

**THE INFLUENCE OF PRODUCTION TEMPERATURE ON
PHYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF BIOCHARS**

CHINEDUM CHUKWUJEKWU ANYIKA

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

THE INFLUENCE OF PRODUCTION TEMPERATURE ON
PHYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF BIOCHARS

CHINEDUM CHUKWUJEKWU ANYIKA

A thesis submitted in the fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Bioscience)

Faculty of Biosciences and Medical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

MARCH 2017

To my Family.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Adibah Binti Yahya for her support, guidance, encouragement, patience, trust and confidence throughout this research period. Without her unwavering guidance, support, and valuable advice during the research and writing, this thesis would not have been completed. Furthermore, I would like to extend my gratitude to my co-supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Zaiton Abdul Majid for her generous time, fruitful discussions, motivation, and patience to attend to my numerous questions during this study. I would like to convey special thanks to my external co-supervisor Prof. Mohamad Pauzi Zakaria of Universiti Malaya for his technical expertise, particularly in the field of PAH analysis.

My appreciation goes to the technical staff of Analytical Lab, Mr. Hairol, Universiti Industry research Lab, Mrs Zubaidha and Mrs Ambiga for attending to my various lab works. I am very grateful to my research colleagues, especially Ahmad Idi, Mohammed Hanif, Lam Chi Yong, Dr. Neo and many others. Same goes to my friends such, Dr. Abdullahi Bala Isa, Safaa Khadhum and many others.

Finally, my special thanks to my beloved parents, my in-laws, brothers and sisters for their unending love, sacrifice, encouragement and support. The same goes to my wife Chiamaka Basilia Anyika for her unreserved support, love, and patient towards the success of this thesis.

ABSTRACT

In the past, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) remediation strategies in soils relying on the use of biochar studied sorption or biodegradation of PAHs separately. However, those studies did not acknowledge that sorption and biodegradation of PAHs in soils can occur simultaneously. As a result, biochar productions were conducted using different temperatures and pyrolysis mechanisms, which resulted into different physicochemical properties. In particular, previous studies were not able to sufficiently resolve the scientific mechanisms behind the use of biochar for sorption or stimulation of biodegradation of PAHs by microbes. For example, they relied on production temperatures of 700-800°C, at which organic nitrogen needed for microbial growth and metabolism volatilizes. Similarly, they relied on fast pyrolysis, which yields biochar that have no soil carbon storage value and low aromaticity, necessary for the stronger binding of PAHs. Consequently, biochar production, characterization and application for PAH remediation have been conducted separately and continuously using different production temperatures and pyrolysis mechanisms, without identifying a unique production temperature or pyrolysis mechanism at which these two processes occur simultaneously based on the physicochemical properties of the resulting biochar. The objective of this work was to gain knowledge of the characteristics of biochars at high (650°C) and low (450°C and 350°C) production temperatures, out of which a unique production temperature for the production of biochar for its impact on large-scale petrogenic PAH remediation was identified. The purpose was to contribute to the use of the resulting biochar for PAH remediation in soils. This was achieved by focusing on two topics of concern. Firstly, the characteristics of biochar of slow pyrolysis relevant to petrogenic PAHs remediation in soils were studied. Secondly, the toxicants present in biochar that may hinder microbial activity and lead to soil quality deterioration were quantified and certified. Towards these ends, a novel hypothesis on how biochar production temperature can impact on PAH fate processes in soils simultaneously was formulated. Biochar properties were evaluated by physicochemical, structural and stability characterizations. Characterization of the sample biochar produced at 650°C displayed a greater surface area of 245 m²/g, had a greater organic carbon content of 83%, with greater aromaticity and the most stable with 12% of labile carbon. Differentiation between the carbon storage values and its novel mechanism was achieved, which was in a descending order of $sBC_{+100}=587$ g kg⁻¹, $sBC_{+100}=532$ g kg⁻¹ and $sBC_{+100}=407$ g kg⁻¹, for 650 > 450 > 350 to qualify for C_{org} (organic carbon) storage classes 4, 4 and 3, respectively. This mechanism is the online IBI classification-CPMAS ¹³C NMR Spectra-van Krevelen diagram model. Toxicant assessment/enrichment behaviour of heavy metals in biochar was investigated. The results indicated that the lowest metal concentration of 15709 µg/Kg was at the CS650, indicating minimum enrichment. The distribution and stability of heavy metals in biochar was determined. The results indicated a minimum distribution of 620 mg/kg at 650°C, implying greater stability. In conclusion, the study found that the 650°C biochar resulted in better characteristics for the rapid sorption of PAH in soils due to its greater proportion of the nanopores and aromaticity, both of which are responsible for stronger binding. Simultaneously, the greater aromaticity will result in greater biodegradation since the microbes will concentrate on the PAHs alone due to the absence of appreciable labile C substrate, thereby implying that biodegradation proceed at a faster rate.

ABSTRAK

Pada masa lalu, strategi pemulihan hidrokarbon aromatik polisiklik (PAH) dalam tanah adalah bergantung kepada penggunaan bio-arang yang dikaji melalui penyerapan atau biodegradasi PAHs secara berasingan. Walau bagaimanapun kajian tersebut tidak memperakui bahawa penyerapan dan biodegradasi PAH dalam tanah boleh berlaku serentak. Hasilnya, penghasilan bio-arang telah dijalankan menggunakan suhu yang berbeza dan mekanisme pirolisis, yang menghasilkan sifat fizikokimia yang berbeza. Kajian terdahulu tidak mampu menyelesaikan mekanisme saintifik di sebalik penggunaan bio-arang untuk penyerapan atau stimulasi biodegradasi PAH oleh mikrob. Sebagai contoh, mereka bergantung pada suhu penghasilan 700-800°C, yang mana nitrogen organik yang diperlukan untuk pertumbuhan dan metabolisme mikrob telah meruap. Begitu juga, mereka bergantung kepada pirolisis pantas, yang menghasilkan bio-arang tanpa nilai penyimpanan karbon organik bagi tanah dan nilai struktur aromatik yang perlu bagi ikatan PAH yang lebih kuat. Akibatnya, penghasilan, pencirian, dan aplikasi bio-arang untuk pemulihan PAH telah dijalankan secara berasingan dan secara berterusan menggunakan suhu penghasilan dan mekanisme pirolisis yang berbeza, tanpa mengenal pasti suhu penghasilan unik atau mekanisme pirolisis yang mana kedua-dua proses boleh berlaku secara serentak berdasarkan sifat-sifat fizikokimia bio-arang yang dihasilkan. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk mendapatkan pengetahuan tentang ciri-ciri bio-arang pada suhu penghasilan yang tinggi (650°C) dan rendah (450°C dan 350°C), yang mana satu suhu penghasilan yang unik untuk penghasilan bio-arang telah dapat dikenalpasti untuk memberi kesan yang besar terhadap pemulihan petrogenik PAH. Tujuannya adalah untuk menyumbang kepada penggunaan bio-arang yang dihasilkan untuk pemulihan PAH dalam tanah. Ini telah dicapai dengan memberi tumpuan kepada dua topik utama. Pertama, ciri-ciri yang berkaitan dengan bio-arang daripada pirolisis lambat relevan dengan pemulihan PAH petrogenik dalam tanah yang telah dikaji. Kedua, bahan toksik di dalam bio-arang yang boleh menghalang aktiviti mikrob dan membawa kepada kemerosotan kualiti tanah telah diukur dan disahkan. Akhirnya, hipotesis novel tentang bagaimana suhu pengeluaran bio-arang boleh memberi kesan terhadap proses PAH dalam tanah secara serentak telah diformulasi. Sifat-sifat bio-arang telah dinilai oleh pencirian fizikokimia, struktur dan kestabilan. Pencirian sampel bio-arang yang dihasilkan pada 650°C menunjukkan luas permukaan yang lebih besar iaitu 245 m²/g, mempunyai kandungan karbon organik yang lebih tinggi iaitu 83%, tahap aromatik yang lebih tinggi dan paling stabil dengan 12% karbon labil. Perbezaan antara nilai penyimpanan karbon dan mekanisme novel telah dicapai, yang mana dalam susunan menurun $sBC +_{100} = 587 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$, $sBC +_{100} = 532 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$ dan $sBC +_{100} = 407 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$, untuk 650> 450> 350 untuk layak bagi kelas penyimpanan C_{org} masing-masing 4, 4 dan 3. Mekanisme ini merupakan model diagram klasifikasi-CPMAS IBI ¹³C NMR Spectra-van Krevelen atas talian. Penilaian bahan toksik/pengkayaan ciri logam berat dalam bio-arang telah dikaji. Hasilnya menunjukkan bahawa kepekatan logam berat yang paling rendah ialah 15709 µg/Kg pada 650°C, merupakan pengkayaan minimum. Pengagihan dan kestabilan logam berat dalam bio-arang dapat ditentukan. Hasilnya menunjukkan pengagihan minimum sebanyak 620 mg/Kg pada 650°C, merujuk kepada kestabilan yang tinggi. Kesimpulannya, kajian ini menemukan bio-arang pada 650°C menghasilkan ciri yang lebih baik bagi penyerapan pantas PAH di dalam tanah berdasarkan bahagian liang-liang nano dan aromatik yang besar, kedua-duanya bertanggungjawab bagi ikatan yang lebih kuat. Secara serentak, tahap aromatik yang lebih besar, menghasilkan keupayaan biodegradasi yang lebih tinggi, kerana mikrob akan bertumpu ke atas PAHs sahaja, oleh sebab ketidakhadiran substrat, C labil yang ketara, dengan demikian bermaksud biodegradasi boleh berlaku pada kadar yang lebih cepat.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xv
	LIST OF FIGURES	xix
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxiii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxviii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Research Background	1
	1.2 Problem statement	3
	1.3 Research Objectives	7
	1.4 Scope	8
	1.5 Research significance	8
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	10
	2.1 Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	10
	2.2 The Effects of Biochar Addition on Microbes and Microbial Activities in the Soil	15
	2.2.1 Shelter	15

2.2.2	Effects of Water Holding Capacity, Oxygen and Nutrients	17
2.2.3	Effect of pH	19
2.2.4	Biochar as a Source of Electrons (Carbon) and Energy	20
2.2.5	The Effects of Adding Biochar on Sorption of PAHs in Soils	27
2.2.6	Effects of Adding Biochar to Degradation of PAHs	34
2.3	The Factors that we can Manipulate in the Laboratory to Enhance the Capability Of Biochars To Degrade PAHs	43
2.3.1	Addition of a co-Metabolite as Substrate	43
2.3.2	Inoculation with Known PAH Degraders:	45
2.3.3	Production Temperature	45
2.3.4	pH	46
2.3.5	Cation Exchange Capacity	47
2.3.6	Aromaticity	49
2.3.7	Surface Area	50
2.4	Soil Organic Carbon Storage Value	51
2.5	Toxic and Nontoxic Elements in Biochar	53
2.6	Trace and Toxic Heavy Metal Distribution and Stability in Biochar	55
2.7	Pore Blocking by Resident Inorganic Matter in Biochar	57
2.8	Conclusions and Perspectives	60
3	GENERAL MATERIALS AND METHODS	63
3.1	Coconut Shell (CS) Biomass	63
3.2	Biochar Preparation	63
3.3	Coconut Shell Biochar (CSB) Production System and Process	63
3.4	Chemicals	64
3.5	Instruments	65

3.6	Experimental Conditions	66
3.7	Feedstock and Biochar Analysis	66
3.7.1	Physical Analysis	66
	3.7.1.1 Porous Structure Analysis	66
	3.7.1.2 Particle size Distribution Analysis	67
3.7.2	Chemical Analyses of CS and CSB	67
	3.7.2.1 pH Values	67
	3.7.2.2 Ash Content	68
	3.7.2.3 Zeta Potential (ZP)	68
	3.7.2.4 CHNOP and I.C. Elemental Analysis	68
	3.7.2.5 Exchangeable Bases and CEC	69
	3.7.2.6 Mineral Nutrients $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$, $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ and $\text{NO}_3\text{-N} + \text{NH}_4\text{-N}$	69
	3.7.2.7 Analysis of Nitrate Nitrogen (NO_3^- -N) by HACH Instruments	70
	3.7.2.8 Analysis of Ammonium Nitrogen (NH_4^+ -N) by HACH Instruments	70
3.7.3	Spectral Analysis	71
	3.7.3.1 Cross Polarization Magic Angle Spinning, ^{13}C NMR Spectroscopy Analysis.	71
	3.7.3.2 Fourier Transform Infrared Analysis	71
3.7.4	Stability Analysis	72
	3.7.4.1 Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)	72
3.8	Biochar Recovery Yields	72
	3.8.1 Elemental analysis by ICPMS	72
	3.8.2 Mercury Analysis	73
3.9	Quality Assurance/Quality Control:	73
	3.9.1 Toxic and nontoxic elemental analyses	74
	3.9.2 Quality Assurance/Quality Control	74

3.9.3	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FESEM) and Energy Dispersive X ray Spectrophotometry (EDX) Analysis	75
3.9.4	XPS Spectroscopy Analysis:	76
3.9.5	Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM) Analysis	76
3.9.6	X- ray Diffraction (XRD)	76
3.10	Data analysis	76
3.10.1	Chemometric Techniques	77
3.10.2	Discriminant Analysis	77
	3.10.2.1 Principal Component Analysis (PCA)	78
	3.10.2.2 Cluster Analysis (CA)	79

4	PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS AND PHYSICOCHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF BIOCHARS BASED ON DIFFERENT TEMPERATURES	80
4.1	Introduction	80
	4.1.1 Preliminary Analysis	80
	4.1.2 Porous structure Analysis	85
	4.1.3 Morphology of Biochars	88
4.2	Physico-Chemical And Structural Characterization Of Biochar Based On Different Temperatures	90
	4.2.1 Conceptual Framework	90
4.3	Physical properties	91
	4.3.1 Surface area (SA), pore Volume and Production Temperature	91
	4.3.2 Particle size Distribution and Production Temperature	94
4.4	Biochar Chemical Properties	98
4.5	Soil carbon Storage Value	104
	4.5.1 The online International Biochar Initiative biochar classification tool reveals	

	differences between relative C content (%) at different production temperature.	104
4.6	Elemental Ratios	108
4.6.1	van Krevelen diagram: exploiting the interactions at lower H/Corg and O/Corg ratios reveal mechanism of greater C storage value at HPT	110
4.6.2	Mineral Nutrients and Production Temperature	112
4.6.3	Zeta potential (ZP) value and production temperature	114
4.6.4	CEC and Exchangeable Bases and Production Temperature	115
4.7	Structural Properties	117
4.7.1	Cross Polarization Magic Angle Spinning, ¹³ C NMR Spectra.	117
4.7.2	Mechanism for the Identification of Carbon Storage Value between Production Temperatures: CPMAS ¹³ C NMR Spectra- C Storage Class-Van Krevelen Diagram Model	120
4.7.3	ATR-FTIR Analysis	121
4.7.4	TGA	125
4.8	Conclusions	128
5	QUALITY ASSESSMENT OF BIOCHARS BASED ON ITS ADVANCED CHEMICAL PROPERTIES	129
5.1	Introduction	129
5.2	Toxic and Nontoxic Elemental Enrichment in Biochar at different Production Temperatures	130
5.2.1	Conceptual Framework:	130
5.3	Toxic and Nontoxic elemental Characteristics	131
5.3.1	Toxic elemental (TE) enrichment and biochar production temperature	131

5.3.2	Low production temperatures and individual toxic element enrichment	133
5.3.3	High Production Temperature and Individual Toxic Elemental Enrichment	136
5.4	Comparison of toxic element enrichment with IBI – guidelines	137
5.5	The Relationship between Production Temperatures, Summation Toxic Elemental Enrichment, Mass Loss (%) and Ash Content (%).	139
5.5.1	Mass loss stages	141
5.5.2	Mechanism	143
5.6	Nontoxic Elemental Enrichment and Production Temperatures	144
5.6.1	Low and High Production Temperatures and Individual Non Toxic Elemental Enrichment	146
5.6.2	Total Nontoxic Elemental Enrichment between Production Temperatures	147
5.7	Biochar quality Assessment using Geochemical Approach	148
5.7.1	Enrichment Factor (EF) and Contamination Degree (CD)	148
5.7.2	Contamination Factor	149
5.7.3	Contamination Degree	149
5.7.4	Enrichment Factor (EF)	150
5.8	Divergent Effects of Production Temperature on The Distribution and Stability of Metals in Biochar by Chemometric, Chemical and XPS Techniques	154
5.8.1	Changes in individual metal concentrations and production temperature	154
5.8.2	Assumptions for multivariate test	154
5.8.3	Chemometric techniques	157
5.8.4	Discriminant analysis (DA)	158

