restora	ation on Restoration Experience Models	160
6.6	Contrib	outions and Implications	161

6	6.7 Recommendations for Future Research		163
REFERENCE	{		165
Appendices A -	D		189 – 207

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.

TITLE

2.1	Classification of landscape perceptual characteristics	25
2.2	Relationship between open space qualities, positive outcomes	
	and perceived restorativeness	31
2.3	Relationship between open space qualities, perceived	
	restorativeness and positive outcomes	36
2.4	Perceived restorativeness measurement instruments	48
2.5	Relationship between Campus Open Space Qualities,	
	Perceived Restorativeness and Positive Outcomes	58
3.1	Minimum sample size required (Cohen, 1992; Hair et al.,	
	2016)	68
3.2	Measurement of variables in questionnaire	69
4.1	Overview on analysis steps	85
4.2	Coding strategy of questionnaire items	86
4.3	Data screening results using IBM SPSS Statistics 23.0	88
4.4	Skewness and Kurtosis Normality rating tests	89
4.5	Frequencies and percentages of the students' profile	90
4.6	t-Test analysis of individual related characteristics	92
4.7	t-Test analysis of individual related characteristics with need	
	for restoration	94
4.8	Reliability assessment of restoration experience	96
4.9	Validity assessment of restoration experience	96
4.10	Collinearity statistics with outer VIF for perceived open space	
	quality and perceived restorativeness	98
4.11	Indicator significance analysis for perceived open space	
	quality and perceived restorativeness	98

1 12	Collinearity examinations using VIE	00
4.12	Constructs P_{2}^{2} results	99 00
4.15	Constructs K^2 results	100
4.14	The effect size (f^2) results	100
4.15	Blindfolding to examine Cross-Validated Redundancy for	100
	endogenous constructs	100
4.16	Blindfolding to examine Cross-Validated Redundancy for	
	measurable indicators	101
4.17	Examining the significance of path coefficients using	
	Bootstrapping and PLS algorithm	101
4.18	Path Coefficient results	102
4.19	PLS and Bootstrapping result for direct relation between	
	perceived open space quality and restoration experience	
	without perceived restorativeness	103
4.20	Outer Loading of reflective construct of restoration experi-	
	ence	104
4.21	Outer Weights of perceived open space quality and perceived	
	restorativeness	106
4.22	t-Test analysis of restoration experience for individual related	
	characteristics	108
4.23	Continues moderator effects of individual related characteris-	
	tics	109
4.24	Categorical moderator effects of individual related character-	
	istics	109
4.25	t-Test analysis of restoration experience for visit related	
	characteristics	110
4.26	Categorical moderator effects of visit related characteristics	111
4 27	Moderator effect of type of open spaces on restoration	
1.27	experience model	112
4 28	Moderator effect of frequency of visits on restoration	112
7.20	experience model	11/
1 20	Moderator effect of duration of visits on restoration	114
7.47	avperiance model	116
1 20	Moderator of again interaction on rectoration	110
4.30	woderator effect of social interaction on restoration	110
	experience model	118

xiii

4.31	Moderator effect of type of activity involvement on	
	restoration experience model	120
4.32	Coefficients of determination R^2 , total effect and VAF	
	analysis for restoration experience without or with the	
	presence of perceived restorativeness	123
4.33	t-Test analysis of restoration experience for need for	
	restoration	124
4.34	t-Test analysis of perceived open space qualities, perceived	
	restorativeness and need for restoration	125
4.35	The effect of need for restoration in model of visit related	
	characteristics	126
4.36	Evaluation of sample size in categorical variable analysis	128
5.1	Hypotheses findings	132

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.

TITLE

PAGE

1.1	Restoration experience promotes mental health through effect	
	of interaction with green spaces	2
1.2	Approaches in examining the effect of green space	
	characteristics on restoration experience	3
1.3	Influential factors on restoration experience in direct	
	interaction with green spaces	4
1.4	Mediation effect of perceived restorativeness in relationship	
	between perceived environmental qualities and positive	
	outcomes (Hipp et al., 2016; Marselle et al., 2016)	6
1.5	Association between PSD and restoration experience and	
	mediation effect of perceived restorativeness	7
2.1	Health-promoting impact of nature experience (Abraham	
	et al., 2010; De Vries et al., 2013)	17
2.2	Recovery of attention attention (Bell et al., 2001)	22
2.3	Perceived quality of culture: green space contains a core of	
	human culture with natural and designed elements offering	
	fascination with the course of time (Bengtsson and Grahn,	
	2014; Maikov et al., 2008)	26
2.4	Perceived quality of nature: possible to experience wild	
	nature, rows of trees, bushes, flowers, and scent of	
	grass, plants seem to developed without human influence	
	(Bengtsson and Grahn, 2014; Maikov et al., 2008)	27
2.5	Perceived quality of prospect: a green, open space providing	
	vistas to overall surroundings, contains plain and well-cut	
	lawns (Bengtsson and Grahn, 2014; Maikov et al., 2008)	27

2.6	Perceived quality of refuge: enclosed and secluded place,	
	where people can be safe and in privacy, sitting and watching	
	other people from a distance (Bengtsson and Grahn, 2014;	
	Maikov <i>et al.</i> , 2008)	28
2.7	Perceived quality of rich in species: open spaces offering a	
	wide range of species, a variety of plants and many native	
	plants (Bengtsson and Grahn, 2014; Maikov et al., 2008)	28
2.8	Perceived quality of social: open space provides facilities for	
	interaction with other people like seats and benches, offering	
	places for informal gatherings (Bengtsson and Grahn, 2014;	
	Maikov <i>et al.</i> , 2008)	29
2.9	Perceived quality of space: connectivity, vast environment	
	and possible to move freely (Bengtsson and Grahn, 2014;	
	Maikov <i>et al.</i> , 2008)	29
2.10	Perceived quality of serene (serenity): not disturbance from	
	people, no traffic noise from surroundings, calming elements	
	like greenery and sounds of bird, offering peace and silence	
	(Bengtsson and Grahn, 2014; Maikov et al., 2008)	30
2.11	PLS-SEM path model diagram (Hair et al., 2016)	43
3.1	Research process	61
3.2	The conceptual model of study	62
3.3	Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM)	64
3.4	Universiti Technologi Malaysia (UTM)	65
3.5	Universiti of Malaya (UM)	65
3.6	Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM)	66
3.7	Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM)	66
3.8	Data analysis steps in PLS-SEM	73
3.9	Study proposed model	82
4.1	PLS model of relation between perceived open space quality	
	and restoration experience without mediation effect	103
4.2	Result of PLS-SEM analysis	105

xvi

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ART	-	Attention Restoration Theory
AVE	-	Average Variance Extractor
CB-SEM	-	Co-variance-based Structural Equation Modeling
DAF	-	Directed Attentional Fatigue
MGA	-	Multi-Group Analysis
MRU	-	Malaysian Research University
PDRQ	-	Perceived Destination Restorative Quality
PLS-SEM	-	Partial Least Square Structural Equation Model
POSQ	-	Perceived Open Space Quality
PR	-	Perceived Restorativeness
PRCQ	-	Perceived Restorative Characteristics Questionnaire
PRCS-C	-	Perceived Restorative Components Scale for Children
PRS	-	Perceived Restorativeness Scale
PSD	-	Perceived Sensory Dimension
PSS	-	Perceived Stress Scale
RCS	-	Restorative Components Scale
RE	-	Restoration Experience
ROS	-	Restoration Outcome Scale
RS	-	Restorative Scale
RSS	-	Restorative State Scale
SET	-	Supportive Environment Theory
SRPRS	-	Short-version Revised Perceived Restorativeness Scale
SRRS	-	Short-version Revised Restoration Scale
SRT	-	Stress Recovery Theory

	٠	٠	٠
XV	1	1	1
11.1	-	-	-

SSS	-	Student Stress Survey
UKM	-	Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia
UM	-	University Malaya
UPM	-	Universiti Putra Malaysia
USM	-	Universiti Sains Malaysia
UTM	-	University Teknologi Malaysia
VAF	-	Variance Accounted For
VIF	-	Variance Inflation Factor
WHO	-	World Health Organization

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX

TITLE

PAGE

A	Questionnaire	189
В	Questionnaire Description	195
С	Map of Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM)	199
D	Content Validity of Measurement Indicators of PSD	201

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

Stress and mental fatigue become the greatest risk factor for human health in all over the world (Triguero-Mas *et al.*, 2017) and in Malaysia as well (Vaez and Juhari, 2017). It is expected that during sustained stress our body reactions become dysfunctional and causing harmful diseases (Grahn and Stigsdotter, 2010). If people neglect restoration from stress, it eventually can have deleterious consequences for their health and well-being (Hartig and Staats, 2005).

During recent years, psychological and emotional restoration experience through contact with nature has become an approach to cope with stress and mental fatigue. Interaction with green spaces reduces stress and increases mental health and psychological well-being (Figure 1.1). In contact with natural open spaces, the process of restoration experience is regaining the psychological and emotional resources that are diminished in performing daily life activities (Hartig and Staats, 2005; Han, 2007).

To study the effect of green spaces on restoration experience, two approaches have been taken by researchers (Figure 1.2). First, assessment of physical landscape design features. Second, measurement of perceived green space qualities. The later is more advocated when mental health promoting effect of green spaces is under investigation (Francis *et al.*, 2012). The focus of this study is on restoration experiences through the effect of perceived open space qualities. The aim is to identify the factors that impact on experiencing of restorative outcomes and addressing how the extent of restoration experience depends on the effect of these factors, with university students



Figure 1.1: Restoration experience promotes mental health through effect of interaction with green spaces

population, in the context of campus open spaces.

1.2 Background of Study

The study of restoration experience in exposure to nature has been developed with different objectives and measurement methodological approaches. A considerable amount of research showed that visual exposure to nature (e.g. pictures, plants), in indoor settings, provides opportunities for restoration from stress and mental fatigue (e.g. van den Berg *et al.*, 2014; Felsten, 2009). A number of studies have shown that visit to real natural open spaces such as urban green spaces, urban parks and forests increases restoration experiences (e.g. White *et al.*, 2013; Korpela *et al.*, 2014).

