

AN INVESTIGATION STUDY OF TRANSMISSION LINE FAULT LOCATION  
USING TRAVELLING WAVE

CASSANDRA DARLENE AK PETER JOHN REMEK

A project report submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Electrical Power)

School of Electrical Engineering  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2019

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my project report supervisor, Professor IR. Dr. Tan Chee Wei, for encouragement, guidance and critics. I am also indebted to Dr Chen and Mr Tham for their assistance in sharing their knowledge on TWS. My fellow postgraduate student should also be recognised for their support in class and working my project report and, I am grateful to all my family member.

## ABSTRACT

The project report proposes that travelling wave will produced different location when the reflected wave is interpreted differently by the devices in the system. The security of Sarawak Grid depends very much on the availability of the transmission network. It is, therefore, important that any transmission line fault can be located speedily and accurately to minimize the down-time or repeated tripping. Currently, Sarawak Energy Berhad (SEB) is using Traveling Wave Fault Location System (TWS) to identify correct transmission line fault location. For a single-ended travelling wave fault location, the identification on the reflected wave is the core of getting precise location of the fault. Due to the polarity of the travelling wave reflected from the fault point or from the remote-end bus is affected by the bus configuration at both ends of the faulted line, it is difficult to identify whether the travelling wave is reflected from the fault point or the remote-end bus using the polarity of single modal travelling wave. A previous study only proposes method of locating by simulating the fault in a controlled environment. There are two types of traveling wave analysis techniques are proposed in the project report. The modelling of the simplified electrical network will be done using MATLAB Simulink where four types of fault; 3-phase fault, 2-phase fault, 2 phase-to-ground faults and single-phase fault will be simulated in the network. Analysis of the travelling wave waveforms are done after the signal processing of the faulted current waveform are carried out. Analysis of the travelling wave waveforms show that effects of variables such as fault inception angle, fault resistance, line distance and weak-end will produce a fault location which is not accurate. The data analyzed with the methods proposed in the project report found that the results reflect the finding in the simplified electrical network modelling. The simulation shows that the fault impedance has a minor effect (less than 5% error margin) on the traveling wave waveform and amplitude. However, the fault inception angle (less than  $5^\circ$ ) can be a cause for the TWS fault location equipment to mis-operate and not capture the event.