5.8.5	Principal component analysis (PCA) and factor analysis (FA)	162
5.8.6	Cluster analysis (Hierarchical Agglomerative Cluster Analysis-HACA)	166
5.9	Mechanisms of distribution	168
5.10	Chemical Techniques	174
5.11	Analytical Techniques	176
5.11.1	Chemical states in the CS and CSBs	182
5.12	Mechanisms of Stability of Toxic Heavy Metals in Csb:	196
5.12.1	Distribution of metals at LPT	197
5.12.2	Distribution of metals at HPT	198
5.13	Conclusions	200
6	ADVANCED PHYSICAL PROPERTIES BASED ON SURFACE CHARACTERIZATION OF BIOCHARS	201
6.1	Introduction	201
6.2	The influence of production temperatures on BET N ₂ adsorption isotherm	202
6.2.1	The influence of production temperatures on porosity characterization	205
6.3	The Influence of Production Temperatures on Pore Size Data	210
6.3.1	Porosity Models	210
6.3.2	The influence of production temperatures on pore volume data	211
6.4	The influence of production temperatures on BET constant (C) data	213
6.4.1	Mechanisms of pore blocking in biochar, the role of mid production temperatures	213
6.4.2	Weight loss behaviour/data between production temperatures	216
6.4.3	Macropore surface analysis (FESEM images).	217

6.4.4	XRD diffraction patterns of CS0 and CSBs	221
6.4.5	Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM) Analysis	224
6.5	Conclusions	226
7	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK	227
7.2	Future Work	230
	REFERENCES	231
	Appendices A-D	271-295

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	List of instruments used and there locations	67
3.2	Experiments used for biochar characterization	68
3.3	Recovery of PACS-2 certified reference material for trace and toxic heavy metals	75
3.4	Recovery of PACS-2 certified reference material for toxic and nontoxic elements	77
4.1	Total C (%) content in CSBs; determined by Leco CNS Analyzer	84
4.2	FTIR Absorption bands present in the spectra of biochar	87
4.3	Total specific surface area based on BET method, surface area distribution in microporosity (< 2 nm) based on DFT method, pores < 2 nm based on the BET method, pores > 2 nm based on the methods DFT and BJH	89
4.4	Total pore volume; single point (adsorption and desorption) measured at a partial pressure of 0.99, pore volume distribution in microporosity based on the DFT method and pore volume based on the t-plot method, pores > 2 nm based on the DFT method	89
4.5	Biochar surface area and pore volume at different production temperatures	96
4.6	Biochar particle sizes at different production temperatures	99
4.7	The effects of production temperature on International Biochar Initiative carbon storage classification	103
4.8	Elemental ratios of CS0 and CSB	107

4.9	Discriminant tests for mineral nutrients	112
4.10	Discriminant tests for CEC and exchangeable bases characteristics	116
4.11	NMR chemical shift values present in the spectra of biochar	120
4.12	ATR-FTIR absorption bands present in the spectra of biochar	123
4.13	Mass loss progression steps and % mass loss at each step	127
5.1	Concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$) of TEs in CS and CSBs.	136
5.2	The concentrations of TEs in CS and CSBs; comparison with IBI standards	142
5.3	Correlation between TC (%), CEC, Ash content (%), ΣTE , ΣNTE and Mass loss (%)	144
5.4	Summation toxic element concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$) between production temperatures	145
5.5	Decomposition characteristics of CS and CSBs at S4 (loss of inorganic matter)	147
5.6	Concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$) of nontoxic elements in CSBs	150
5.7	Summation nontoxic element concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$) between production temperatures	153
5.8	Enrichment factor (EF) of different toxic elements in biochar	156
5.9	Enrichment factor (EF) of different nontoxic elements in biochar	156
5.10	Contamination degree (Cd) of toxic elements in biochar	158
5.11	Contamination degree (Cd) of nontoxic elements in biochar	158
5.13	Group statistics and tests of equality of group means for toxic metal concentrations in CS and CSBs	161
5.14	Stepwise discriminant statistics	163
5.15	Loadings of standardized canonical discriminant functions coefficient	163
5.16	Classification results	166
5.17	Loadings of metals and Na in biochar after varimax orthogonal rotation (significant factor loadings > 0.75)	168

	shown in bold)	
5.18	Two distribution pathways established by chemometric techniques and possible underlying mechanisms	175
5.19	Comparison of the colour changes in the digestate with Σ metal concentrations	180
5.20	Chemical states and the proportion of functional groups based on peak areas for the XPS wide scan spectra of the CSBs	186
5.21	Chemical states of carbon (C 1s) and proportion of functional groups based on peak areas and binding energies (eV) from deconvoluted XPS spectra of CSBs	191
5.22	Chemical states of Oxygen containing functional groups (O1s) and proportion of functional groups based on peak areas and binding energies (eV) from deconvoluted XPS spectra of CSBs	195
5.23	Chemical states of Si 2p and proportion of Si in the chemical environment based on peak areas and binding energies (eV) from deconvoluted XPS spectra of CSBs	200
5.24	Chemical states of Na 1s and proportion of Na in the chemical environment based on peak areas and binding energies (eV) from deconvoluted XPS spectra of CSBs	201
5.25	Comparison of the metal concentrations in the CS0 and CSBs with the IBI guidelines	205
6.1	Total specific surface area based on the BET method, surface area distribution in microporosity based on the t-plot method, external surface area distribution in mesoporosity, surface area distribution in mesoporosity (adsorption and desorption) based on the BJH method, surface area distribution in microporosity based on the DFT method, pores < 2 nm based on the DFT method	213
6.2	Total pore volume determined at a partial pressure of 0.99, total pore volume based on the BJH method, pore volume distribution in microporosity based on the t-plot and DFT	213

	methods, pore size distribution according to the DFT and BJH methods	
6.3	Biochar Surface areas from different feedstock produced at relative production temperatures	216
6.4	BET constant for the CSBs	221
6.5	Metal concentrations in CSBs as determined by ICP-OES	223
6.6	Weight loss during thermal gravimetric analysis of CSBs	225
6.7	TEM internal pore sizes measured for the different production temperatures	234
1	Descriptive statistics of physicochemical parameters in CS and CSBs	286
2	Descriptive statistics of toxic elemental concentrations in CS and CSBs	291
3	Descriptive statistics for nontoxic elemental concentrations in the CS and CSBs	296
4	Descriptive statistics for trace and toxic heavy metal concentrations in CS and CS biochars	303

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Examples of Chemical Structures of some LMW PAHs	11
2.2	Chemical Structures of some Cancer-Causing PAHs	12
2.3	Triphasic concept of PAH degradation in the presence of biochar	40
4.1	FTIR spectra for (a) CS650, (b) CS450, (c) CS350	86
4.2	FESEM micrograph of coconut shell biochar (CSB): c. 650°C, (granular) d. 650°C (powdered) with magnification 1000 x	92
4.3	Proposed graphical representation of the HPT and LPT pathways for PAH remediation in soils and related characterization	94
4.4	Comparison of the particle size distribution of the tested biochars for CS350 (A), CS450 (B), CS650 (C)	100
4.5	van Krevelen Diagram of CS0 and CSBs	114
4.6	Relationship between H/C, O/C and C storage value	115
4.7	Zeta Potential of CS350 (A) CS450 (B) CS650 (C)	119
4.8	CPMAS, ¹³ C NMR spectra of CSBs ; CS350 (A), CS450 (B), and CS650 (C)	122
4.9	FTIR spectra of the CS and CSB (i) CS0, (ii) CS350, (iii) CS450 (iv) CS650	126
4.10	Thermogravimetric (TG) and derivative thermogravimetric (DTG) curves of CS0 (A), CS350 (B), CS450 (C), CS650 (D)	130

5.1	The concept of biochar quality and its utilization on soil health	135
5.2	Plot of canonical discriminant function for heavy metals: separating the metals into high and low production temperatures in F1 and F2, respectively	167
5.3	Biplot of scores and loadings for the first two principal components and heavy metal patterns in CS and CSBs	170
5.4	Dendrogram of clustering of metals according to production temperatures in CS and CSBs using within groups method of linkage and Pearson's correlation as the measure of intervals	172
5.5	Dendrogram of clustering of metals production temperatures for CS and CSBs using between groups method of linkage and Chi-square Counts as the measure of intervals	173
5.6	CSB chemical colour changes in digestate and supernatant following peroxide reaction (H_2O_2)	180
5.7	Wide scan XPS spectra of CS0 (A), CS350 (B), CS450 (C) and CS650 (D)	185
5.8	High-resolution XPS spectra of C1s peak and fitting curves of CS0 (A), CS350 (B), CS450 (C) and CS650 (D)	190
5.9	High-resolution XPS spectra of O1s peak and fitting curves of CS0 (A), CS350 (B), CS450 (C) and CS650 (D)	194
5.10	High-resolution XPS spectra of Si 2p peak and fitting curves of CS0 (A), CS350 (B) CS450 (C) and CS650 (D)	199
6.1	BET Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms for CSBs	208
6.2	Comparison of the pore size distributions of CS350 (A), CS450 (B), CS650 (C) and CS350 (2A), CS450 (2B), CS650 (2C)	214

6.3	FESEM-EDS images of different mineral phases in CSBs; CS350 (a) CS450 (b) CS650 (c)	228
6.4	XRD diffractogram of CS0 (A), CS350 (B), CS450 (C), CS650 (D)	231
6.5	TEM Images of CS350 (A), CS450 (B), CS650 (C)	233
1	Histogram showing TC(%) for (a) CS0, (b) CS350, (c) CS450 (d) CS650	287
2	Histogram showing H (%) (a) CS0, (b) CS350, (c) CS450, (c) CS650	288
3	Histogram showing TN (%) (a) CS0, (b) CS350, (c) CS450, (d) CS650	288
4	Histogram showing O (%) (a) CS0, (b) CS350, (c) CS450, (d) CS650	289
5	Histogram showing extractable P ($\mu\text{g/g}$) (a) CS0, (b) CS350, (c) CS450, (d) CS650	289
6	Histogram for individual toxic elements in the CS0	292
7	Histogram for individual toxic elements in CS350	293
8	Histogram for individual toxic elements in the CS450	294
9	Histogram for individual toxic elements in the CS650	295
10	Histogram for the individual nontoxic elements in the CS0	298
11	Histogram for individual nontoxic elements in the CS350	299
12	Histogram for individual nontoxic elements in the CS450	300
13	Histogram for individual nontoxic elements in the CS650	301
14	Histogram showing the distribution of trace and toxic heavy metals in CS0	305
15	Histogram showing the distribution of trace and toxic heavy metals in CS350	306
16	Histogram showing the distribution of trace and toxic heavy metals in CS450	307

17

Histogram showing the distribution of trace and toxic heavy metals in CS650

308

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AC	-	Activated Carbon
ADS-CSA	-	Adsorption-Cumulative Surface Area
AEC	-	Anion Exchange Capacity
ARISA	-	Automated ribosomal intergenic spacer analysis
ASTM	-	American Society for Testing and Materials
ATR	-	Attenuated Total Reflectance Spectroscopy
BCM	-	Biocarbon Mineral
BET	-	Brunauer Emmet Teller
BJH	-	Barret Joyner Halenda
BTEX	-	Benzene toluene ethylbenzene xylene
C	-	Constant
CA	-	Cluster Analysis
CCME	-	Canadian Council of Ministers of Environment
CD	-	Contamination Degree
CDFC	-	Canonical Discriminant Function Coefficient
CEC	-	Cation Exchange Capacity
CF		Contamination Factor
C/N Ratio	-	Carbon/Nitrogen Ratio
CNS	-	Carbon Nitrogen Sulphur
Corg	-	Organic Carbon
CRM	-	Certified Reference Material
CSB	-	Coconut Shell Biochar
CS	-	Coconut Shell
CVAAS	-	Cold Vapour Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy
CPMAS	-	Cross Polarization Magic Angle Spinning
DA	-	Discriminant Analysis

Df	-	Degree of Freedom
DF	-	Discriminant Function
DFT	-	Density Functional Theory
DLD	-	Delay Line Detector
DNA	-	Deoxyribonucleic Acid
DSC	-	Differential Scanning Calorimeter
DTG	-	Derivative Thermo Gravimetric
EC	-	Electrical Conductivity
EDXRF	-	Energy Dispersive X-ray Fluorescence Spectroscopy
EF	-	Enrichment Factor
EP	-	Extractable Phosphorus
EPA	-	Environmental Protection agency
ESA	-	External Surface Area
F	-	Function
FESEM	-	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy
FL	-	Feed Lot
FTIR	-	Fourier Transform Infra-Red
GBC	-	Granular Biochar
GCMS	-	Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometer
HACA	-	Hierarchical Agglomerative Cluster
HCA	-	Hierarchical Cluster Analysis
HBP	-	High Boiling Point
HPLC	-	High-Performance Liquid Chromatography
HMW	-	High Molecular Weight
HPT	-	High Production Temperature
HRPP	-	High Range Powdered Pillow
IBI	-	International Biochar Initiative
IC	-	Inorganic Carbon
ICP-MS	-	Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectroscopy
ICP-OES	-	Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectroscopy
IUPAC	-	International union of pure and applied chemistry
Kv	-	Kilo Volts
KMO	-	Kaiser Meyer Olkin

MA	-	Mercury Analyser
MDA	-	Multiple Discriminant Analysis
MPA	-	Micropore Area
MPV	-	Micropore Volume
n	-	Number of Replicates
Nd	-	Not Determined
NIC	-	Nippon Instruments Corporation
NMR	-	Nuclear Magnetic Resonance
NTE	-	Non Toxic Elements
OC	-	Organic Carbon
PACS2	-	Protective Action criteria for Chemicals
PAHs	-	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons
PBC	-	Powdered biochar
PCA	-	Principal Component Analysis
PCR	-	Polymerase Chain Reaction
PHs	-	Petroleum Hydrocarbons
PL	-	Poultry Litter
PLFA	-	Phospholipid Fatty Acid
PM	-	Poultry Manure
ppb	-	Parts Per Billion
ppm	-	Parts Per Million
PT	-	Production Temperature
qPCR	-	Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction
NTE	-	Nontoxic Elements
R _{CSB}	-	Biochar Recovery
R _C	-	Carbon Recovery
RIM	-	Resident Inorganic Matter
ROM	-	Resident Organic Matter
rRNA	-	Ribosomal Ribonucleic Acid
RT	-	Room Temperature
S	-	Step
SA	-	Surface Area
SD	-	Standard Deviation

SE	-	Standard Error
SOC	-	Soil Organic Carbon
SSA	-	Specific Surface Area
SS	-	Swine Solid
SPA	-	Single Point Adsorption
SPSA	-	Single Point Surface Area
SPSS	-	Statistical Package for Social Science
SW	-	Solid Waste
SW	-	Shapiro-Wilks
TA	-	Thermal Analysis
TE	-	Toxic Elements
TG	-	Thermo Gravimetric
TGA	-	Thermo Gravimetric Analysis
TC	-	Total Carbon
TOC	-	Total Organic Carbon
TL	-	Turkey Litter
TM	-	Transmission Electron Microscope
TN	-	Total Nitrogen
TPH	-	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons
TPAH	-	Total Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons
TRFLP	-	Terminal Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism
UP	-	Ultra-Pure
USDA	-	United States Department of Agriculture
USEPA	-	United States Environmental Protection Agency
VF	-	Varimax Factor
VOC	-	Volatile Organic Carbon
VP	-	Vapour Pressure
VP	-	Variable Pressure
VPH	-	Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons
Vs	-	Versus
W_{cs}	-	Weight of Un Charred Coconut Shell
W_{CSB}	-	Weight of Charred Coconut Shell Biochar
WHC	-	Water Holding Capacity

- XPS - X-ray photo Electron Spectroscopy
- ZP - Zeta Potential

LIST OF SYMBOLS

$\Delta H_{(\text{hyd})}$	-	Enthalpy of Hydration
d_{10}	-	Deuterated
D10	-	10% of sample mass have diameter < 10 μm
D50	-	50% of sample mass have diameter < 50 μm
D90	-	90% of sample mass have diameter < 90 μm
μ	-	Micron
MHz	-	Mega Hertz
$SA_{\mu\text{P}}$	-	Micropore surface area
$V_{\mu\text{P}}$	-	Micropore volume
Π	-	Pi
$s\text{BC}_{+100}$	-	Stock Biochar $C_{+100 \text{ years}}$
Σ	-	Summation
Hz	-	Unit of frequency
Λ	-	Wilks' Lambda

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Descriptive Statistics for Chapter 4	271
B	Descriptive Statistics for Chapter 5	276
C	Descriptive Statistics for Chapter 6	288
D	Publications	295

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

The exploration and exploitation of oil resources, due to the continuous demand for energy, have led to an increase in soil contamination (Leung *et al.*, 2007). Additionally, spills and leaks of Petroleum Hydrocarbons originating from storage tanks and delivery facilities result in soil pollution and this may percolate into ground water (Urum *et al.*, 2006). Petroleum hydrocarbons such as the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are known to be inert but they can be activated by physical and chemical reactions to become toxic; resulting in DNA damage, mutations, cancer and tumours (Fu *et al.*, 2012, Harvey, 1991). Wilson and Jones (1993) had reported that PAHs are teratogenic, therefore, they can hinder the development of the foetus, resulting in deformities in newly born children. As a result, their presence in soils is handled using compound specific approach or risk evaluation (Edwards *et al.*, 1997).

