# OPTIMAL ECONOMIC DISPATCH FOR CARBON CAPTURE POWER PLANTS USING CHAOS-ENHANCED CUCKOO SEARCH OPTIMIZATION ALGORITHM

## ABDIRAHMAN MOHAMED ABDILAHI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Electrical Engineering)

Faculty of Electrical Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

**MARCH 2017** 

## Dedicated to

My mother, **Sahra Iidan Abdilahi**, whose sacrifice;
My father, **Mohamed Abdilahi Uurcade**, whose dream;
My **Brothers and great sister**, whose love, support and encouragement;
And

My friends whose friendship; Lead to achieve my doctoral degree

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

First of all, I am very grateful to Almighty Allah for all the blessings He has bestowed on me. Secondly, I would like to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Ir. Dr. Mohd Wazir Mustafa for his support, guidance, encouragement and patience throughout this research period. Without his unwavering and valuable advice during the research, this thesis would not have been completed. His dedication and expertise proved to be the key elements to my doctoral research. Furthermore, I would like to extend my gratitude and gratefulness to my sponsors, Ministry of Higher Education, Malaysia for Malaysian International Scholarship award.

I am very grateful to my senior research colleagues, especially Dr. Jasrul Jamani Jamian, Dr. Jafaru Usman, Lim Zi Ji, Dr. Naila Zareen, Nur Safura Ab Khalid, and many others. The discussions that I had with them have shaped significantly the research directions of this work. The non-technical support that I received from many friends all around the world has similarly been very valuable. A special thank you to my junior research colleagues at the lab such as Saleh Abujarad and Mamunu Mustapha and many others.

Finally, my special thanks to my beloved parents, brothers and great sister for their unending love, sacrifice, encouragement and support. The same goes to my various hostel-mates (such as Abdurezak Tahir Husen, Awil Ahmed Mohamed, Fosi Mohamoud Kofal and Ahmed Nour), my friends at and from different parts of the world at different times of the research for their encouragement and support, towards the success of this thesis. While I would love to list all of my friends fully, the space provided does not allow me to to do that. All of your support at different times of the research was valuable to my work and I love you all.

## **ABSTRACT**

Accelerated global demand for low carbon operation of power systems have stimulated interest in Low Carbon Technologies (LCTs). The increased deployment of LCTs within power systems is fundamental to the emission abatement of power system. Carbon Capture Power Plant (CCPP) technology has a good potential for future low carbon emission. Existing Economic Dispatch (ED) formulations do not consider the flexibly-operated CCPPs. Flexible operation of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) units transforms conventional power plants in such a way that emission output and power output could be separately controlled. The resulting CCPPs have to be optimized in order to take advantage of the incentives available in both power and carbon markets. This thesis proposes an improved mathematical modelling for flexible operation of CCPPs. The developed work possesses simple and practical variables to appropriately model the flexible operation control of the CCPPs. Using this proposed model a new emission-oriented ED formulation is developed. With this new formulation, the thesis also proposes the concept of decoupling the emission and economic outputs and then quantifies its significance for power system operations. In addition to that, a new Metaheuristic Optimization Technique (MOT) named as Chaos-Enhanced Cuckoo Search Optimization Algorithm (CECSOA) has been developed to improve global optimum result for ED problem. The algorithm has been tested using standard test systems with varying degrees of complexity. The results proved that the CECSOA is superior to the existing techniques in terms of ability to obtain global optimal points and the stability of the solutions obtained. Simulation results also showed the possibility of \$1.09 million of annual operational cost savings based on a practical power system located in the Greek island of Crete by applying this methodology in comparison with conventional techniques such as Genetic Algorithm. Further results showed that for a carbon price of 20 \$/tCO<sub>2</sub> and a 60% of system capacity utilization, total emission of a power system is reduced by 10.90% as compared to a "business-as-usual" scenario. In terms of optimal ED for CCPPs, results showed that for carbon prices as low as (~  $8-10 \text{ }/\text{tCO}_2$ ), it is economically viable to operate a post-combustion CCS unit.

#### **ABSTRAK**

Permintaan global secara mendadak bagi operasi karbon rendah dalam sistem kuasa telah menarik minat kepada Teknologi Karbon Rendah (LCT). Peningkatan pelaksanaan LCTs dalam sistem kuasa adalah asas kepada pengurangan pelepasan daripada sistem kuasa. Loji Kuasa Penangkapan Karbon (CCPPs) adalah teknologi yang berpotensi untuk pelepasan karbon rendah pada masa depan. Formulasi Penghantaran Ekonomi (ED) yang sedia ada tidak mengambil kira CCPP yang beroperasi secara fleksibel. Operasi Tangkap dan Simpan Karbon (CCS) yang fleksibel mengubah loji kuasa yang lazim di mana keluaran pelepasan dan penjanaan kuasa dapat dikawal secara berasingan. CCPP yang terhasil perlu diperkukuhkan untuk mengambil peluang daripada insentif sedia ada di pasaran kuasa dan karbon. Tesis ini mencadangkan satu model matematik yang dioptimumkan untuk operasi CCPP yang fleksibel. Kerja yang dibangunkan mempunyai pembolehubah yang mudah dan praktikal bagi mereka model kawalan operasi CCPP yang fleksibel. Dengan menggunakan model yang dicadangkan ini, satu formulasi penghantaran ekonomi baru yang berorientasikan pelepasan telah dibangunkan. Dengan formula baru ini, projek ini juga mencadangkan konsep pemisahan antara pelepasan dan keluaran ekonomi dan seterusnya mengukur keberkesanannya terhadap operasi sistem kuasa. Selain itu, satu Teknik Pengoptimuman Metaheuristik (MOT) baharu yang dikenali sebagai Algoritma Pengoptimuman Carian Cuckoo Berkecamuk Tertambah (CECSOA) telah digunakan bagi mencari keputusan yang optimum untuk masalah penghantaran ekonomi. Algoritma ini telah diuji menggunakan beberapa sistem ujian piawai dengan pelbagai peringkat kerumitan. Keputusan simulasi menunjukkan bahawa CECSOA adalah jauh lebih baik berbanding dangan teknik yang sedia ada berdasarkan kualiti keputusan yang diperolehi. Keputusan tambahan juga menunjukkan penjimatan kos operasi tahunan sebanyak \$1.09 juta berdasarkan sistem kuasa praktikal yang terletak di Crete, kepulauan Greek dengan metod ini berbanding dengan Algoritma Genetik. Keputusan seterusnya menunjukkan pada harga 20 \$/tCO<sub>2</sub> dan sistem beroperasi pada kapasiti 60%, jumlah pelepasan berkurang sebanya 10.90% berbanding dangan senario "business-as-usual". Dari segi optimum ED untuk CCPP, keputusan menunjukkan pada harga karbon serendah (~8-10 \$/tCO<sub>2</sub>) bagi operasi unit pasca-pembakaran CCS adalah secara ekonomikal berdaya maju dangan ekonomikal.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTE	R	TITLE	PAGE
	DECI	LARATION	ii
	DEDICATION		iii
	ACK	NOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABST	TRACT	$\mathbf{V}$
	ABST	ΓRAK	vi
	TABI	LE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST	OF TABLES	xii
	LIST	OF FIGURES	XV
	LIST	OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
	LIST	OF SYMBOLS	XX
	LIST	OF APPENDICES	xxiii
1	INTR	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Background	1
	1.2	Problem Statement	3
	1.3	Objectives	4
	1.4	Scope	4
	1.5	Significance of the Research	5
	1.6	Thesis Organisation	7
2	LITE	RATURE REVIEW	8
	2.1	Introduction	8
	2.2	<b>Economic Operations of Power Systems</b>	9
	2.3	Low Carbon Technology-Driven Economic	
		Dispatch Formulation	12
	2.4	Carbon Capture Power Plants	13