Some of these studies, through experimental design approaches, have been concerned with actual short-term beneficial effects of nature experience. In these studies, the positive outcomes of nature experience have been supported by monitoring changes in human psychological, physiological and emotional systems from tension towards positive states (e.g. Triguero-Mas *et al.*, 2017). Another group of researchers through longitudinal or cross-sectional design approaches, have focused on longer-time effects of nature experience. Mostly, the focus of these studies is on subjective psychological and emotional restorative outcomes such as attention restoration, clearing thoughts, self confidence and vitality feelings in visit to natural environments (e.g. Korpela *et al.*, 2008, 2014). In the first group of studies, the beneficial effects of contact with nature is supported through providing actual evidences by tracking the short-term outcomes of nature contact. While, the latter group has been concerned



Figure 1.2: Approaches in examining the effect of green space characteristics on restoration experience

with the longer-time restorative outcomes of contact with natural open spaces.

The relationship between exposure to nature and restoration experience is discussed through Biophilia hypothesis, Stress Recovery Theory (SRT), Attention Restoration Theory (ART) and Supportive Environment Theory (SET). Through these underpinning theories, studies have provided evidences of what is happening between nature and human in the process of restoration experience. The research based on Biophilia hypothesis suggests that nature is life-sustaining (Davis and Gatersleben, 2013) and positive restorative outcomes occur due to human evolutionary connectedness to natural components (Bratman et al., 2015; Nisbet et al., 2011). Through SRT, studies showed that contact with natural elements including trees, lawns, flowering plants and water emerges restoration through stress recovery (Ulrich et al., 1991; Korpela et al., 2008). Researches based on ART show that in contact with natural environments, experience of restorativeness characteristics is influential in recovery of mental fatigue and experience of psychological restoration (Nordh et al., 2009b). In SET, perception of greenery related qualities are optimal in supporting of restoration experience and mental health (Grahn and Stigsdotter, 2010; Stigsdotter et al., 2017b). Upon these prominent theories, the potential contribution of natural open spaces has been supported, as a buffer in relieving stress and mental fatigue related symptoms.

In literature, there are a broad number of studies providing evidences that contact with nature is beneficial for stress reduction and improvement of human health and well-being. However, there are other group of studies that went further from just



Figure 1.3: Influential factors on restoration experience in direct interaction with green spaces

addressing whether contact with nature is beneficial for stress reduction or not. They focused on the aspects that may be influential on the extent of restoration experience in visit to natural open spaces.

In visit to open spaces, the psychological and emotional restorative outcomes seem to be influenced by a number of factors (Figure 1.3). Perception of green spaces is important in evaluating of beneficial outcomes (Kothencz *et al.*, 2017). For example, it showed that visual perception of physical components of designed landscapes have great possibility in reduction of stress and mental fatigue (Nordh *et al.*, 2009b, 2011). Perceived level of naturalness and biodiversity have also proved to have positive effect on people emotional well-being and improvement of the quality of life (Marselle *et al.*, 2016; Hipp *et al.*, 2016). Other researchers showed that perceived greenery qualities such as Perceived Sensory Dimensions (PSDs) are vital to emerge restoration and maintain mental health (Grahn and Stigsdotter, 2010; Stoltz *et al.*, 2016).

In addition, it has been shown that in visit to green spaces, the achievement of restoration experience is likely to be influenced by personal background of visitors, type of usage pattern and level of need for restoration (Johnsen, 2013; White *et al.*, 2013; Korpela *et al.*, 2008). Therefore, although visit to green spaces is associated with

beneficial outcomes, in itself, it is important to determine how restoration experience is related to the impact of perception of open space qualities. Moreover, how in visit to open spaces, the extent of restoration experience depends on the effect of users demographics, visit related characteristics and level of need for restoration.

1.3 Research Gap

It has been shown that perceived open space qualities of PSD has impact on restoration experience (Memari *et al.*, 2017; Stoltz *et al.*, 2016). However, it has left an important gap in relation with their effect on psychological and emotional restoration experience in direct interaction with green open spaces. Although, understanding the significance of measurement variables of perceived open space qualities urged by many studies (e.g. Marselle *et al.*, 2016), there are few to take the approach for examining the association of PSDs with psychological and emotional restoration experience.

In direct interaction with green spaces, moreover the impact of PSD, there are other influential factors on restoration experience like personal characteristics of respondents, visit related characteristics and level of need for restoration experience. The most cited visit related variables are type of open spaces, amount of visitations, level of social interaction and type of performed activities in visitations (Korpela *et al.*, 2010; White *et al.*, 2013; van den Berg *et al.*, 2014; Tyrväinen *et al.*, 2014). Need for restoration reflects the extent of a person stressful life events and perception of stress. Measurement of the need for restoration is a common methodological approach in the restorative studies as individuals are different in their resources and abilities (Korpela *et al.*, 2008). The research gap is understanding how restoration experience is developed through integration of these influential factors.

To understand how interaction with green spaces developed restorative outcomes, researchers carry on classical statistical analysis, which is based on understanding the cause-and-effect to identify what cause the outcomes (Hair *et al.*, 2016; Gefen *et al.*, 2000). While, the contemporary statistical approaches through modelling techniques introduce the methodology of multivariate variables



Figure 1.4: Mediation effect of perceived restorativeness in relationship between perceived environmental qualities and positive outcomes (Hipp *et al.*, 2016; Marselle *et al.*, 2016)

relationships. The modelling provides sufficient assessment techniques including mediation and moderation effect tests to understand how and why some conditions may deliver specific outcomes in a particular population sub-group (Urbach and Ahlemann, 2010; Gefen *et al.*, 2000). However, there are few studies that developed such comprehensive model and provided in-depth analysis of restoration experience in visit to open spaces through incorporate of all the addressed influential factors.

In order to explain how restoration experience is related to perceived open space qualities of PSD, through modelling approach, Based on Attention Restoration Theory (ART), researchers suggested that in exposure to natural environments, positive outcomes may be mediated by the impact of perceived restorativeness characteristics (Figure 1.4). Such mediation effect of perceived restorativeness was addressed in justification of the association between environmental qualities and emotional well-being and the quality of life (Hipp *et al.*, 2016; Marselle *et al.*, 2016). However, whether perceived restorativeness has a mediating effect on the association of perceived open space qualities of PSD and experiencing of psychological and emotional restorative outcomes in direct interaction with green spaces is unknown



Figure 1.5: Association between PSD and restoration experience and mediation effect of perceived restorativeness

(Figure 1.5).

1.4 Problem Statement

Contact with open spaces is one of the coping approaches for stress alleviation through development of psychological and emotional restoration experience. Qualities of green space environments may have substantial values for developing the restoration experience. In visiting an environment with qualities of a green space, the outcome of restoration experience is influenced by several other factors. Personal factors of visitors, visit related characteristics and need for restoration are among the most influential parameters on experiencing of restorative outcomes.

Based on ART, a physical setting that permits perceived restorativeness characteristics could promote psychological and emotional restoration experience. The role of perceived restorativeness in relation between perceived open space qualities and restoration experience is called as a mediator in the model. Although the mediating effect of perceived restorativeness has been highlighted before, it has not been studied in relation between perceived open space qualities of PSD and psychological and emotional restoration experience. In conclusion, there is lack of research in understanding how restoration experience is influenced by perceived open space qualities based on several influencing factors. One of the best candidates to provide such an explanation is perceived restorativeness. However, there are few studies to investigate its role in this relation.

At present, in Malaysia, especially in research universities, the issue of students stress is a growing area of research (Amran *et al.*, 2014; Panatik *et al.*, 2012). However, it is not clear yet, which perceived open space qualities or perceived restorativeness characteristics are actually relevant for university students psychological and emotional restoration experience in direct interaction with campus outdoor open spaces. Indeed, the influence of personal characteristics, visitation patterns and level of need for restoration has not been explored in relation with restoration experience with a sample of university students and especially in the context of campus open spaces. Therefore, the research problem which this study is based upon is:

How to investigate the development of psychological and emotional restoration experience model through the impact of perceived open space qualities of PSD and perceived restorativeness, considering the effects of personal related characteristics, visit related characteristics and need for restoration, using students in Malaysian universities?

1.5 Research Hypotheses

This study is based on several hypotheses as follows. The validity of these hypotheses have been analysed and discussed in following chapters.

- (i) **Hypothesis 1:** The perceived open space qualities of PSD has positive relationship with psychological and emotional restoration experience *(H1)*.
- (ii) **Hypothesis 2:** The perceived open space qualities of PSD has positive relationship with perceived restorativeness (*H2*).

- (iii) **Hypothesis 3:** The perceived restorativeness has positive relationship with psychological and emotional restoration experience (*H3*).
- (iv) Hypothesis 4: The perceived restorativeness is the mediator effect variable in the relationship between perceived open space qualities of PSD and psychological and emotional restoration experience (*H4*).

1.6 Research Questions

There are four main questions in this study as follows;

- 1. Can the developed model explain psychological and emotional restoration experience through the impact of perceived open space qualities of PSD and perceived restorativeness?
- 2. What are the key indicators of PSD and perceived restorativeness, which influence on psychological and emotional restoration experience model?
- 3. How the personal and visit related characteristics affect on the development of psychological and emotional restoration experience through influencing on the impact of perceived open space qualities and perceived restorativeness?
- 4. How the need for restoration influences on the associations between perceived open space qualities and perceived restorativeness and psychological and emotional restoration experience, across students with different visit related characteristics?

1.7 Research Aim

In accordance with the literature on the beneficial effect of nature, the aim of this study is to investigate the subjective restoration experience in nature visitation through the impact of perceived open space quality and perceived restorativeness. Through multi-dimensional analysis, it estimates the effect of other influential variables on the proposed relationships.