The soil is the major medium of uptake of PAH by plants and animals that feed on the plants (Phillips, 1999, Diggs *et al.*, 2011), both of which are in turn consumed by humans. For example, the authors reported that benzo[a]pyrene can be found in concentrations of up to 2-500 ng/day in human diets. PAHs are usually the first contaminants of investigation following a spill because their presence in soils regardless of concentration will warrant remediation due to their toxicity Edwards *et al.* (1997) and the risk posed by PAH is mostly due to the dissolved concentrations (Oleszczuk *et al.*, 2012).

The use of either activated carbon (AC) or biochar reduces the transport of PAH in soils (Oleszczuk *et al.*, 2012). In particular, Oleszczuk *et al.* (2012) found that amendment with 5% AC was able to bind 90% of PAHs in sewage sludge, but biochar resulted into a longer time period to bind the PAHs. Nonetheless, the use of AC has been reported to have a negative impact on the environment over time Sparrevik *et al.* (2011b) and AC may not be able to impact beyond sorption of PAHs, unlike biochar that can give simultaneous impact on sorption and biodegradation. Additionally, biochar may be more beneficial to the environment and cheaper (Oleszczuk *et al.*, 2012, Cornelissen *et al.*, 2005b) than AC for soil remediation (Sparrevik *et al.*, 2011b). Also, the use of manures and composts may lead to pollution of streams and groundwater (Barrow, 2012). Pathogens, heavy metals and pharmaceutical products may reside in manures and composts, in addition to the evolution of ammonia and methane which increases the effect of greenhouse gases (Barrow, 2012).

Beesley *et al.* (2011b), defined biochars as biological residues, pyrolyzed under anoxic conditions, to yield porous, less compact, carbon-rich material. Due to their large surface areas and cation exchange capacities, they can sorb organic compounds as much as 10-1000 times greater than organic matter, thereby decreasing their transport in contaminated soils (Cornelissen *et al.*, 2005b). Biochar may also serve to get rid of wastes such as agricultural, industrial, sewage, refuse, livestock and manure (Barrow, 2012).

In this study, biochar is defined as biological materials produced in the absence of oxygen, at temperatures below 700°C, Lehannes and Joseph (2009), to generate, permeable, less dense, carbon-rich product. As a result, of their surface areas, aromatic and aliphatic structures; which are mostly a function of production temperature, they can retain PAHs 10-1000 times greater than organic matter. Also due to their recalcitrance, pore structures and nutrient properties, they can influence microbial degradation of PAHs in soils. As a result, there is a need to understand how biochar production temperature influences hydrocarbon fate in soils.

Further to the above, the rapid release of oil at accidental spills can cause environmental damage if not immediately contained. Conventional methods such as natural dispersion or oil spill chemicals are usually, used, to reduce the impact of oil contamination in seawater. However, the action results in some of the oil being deposited on the soil by the dispersion process. Further, in developing countries, these oil-polluted soils are close to farmlands, surface water sources and have the potential to leach into groundwater. For example, in a preliminary survey by the author in the oil rich Niger delta area of Nigeria, it was clear that this could pose a threat to local farmers and inhabitants who rely on these soils for agricultural production and nearby surface and ground water source as a means of livelihood.

As a result, normal bioremediation strategies such as the use of fertilizers and commercial bioremediation agents are not appropriate for these kinds of areas. Moreover, for effective bioremediation by bacteria, the PAH contaminants should not be present at toxic concentrations to the microbes (Leung *et al.*, 2007). An opposing strategy, involving amendment with biochar may be a better strategy for bioremediation by bacteria. The amendment with biochar will lead to a rapid sorption of spilt oil. Subsequently, the oil will form bound residues within the biochar, leading to a rapid risk reduction against uptake of PAHs into crops and livestock. After the rapid sorption of PAHs, a subsequent desorption process will lead to a long-term bioremediation process.

1.2 Problem statement

Studies by Hamer *et al.* (2004) found that addition of biochar may support rather than inhibit microbial degradation of organic compounds in soils. Previous work with biochar to study PAHs fate processes have relied only on information on biochar interaction with microbes without any reference to the influence of production temperature, which can give simultaneous impact on sorption and biodegradation. In other words these studies either relied on one or several different production temperatures without acknowledging the mechanisms behind the use of biochar at those production temperatures. For example, in the paper by Bushnaf *et al.*

(2011b) using fast pyrolysis, they investigated the influence of biochar application rate i.e. 2% biochar addition in soils to stimulate biodegradation of PAHs and compared with those of non-amended soils. The outcome was that the degradation of the low molecular weight alkanes influenced the degradation of the PAHs; no differences were found between 2% biochar additions and the non-amended soil control. In the paper by Qin *et al.* (2013b), a slow pyrolysis biochar at 500°C, was applied after 80 days of soil contamination, to test the effect of time of application on PAHs degradation. The outcome was that the PAHs degraded faster from the 80th day than those applied at day zero, due to reduction in toxic components of the oil i.e. PAHs at day 80. In the paper by Chen *et al.* (2012a) the influence of a mixture of biochar and plant residues as carriers to stimulate biodegradation of PAHs by microbes was investigated at 100, 300, 400 and 700°C, respectively using slow pyrolysis biochar. The outcome was that the 400°C biochar-plant residue carrier mixture stimulated the degradation of the PAHs. In another set up, they also used the same carrier to study sorption-biodegradation of PAHs with and or without out biochar. The outcome was that the biochar carrier stimulated the degradation of more of the PAHs relative to the plant residue-bacteria carrier.

In order to understand the contribution of the current thesis, the demerits of the studies mentioned above are highlighted. In the paper by Bushnaf *et al.* (2011b), no reason was given for adopting the 2% biochar application rate, i.e. no mechanism was pointed out on how biochar of 800°C at the rate of 2% can stimulate the degradation of PAHs. In the past, application rates were mainly for fertility trials using inorganic fertilizers to determine the rate at which the plant will grow faster. In PAH remediation, nutrients are needed in a stoichiometric ratio of C:N: P; 100:10:1 for microbial growth and metabolism but the study was not referring to nutrient nitrogen or this ratio since only one production temperature (800°C) and one application rate (2%) were used hence no hypothesis was tested. Unfortunately, at 700- 800°C, nutrient nitrogen is lost by volatilization, therefore, there was no scientific idea or bases behind the use of the 800°C biochar for degradation when nutrient nitrogen needed for microbial growth and metabolism is absent. In the paper by Qin *et al.* (2013b), the reason for adopting the time point of 80 days for application was to reduce PAHs toxicity, before adding the biochar. With respect to time, just like 2% application rate, time is not scientific; also no hypothesis can be

tested at one time point. Again the advantage of adding biochar for rapid sorption like in the case of an accidental spill has been defeated if an investigator has to wait for 80 days before applying the biochar to achieve results. In the paper by (Chen *et al.*, 2012a), although several production temperatures were used and hence hypothesis tested, the fact that they used biochar-plant residue carrier mixture for sorption-biodegradation, implies that biochar cannot independently provide habitat and nutrients for microbes except in the presence of a plant residue part-carrier. In other words biochar cannot increase microbial presence except when mixed with plant residue as a substrate. Again, they used 700°C production temperatures at which nutrient nitrogen is volatilized. Similarly, at 400°C and below, aromaticity is not yet, attained since carbonization, which is responsible for aromaticity and nanopore formation that results in the stronger binding of PAHs are achieved only at high production temperatures. In conclusion, the studies mentioned above did not carry out any advanced characterization to unlock these characteristics.

Conversely, the studies mentioned above cannot adequately explain the scientific mechanisms that may underpin the use of biochar for soil amendment to stimulate biodegradation of PAHs in soils. This is because those studies are not different from normal biostimulation studies where manures and fertilizers have, been, used to enrich microbial presences in soils. In this study, to understand the scientific mechanisms behind the use of biochar, which, will in turn, unlock the mechanisms on how biochar can give simultaneous impact on sorption and biodegradation. The idea of Thies and Rillig (2009a) on the behaviour of biochar of fast pyrolysis at different production temperatures was relied upon and extrapolated to PAH using biochar of slow pyrolysis. It is worth noting that Thies and Rillig (2009a) made no mention of PAHs while stating this behaviour of biochar but they were rather referring to the general behaviour of biochar between production temperatures and how this can influence their activities in soil towards microbes. Again, no mention was made of sorption either. In this study, this idea was now extrapolated to PAHs by way of advancing or moving the knowledge forward by building on the idea of Thies and Rillig (2009a). Since the studies mentioned above have continued to use biochar as fertilizers for normal biostimulation or bioaugmentation, which was the common knowledge in the past.

Consequently, the probable influence of biochar production temperature has been highlighted by Thies and Rillig (2009a), who reported that brief carbonization and low production temperature (LPT) generates residual bio-oils and other residues on biochar surfaces. It has also been reported that these materials may be made up of substrates that can aid microbial growth and metabolism (Ogawa, 1994). Further, these bio-oils, which are mostly aliphatic, can be biodegraded in a short time when incorporated into the soil. In contrast, it was found that high production temperature (HPT) biochars have greater aromatic structures and were abiotically degraded at a faster rate than biochars produced at LPTs with mainly aliphatic structures (Lehmann *et al.*, 2009a).

In this study, it was therefore, hypothesized that using slow pyrolysis, which is not a brief carbonization, soil amendment with HPT biochar is expected to stimulate biodegradation and oxidation of PAH, contaminated, soils at a faster rate compared to amendment with LPT biochar. This is because at HPT, it is assumed that the recalcitrant aromatic structures and lack of bio-oils will result in the petrogenic PAHs alone to be the major substrate for the microbes to act on, while at LPT, the microbes will act on both the petrogenic PAH and degradable aliphatic compounds (bio-oils), thereby decreasing the rate of biodegradation at LPT. To date, no attempt has been made to investigate the influence that biochar production temperature may have to stimulate the biodegradation of PAHs in soils by way of the stated mechanism. Again, due to the presence of the aromatic structures, biochar can bind PAHs and simultaneously, the aromatic recalcitrance will result in the PAHs been the only available substrate for the microbes to act on thereby the biodegradation will proceed at a faster rate.

In this study, we investigated the effect of production temperature on the physicochemical characteristics of biochars that can influence the chemical and biological degradation of PAHs in soils simultaneously and no study has investigated the physicochemical characteristics of biochar and linked it to providing a solution to sorption and biodegradation simultaneously. This was also pointed out by Saikia *et al.* (2015) who reported that previous studies focused on generic characterization of

biochars most of which were not directed towards a solution to environmental problems.

1.3 Research Objectives

The objective of this research is to investigate the influence of biochar production temperature on the physicochemical properties of biochar that can result in a rapid chemical and biological treatment for hydrocarbon clean up with long-term impact to achieve permissible soil quality limits. In this way, an effective strategy is developed that ensures cleanup levels able to maintain soil reuse for farming activities and clean water quality in the vicinity of a spill. Therefore, the following are the objectives of this research:

- i. To determine the effect of production temperature on the physicochemical properties of coconut shell biochar.
- ii. To determine the effect of production temperature on the quality assessment of coconut shell biochar based on its advanced chemical properties.
- iii. To determine the effect of production temperature on the surface characterization of coconut shell biochar based on its advanced physical properties.

This study addresses the following research questions: What is the effect of production temperature on the physicochemical parameters of biochar that can influence PAHs sorption and biodegradation simultaneously? What is the effect of production temperature on the concentration of toxic and nontoxic elements in biochar? What is the effect of production temperature on the heavy metal concentrations in biochar? What is the effect of production temperature on the surface characterization of biochar?

1.4 Scope

The project addresses the following issues: (1) Biochar characteristics at 2 levels; high (650°C) and low (450 and 350°C) production temperatures for relevant characteristics to enhance rapid sorption and simultaneously subsequent biodegradation of PAHs in soil. (2) Toxicant assessment for biochar quality to be used as a soil application (3) Surface characterization of biochar for its surface area and pore structures for rapid sorption of PAHs.

1.5 Research significance

Previous fate studies/processes using biochar, had always, been carried out separately. For example, most sorption studies had always made provision to inhibit biodegradation during sorption; thus implying that sorption and biodegradation occur simultaneously. Similarly, biodegradation had always, been studied separately but little or no attempt had been made to both study biodegradation and sorption simultaneously. This has led to the critical problem that no study has linked biochar characterization to its ability to give simultaneous impact on sorption and biodegradation. As a result, this has resulted in the independent characterization of biochars separately for sorption and most recently for biodegradation studies. Again, most of the characterizations for sorption were based on coconut shell AC rather than coconut shell biochar, due to lack of knowledge of the fact that biochars can give simultaneous impact on sorption and biodegradation.

Secondly, the bioremediation processes are relatively slow and may not be able to compete with the rapid release of petrogenic PAHs into the soil at accidental spills. Rapid sorption of such PAHs and subsequent slow bioremediation might be a good concept to overcome the problem of accidental oil spills. As a result, the relevant physicochemical characteristics of biochar that will ensure rapid sorption and biodegradation simultaneously needs to be better understood. In this study, a triphasic concept is proposed on how biochar can influence rapid PAH sorption, also, reduce volatilization and subsequent biodegradation by bacteria in soils: (i) The

biochar will sorb the spilt PAH thereby reducing transport and volatilization (risks)
(ii) The biochar will positively modify the soil environment; pH, nutrients, moisture, oxygen, microbial community and habitation. (iii) The sorbed PAH will be desorbed over time and subsequently degraded by microbes to release CO₂ and H₂O, leading to long-term controlled bioremediation.

Thirdly, most studies using biochar for sorption and biodegradation had always ignored the toxicant assessment thereby disregarding the risks that may be posed by the application of the biochar to the soil. The matter, is, made, worst by the fact that such assessments are only limited in scope by comparison of the outcomes with established quality assessment guidelines. Whereas, ignoring the processes and mechanisms, responsible for the quality.

Finally, the optimum production temperature for biochar to ensure rapid sorption and stimulation of bioremediation by bacteria simultaneously will be classified.

REFERENCES

- Agresti, A 1996. *An introduction to categorical data analysis*, Wiley New York.
- Ahmad, M, Ok, YS, Kim, B-Y, Ahn, J-H, Lee, YH, Zhang, M, Moon, DH, Al-Wabel, MI & Lee, SS 2016. Impact of soybean stover-and pine needle-derived biochars on Pb and As mobility, microbial community, and carbon stability in a contaminated agricultural soil. *Journal of environmental management*, 166, 131-139.
- Albuquerque, JA, Sánchez, ME, Mora, M & Barrón, V 2014. Slow pyrolysis of relevant biomasses in the Mediterranean basin. Part 2. Char characterisation for carbon sequestration and agricultural uses. *Journal of Cleaner Production*.
- Alexander, M 2000. Aging, bioavailability, and overestimation of risk from environmental pollutants. *Environmental science & technology*, 34, 4259-4265.
- Ali, I, Asim, M & Khan, TA 2012. Low cost adsorbents for the removal of organic pollutants from wastewater. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 113, 170-183.
- Allen-King, RM, Grathwohl, P & Ball, WP 2002. New modeling paradigms for the sorption of hydrophobic organic chemicals to heterogeneous carbonaceous matter in soils, sediments, and rocks. *Advances in Water Resources*, 25, 985-1016.
- Alloway, BJ 1990. *Heavy metals in soils*, Blackie & Son Ltd.
- Almendros, G, Knicker, H & González-Vila, FJ 2003. Rearrangement of carbon and nitrogen forms in peat after progressive thermal oxidation as determined by solid-state ¹³C-and ¹⁵N-NMR spectroscopy. *Organic Geochemistry*, 34, 1559-1568.

- Amonette, JE & Joseph, S 2009a. Characteristics of biochar: Microchemical properties. *Biochar for environmental management: Science and technology. Earthscan, London*, 33-52.
- Anderson, CR, Condrón, LM, Clough, TJ, Fiers, M, Stewart, A, Hill, RA & Sherlock, RR 2011. Biochar induced soil microbial community change: implications for biogeochemical cycling of carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus. *Pedobiologia*, 54, 309-320.
- Ang, SK & Abd-Aziz, S 2015. Potential Uses of Xylanase-Rich Lignocellulolytic Enzymes Cocktail for Oil Palm Trunk (OPT) Degradation and Lignocellulosic Ethanol Production. *Energy & Fuels*, 29, 5103-5116.
- Antal, MJ, Allen, SG, Dai, X, Shimizu, B, Tam, MS & Grønli, M 2000. Attainment of the theoretical yield of carbon from biomass. *Industrial & engineering chemistry research*, 39, 4024-4031.
- Antal, MJ & Grønli, M 2003a. The Art, Science, and Technology of Charcoal Production. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 42, 1619-1640.
- Anyika, C, Abdul Majid, Z, Ibrahim, Z, Zakaria, M & Yahya, A 2015. The impact of biochars on sorption and biodegradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in soils—a review. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 22, 3314-3341.
- Anyika, C, Majid, ZA, Isa, AB, Ismail, N, Zakaria, MP & Yahya, A 2016. Toxic and nontoxic elemental enrichment in biochar at different production temperatures. *Journal of Cleaner Production*.
- Asada, T, Ishihara, S, Yamane, T, Toba, A, Yamada, A & Oikawa, K 2002. Science of Bamboo Charcoal: Study on Carbonizing Temperature of Bamboo Charcoal and Removal Capability of Harmful Gases. *Journal of Health Science*, 48, 473-479.
- ASTM.E1755-01 2015. Standard test method for ash in biomass.
- Atlas, RM & Bartha, R 1992. Hydrocarbon Biodegradation and Oil Spill Bioremediation. *In: Marshall, KC (ed.) Advances in Microbial Ecology*. Boston, MA: Springer US.
- Atlas, RM & Hazen, TC 2011. Oil Biodegradation and Bioremediation: A Tale of the Two Worst Spills in U.S. History. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 45, 6709-6715.