		2.4.1	Signific	ance of CCPPs as Emission	
			Abatem	ent Mechanism	13
		2.4.2	Global l	Projections of CCPPs Deployment	14
		2.4.3	Operation	onal Needs for Future Low	
			Carbon	Power Systems	16
		2.4.4	Value o	f Flexible Operation of Carbon	
			Capture	Power Plants	18
		2.4.5	Flexible	Operation of Carbon Capture	
			Power F	Plants	21
	2.5	Emission	n Abateme	ent Mechanisms	22
		2.5.1 Co	nsideratio	n of Carbon Pricing Instruments	
			in ED P	roblems	24
	2.6	Optimiza	ation Tech	niques of Economic Dispatch	
		Problems	S		26
		2.6.1	Conven	tional Optimization Techniques	26
		2.6.2	Metaher	uristic Optimization Techniques	27
			2.6.2.1	Solution Approaches Presented	
				for Non-Convex ED Problems	30
			2.6.2.2	Solution Approaches Presented	
				for CEED Problems	34
		2.6.3	Practica	l Considerations for Algorithm	
			Enhance	ement	34
	2.7	Cuckoo S	Search (C	S) Optimization Algorithm	35
		2.7.1	Chaotic	Maps (CMs)	36
		2.7.2	Recent '	Trend of CMs Applications within	
			the MO	Ts	37
		2.7.3	Implem	entation of CMs in MOT Used for	
			ED		39
	2.8	Constrain	nt Handlir	ng Mechanism	40
	2.9	Summar	y		42
3	METH	ODOLOG	GY		44
	3.1	Introduct	tion		44
	3.2	Overall F	Research I	Methodology	44

3.3	Modelli	Modelling Carbon Capture Power Plants						
	3.3.1	Definition of the Representative Model	47					
	3.3.2	Flexible Operation of Carbon Capture						
		Power Plants	47					
		3.3.2.1 Mechanisms of Flexible						
		Operation of CCPPs	48					
	3.3.3	Flexibility-Enabling Mechanisms						
		Feasibility	50					
	3.3.4	Sensitivity Factors of Flexible Operation	51					
		3.3.4.1 Solvent Storage Tanks Volume	51					
		3.3.4.2 Stripper Size	52					
	3.3.5	Mathematical Formulations	53					
	3.3.6	Operating Region	54					
	3.3.7	Comparison of Proposed Model with						
		Existing Model	56					
3.4	Econon	Economic Dispatch Problem Formulation						
	3.4.1	Objective Function	57					
	3.4.2	<b>Equality Constraints</b>	58					
	3.4.3	Inequality Constraints	58					
	3.4.4	System Losses	59					
3.5	Valve F	Point Effects on Economic Dispatch Models	59					
3.6	Emissic	on Economic Dispatch Considering Carbon						
	Tax		61					
3.7	Decoup	led Emission Economic Dispatch	62					
	3.7.1	Objective Function	62					
3.8	Chaos-l	Chaos-Enhanced Cuckoo Search Optimization						
	Algorit	Algorithm						
	3.8.1	Cuckoo Search	64					
		3.8.1.1 Stage 1: Initialization	64					
		3.8.1.2 Stage 2: Fitness evaluation	65					
		3.8.1.3 Stage 3: Generate new solutions						
		using Levy flight	65					
		3.8.1.4 Stage 4: Discovery and						
		Randomization	66					

		3.8.2	Propose	d Modifications for Standard	
			Cuckoo	Search	67
			3.8.2.1	Latin Hypercube at the	
				Initialization	68
			3.8.2.2	Chaotic Maps	69
			3.8.2.3	Other Modifications	78
	3.9	Decoupl	ed Emissi	on Economic Dispatch	
		Impleme	entation w	ithin CS	79
		3.9.1	Problem	n Representation	79
		3.9.2	Fitness	Function	80
		3.9.3	Adjustn	nent of the CCPP Operating	
			Region		80
	3.10	Develop	ment of C	onstraint Handling Mechanism	81
		3.10.1	Propose	ed Strategy	82
		3.10.2	Implem	entation of RW in ED Problem	
			Constra	int Handling Process	83
	3.11	Overall 1	Modificati	on of the Algorithm	85
	3.12	Summar	У		86
4	RESU.	LTS AND	DISCUS	SION	87
	4.1	Introduc	tion		87
	4.2	Low Car	bon Econ	omic Dispatch	87
		4.2.1	Econom	nic Dispatch with Carbon Tax	88
			4.2.1.1	Scenarios of the Analysis	88
			4.2.1.2	Effect of Carbon Tax Policy	88
			4.2.1.3	Effect of CT on Individual	
				Generators	89
			4.2.1.4	Effect of CT on the Generation	
				Mix	91
			4.2.1.5	Sensitivity Analysis on Carbon	
				Pricing	94
		4.2.2	Decoup	led Emission and Economic	
			Dispate	h	97
			4.2.2.1	Case Study	97

			4.2.2.2	Sensitivity of Carbon Price	97
	4.3	Developm	nent of C	ECSOA: Performance Analysis	
		and Comp	arison		100
		4.3.1	Paramet	ter Tuning Experiments	101
			4.3.1.1	Determination of Probability of	•
				Discovery	102
			4.3.1.2	Determination of Population Size	ze 102
			4.3.1.3	Determination of Exponent of	
				the Levy Flight	103
		4.3.2	Analysi	s of the Proposed Modifications	103
			4.3.2.1	Latin Hypercube Sampling	
				Initialization Technique	105
			4.3.2.2	Further Modifications	108
			4.3.2.3	Chaotic Maps in the	
				Improvement of Cuckoo Search	110
			4.3.2.4	Convergence Characteristics of	
				CECSOA	118
		4.3.3	CECSO	A for Determining Optimal	
			Solution	ns	119
			4.3.3.1	Comparison with the Existing	
				Methods	119
			4.3.3.1	Validation of CECSOA	
				Performance with Established	
				Research	122
	4.4	Economic	Impact	of CECSOA	127
	4.5	Summary			129
5	CONCI	LUSIONS	AND	RECOMMENDATIONS FO	R
	FUTUR	E WORK			131
	5.1	Conclusio	n		131
	5.2	Contributi	ions of th	ne Thesis	132
	5.3	Recomme	ndations	for Future Work	134
REFEREN	ICES				135
Appendices	s A – D				149 – 158

# LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO	. TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1:	Global roadmap for CCPPs	15
Table 2.2:	Global large-scale CCS projects implemented in power	
	generation industry	16
Table 2.3:	Sensitivity factors that influence the flexible operation of	
	CCPPs	19
Table 2.4:	Services provided by flexible operation of CCS	20
Table 2.5:	An overview of the number of ETS and CT schemes	
	implemented worldwide	23
Table 3.1:	Definition of the representative model	47
Table 3.2:	CCS model used for simulation	55
Table 3.3:	Comparison between the proposed model and the	
	existing models	57
Table 3.4:	Illustration of modifications implemented for the	
	different variants	78
Table 3.5:	Impact of CCPP consideration on problem	
	implementation with the optimization process	80
Table 3.6:	Different formats of applying penalty-based constraint-	
	handling mechanism	82
Table 4.1:	Optimal generation solutions under the two simulated	
	scenarios	89
Table 4.2:	Optimal generation settings (MW) at different CP	
	(\$/tCO <sub>2</sub> )	98
Table 4.3:	Parameter setting in the algorithm design	101
Table 4.4:	Determination of the probability of discovery (13 unit	
	system)	102