## ABSTRAK

Laporan projek ini mencadangkan bahawa gelombang-menjalar akan menghasilkan lokasi yang berbeza apabila gelombang yang dipantulkan ditafsirkan secara berbeza oleh peranti dalam sistem. Keselamatan Grid Sarawak sangat bergantung kepada ketersediaan rangkaian penghantaran. Oleh itu, adalah penting bahawa sebarang gangguan pada talian penghantaran boleh ditempatkan dengan cepat dan tepat untuk meminimumkan masa gangguan atau pengulangan gangguan. Pada masa ini, Sarawak Energy Berhad (SEB) menggunakan Sistem Gelombang-Menjalar (TWS) untuk mengenal pasti lokasi gangguan pada penghantaran talian yang betul. Untuk lokasi gangguan gelombang-menjalar penamat tunggal, pengenalpastian pada gelombang yang dipantulkan adalah penting untuk mendapatkan lokasi tepat bagi gangguan. Kerana polariti gelombang-menjalar yang tercermin dari titik kesalahan atau dari bus jarak jauh dipengaruhi oleh konfigurasi bus pada kedua-dua hujung garis bersalah, adalah sukar untuk mengenal pasti sama ada gelombang perjalanan tercermin dari titik kesalahan atau bus jarak jauh menggunakan kekutuban gelombang perjalanan modal tunggal. Satu kajian terdahulu hanya mencadangkan cara mencari dengan mensimulasi semula gangguan dalam persekitaran terkawal. Terdapat dua jenis teknik analisis gelombang-menjalar yang dicadangkan dalam tesis. Pemodelan rangkaian elektrik yang mudah akan dilakukan menggunakan MATLAB Simulink di mana empat jenis gangguan; 3-fasa, 2-fasa, 2-fasa-ke-bumi dan 1-fasa akan disimulasikan dalam rangkaian. Analisis gelombang pada gelombang-menjalar dilakukan selepas pemprosesan isyarat bentuk gelombang semasa gangguan berlaku. Analisis gelombang pada gelombang-menjalar menunjukkan bahawa kesan pemboleh ubah seperti sudut permulaan (inception angle) gangguan, rintangan dan jarak talian penjana akan menghasilkan lokasi gangguan yang tidak tepat. Data dianalisis dengan kaedah yang dicadangkan dalam tesis mendapati bahawa hasilnya mencerminkan penemuan dalam pemodelan rangkaian elektrik yang dipermudahkan. Simulasi menunjukkan bahawa kesan rintangan mempunyai kesan kecil (kurang daripada 5% julat ralat) pada gelombang-menjalar dan amplitud. Walau bagaimanapun, sudut permulaan gangguan (kurang daripada  $5^\circ$ ) boleh menjadi punca bagi peralatan lokasi gangguan TWS tidak menangkap peristiwa gangguan tersebut.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xii</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xiii</b>
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xvi</b>
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Problem Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Research Objective	3
	1.4 Project Scope	4
	1.5 Project Outline	4
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>5</b>
	2.1 Introduction	5
	2.2 Traveling Waves on Transmission Line	5
	2.3 Principle of Traveling Wave in Transmission Line	7
	2.3.1 Traveling Waves on Transmission Line	7
	2.3.2 Traveling Wave Shape	14
	2.3.3 Propagation Velocity	14
	2.3.4 Reflection and Refraction of Travelling Waves	15
	2.4 Condition during Line Fault	17
	2.4.1 Short Circuit Line Fault	17
	2.4.2 Open Circuit Line Fault	19

2.5	Signal Processing for Traveling Wave Fault Location	20
2.5.1	Modal Analysis	20
2.6	Traveling Wave Extraction	23
2.6.1	Bandpass Filter	23
2.6.2	Frequency Domain Analysis	24
2.6.3	Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT)	24
2.6.4	Filter Bank	28
2.6.5	Analysis Sub-Band Filter	29
2.7	Comparison of Past Studies	30
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>33</b>
3.1	Overview	33
3.2	Traveling Wave Fault Location Techniques and Modelling	35
3.2.1	Single-Ended Algorithm: Single Circuit Line	36
3.2.2	Double-Ended Algorithm: Single Circuit Line	38
3.3	Power System Modelling	40
3.3.1	Transmission Line Model	41
3.3.2	Generator Model	42
3.3.3	Fault Module	42
3.3.4	Filter Banks Block	43
3.3.5	Modal Transform Function Block	44
3.3.6	Analysis sub-band Filter Bank	45
3.4	Research Planning and Schedule (Gantt Chart)	45
3.5	Modeling of the test setup	46
3.6	Simulation Program	47
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>50</b>
4.1	Simulation Result	50
4.2	Summary of Simulation Result	50
4.2.1	3-phase fault (L1, L2 and L3)	53
4.2.1.1	Case 101– 3-phase faults at 10km of a 200km line from Station A with fault inception angle 90°	53