- Bagreev, A, Bandosz, TJ & Locke, DC 2001. Pore structure and surface chemistry of adsorbents obtained by pyrolysis of sewage sludge-derived fertilizer. *Carbon*, 39, 1971-1979.
- Bai, SH, Blumfield, TJ & Reverchon, F 2014. The impact of mulch type on soil organic carbon and nitrogen pools in a sloping site. *Biology and fertility of soils*, 50, 37-44.
- Bailey, VL, Fansler, SJ, Smith, JL & Bolton Jr, H 2011. Reconciling apparent variability in effects of biochar amendment on soil enzyme activities by assay optimization. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 43, 296-301.
- Baker, JE & Eisenreich, SJ 1990. Concentrations and fluxes of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and polychlorinated biphenyls across the air-water interface of Lake Superior. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 24, 342-352.
- Baldock, JA & Smernik, RJ 2002a. Chemical composition and bioavailability of thermally altered *Pinus resinosa* (Red pine) wood. *Organic Geochemistry*, 33, 1093-1109.
- Ball, P, MacKenzie, M, DeLuca, T & Montana, W 2010. Wildfire and charcoal enhance nitrification and ammonium-oxidizing bacterial abundance in dry montane forest soils. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 39, 1243-1253.
- Barrow, C 2012. Biochar: potential for countering land degradation and for improving agriculture. *Applied Geography*, 34, 21-28.
- Bastos, A, Prodana, M, Abrantes, N, Keizer, J, Soares, A & Loureiro, S 2014. Potential risk of biochar-amended soil to aquatic systems: an evaluation based on aquatic bioassays. *Ecotoxicology*, 23, 1784-1793.
- Basu, AJ & van Zyl, DJ 2006. Industrial ecology framework for achieving cleaner production in the mining and minerals industry. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 14, 299-304.
- Baxter, LL, Miles, TR, Jenkins, BM, Milne, T, Dayton, D, Bryers, RW & Oden, LL 1998. The behavior of inorganic material in biomass-fired power boilers: field and laboratory experiences. *Fuel processing technology*, 54, 47-78.
- Beaton, J, Peterson, H & Bauer, N 1960. Some aspects of phosphate adsorption by charcoal. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 24, 340-346.
- Beesley, L, Moreno-Jimenez, E, Clemente, R, Lepp, N & Dickinson, N 2010a. Mobility of arsenic, cadmium and zinc in a multi-element contaminated soil

- profile assessed by in-situ soil pore water sampling, column leaching and sequential extraction. *Environmental Pollution*, 158, 155-160.
- Beesley, L, Moreno-Jiménez, E & Gomez-Eyles, JL 2010b. Effects of biochar and greenwaste compost amendments on mobility, bioavailability and toxicity of inorganic and organic contaminants in a multi-element polluted soil. *Environmental Pollution*, 158, 2282-2287.
- Beesley, L, Moreno-Jiménez, E, Gomez-Eyles, JL, Harris, E, Robinson, B & Sizmur, T 2011b. A review of biochars' potential role in the remediation, revegetation and restoration of contaminated soils. *Environmental pollution*, 159, 3269-3282.
- Bento, FM, Camargo, FAO, Okeke, BC & Frankenberger, WT 2005. Comparative bioremediation of soils contaminated with diesel oil by natural attenuation, biostimulation and bioaugmentation. *Bioresource Technology*, 96, 1049-1055.
- Bian, H. SPSS Discriminant Function Analysis: Office for Faculty Excellence: (<http://core.ecu.edu/ofe/StatisticsResearch/SPSS%20Discriminant%20Function%20Analysis.pdf> date accessed:[15/8/15].
- Birth, G 2003. A scheme for assessing human impacts on coastal aquatic environments using sediments. *Coastal GIS*, 14.
- Blagodatskaya, E, Yuyukina, T, Blagodatsky, S & Kuzyakov, Y 2011. Three-source-partitioning of microbial biomass and of CO₂ efflux from soil to evaluate mechanisms of priming effects. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 43, 778-786.
- Blagodatskaya, E & Kuzyakov, Y 2008. Mechanisms of real and apparent priming effects and their dependence on soil microbial biomass and community structure: critical review. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 45, 115-131.
- Boehm, H 1994. Some aspects of the surface chemistry of carbon blacks and other carbons. *Carbon*, 32, 759-769.
- Bogan, BW & Sullivan, WR 2003. Physicochemical soil parameters affecting sequestration and mycobacterial biodegradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in soil. *Chemosphere*, 52, 1717-1726.
- Bok, R 1984. A handbook of decomposition methods in analytical chemistry.
- Boman, C, Öhman, M & Nordin, A 2006. Trace element enrichment and behavior in wood pellet production and combustion processes. *Energy & fuels*, 20, 993-1000.

- Bornemann, LC, Kookana, RS & Welp, G 2007. Differential sorption behaviour of aromatic hydrocarbons on charcoals prepared at different temperatures from grass and wood. *Chemosphere*, 67, 1033-1042.
- Bourke, J, Manley-Harris, M, Fushimi, C, Dowaki, K, Nunoura, T & Antal, MJ 2007. Do All Carbonized Charcoals Have the Same Chemical Structure? 2. A Model of the Chemical Structure of Carbonized Charcoal. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 46, 5954-5967.
- Bowden-Green, B & Briens, L 2016. An investigation of drum granulation of biochar powder. *Powder Technology*, 288, 249-254.
- Braadbaart, F, Boon, J, Veld, H, David, P & Van Bergen, P 2004. Laboratory simulations of the transformation of peas as a result of heat treatment: changes of the physical and chemical properties. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 31, 821-833.
- Brändli, RC, Hartnik, T, Henriksen, T & Cornelissen, G 2008. Sorption of native polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) to black carbon and amended activated carbon in soil. *Chemosphere*, 73, 1805-1810.
- Břendová, K, Tlustoš, P, Száková, J & Habart, J 2012. Biochar properties from different materials of plant origin. *European Chemical Bulletin*, 1, 535-539.
- Brewer, CE, Schmidt-Rohr, K, Satrio, JA & Brown, RC 2009. Characterization of biochar from fast pyrolysis and gasification systems. *Environmental Progress & Sustainable Energy*, 28, 386-396.
- Brown, RA, Kercher, AK, Nguyen, TH, Nagle, DC & Ball, WP 2006. Production and characterization of synthetic wood chars for use as surrogates for natural sorbents. *Organic Geochemistry*, 37, 321-333.
- Brunauer, S 1944. *The Adsorption of Gases and Vapours*, Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- Brunauer, S, Emmett, PH & Teller, E 1938. Adsorption of gases in multimolecular layers. *Journal of the American chemical society*, 60, 309-319.
- Bulewicz, E, Evans, D & Padley, P. Effect of metallic additives on soot formation processes in flames. Symposium (International) on Combustion, 1975. Elsevier, 1461-1470.
- Bushnaf, KM, Puricelli, S, Saponaro, S & Werner, D 2011a. Effect of biochar on the fate of volatile petroleum hydrocarbons in an aerobic sandy soil. *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology*, 126, 208-215.

- Camps-Arbestain, M, Amonette, JE, Singh, B, Wang, T & Schmidt, HP 2015. A biochar classification system and associated test methods. *Biochar for environmental management: science, technology and implementation*. Taylor and Francis, London, 165-194.
- Canadian-Council-of-Ministers-of-the-Environment-(CCME): 2008. Canada-Wide Standard for Petroleum Hydrocarbons (PHC) In Soil-Technical Supplement.
- Cantrell, KB, Hunt, PG, Uchimiya, M, Novak, JM & Ro, KS 2012. Impact of pyrolysis temperature and manure source on physicochemical characteristics of biochar. *Bioresource technology*, 107, 419-428.
- Case, SD, McNamara, NP, Reay, DS & Whitaker, J 2012. The effect of biochar addition on N₂O and CO₂ emissions from a sandy loam soil—the role of soil aeration. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 51, 125-134.
- Cerqueira, SdCA, Romão, LPC, Lucas, SCO, Fraga, LE, Simões, ML, Hammer, P, Lead, JR, Mangoni, AP & Mangrich, AS 2012. Spectroscopic characterization of the reduction and removal of chromium (VI) by tropical peat and humin. *Fuel*, 91, 141-146.
- Chan, K, Van Zwieten, L, Meszaros, I, Downie, A & Joseph, S 2008. Agronomic values of greenwaste biochar as a soil amendment. *Soil Research*, 45, 629-634.
- Chan, KY & Xu, Z 2009a. Biochar: nutrient properties and their enhancement. *Biochar for environmental management: science and technology*. Earthscan, London, 67-84.
- Chatterjee, M, Silva Filho, E, Sarkar, S, Sella, S, Bhattacharya, A, Satpathy, K, Prasad, M, Chakraborty, S & Bhattacharya, B 2007. Distribution and possible source of trace elements in the sediment cores of a tropical macrotidal estuary and their ecotoxicological significance. *Environment International*, 33, 346-356.
- Chen, B & Chen, Z 2009. Sorption of naphthalene and 1-naphthol by biochars of orange peels with different pyrolytic temperatures. *Chemosphere*, 76, 127-133.
- Chen, B, Chen, Z & Lv, S 2011. A novel magnetic biochar efficiently sorbs organic pollutants and phosphate. *Bioresource technology*, 102, 716-723.

- Chen, B & Huang, W 2011. Effects of compositional heterogeneity and nanoporosity of raw and treated biomass-generated soot on adsorption and absorption of organic contaminants. *Environmental Pollution*, 159, 550-556.
- Chen, B, Xuan, X, Zhu, L, Wang, J, Gao, Y, Yang, K, Shen, X & Lou, B 2004. Distributions of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in surface waters, sediments and soils of Hangzhou City, China. *Water Research*, 38, 3558-3568.
- Chen, B & Yuan, M 2011. Enhanced sorption of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons by soil amended with biochar. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 11, 62-71.
- Chen, B, Yuan, M & Qian, L 2012a. Enhanced bioremediation of PAH-contaminated soil by immobilized bacteria with plant residue and biochar as carriers. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 12, 1350-1359.
- Chen, B, Zhou, D & Zhu, L 2008c. Transitional Adsorption and Partition of Nonpolar and Polar Aromatic Contaminants by Biochars of Pine Needles with Different Pyrolytic Temperatures. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 42, 5137-5143.
- Chen, H, Yao, J, Wang, F, Choi, MM, Bramanti, E & Zaray, G 2009a. Study on the toxic effects of diphenol compounds on soil microbial activity by a combination of methods. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 167, 846-851.
- Chen, M-m, Chen, B-d, Xu, Y, Tian, H-y & Deng, H 2009b. Mycorrhizal fungi in bioremediation of petroleum-contaminated soil: A review. *Chinese Journal of Ecology*, 6, 029.
- Chen, T, Zhang, Y, Wang, H, Lu, W, Zhou, Z, Zhang, Y & Ren, L 2014a. Influence of pyrolysis temperature on characteristics and heavy metal adsorptive performance of biochar derived from municipal sewage sludge. *Bioresource technology*, 164, 47-54.
- Chen, Z, Chen, B & Chiou, CT 2012b. Fast and slow rates of naphthalene sorption to biochars produced at different temperatures. *Environmental science and technology*, 46, 11104-11111.
- Chen, Z, Chen, B, Zhou, D & Chen, W 2012c. Bisolute Sorption and Thermodynamic Behavior of Organic Pollutants to Biomass-derived Biochars at Two Pyrolytic Temperatures. *Environmental science & technology*, 46, 12476-12483.

- Chen, Z, Xiao, X, Chen, B & Zhu, L 2015. Quantification of Chemical States, Dissociation Constants and Contents of Oxygen-containing Groups on the Surface of Biochars Produced at Different Temperatures. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 49, 309-317.
- Cheng, C-H, Lehmann, J & Engelhard, MH 2008. Natural oxidation of black carbon in soils: Changes in molecular form and surface charge along a climosequence. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 72, 1598-1610.
- Cheng, C-H, Lehmann, J, Thies, JE, Burton, SD & Engelhard, MH 2006. Oxidation of black carbon by biotic and abiotic processes. *Organic Geochemistry*, 37, 1477-1488.
- Chintala, R, Mollinedo, J, Schumacher, TE, Papiernik, SK, Malo, DD, Clay, DE, Kumar, S & Gulbrandson, DW 2013. Nitrate sorption and desorption in biochars from fast pyrolysis. *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials*, 179, 250-257.
- Cho, Y-M, Werner, D, Choi, Y & Luthy, RG 2012. Long-term monitoring and modeling of the mass transfer of polychlorinated biphenyls in sediment following pilot-scale in-situ amendment with activated carbon. *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology*, 129–130, 25-37.
- Choi, G-G, Oh, S-J, Lee, S-J & Kim, J-S 2015. Production of bio-based phenolic resin and activated carbon from bio-oil and biochar derived from fast pyrolysis of palm kernel shells. *Bioresource technology*, 178, 99-107.
- Chun, Y, Sheng, G, Chiou, CT & Xing, B 2004. Compositions and sorptive properties of crop residue-derived chars. *Environmental science & technology*, 38, 4649-4655.
- Chung, S-L & Lai, N-L 1992. Suppression of soot by metal additives during the combustion of polystyrene. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association*, 42, 1082-1088.
- Cimò, G, Kucerik, J, Berns, AE, Schaumann, GE, Alonzo, G & Conte, P 2014. Effect of Heating Time and Temperature on the Chemical Characteristics of Biochar from Poultry Manure. *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*, 62, 1912-1918.
- Clough, TJ, Condon, LM, Kammann, C & Müller, C 2013. A review of biochar and soil nitrogen dynamics. *Agronomy*, 3, 275-293.

- Cohen-Ofri, I, Popovitz-Biro, R & Weiner, S 2007. Structural characterization of modern and fossilized charcoal produced in natural fires as determined by using electron energy loss spectroscopy. *Chemistry–A European Journal*, 13, 2306-2310.
- Cornelissen, G, Gustafsson, Ö, Bucheli, TD, Jonker, MTO, Koelmans, AA & van Noort, PCM 2005b. Extensive Sorption of Organic Compounds to Black Carbon, Coal, and Kerogen in Sediments and Soils: Mechanisms and Consequences for Distribution, Bioaccumulation, and Biodegradation. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 39, 6881-6895.
- Cornelissen, G, Rigterink, H, Ferdinandy, MMA & van Noort, PCM 1998. Rapidly Desorbing Fractions of PAHs in Contaminated Sediments as a Predictor of the Extent of Bioremediation. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 32, 966-970.
- Cornelissen, G, Rigterink, H, van Noort, PCM & Govers, HAJ 2000. Slowly and very slowly desorbing organic compounds in sediments exhibit langmuir-type sorption. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 19, 1532-1539.
- Cross, A & Sohi, SP 2011. The priming potential of biochar products in relation to labile carbon contents and soil organic matter status. *Soil biology and biochemistry*, 43, 2127-2134.
- Cuevas, J, Ruiz, AI, de Soto, IS, Sevilla, T, Procopio, JR, Da Silva, P, Gismera, MJ, Regadío, M, Jiménez, NS & Rastrero, MR 2012. The performance of natural clay as a barrier to the diffusion of municipal solid waste landfill leachates. *Journal of environmental management*, 95, S175-S181.
- Daud, WMAW & Ali, WSW 2004. Comparison on pore development of activated carbon produced from palm shell and coconut shell. *Bioresource Technology*, 93, 63-69.
- Davidsson, K, Stojkova, B & Pettersson, J 2002. Alkali emission from birchwood particles during rapid pyrolysis. *Energy & fuels*, 16, 1033-1039.
- Day, D, Evans, RJ, Lee, JW & Reicosky, D 2004. Valuable and stable carbon co-product from fossil fuel exhaust scrubbing. *Prepr. Pap.-Am. Chem. Soc., Div. Fuel Chem*, 49, 352.
- Deenik, JL, McClellan, T, Uehara, G, Antal, MJ & Campbell, S 2010. Charcoal volatile matter content influences plant growth and soil nitrogen transformations. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 74, 1259-1270.