Table 4.5:	Determination of the population size (13 unit system)	103
Table 4.6:	Determination of the Levy exponent (13 unit system)	104
Table 4.7:	Summary of the parameter tuning experiment proposed	
	for proper algorithm design	104
Table 4.8:	Results of the first stage of the LHS experiment	105
Table 4.9:	Description of the different case studies conducted to	
	explore the settings of the LHS	106
Table 4.10:	The minimum cost comparison between two population	
	sizes	107
Table 4.11:	Description of the further modifications within the CS	109
Table 4.12:	Summary of the results conducted for the three different	
	case studies	109
Table 4.13:	Descriptive statistical results of variant A	111
Table 4.14:	Descriptive statistical results of the variant B	112
Table 4.15:	Descriptive statistical results of variant C	112
Table 4.16:	Descriptive statistical results of variant D	113
Table 4.17:	Ranking-based summary of the top five performing	
	algorithms in respect to statistical performance	
	indicators	116
Table 4.18:	Best solution output power solution settings for the	
	generators in comparison with other methods in the	
	literature (13-Unit System)	120
Table 4.19:	Comparison of the proposed algorithm with other	
	algorithms	120
Table 4.20:	Best solution output power solution settings for the	
	generators in comparison with other methods in the	
	literature (40-Unit System)	121
Table 4.21:	Comparison of results for existing methods for a thirteen	
	unit with a demand of 1,800 MW	122
Table 4.22:	Comparison of results for existing methods for a forty	
	unit with a demand of 10,500 MW	123
Table 4.23:	Statistical comparison with other methods reported in	
	the literature (3 unit system)	123

Table 4.24: Comparison between the proposed CECSOA and other methods 128

# LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO	TITLE	
Figure 2.1:	Different power system operations within the system	
	control	9
Figure 2.2:	Classification of planning operations and dispatch	
	computations of the power system	10
Figure 2.3:	Locations of existing, emerging and considered carbon	
	pricing instruments	25
Figure 2.4:	The intermittent, sudden and high jump characteristics of	
	the Levy Flight process	36
Figure 3.1:	Main activities under each stage of research	45
Figure 3.2:	Overall research methodology flowchart	46
Figure 3.3:	Schematic diagram of the flexible operation of CCS	50
Figure 3.4:	The operating region of the CCPP	55
Figure 3.5:	Block diagram showing the two control inputs and the	
	resulting outputs	56
Figure 3.6:	Non-convex fuel cost function of an individual generator	60
Figure 3.7:	The multimodal behaviour of the economic dispatch	
	system with only two dimensions considered	61
Figure 3.8:	The flowchart of the Cuckoo Search optimization	
	algorithm	67
Figure 3.9:	Illustration of chaotic maps used in the study	71
Figure 3.10:	An overview of the taxonomy of chaos-based firefly	
	algorithm	76
Figure 3.11:	The flowchart of the proposed constraint handling	
	mechanism	84
Figure 3.12:	Overall implementation of CECSOA	85

Figure 4.1:	The effect of CT on the optimal generation allocation for	
	three system demands: (a) 1200 MW, (b) 1400 MW and	
	(c) 1600 MW	90
Figure 4.2:	Installed generation mix of the test system (total	
	installed capacity = 2030 MW)	92
Figure 4.3:	Optimal settings of output power in terms of the	
	cumulative generation sources used by each generation	
	unit	92
Figure 4.4:	Emission generation mix by fuel and the total curbed	
	emissions between the two scenarios at each system	
	load.	93
Figure 4.5:	The effect of different CT prices on various systems	
	costs and percentage of curbed emissions	95
Figure 4.6:	The multi-dimensional visualization of the combined	
	effect of a changing SCU factor (%) and a CT price	
	(\$/tCO <sub>2</sub> ) on different systems costs: (a) fuel cost, (b)	
	emission cost and (c) overall cost	96
Figure 4.7:	The impact of a varying carbon price on the three	
	different categories of system costs: fuel, emission and	
	overall operating cost	98
Figure 4.8:	The optimal settings of gross generation (MW) and the	
	normalized rate of the stripper/compression units for	
	different carbon prices	99
Figure 4.9:	A comparison between the mean cost values obtained in	
	each trial set for different population size at every test	
	case	106
Figure 4.10:	A box plot showing the data variability of the different	
	schemes	108
Figure 4.11:	The box plot of the results for each case's trial set	
	distribution for tested case studies	110
Figure 4.12:	The box plot of thirty-two different test cases (13 Unit	
	System)	114
Figure 4.13:	The overall result distribution between variants and the	
	chaotic-map-based test cases	117

Figure 4.14:	The mean cost variation among the variants and the	
	chaotic maps	118
Figure 4.15:	The normalized convergence curve of all the cases	119
Figure 4.16:	Frequency distribution for CECSOA in comparison of	
	PSO variants and RGA	124
Figure 4.17:	Relative frequency convergence using the proposed	
	method versus other methods	125
Figure 4.18:	The potential cost savings of the CECSOA in	
	comparison with variants of GA and LI methods	129

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABC - Artificial Bees Colony

AU\$ - Australian Dollar

BA - Bat Algorithm

BAU - Business As Usual

BGA - Binary Genetic Algorithm

CCPP - Carbon Capture Power Plant

CCS - Carbon Capture and Storage

CECSOA - Chaos-Enhanced Cuckoo Search Optimization

Algorithm

CEED - Combined Emission Economic Dispatch

CHM - Constraint Handling Mechanism

CM - Chaotic Maps

CO<sub>2</sub> - Carbon Dioxide

COP - Conference of Parties

CP - Carbon Price

CS - Cuckoo Search

CT - Carbon Tax

DE - Differential Evolution

DEED - Decoupled Emission Economic Dispatch

EC - Emission Cost

ED - Economic Dispatch

EP - Evolutionary Programming

EU - European Union

FA - Firefly Algorithm

FC - Fuel Cost

GA - Genetic Algorithm

GD - Gaussian Distribution

GHG - Greenhouse Gas

IEA International Energy Agency

IEEE - Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineering

IPCC - Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

LF - Levy Flight

LHS - Latin Hypercube Sampling

LI - Lambda Iteration

Mtpa - Million Tonnes Per Annum

MEA - Mono-Ethanol-Amine

MOT - Metaheuristic Optimization Technique

NO<sub>X</sub> - Nitrogen Oxides

NP - Non-capture power Plant

OC - Overall (system) Cost

Pdt Total System Demand

PF - Penalty Factor

PSO - Particle Swarm Optimization

RGA - Real-coded Genetic Algorithm

RW - Random Walk

SCU - System Capacity Utilization

SCS - Standard Cuckoo Search

SI - Swarm Intelligence

SR - Success Rate

STD - Standard Deviation

UK - United Kingdom

UN - United Nations

US - United States

WECS - Wind Energy Conversion Systems

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

 $a_{C}$  - Capture rate of the scrubber

 $a_i$ ,  $b_i$ ,  $c_i$  - Coefficients for fuel cost characteristics of the

plants

 $\beta$  - Levy flight exponent

 $C_F$  - Fuel cost of the non-capture plants

 $C_{r}$  - Emission cost of the non-capture plants

 $C_{FC}$  - Fuel cost of the capture plants

 $C_{EC}$  - Emission cost of the capture plants

 $C_{00}$  - Overall operating cost

*CP* - Carbon price

d - Index counter for non-capture plants

 $d_i$ ,  $e_i$  - Valve point loading coefficients

 $E_{C}$  - Captured emission

 $\varepsilon$  - Uniformly distributed random number

 $e_{\scriptscriptstyle E}$  - Emission intensity

 $E_G$  - Gross emission

 $E_{N}$  - Net emission

*ef* - Emission factor

*EM* - CO<sub>2</sub> emission function

 $f_i$ ,  $g_i$ ,  $h_i$  - Fuel consumption coefficients (emission

coefficients)

H(u) - Heaviside function controlled by a switching

parameter	$P_{\alpha}$
parameter	1

*i* Index counter for individuals within the population

*k* - Index counter for CCPPs

 $Levy(\beta)$  - Levy flight function

 $\lambda$  - Penalty factor for amplifying the generator output

error

 $N_{CP}$  - Number of capture plants

 $N_{NP}$  - Number of none-capture plants

 $N_D$  - Number of population

 $N_{\scriptscriptstyle X}$  - Number of decision variables

 $P_a$  - Switching parameter

 $P_{RP}$  - Basic penalty of the CCPP

 $P_{CP}$  - Capacity penalty of the gross power output for the

**CCPP** 

 $P_D$  - Total system demand

 $P_G$  - Gross output power of the CCPP (scheduled)