4.2.1.2	Case 102 – 3-phase fault at 10km of a 200km line from Station A with fault inception angle 70°	56
4.2.1.3	Case 103 – 3-phase fault at 10km of a 200km line from Station A with fault inception angle 45°	56
4.2.1.4	Case 104 – 3-phase fault at 10km of a 200km line from Station A with fault inception angle 20°	57
4.2.1.5	Case 105 – 3-phase faults at 10km of a 200km line from Station A with fault inception angle 0°	57
4.2.1.6	Case 106 – 3-phase fault at 100km of a 200km line from Station A with fault inception angle 90°	59
4.2.1.7	Comparison of 3-phase fault at fault inception angle 0°, 20°, 45°, 70° and 90°	60
4.2.2	Phase-to-phase/2-phase fault (L1 to L2)	61
4.2.2.1	Case 201– 2-phase fault at 100km of a 200km line from Station A with fault inception angle 90°	61
4.2.2.2	Comparison of 2-phase fault at fault inception angle 0°, 20°, 45°, 70° and 90°	62
4.2.3	Single-phase-to-ground fault	63
4.2.3.1	Case 301 – 1-phase fault at 10km of a 200km line from Station A with fault inception angle 90°	63
4.2.3.2	Case 302 – 1-phase fault at 150km of a 200km line from Station A with fault inception angle 90°	65
4.2.3.3	Case 303 – 1-phase fault at 100km of a 200km line from Station A with fault inception angle 90°	66
4.2.3.4	Case 307 – 1-phase fault at 100km of a 200km line from Station A with fault inception angle 0°	68

4.2.3.5	Comparison of 1-phase fault at fault inception angle $0^\circ$ , $20^\circ$ , $45^\circ$ , $70^\circ$ and $90^\circ$	69
4.2.4	Traveling wave at weak end infeed (far from generation)	69
4.2.4.1	Case 401 –3-phase fault at 100km of a 200km line from Station A measured at weak end (far from generation) with fault inception angle $90^\circ$	70
4.2.4.2	Case 402 –3-phase fault at 100km of a 200km line from Station A measured at weak end (far from generation) with fault inception angle $70^\circ$	70
4.2.4.3	Case 403 –3-phase fault at 100km of a 200km line from Station A measured at weak end (far from generation) with fault inception angle $45^\circ$	71
4.2.4.4	Case 404 –3-phase fault at 100km of a 200km line from Station A measured at weak end (far from generation) with fault inception angle $20^\circ$	71
4.2.4.5	Case 405 –3 phase fault at 100km of a 200km line from Station A measured at weak end (far from generation) with fault inception angle $0^\circ$	72
4.2.4.6	Comparison of 3-phase fault at weak end with fault inception angle $0^\circ$ , $20^\circ$ , $45^\circ$ , $70^\circ$ and $90^\circ$	72
4.2.5	Effect of Long Line on Traveling Wave	72
4.2.5.1	Case 501 – 1-phase fault at 10km with fault inception angle $90^\circ$	73
4.2.5.2	Case 502 – 1-phase fault at 200km with fault inception angle $90^\circ$	74
4.2.5.3	Case 503 – 1-phase fault at 400km with fault inception angle $90^\circ$	75
4.2.5.4	Comparison between fault at 10 km, 200 km and 400 km	76

4.2.6	Effect of Fault resistance on Traveling Wave	76
4.2.6.1	Case 601 – 1-phase fault at 100km with fault resistance 1 $\Omega$ .	77
4.2.6.2	Case 602 – 1-phase fault at 100km with fault resistance 100 $\Omega$ .	77
4.2.6.3	Case 603 – 1-phase fault at 100km with fault resistance 250 $\Omega$ .	78
4.2.6.4	Case 604– 1-phase fault at 100km with fault resistance 500 $\Omega$ .	79
4.2.6.5	Comparison between fault resistance at 1 $\Omega$ , 100 $\Omega$ , 250 $\Omega$ and 500 $\Omega$	79
4.3	Summary	80
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>81</b>
5.1	Conclusion	81
5.2	Future Recommendation	82
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>83</b>
<b>APPENDIX A-E</b>		<b>87-90</b>

## **LIST OF TABLES**

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Table 2.1	Comparison of Past Studies.....	30
Table 3.1	Test Case for Simulation .....	48
Table 4.1	Summary of TWS fault location results and errors .....	50