- Dell'Abate, M, Benedetti, A & Sequi, P 2000. Thermal methods of organic matter maturation monitoring during a composting process. *Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry*, 61, 389-396.
- Delmont, TO, Robe, P, Cecillon, S, Clark, IM, Constancias, F, Simonet, P, Hirsch, PR & Vogel, TM 2011. Accessing the soil metagenome for studies of microbial diversity. *Applied and environmental microbiology*, 77, 1315-1324.
- DeLuca, TH, MacKenzie, MD, Gundale, MJ & Holben, WE 2006. Wildfire-Produced Charcoal Directly Influences Nitrogen Cycling in Ponderosa Pine Forests. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 70, 448-453.
- Denef, K, Six, J, Bossuyt, H, Frey, SD, Elliott, ET, Merckx, R & Paustian, K 2001. Influence of dry-wet cycles on the interrelationship between aggregate, particulate organic matter, and microbial community dynamics. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 33, 1599-1611.
- Dieguez Alonso, A 2015. Fixed-bed biomass pyrolysis: mechanisms and biochar production.
- Diggs, DL, Huderson, AC, Harris, KL, Myers, JN, Banks, LD, Rekhadevi, PV, Niaz, MS & Ramesh, A 2011. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and digestive tract cancers: a perspective. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part C*, 29, 324-357.
- Donkova, R & Kaloyanova, N 2008. The Impact of Soil Pollutants on Soil Microbial Activity. *Soil Chemical Pollution, Risk Assessment, Remediation and Security*. Springer.
- Downie, A, Crosky, A & Munroe, P 2009a. Physical properties of biochar. In: Lehmann, J & Joseph, S (eds.) *Biochar for environmental management science and technology*. London: Earthscan.
- Doyle, RJ, Matthews, TH & Streips, UN 1980. Chemical basis for selectivity of metal ions by the *Bacillus subtilis* cell wall. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 143, 471-480.
- Durenkamp, M, Luo, Y & Brookes, P 2010. Impact of black carbon addition to soil on the determination of soil microbial biomass by fumigation extraction. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 42, 2026-2029.
- Duruibe, J, Ogwuegbu, M & Ekwurugwu, J 2007. Heavy metal pollution and human biotoxic effects. *Int J Phys Sci*, 2, 112-118.

- Eddings, EG & Lighty, JS 1992. Fundamental Studies of Metal Behavior During Solids Incineration. *Combustion Science and Technology*, 85, 375-390.
- Edwards, D, Andriot, M, Amoruso, M, Tummey, A, Bevan, C, Tveit, A, Hayes, L, Youngren, S & Nakles, D 1997. Development of Fraction Specific Reference Doses (RfDs) and Reference Concentrations (RfCs) for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH). *Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon Working Group Series*, 4.
- Endo, S, Grathwohl, P, Haderlein, SB & Schmidt, TC 2009. Effects of native organic material and water on sorption properties of reference diesel soot. *Environmental science & technology*, 43, 3187-3193.
- Ennis, CJ, Evans, AG, Islam, M, Ralebitso-Senior, TK & Senior, E 2012. Biochar: carbon sequestration, land remediation, and impacts on soil microbiology. *Critical reviews in environmental science and technology*, 42, 2311-2364.
- Everett, D 1967. In *The Solid Gas Interface*; Flood, EA, Ed. Marcel Dekker: New York.
- Ezawa, T, Yamamoto, K & Yoshida, S 2002. Enhancement of the effectiveness of indigenous arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi by inorganic soil amendments. *Soil science and plant nutrition*, 48, 897-900.
- Fagernäs, L, Kuoppala, E & Simell, P 2012. Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Birch Wood Slow Pyrolysis Products. *Energy & Fuels*, 26, 6960-6970.
- Farrell, M, Kuhn, TK, Macdonald, LM, Maddern, TM, Murphy, DV, Hall, PA, Singh, BP, Baumann, K, Krull, ES & Baldock, JA 2013a. Microbial utilisation of biochar-derived carbon. *Science of the Total Environment*, 465, 288-297.
- Farrell, M, Rangott, G & Krull, E 2013c. Difficulties in using soil-based methods to assess plant availability of potentially toxic elements in biochars and their feedstocks. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 250, 29-36.
- Feldman, N. Control of residual fuel oil particulate emissions by additives. Symposium (International) on Combustion, 1982. Elsevier, 1387-1393.
- Fornes, F, Belda, RM & Lidón, A 2015. Analysis of two biochars and one hydrochar from different feedstock: focus set on environmental, nutritional and horticultural considerations. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 86, 40-48.

- Freddo, A, Cai, C & Reid, BJ 2012. Environmental contextualisation of potential toxic elements and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in biochar. *Environmental Pollution*, 171, 18-24.
- Fryda, L & Visser, R 2015. Biochar for Soil Improvement: Evaluation of Biochar from Gasification and Slow Pyrolysis. *Agriculture*, 5, 1076-1115.
- Fu, PP, Xia, Q, Sun, X & Yu, H 2012. Phototoxicity and Environmental Transformation of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)—Light-Induced Reactive Oxygen Species, Lipid Peroxidation, and DNA Damage. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part C*, 30, 1-41.
- Fuertes, A, Arbestain, MC, Sevilla, M, Macia-Agullo, J, Fiol, S, López, R, Smernik, R, Aitkenhead, W, Arce, F & Macias, F 2010. Chemical and structural properties of carbonaceous products obtained by pyrolysis and hydrothermal carbonisation of corn stover. *Soil Research*, 48, 618-626.
- Galbreath, KC & Zygarlicke, CJ 1996. Mercury speciation in coal combustion and gasification flue gases. *Environmental science & technology*, 30, 2421-2426.
- Gallagher, NB 1992. Alkali metal partitioning in a pulverized coal combustion environment.
- Gallagher, NB, Bool, LE, Wendt, JOL & Peterson, TW 1990. Alkali Metal Partitioning in Ash from Pulverized Coal Combustion. *Combustion Science and Technology*, 74, 211-221.
- Garbarino, JR, Hayes, HC, Roth, DA, Antweiler, RC, Brinton, TI & Taylor, HE 1996. Heavy metals in the Mississippi River. *US GEOLOGICAL SURVEY CIRCULAR USGS CIRC*, 53-72.
- Gaskin, J, Steiner, C, Harris, K, Das, K & Bibens, B 2008. Effect of low-temperature pyrolysis conditions on biochar for agricultural use. *Trans. Asabe*, 51, 2061-2069.
- George, N & Davies, JT 1988. Parameters affecting adsorption of microorganisms on activated charcoal cloth. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, 43, 173-186.
- Gerardi, MH 2015. *The Biology and Troubleshooting of Facultative Lagoons*, John Wiley & Sons.
- Ghaffar, A, Ghosh, S, Li, F, Dong, X, Zhang, D, Wu, M, Li, H & Pan, B 2015. Effect of biochar aging on surface characteristics and adsorption behavior of dialkyl phthalates. *Environmental Pollution*, 206, 502-509.

- Gomez-Eyles, JL, Sizmur, T, Collins, CD & Hodson, ME 2011. Effects of biochar and the earthworm *Eisenia fetida* on the bioavailability of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and potentially toxic elements. *Environmental Pollution*, 159, 616-622.
- Gómez, N, Rosas, JG, Cara, J, Martínez, O, Albuquerque, JA & Sánchez, ME 2014. Slow pyrolysis of relevant biomasses in the Mediterranean basin. Part 1. Effect of temperature on process performance on a pilot scale. *Journal of Cleaner Production*.
- Gregg, S & Sing, K 1982a. Adsorption, Surface Area and Porosity (2nd edn.) Acad. Press, London.
- Grossman, JM, O'Neill, BE, Tsai, SM, Liang, B, Neves, E, Lehmann, J & Thies, JE 2010. Amazonian anthrosols support similar microbial communities that differ distinctly from those extant in adjacent, unmodified soils of the same mineralogy. *Microbial ecology*, 60, 192-205.
- Guerin, WF & Boyd, SA 1997. Bioavailability of naphthalene associated with natural and synthetic sorbents. *Water Research*, 31, 1504-1512.
- Gundale, MJ & DeLuca, TH 2006. Temperature and source material influence ecological attributes of ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir charcoal. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 231, 86-93.
- Guo, J & Chen, B 2014. Insights on the Molecular Mechanism for the Recalcitrance of Biochars: Interactive Effects of Carbon and Silicon Components. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 48, 9103-9112.
- Guo, Y & Rockstraw, DA 2007. Physicochemical properties of carbons prepared from pecan shell by phosphoric acid activation. *Bioresource Technology*, 98, 1513-1521.
- Gupta, V, Carrott, P, Ribeiro Carrott, M & Suhas 2009. Low-cost adsorbents: growing approach to wastewater treatment—a review. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, 39, 783-842.
- Gupta, VK, Agarwal, S & Saleh, TA 2011. Synthesis and characterization of alumina-coated carbon nanotubes and their application for lead removal. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 185, 17-23.
- Gupta, VK, Ali, I, Saleh, TA, Nayak, A & Agarwal, S 2012. Chemical treatment technologies for waste-water recycling—an overview. *Rsc Advances*, 2, 6380-6388.

- Gupta, VK, Kumar, R, Nayak, A, Saleh, TA & Barakat, M 2013. Adsorptive removal of dyes from aqueous solution onto carbon nanotubes: a review. *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science*, 193, 24-34.
- Haefele, S, Knoblauch, C, Gummert, M, Konboon, Y & Koyama, S 2009. Black carbon (biochar) in rice-based systems: Characteristics and opportunities. *Amazonian Dark Earths: Wim Sombroek's Vision*. Springer.
- Hair Jr, JF, Anderson, RE, Tatham, RL & William, C 1995. Black (1995), Multivariate data analysis with readings. *Prentice Hall. New Jersey, USA. pp*, 14, 130-133.
- Hakanson, L 1980. An ecological risk index for aquatic pollution control. A sedimentological approach. *Water research*, 14, 975-1001.
- Hale, S, Hanley, K, Lehmann, J, Zimmerman, A & Cornelissen, G 2011. Effects of chemical, biological, and physical aging as well as soil addition on the sorption of pyrene to activated carbon and biochar. *Environmental science & technology*, 45, 10445-10453.
- Hallin, I, Douglas, P, Doerr, S & Bryant, R 2015. The effect of addition of a wettable biochar on soil water repellency. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 66, 1063-1073.
- Hamer, U, Marschner, B, Brodowski, S & Amelung, W 2004. Interactive priming of black carbon and glucose mineralisation. *Organic Geochemistry*, 35, 823-830.
- Han, F, Ren, L & Zhang, X-C 2016. Effect of biochar on the soil nutrients about different grasslands in the Loess Plateau. *CATENA*, 137, 554-562.
- Haritash, A & Kaushik, C 2009. Biodegradation aspects of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs): a review. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 169, 1-15.
- Harvey, OR, Herbert, BE, Kuo, L-J & Louchouart, P 2012. Generalized two-dimensional perturbation correlation infrared spectroscopy reveals mechanisms for the development of surface charge and recalcitrance in plant-derived biochars. *Environmental science & technology*, 46, 10641-10650.
- Harvey, OR, Herbert, BE, Rhue, RD & Kuo, L-J 2011. Metal interactions at the biochar-water interface: energetics and structure-sorption relationships elucidated by flow adsorption microcalorimetry. *Environmental science & technology*, 45, 5550-5556.

- Harvey, RG 1991. *Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: chemistry and carcinogenicity*, CUP Archive.
- Hashim, M, Mukhopadhyay, S, Sahu, JN & Sengupta, B 2011. Remediation technologies for heavy metal contaminated groundwater. *Journal of environmental management*, 92, 2355-2388.
- Hass, A, Gonzalez, JM, Lima, IM, Godwin, HW, Halvorson, JJ & Boyer, DG 2012. Chicken manure biochar as liming and nutrient source for acid Appalachian soil. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 41, 1096-1106.
- Hawthorne, SB & Grabanski, CB 2000. Correlating Selective Supercritical Fluid Extraction with Bioremediation Behavior of PAHs in a Field Treatment Plot. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 34, 4103-4110.
- Hawthorne, SB, Poppendieck, DG, Grabanski, CB & Loehr, RC 2001. PAH release during water desorption, supercritical carbon dioxide extraction, and field bioremediation. *Environ Sci Technol.*, 35, 4577-83.
- Hawthorne, SB, Poppendieck, DG, Grabanski, CB & Loehr, RC 2002. Comparing PAH Availability from Manufactured Gas Plant Soils and Sediments with Chemical and Biological Tests. 1. PAH Release during Water Desorption and Supercritical Carbon Dioxide Extraction. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 36, 4795-4803.
- Hayashi, Y, Heckmann, L-H, Simonsen, V & Scott-Fordsmand, JJ 2013. Time-course profiling of molecular stress responses to silver nanoparticles in the earthworm *Eisenia fetida*. *Ecotoxicology and environmental safety*, 98, 219-226.
- Haynes, B, Jander, H & Wagner, HG. The effect of metal additives on the formation of soot in premixed flames. Symposium (international) on Combustion, 1979. Elsevier, 1365-1374.
- Hilber, I, Blum, F, Leifeld, J, Schmidt, H-P & Bucheli, TD 2012. Quantitative determination of PAHs in biochar: A prerequisite to ensure its quality and safe application. *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*, 60, 3042-3050.
- Hilber, I, Wyss, GS, Mader, P, Bucheli, TD, Meier, I, Vogt, L & Schulin, R 2009. Influence of activated charcoal amendment to contaminated soil on dieldrin and nutrient uptake by cucumbers. *Environ Pollut*, 157, 2224-30.

- Hilscher, A & Knicker, H 2011. Carbon and nitrogen degradation on molecular scale of grass-derived pyrogenic organic material during 28 months of incubation in soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 43, 261-270.
- Hockaday, WC. 2006. *The organic geochemistry of charcoal black carbon in the soils of the University of Michigan Biological Station*. Ohio State University.
- Hockaday, WC, Grannas, AM, Kim, S & Hatcher, PG 2007. The transformation and mobility of charcoal in a fire-impacted watershed. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 71, 3432-3445.
- Huang, W & Chen, B 2010. Interaction mechanisms of organic contaminants with burned straw ash charcoal. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 22, 1586-1594.
- Huberty, CJ & Barton, RM 1989. An Introduction to Discriminant Analysis. *Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development*, 22, 158-68.
- International-Biochar-Initiative 2015. Standardized Product Definition and Product Testing Guidelines for Biochar That is Used in Soil. *IBI biochar standards*.
- IPCC 2003. Climate change 2001, IPCC, https://www.ipcc.ch/publications_and_data/publications_and_data_reports.shtml, accessed [08/12/2016].
- Ippolito, J, Novak, J, Busscher, W, Ahmedna, M, Rehrh, D & Watts, D 2012. Switchgrass biochar affects two Aridisols. *Journal of environmental quality*, 41, 1123-1130.
- Järup, L 2003. Hazards of heavy metal contamination. *British medical bulletin*, 68, 167-182.
- Jassal, RS, Johnson, MS, Molodovskaya, M, Black, TA, Jollymore, A & Sveinson, K 2015. Nitrogen enrichment potential of biochar in relation to pyrolysis temperature and feedstock quality. *Journal of environmental management*, 152, 140-144.
- Jeffery, S, Verheijen, F, Van Der Velde, M & Bastos, A 2011. A quantitative review of the effects of biochar application to soils on crop productivity using meta-analysis. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, 144, 175-187.
- Jiang, W, Saxena, A, Song, B, Ward, BB, Beveridge, TJ & Myneni, SC 2004. Elucidation of functional groups on gram-positive and gram-negative bacterial surfaces using infrared spectroscopy. *Langmuir*, 20, 11433-11442.

- Jin, H 2010. Characterization of microbial life colonizing biochar and biochar-amended soils.
- Jindo, K, Sánchez-Monedero, MA, Hernández, T, García, C, Furukawa, T, Matsumoto, K, Sonoki, T & Bastida, F 2012. Biochar influences the microbial community structure during manure composting with agricultural wastes. *Science of the Total Environment*, 416, 476-481.
- Johnsen, AR, Wick, LY & Harms, H 2005. Principles of microbial PAH-degradation in soil. *Environmental Pollution*, 133, 71-84.
- Jones, KC & De Voogt, P 1999. Persistent organic pollutants (POPs): state of the science. *Environmental pollution*, 100, 209-221.
- Jonker, MT & Koelmans, AA 2002a. Extraction of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from soot and sediment: solvent evaluation and implications for sorption mechanism. *Environmental science & technology*, 36, 4107-4113.
- Jonker, MT & Koelmans, AA 2002b. Sorption of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and polychlorinated biphenyls to soot and soot-like materials in the aqueous environment: mechanistic considerations. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 36, 3725-3734.
- Jonker, MTO, Hawthorne, SB & Koelmans, AA 2005. Extremely Slowly Desorbing Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons from Soot and Soot-like Materials: Evidence by Supercritical Fluid Extraction. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 39, 7889-7895.
- Jonker, MTO & Koelmans, AA 2002c. Sorption of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons and Polychlorinated Biphenyls to Soot and Soot-like Materials in the Aqueous Environment: Mechanistic Considerations. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 36, 3725-3734.
- Joseph, F, Rolph, E, Ronald, L & William, C 1995. *Multivariate Data Analysis With Readings*. Prentice-Hall International. Inc.
- Joseph, S, Camps-Arbestain, M, Lin, Y, Munroe, P, Chia, C, Hook, J, Van Zwieten, L, Kimber, S, Cowie, A & Singh, B 2010. An investigation into the reactions of biochar in soil. *Soil Research*, 48, 501-515.
- Kameyama, K, Miyamoto, T, Shiono, T & Shinogi, Y 2012. Influence of sugarcane bagasse-derived biochar application on nitrate leaching in calcaric dark red soil. *Journal of environmental quality*, 41, 1131-1137.