 $P_i$  - Scheduled power of the non-capture plant

 $P_L$  - Power loss

 $P_{N}$  - Net output power of the CCPP

 $P_{OP}$  - Operating penalty power of the CCPP

 $P^{\text{max}}$  - Maximum stable generation

 $P^{\min}$  - Minimum stable generation

p(z) - Penalty term for penalizing infeasible candidate

solutions

*t* - Index counter for iterations of the algorithm

 $W_{CC}$  - Amount of energy consumed by the CCS for every

CO<sub>2</sub> treated

 $x_{i,j}$  - Population of nests

 $x_i^{new}$  - New candidate solutions

 $x_m$  and  $x_n$  Two different solutions (m and n) selected

randomly by permutation

 $\gamma_{\it C}$  - Normalized rate of the treating ability of the

stripper and the compressor

y(t) - Value of the chaotic map at each iteration t

 $y_0$  - Initial value of the chaotic maps

# LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Publications	149
В	Data and Results for Low Carbon Simulations	151
C	Test systems for the power system simulations	154
D	Further Results of the Parameter Tuning Study	157

#### **CHAPTER 1**

## INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Background

The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) projections indicate that avoiding the most serious impacts on climate change demands to limit the increase in global average temperature at 2° C. This sets a global "carbon budget" that has to be met and puts constraint on the global fossil fuel use because of their inherent carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emission. Because of this carbon budget, the electricity industry, which accounts more than 42% [1] of the global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, is subjected to shift to a low-carbon future. To put the low-carbon future into perspective, for instance, in the European Union (EU) alone the power sector emission reductions "are projected to achieve reductions of 54% - 68% by 2030 and 93% - 99% by 2050 compared to 1990" levels [2]. The transitions to such low carbon power systems, in global scale, demands a shift to low-carbon technologies such as renewable technologies, nuclear power and fossil fuel generators with carbon capture and storage (CCS) [3]. This underscores the significant impact of climate change measures on the power generation system.

Concurrently, certain regulatory policies are advocated to be implemented globally in order to ease and accelerate the deployment of the low carbon technologies within the power generation. To this end, "many countries have introduced or are considering the introduction of some form of carbon price, typically through an emissions-trading scheme, whereby overall emissions are capped and the price that must be paid to emit a tonne of CO<sub>2</sub> is set by the market, or

through a fixed-rate carbon tax" [4]. These measures put pressure on the heavy carbon emitters to curb their emissions.

With these concurrent paradigms occurring simultaneously that drive modernisation of current grid configuration, system operational routines need to be revisited in a way that capitalizes the new low carbon technologies at the best interest of the grid. For example, the carbon pricing instruments bring in external costs to the electricity generation while the low carbon technologies bring in new forms of system operation and strategies. In line with this, this research work aims to bridge the knowledge between optimal operation of power systems, carbon capture and storage (CCS), and power system markets with consideration of system economics and carbon mitigation policies.

The optimal setting of output levels of available generators can play a vital role in the economics of any power system. A proper schedule of available generating units may save millions of dollars per year in production cost within large-scale power systems [5, 6]. For any power system market, the optimization of economic dispatch (ED) is of economic value to the network operator. The economic dispatch is a relevant procedure in the operation of a power system [7]. ED determines the optimal real power settings of generating units. It is normally formulated as a mathematical optimization problem whose objective is to minimize the total operations cost of dispatch solutions for a specified load at a given time whilst satisfying system constraints [8].

Because of the ED's nature as a typical practical power system optimization problem, adopting a state-of-the-art solution-oriented technique in the field of ED has two advantages over the usability of the conventional techniques. Firstly, metaheuristic optimization techniques (MOTs) make possible to achieve better problem modelling that reduce assumptions related to problem formulations in terms of nonlinearity. Secondly, MOTs have better ability to obtain optimal solutions as compared with a conventional technique. Both of these issues would allow the power utilities to operate the least cost possible leading to significant cost savings over the years.

Metaheuristic optimization techniques (MOTs) are iterative techniques that can search not only local optimal solutions but also a global optimal solution depending on problem domain and execution time limit. They are general-purpose searching techniques based on principles inspired from the genetic and evolution mechanisms observed in natural systems and populations of living species and organisms [9]. These methods have the advantage of searching the solution space more thoroughly. The main difficulty is their sensitivity to the choice of parameters. Within the ED solution approach, MOTs are gradient-free methods with general purpose ability. However, they have randomness. For a practical problem, like ED, the MOTs should be modified accordingly so that they are suitable to solve ED problem with, and their randomness should be addressed.

#### 1.2 Problem Statement

The total emission output in the modern power generation system has created global concern. With the world's first carbon capture power plant of a utility-scale coming online in 2014, many of these types of plants are expected to be deployed in the near future for many different power systems throughout the world. The deployment of CCPPs in the power system bring in a new complexity to the system operations routines. In that regard, the system operations computational tools should be modified with respect to the changes of the technology mix of the system. With the introduction of a carbon market, the resulting CCPPs have to be optimized in order to take advantage of the incentives available in both the power and carbon markets. Thus, the optimal ED problem is reformulated by developing the decoupled emission economic dispatch problem formulation that aims to accommodate and simulate the expected changes within the system dispatch when CCPPs are considered.

As ED problem is formulated as a mathematical optimization problem, efficient optimization techniques must be designed for global optimal search. Metaheuristic optimization techniques applied in ED problems typically adopt learning mechanisms to avoid being trapped at a local optimum. But they also suffer

from potential problems including divergence, parameter selection, termination condition and variance in outcomes. In particular, the lack of robustness in the optimal solutions was evident within the results obtained by the proposed algorithms when applied to nonlinear, nonconvex and highly multimodal ED problems. Optimal results which are not robust enough are not practical in reality within the practical power system context. These problems are addressed in this thesis by adopting a robust-oriented Chaos-Enhanced Cuckoo Search Optimization Algorithm (CECSOA).

## 1.3 Objectives

The objectives of the research are:

- i. To develop a new emission economic dispatch formulation based on CCPP adoption within the power generation portfolio.
- ii. To develop a new constraint handling mechanism (CHM) for metaheuristic optimization techniques solving the ED problems.
- iii. To develop a novel robust-oriented Chaos-Enhanced Cuckoo Search Optimization Algorithm (CECSOA) for result robustness using the developed CHM for the dual purpose of local searching and equality constraints fulfilment.
- iv. To improve the existing performance values achieved by the latest optimization algorithms when implemented in solving the ED problems with valve point effects using the developed CECSOA.

## 1.4 Scope

The scope of this research covers the following:

- i. In terms of the various different types of low carbon technologies that are considered for power generation decarbonization pathways, this thesis focuses the CCS technology. Other technologies such as the wind and solar are not considered in this thesis.
- ii. While there are various established CCS technologies globally, the study does not aim to consider comprehensive options of CCS technologies. Instead, the study focuses, in terms of CCS's integration pattern with the conventional power plant, on the post-combustion technologies. Post combustion technologies are the most mature technologies and already being deployed at a commercial scale, with examples such as the Boundary Dam Project [10] and the Toshiba pilot facility at Fukuoka [11, 12]. In terms of CO<sub>2</sub> separation technology considered, the thesis considers the amine-based types. Other technologies such as the use of membrane is ignored in this thesis.
- iii. This work concentrates on the operational time-frame of the power system formulations. The time range of these operational tools involves hourly dispatch calculations of the operations of the network. The thesis also considers the static ED problem formulations which provides a snap-shot of the optimal settings of the generators at specific hourly interval.
- iv. The research focuses on the possible improvement for the stability of the solutions obtained by the algorithm as opposed to other research paradigms such as the computational time.
- v. IEEE 30 bus test system, the power system of Greek Island of Crete and algorithmic-oriented power system test systems (systems with 13 units and 40 units) with different levels of complexity are utilized to test the algorithms developed within this thesis.

