

MICROSTRIP PATCH BEAMFORMING LINEAR ANTENNA ARRAY WITH  
COMPLEMENTARY SPLIT RING RESONATOR FOR FIFTH GENERATION  
APPLICATIONS

RAGHURAMAN SELVARAJU

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Electrical Engineering  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2019

## **DEDICATION**

I dedicate this dissertation

To my beloved Father “Selvaraju” and Mother “Malarkodi”,  
To my dearest Sister “Karthiga” for their support and encouragement.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my most sincere gratitude to those who have contributed to the present thesis. First of all, I am thankful to God Almighty for giving me the strength, patience, and health to accomplish this thesis work. Second, I want to take this chance to give a special thanks to my supervisor, Dr. Mohd Haizal Jamaluddin and External co-supervisor Dr. Muhammad Ramlee Bin Kamarudin, for giving me the opportunity to begin the Ph.D degree.

I would like to acknowledge my dear family. First of all, my dear father, who was the first one who encouraged me to explore new things. He was the first teacher and role model in my life. My dear mother comes next, Thanks to her for her endless support, sacrifice, and patience. I would like to thank my lovely sibling for her warm support, and love. Finally I also would like to thank my brother-in-law Dr. Kumaran Shanmugam, He supported me and gave me the confidence so that, I can stand tall and face the hardships of life and find my way forward towards my goals, no matter how hard the opposition i face.

My special thanks to my supervisor Dr. Mohd Haizal Jamaluddin, who throughout my studies gave me great advices and knowledge, without which I would not be able to complete this degree. During the course of this study, he supported me in every aspect. He never hesitated, when I needed his time. Specially, I am very grateful to my external co-supervisor Dr. Muhammad Ramlee Bin Kamarudin, who always advised and helped me to remove any technical problems that I faced in my designing and testing period. He always gave me new ideas and it helped to clear my ambiguities regarding antennas.

I extend my deepest appreciation to my dearest senior Dr. Jamal Nasir for his friendship, expert advice, support and patience through the course of this work. I can not thank him enough for his guidance and friendly assistance that helped me improve my technical skills and academic knowledge. I believe that having discussions with him is a peerless privilege.

I would also like to thank all my colleagues and friends, specially, Mr. Muhammad Hashim Dahri for your endless support, love and care. I have been gifted with lots of true friends whom I will never forget the moments that I shared with them.

Next, I must say “thanks” to Wireless Communication Centre (WCC) technical staff Mr. Mohd Shahrul Shari, who had helped me for measurement and fabrication of my designs during my study. Almost all experimental results in this thesis are merit of his outstanding and patient work. Last but not the least, I would also like to thank all the academic and technical staff of Wireless Communication Centre (WCC), FKE, UTM. I am glad to work with such a friendly, active, and collaborative group.

My apology goes to those whom I did not include in this acknowledgment. They will always be in my heart.

## ABSTRACT

The next generation cellular standard which is called fifth generation (5G) requires high gain beamforming antenna array to provide high speed and secured communication. Therefore, the proposed research work investigates the design and development of a four-element linear microstrip patch array operating at 25 GHz for 5G beamforming application. To investigate the radiation characteristics of the proposed array, five beamforming radiation patterns (main beam at  $0^\circ$ ,  $\pm 15^\circ$  and  $\pm 20^\circ$ ) have been considered. The mutual coupling between array elements raise the challenge of designing the antenna array system. The coupling alters the array element input impedance and distorts the overall radiation performance. Hence, a simple complementary split ring resonator (CSRR) structure has been developed to alleviate the coupling problem. The modeled configuration is numerically analyzed, verified and implemented between the array elements. The existence of the CSRR configuration in antenna array, controls the unnecessary surface current flow between the array elements, thus the mutual coupling between array elements has been significantly reduced from  $-23$  dB to  $-55$  dB. The effect of coupling on the array radiation patterns has been studied in the presence and absence of CSRRs. Most importantly, the effectiveness of CSRR has been studied by steering the main beam as well as the nulls in different angles. By implementing the CSRR elements in array antenna, the distorted array patterns have been recovered and are presented. The proposed CSRR implemented in antenna array have the advantage of easy and low cost fabrication and it offers excellent coupling suppression without changing the antenna profile. Moreover, to the best of the authors knowledge, it was observed for the first time that the CSRR worked efficiently in reducing the effect of mutual coupling when the beam was steered off from broadside direction from  $-20^\circ$  to  $+20^\circ$ . The simulation tools such as MATLAB and Ansys HFSS have been used for array weights calculation and antenna design respectively. Finally, the fabricated prototype has been experimentally verified, and it shows that the analytical and computed results agree well with the measured results.