1.8 Research Objectives

Aligned with four research questions in this study, four research objectives are developed and presented as follows;

- To develop and validate a model that explain psychological and emotional restoration experience through the impact of perceived open space qualities of PSD and perceived restorativeness.
- 2. To identify the significant measurable indicators of PSD and perceived restorativeness, which influence on psychological and emotional restoration experience.
- 3. To audit differences in the development of psychological and emotional restoration experience through the impact of perceived open space qualities and perceived restorativeness based on the effects of personal characteristics of students and visit related characteristics.
- 4. To establish the extent to which need for restoration affects psychological and emotional restoration experience through the impact of perceived open space qualities and perceived restorativeness, across students with different visit related characteristics.

1.9 Research Scope

The scope of this study is as follows:

- Participants of this study are university students including local and international, undergraduate and postgraduate students from five Malaysian Research Universities (MRU) as University of Malaya (UM), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM), Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM) and Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM).
- Selection of campus outdoor open spaces is based on most visited favourite places.

- (iii) Assessment of restoration experience is based on subjective psychological and emotional restorative outcomes of everyday interaction with natural elements rather than actual restorative outcomes.
- (iv) Need for restoration is based on self-report responses on stressful events and perception of stress.

1.10 The Significance of Research

The intention of this study is to provide information on the association between perceived open space qualities of PSD, perceived restorativeness and restoration experience. The first significant factor of the study lies in distinction between perceived restorativeness and restoration experience. Using suitable supports, it describes perceived restorativeness as perception towards the environment and restoration experience as the psychological process and outcome.

Another significant factor in this study is using PSD as a reliable and valid measure of perceived open spaces qualities, which enables understanding the link between perception of green spaces and restoration experience. Moreover, it measured PSDs from the perspective of subjective approach which is based on users' perception rather than experts' objective evaluation of open space qualities. It contributes to knowledge on the significant impact of each experienced quality in association with perceived restorativeness and restoration experience.

In spite of extreme attempts on restorative effect of urban open spaces, this study contributes to support the restorative possibility of university campus outdoor open spaces through empirical data analysis. University students are found with sustain mental effort and several stressful campus life events. The results of this study adds extra supports to the claims given in literature review on restoration effects of campus open spaces for university students. It provides insights on evaluation and prioritisation of perceived open spaces qualities that support university students restoration experiences in use of these areas. Moreover, the findings of this study is beneficial in understanding the students personal characteristics, campus usage patterns, need for restoration and design aspect of campus open spaces, which has been less considered in relation with restoration experience. Involvement of such variables is likely to create more meaningful predictors of restoration experience for university students in the context of campus. Till now, the restoration effect of campus open spaces, though to be beneficial for university students' mental health development, has not been established.

Finally, the main significance of this study is the usage of a suitable methodology and an appropriate analysis method. Using PLS-SEM analysis enables this study to visually show the relationships between defined independent and dependent variables. In addition, it shows how and why an impact on dependent variable is happening (mediation effect analysis) and how other independent variables could affect on relationship between independent and dependent variables (moderation effect analysis). One highly admired feature of PLS-SEM is statistically confirmation on reliability and validity of measurement model and hypothesised relationships between latent construct variables.

1.11 Study Limitation

This study uses a comprehensive research method and analysis to find information on which specific environmental conditions create experience of restorative outcomes. It examines the impact of perceived green space qualities and restorativeness potential of campus open spaces on university students restoration experience. There is some limitations in this study. First of all, the research measures need for restoration of students at one point in time only. Through a longitudinal approach, it can obtain data from the same group of students, repeatedly over time, by monitoring changes in measurement of the need for restoration and restorative outcomes, in order to gain knowledge on the beneficial impact of contact with open spaces over time. In examining the beneficial effect of campus open spaces, this study only deals with university students psychological and emotional restoration experience in the context of campus open spaces. While, it would provide more knowledge if it give useful information about the impacts of perceived qualities on other aspects of students' health and well-being (e.g. social and physical).

1.12 Keywords Definition

- (i) Restoration Experience: Restoration experience has been defined as "moving from a depleted state to a restored state" (Johnsen, 2013). It is the renewal of human psychological, physiological and emotional capacities from a negative state to its original state (Herzog and Rector, 2008). There are two approaches in measurement of restoration. One is actual restoration experience in interaction with green spaces, which focuses on short-term restorative effects of nature experience. Second is measurement of subjective psychological and emotional restorative outcomes of everyday interaction with natural elements (Korpela *et al.*, 2008).
- (ii) Perceived Sensory Dimension (PSD): It is the latest version of green space qualities which has been developed by (Grahn *et al.*, 2010) and involved eight perceived quality of 'serene', 'space', 'culture', 'nature', 'refuge', 'prospect', rich in species' and 'social'. PSD is based on Supportive Environment Theory (SET) and Gibsons ecological approach to perception. In SET, the suggestion is green spaces contain a set of characteristics that are supportive for restoration experience and mental health development (Grahn *et al.*, 2010). Based on Gibsons Ecological Approach to Perception, landscape perception is the consequence of perception-action process, which involves movements of entire body and combination of multi-sensory system (Grahn *et al.*, 2010).
- (iii) Perceived Restorativeness: Perceived restorativeness is a suggested term, which is developed in ART framework. It describes the potential of a setting where it may facilitate reduction of stress and mental fatigue (Han, 2010). Based on ART, the environments that possesses four restorative characteristics of 'being away', 'fascination', 'extent' and 'compatibility' permit the basic requirement for restoration experience (Wilkie and Stavridou, 2013; Kaplan, 1995). Subjective experience of restorativeness capacity of green spaces is a necessary condition for experience of restorative outcomes (Kaplan, 2001b).

1.13 Thesis Organisation

This research is presented in six chapters as follows:

- (i) Chapter 1: It presents an introduction to the research, highlights research gap,Hypotheses, research questions and research objectives.
- (ii) Chapter 2: It is a review of literature about the benefits of contact with nature on restoration experience, theories of restorative environments, identifying the relevant perceived environmental qualities for restoration experience, description on Structural Equation Modelling (SEM), explanation on relevant measurement methodologies in assessment of study variables, justifying on university students as a vulnerable group experiencing high stress and campus outdoor space as an immediate restorative setting.
- (iii) Chapter 3: It presents the research methodology, which involves discussion on study design, the approaches in data collection, study area, sampling, steps in analysing data by PLS-SEM and description of study proposed model.
- (iv) **Chapter 4:** In this chapter, the PLS-SEM analysis result is presented by tables and figures.
- (v) **Chapter 5:** It contains the discussion on findings.
- (vi) Chapter 6: Finally, in chapter 6, the conclusion of the thesis is presented with practical contributions and implications, limitation of research and some suggestions for future works.

1.14 Summary

This chapter describes the contact with nature as one of the suggested approaches in coping with stress and mental fatigue. It briefly introduces the theoretical background on relationship between contact with nature and restoration experience. In visits to natural environments, the experience of restoration can significantly be associated with the impact of several influential factors. Through these justifications, it presents the research gap and problem statement. The research hypotheses, research questions and research objectives are presented in this chapter. Moreover, the research contributions, scope and claims on significance of this study are discussed in Chapter 1.

REFERENCES

- Abraham, A., Sommerhalder, K. and Abel, T. (2010). Landscape and Well-being:A Scoping Study on the Health-promoting Impact of Outdoor Environments. *International Journal of Public Health*. 55(1), 59–69.
- Abu-Ghazzeh, T. M. (1999). Communicating Behavioral Research to Campus Design: Factors Affecting the Perception and Use of Outdoor Spaces at the University of Jordan. *Environment and Behavior*. 31(6), 764–804.
- Adams, T. B., Wharton, C. M., Quilter, L. and Hirsch, T. (2008). The Association between Mental Health and Acute Infectious Illness among a National Sample of 18- to 24-Year-Old College Students. *Journal of American College Health*. 56(6), 657–664.
- Adeniran, J., Olayiwola, Y. and Akinlabi, J. (2011). Thermal Adaptation, Campus Greening and Outdoor Use in Lautech Campus, Ogbomoso, Nigeria. *Journal of Architecture and Built Environment*. 38(2), 63–72.
- Adevi, A. A. (2012). Supportive Nature and Stress Wellbeing in Connection to Our Inner and Outer Landscape. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Swedish Agricultural Science.
- Agarwal, N. (2011). Verifying Survey Items for Construct Validity : A Two-stage Sorting Procedure for Questionnaire Design in Information Behavior Research. In *Proceedings of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, vol. 48. 1–8.
- Aguinis, H. (2004). Regression Analysis for Categorical Moderators. Guilford Press.
- Ahern, N. R. and Norris, A. E. (2011). Examining Factors That Increase and Decrease Stress in Adolescent Community College Students. *Journal of Pediatric Nursing*. 26(6), 530–540.
- Al-Dubai, S. A. R., Al-Naggar, R. A., Alshagga, M. A. and Rampal, K. G. (2011).

Stress and Coping Strategies of Students in a Medical Faculty in Malaysia. *Malaysian Journal of Medical Sciences*. 18(3), 57–64.