## 1.5 Significance of the Research

The significance of this research can be viewed from different perspectives that includes but not limited to:

- i. In this new era of climate-controlled power generation systems, emission economic dispatch formulations can be re-formulated to contextualize the operations of the power system network. This thesis presents the opportunity to independently control the economic and emission outputs of the generation fleet by flexibly operating the CCS technologies retrofitted at the existing facilities. Consequently, net power output to the grid and emission output to the atmosphere can be decoupled as two independent variables that can be controlled by system operators in a way that best serves the grid under the existing regulations or market opportunities. To achieve this, this work attempts to model power system formulations that can represent the operational characteristics of the CCPP units within the power system operation calculations. These new formulations are then integrated within the existing ED problem formulations.
- ii. The work of this thesis is expected to be crucially useful worldwide, as different countries are adopting differing prices according to the respective socio-political and economic situations. For example, Australia adopted a CT price of 24 \$/tCO<sub>2</sub> [13] while China's Guangdong province adopted a CT price of 95 \$/tCO<sub>2</sub> [14]. Many other high income countries are looking at ways to adopt similar carbon pricing instruments to force lowering the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the foreseeable future such as the case in South Africa [15] and Russia [16].
- iii. Currently, the incorporation of the CCPP models within the power system is still being researched world-wide. These models will allow the simulation of the facilities within the typical power system operations calculations. System planners will therefore use the developed tools to carry out their operations calculations without the need to mathematically and rigorously model the plant characteristics.

## 1.6 Thesis Organisation

The thesis comprises of five chapters. The first chapter provides the general overview of the study by firstly giving the background, problem statement and the research objectives. It also provides the research scope and the significance of the research.

The second chapter is designed in order to provide a comprehensive critical literature review of the different aspects. It is divided into two major parts. The first part focuses on the literature related to the different aspects of the power systems. The second part focuses on the improvement of optimization techniques adopted in solving the economic dispatch problems.

The third Chapter defines the methodology of the research. Similar to the styles of the previous Chapters, the development of the power system formulations are firstly presented, followed by the description of the proposed optimization technique. Chapter 4 presents the results and discussion. Finally, the conclusions and further recommendations of the study are provided in Chapter 5.

#### REFERENCES

- International Energy Agency (IEA), CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from fuel combustion-highlights, (2014). Available:
   <a href="https://wedocs.unep.org/rest/bitstreams/16652/retrieve">https://wedocs.unep.org/rest/bitstreams/16652/retrieve</a> (Accessed Date: 20<sup>th</sup> March, 2015)
- 2. A. S. Brouwer, M. van den Broek, A. Seebregts, and A. Faaij. Operational flexibility and economics of power plants in future low-carbon power systems. *Applied Energy*. 2015. 156: 107-128.
- Global Carbon Capture and Storage Institute (GCCSI), The costs of CCS and other low-carbon technologies: United States, Global CCS Institute, (July, 2015). Available:
   https://hub.globalccsinstitute.com/sites/default/files/publications/195008/cost s-ccs-other-low-carbon-technologies-united-states-2015-update.pdf
   (Accessed Date: 23<sup>rd</sup> February, 2016)
- 4. International Energy Agency, World energy outlook 2011, (2011). Available: <a href="http://www.worldenergyoutlook.org/weo2011/">http://www.worldenergyoutlook.org/weo2011/</a> (Accessed Date: August, 2015)
- 5. S. Hemamalini and S. P. Simon. Artificial bee colony algorithm for economic load dispatch problem with non-smooth cost functions. *Electric Power Components and Systems*. 2010. 38 (7): 786-803.
- 6. United States Department of Energy (DoE), The value of economic dispatch: A report to congress pursuant to section 1234 of the energy policy act of 2005, (2005). Available at: <a href="https://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/oeprod/DocumentsandMedia/value.pdf">https://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/oeprod/DocumentsandMedia/value.pdf</a>
- 7. L. dos Santos Coelho and C.-S. Lee. Solving economic load dispatch problems in power systems using chaotic and Gaussian particle swarm

(Accessed Date: November 2012)

- optimization approaches. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*. 2008. 30 (5): 297-307.
- 8. B. Wollenberg and A. Wood. Power generation, operation and control. *John Wiley&Sons, Inc.* 1996. 264-327.
- 9. A. Y. Saber, S. Chakraborty, S. A. Razzak, and T. Senjyu. Optimization of economic load dispatch of higher order general cost polynomials and its sensitivity using modified particle swarm optimization. *Electric Power Systems Research.* 2009. 79 (1): 98-106.
- IEA Greenhouse Gas R&D Programme (IEAGHG). Integrated Carbon Capture and Storage Project at SaskPower's Boundary Dam Power Station.
   2015. Available: <a href="http://www.ieaghg.org/docs/General\_Docs/Reports/2015-06.pdf">http://www.ieaghg.org/docs/General\_Docs/Reports/2015-06.pdf</a> (Accessed Date: 23<sup>rd</sup> February, 2017)
- 11. TOSHIBA. Thermal Power Generation: Carbon Capture Technology Available:

  <a href="http://www.toshiba.co.jp/thermal-hydro/en/thermal/products/ccs/ccs.htm">http://www.toshiba.co.jp/thermal-hydro/en/thermal/products/ccs/ccs.htm</a>
  (Accessed Date: 23<sup>rd</sup> February, 2017)
- S. Saito, M. Udatsu, H. Kitamura, S. Murai, Y. Kato, Y. Maezawa, and H. Watando. Development and Evaluation of a New Amine Solvent at the Mikawa CO2 Capture Pilot Plant. Energy Procedia. 2014. 51: 176-183.
- 13. F. Yao, Z. Y. Dong, K. Meng, Z. Xu, H. H.-C. Iu, and K. P. Wong. Quantum-inspired particle swarm optimization for power system operations considering wind power uncertainty and carbon tax in Australia. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*. 2012. 8 (4): 880-888.
- 14. Y. Li, M. Li, and Q. Wu. Energy saving dispatch with complex constraints: Prohibited zones, valve point effect and carbon tax. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*. 2014. 63: 657-666.
- T. Alton, C. Arndt, R. Davies, F. Hartley, K. Makrelov, J. Thurlow, and D. Ubogu. Introducing carbon taxes in South Africa. *Applied Energy*. 2014. 116: 344-354.
- 16. A. Orlov, H. Grethe, and S. McDonald. Carbon taxation in Russia: prospects for a double dividend and improved energy efficiency. *Energy Economics*. 2013. 37: 128-140.
- 17. A. Gómez-Expósito, A. J. Conejo, and C. Cañizares. *Electric energy systems:* analysis and operation: CRC Press. 2008.

- J. Hetzer, D. C. Yu, and K. Bhattarai. An economic dispatch model incorporating wind power. *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*. 2008. 23 (2): 603-611.
- 19. X. Liu and W. Xu. Minimum emission dispatch constrained by stochastic wind power availability and cost. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2010. 25 (3): 1705-1713.
- 20. Y. Fang, D. Zhao Yang, M. Ke, X. Zhao, H. H. Iu, and W. Kit Po. Quantum-Inspired Particle Swarm Optimization for Power System Operations Considering Wind Power Uncertainty and Carbon Tax in Australia. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*. 2012. 8 (4): 880-888.
- Y. Zhang, H. H.-C. Iu, T. Fernando, F. Yao, and K. Emami. Cooperative Dispatch of BESS and Wind Power Generation Considering Carbon Emission Limitation in Australia. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*. 2015. 11 (6): 1313-1323.
- L. Du, S. Grijalva, and R. G. Harley. Game-Theoretic Formulation of Power Dispatch With Guaranteed Convergence and Prioritized BestResponse. *IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy.* 2015. 6 (1): 51-59.
- 23. P. v. Breevoort, K. Blok, M. Hagemann, H. Fekete, N. Hohne, B. Hare, M. Schaeffer, M. Rocha, and L. Jeffery. The Coal Gap: planned coal-fired power plants inconsistent with 2°C and threaten achievement of INDCs. *Climate Action Tracker*. 2015.
- Q. Chen, C. Kang, Q. Xia, and D. S. Kirschen. Optimal Flexible Operation of a CO Capture Power Plant in a Combined Energy and Carbon Emission Market. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2012. 27 (3): 1602-1609.
- 25. Global Carbon Capture and Storage Institute (GCCSI). (2016). Large-scale CCS Projects. Available:
  <a href="https://www.globalccsinstitute.com/projects/large-scale-ccs-projects">https://www.globalccsinstitute.com/projects/large-scale-ccs-projects</a>
  (Accessed Date: 3<sup>rd</sup> September, 2016)
- K. Goto, K. Yogo, and T. Higashii. A review of efficiency penalty in a coal-fired power plant with post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture. *Applied Energy*. 2013.
   111: 710-720.
- M. Lucquiaud, H. Chalmers, and J. Gibbins. Potential for flexible operation of pulverised coal power plants with CO<sub>2</sub> capture. *Energy Materials*. 2007.
   2 (3): 175-180.