- Kannel, PR, Lee, S, Kanel, SR & Khan, SP 2007. Chemometric application in classification and assessment of monitoring locations of an urban river system. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 582, 390-399.
- Kasozi, GN, Zimmerman, AR, Nkedi-Kizza, P & Gao, B 2010. Catechol and humic acid sorption onto a range of laboratory-produced black carbons (biochars). *Environmental science & technology*, 44, 6189-6195.
- Kawamoto, K, Ishimaru, K & Imamura, Y 2005. Reactivity of wood charcoal with ozone. *Journal of Wood Science*, 51, 66-72.
- Keck, J, Sims, RC, Coover, M, Park, K & Symons, B 1989. Evidence for cooxidation of polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons in soil. *Water research*, 23, 1467-1476.
- Keiluweit, M, Kleber, M, Sparrow, MA, Simoneit, BRT & Prah, FG 2012b. Solvent-Extractable Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Biochar: Influence of Pyrolysis Temperature and Feedstock. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 46, 9333-9341.
- Keiluweit, M, Nico, PS, Johnson, MG & Kleber, M 2010b. Dynamic Molecular Structure of Plant Biomass-Derived Black Carbon (Biochar). *Environmental Science and Technology*, 44, 1247-1253.
- Keith, A, Singh, B & Singh, BP 2011. Interactive priming of biochar and labile organic matter mineralization in a smectite-rich soil. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 45, 9611-9618.
- Khan, M, Mangrich, A, Schultz, J, Grasel, F, Mattoso, N & Mosca, D 2015a. Green chemistry preparation of superparamagnetic nanoparticles containing Fe₃O₄ cores in biochar. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 116, 42-48.
- Khan, S, Waqas, M, Ding, F, Shamshad, I, Arp, HPH & Li, G 2015b. The influence of various biochars on the bioaccessibility and bioaccumulation of PAHs and potentially toxic elements to turnips (*Brassica rapa* L.). *Journal of hazardous materials*, 300, 243-253.
- Khodadad, CL, Zimmerman, AR, Green, SJ, Uthandi, S & Foster, JS 2011. Taxa-specific changes in soil microbial community composition induced by pyrogenic carbon amendments. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 43, 385-392.
- Kim, J-Y, Kim, T-S, Eom, I-Y, Kang, SM, Cho, T-S, Choi, IG & Choi, JW 2012. Characterization of pyrolytic products obtained from fast pyrolysis of chromated copper arsenate (CCA)-and alkaline copper quaternary compounds

- (ACQ)-treated wood biomasses. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 227, 445-452.
- Kimetu, JM & Lehmann, J 2010. Stability and stabilisation of biochar and green manure in soil with different organic carbon contents. *Soil Research*, 48, 577-585.
- Kinata, SE, Loubar, K, Bouslamti, A, Belloncle, C & Tazerout, M 2012. Influence of impregnation method on metal retention of CCB-treated wood in slow pyrolysis process. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 233, 172-176.
- Kinata, SE, Loubar, K, Paraschiv, M, Bouslamti, A, Belloncle, C & Tazerout, M 2013. Slow pyrolysis of CCB-treated wood for energy recovery: Influence of chromium, copper and boron on pyrolysis process and optimization. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 104, 210-217.
- Kinney, T, Masiello, C, Dugan, B, Hockaday, W, Dean, M, Zygourakis, K & Barnes, R 2012. Hydrologic properties of biochars produced at different temperatures. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 41, 34-43.
- Klecka, WR 1980. *Discriminant analysis*, Sage.
- Knicker, H 2007. How does fire affect the nature and stability of soil organic nitrogen and carbon? A review. *Biogeochemistry*, 85, 91-118.
- Kolb, SE, Fermanich, KJ & Dornbush, ME 2009a. Effect of Charcoal Quantity on Microbial Biomass and Activity in Temperate Soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 73, 1173-1181.
- Kookana, R, Sarmah, A, Van Zwieten, L, Krull, E & Singh, B 2011. 3 Biochar Application to Soil: Agronomic and Environmental Benefits and Unintended Consequences. *Advances in agronomy*, 112, 103-143.
- Koutcheiko, S, Monreal, CM, Kodama, H, McCracken, T & Kotlyar, L 2007. Preparation and characterization of activated carbon derived from the thermochemical conversion of chicken manure. *Bioresource Technology*, 98, 2459-2464.
- Kraemer, E & Taylor, H 1931. *A Treatise on Physical Chemistry*. edited by HS Taylor, New York, D. Van Nostrand Company.
- Kramer, C & Gleixner, G 2008. Soil organic matter in soil depth profiles: distinct carbon preferences of microbial groups during carbon transformation. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 40, 425-433.

- Krull, ES, Baldock, JA, Skjemstad, JO & Smernik, RJ 2009. Characteristics of biochar: organo-chemical properties. *Biochar for environmental management: Science and technology*. Earthscan, London, 53-65.
- Kucerik, J, Kovar, J & Pekar, M 2004. Thermoanalytical investigation of lignite humic acids fractions. *Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry*, 76, 55-65.
- Kuhlbusch, TA & Crutzen, PJ 1996. Black carbon, the global carbon cycle, and atmospheric carbon dioxide. *Biomass burning and global change*, 1, 160-169.
- Kupryianchyk, D, Hale, S, Zimmerman, AR, Harvey, O, Rutherford, D, Abiven, S, Knicker, H, Schmidt, H-P, Rumpel, C & Cornelissen, G 2016. Sorption of hydrophobic organic compounds to a diverse suite of carbonaceous materials with emphasis on biochar. *Chemosphere*, 144, 879-887.
- Kuzyakov, Y, Subbotina, I, Chen, H, Bogomolova, I & Xu, X 2009b. Black carbon decomposition and incorporation into soil microbial biomass estimated by ¹⁴C labeling. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 41, 210-219.
- Kwon, S & Pignatello, JJ 2005. Effect of natural organic substances on the surface and adsorptive properties of environmental black carbon (char): pseudo pore blockage by model lipid components and its implications for N₂-probed surface properties of natural sorbents. *Environmental science & technology*, 39, 7932-7939.
- Laborda, F, Monistrol, I, Luna, N & Fernandez, M 1999. Processes of liquefaction/solubilization of Spanish coals by microorganisms. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology*, 52, 49-56.
- Lachenbruch, PA 1975. *Discriminant analysis*, Wiley Online Library.
- Lang, T, Jensen, AD & Jensen, PA 2005. Retention of Organic Elements during Solid Fuel Pyrolysis with Emphasis on the Peculiar Behavior of Nitrogen. *Energy & Fuels*, 19, 1631-1643.
- Lee, JW, Kidder, M, Evans, BR, Paik, S, Buchanan Iii, A, Garten, CT & Brown, RC 2010. Characterization of biochars produced from cornstovers for soil amendment. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 44, 7970-7974.
- Lehannes, J & Joseph, S 2009. Biochar for environmental management: an introduction. *Biochar for environmental management: science and technology*. Earthscan, London, 1-12.

- Lehmann, J 2007. Bio-energy in the black. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 5, 381-387.
- Lehmann, J, Czimczik, C, Laird, D, and & Sohi, S 2009a. Stability of biochar in the soi. *In: Joseph, JLaS (ed.) Biochar for environmental management*. London, England: Earthscan.
- Lehmann, J & Joseph, S 2009. Biochar for environmental management: an introduction. . *In: Lehman, J & Joseph, S (eds.) Biochar for Environmental Management - Science and Technology*. UK, USA: Earthscan Publisher,.
- Lehmann, J, Liang, B, Solomon, D, Lerotic, M, Luizão, F, Kinyangi, J, Schäfer, T, Wirick, S & Jacobsen, C 2005. Near-edge X-ray absorption fine structure (NEXAFS) spectroscopy for mapping nano-scale distribution of organic carbon forms in soil: Application to black carbon particles. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 19.
- Lehmann, J, Rillig, MC, Thies, J, Masiello, CA, Hockaday, WC & Crowley, D 2011. Biochar effects on soil biota—a review. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 43, 1812-1836.
- Leug, K, Nandakumar, K, Sreekumari, K, Lee, H & Trevors, T 2007. Biodegradation and bioremediation of organic pollutants in soil. *Modern soil microbiology*, 2.
- Leung, KT, Kanavillil Nandakumar, Kurissery Sreekumari, Hung Lee & Trevors, JT 2007. Biodegradation and bioremediation of organic pollutants in soils. *In: Elsas, JDV, Jansson, JK & Trevors, JT (eds.) Mordern Soil Microbiology*. Second ed. London: CRC Press.
- Liang, B, Lehmann, J, Solomon, D, Kinyangi, J, Grossman, J, O'Neill, B, Skjemstad, J, Thies, J, Luizao, F & Petersen, J 2006a. Black carbon increases cation exchange capacity in soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 70, 1719-1730.
- Lievens, C, Carleer, R, Cornelissen, T & Yperman, J 2009. Fast pyrolysis of heavy metal contaminated willow: Influence of the plant part. *Fuel*, 88, 1417-1425.
- Lievens, C, Yperman, J, Cornelissen, T & Carleer, R 2008a. Study of the potential valorisation of heavy metal contaminated biomass via phytoremediation by fast pyrolysis: Part II: Characterisation of the liquid and gaseous fraction as a function of the temperature. *Fuel*, 87, 1906-1916.

- Lievens, C, Yperman, J, Vangronsveld, J & Carleer, R 2008b. Study of the potential valorisation of heavy metal contaminated biomass via phytoremediation by fast pyrolysis: Part I. Influence of temperature, biomass species and solid heat carrier on the behaviour of heavy metals. *Fuel*, 87, 1894-1905.
- Linak, WP & Wendt, JO 1993. Toxic metal emissions from incineration: mechanisms and control. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*, 19, 145-185.
- Linak, WP & Wendt, JO 1994. Trace metal transformation mechanisms during coal combustion. *Fuel processing technology*, 39, 173-198.
- Linck, RG 1979. A Review of: "Metal Ions in Solution, John Burgess, Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester, Sussex, England, 1978. 481 pages, \$60.00.". *Synthesis and Reactivity in Inorganic and Metal-Organic Chemistry*, 9, 295-296.
- Liu, C-W, Lin, K-H & Kuo, Y-M 2003. Application of factor analysis in the assessment of groundwater quality in a blackfoot disease area in Taiwan. *Science of the Total Environment*, 313, 77-89.
- Lou, L, Luo, L, Wang, W, Xu, X, Hou, J, Xun, B & Chen, Y 2011. Impact of black carbon originated from fly ash and soot on the toxicity of pentachlorophenol in sediment. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 190, 474-479.
- Lowell, S & Shields, JE 2013. *Powder surface area and porosity*, Springer Science & Business Media.
- Lund-Research. 2013. Testing for normality in SPSS: <https://statistics.laerd.com/premium/tfn/testing-for-normality-in-spss-2.php>: (accessed on 14th/08/15).
- Luo, Y, Durenkamp, M, De Nobili, M, Lin, Q & Brookes, P 2011. Short term soil priming effects and the mineralisation of biochar following its incorporation to soils of different pH. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 43, 2304-2314.
- Luthy, RG, Aiken, GR, Brusseu, ML, Cunningham, SD, Gschwend, PM, Pignatello, JJ, Reinhard, M, Traina, SJ, Weber, WJ & Westall, JC 1997. Sequestration of hydrophobic organic contaminants by geosorbents. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 31, 3341-3347.
- Major, J, Steiner, C, Downie, A & Lehmann, J 2009. Biochar effects on nutrient leaching. *Biochar for environmental management: Science and technology*, 271.

- Mannino, A & Harvey, HR 2004. Black carbon in estuarine and coastal ocean dissolved organic matter. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 49, 735-740.
- Manyà, JJ 2012. Pyrolysis for biochar purposes: a review to establish current knowledge gaps and research needs. *Environmental science & technology*, 46, 7939-7954.
- Mao, J-D, Hundal, L, Thompson, M & Schmidt-Rohr, K 2002. Correlation of poly(methylene)-rich amorphous aliphatic domains in humic substances with sorption of a nonpolar organic contaminant, phenanthrene. *Environmental science & technology*, 36, 929-936.
- Marchand, N, Besombes, JL, Chevron, N, Masclet, P, Aymoz, G & Jaffrezo, JL 2004. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in the atmospheres of two French alpine valleys: sources and temporal patterns. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 4, 1167-1181.
- Masiello, CA & Druffel, ER 2001. Carbon isotope geochemistry of the Santa Clara River. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 15, 407-416.
- Mayer, ZA, Apfelbacher, A & Hornung, A 2012a. A comparative study on the pyrolysis of metal- and ash-enriched wood and the combustion properties of the gained char. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 96, 196-202.
- Mayer, ZA, Apfelbacher, A & Hornung, A 2012b. Effect of sample preparation on the thermal degradation of metal-added biomass. *Journal of analytical and applied pyrolysis*, 94, 170-176.
- McBain, JW 1935. An explanation of hysteresis in the hydration and dehydration of gels. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 57, 699-700.
- McBeath, AV & Smernik, RJ 2009. Variation in the degree of aromatic condensation of chars. *Organic Geochemistry*, 40, 1161-1168.
- McBride, MB 1994. *Environmental chemistry of soils*, Oxford university press.
- McLaughlin, H, Anderson, PS, Shields, FE & Reed, TB. All biochars are not created equal, and how to tell them apart. Proceedings, North American Biochar Conference, Boulder, Colorado, 2009b.
- Meckenstock, RU, Safinowski, M & Griebl, C 2004. Anaerobic degradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 49, 27-36.
- Mészáros, E, Jakab, E, Varhegyi, G, Bourke, J, Manley-Harris, M, Nunoura, T & Antal, MJ 2007. Do all carbonized charcoals have the same chemical structure? 1. Implications of thermogravimetry-mass spectrometry

- measurements. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 46, 5943-5953.
- Meynet, P, Hale, SE, Davenport, RJ, Cornelissen, G, Breedveld, GD & Werner, D 2012. Effect of Activated Carbon Amendment on Bacterial Community Structure and Functions in a PAH Impacted Urban Soil. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 46, 5057-5066.
- Meynet, P, Moliterni, E, Davenport, RJ, Sloan, WT, Camacho, JV & Werner, D 2014. Predicting the effects of biochar on volatile petroleum hydrocarbon biodegradation and emanation from soil: A bacterial community finger-print analysis inferred modelling approach. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 68, 20-30.
- Milne, TA, Abatzoglou, N & Evans, RJ 1998. *Biomass gasifier" tars": Their nature, formation, and conversion*, National Renewable Energy Laboratory Golden, CO.
- Modarres, A & Ayar, P 2014. Coal waste application in recycled asphalt mixtures with bitumen emulsion. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 83, 263-272.
- Moreno-Castilla, C 2004. Adsorption of organic molecules from aqueous solutions on carbon materials. *Carbon*, 42, 83-94.
- Morris, D, Gilbert, R, Reicosky, D & Gesch, R 2004. Oxidation potentials of soil organic matter in histosols under different tillage methods. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 68, 817-826.
- Mukherjee, A, Zimmerman, A & Harris, W 2011. Surface chemistry variations among a series of laboratory-produced biochars. *Geoderma*, 163, 247-255.
- Mukome, FN, Zhang, X, Silva, LC, Six, J & Parikh, SJ 2013. Use of chemical and physical characteristics to investigate trends in biochar feedstocks. *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*, 61, 2196-2204.
- Nagashanmugam, K & Srinivasan, K 2011. Removal of chromium(VI) from aqueous solution by chemically modified gingelly oil cake carbon. *Indian Journal of Chemical Technology*, 18, 207-219.
- Nelissen, V, Rütting, T, Huygens, D, Staelens, J, Ruyschaert, G & Boeckx, P 2012. Maize biochars accelerate short-term soil nitrogen dynamics in a loamy sand soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 55, 20-27.