- Allison, P. D. (2003). Missing Data Techniques for Structural Equation Modeling. Journal of Abnormal Psychology. 112(4), 545–557.
- Amran, F., Rahman, I., Salleh, K., Ahmad, S. and Haron, N. (2014). Funding Trends of Research Universities in Malaysia. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 164, 126–134.
- Annerstedt, M., Östergren, P. O., Björk, J., Grahn, P., Skärbäck, E. and & Währborg,
 P. (2012). Green Qualities in the Neighbourhood and Mental Health Results from a Longitudinal Cohort Study in Southern Sweden. *BMC Public Health*. 12(1), 337.
- Antonovsky. A. (1979). Health, Stress and Coping. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Appleton, J. (1975). The Experience of Landscape. Wiley, New York.
- Aydin, D. and Ter, U. (2008). Outdoor Space Quality: Case Study of A University Campus Plaza. Archnet-IJAR, International Journal of Architectural Research. 2(3), 189–203.
- Baghurst, T. and Kelley, B. C. (2014). An Examination of Stress in College Students Over the Course of a Semester. *Health Promotion Practice*. 15(3), 438–447.
- Bagot, K. L. (2004). Perceived Restorative Components: A Scale for Children. *Children, Youth and Environments.* 14(1), 107–129.
- Bagot, K. L., Allen, F. C. L. and Toukhasati, S. (2015). Perceived Restorativeness of Children's School Playground Environments: Nature, Playground Features and Play Period Experiences. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 41, 1–9.
- Banjong, D. N. (2015). International Students ' Enhanced Academic Performance : Effects of Campus Resources. *Journal of International Students*. 5(1), 132–142.
- Baron, R. M. and Kenny, D. A. (1986). The Moderator-Mediator Variable Distinction in Social The Moderator-Mediator Variable Distinction in Social Psychological Research: Conceptual, Strategic, and Statistical Considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 51(6), 1173–1182.
- Barton, J., Hine, R. and Pretty, J. (2009). The Health Benefits of Walking in Greenspaces of High Natural and Heritage Value. *Journal of Integrative*

Environmental Sciences. 6(4), 261–278.

- Bayram, N. and Bilgel, N. (2008). The Prevalence and Socio-demographic Correlations of Depression, Anxiety and Stress among a Group of University Students. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology. 43(8), 667–672.
- Beil, K. and Hanes, D. (2013). The Influence of Urban Natural and Built Environments on Physiological and Psychological Measures of Stress A Pilot Study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 10(4), 1250–1267.
- Beiter, R., Nash, R., McCrady, M., Rhoades, D., Linscomb, M., Clarahan, M. and Sammut, S. (2015). The Prevalence and Correlates of Depression, Anxiety, and Stress in a Sample of College Students. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 173, 90–96.
- Bell, P. A., Greene, T. C., Fisher, J. and Baum, A. (2001). *Environmental Psychology*. Earl McPeek.
- Bengtsson, A. and Grahn, P. (2014). Outdoor Environments in Healthcare Settings: A Quality Evaluation Tool for Use in Designing Healthcare Gardens. *Urban Forestry* & *Urban Greening*. 13(4), 878–891.
- Berto, R. (2005). Exposure to Restorative Environments Helps Restore Attentional Capacity. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 25(3), 249–259.
- Bhattacherjee, A. (2012). Social Science Research: Principles, Methods, and Practices.
- Bodin, M. and Hartig, T. (2003). Does the Outdoor Environment Matter for Psychological Restoration Gained through Running? *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*. 4(2), 141–153.
- Bouteyre, E., Maurel, M. and Bernaud, J. L. (2007). Daily Hassles and Depressive Symptoms among First Year Psychology Students in France: The Role of Coping and Social Support. *Stress and Health*. 23(2), 93–99.
- Bowler, D. E., Buyung-Ali, L. M., Knight, T. M. and Pullin, A. S. (2010). A Systematic Review of Evidence for the Added Benefits to Health of Exposure to Natural Environments. *BMC Public Health*. 10(1), 456.
- Bratman, G. N., Daily, G. C., Levy, B. J. and & Gross, J. J. (2015). The Benefits of Nature Experience: Improved Affect and Cognition. *Landscape and Urban Planning*. 138, 41–50.

- Brown, C. and Grant, M. (2005). Biodiversity and Healthy Human: What Role for Nature in Healthy Urban Planning? *Built Environment*. 31(4), 326–338.
- Capaldi, C., Dopko, R. L. and Zelenski, J. M. (2014). The Relationship between Nature Connectedness and Happiness: A Meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 5(September), 1–15.
- Carrus, G., Lafortezza, R., Colangelo, G., Dentamaro, I., Scopelliti, M. and Sanesi, G. (2013). Relations between Naturalness and Perceived Restorativeness of Different Urban Green Spaces. *Psyecology*. 4(3), 227–244.
- Carrus, G., Scopelliti, M., Lafortezza, R., Colangelo, G., Ferrini, F., Salbitano, F., . and & Sanesi, G. (2015). Go Greener, Feel Better? The Positive Effects of Biodiversity on the Well-being of Individuals Visiting Urban and Peri-urban Green Areas. *Landscape and Urban Planning*. 134, 221–228.
- Cervinka, R., Schwab, M., Schönbauer, R., Hämmerle, I., Pirgie, L. and Sudkamp, J. (2016). My Garden My Mate? Perceived Restorativeness of Private Gardens and its Predictors. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*. 16, 182–187.
- Chin, W. W. (1998). The Partial Least Squares Approach to Structural Equation Modeling. In *Modern Methods for Business Research*. (pp. 295–336). Psychology Press.
- Coeterier, J. F. (1996). Dominant Attributes in the Perception and Evaluation of the Dutch Landscape. *Landscape and Urban Planning*. 34(1), 27–44.
- Cohen, J. (1992). A Power Primer. Psychological Bulletin. 112(1), 155–159.
- Cohen, S., Janicki-Deverts, D. and & Miller, G. E. (2007). Psychological Stress and Disease. *JAMA*. 298(14), 1685–1687.
- Cohen, S., Kamarck, T. and Mermelstein, R. (1983). A Global Measure of Perceived Stress. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*. 24(4), 385–396.
- Collins, H. (2010). *Creative Research: The Theory and Practice of Research for the Creative Industries*. Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Cox, D. T., Shanahan, D. F., Hudson, H. L., Plummer, K. E., Siriwardena, G. M., Fuller, R. A., ... and Gaston, K. J. (2017). Doses of Neighborhood Nature: The Benefits for Mental Health of Living with Nature. *BioScience*. 67(2), 147–155.

- Creswell, J. W. (2009). *Research Design Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches.* SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Creswell, J. W. (2012). *Educational Research: Planning, Conducting, and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research.* Pearson Education, Inc.
- Cronbach, L. J. (1951). Coefficient Alpha and the Internal Structure of Tests. *Psychometrika*. 16(3), 297–334.
- Dabrow, S., Russell, S., Ackley, K., Anderson, E. and Fabri, P. J. (2006). Combating the Stress of Residency: One School's Approach. *Academic Medicine*. 81(5), 436– 439.
- Daltry, R. M. and Mehr, K. E. (2015). Therapy Dogs on Campus: Recommendations for Counseling Center Outreach. *Journal of College Student Psychotherapy*. 29(1), 72–78.
- Davis, L. L. (1992). Instrument Review: Getting the Most from a Panel of Experts. *Applied Nursing Research*. 5(4), 194–197.
- Davis, N. and Gatersleben, B. (2013). Transcendent Experiences in Wild and Manicured Settings: The Influence of the Trait Connectedness to Nature. *Ecopsychology*. 5(2), 92–102.
- De Jong, K., Albin, M., Skärbäck, E., Grahn, P. and Björk, J. (2012). Perceived Green Qualities were Associated with Neighborhood Satisfaction, Physical Activity, and General Health: Results from a Cross-Sectional Study in Suburban and Rural Scania, Southern Sweden. *Health and Place*. 18, 1374–1380.
- De Jong, K., Albin, M., Skärbäck, E., Grahn, P., Wadbro, J., Merlo, J. and Björk, J. (2011). Area-aggregated Assessments of Perceived Environmental Attributes May Overcome Single-source Bias in Studies of Green Environments and Health: Results from a Cross-sectional Survey in Southern Sweden. *Environmental Health*. 10(1), 1–11.
- De Vaus, D. (2002). Surveys in Social Research. Allen and Unwin.
- De Vries, S., Van Dillen, S. M., Groenewegen, P. P. and & Spreeuwenberg, P. (2013). Streetscape Greenery and Health: Stress, Social Cohesion and Physical Activity as Mediators. *Social Science & Medicine*. 94, 26–33.
- De Vries, S., Verheij, R. A., Groenewegen, P. P. and Spreeuwenberg, P. (2003). Natural

environments - Healthy Environments? An Exploratory Analysis of the Relationship between Greenspace and Health. *Environment and Planning A*. 35(10), 1717–1731.

- Delgado-Rico, E., Carretero-Dios, H. and Ruch, W. (2012). Content Validity Evidences in Test Development: An Applied Perspective. *International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology*. 12(3), 449–460.
- Desa, A., Yusooff, F. and Kadir, N. B. A. (2012). Acculturative Stress Among International Postgraduate Students at UKM. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 59, 364–369.
- Elias, H., Ping, W. S. and Abdullah, M. C. (2011). Stress and Academic Achievement Among Undergraduate Students in Universiti Putra Malaysia. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 29, 646–655.
- Enders, C. K. (2010). Applied Missing Data Analysis. Guilford Press.
- Felsten, G. (2009). Where to Take a Study Break on the College Campus: An Attention Restoration Theory Perspective. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 29(1), 160– 167.
- Francis, J., Wood, L. J., Knuiman, M. and & Giles-Corti, B. (2012). Quality or Quantity? Exploring the Relationship between Public Open Space Attributes and Mental Health in Perth, Western Australia. *Social Science & Medicine*. 74(10), 1570–1577.
- Frazier, P. A., Tix, A. P. and Barron, K. E. (2004). Testing Moderator and Mediator Effects in Counseling Psychology Research. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*. 51(1), 115–134.
- Frumkin., H. and J. Fox. (2012). Contact with Nature. In Making Healthy Places: Designing and Building for Health, Well-being, and Sustainability. (pp. 229–243). Island Press.
- Fuchs, C. and Diamantopoulos, A. (2009). Using Single-item Measures for Construct Measurement in Management Research: Conceptual Issues and Application Guidelines. *Die Betriebswirtschaft*. 69(2), 195–211.
- Gadzella, B. M. and Carvalho, C. (2006). Stress Differences among University Female Students. *American Journal of Psychological Research*. 2(1), 21–27.
- Gascon, M., Triguero-mas, M., Martínez, D., Dadvand, P., Forns, J., Plasència, A. and

Nieuwenhuijsen, M. J. (2015). Mental Health Benefits of Long-Term Exposure to Residential Green and Blue Spaces : A Systematic Review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 12(4), 4354–4379.