## ABSTRAK

Piawai generasi selular yang seterusnya dikenali sebagai generasi kelima (5G) memerlukan gandaan antena tatasusunan pembentuk alur yang tinggi bagi menyediakan sistem komunikasi berkelajuan tinggi dan selamat. Oleh itu, cadangan kerja penyelidikan ini adalah untuk mereka bentuk dan mengeksplotasi empat elemen tatasusunan tampalan mikrostrip linear yang beroperasi pada 25 GHz untuk aplikasi pembentuk alur 5G. Untuk menyelidik ciri-ciri tatasusunan radiasi yang dicadangkan, lima corak radiasi (alur utama at  $0^\circ$ ,  $\pm 15^\circ$  and  $\pm 20^\circ$ ) pembentuk alur telah dipertimbangkan. Gandingan saling di antara elemen tatasusunan meningkatkan cabaran untuk mereka bentuk sistem tatasusunan antena. Gandingan tersebut mengubah galangan masukan elemen tatasusunan dan mengganggu prestasi radiasi keseluruhannya. Oleh itu struktur penyalun gelang terpisah lengkap (CSSR) telah dibangunkan untuk mengurangkan masalah gandingan. Model konfigurasi secara berangka telah dianalisis, disahkan dan dilaksanakan di antara elemen tatasusunan. Kewujudan konfigurasi CSSR di dalam tatasusunan antena mengawal aliran arus permukaan yang tidak perlu di antara elemen tatasusunan, oleh itu gandingan saling di antara elemen tatasusunan telah dikurangkan dengan ketara dari  $-23$  dB hingga  $-55$  dB. Kesan dari gandingan pada bentuk radiasi tatasusunan dikaji dengan ketiadaan dan kehadiran CSSR. Yang paling penting, keberkesanan CSSR telah dikaji dengan mengarahkan alur utama serta nol di dalam pelbagai sudut. Dengan melaksanakan elemen CSSR pada tatasusunan antena, bentuk radiasi yang terganggu telah kembali pulih dan dipersembahkan. Cadangan CSSR yang dilaksanakan di dalam tatasusunan antena mempunyai kelebihan seperti antena mudah direka, murah dan pengurangan gandingan yang sangat baik tanpa menukar bentuk antena. Lagipun, mengikut kefahaman penulis, buat pertama kalinya bahawa CSSR beroperasi dengan baik sekali dalam mengurangkan gandingan saling ketika alur itu berubah-ubah dari arah sisi lebar,  $-20^\circ$  kepada  $+20^\circ$ . Alat simulasi seperti MATLAB dan Ansys HFSS telah digunakan untuk pengiraan pemberat tatasusunan dan reka bentuk antenna, masing-masing. Akhir sekali, prototaip yang direka telah diuji secara eksperimen, dan ia menunjukkan bahawa keputusan analitik dan pengiraan bertepatan dengan keputusan pengukuran.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>ii</b>
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>viii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xii</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xiii</b>
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xviii</b>
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xx</b>
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xxi</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Overview	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Research Objectives	5
	1.4 Scope of the Research	5
	1.5 Research Contributions	7
	1.6 Outline of the Thesis	8
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>9</b>
	2.1 Overview	9
	2.2 Millimeter-wave	11
	2.3 Microstrip Patch Antenna	13
	2.3.1 Rectangular Microstrip Patch Antenna	14
	2.3.2 Transmission Line Model	15
	2.3.3 Feeding Techniques	17
	2.3.3.1 Coaxial Probe Feeding	17

	2.3.4	Radiation Characteristics	19
2.4		Antennas Array	19
	2.4.1	Linear Array	20
	2.4.2	Broadside Array	22
	2.4.3	End-fire Array	22
	2.4.4	Beam Steering Array	23
	2.4.5	Beamforming Array or Smart Array	23
		2.4.5.1 Switched Beamforming Ar- rays	24
		2.4.5.2 Phased Array Antenna	24
		2.4.5.3 Adaptive Array Antenna	24
2.5		Computing weights for N=4 Array Using Linear Algebra Method	25
2.6		Beamforming Arrays	28
2.7		Mutual Coupling	33
	2.7.1	Open-Circuit Voltage Method	34
	2.7.2	S-Parameter Method	36
	2.7.3	Full-Wave (Method of Moment)	37
2.8		Metamaterials (MTM)	38
	2.8.1	Defected Ground Structure	41
		2.8.1.1 Concentric Ring Shaped DGS	42
		2.8.1.2 Slitted Ground Structure	43
	2.8.2	Electromagnetic Band Gap Substrate	44
		2.8.2.1 Mushroom-shaped EBG	45
		2.8.2.2 Uni-planar EBG (UC-EBG)	50
	2.8.3	Split Ring Resonator	56
	2.8.4	Complementary Split Ring Resonator	66
2.9		Summary	77
<b>CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>			<b>79</b>
3.1		Overview	79
3.2		Methodology of Research	79



3.2.1	Mathematical Modeling, Designing and Simulation	81
3.3	Beamforming Antenna Array Fabrication Procedure	85
3.3.1	Printing	86
3.3.2	Ultraviolet Exposure	86
3.3.3	Developing and Etching	87
3.4	Beamforming Antenna Array Measurement	88
3.4.1	Scattering Parameters Measurement	89
3.4.1.1	SOLT calibration	90
3.4.2	Element Weight (Magnitude and Phase) Measurement	91
3.4.3	Beamforming Radiation Pattern Measurement	94
3.4.3.1	Azimuth plane and Elevation plane Measurements	96
3.4.4	Gain Measurement	97
3.5	Summary	98