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Figure 2.1	Transmission line equivalent circuit showing distributed parameters.....	7
Figure 2.2	Equivalent circuit of line marked Section X in Figure 2.1.....	8
Figure 2.3	A typical traveling wave waveform .....	14
Figure 2.4	Bewley's Lattice Diagram.....	15
Figure 2.5	Modal transformation decoupling .....	22
Figure 2.6	Resultant fault current for a single-phase-to-ground fault .....	24
Figure 2.7	3-level Wavelet transform filter bank.....	28
Figure 2.8	Analysis Sub-Band Filter FIR filter blocks .....	29
Figure 3.1	Flowchart of study.....	34
Figure 3.2	Double ended technique hardware setup.....	35
Figure 3.3	General Travelling Wave Fault Locator System (TWS) Architecture .....	36
Figure 3.4	Traveling wave on a line protected with single-ended technique..	37
Figure 3.5	Aerial mode of fault current after modal transform and sub-band filter .....	37
Figure 3.6	Traveling wave on a line protected with double-ended technique.. .....	39
Figure 3.7	Sample system setup in MATLAB Simulink.....	41
Figure 3.8	Line symbol and line block parameters input.....	41
Figure 3.9	Generator symbol and generator block parameters input.....	42
Figure 3.10	Fault symbol and Fault block parameters input .....	43
Figure 3.11	Bandpass filter symbol and input page.....	44
Figure 3.12	Modal transform symbol and input page.....	44
Figure 3.13	Two channel analysis sub-band filter symbol and input page.....	45
Figure 4.1	Case 101 fault voltage and current at Station A .....	53
Figure 4.2	Case 101 fault voltage and current at Station B .....	53

Figure 4.3	Case 101 Station A double ended waveform analysis.....	54
Figure 4.4	Case 101 Station B double ended waveform analysis.....	54
Figure 4.5	Case 101 Station A single ended waveform analysis.....	55
Figure 4.6	Case 101 Station B single ended waveform analysis .....	55
Figure 4.7	Case 105 Station A double ended waveform analysis.....	57
Figure 4.8	Case 105 Station B double ended waveform analysis.....	58
Figure 4.9	Case 105 Station A single ended waveform analysis.....	58
Figure 4.10	Case 105 Station B single ended waveform analysis .....	58
Figure 4.11	Case 106 Station A double ended waveform analysis.....	59
Figure 4.12	Case 106 Station B double ended waveform analysis.....	59
Figure 4.13	Case 106 Station A single ended waveform analysis.....	60
Figure 4.14	Case 106 Station B single ended waveform analysis .....	60
Figure 4.15	Case 201 Station A double ended waveform analysis.....	61
Figure 4.16	Case 201 Station B double ended waveform analysis.....	61
Figure 4.17	Case 201 Station A single ended waveform analysis.....	62
Figure 4.18	Case 201 Station B single ended waveform analysis .....	62
Figure 4.19	Case 301 fault voltage and current at Station A.....	63
Figure 4.20	Case 301 Station A double ended waveform analysis.....	63
Figure 4.21	Case 301 Station B double ended waveform analysis.....	64
Figure 4.22	Case 301 Station A single ended waveform analysis.....	64
Figure 4.23	Case 301 Station B single ended waveform analysis .....	64
Figure 4.24	Case 302 Station A double ended waveform analysis.....	65
Figure 4.25	Case 302 Station B double ended waveform analysis.....	65
Figure 4.26	Case 302 Station A single ended waveform analysis.....	65
Figure 4.27	Case 302 Station B single ended waveform analysis .....	66
Figure 4.28	Case 303 Station A double ended waveform analysis.....	66
Figure 4.29	Case 303 Station B double ended waveform analysis.....	66
Figure 4.30	Case 303 Station A single ended waveform analysis.....	67
Figure 4.31	Case 303 Station B single ended waveform analysis .....	67