- Neves, D, Thunman, H, Matos, A, Tarelho, L & Gómez-Barea, A 2011. Characterization and prediction of biomass pyrolysis products. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*, 37, 611-630.
- Nguyen, BT & Lehmann, J 2009. Black carbon decomposition under varying water regimes. *Organic Geochemistry*, 40, 846-853.
- Nguyen, BT, Lehmann, J, Hockaday, WC, Joseph, S & Masiello, CA 2010. Temperature sensitivity of black carbon decomposition and oxidation. *Environmental science & technology*, 44, 3324-3331.
- Nguyen, TH & Ball, WP 2006. Absorption and adsorption of hydrophobic organic contaminants to diesel and hexane soot. *Environmental science & technology*, 40, 2958-2964.
- Nguyen, TH, Cho, H-H, Poster, DL & Ball, WP 2007. Evidence for a pore-filling mechanism in the adsorption of aromatic hydrocarbons to a natural wood char. *Environmental science & technology*, 41, 1212-1217.
- Nguyen, TH, Sabbah, I & Ball, WP 2004. Sorption nonlinearity for organic contaminants with diesel soot: Method development and isotherm interpretation. *Environmental science & technology*, 38, 3595-3603.
- Nishimiya, K, Hata, T, Imamura, Y & Ishihara, S 1998. Analysis of chemical structure of wood charcoal by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. *Journal of Wood Science*, 44, 56-61.
- Noordkamp, E, Grotenhuis, J & Rulkens, W 1997. Selection of an efficient extraction method for the determination of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in contaminated soil and sediment. *Chemosphere*, 35, 1907-1917.
- Novak, JM, Lima, I, Xing, B, Gaskin, JW, Steiner, C, Das, K, Ahmedna, M, Rehrich, D, Watts, DW & Busscher, WJ 2009. Characterization of designer biochar produced at different temperatures and their effects on a loamy sand. *Annals of Environmental Science*, 3, 2.
- O'Neill, B, Grossman, J, Tsai, M, Gomes, J, Lehmann, J, Peterson, J, Neves, E & Thies, J 2009. Bacterial community composition in Brazilian anthrosols and adjacent soils characterized using culturing and molecular identification. *Microbial Ecology*, 58, 23-35.
- Ogawa, M 1994. Symbiosis of people and nature in the tropics. *Farming Japan*, 28, 10-34.

- Oleszczuk, P, Hale, SE, Lehmann, J & Cornelissen, G 2012. Activated carbon and biochar amendments decrease pore-water concentrations of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in sewage sludge. *Bioresource technology*, 111, 84-91.
- Ortega-Calvo, JJ & Saiz-Jimenez, C 1998. Effect of humic fractions and clay on biodegradation of phenanthrene by a *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain isolated from soil. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, 64, 3123-6.
- Osman, R, Saim, N, Juahir, H & Abdullah, MP 2012. Chemometric application in identifying sources of organic contaminants in Langat river basin. *Environmental monitoring and assessment*, 184, 1001-1014.
- Osuji, LC & Onojake, CM 2004. Trace heavy metals associated with crude oil: a case study of Ebocha-8 oil-spill-polluted site in Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Chem Biodivers*, 1, 1708-15.
- Painter, TJ 1998. Carbohydrate polymers in food preservation: an integrated view of the Maillard reaction with special reference to discoveries of preserved foods in *Sphagnum*-dominated peat bogs. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 36, 335-347.
- Paris, O, Zollfrank, C & Zickler, GA 2005. Decomposition and carbonisation of wood biopolymers—a microstructural study of softwood pyrolysis. *Carbon*, 43, 53-66.
- Paul, EA 2006. *Soil microbiology, ecology and biochemistry*, Academic press.
- Phillips, DH 1999. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the diet. *Mutation Research/Genetic Toxicology and Environmental Mutagenesis*, 443, 139-147.
- Pietikäinen, J, Kiikkilä, O & Fritze, H 2000. Charcoal as a habitat for microbes and its effect on the microbial community of the underlying humus. *Oikos*, 89, 231-242.
- Pignatello, JJ, Kwon, S & Lu, Y 2006. Effect of Natural Organic Substances on the Surface and Adsorptive Properties of Environmental Black Carbon (Char): Attenuation of Surface Activity by Humic and Fulvic Acids. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 40, 7757-7763.
- Pignatello, JJ & Xing, B 1995. Mechanisms of Slow Sorption of Organic Chemicals to Natural Particles. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 30, 1-11.
- Pituello, C, Francioso, O, Simonetti, G, Pisi, A, Torreggiani, A, Berti, A & Morari, F 2015. Characterization of chemical–physical, structural and morphological

- properties of biochars from biowastes produced at different temperatures. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 15, 792-804.
- Pollock, M 1947. The growth of *H. pertussis* on media without blood. *British journal of experimental pathology*, 28, 295.
- Popescu, C-M, Tibirna, C-M & Vasile, C 2009. XPS characterization of naturally aged wood. *Applied Surface Science*, 256, 1355-1360.
- Poster, DL, Lopez de Alda, MJ, Schantz, MM, Sander, LC, Wise, SA & Vangel, MG 2003. Development and analysis of three diesel particulate-related standard reference materials for the determination of chemical, physical, and biological characteristics. *Polycyclic Aromatic Compounds*, 23, 141-191.
- Pritchard, D 2003. Nutrient properties of char. *Report prepared for ESI by Curtin University of Technology, Perth, Western Australia*.
- Pritchard, PH & Costa, CF 1991. EPA's Alaska oil spill bioremediation project. Part 5. *Environmental science & technology*, 25, 372-379.
- Pulido-Novicio, L, Hata, T, Kurimoto, Y, Doi, S, Ishihara, S & Imamura, Y 2001. Adsorption capacities and related characteristics of wood charcoals carbonized using a one-step or two-step process. *Journal of wood science*, 47, 48-57.
- Qian, K, Kumar, A, Zhang, H, Bellmer, D & Huhnke, R 2015. Recent advances in utilization of biochar. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 42, 1055-1064.
- Qian, L & Chen, B 2014. Interactions of Aluminum with Biochars and Oxidized Biochars: Implications for the Biochar Aging Process. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 62, 373-380.
- Qin, G, Gong, D & Fan, M-Y 2013a. Bioremediation of petroleum-contaminated soil by biostimulation amended with biochar. *International Biodeterioration and Biodegradation*, 85, 150-155.
- Qingjie, G, Jun, D, Yunchuan, X, Qingfei, W & Liqiang, Y 2008. Calculating pollution indices by heavy metals in ecological geochemistry assessment and a case study in parks of Beijing. *Journal of China University of Geosciences*, 19, 230-241.
- Queneau, PB, Cregar, DE & May, LD. Application of slag technology to recycling of solid wastes. 1991 SME Annual Meeting, Denver, CO, USA, 02/25-28/91, 1991.

- Ravindra, K, Sokhi, R & Van Grieken, R 2008. Atmospheric polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: Source attribution, emission factors and regulation. *Atmospheric Environment*, 42, 2895-2921.
- Rayment, G & Higginson, FR 1992. *Australian laboratory handbook of soil and water chemical methods*, Inkata Press Pty Ltd.
- Rayment, GE & Lyons, DJ 2011. *Soil chemical methods: Australasia*, CSIRO publishing.
- Raza, M, Zakaria, MP, Hashim, NR, Yim, UH, Kannan, N & Ha, SY 2013. Composition and source identification of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in mangrove sediments of Peninsular Malaysia: indication of anthropogenic input. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 1-12.
- Reeves, JB, Falcao, P & Comerford, N. Spectral effects of biochar on NIR and mid-IR spectra of soil/char mixtures. 19th World Congress of Soil Science, Soil Solutions for a Changing World, 2010.
- Reimann, C & de Caritat, P 2005. Distinguishing between natural and anthropogenic sources for elements in the environment: regional geochemical surveys versus enrichment factors. *Science of the Total Environment*, 337, 91-107.
- Reverchon, F, Yang, H, Ho, TY, Yan, G, Wang, J, Xu, Z, Chen, C & Zhang, D 2015. A preliminary assessment of the potential of using an acacia—biochar system for spent mine site rehabilitation. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 22, 2138-2144.
- Rhodes, AH, Carlin, A & Semple, KT 2008. Impact of Black Carbon in the Extraction and Mineralization of Phenanthrene in Soil. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 42, 740-745.
- Ro, KS, Cantrell, KB & Hunt, PG 2010. High-temperature pyrolysis of blended animal manures for producing renewable energy and value-added biochar. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 49, 10125-10131.
- Rondon, MA, Lehmann, J, Ramírez, J & Hurtado, M 2007. Biological nitrogen fixation by common beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) increases with bio-char additions. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 43, 699-708.
- Roquerol, F, Rouquerol, J & Sing, K 1999. Adsorption by powders and solids: principles, methodology, and applications. *Academic Press, London*, 54, 15893-15899.

- Rosenberger, LW & Engineering, WSUC 2007. *Modification and Characterization of Aluminum Nitride Surfaces for an Acoustic Wave Biosensor*, Wayne State University.
- Rouquerol, J, Avnir, D, Fairbridge, C, Everett, D, Haynes, J, Pernicone, N, Ramsay, J, Sing, K & Unger, K 1994. Recommendations for the characterization of porous solids (Technical Report). *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, 66, 1739-1758.
- Rousk, J, Bååth, E, Brookes, PC, Lauber, CL, Lozupone, C, Caporaso, JG, Knight, R & Fierer, N 2010. Soil bacterial and fungal communities across a pH gradient in an arable soil. *The ISME journal*, 4, 1340-1351.
- Rügner, H, Kleineidam, S & Grathwohl, P 1999. Long term sorption kinetics of phenanthrene in aquifer materials. *Environmental science & technology*, 33, 1645-1651.
- Saikia, R, Chutia, RS, Kataki, R & Pant, KK 2015. Perennial grass (*Arundo donax* L.) as a feedstock for thermo-chemical conversion to energy and materials. *Bioresource technology*, 188, 265-272.
- Saim, N, Osman, R, Spian, DRSA, Jaafar, MZ, Juahir, H, Abdullah, MP & Ab Ghani, F 2009. Chemometric approach to validating faecal sterols as source tracer for faecal contamination in water. *Water research*, 43, 5023-5030.
- Saito, M & Marumoto, T 2002a. Inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi: the status quo in Japan and the future prospects. *Plant and Soil*, 244, 273-279.
- Saleh, TA & Gupta, VK 2012. Photo-catalyzed degradation of hazardous dye methyl orange by use of a composite catalyst consisting of multi-walled carbon nanotubes and titanium dioxide. *Journal of Colloid and interface Science*, 371, 101-106.
- Samonin, V & Elikova, E 2004. A study of the adsorption of bacterial cells on porous materials. *Microbiology*, 73, 696-701.
- Sander, M & Pignatello, JJ 2005. Characterization of charcoal adsorption sites for aromatic compounds: insights drawn from single-solute and bi-solute competitive experiments. *Environmental science & technology*, 39, 1606-1615.
- Santona, L, Castaldi, P & Melis, P 2006. Evaluation of the interaction mechanisms between red muds and heavy metals. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 136, 324-329.

- Santos, F, Torn, MS & Bird, JA 2012. Biological degradation of pyrogenic organic matter in temperate forest soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 51, 115-124.
- Satyawali, Y, Seuntjens, P, Van Roy, S, Joris, I, Vangeel, S, Dejonghe, W & Vanbroekhoven, K 2011. The addition of organic carbon and nitrate affects reactive transport of heavy metals in sandy aquifers. *Journal of contaminant hydrology*, 123, 83-93.
- Scheirs, J, Camino, G & Tumiatti, W 2001. Overview of water evolution during the thermal degradation of cellulose. *European Polymer Journal*, 37, 933-942.
- Schimmelpfennig, S & Glaser, B 2012. One step forward toward characterization: some important material properties to distinguish biochars. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 41, 1001-1013.
- Schmidt, MWI & Noack, AG 2000b. Black carbon in soils and sediments: Analysis, distribution, implications, and current challenges. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 14, 777-793.
- Schnitzer, MI, Monreal, CM, Facey, GA & Fransham, PB 2007a. The conversion of chicken manure to biooil by fast pyrolysis I. Analyses of chicken manure, biooils and char by ¹³C and ¹H NMR and FTIR spectrophotometry. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health Part B*, 42, 71-77.
- Schnitzer, MI, Monreal, CM, Jandl, G, Leinweber, P & Fransham, PB 2007b. The conversion of chicken manure to biooil by fast pyrolysis II. Analysis of chicken manure, biooils, and char by curie-point pyrolysis-gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (Cp Py-GC/MS). *Journal of Environmental Science and Health Part B*, 42, 79-95.
- Semple, KT, Riding, MJ, McAllister, LE, Sopena-Vazquez, F & Bending, GD 2013. Impact of black carbon on the bioaccessibility of organic contaminants in soil. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 261, 808-816.
- Shackley, S, Sohi, S, Ibarrola, R, Hammond, J, Mašek, O, Brownsort, P, Cross, A, Prendergast-Miller, M & Haszeldine, S 2012. Biochar biochar, Tool for Climate Change Mitigation and Soil Management. *Encyclopedia of Sustainability Science and Technology*. Springer.
- Sheth, AC & Bagchi, B 2005. Investigation of nitrogen-bearing species in catalytic steam gasification of poultry litter. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association*, 55, 619-628.

- Shindo, H 1991. Elementary composition, humus composition, and decomposition in soil of charred grassland plants. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 37, 651-657.
- Shinogi, Y. Nutrient leaching from carbon products of sludge. ASAE/CSAE Annual International Meeting, 2004. Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, 462.
- Silva, F, Borrego, C, Keizer, J, Amorim, J & Verheijen, F 2015. Effects of moisture content on wind erosion thresholds of biochar. *Atmospheric Environment*, 123, 121-128.
- Sims, RC & Overcash, M 1983. Fate of polynuclear aromatic compounds (PNAs) in soil-plant systems. *Residue reviews*. Springer.
- Sing, K 1982. Reporting physisorption data for gas/solid systems with special reference to the determination of surface area and porosity (Provisional). *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, 54, 2201-2218.
- Sing, K & Williams, R 2004. Physisorption hysteresis loops and the characterization of nanoporous materials. *Adsorption Science & Technology*, 22, 773-782.
- Sing, KS 1985. Reporting physisorption data for gas/solid systems with special reference to the determination of surface area and porosity (Recommendations 1984). *Pure and applied chemistry*, 57, 603-619.
- Singh, B, Fang, Y, Cowie, BC & Thomsen, L 2014a. NEXAFS and XPS characterisation of carbon functional groups of fresh and aged biochars. *Organic Geochemistry*, 77, 1-10.
- Singh, B, Singh, BP & Cowie, AL 2010. Characterisation and evaluation of biochars for their application as a soil amendment. *Soil Research*, 48, 516-525.
- Singh, KP, Malik, A & Sinha, S 2005. Water quality assessment and apportionment of pollution sources of Gomti river (India) using multivariate statistical techniques—a case study. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 538, 355-374.
- Singh, N & Kookana, RS 2009. Organo-mineral interactions mask the true sorption potential of biochars in soils. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health Part B*, 44, 214-219.
- Sinha, R, Kulldorff, M, Gunter, MJ, Strickland, P & Rothman, N 2005. Dietary benzo [a] pyrene intake and risk of colorectal adenoma. *Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention*, 14, 2030-2034.
- Slavich, P, Sinclair, K, Morris, S, Kimber, S, Downie, A & Van Zwieten, L 2013. Contrasting effects of manure and green waste biochars on the properties of

- an acidic ferralsol and productivity of a subtropical pasture. *Plant and Soil*, 366, 213-227.
- Smalley RK & Wakefield BJ 1970. Infrared Spectroscopy Problems and Answers. .
In: Scheinmann F, e (ed.) An Introduction To Spectroscopic Methods For The Identification Of Organic Compounds. 1. Pergamon Press, Oxford.
- Smernik, RJ 2009. Biochar and sorption of organic compounds *In: Lehmann, J & Joseph, S (eds.) Biochar for environmental management science and technology*. London: Earthscan.
- Smith, JL, Collins, HP & Bailey, VL 2010. The effect of young biochar on soil respiration. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 42, 2345-2347.
- Smith, S, Ainsworth, C, Traina, S & Hicks, R 1992. Effect of sorption on the biodegradation of quinoline. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 56, 737-746.
- Sparrevik, M, Saloranta, T, Cornelissen, G, Eek, E, Fet, AM, Breedveld, GD & Linkov, I 2011a. Use of life cycle assessments to evaluate the environmental footprint of contaminated sediment remediation. *Environmental science & technology*, 45, 4235-4241.
- Spokas, KA 2010. Review of the stability of biochar in soils: predictability of O: C molar ratios. *Carbon Management*, 1, 289-303.
- Spokas, KA, Novak, JM, Stewart, CE, Cantrell, KB, Uchimiya, M, DuSaire, MG & Ro, KS 2011. Qualitative analysis of volatile organic compounds on biochar. *Chemosphere*, 85, 869-882.
- Spokas, KA, Novak, JM & Venterea, RT 2012. Biochar's role as an alternative N-fertilizer: ammonia capture. *Plant and Soil*, 350, 35-42.
- Spokas, KA & Reicosky, DC 2009. Impacts of sixteen different biochars on soil greenhouse gas production. *Ann. Environ. Sci*, 3, 4.
- Sposito, G 2008. *The chemistry of soils*, Oxford university press.
- Stals, M, Thijssen, E, Vangronsveld, J, Carleer, R, Schreurs, S & Yperman, J 2010. Flash pyrolysis of heavy metal contaminated biomass from phytoremediation: Influence of temperature, entrained flow and wood/leaves blended pyrolysis on the behaviour of heavy metals. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 87, 1-7.