- Gatersleben, B. and Andrews, M. (2013). When Walking in Nature is Not Restorative-The Role of Prospect and Refuge. *Health & Place*. 20, 91–101.
- Gefen, D., Straub, D. W. and Boudreau, M. C. (2000). Structural Equation Modeling and Regression : Guidelines for Research Practice. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*. 4(7), 1–78.
- Gifford, R. (1996). Environmental Psychology Principles and Practice. Allyn & Bacon.
- Götz, O., Liehr-Gobbers and K., & Krafft, M. (2010). Evaluation of Structural Equation Models Using the Partial Least Squares (PLS) Approach. In *Handbook* of Partial Least Squares: Concepts, Methods, and Applications. (pp. 691–711). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Grahn, P. (1991). Landscapes in Our Minds: People's Choice of Recreative Places in Towns. *Landscape Research*. 16(1), 11–19.
- Grahn, P. and Stigsdotter, U. A. (2003). Landscape Planning and Stress. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening. 2(1), 1–18.
- Grahn, P., Stigsdotter, U. A. and Berggren-Barring, A. M. (2005). A Planning Tool for Designing Sustainable and Healthy Cities. The Importance of Experienced Characteristics in Urban Green Open Spaces for People's Health and Well-Being. In *Quality and Significance of Green Urban Areas*. Van Hall Larwnstein University of Professional Education, Netherlands, 29–38.
- Grahn, P. and Stigsdotter, U. K. (2010). The Relation between Perceived Sensory Dimensions of Urban Green Space and Stress Restoration. *Landscape and Urban Planning*. 94(3), 264–275.
- Grahn, P., Tenngart, C., Ulrika, I. and Bengtsson, I. (2010). Using Affordances as a Health-promoting Tool in a Therapeutic Garden. In *Innovative Approaches to Researching Landscape and Health*. (pp. 116–154).
- Grahn, P. and van den Bosch, M. (2014). The Impact of Sound in Health Promoting Environments. (Frans moss ed.). Care for Sound. Sound Environment, Healing &

Health-Care Lund: Lund University.

- Habibi, M., Khawaja, N. G., Moradi, S., Dehghani, M. and Fadaei, Z. (2014). University Student Depression Inventory: Measurement Model and Psychometric Properties. *Australian Journal of Psychology*. 66(3), 149–157.
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G., Ringle, C. and Sarstedt, M. (2016). *A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)*. (2nd ed.). Sage Publications.
- Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M. and Sarstedt, M. (2012). Partial Least Squares: The Better Approach to Structural Equation Modeling? *Long Range Planning*. 45, 312–319.
- Hamaideh, S. H. (2009). Stressors and Reactions to Stressors among University Students. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*. 57(1), 69–80.
- Han, K. T. (2003). A Reliable and Valid Self-rating Measure of the Restorative Quality of Natural Environments. *Landscape and Urban Planning*. 64(4), 209–232.
- Han, K. T. (2007). Environment and Behavior Responses to Six Major Terrestrial Biomes in Terms of Scenic Beauty, Preference and Restorativeness. *Environment* and Behavior. 39(4), 529–556.
- Han, K.-t. (2010). An Exploration of Relationships Among the Responses to Natural Scenes Scenic Beauty, Preference, and Restoration. *Environment and Behavior*. 42(2), 243–270.
- Hanan, H. (2013). Open Space as Meaningful Place for Students in ITB Campus. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences. 85, 308–317.
- Hansmann, R., Hug, S. M. and & Seeland, K. (2007). Restoration and Stress Relief through Physical Activities in Forests and Parks. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*. 6(4), 213–225.
- Hartig, T. (2007). Three Steps to Understanding Restorative Environments as Health Resources. In *Open Space: People Space*. (pp. 163–179). Taylor & Francis.
- Hartig, T. (2011). Issues In Restorative Environments Research: Matters Of Measurement. In *Psicología Ambiental*. (pp. 41–66).
- Hartig, T., Evans, G. W. and Gärling, T. (1996). *Validation of a Measure of Perceived Environmental*. University of Göteborg, Department of Psychology.

- Hartig, T., Kaiser, F. G. and Bowler, P. A. (1997a). *Further Development of a Measure of Perceived Environmental Restorativeness*. Institutet för bostadsforskning.
- Hartig, T., Korpela, K. and Evans, G. (1997b). A Measure of Restorative Quality in Environments. *Scandinavian Housing and Planning Research*. 14(4), 175–194.
- Hartig, T. and Staats, H. (2005). Linking Preference for Environments with their Restorative Quality. In *Form Landscape Research to Landscape Planning: Aspects* of Integration, Education and Application. (pp. 279–292).
- Hartig, T. and Staats, H. (2006). The Need for Psychological Restoration as a Determinant of Environmental Preferences. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 26(3), 215–226.
- Hauru, K., Lehvävirta, S., Korpela, K. and Kotze, D. J. (2012). Closure of View to the Urban Matrix has Positive Effects on Perceived Restorativeness in Urban Forests in Helsinki, Finland. *Landscape and Urban Planning*. 107(4), 361–369.
- Heft, H. (2010). Affordances and the Perception of Landscape: An Inquiry into Environmental Perception and Aesthetics. In *Innovative Approaches to Researching Landscape and Health.* (pp. 9–32).
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M. and Sinkovics, R. R. (2009). The Use of Partial Least Squares Path Modeling in International Marketing. Advances in International Marketing. 20(1), 277–319.
- Hertzog, M. A. (2008). Considerations in Determining Sample Size for Pilot Studies. *Research in nursing & health.* 31(2), 180–191.
- Herzele, A. V. and Wiedemann, T. (2003). A Monitoring Tool for the Provision of Accessible and Attractive Urban Green Spaces. *Landscape and Urban Planning*. 63, 109–126.
- Herzog, T. R., Maguire, P. and Nebel, M. B. (2003). Assessing the Restorative Components of Environments. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 23(2), 159– 170.
- Herzog, T. R. and Rector, A. E. (2008). Perceived Danger and Judged Likelihood of Restoration. *Environment and Behavior*. 41(3), 387–401.
- Hipp, J. A., Gulwadi, G. B., Alves, S. and Sequeira, S. (2016). The Relationship between Perceived Greenness and Perceived Restorativeness of

University Campuses and Student-Reported Quality of Life. *Environment and Behavior*. 48(10), 1292–1308.

- Hipp, J. A. and Ogunseitan, O. A. (2011). Effect of Environmental Conditions on Perceived Psychological Restorativeness of Coastal Parks. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 31(4), 421–429.
- Honold, J., Lakes, T., Beyer, R. and & van der Meer, E. (2015). Restoration in Urban Spaces: Nature Views From Home, Greenways, and Public Parks. *Environment and Behavior*. 48(6), 1–30.
- Humpel, N., Marshall, A. L., Leslie, E., Bauman, A. and & Owen, N. (2004). Changes in Neighborhood Walking are Related to Changes in Perceptions of Environmental Attributes. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*. 27(1), 60–67.
- Hurst, C. S., Baranik, L. E. and Daniel, F. (2012). College Student Stressors: A Review of the Qualitative Research. *Stress and Health*. 29(4), 275–285.
- Ivarsson, C. T. and & Hagerhall, C. M. (2008). The Perceived Restorativeness of Gardens Assessing the Restorativeness of a Mixed Built and Natural Scene Type. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*. 7(2), 107–118.
- James, W. (1892). Psychology: The Briefer Course. New York: Henry Holt.
- Jarvis, C., Mackenzie, S., Podsakoff, P., Mick, D. and Bearden, W. (2003). A Critical Review of Construct Indicators and Measurement Model Misspecification in Marketing and Consumer Research. *Journal of Consumer Research*. 30(2), 199– 218.
- Jimenez, C., Navia-Osorio, P. M. and Diaz, C. V. (2010). Stress and Health in Novice and Experienced Nursing Students. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*. 66(2), 442–455.
- Jogaratnam, G. and Buchanan, P. (2004). Balancing the Demands of School and Work
 : Stress and Employed Hospitality Students. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*. 16(4), 237–245.
- Johansson, M., Hartig, T. and Staats, H. (2011). Psychological Benefits of Walking: Moderation by Company and Outdoor Environment. *Applied Psychology: Health* and Well-Being. 3(3), 261–280.
- Johnsen, S. Å. K. (2013). Exploring the Use of Nature for Emotion Regulation: Associations with Personality, Perceived Stress, and Restorative Outcomes. *Nordic*

Psychology. 65(4), 306-321.

- Jorgensen, A., Hitchmough, J. and Calvert, T. (2002). Woodland Spaces and Edges: Their Impact on Perception of Safety and Preference. *Landscape and Urban Planning*. 60(3), 135–150.
- Kanner, A. D., Coyne, J. C., Schaefer, C. and Lazarus, R. S. (1981). Comparison of Two Modes of Stress Measurement: Daily Hassles and Uplifts Versus Major Life Events. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*. 4(1), 1–39.
- Kaplan, R. (1993). The Role of Nature in the Context of the Workplace. *Landscape and Urban Planning*. 26(1), 193–201.
- Kaplan, R. (2001a). The Nature of the View from Home: Psychological Benefits. *Environment and Behavior*. 33(4), 507–542.
- Kaplan, R. and Kaplan, S. (1989). *The Experience of Nature: A Psychological Perspective*. CUP Archive.
- Kaplan, S. (1995). The Restorative Benefits of Nature: Toward an Integrative Framework. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 15(3), 169–182.
- Kaplan, S. (2001b). Meditation, Restoration, and the Management of Mental Fatigue. *Environment and Behavior*. 33(4), 480–506.
- Kaplan, S., Bardwell, L. V. and Slakter, D. B. (1993). The Museum as a Restorative Environment. *Environment and Behavior*. 25(6), 725–742.
- Kaplan, S. and Peterson, C. (1993). Health and Environment: A Psychological Analysis. *Landscape and Urban Planning*. 26(1-4), 17–23.
- Keniger, L. E., Gaston, K. J., Irvine, K. N. and Fuller, R. A. (2013). What are the Benefits of Interacting with Nature? *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 10(3), 913–935.
- Kinnafick, F. E. and Thøgersen-Ntoumani, C. (2014). The Effect of the Physical Environment and Levels of Activity on Affective States. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 38, 241–251.
- Kline, R. B. (2015). *Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling*. Guilford Press.