**CHAPTER 4 BEAMFORMING ANTENNA ARRAY MATHEMATICAL MODELING SIMULATION AND MEASUREMENT 99**

4.1	Overview	99
4.2	Smart Beamforming for Linear Antenna Array	99
4.3	Beamforming Antenna Array Design	101
4.3.1	Microstrip Patch Antenna Design	102
4.3.2	Simulation and Optimization	103
4.3.3	Four-element Linear Antenna Array	106
4.3.4	Effect of mutual coupling on antenna array	109
4.3.5	Beamforming Analysis	111
4.4	Metamaterial Band Rejection Structures	116
4.4.1	Split Ring Resonator	116
4.4.2	Unit Cell Simulation	119
4.4.3	Complementary Split Ring Resonator	122

4.5	Mutual Coupling Reduction in Antenna Array Using CSRR	125
4.5.1	Two Element Antenna Array With Single Row of CSRRs	126
4.5.2	Two Element antenna Measurements	130
4.6	Mutual Coupling Reduction and Pattern Error Correction in Beamforming Linear Array Using CSRR	132
4.7	Beamforming Linear Antenna Array Experimental Validation	139
4.7.1	Measured Scattering Parameter Results	141
4.7.2	Beamforming Radiation Pattern Measurements	142
4.8	Gain of the Four-element Beamforming Antenna Array	145
4.9	Summary	146
<b>CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK</b>		<b>147</b>
5.1	Conclusion	147
5.2	Suggestions for Future Work	149
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>151</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Table 2.1	Performance comparison.	75
Table 2.2	Performance comparison.	76
Table 3.1	Design specification.	85
Table 3.2	Components specification.	92
Table 4.1	Microstrip patch design parameters.	102
Table 4.2	Summary of beamforming patterns.	111
Table 4.3	Array elements complex weights.	112
Table 4.4	Ideal and simulated antenna array beamforming pattern results summary.	115
Table 4.5	SRR unit cell dimensions.	119
Table 4.6	CSRR unicell dimensions.	123
Table 4.7	Ideal and simulated antenna array beamforming pattern results summary.	138
Table 4.8	Simulated gain of the antenna array with and without CSRRs.	139
Table 4.9	Simulated and measured gain of the antenna array with and without CSRRs.	145

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Down link peak data rates for different network technologies	10
Figure 2.2	Existing services in radio frequency spectrum	11
Figure 2.3	Propagation loss measurement setup	12
Figure 2.4	ITU allocated 5G bands for mobile communication	13
Figure 2.5	Printed antenna configuration	14
Figure 2.6	Effective length of rectangular patch antenna	15
Figure 2.7	Probe fed configuration	18
Figure 2.8	N elements linear array with inter element separation S and phase shift $\beta$	20
Figure 2.9	Four-element linear array (elements along the y-axis).	26
Figure 2.10	Eight element linear microstrip patch antenna array	29
Figure 2.11	Geometry of $1 \times 4$ linear array antenna with its polarization feed network and measured results	29
Figure 2.12	Prototype of $2 \times 1$ antenna array with parasitic pixels and its results	30
Figure 2.13	Prototype of the antenna array with its radiation pattern results	31
Figure 2.14	Beam switching antenna array with normalized gain pattern	32
Figure 2.15	Mutual coupling phenomena	33
Figure 2.16	Measured radiation patterns of the dipole circular array	36
Figure 2.17	Compensated and uncompensated measured radiation patterns of an antenna array with inter-element spacing of $0.517\lambda$	37
Figure 2.18	Materials categorization based on the sign of their $\epsilon$ and $\mu$	39
Figure 2.19	Photograph of double neagive metamaterial	40
Figure 2.20	Dumbbell shaped DGS and its equivalent circuit model	41
Figure 2.21	Simulated S-parameters of the dumbbell shape DGS with strip line	42
Figure 2.22	Concentric ring shaped defected ground structure	42

Figure 2.23	Geometry of antenna arrays with slitted ground plane	43
Figure 2.24	S-parameters of antennas with and without slitted ground plane	44
Figure 2.25	Mushroom EBG	45
Figure 2.26	Equivalent circuit model of the mushroom EBG	46
Figure 2.27	Patch antenna array without and with mushroom-EBG	46
Figure 2.28	S-parameters with presents and absence of mushroom EBG	47
Figure 2.29	Microstrip antennas separated by the fork like EBG	47
Figure 2.30	transmission coefficient ( $S_{21}$ ) between antenna elements with and without fork shape EBG	48
Figure 2.31	Simulated mutual coupling results of two element antenna array	48
Figure 2.32	Two element antenna array with dual layer mushroom EBG and S-parameter results of antenna array	49
Figure 2.33	Uni-planar compact photonic bandgap structure	50
Figure 2.34	Wideband bow-tie shape EBG	51
Figure 2.35	Simulated and measured bandgap response of wide band UC-EBG	51
Figure 2.36	Slotted UC-EBG and measured transmission coefficient	52
Figure 2.37	Different size EBG and transmission coefficient	53
Figure 2.38	Array of patches in a multilayer substrate with planar EBGs	54
Figure 2.39	S-parameters of antenna array with and without EBG	54
Figure 2.40	Geometry of antenna array with EBG and transmission coefficient	55
Figure 2.41	UC-EBG unit cell loaded multiple layer antenna array and its S-parameter results	56
Figure 2.42	Split ring resonator	57
Figure 2.43	Split ring resonator equivalent lumped circuit model	57
Figure 2.44	Broad side coupled split ring resonator and its simulation setup	59
Figure 2.45	BC-SRR unit cell simulation results	59
Figure 2.46	Monopole antenna array with BC-SRR decoupling setup	60
Figure 2.47	Meander line antenna configuration with metasurface	61
Figure 2.48	Two element antenna array with E-SRR decoupling elements	61