- 28. H. Chalmers, M. Lucquiaud, J. Gibbins, and M. Leach. Flexible operation of coal fired power plants with postcombustion capture of carbon dioxide. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*. 2009. 135 (6): 449-458.
- 29. P. C. van der Wijk, A. S. Brouwer, M. van den Broek, T. Slot, G. Stienstra, W. van der Veen, and A. P. Faaij. Benefits of coal-fired power generation with flexible CCS in a future northwest European power system with large scale wind power. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control.* 2014. 28: 216-233.
- 30. P. Versteeg, D. L. Oates, E. Hittinger, and E. S. Rubin. Cycling coal and natural gas-fired power plants with CCS. *Energy Procedia*. 2013. 37: 2676-2683.
- 31. S. M. Cohen, G. T. Rochelle, and M. E. Webber. Optimal operation of flexible post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture in response to volatile electricity prices. *Energy Procedia*. 2011. 4: 2604-2611.
- 32. S. M. Cohen, G. T. Rochelle, and M. E. Webber. Optimizing post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture in response to volatile electricity prices.

  International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control. 2012. 8: 180-195.
- 33. D. L. Oates, P. Versteeg, E. Hittinger, and P. Jaramillo. Profitability of CCS with flue gas bypass and solvent storage. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control.* 2014. 27: 279-288.
- 34. S. M. Cohen, G. T. Rochelle, and M. E. Webber. Optimal CO<sub>2</sub> capture operation in an advanced electric grid. *Energy Procedia*. 2013. 37: 2585-2594.
- 35. H. Chalmers, M. Leach, M. Lucquiaud, and J. Gibbins. Valuing flexible operation of power plants with CO<sub>2</sub> capture. *Energy Procedia*. 2009. 1 (1): 4289-4296.
- Y. J. Lin, D. S. H. Wong, S. S. Jang, and J. J. Ou. Control strategies for flexible operation of power plant with CO<sub>2</sub> capture plant. *AIChE Journal*. 2012. 58 (9): 2697-2704.
- 37. S. Lou, S. Lu, Y. Wu, and D. S. Kirschen. Optimizing spinning reserve requirement of power system with carbon capture plants. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2015. 30 (2): 1056-1063.

- 38. Z. Ji, C. Kang, Q. Chen, Q. Xia, C. Jiang, Z. Chen, and J. Xin. Low-carbon power system dispatch incorporating carbon capture power plants. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2013. 28 (4): 4615-4623.
- 39. Q. Chen, C. Kang, and Q. Xia. Modeling flexible operation mechanism of capture power plant and its effects on power-system operation. *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*. 2010. 25 (3): 853-861.
- 40. C. A. Kang, A. R. Brandt, and L. J. Durlofsky. Optimal operation of an integrated energy system including fossil fuel power generation, CO<sub>2</sub> capture and wind. *Energy*. 2011. 36 (12): 6806-6820.
- 41. S. Lu, S. Lou, Y. Wu, and X. Yin. Power system economic dispatch under low-carbon economy with carbon capture plants considered. *IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution*. 2013. 7 (9): 991-1001.
- 42. D. C. Walters and G. B. Sheble. Genetic algorithm solution of economic dispatch with valve point loading. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 1993. 8 (3): 1325-1332.
- 43. Ecofys; World Bank. 2014. *State and trends of carbon pricing 2014*. State and trends of carbon pricing. Washington, DC: World Bank Group. http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/505431468148506727/State-and-trends-of-carbon-pricing-2014.
- 44. A. Cochran, D. Lew, and N. Kumar. Flexible coal: Evolution from baseload to peaking plant. *National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Golder, CO.* 2013.
- 45. T. Das, V. Krishnan, and J. D. McCalley. Incorporating cycling costs in generation dispatch program—an economic value stream for energy storage. *International Journal of Energy Research.* 2014. 38 (12): 1551-1561.
- 46. T. Das, V. Krishnan, and J. D. McCalley. Assessing the benefits and economics of bulk energy storage technologies in the power grid. *Applied Energy*. 2015. 139: 104-118.
- 47. D. C. Secui. A new modified artificial bee colony algorithm for the economic dispatch problem. *Energy Conversion and Management*. 2015. 89 (0): 43-62.
- 48. J.-Y. Fan and L. Zhang. Real-time economic dispatch with line flow and emission constraints using quadratic programming. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 1998. 13 (2): 320-325.

- 49. M.-T. Tsai and C.-W. Yen. The influence of carbon dioxide trading scheme on economic dispatch of generators. *Applied Energy*. 2011. 88 (12): 4811-4816.
- 50. L. Wagner, L. Molyneaux, and J. Foster. The magnitude of the impact of a shift from coal to gas under a Carbon Price. *Energy Policy*. 2014. 66: 280-291.
- 51. E. Delarue and W. D'haeseleer. Greenhouse gas emission reduction by means of fuel switching in electricity generation: Addressing the potentials. *Energy Conversion and Management.* 2008. 49 (4): 843-853.
- G. Klaassen and K. Riahi. Internalizing externalities of electricity generation: An analysis with MESSAGE-MACRO. *Energy Policy*. 2007. 35 (2): 815-827.
- 53. E. Delarue, H. Lamberts, and W. D'haeseleer. Simulating greenhouse gas (GHG) allowance cost and GHG emission reduction in Western Europe. *Energy*. 2007. 32 (8): 1299-1309.
- 54. H. Jadhav and R. Roy. Gbest guided artificial bee colony algorithm for environmental/economic dispatch considering wind power. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 2013. 40 (16): 6385-6399.
- 55. F. Yao, Z. Y. Dong, K. Meng, Z. Xu, H. Iu, and K. P. Wong. Quantum-inspired particle swarm optimization for power system operations considering wind power uncertainty and carbon tax in Australia. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*. 2012. 8 (4): 880-888.
- 56. B. H. Chowdhury and S. Rahman. A review of recent advances in economic dispatch. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 1990. 5 (4): 1248-1259.
- 57. L. dos Santos Coelho and V. C. Mariani. Combining of chaotic differential evolution and quadratic programming for economic dispatch optimization with valve-point effect. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2006. 21 (2): 989-996.
- 58. L. dos Santos Coelho and V. C. Mariani. Correction to "Combining of Chaotic Differential Evolution and Quadratic Programming for Economic Dispatch Optimization with Valve-Point Effect". *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2006. 21 (3): 1465-1465.
- 59. X.-S. Yang. *Nature-inspired metaheuristic algorithms*: Luniver press. 2010.

- 60. W. Annicchiarico, J. Periaux, M. Cerrolaza, and G. Winter. *Evolutionary algorithms and intelligent tools in engineering optimization*: WIT Press / Computational Mechanics. 2005.
- 61. E.-G. Talbi. *Metaheuristics: from design to implementation* vol. 74: John Wiley & Sons. 2009.
- 62. X.-S. Yang and S. Deb. Cuckoo search: recent advances and applications.