Figure 4.32	Case 307 Station A double ended waveform analysis.....	68
Figure 4.33	Case 307 Station B double ended waveform analysis.....	68
Figure 4.34	Case 304 Station A single ended waveform analysis.....	68
Figure 4.35	Case 304 Station B single ended waveform analysis .....	69
Figure 4.36	Case 401 weak end traveling wave .....	70
Figure 4.37	Case 402 weak end traveling wave .....	70
Figure 4. 38	Case 403 weak end traveling wave .....	71
Figure 4. 39	Case 404 weak end traveling wave .....	71
Figure 4. 40	Case 405 weak end traveling wave .....	72
Figure 4. 41	Case 501 fault voltage and current for fault at 10km .....	73
Figure 4. 42	Traveling wave for fault at 10km with fault inception angle at 90° .....	73
Figure 4. 43	Single ended analysis for fault at 10km and fault inception angle at 90° .....	74
Figure 4. 44	Case 502 fault voltage and current for fault at 200km .....	74
Figure 4. 45	Traveling wave for fault at 200km with fault inception angle at 90° 74	
Figure 4. 46	Single ended analysis for fault at 200km with fault inception angle at 90°.....	75
Figure 4. 47	Case 503 fault voltage and current for fault at 400km .....	75
Figure 4. 48	Traveling wave for fault at 400km with fault inception angle at 90° .....	75
Figure 4. 49	Single ended analysis for fault at 400km with fault inception angle at 90°.....	76
Figure 4. 50	Case 601 Traveling wave at 100km 1Ω .....	77
Figure 4. 51	Case 601 Single ended waveform analysis at 100km 1Ω .....	77
Figure 4. 52	Case 602 Traveling wave at 100km 100Ω .....	77
Figure 4. 53	Case 602 Single ended waveform analysis at 100km 100Ω .....	78
Figure 4. 54	Case 603 Traveling wave at 100km 250Ω .....	78
Figure 4. 55	Case 603 Single ended waveform analysis at 100km 250Ω .....	78
Figure 4. 56	Case 604 Traveling wave at 100km 500Ω .....	79
Figure 4. 57	Case 604 Single ended waveform analysis at 100km 500Ω .....	79

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

Y	-	Admittance [ $\text{Ohm}^{-1}$ ]
a	-	Attenuation Constant [Napers/m]
b	-	Phase constant
C	-	Capacitance [Farad]
$Z_0$	-	Characteristics Impedance [Ohm]
G	-	Conductance [ $\text{Ohm}^{-1}$ ]
L	-	Inductance [Henry]
v	-	Propagation speed of wave [m/s]
$\gamma$	-	Propagation Constant
R	-	Resistance [Ohm]
$\Psi$	-	Mother wavelet
H	-	Frequency (Hertz)
CWT	-	Continuous wavelet transforms
DWT	-	Discrete wavelet transforms
DSP	-	Digital Signal Processing
TWS	-	Traveling wave fault location system
FT	-	Fourier transform
EMTP	-	Electro-magnetic transient program
EHV	-	Extra High Voltage
FIR	-	Finite Impulse Response
CT	-	Current transformer
SEL	-	Schweitzer Engineering Laboratories Inc.

## LIST OF APPENDICES

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Appendix A	A1 - Gantt Chart – Semester 1 .....	87
Appendix B	A2 - Gantt Chart – Semester 2 .....	87
Appendix C	B1-System Setup in MATLAB Simulink with 2 Power Sources.... .....	88
Appendix D	B2-System Setup in MATLAB Simulink with 1 Power Sources And 1 Load .....	89
Appendix E	Parameters for MATLAB Simulation Models .....	90



# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Problem Background

Electrical power grid is made up of generation, transmission lines, substations and distribution networks. Transmission lines are normally designed for extra high voltage (EHV) operation to connect the generation stations to the load centres. The generation/power stations are usually further away from load centres/user and therefore EHV transmission lines must cross large physical distances for the interconnection. Transmission lines are subjected to line faults due to lightning, mechanical failures, vegetation incursions and other natural elements that are impossible to completely protect. A fault on the transmission system has great impact on system stability and availability. It is therefore very necessary to locate, clear the fault and normalize the effected line immediately.