Figure 2.49	S-parameter results of MLA array with and without metasurface and separators	62
Figure 2.50	DNG unit cell with simulation setup	63
Figure 2.51	Filed distribution of sine corrugation and DNG based AFTSA.	64
Figure 2.52	BC-SRR loaded antenna array with S-parameter results.	65
Figure 2.53	Modified SRR unit cell with two element dielectric antenna array	66
Figure 2.54	Complementary split ring resonator and its boundary condition	66
Figure 2.55	Complementary split ring resonator equivanet circuit model	67
Figure 2.56	SCSRR unitcell.	68
Figure 2.57	SCSRR implemented antenna array and its results	69
Figure 2.58	FSRR unit cell	70
Figure 2.59	Experimental results of simple array and FSRRs loaded arrays	70
Figure 2.60	SCCSRR unit cell and simulation results	71
Figure 2.61	Top and bottom surface of $\vec{E}$ -coupled antenna array	72
Figure 2.62	S-parameter and radiation pattern results of $\vec{E}$ -coupled array	73
Figure 2.63	MIMO antenna with circular CSRR	73
Figure 2.64	Two element antenna array with C-shape CSRR.	74
Figure 3.1	Flowchart of beamforming array.	80
Figure 3.2	Setup for array elements weights in HFSS in volts (rms).	82
Figure 3.3	Setup for array elements weights in HFSS in power (dBm).	82
Figure 3.4	Setup for array pattern plot.	83
Figure 3.5	Setup for active element pattern plot.	84
Figure 3.6	Simulation process.	84
Figure 3.7	Ultraviolet exposure unit	86
Figure 3.8	Fabrication of the antenna array.	87
Figure 3.9	Measurement process.	89
Figure 3.10	PNA-L Network Analyzer	90
Figure 3.11	Block diagram of the beamforming setup.	91
Figure 3.12	Real time controller setup.	93
Figure 3.13	Beamforming array controller setup with PNA-L.	93

Figure 3.14	Procedure of measuring magnitude and phase of $S_{21}$ .	94
Figure 3.15	Measurement setup for beamforming array.	95
Figure 3.16	Required orientations of the source antenna and AUT for the measurement of azimuth and elevation plane.	96
Figure 4.1	Four-elements beamforming antenna array.	100
Figure 4.2	Four-elements beamforming antenna array.	101
Figure 4.3	Microstrip patch design parameters.	102
Figure 4.4	Patch antenna optimization results.	103
Figure 4.5	Input impedance for different values of ' $Q$ '.	104
Figure 4.6	Reflection coefficient for different values of ' $Q$ '.	104
Figure 4.7	Simulated reflection coefficient.	105
Figure 4.8	Simulated input impedance.	105
Figure 4.9	Simulated normalized radiation pattern.	106
Figure 4.10	Four-elements linear array.	106
Figure 4.11	S-parameters of the proposed linear array.	107
Figure 4.12	Simulated normalized radiation pattern of four-element antenna array.	108
Figure 4.13	Current distribution in four-element antenna array.	109
Figure 4.14	Active impedance of four-element antenna array.	110
Figure 4.15	Active element patterns of the proposed array.	111
Figure 4.16	Array radiation pattern with main beam at $0^\circ$ .	112
Figure 4.17	Array radiation pattern with main beam at $15^\circ$ .	113
Figure 4.18	Array radiation pattern with main beam at $20^\circ$ .	114
Figure 4.19	Array radiation pattern with main beam at $-15^\circ$ .	114
Figure 4.20	Array radiation pattern with main beam at $-20^\circ$ .	115
Figure 4.21	SRR unit cell.	117
Figure 4.22	Unit cell simulation setup.	120
Figure 4.23	Scattering parameter response of SRR unit cell.	120
Figure 4.24	Effective permittivity of SRR unit cell.	121
Figure 4.25	Effective permeability of SRR unit cell.	121
Figure 4.26	CSRR unit cell.	122
Figure 4.27	Transmission coefficient ( $S_{12}$ ) for different values of ' $a$ '.	123
Figure 4.28	Simulated results of CSRR unit cell.	123
Figure 4.29	Effective permittivity of CSRR unit cell.	124