  Neural Computing and Applications. 2014. 24 (1): 169-174.
- 63. A. H. Gandomi, G. J. Yun, X.-S. Yang, and S. Talatahari. Chaos-enhanced accelerated particle swarm optimization. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*. 2013. 18 (2): 327-340.
- 64. A. Gandomi, X.-S. Yang, S. Talatahari, and A. Alavi. Firefly algorithm with chaos. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*. 2013. 18 (1): 89-98.
- 65. J. Cai, X. Ma, L. Li, and P. Haipeng. Chaotic particle swarm optimization for economic dispatch considering the generator constraints. *Energy Conversion and Management*. 2007. 48 (2): 645-653.
- 66. K. T. Chaturvedi, M. Pandit, and L. Srivastava. Self-organizing hierarchical particle swarm optimization for nonconvex economic dispatch. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 2008. 23 (3): 1079-1087.
- W.-M. Lin, F.-S. Cheng, and M.-T. Tsay. Nonconvex economic dispatch by integrated artificial intelligence. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2001. 16 (2): 307-311.
- 68. Z.-L. Gaing. Particle swarm optimization to solving the economic dispatch considering the generator constraints. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2003. 18 (3): 1187-1195.
- 69. A. I. Selvakumar and K. Thanushkodi. A new particle swarm optimization solution to nonconvex economic dispatch problems. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2007. 22 (1): 42-51.
- 70. K. T. Chaturvedi, M. Pandit, and L. Srivastava. Particle swarm optimization with crazy particles for nonconvex economic dispatch. *Applied Soft Computing*. 2009. 9 (3): 962-969.
- 71. C.-L. Chiang. Improved genetic algorithm for power economic dispatch of units with valve-point effects and multiple fuels. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2005. 20 (4): 1690-1699.

- 72. R. Kumar, D. Sharma, and A. Sadu. A hybrid multi-agent based particle swarm optimization algorithm for economic power dispatch. *International journal of electrical power & energy systems*. 2011. 33 (1): 115-123.
- 73. S. Chalermchaiarbha and W. Ongsakul. Stochastic weight trade-off particle swarm optimization for nonconvex economic dispatch. *Energy Conversion and Management*. 2013. 70: 66-75.
- 74. L. d. S. Coelho and V. C. Mariani. Chaotic artificial immune approach applied to economic dispatch of electric energy using thermal units. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals.* 2009. 40 (5): 2376-2383.
- 75. L. d. S. Coelho and V. C. Mariani. A novel chaotic particle swarm optimization approach using Hénon map and implicit filtering local search for economic load dispatch. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*. 2009. 39 (2): 510-518.
- V. Hosseinnezhad and E. Babaei. Economic load dispatch using θ-PSO.
   International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems. 2013. 49 (0): 160-169.
- 77. N. Amjady and H. Sharifzadeh. Solution of non-convex economic dispatch problem considering valve loading effect by a new modified differential evolution algorithm. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*. 2010. 32 (8): 893-903.
- 78. H. Lu, P. Sriyanyong, Y. H. Song, and T. Dillon. Experimental study of a new hybrid PSO with mutation for economic dispatch with non-smooth cost function. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*. 2010. 32 (9): 921-935.
- 79. S. Jiang, Z. Ji, and Y. Shen. A novel hybrid particle swarm optimization and gravitational search algorithm for solving economic emission load dispatch problems with various practical constraints. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*. 2014. 55: 628-644.
- 80. A. G. K. D. P. K. P. S. Kulkarni. Combined Economic and Emission Dispatch Using Improved Backpropagation Neural Network. *Electric Machines & Power Systems*. 2000. 28 (1): 31-44.
- 81. D. Tao, B. Rui, L. Fangxing, and S. Hongbin. A Bi-Level Branch and Bound Method for Economic Dispatch With Disjoint Prohibited Zones Considering

- Network Losses. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2015. 30 (6): 2841-2855.
- 82. Z. Junpeng, Q. H. Wu, G. Chuangxin, and Z. Xiaoxin. Economic Dispatch With Non-Smooth Objectives—Part II: Dimensional Steepest Decline Method. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2015. 30 (2): 722-733.
- 83. A. K. Barisal and R. C. Prusty. Large scale economic dispatch of power systems using oppositional invasive weed optimization. *Applied Soft Computing*. 2015. 29: 122-137.
- 84. B. Jeddi and V. Vahidinasab. A modified harmony search method for environmental/economic load dispatch of real-world power systems. *Energy Conversion and Management*. 2014. 78: 661-675.
- 85. S. Walton, O. Hassan, K. Morgan, and M. Brown. Modified cuckoo search: a new gradient free optimisation algorithm. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals.* 2011. 44 (9): 710-718.
- 86. L. dos Santos Coelho and V. C. Mariani. Combining of chaotic differential evolution and quadratic programming for economic dispatch optimization with valve-point effect. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2006. 21 (2): 989.
- 87. J.-B. Park, Y.-W. Jeong, J.-R. Shin, and K. Y. Lee. An improved particle swarm optimization for nonconvex economic dispatch problems. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2010. 25 (1): 156-166.
- 88. A. H. Gandomi and X.-S. Yang. Chaotic bat algorithm. *Journal of Computational Science*. 2014. 5 (2): 224-232.
- 89. L. M. Pecora and T. L. Carroll. Synchronization in chaotic systems. *Physical review letters*. 1990. 64 (8): 821.
- 90. D. Yang, G. Li, and G. Cheng. On the efficiency of chaos optimization algorithms for global optimization. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals.* 2007. 34 (4): 1366-1375.
- 91. L. dos Santos Coelho and V. C. Mariani. Use of chaotic sequences in a biologically inspired algorithm for engineering design optimization. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 2008. 34 (3): 1905-1913.
- 92. I. Fister, X.-S. Yang, J. Brest, and I. Fister Jr. On the randomized firefly algorithm. in *Cuckoo Search and Firefly Algorithm*, ed: Springer, 2014, pp. 27-48.

- 93. I. Fister. Jr, X. S. Yang, J. Brest, D. Fister, and I. Fister. Analysis of randomisation methods in swarm intelligence. *International Journal of Bio-Inspired Computation*. 2015. 7 (1): 36-49.
- 94. X.-S. Yang. A new metaheuristic bat-inspired algorithm. in *Nature inspired* cooperative strategies for optimization (NICSO 2010), ed: Springer, 2010, pp. 65-74.
- 95. I. Fister, M. Perc, and S. M. Kamal. A review of chaos-based firefly algorithms: Perspectives and research challenges. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. 2015. 252: 155-165.
- 96. T. Niknam, H. D. Mojarrad, H. Z. Meymand, and B. B. Firouzi. A new honey bee mating optimization algorithm for non-smooth economic dispatch. *Energy.* 2011. 36 (2): 896-908.
- 97. M. Gen and R. Cheng. A survey of penalty techniques in genetic algorithms.

  \*Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Evolutionary Computation. 1996. 804-809.
- 98. Z. Michalewicz and C. Z. Janikow. Handling Constraints in Genetic Algorithms. *ICGA*. 1991. 151-157.
- 99. T. Niknam. A new fuzzy adaptive hybrid particle swarm optimization algorithm for non-linear, non-smooth and non-convex economic dispatch problem. *Applied Energy*. 2010. 87 (1): 327-339.
- 100. X.-S. Yang, S. S. S. Hosseini, and A. H. Gandomi. Firefly algorithm for solving non-convex economic dispatch problems with valve loading effect. Applied Soft Computing. 2012. 12 (3): 1180-1186.
- 101. M. Fesanghary and M. Ardehali. A novel meta-heuristic optimization methodology for solving various types of economic dispatch problem. *Energy.* 2009. 34 (6): 757-766.
- 102. J. Sun, V. Palade, X.-J. Wu, W. Fang, and Z. Wang. Solving the power economic dispatch problem with generator constraints by random drift particle swarm optimization. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*. 2014. 10 (1): 222-232.
- 103. A. Barisal. Dynamic search space squeezing strategy based intelligent algorithm solutions to economic dispatch with multiple fuels. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*. 2013. 45 (1): 50-59.