- Kopp, M. S., Thege, B. K., Balog, P., Stauder, A., Salavecz, G., Rózsa, S., Purebl, G. and Ádám, S. (2010). *Measures of Stress in Epidemiological Research*.
- Korpela, K., Borodulin, K., Neuvonen, M., Paronen, O. and Tyrväinen, L. (2014). Analyzing the Mediators between Nature-based Outdoor Recreation and Emotional Well-being. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 37, 1–7.
- Korpela, K. M., Ylén, M., Tyrväinen, L. and Silvennoinen, H. (2008). Determinants of Restorative Experiences in Everyday Favorite Places. *Health & Place*. 14(4), 636–652.
- Korpela, K. M., Ylén, M., Tyrväinen, L. and Silvennoinen, H. (2009). Stability of Selfreported Favourite Places and Place Attachment over a 10-month Period. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 29(1), 95–100.
- Korpela, K. M., Ylén, M., Tyrväinen, L. and Silvennoinen, H. (2010). Favorite Green, Waterside and Urban Environments, Restorative Experiences and Perceived Health in Finland. *Health Promotion International*. 25(2), 200–209.
- Kothencz, G., Kolcsár, R., Cabrera-Barona, P. and Szilassi, P. (2017). Urban Green Space Perception and Its Contribution to Well-being. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 14(7), 1–14.
- Kumar, R. (2011). Research Methodology: A Step-by-Step Guide for Beginners. SAGE.
- Kytta, M. and Kahila, M. (2005). The Perveived Quality Factors of the Environment and their Ecoefficient Acceccibility. *Forests, Trees and Human Health and Wellbeing*.
- Lannon, A. and Harrison, P. (2015). Take a Paws: Fostering Student Wellness with a Therapy Dog Program at Your University Library. *Public Services Quarterly*. 11(1), 13–22.
- Lau, S. S. Y., Gou, Z. and Liu, Y. (2014). Healthy Campus by Open Space Design: Approaches and Guidelines. *Frontiers of Architectural Research*. 3(4), 452–467.
- Lau, S. S. Y. and Yang, F. (2009). Introducing Healing Gardens into a Compact University Campus: Design Natural Space to Create Healthy and Sustainable Campuses. *Landscape Research*. 34(1), 55–81.
- Laumann, K., Gärling, T. and Stormark, K. (2001). Rating Scale Measures of

Restorative Components of Environments. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 21(1), 31–44.

- Lee, E. H. (2012). Review of the Psychometric Evidence of the Perceived Stress Scale. *Asian Nursing Research*. 6(4), 121–127.
- Lehto, X. Y. (2013). Assessing the Perceived Restorative Qualities of Vacation Destinations. *Journal of Travel Research*. 52(3), 325–339.
- Lehto, X. Y., Park, O., Fu, X. and Lee, G. (2014). Student Life Stress and Leisure Participation. *Annals of Leisure Research*. 17(2), 200–217.
- Lethbridge, K., Yankou, D. and Andrusyszyn, M. A. (2005). The Effects of a Restorative Intervention on Undergraduate Nursing Students' Capacity to Direct Attention. *Journal of Holistic Nursing*. 23(3), 329–347.
- Lopez Turley, R. N. and Wodtke, G. (2010). College Residence and Academic Performance: Who Benefits from Living on Campus? *Urban Education*. 45(4), 506–532.
- Lorenzo, E., Corraliza, J. A., Collado, S. and Sevillano, V. (2016). Preference, Restorativeness and Perceived Environmental Quality of Small Urban Spaces. *Psyecology*. 7(2), 152–177.
- Lottrup, L., Grahn, P. and & Stigsdotter, U. K. (2013). Workplace Greenery and Perceived Level of Stress : Benefits of Access to a Green Outdoor Environment at the Workplace. *Landscape and Urban Planning*. 110, 5–11.
- Lottrup, L., Stigsdotter, U. K., Meilby, H. and Claudi, A. G. (2015). The Workplace Window View: A Determinant of Office Workers' Work Ability and Job Satisfaction. *Landscape Research*. 40(1), 57–75.
- Lottrup, L., Stigsdotter, U. K., Meilby, H. and Corazon, S. S. (2012). Associations between Use, Activities and Characteristics of the Outdoor Environment at Workplaces. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening. 11(2), 159–168.
- Maas, J. and Verheij, R. (2007). Are Health Benefits of Physical Activity in Natural Environments Used in Primary Care by General Practitioners in The Netherlands? Urban Forestry & Urban Greening. 6(4), 227–233.
- MacKenzie, S. B., Podsakoff, P. M. and Jarvis, C. B. (2005). The Problem of Measurement Model Misspecification in Behavioral and Organizational Research

and Some Recommended Solutions. *The Journal of Applied Psychology*. 90(4), 710–730.

- Mackenzie, S. B., Podsakoff, P. M. and Podsakoff, N. P. (2011). Construct Measurement and Validation Procedures in MIS and Behavioral Research: Integrating New and Existing Techniques. *MIS Quarterly*. 35(2), 293–334.
- MacKinnon, D. P. (2011). Integrating Mediators and Moderators in Research Design. *Research on Social Work Practice*. 21(6), 675–681.
- Maikov, K. (2016). *Exploring the Salutogenic Properties of the Landscape: From Garden to Forest*. Ph.D. Thesis. Doctoral dissertation, Eesti Maaülikool.
- Maikov, K., Bell, S. and Sepp, K. (2008). An Evaluation of the Design of Room Characteristics of a Sample of Healing Gardens. WIT Transactions on Ecology and the Environment. 114, 223–232.
- Mangone, G., Capaldi, C. A., van Allen, Z. M. and Luscuere, P. G. (2017). Bringing Nature to Work: Preferences and Perceptions of Constructed Indoor and Natural Outdoor Workspaces. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*. 23(1-12).
- Marselle, M., Irvine, K., Lorenzo-Arribas, A. and Warber, S. (2015). Moving beyond Green: Exploring the Relationship of Environment Type and Indicators of Perceived Environmental Quality on Emotional Well-Being following Group Walks. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 12(1), 106– 130.
- Marselle, M. R., Irvine, K. N., Lorenzo-Arribas, A. and Warber, S. L. (2016). Does Perceived Restorativeness Mediate the Effects of Perceived Biodiversity and Perceived Naturalness on Emotional Well-Being Following Group Walks in Nature? *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 46, 217–232.
- Marselle, M. R., Irvine, K. N. and Warber, S. L. (2013). Walking for Well-Being: Are Group Walks in Certain Types of Natural Environments Better for Well-Being than Group Walks in Urban Environments? *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 10(11), 5603–5628.
- Mayer, F. S. and Frantz, C. M. (2004). The Connectedness to Nature Scale: A Measure of Individuals' Feeling in Community with Nature. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 24(4), 503–515.

- McCormack, G. R., Rock, M., Toohey, A. M. and & Hignell, D. (2010). Characteristics of Urban Parks Associated with Park Use and Physical Activity: A Review of Qualitative Research. *Health & place*. 16(4), 712–726.
- McFarland, A. L., Waliczek, T. M. and Zajicek, J. M. (2008). The Relationship Between Student Use of Campus Green Spaces and Perceptions of Quality of Life. *HortTechnology*. 18(2), 232–238.
- McFarland, A. L., Waliczek, T. M. and Zajicek, J. M. (2010). Graduate Student Use of Campus Green Spaces and the Impact on Their Perceptions of Quality of Life. *HortTechnology*. 20(1), 186–192.
- McIntyre, L. J. (2005). *Need to Konw: Social Science Research Methods*. McGraw-Hil Education.
- McKnight, P. E., McKnight, K. M., Sidani, S. and Figueredo, A. J. (2007). *Missing Data: A Gentle Introduction*. Guilford Press.
- Memari, S., Pazhouhanfar, M. and Nourtaghani, A. (2017). Relationship between Perceived Sensory Dimensions and Stress Restoration in Care Settings. Urban Forestry and Urban Greening. 26(May), 104–113.
- Misra, R., McKean, M., West, S. and Russo, T. (2000). Academic Stress of College Students: Comparison of Student and Faculty Perceptions. *College Student Journal*. 34(2), 236–246.
- Mumcu, S., Duzenli, T. and Ozbilen, A. (2010). Prospect and Refuge as the Predictors of Preferences for Seating Areas. *Scientific Research and Essays*. 5(11), 1223–1233.
- Muslim, M. H., Karim, H. A. and Abdullah, I. C. (2012). Satisfaction of Students' Living Environment between On-Campus and Off-Campus Settings: A Conceptual Overview. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 68, 601–614.
- Nastaskin, R. S. and Fiocco, A. J. (2015). A Survey of Diet Self-efficacy and Food Intake in Students with High and Low Perceived Stress. *Nutrition Journal*. 14(1), 1–8.
- Nisbet, E. K. and Zelenski, J. M. (2011). Underestimating Nearby Nature: Affective Forecasting Errors Obscure the Happy Path to Sustainability. *Psychological Science*. 22(9), 1101–1106.
- Nisbet, E. K., Zelenski, J. M. and Murphy, S. A. (2011). Happiness is in our Nature:

Exploring Nature Relatedness as a Contributor to Subjective Well-Being. *Journal of Happiness Studies*. 12(2), 303–322.