Figure 4.30	Effective permeability of CSRR unit cell.	124
Figure 4.31	Two element antenna array.	125
Figure 4.32	Simulated S-parameter of two element array.	126
Figure 4.33	Antenna array with single row CSRR.	126
Figure 4.34	The results of antenna array without and with CSRR	127
Figure 4.35	Different orientation of CSRR elements.	128
Figure 4.36	$S_{12}$ of the two-element array with different CSRR configurations.	129
Figure 4.37	Prototype of the two element antenna arrays.	130
Figure 4.38	Simulated and measured S-parameter results of two element antenna array without CSRR.	131
Figure 4.39	Simulated and measured S-parameter results of two element antenna array with CSRR.	131
Figure 4.40	Simulated and measured radiation pattern of two element antenna array with and without CSRR.	132
Figure 4.41	Antenna array with opposite faced CSRR elements.	132
Figure 4.42	S-parameters of the antenna array with opposite faced CSRR.	133
Figure 4.43	Current distribution in four-element antenna array with CSRR.	133
Figure 4.44	Active impedance of CSRRs loaded four-element antenna array.	134
Figure 4.45	Active element patterns of the CSRRs loaded array antenna element with isolated pattern.	135
Figure 4.46	Radiation pattern with main beam at $0^\circ$ .	136
Figure 4.47	Radiation pattern with main beam at $15^\circ$ .	136
Figure 4.48	Radiation pattern with main beam at $20^\circ$ .	137
Figure 4.49	Radiation pattern with main beam at $-15^\circ$ .	137
Figure 4.50	Radiation pattern with main beam at $-20^\circ$ .	138
Figure 4.51	Fabricated four-element antenna arrays	140
Figure 4.52	Measured S-parameter results of the antenna array.	141
Figure 4.53	Beamforming radiation pattern measurement setup.	142
Figure 4.54	Measured radiation pattern with main beam at $0^\circ$ .	143
Figure 4.55	Measured radiation pattern with main beam at $15^\circ$ .	144
Figure 4.56	Measured radiation pattern with main beam at $20^\circ$ .	145



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

1G	–	First Generation
2G	–	Second Generation
3G	–	Third Generation
4G	–	Fourth Generation
5G	–	Fifth Generation
AEP	–	Active Element Pattern
AF	–	Array Factor
AMC	–	Artificial Magnetic Conductor
AOA	–	Angle of Arrival
AP	–	Array Pattern
AUT	–	Antenna Under Test
CSRR	–	Complementary Split Ring Resonator
DGS	–	Defected Ground Structure
DNG	–	Double Neagtive
EBG	–	Electromagnetic Band Gap Substrate
EM	–	Electromagnetic
EMF	–	Electromotive Force
Gbps	–	Gigabits per second
GSM	–	Group Special Mobile
HFSS	–	High Frequency Structure Simulator
HIS	–	High Impedance Surfaces
IMT	–	International Mobile Telecommunications
IoT	–	Internet of Things

ITU	–	International Telecommunication Union
Kbps	–	Kilobits per second
LAM	–	Linear Algebra Method
LMS	–	Least Mean Square
LTE	–	Long Term Evolution
Mbps	–	Megabits per second
MIMO	–	Multiple Input Multiple Output
MMS	–	Minimum Mean Square
mm-wave	–	Millimeter-wave
MTM	–	Metamaterial
PEC	–	Perfect Electric Conductor
PMC	–	Perfect Magnetic Conductor
RF	–	Radio Frequency
SINR	–	Signal to Interference plus Noise Ratio
SIM	–	Simulated
SNG	–	Single Neagtive
SNOI	–	Signal Not of Interest
SOI	–	Signal of Interest
S-Parameter	–	Scattering Parameter
SRR	–	Split Ring Resonator
TDMA	–	Time Division Multiple Access
UC-EBG	–	Uniplanar EBG
UHF	–	Ultra High Frequency
Z-Parameter	–	Impedance Parameter
	–	

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$AF$	–	Array Factor
$C$	–	Capacitance
$f$	–	Frequency
$k$	–	Wave Number
$L$	–	Inductance
$\varepsilon$	–	Permittivity
$\beta$	–	Phase Progression
$\lambda$	–	Whatever
$\Psi$	–	Scalar Wave Function
$\gamma$	–	Angle Between Z-axis and Reference Axis
$\delta$	–	Loss Tangent
$\varepsilon$	–	Permittivity
$\varepsilon_0$	–	Permittivity of Free Space
$\varepsilon_r$	–	Relative Permittivity
$\varepsilon_{reff}$	–	Effective Permittivity
$\mu$	–	Permeability
$\mu_0$	–	Permeability of Free Space
$\mu_r$	–	Relative Permeability
$\mu_{reff}$	–	Effective Permeability
$\Delta l$	–	Extended Length of Patch
$\theta_U$	–	Angle of the Signal of Interest
$\theta_{Nn}$	–	Angle of the Signal not of Interest
	–	

## LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Ideal Array Factor and Element Weights Calculation	165
Appendix B	Split Ring Resonator Resonance Frequency Calculation	171
Appendix C	Permittivity and Permeability Calculation	175
Appendix D	Simulation Modeling and Analysis of Microstrip Patch Antenna With Conductive Adhesive Material	179
Appendix E	Molex Cable Assembly Data Sheet and Drawing	181
Appendix F	Four way Power Divider	183
Appendix G	Low Noise Amplifier	187
Appendix H	Analog Voltage Control Phase Shifter	191
Appendix I	Voltage Control Attenuator	195
Appendix J	Measured Phase Shift of The Variable Phase Shifter	199
Appendix K	Measured Attenuation of The Variable Attenuator	203
Appendix L	List of Publication	205



# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Overview

Historically, due the expanded wireless-communication services, the telecommunication innovation has acquired phenomenal growth from the first generation (1G) to fifth generation (5G). Consequently, the world wide unique mobile subscriber numbers have exceeded up to 5 billion, and it has been expected to reach 6 billion within 2020 [1]. Furthermore, in recent years wireless gadgets and Internet of Things (IoT) devices usage has exponentially increased. As a result of these quick advancement the demand for mobile data services has increased a lot. Besides, the large number of communication devices usage causes a strong interference between the devices. In order to cope with the increased data rate demand and to address the interference problem, a new wireless standard is required. Particularly, to support the high-speed communication and improve the quality of services the data rate of forthcoming wireless standard (5G) should be in the range from 100 Mbps (Edge rate) to 1 Gbps (peak rate), respectively [2,3].

Basically, wide operating frequency band is needed to obtain such high data rate. Essentially, to achieve the peak data rate (10 Gbps) of the 5G, the required frequency bandwidth must be up to several hundred megahertz or few gigahertz [4]. However, Ultra High Frequency (UHF) band (300 MHz to 3 GHz) which is currently used for mobile communication is almost saturated due to several applications. Therefore, wide band allocation for future communication at UHF band is unattainable. In the meantime, apart from UHF spectrum there are several higher frequency spectrum bands which has strong potential to meet some of the 5G demands identified until now [5]. Hence, the International Telecommunication Union Radio Communication Standards Sector (ITU-R) have allocated the frequencies above 6 GHz for the upcoming mobile standard (5G) research [6, 7]. Recently, the millimeter-wave frequencies have gained a substantial attention of the operators, vendors and academic researchers, due to its unique bandwidth characteristics [6]. But, the Friis equation

asserts that in the higher frequencies the path loss will be increased due to its small wavelength [8]. So that the mm-wave signals can travel only short distance and easily deteriorated by the obstacles due to poor penetration. However, this problem can be mitigated by employing multiple antennas (antenna array) at transmitter and receiver ends [9]. In general, antenna array is a process of combining similar antenna elements together with a proper spacing and feeding. The array antennas possess numerous advantages such as, high gain, narrow beam width etc. While, these array antennas contain limited coverage in both azimuth and elevation planes. Technically the coverage of the antenna array can be enhanced by utilizing beamforming technique [10].

Basically, beam-forming array is an array, which direct the maximum radiation in the desired direction and placing nulls in the undesired directions. It has been achieved by exciting the array elements with variable phase shift or variable time delay [11, 12]. The beamforming array can be constructed using any type of radiating elements. However, printed type (microstrip patch) radiators are highly suitable to construct a compact and lightweight beamforming antenna array system. Another interesting advantage of it is that it can be easily integrated with beam-forming circuits. The aforementioned advantages encouraged the antenna designers to pay greater attention on microstrip patch beamforming antenna arrays. Besides the numerous advantages, the performance of these arrays systems is severely affected by most common mutual coupling effects.

Mutual coupling is an electromagnetic phenomenon which exists in antenna array when all the array elements are excited [10]. Mutual coupling in antenna arrays is under investigation for several decades. Mutual coupling alters the individual element patterns as compared to its isolated patterns, causing mismatch, impedance variation and correlation of the signals. This alteration depends upon the position of the element in the array. The result of this is a degraded array performance which results in the overall system performance degradation. Various mathematical methods have been discussed in the literature to compensate the effect of mutual coupling [13, 14]. However, these mitigation methods are well suitable only for small arrays. Because, higher number of elements in an array increase the calculation complexity of these methods. Apart from the mathematical compensation methods recently, the metamaterials gained much attention in the field of electromagnetic due to its peculiar characteristics.

In general, the materials which has negative characteristics (negative electrical permittivity or negative magnetic permeability or permittivity and permeability both negative) are referred as meta-materials [15, 16]. Periodic arrangements of these negative materials do not allow the surface current flow from one antenna to another, thus the mutual coupling between antenna elements are highly reduced. Various metamaterials configurations such as, High Impedance Surfaces (HIS) [17, 18], Meander Line Structures [19–21], Electromagnetic Band Gap Substrate (EBG) [22–24], Split Ring Resonator (SRR) [25, 26] and Complementary Split Ring Resonator (CSRR) [27–29] have been reported in past studies for reducing the mutual coupling between antenna array elements.