Since Malaysia has a tropical climate, the rate of lightning occurring is high and vegetation growth is rapid. Transmission lines are located mainly in a corridor assigned away from major development and thus subjected to faults arising from faults caused by vegetation, animals, lightning and failure of transmission line hardware. For most of transmission line faults, single phase-to-ground faults dominates, and this fault type is will be studied in greater detail in this paper. Three phase faults are mainly due to mal-operation of switching operation with 3-phase portable earth not removed during energization. Double phase faults are not very common and may be due to conductor breakage. Although the effect on stability of the system is greater for the 3-phase and 2-phase faults, these rarely occur in real time.

During fault occurrence, the protection equipment would detect the fault and disconnect the faulted line from the electrical system. This action from the protective equipment and switchgears take less than 120 ms to complete and any fault location

equipment is expected to give the operator a precise fault location immediately after the fault. Traditional impedance measurement and fault location has a wide tolerance depending on the fault type and system topology. This method is not dependable and therefore a better and more accurate method of fault location is required.

Traveling wave fault location has gained a wide acceptance with the ready availability of accurate due to GPS timing equipment. The accuracy of TWS location equipment is reported to be less than 300 m which is within 1 pole span of a typical EHV transmission line. The hardware setup of the TWS fault location system is simple to implement and to retrofit. The core system includes clamp on current transformers, detection and recording equipment, GPS timing equipment and a basic communication channel to the main station.

The location of fault is determined by accurate time tagging the arrival of traveling wave at each end of the line. No specific line parameters such as line impedance are required for this method. The only input required analysing fault data with the traveling wave fault locator are line length and wave velocity. TWS fault locator can locate phase-to-phase and phase-to ground fault, irrespective of the value of fault resistance, as well as broken conductors. It provides a level of accuracy ( $\pm 150\text{m}$  regardless of line length) many times better than that offered by conventional 'one-ended impedance base' type fault locator (accuracy about 5%-10% of the total line length). It also can locate vegetation fault more easily as compared to the conventional 'impedance' type fault locator.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

Conventional method of using single ended impedance measurement for fault location has many challenges as described by Zimmerman, K and Costello, D (2004). Traditional impedance-based fault location is not accurate enough and result is dependent on system topologies and fault types. The errors caused by fault resistance and load, mutual coupling effect and in-feeds are some of the main contributors to inaccurate result of fault location. The method does not give accurate results and are

not reliable for high impedance faults. In nature, vegetation faults are normally having a high impedance

Traveling wave fault location technique is gaining wide acceptance in locating line faults. The technique requires the study of traveling wave produced naturally during a fault and is not affected by the various system conditions associated in the impedance method. However, there are concerns with the accuracy of fault location due to a different set of constraints such as fault inception angle, fault impedance and algorithm to determine the fault location (Sawai, Suraj, Pradhan, A K and Naidu, OD, 2017). These constraints need to be investigated to justify the suitability to use traveling wave as an accurate and dependable fault location technique.

For single phase faults which dominates most of transmission line fault type in Malaysia (Abdullah Asuhaimi Mohd. Zin, Sazali PO. Abdul Karim, 2007), there has been reports from utilities that single phase faults caused by high fault resistance are not reliably captured by TWS system. The performance of traveling wave fault location technique has produced mixed results which roughly has a success rate of about 80%.

### **1.3 Research Objective**

The objectives of the research are:

- (a) To verify and simulate of a sample transmission system using MATLAB for a transmission line of 200 km with 2 generation sources at either ends of the line for the study of traveling wave fault location. The distance can be varied from 100 km to 400 km.
- (b) To simulate and verify by analysis of the traveling waveform the fault distances of the modelled transmission line for all fault types at various fault distances, fault inception angles, fault resistances and generation source.