- Nordh, H., Alalouch, C. and Hartig, T. (2011). Assessing Restorative Components of Small Urban Parks Using Conjoint Methodology. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening. 10(2), 95–103.
- Nordh, H., Grahn, P. and Währborg, P. (2009a). Meaningful Activities in the Forest, a Way Back from Exhaustion and Long-Term Sick Leave. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*. 8(3), 207–219.
- Nordh, H., Hartig, T., Hagerhall, C. and Fry, G. (2009b). Components of Small Urban Parks that Predict the Possibility for Restoration. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*. 8(4), 225–235.
- Nordh, H. and Østby, K. (2013). Pocket Parks for People A Study of Park Design and Use. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*. 12(1), 12–17.
- Norling, J., Sibthorp, J. and Suchy, Y. (2010). The benefit of recreational physical activity to restore attentional fatigue: the effects of running intensity level on attention scores. *Journal of Leisure Research*. 42(1), 135–152.
- Pals, R., Steg, L., Siero, F. and van der Zee, K. (2009). Development of the PRCQ:
 A Measure of Perceived Restorative Characteristics of Zoo Attractions. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 29(4), 441–449.
- Panatik, S. A., Rajab, A., Shaari, R., Shah, I. M., Rahman, H. A. and Badri, S. K. Z. (2012). Impact of Work-related Stress on Well-being among Academician in Malaysian Research University. In *International Conference on Education and Management Innovation*. 37–41.
- Papier, K., Ahmed, F., Lee, P. and Wiseman, J. (2015). Stress and Dietary Behaviour among First-year University Students in Australia: Sex Differences. *Nutrition*. 31(2), 324–330.
- Pasanen, T. P., Neuvonen, M. and Korpela, K. M. (2017). The Psychology of Recent Nature Visits: (How) Are Motives and Attentional Focus Related to Post-Visit Restorative Experiences, Creativity, and Emotional Well-Being? *Environment and Behavior*, 1–32.
- Pasini, M., Berto, R., Brondino, M., Hall, R. and Ortner, C. (2014). How to Measure

the Restorative Quality of Environments: The PRS-11. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 159, 293–297.

- Peat, J. and Barton, B. (2014). *Medical Statistics: A Guide to SPSS, Data Analysis and Critical Appraisal.* John Wiley & Sons.
- Peschardt, K. K., Schipperijn, J. and Stigsdotter, U. K. (2012). Use of Small Public Urban Green Spaces (SPUGS). Urban Forestry & Urban Greening. 11(3), 235–244.
- Peschardt, K. K. and Stigsdotter, U. K. (2013). Associations between Park Characteristics and Perceived Restorativeness of Small Public Urban Green Spaces. *Landscape and Urban Planning*. 112(1), 26–39.
- Peschardt, K. K., Stigsdotter, U. K. and Schipperrijn, J. (2016). Identifying Features of Pocket Parks that May Be Related to Health Promoting Use. *Landscape Research*. 41(1), 79–94.
- Pilotti, M., Klein, E., Golem, D., Piepenbrink, E. and Kaplan, K. (2014). Is Viewing a Nature Video After Work Restorative? Effects on Blood Pressure, Task Performance, and Long-Term Memory. *Environment and Behavior*. 47(9), 1–23.
- Polit, D. F. and Beck, C. T. (2006). The Content Validity Index : Are You Sure You Know What 's Being Reported ? Critique and Recommendations. *Research in Nursing & Health.* 29(5), 489–497.
- Pozos-Radillo, B. E., Preciado-Serrano, M. d. L., Acosta-Fernández, M., De, M., Aguilera-Velasco, I. A. and Delgado-García, D. D. (2014). Academic Stress as a Predictor of Chronic Stress in University Students. *Psicología Educativa*. 20(1), 47–52.
- PulidoMartos, M., AugustoLanda, J. M. and & LopezZafra, E. (2012). Sources of Stress in Nursing Students: A Systematic Review of Quantitative Studies. *International Nursing Review*. 59(1), 15–25.
- Purcell, T., Peron, E. and Berto, R. (2001). Why do Preferences Differ between Scene Types? *Environment and Behavior*. 33(1), 93–106.
- Qiu, L. and Nielsen, A. B. (2015). Are Perceived Sensory Dimensions a Reliable Tool for Urban Green Space Assessment and Planning? *Landscape Research*. 40(7), 834–854.
- Raanaas, R. K., Evensen, K. H., Rich, D., Sjøstrøm, G. and Patil, G. (2011). Benefits of

Indoor Plants on Attention Capacity in an Office Setting. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 31(1), 99–105.

- Rahat, E. and Ilhan, T. (2016). Coping Styles, Social Support, Relational Self-Construal, and Resilience in Predicting Students' Adjustment to University Life. *Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice*. 16(1), 187–208.
- Ramli, N., Zainol, Z. A., Abdul Aziz, J., Mohd. Ali, H., Hassim, J., Wan Hussein, W.
 M. H., Markom, R., Wan Dahalan, W. S. A. and Yaakob, N. I. (2013). The Concept of Research University: The Implementation in the Context of Malaysian University System. *Asian Social Science*. 9(5), 307–317.
- Reklaitiene, R., Grazuleviciene, R., Dedele, A., Virviciute, D., Vensloviene, J., Tamosiunas, A., ... and Bernotiene, G. (2014). The Relationship of Green Space, Depressive Symptoms and Perceived General Health in Urban Population. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health*. 42(7), 669–676.
- Rennit, P. and Maikov, K. (2015). Perceived Restoration Scale Method Turned into (Used as the) Evaluation Tool for Parks and Open Green Spaces, Using Tartu City Parks as an Example. *City, Territory and Architecture*. 2(6), 1–11.
- Revilla, M., Saris, W. E. and Krosnick, J. A. (2014). Choosing the Number of Categories in Agree-Disagree Scales. *Sociological Methods & Research*. 43(1), 73– 97.
- Richardson, E. A. and Mitchell, R. (2010). Gender Differences in Relationships between Urban Green Space and Health in the United Kingdom. *Social Sience & Medicine*. 71(3), 568–575.
- Ringle, C. M., Wende, S. and Becker, J. M. (2015). *SmartPLS 3*. Boenningstedt: SmartPLS GmbH, http://www.smartpls.com.
- Robotham, D. (2008). Stress among Higher Education Students: Towards a Research Agenda. *Higher Education*. 56(6), 735–746.
- Ross, S. E., Niebling, B. C. and Heckert, T. M. (1999). Sources of Stress among College Students. *College Student Journal*. 33(2), 1–6.
- Ruijsbroek, A., Mohnen, S. M., Droomers, M., Kruize, H., Gidlow, C., Gražulevičiene,
 R., ., . and Masterson, D. (2017). Neighbourhood Green Space, Social Environment
 and Mental Health: An Examination in Four European Cities. *International Journal*

of Public Health, 1–11.

- Sarstedt, M., Diamantopoulos, A. and Salzberger, T. (2016). Should We Use Single Items? Better Not. *Journal of Business Research*. 69(8), 3199–3203.
- Schipperijn, J., Ekholm, O., Stigsdotter, U. K., Toftager, M., Bentsen, P., Kamper-Jørgensen, F. and Randrup, T. B. (2010). Factors Influencing the Use of Green Space: Results from a Danish National Representative Survey. *Landscape and Urban Planning*. 95(3), 130–137.
- Schumacker, R. E. and Lomax, R. G. (2015). A Beginner's Guide to Structural Equation Modeling. Routledge.
- Scopelliti, M., Carrus, G., Cini, F., Mastandrea, S., Ferrini, F., Lafortezza, R., Agrimi, M., Salbitano, F., Sanesi, G. and Semenzato, P. (2012). Biodiversity, Perceived Restorativeness, and Benefits of Nature. *Vulnerability, Risks, and Complexity: Impacts of Global Change on Human Habitats.* 3, 255–269.
- Scopelliti, M. and Vittoria Giuliani, M. (2004). Choosing Restorative Environments Across the Lifespan: A Matter of Place Eexperience. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 24(4), 423–437.
- Seitz, C. M., Reese, R. F., Strack, R. W., Frantz, S. and West, B. (2014). Identifying and Improving Green Spaces on a College Campus: A Photovoice Study. *Ecopsychology*. 6(2), 98–108.
- Sekaran, U. and Bougie, R. (2016). *Research Methods for Business: A Skill Building Approach*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Shin, W. S., Shin, C. S., Yeoun, P. S. and Kim, J. J. (2011). The Influence of Interaction with Forest on Cognitive Function. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research*. 26(6), 595–598.
- Sidenius, U., Stigsdotter, U. K., Varning Poulsen, D. and Bondas, T. (2017). "I Look at My Own Forest and Fields in a Different Way": The Lived Experience of Nature-Based Therapy in a Therapy Garden When Suffering from Stress-related Illness. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health and Well-being*. 12(1), 1–14.
- Skärbäck, E., Björk, J., Stoltz, J., Rydell-Andersson, K. and Grahn, P. . (2014). Green Perception for Well-being in Dense Urban Areas-A Tool for Socioeconomic Integration. NA. 26(2).