Specifically, among the all, the SRR and CSRR configurations offers higher mutual coupling reduction than others. Furthermore, these structures are compact in size, simple in design and easy to integrate with the antenna arrays. The detail study about the split ring and complementary split ring resonators will be discussed in the next section. In accordance with all the above discussion, the development of a beam-forming antenna array with high gain and less mutual coupling is most essential for future wireless (5G) system. Therefore, in this research work it is addressed in handsome detail.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

The intense demand for boundless data rates has led the telecommunication field to implement a new wireless standard. In the meantime, the increase of usage and the demand for simultaneous communication between devices causes higher interference. Different multiple antenna approaches like massive MIMO and beam-forming have been proposed to satisfy this ever-growing need. The MIMO system offers high quality of service and support large number of subscribers in single cell. However, MIMO systems are not sufficient to fulfill the high data rate need. The beam-forming system, which can be achieved by a one-dimensional linear or two-dimensional planar array, can further increase the channel capacity by increasing the Signal to Interference plus Noise Ratio (SINR). Microstrip patch antennas are being considered as a good candidate for beamforming applications. However, the



performance of the microstrip patch beamforming array is severally affected due to the mutual coupling.

In order to mitigate the mutual coupling and improve the performance of the beam-forming array, different mathematical solutions like open circuit voltage method, calibration method, receive mutual impedance approach etc. have been used. However, for these mathematical solutions several complex measurement results are required to mitigate the mutual coupling. Therefore, metamaterials based decoupling structures like defected structures, electromagnetic band gap structures (EBG), split ring resonators (SRR) and complementary split ring resonators (CSRR) are preferred. The defected structures which could be located in ground plane is large in size, therefore it will increase the back-radiation. Next, the EBG configurations are complex in design because multi-layer substrate and vertical via's are required to construct these structures. Implementing these EBGs increase the design complexity of the beamforming array.

Compare to all, the SRR and CSRR configurations are compact and simple designs therefore several research works proposed different CSRR configurations for mutual coupling reduction. However, those CSRR elements were implemented in arrays with broadside radiation pattern only and almost no study was carried out on the effectiveness of CSRRs in a smart beamforming array when the main beam as well as position of nulls was changed to different angles. Hence, in this research work the CSRR configuration is chosen to decrease the mutual coupling between beamforming antenna array and the radiation performance of the beamforming antenna array has been verified along with CSRR elements when the main beam and nulls are steered to different angles. By doing so it will be justified that CSRRs not only suppress mutual coupling when the main beam is pointing in the broadside direction (as discussed in most studies) but are also effective when the main beam is scanned off from the broadside direction to other angles  $-20^\circ$  to  $20^\circ$ .

In order to address these issues, this research work focuses on two major aspects; beamforming microstrip patch array and reducing mutual coupling in beamforming array using complementary split ring resonators. Design of

beamforming microstrip patch antenna array and performance enhancement of beamforming array using CSRRs are discussed in Chapter 4. Moreover, in the same chapter, the simulation and experimental results are explained in detail. Finally, the overall work is concluded in Chapter 5.

### **1.3 Research Objectives**

1. To model and design, a four element linear microstrip patch antenna array operating at 25 GHz and estimate the array elements weights to perform the beamforming.
2. To model and design, a complementary split ring resonator operating at 25 GHz and study their band rejection characteristics.
3. To implement the configured complementary split ring resonator in between the array elements to reduces the mutual coupling and to recover the distorted individual element patterns and array element pattern.

### **1.4 Scope of the Research**

The primary aims of this research are to design a beamforming antenna array for next generation wireless standard application. Various frequency bands from 24.25 to 86 GHz has been suggested for 5G research study [30]. The frequency band 24.25 - 27.5 GHz have been chosen for this study with a resonant frequency of 25 GHz. This range of frequency is the lowest possible band from the ITU-R suggested 5G frequencies, which can provide feasibility of optimized fabrication with available beamforming RF components. Next, due to several advantages widely used rectangular microstrip patch antenna has been used as radiating element in this research. Furthermore, the coaxial probe fed method have been used to feed antenna elements because it is easy to fabricate and offer better impedance matching.

Antenna arrays come in different configurations like linear, circular, planar and conformal. In this research work, a simple, wideband (1GHz), high gain (12dB) and low cost linear array antenna has been proposed for 5G applications. Basically, the

bandwidth of the proposed antenna array is defined based on where the frequency range  $S_{11}$  is less than -10 dB. Followed by, the complex array element weights (Magnitude and Phase) has been calculated by using the array factor equation to steer the main beam from the broadside direction to other angles  $-20^\circ$  to  $20^\circ$ . The proposed linear array antenna consist of four identical patch antenna elements, the four elements are chosen because of certain short comings in purchasing expensive RF components like attenuators, phase shifters, power dividers and amplifiers which are used to practically provide the required magnitude and phase to the array elements for beamforming.