- 104. L. d. S. Coelho and V. C. Mariani. An improved harmony search algorithm for power economic load dispatch. *Energy Conversion and Management*. 2009. 50 (10): 2522-2526.
- 105. M. Haines and J. Davison. Designing carbon capture power plants to assist in meeting peak power demand. *Energy Procedia*. 2009. 1 (1): 1457-1464.
- 106. W.-M. Lin, H.-J. Gow, and M.-T. Tsai. Combining of direct search and signal-to-noise ratio for economic dispatch optimization. *Energy Conversion and Management*. 2011. 52 (1): 487-493.
- X.-S. Yang and S. Deb. Cuckoo search via Lévy flights. World Congress on Nature & Biologically Inspired Computing, 2009. (NaBIC 2009). 2009. 210-214.
- 108. X.-S. Yang and S. Deb. Engineering optimisation by cuckoo search.

  International Journal of Mathematical Modelling and Numerical

  Optimisation. 2010. 1 (4): 330-343.
- 109. R. N. Mantegna. Fast, accurate algorithm for numerical simulation of Levy stable stochastic processes. *Physical Review E.* 1994. 49 (5): 4677.
- 110. W.-L. Loh. On Latin hypercube sampling. *The annals of statistics*. 1996. 24 (5): 2058-2080.
- 111. G. Wang and S. He. A quantitative study on detection and estimation of weak signals by using chaotic Duffing oscillators. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Fundamental Theory and Applications*. 2003. 50 (7): 945-953.
- 112. X. F. Yan, D. Z. Chen, and S. X. Hu. Chaos-genetic algorithms for optimizing the operating conditions based on RBF-PLS model. *Computers & chemical engineering*. 2003. 27 (10): 1393-1404.
- 113. D. P. Feldman. *Chaos and fractals: an elementary introduction*: Oxford University Press. 2012.
- 114. T.-Y. Li and J. A. Yorke. Period three implies chaos. *The American Mathematical Monthly.* 1975. 82 (10): 985-992.
- 115. Y. Zhang, D.-W. Gong, and Z. Ding. A bare-bones multi-objective particle swarm optimization algorithm for environmental/economic dispatch. *Information sciences*. 2012. 192: 213-227.

- I. Fister Jr, X.-S. Yang, I. Fister, J. Brest, and D. Fister. A brief review of nature-inspired algorithms for optimization. arXiv preprint arXiv:1307.4186.
   2013.
- 117. R. Rahmani, M. F. Othman, R. Yusof, and M. Khalid. Solving economic dispatch problem using particle swarm optimization by an evolutionary technique for initializing particles. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology*. 2012. 46 (2): 526-536.
- 118. N. Kumar, P. Besuner, S. Lefton, D. Agan, and D. Hilleman. Power plant cycling costs. *Contract.* 2012. 303: 275-3000.
- 119. J. Zhan, Q. Wu, C. Guo, and X. Zhou. Economic Dispatch With Non-Smooth Objectives—Part I: Local Minimum Analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2015. 30 (2): 710-721.
- 120. N. Sinha, R. Chakrabarti, and P. Chattopadhyay. Evolutionary programming techniques for economic load dispatch. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*. 2003. 7 (1): 83-94.
- 121. L. D. S. Coelho and V. C. Mariani. Combining of chaotic differential evolution and quadratic programming for economic dispatch optimization with valve-point effect. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2006. 21 (2): 989-996.
- 122. J. G. Vlachogiannis and K. Y. Lee. Economic load dispatch—A comparative study on heuristic optimization techniques with an improved coordinated aggregation-based PSO. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2009. 24 (2): 991-1001.
- 123. K. Meng, H. G. Wang, Z. Dong, and K. P. Wong. Quantum-inspired particle swarm optimization for valve-point economic load dispatch. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2010. 25 (1): 215-222.
- 124. M.-T. Tsai, H.-J. Gow, and W.-M. Lin. A novel stochastic search method for the solution of economic dispatch problems with non-convex fuel cost functions. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*. 2011. 33 (4): 1070-1076.
- 125. J.-B. Park, K.-S. Lee, J.-R. Shin, and K.-S. Lee. A particle swarm optimization for economic dispatch with nonsmooth cost functions. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2005. 20 (1): 34-42.

- 126. A. Bhattacharya and P. K. Chattopadhyay. Biogeography-based optimization for different economic load dispatch problems. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2010. 25 (2): 1064-1077.
- 127. S. Hemamalini and S. Simon. Maclaurin series-based Lagrangian method for economic dispatch with valve-point effect. *IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution*. 2009. 3 (9): 859-871.
- 128. J. Cai, Q. Li, L. Li, H. Peng, and Y. Yang. A hybrid FCASO-SQP method for solving the economic dispatch problems with valve-point effects. *Energy*. 2012. 38 (1): 346-353.
- 129. P. Subbaraj, R. Rengaraj, and S. Salivahanan. Enhancement of Self-adaptive real-coded genetic algorithm using Taguchi method for Economic dispatch problem. *Applied Soft Computing*. 2011. 11 (1): 83-92.
- V. Hosseinnezhad and E. Babaei. Economic load dispatch using θ-PSO.
   International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems. 2013. 49:
   160-169.
- 131. V. R. Pandi, B. Panigrahi, R. C. Bansal, S. Das, and A. Mohapatra. Economic load dispatch using hybrid swarm intelligence based harmony search algorithm. *Electric Power Components and Systems*. 2011. 39 (8): 751-767.
- 132. Malaysia Energy Commission.. *Malaysia Energy Information Hub: Statistics*. Available: http://meih.st.gov.my/statistics, (Accessed Date: 23/02/2017)
- 133. M. Basu. Economic environmental dispatch using multi-objective differential evolution. *Applied Soft Computing*. 2011. 11 (2): 2845-2853.
- 134. S.-K. Wang, J.-P. Chiou, and C. Liu. Non-smooth/non-convex economic dispatch by a novel hybrid differential evolution algorithm. *IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution*. 2007. 1 (5): 793-803.
- 135. S. Pothiya, I. Ngamroo, and W. Kongprawechnon. Ant colony optimisation for economic dispatch problem with non-smooth cost functions. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*. 2010. 32 (5): 478-487.
- 136. A. Bhattacharya and P. K. Chattopadhyay. Solving complex economic load dispatch problems using biogeography-based optimization. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 2010. 37 (5): 3605-3615.

- 137. A. I. Selvakumar and K. Thanushkodi. Optimization using civilized swarm: solution to economic dispatch with multiple minima. *Electric Power Systems Research.* 2009. 79 (1): 8-16.
- 138. P. K. Hota, A. K. Barisal, and R. Chakrabarti. Economic emission load dispatch through fuzzy based bacterial foraging algorithm. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*. 2010. 32 (7): 794-803.
- 139. D. Aydin and S. Özyön. Solution to non-convex economic dispatch problem with valve point effects by incremental artificial bee colony with local search.

  \*Applied Soft Computing. 2013. 13 (5): 2456-2466.
- 140. Y. Xin, L. Yong, and L. Guangming. Evolutionary programming made faster. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*. 1999. 3 (2): 82-102.
- 141. I. Fister Jr, X.-S. Yang, D. Fister, and I. Fister. Cuckoo search: a brief literature review. in *Cuckoo search and firefly algorithm*, ed: Springer, 2014, pp. 49-62.
- 142. F. Wang, X. He, Y. Wang, and S. Yang. Markov model and convergence analysis based on cuckoo search algorithm. *Computer Engineering*. 2012.11: 055.
- 143. M. Clerc and J. Kennedy. The particle swarm-explosion, stability, and convergence in a multidimensional complex space. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*. 2002. 6 (1): 58-73.
- 144. I. G. Damousis, A. G. Bakirtzis, and P. S. Dokopoulos. Network-constrained economic dispatch using real-coded genetic algorithm. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2003. 18 (1): 198-205.