- Skarback, E. and Grahn, P. (2016). Presence of Cars in Working Environments Impairs Perception of Sensory Dimensions. In *INTER-NOISE and NOISE-CON Congress* and Conference Proceedings, vol. 252. Institute of Noise Control Engineering., 943–949.
- Skärbäck, E., Wen, L., Aleksandrova, S. and Grahn, P. (2015). The Serene and Other Affordances in Demanding Contexts. *IFLA 52nd World Congress*, 1–9.
- Smith, K. J., Rosenberg, D. L. and Haight, G. T. (2014). An Assessment of the Psychometric Properties of the Perceived Stress Scale-10 (PSS10) with Business and Accounting Students. *Accounting Perspectives*. 13(1), 29–59.
- Speake, J., Edmondson, S. and Nawaz, H. (2013). Everyday Encounters with Nature: Students' Perceptions and Use of University Campus Green Spaces. *Human Geographies*. 7(1), 21–31.
- Staats, H. and Hartig, T. (2004). Alone or with a Friend: A Social Context for Psychological Restoration and Environmental Preferences. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 24(2), 199–211.
- Staats, H., Kieviet, A. and Hartig, T. (2003). Where to Recover from Attentional Fatigue: An Expectancy-value Analysis of Environmental Preference. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 23(2), 147–157.
- Stangor, C. (2010). Research Methods for the Behavioral Sciences. Cengage Learning.
- Stigsdotter, U. K., Corazon, S. S., Sidenius, U., Kristiansen, J. and Grahn, P. (2017a).
 It is Not All Bad for the Grey City A Crossover Study on Physiological and Psychological Restoration in a Forest and an Urban Environment. *Health & Place*. 46, 145–154.
- Stigsdotter, U. K., Corazon, S. S., Sidenius, U., Refshauge, A. D. and Grahn, P. (2017b). Forest Mental Health PromotionUsing Perceived Sensory Dimensions to Elicit Restorative Responses. *Landscape and Urban Planning*. 160, 1–15.
- Stigsdotter, U. K., Ekholm, O., Schipperijn, J., Toftager, M., Kamper-Jorgensen, F. and Randrup, T. B. (2010). Health Promoting Outdoor Environments Associations between Green Space, and Health, Health-Related Quality of Life and Stress based on a Danish National Representative Survey. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health*. 38(4), 411–417.

- Stigsdotter, U. K. and Grahn, P. (2011). Stressed Individuals' Preferences for Activities and Environmental Characteristics in Green Spaces. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening. 10(4), 295–304.
- Stoltz, J., Lundell, Y., Skärbäck, E., van den Bosch, M. A., Grahn, P., Nordström, E. M. and Dolling, A. (2016). Planning for Restorative Forests: Describing Stressreducing Qualities of Forest Stands Using Available Forest Stand Data. *European Journal of Forest Research*. 135(5), 803–813.
- Sultana, R. (2015). Impact of Academic Stress Among MBA Students of Sardar Patel College, Hyderabad. Scholars Journal of Economics, Business and Management. 2(1B), 112–116.
- Sun, S. H. and Zoriah, A. (2015). Assessing Stress among Undergraduate Pharmacy Students in University of Malaya. *Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Education and Research*. 49(2), 99–105.
- Svobodová, H. (1990). Cultural Aspects of Landscape. Wageningen: Pudoc.
- Tang, I. C., Sullivan, W. C. and Chang, C. Y. (2015). Perceptual Evaluation of Natural Landscapes: The Role of the Individual Connection to Nature. *Environment and Behavior*. 47(6), 595–617.
- Tennessen, C. M. and Cimprich, B. (1995). Views to Nature: Effects on Attention. Journal of Environmental Psychology. 15(1), 77–85.
- Thurber, C. A. and Walton, E. A. (2012). Homesickness and Adjustment in University Students. *Journal of American College Health*. 60(5), 415–419.
- Tongco, M. D. C. (2007). Purposive Sampling as a Tool for Informant Selection. *Ethnobotany Research and Applications*. 5, 147–158.
- Triguero-Mas, M., Gidlow, C. J., Martínez, D., de Bont, J., Carrasco-Turigas, G., Martínez-Íñiguez, T., ... and Jones, M. V. (2017). The Effect of Randomised Exposure to Different Types of Natural Outdoor Environments Compared to Exposure to an Urban Environment on People with Indications of Psychological Distress in Catalonia. *PLoS ONE*. 12(3), 1–17.
- Turner, F. D. and Smith, J. K. (2015). A Comparative Study on the Stress Levels of Black , White , Asian , and Latino Undergraduate Students. *Journal of Research Initiatives*. 1(3), 1–9.

- Twedt, E., Rainey, R. M. and Proffitt, D, R. (2016). Designed Natural Spaces: Informal Gardens Are Perceived to Be More Restorative than Formal Gardens. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 7(88), 1–10.
- Tyrväinen, L., Mäkinen, K. and Schipperijn, J. (2007). Tools for Mapping Social Values of Urban Woodlands and Other Green Areas. *Landscape and Urban Planning*. 79(1), 5–19.
- Tyrväinen, L., Ojala, A., Korpela, K., Lanki, T., Tsunetsugu, Y. and Kagawa, T. (2014). The Influence of Urban Green Environments on Stress Relief Measures: A Field Experiment. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 38, 1–9.
- Ulrich, R. S. (1984). View through a Window may Influence Recovery from Surgery. *Science*. 224(1984), 420–421.
- Ulrich, R. S., Simons, R. F., Losito, B. D., Fiorito, E., Miles, M. A. and & Zelson, M. (1991). Stress Recovery During Exposure to Natural and Urban Environments. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 11(3), 201–230.
- Urbach, N. and Ahlemann, F. (2010). Structural Equation Modeling in Information Systems Research Using Partial Least Squares. *Journal of Information Technology Theory and Application*. 11(2), 5–40.
- Vaez, E. and Juhari, R. (2017). Stress and Marital Satisfaction of Married Middle Eastern Students in Malaysia. *The Family Journal*. 25(2), 146–155.
- van den Berg, A. E., Jorgensen, A. and Wilson, E. R. (2014). Evaluating Restoration in Urban Green Spaces: Does Setting Type Make a Difference? *Landscape and Urban Planning*. 127, 173–181.
- van den Berg, M., van Poppel, M., van Kamp, I., Andrusaityte, S., Balseviciene, B., Cirach, M., ... and Smith, G. (2016). Visiting Green Space is Associated with Mental Health and Vitality: A Cross-sectional Study in Four European Cities. *Health and Place*. 38, 8–15.
- van den Berg, M., Wendel-Vos, W., van Poppel, M., Kemper, H., van Mechelen, W. and & Maas, J. (2015). Health Benefits of Green Spaces in the Living Environment: A Systematic Review of Epidemiological Studies. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*. 4(14), 806–816.
- Van Dillen, S. M., de Vries, S., Groenewegen, P. P. and Spreeuwenberg, P. (2012).

Greenspace in Urban Neighbourhoods and Residents' Health: Adding Quality to Quantity. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*. 66(6), e8–e8.

- Vaughn, A., Drake, R. R. and Haydock, S. (2015). College Student Mental Health and Quality of Workplace Relationships. *Journal of American College Health*. 64(1), 26–37.
- Völker, S. and Kistemann, T. (2011). The Impact of Blue Space on Human Health and Well-being - Salutogenetic Health Effects of Inland Surface Waters: A Review. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health*. 214(6), 449–460.
- von Lindern, E. (2015). Setting-dependent Constraints on Human Restoration While Visiting a Wilderness Park. *Journal of Outdoor Recreation and Tourism*. 10, 29–37.
- von Lindern, E., Bauer, N., Frick, J., Hunziker, M. and Hartig, T. (2013). Occupational Engagement as a Constraint on Restoration During Leisure Time in Forest Settings. *Landscape and Urban Planning*. 118(2013), 90–97.
- Vujcic, M., Tomicevic-Dubljevic, J., Grbic, M., Lecic-Tosevski, D., Vukovic, O. and Toskovic, O. (2017). Nature Based Solution for Improving Mental Health and Wellbeing in Urban Areas. *Environmental Research*. 158, 385–392.
- Wan, D. C., Sirat, M. and Razak, D. (2015). The Idea of a University: Rethinking the Malaysian Context. *Humanities*. 4(3), 266–282.
- Wang, X., Rodiek, S., Wu, C., Chen, Y. and Li, Y. (2016). Stress Recovery and Restorative Effects of Viewing Different Urban Park Scene in Shanghai, China. *Urban Forestry and Urban Greening*. 15, 112–122.
- White, M., Smith, A., Humphryes, K., Pahl, S., Snelling, D. and Depledge, M. (2010).
 Blue Space: The Importance of Water for Preference, Affect, and Restorativeness
 Ratings of Natural and Built Scenes. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 30(4), 482–493.
- White, M. P., Pahl, S., Ashbullby, K., Herbert, S. and Depledge, M. H. (2013). Feelings of Restoration from Recent Nature Visits. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 35, 40–51.
- Wilkie, S. and Stavridou, A. (2013). Influence of Environmental Ereference and Environment Type Congruence on Judgments of Restoration Potential. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening. 12, 163–170.

- Williams, K. and Harvey, D. (2001). Transcendent Experience in Forest Environments. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 21(3), 249–260.
- Wilson, E. O. (1984). Biophilia. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.
- Windhorst, E. and Williams, A. (2015). It's Like a Different World: Natural Places, Post-secondary Students, and Mental Health. *Health & Place*. 34, 241–250.
- Wolf, L. J., zu Ermgassen, S., Balmford, A., White, M. and Weinstein, N. (2017). Is Variety the Spice of Life? An Experimental Investigation into the Effects of Species Richness on Self-Reported Mental Well-Being. *PloS one*. 12(1), e0170225.
- Wu, A. D. and Zumbo, B. D. (2008). Understanding and Using Mediators and Moderators. Social Indicators Research. 87(3), 367–392.
- Wynd, C., Schmidt, B. and Schaefer, M. (2003). Two Quantitative Approaches for Estimating Content Validity. Western journal of nursing research. 25(5), 508–518.
- Wynd, C. A. and Schaefer, M. A. (2002). The Osteoporosis Risk Assessment Tool: Establishing Content Validity through a Panel of Experts. *Applied Nursing Research*. 16(2), 184–188.
- Yusoff, M. S. B., Abdul Rahim, A. F. and Yaacob, M. J. (2010). Prevalence and Sources of Stress among Universiti Sains Malaysia Medical Students. *The Malaysian Journal of Medical Sciences*. 17(1), 30–37.
- Zube, E. H., Sell, J. L. and Taylor, J. G. (1982). Landscape Perception, Research, Application and Theory. *Landscape Planning*. 9(1), 1–33.