- Steinbeiss, S, Gleixner, G & Antonietti, M 2009. Effect of biochar amendment on soil carbon balance and soil microbial activity. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 41, 1301-1310.
- Steiner, C, Das, KC, Garcia, M, Förster, B & Zech, W 2008a. Charcoal and smoke extract stimulate the soil microbial community in a highly weathered xanthic Ferralsol. *Pedobiologia*, 51, 359-366.
- Steiner, C, Garcia, M & Zech, W 2009. Effects of charcoal as slow release nutrient carrier on NPK dynamics and soil microbial population: Pot experiments with ferralsol substrate. *Amazonian Dark Earths: Wim Sombroek's Vision*. Springer.
- Steiner, C, Glaser, B, Geraldtes Teixeira, W, Lehmann, J, Blum, WE & Zech, W 2008c. Nitrogen retention and plant uptake on a highly weathered central Amazonian Ferralsol amended with compost and charcoal. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, 171, 893-899.
- Sun, K, Keiluweit, M, Kleber, M, Pan, Z & Xing, B 2011. Sorption of fluorinated herbicides to plant biomass-derived biochars as a function of molecular structure. *Bioresource technology*, 102, 9897-9903.
- Teng, H & Wei, Y-C 1998. Thermogravimetric studies on the kinetics of rice hull pyrolysis and the influence of water treatment. *Industrial & engineering chemistry research*, 37, 3806-3811.
- Thanh Nguyen, B & Marschner, P 2005. Effect of drying and rewetting on phosphorus transformations in red brown soils with different soil organic matter content. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 37, 1573-1576.
- Thies, JE & Rillig, MC 2009a. Characteristics of Biochar - Biological Properties. In: Lehmann, J & S., J (eds.) *Biochar for Environmental Management: Science and Technology*. London: Earthscan.
- Titirici, MM, Thomas, A, Yu, S-H, Müller, J-O & Antonietti, M 2007. A direct synthesis of mesoporous carbons with bicontinuous pore morphology from crude plant material by hydrothermal carbonization. *Chemistry of Materials*, 19, 4205-4212.
- Townend, J 2009. *Practical Statistics for Environmental and Biological Scientists*, West Sussex PO19 8SQ, England, John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

- Troy, SM, Lawlor, PG, O'Flynn, CJ & Healy, MG 2013. Impact of biochar addition to soil on greenhouse gas emissions following pig manure application. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 60, 173-181.
- Tsai, W, Lee, M & Chang, Y 2006. Fast pyrolysis of rice straw, sugarcane bagasse and coconut shell in an induction-heating reactor. *Journal of analytical and applied pyrolysis*, 76, 230-237.
- Uchimiya, M, Lima, IM, Thomas Klasson, K, Chang, S, Wartelle, LH & Rodgers, JE 2010. Immobilization of heavy metal ions (CuII, CdII, NiII, and PbII) by broiler litter-derived biochars in water and soil. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 58, 5538-5544.
- Uchimiya, M, Wartelle, LH, Klasson, KT, Fortier, CA & Lima, IM 2011. Influence of pyrolysis temperature on biochar property and function as a heavy metal sorbent in soil. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 59, 2501-2510.
- Urum, K, Grigson, S, Pekdemir, T & McMenemy, S 2006. A comparison of the efficiency of different surfactants for removal of crude oil from contaminated soils. *Chemosphere*, 62, 1403-1410.
- Van Horn, DJ, Van Horn, ML, Barrett, JE, Gooseff, MN, Altrichter, AE, Geyer, KM, Zeglin, LH & Takacs-Vesbach, CD 2013. Factors controlling soil microbial biomass and bacterial diversity and community composition in a cold desert ecosystem: role of geographic scale. *PLoS One*, 8, e66103.
- Van Krevelen, D 1950. Graphical-statistical method for the study of structure and reaction processes of coal. *Fuel*, 29, 269-284.
- van Noort, PCM, Cornelissen, G, ten Hulscher, TEM, Vrind, BA, Rigterink, H & Belfroid, A 2003. Slow and very slow desorption of organic compounds from sediment: influence of sorbate planarity. *Water Research*, 37, 2317-2322.
- Van Wesenbeeck, S, Prins, W, Ronsse, F & Antal Jr, MJ 2014. Sewage sludge carbonization for biochar applications. Fate of heavy metals. *Energy & Fuels*, 28, 5318-5326.
- Van Zwieten, L, Kimber, S, Morris, S, Chan, K, Downie, A, Rust, J, Joseph, S & Cowie, A 2010. Effects of biochar from slow pyrolysis of papermill waste on agronomic performance and soil fertility. *Plant and soil*, 327, 235-246.
- Van Zwieten, L, Singh, B, Kimber, S, Murphy, D, Macdonald, L, Rust, J & Morris, S 2014. An incubation study investigating the mechanisms that impact N₂O

- flux from soil following biochar application. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 191, 53-62.
- Vasilyeva, GK, Strijakova, ER, Nikolaeva, SN, Lebedev, AT & Shea, PJ 2010. Dynamics of PCB removal and detoxification in historically contaminated soils amended with activated carbon. *Environ Pollut*, 158, 770-7.
- Vazquez-Duhalt, R 1989. Environmental impact of used motor oil. *Science of the total environment*, 79, 1-23.
- Vega, M, Pardo, R, Barrado, E & Debán, L 1998. Assessment of seasonal and polluting effects on the quality of river water by exploratory data analysis. *Water research*, 32, 3581-3592.
- Ventura, M, Canchaya, C, Tauch, A, Chandra, G, Fitzgerald, GF, Chater, KF & van Sinderen, D 2007. Genomics of Actinobacteria: tracing the evolutionary history of an ancient phylum. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 71, 495-548.
- Verheijen, F, Jeffery, S, Bastos, A, Van der Velde, M & Diafas, I 2010. Biochar Application to Soils-A Critical Scientific Review of Effects on Soil Properties. *Processes and Functions, European Commission Joint Research Centre for scientific and Technical reports*, 51-68.
- Verstraete, W, Wittebolle, L, Heylen, K, Vanparys, B, de Vos, P, van de Wiele, T & Boon, N 2007. Microbial Resource Management: The Road To Go for Environmental Biotechnology. *Engineering in Life Sciences*, 7, 117-126.
- Von Uexküll, H & Mutert, E 1995. Global extent, development and economic impact of acid soils. *Plant and soil*, 171, 1-15.
- Wang, C, Anderson, C, Suárez-Abelenda, M, Wang, T, Camps-Arbestain, M, Ahmad, R & Herath, H 2015a. The chemical composition of native organic matter influences the response of bacterial community to input of biochar and fresh plant material. *Plant and Soil*, 395, 87-104.
- Wang, H, Lin, K, Hou, Z, Richardson, B & Gan, J 2010. Sorption of the herbicide terbuthylazine in two New Zealand forest soils amended with biosolids and biochars. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 10, 283-289.
- Wang, X, Cook, R, Tao, S & Xing, B 2007. Sorption of organic contaminants by biopolymers: Role of polarity, structure and domain spatial arrangement. *Chemosphere*, 66, 1476-1484.

- Wang, Y, Lin, Y, Chiu, PC, Imhoff, PT & Guo, M 2015b. Phosphorus release behaviors of poultry litter biochar as a soil amendment. *Science of The Total Environment*, 512, 454-463.
- Wang, Z, Zheng, H, Luo, Y, Deng, X, Herbert, S & Xing, B 2013. Characterization and influence of biochars on nitrous oxide emission from agricultural soil. *Environmental Pollution*, 174, 289-296.
- Wardle, DA 1998. Controls of temporal variability of the soil microbial biomass: A global-scale synthesis. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 30, 1627-1637.
- Wardle, DA, Nilsson, M-C & Zackrisson, O 2008. Fire-derived charcoal causes loss of forest humus. *Science*, 320, 629-629.
- Wardle, DA, Zackrisson, O & Nilsson, MC 1998. The charcoal effect in Boreal forests: mechanisms and ecological consequences. *Oecologia*, 115, 419-426.
- Warnock, DD, Lehmann, J, Kuyper, TW & Rillig, MC 2007. Mycorrhizal responses to biochar in soil—concepts and mechanisms. *Plant and soil*, 300, 9-20.
- Wei, B, Jiang, F, Li, X & Mu, S 2010. Heavy metal induced ecological risk in the city of Urumqi, NW China. *Environmental monitoring and assessment*, 160, 33-45.
- Wengel, M, Kothe, E, Schmidt, CM, Heide, K & Gleixner, G 2006. Degradation of organic matter from black shales and charcoal by the wood-rotting fungus *Schizophyllum commune* and release of DOC and heavy metals in the aqueous phase. *Science of the total Environment*, 367, 383-393.
- Wessels, JG 1999. Fungi in their own right. *Fungal Genetics and Biology*, 27, 134-145.
- White, JC, Kelsey, JW, Hatzinger, PB & Alexander, M 1997. Factors affecting sequestration and bioavailability of phenanthrene in soils. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 16, 2040-2045.
- White, JE, Catallo, WJ & Legendre, BL 2011. Biomass pyrolysis kinetics: A comparative critical review with relevant agricultural residue case studies. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 91, 1-33.
- WHO-Europe 2003. Health Aspects of Air Pollution with Particulate Matter, Ozone and Nitrogen Dioxide. Bonn, Germany. www.euro.who.int/__data/assets/pdf_file/0005/112199/E79097.pdf, accessed: [08/12/2016].

- Wilson, SC & Jones, KC 1993. Bioremediation of soil contaminated with polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs): a review. *Environmental pollution*, 81, 229-249.
- Wornat, MJ, Hurt, RH, Yang, NY & Headley, TJ 1995. Structural and compositional transformations of biomass chars during combustion. *Combustion and Flame*, 100, 131-143.
- Wu, J & Brookes, P 2005. The proportional mineralisation of microbial biomass and organic matter caused by air-drying and rewetting of a grassland soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 37, 507-515.
- Wu, M-L, Wang, Y-S, Sun, C-C, Wang, H, Dong, J-D, Yin, J-P & Han, S-H 2010. Identification of coastal water quality by statistical analysis methods in Daya Bay, South China Sea. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 60, 852-860.
- Xia, X, Li, Y, Zhou, Z & Feng, C 2010. Bioavailability of adsorbed phenanthrene by black carbon and multi-walled carbon nanotubes to *Agrobacterium*. *Chemosphere*, 78, 1329-1336.
- Xiao, B & Thomas, K 2004. Competitive adsorption of aqueous metal ions on an oxidized nanoporous activated carbon. *Langmuir*, 20, 4566-4578.
- Xiao, L, Bi, E, Du, B, Zhao, X & Xing, C 2014. Surface characterization of maize-straw-derived biochars and their sorption performance for MTBE and benzene. *Environmental earth sciences*, 71, 5195-5205.
- Xie, QR, Tong, ZF, Zheng, LW & Chen, XG. Characterization of Coconut Shell Activated Carbon Obtained from By-Product of Preparation Process of Bio-Oil with Fast Pyrolysis. *Advanced Materials Research*, 2011. Trans Tech Publ, 1215-1218.
- Xing, B & Pignatello, JJ 1997. Dual-mode sorption of low-polarity compounds in glassy poly (vinyl chloride) and soil organic matter. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 31, 792-799.
- Xu, G, Wang, L, Liu, J & Wu, J 2013. FTIR and XPS analysis of the changes in bamboo chemical structure decayed by white-rot and brown-rot fungi. *Applied Surface Science*, 280, 799-805.
- Xu, Y & Chen, B 2013. Investigation of thermodynamic parameters in the pyrolysis conversion of biomass and manure to biochars using thermogravimetric analysis. *Bioresource technology*, 146, 485-493.

- Yamato, M, Okimori, Y, Wibowo, IF, Anshori, S & Ogawa, M 2006. Effects of the application of charred bark of *Acacia mangium* on the yield of maize, cowpea and peanut, and soil chemical properties in South Sumatra, Indonesia. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 52, 489-495.
- Yao, Y, Gao, B, Zhang, M, Inyang, M & Zimmerman, AR 2012. Effect of biochar amendment on sorption and leaching of nitrate, ammonium, and phosphate in a sandy soil. *Chemosphere*, 89, 1467-1471.
- Yargicoglu, EN, Sadasivam, BY, Reddy, KR & Spokas, K 2015. Physical and chemical characterization of waste wood derived biochars. *Waste Management*, 36, 256-268.
- Yedla, S & Dikshit, A 2001. Removal mechanism of endosulfan sorption onto wood charcoal. *International Journal of Environment and Pollution*, 15, 528-542.
- Yip, K, Xu, M, Li, C-Z, Jiang, SP & Wu, H 2010. Biochar as a fuel: 3. Mechanistic understanding on biochar thermal annealing at mild temperatures and its effect on biochar reactivity. *Energy & Fuels*, 25, 406-414.
- Yu, C, Tang, Y-l, Fang, M-x, Luo, Z-y & Ceng, K 2005. Experimental study on alkali emission during rice straw pyrolysis. *JOURNAL-ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY ENGINEERING SCIENCE*, 39, 1435.
- Yu, R, Yuan, X, Zhao, Y, HU, G & TU, X 2008. Heavy metal pollution in intertidal sediments from Quanzhou Bay, China. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 20, 664-669.
- Yu, X-Y, Ying, G-G & Kookana, RS 2006. Sorption and desorption behaviors of diuron in soils amended with charcoal. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 54, 8545-8550.
- Yuan, J-H, Xu, R-K & Zhang, H 2011. The forms of alkalis in the biochar produced from crop residues at different temperatures. *Bioresource technology*, 102, 3488-3497.
- Zackrisson, O, Nilsson, M-C & Wardle, DA 1996. Key ecological function of charcoal from wildfire in the Boreal forest. *Oikos*, 10-19.
- Zavalloni, C, Alberti, G, Biasiol, S, Vedove, GD, Fornasier, F, Liu, J & Peressotti, A 2011. Microbial mineralization of biochar and wheat straw mixture in soil: A short-term study. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 50, 45-51.
- Zevenhoven-Onderwater, M 2001. *Ash-forming matter in biomass fuels*, Åbo Akademi Åbo/Turku, Finland.

- Zhang, H, Lin, K, Wang, H & Gan, J 2010. Effect of *Pinus radiata* derived biochars on soil sorption and desorption of phenanthrene. *Environmental Pollution*, 158, 2821-2825.
- Zhang, J & Wang, Q 2016. Sustainable mechanisms of biochar derived from brewers' spent grain and sewage sludge for ammonia–nitrogen capture. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 112, Part 5, 3927-3934.
- Zhang, M, Shu, L, Guo, X, Shen, X, Zhang, H, Shen, G, Wang, B, Yang, Y, Tao, S & Wang, X 2015. Impact of humic acid coating on sorption of naphthalene by biochars. *Carbon*, 94, 946-954.
- Zhang, M & Wu, H 2015. Bioslurry as a Fuel. 6. Leaching Characteristics of Alkali and Alkaline Earth Metallic Species from Biochar by Bio-oil Model Compounds. *Energy & Fuels*, 29, 2535-2541.
- Zhang, T, Walawender, WP, Fan, L, Fan, M, Daugaard, D & Brown, R 2004. Preparation of activated carbon from forest and agricultural residues through CO₂ activation. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 105, 53-59.
- Zhang, W, Sun, H & Wang, L 2013. Influence of the Interactions Between Black Carbon and Soil Constituents on the Sorption of Pyrene. *Soil and Sediment Contamination: An International Journal*, 22, 469-482.
- Zhao, L, Cao, X, Mašek, O & Zimmerman, A 2013. Heterogeneity of biochar properties as a function of feedstock sources and production temperatures. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 256, 1-9.
- Zhou, J-H, Sui, Z-J, Zhu, J, Li, P, Chen, D, Dai, Y-C & Yuan, W-K 2007. Characterization of surface oxygen complexes on carbon nanofibers by TPD, XPS and FT-IR. *Carbon*, 45, 785-796.
- Zhou, J, He, Z, Van Nostrand, JD, Wu, L & Deng, Y 2010. Applying GeoChip analysis to disparate microbial communities. *Microbe*, 5, 60-65.
- Zhu, D, Kwon, S & Pignatello, JJ 2005. Adsorption of Single-Ring Organic Compounds to Wood Charcoals Prepared under Different Thermochemical Conditions. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 39, 3990-3998.
- Zimmerman, AR 2010. Abiotic and Microbial Oxidation of Laboratory-Produced Black Carbon (Biochar). *Environmental Science & Technology*, 44, 1295-1301.

- Zimmerman, AR, Gao, B & Ahn, M-Y 2011. Positive and negative carbon mineralization priming effects among a variety of biochar-amended soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 43, 1169-1179.
- Zimmermann, M, Bird, MI, Wurster, C, Saiz, G, Goodrick, I, Barta, J, Capek, P, Santruckova, H & Smernik, R 2012. Rapid degradation of pyrogenic carbon. *Global Change Biology*, 18, 3306-3316.