## **1.4 Project Scope**

The research work carried out in this project are concentrated to the following aspects:

- (a) Verification of fault location using traveling wave techniques
- (b) Determination of fault location using traveling wave phenomenon
- (c) Accuracy of fault location simulation
- (d) Verification of the validity of traveling techniques for different fault types
- (e) Observe the effects of fault inception angle on fault traveling wave
- (f) Observe the effects of fault resistance on traveling wave
- (g) Observe the effects of line distance on traveling wave
- (h) Investigate the weak end condition on traveling wave

## **1.5 Project Outline**

In this project report, a total of 5 chapters are presented. First chapter will introduce the background of the problem and the objectives of this study. Some limitation and scopes are explained. Chapter 2 will investigate literature review, theory, formula behind the finding the fault location.

Further detailed explanation on the proposed MATLAB-Simulink setup and implementation method will be enlightened in chapter 3. Collected data and short explanation on the result will be presented in chapter 4. Chapter 5 will present the conclusion of the project report and further works needed to be done to improve the TWS. Research schedules are explained in terms of Gantt chart for the whole frame of study

## REFERENCES

- Abdullah Asuhaimi Mohd. Zin, Sazali PO. Abdul Karim, (2007 ) “The Application of Fault Signature Analysis in Tenaga National Berhad Malaysia”, *IEEE Transaction on Power Delivery*, Vol 22 No.4.
- Ahmed R. Azzam, Muna A. Awajan, Dima S.Suleiman, Cathrine J. Qadi And. Hussein D.Al-Majali, (2018) "Protection Of Series Capacitor Compensation To Improving Power Capability Of A Transmission Line" *Int. Journal of Engineering Research and Application* , Vol. 8, Issue3, ( Part -2), page 16-21
- Ando, M, Schweitzer, E.O and Baker, R , (1985)“Development of Field Data Evaluation of Single Ended Fault Locator for Two Terminal HVDC Transmission Lines. Part 1: Data Collection System and Field Data”, *IEEE Transaction on Power Apparatus and Systems*. PAS-104; page 3524-3530
- Ando, M, Schweitzer, E.O and Baker, R, (1985)“Development of Field Data Evaluation of Single Ended Fault Locator for Two Terminal HVDC Transmission Lines. Part 2: Algorithm and Evaluation”, *IEEE Transaction on Power Apparatus and Systems*. PAS-104; page 3531-3537
- Andrade, L and Leao, P, (2012) “Traveling Wave Based Fault Location Analysis for Transmission Lines” *EPJ Web of Conferences*, 33, 04005
- Bewley, L.V, (1963) “Traveling Waves on Transmission Systems. Dover Publications Inc. Second Edition”
- Brajagopal, D. and Saibal, C., (2012) "A literature review on use of Bewley's lattice diagram", *1st International Conference on Power and Energy in NERIST (ICPEN)*

- Carson, J.R.,(1926) “Wave Propagation in Overhead Wires with Ground Return”, Bell System Technical Journal Vol 5", (page 539-554)
- Chen, P, Xu, P, Li, J and Chen, Y, “Modern Transmission Line Traveling Wave Fault Location Technique and Operation” Kehui (Translated from Chinese)
- Clarke, E, (1943) “Circuit Analysis of AC Power Systems: Symmetrical and Related Components”, Wiley, New York
- Daubechies, I, (1992), “Ten Lectures on Wavelets”, SIAM, Philadelphia;
- Glik, K, Rasolomampionona, D.D and Kowalik, R. (2012),“Detection, Classification and Fault Location in HV Lines Using Traveling Waves”, *Electrical Review Warsaw University of Technology*, R88 NR1a/2012; page 269-275
- Sarawak Government , (2003), “The Electricity Ordinance, The Electricity (State Grid Code) Rules, 2003", *Sarawak Government Gazette*, Vol. LVIII; page 394
- Hanqing Liang, Yadong Liu, Gehao Sheng, and Xiuchen Jiang, “Reproduction Methodology for Single Phase-to-Ground Faults in Overhead Transmission Lines”, *IEEE Access*, Vol 5, DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2740955; 2017
- Hayt, W.H.Jr and Buck, J.A, “Engineering Electromagnetics”, Mcgraw Hill, New York, 6th Edition; 2001
- Hwan, K.C and Aggarwal, R., “Wavelet Transform in Power Systems: Part 1 General Introduction to Wavelet Transform”, *IEE Power Engineering Journal*, Vol 14; 2000 (page 81-87)
- Lewis, L.J, (1951), “Traveling Wave Relations Applicable to Power System Fault Locators”, *AIEE Transaction* Vol. 70; page 1671-1680
- Kehui, (2017), "TFS-2100E Travelling Wave Fault Location System", Product presentation

- Magnago F.H and Abur, A, “Fault Location Using Wavelets”, IEEE Transaction on Power Apparatus and Systems Vol. 13; 1998 (page 1475-1480)
- Magnago F.H and Abur, A, “Use of Time Delays Between Modal Components in Wavelet Based Fault Location”, International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems.Vol. 22; 2000 (page 397-403)
- The Math Works Inc. and Natick , “MATLAB User’s Guide and Help”, from <https://www.mathworks.com/help/matlab/>
- Robertson, D, Camps, O, Mayer, J and Gish, W, “Wavelets and Electromagnetic Power System Transient”, IEEE Transaction on Power Delivery. Vol. 11; 1996 (page 1050-1058)
- Rohrig, J, “Location of Faulty Places by Measuring with Cathode Ray Oscilloscope”, Elekicitat Zeitschrift; 1931 (page 241-242)
- Shahmordi, S, “Detection of Fault Location on Transmission Systems Using Wavelet Transform” International Academic Journal of Science and Engineering. Vol. 3, No. 4; 2016 (Page. 23-32)
- Shi S, Dong, X and Wang, S, “Traveling Waves Based Protection Relaying of Power Lines”, The international Conference on Advanced Power System Automation and Protection; 2011 (page 2467-2471)
- Skilling, H.H, “Electric Transmission Lines – Distributed Constants, Theory and Applications” McGraw Hill, New York;1951
- Stevens, R.F and Stringfield, T.W, “A Transmission Line Fault Locator Using Fault Generated Surges”, AIEE Transaction Vol. 79; 1948 (page 1168-1179)

- Shukla, K.K. and Tiwari, A.K., “Efficient Algorithms for Discrete Wavelet Transform”, Springer Briefs in Computer Science, DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4471-4941-5\_2; 2013?
- Tang, J, Ying, Z and Zhang Z, “Modeling Technology in Traveling Wave Fault Location”, Telkomnika, Vol. 11. No. 6; 2013 (page 3333-3340)
- Wedepohl, L.M, “Application of Matrix Methods to the Solution of Traveling Wave Phenomena in Polyphase Systems”, IEEE Proceedings, Vol. 110, 112;1963 (page 2200-2212)
- Zimmerman, K and Costello, D, “Impedance-Based Fault Location Experience”, 31st Annual Western Protective Relay Conference; 2004
- Sawai, Suraj, Pradhan, A K and Naidu, OD, “Traveling Wave Based Fault Location of Multi-terminal Transmission Lines”, 2017 IEEE PES Asia-Pacific Power and Energy Engineering Conference (APPEEC);2017
- Venturi, Cristiano, Thair. Mustafa, I. A. H., Meyer, Luiz H., Cabral, Sérgio H. L., Puchale, Leandro B. and Freitas, Eduardo D., “A Tool for Analysis of Fault Location in Transmission Lines Based in Estimated Transients”,IEEE; 2016