Generally, the mutual coupling severely degrades the performance of a smart beamforming array. Basically, the maximum acceptable level of mutual coupling ( $S_{12}$ ) between the array elements are considered as -15 dB. Different mathematical methods (open circuit voltage method, decoupling method, calibration method) and use of metamaterials based decoupling structures have been used to compensate the effect of mutual coupling. In this work, complementary split ring resonator (CSRR) has been chosen to reduce the mutual coupling between antenna array elements because it is simple and compact in design and it is easy to fabricate. As a first step of mutual coupling reduction, a simple and compact complementary split ring resonator which has sharp band rejection at 25 GHz has been designed and analyzed. Followed by, the surface current flow from one antenna element another is reduced by implementing the modeled CSRR configurations in between the array elements.

After accomplishing the optimum designs, to practically investigate and validate the concept, a four-element antenna array with and without CSRRs has been fabricated and experimentally verified. The fabrication accuracy entirely depends on how much accurate the design is printed on the transparent sheet. Therefore, in this work a high quality Epson L200 ink-jet printer has been used for printer purpose. A perfect printed output has been obtained when the dimensions of the configuration is maintained  $\geq 0.15$  mm. Finally, the proposed antenna array has been experimentally verified in terms of S-parameter and radiation pattern. The real time beamforming setup includes several RF components which increase the losses. In order to get better performance the losses of each RF component is properly analyzed and modeled.

The software used in this research work are: Matlab and Ansys HFSS. Matlab has been used to carry out the dimension calculations of beamforming antenna array and CSRR. Next, the Matlab has been used to calculate and verify the CSRR constitutive parameters such as permittivity and permeability. Finally, Matlab has also been used for the calculation of complex array element weights required for beamforming. Ansys HFSS has been used for the simulation and optimization of all the beam-forming array and CSRR.

## 1.5 Research Contributions

The main contributions of this research work can be summarized as:

1. Innovative design of a beam-forming antenna array with four elements for 5G application (25 GHz). This includes the fabrication and measurements of the beamforming antenna array.
2. Innovative and compact design of complementary split ring resonator based filtering structure. The band rejection characteristics and effective permittivity and permeability responses are verified by numerical methods.
3. Finally, the CSRR configurations are implemented in between the array elements to suppress the mutual coupling, by reducing the mutual coupling the active impedance of the each array elements are exactly maintained as  $50 + i0 \Omega$ . Moreover, the distorted individual element patterns are recovered by reducing the mutual coupling between the array elements. Therefore, the overall array pattern which is the linear combination of element patterns are recovered successfully. The overall results are verified by extensive measurement procedures.

A lot of work has been done on traditional antennas for beamforming applications and mutual coupling compensation. In this research work an extensive study has been carried out on beamforming antenna array and the compensation of mutual coupling effect in this antenna array.

## REFERENCES

1. Intelligence, G. Global Mobile Trends 2017. *Tech. Rep*, 2017.
2. Andrews, J. G., Buzzi, S., Choi, W., Hanly, S. V., Lozano, A., Soong, A. C. and Zhang, J. C. What will 5G be? *IEEE Journal on selected areas in communications*, 2014. 32(6): 1065–1082.
3. ITU-R, R. I. R M. 2083-0. *IMT vision–framework and overall objectives of the future development of IMT for*, 2015.
4. Viswanathan, H. and Weldon, M. The past, present, and future of mobile communications. *Bell Labs Technical Journal*, 2014. 19: 8–21.
5. Pi, Z. and Khan, F. An introduction to millimeter-wave mobile broadband systems. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 2011. 49(6).
6. GSMA, I. Understanding 5G: Perspectives on future technological advancements in mobile. *GSM Assoc., London, U.K., White paper*, 2014: 1–26.
7. The road to 5G: Drivers, applications, requirements and technical development. Global mobile Suppliers Association., Farnham, U.K., 2015.
8. Friis, H. T. A note on a simple transmission formula. *Proceedings of the IRE*, 1946. 34(5): 254–256.
9. Roh, W., Seol, J.-Y., Park, J., Lee, B., Lee, J., Kim, Y., Cho, J., Cheun, K. and Aryanfar, F. Millimeter-wave beamforming as an enabling technology for 5G cellular communications: Theoretical feasibility and prototype results. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 2014. 52(2): 106–113.
10. Balanis, C. A. *Antenna Theory Analysis and Design*. 4th ed. Hoboken, New Jersey, USA : John Willey and Son's. 2016.
11. Bellofiore, S., Balanis, C. A., Foutz, J. and Spanias, A. S. Smart-antenna systems for mobile communication networks. Part 1. Overview and antenna design. *IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine*, 2002. 44(3): 145–154.
12. Bellofiore, S., Foutz, J., Balanis, C. A. and Spanias, A. S. Smart-antenna system for mobile communication networks. part 2. beamforming

- and network throughput. *IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine*, 2002. 44(4): 106–114.
13. Salonen, I., Toropainen, A. and Vainikainen, P. Linear pattern correction in a small microstrip antenna array. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 2004. 52(2): 578–586.
  14. Huang, Z., Balanis, C. A. and Birtcher, C. R. Mutual coupling compensation in UCAs: Simulations and experiment. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 2006. 54(11): 3082–3086.
  15. Wartak, M. S., Tsakmakidis, K. L. and Hess, O. Introduction to metamaterials. *Phys. Can*, 2011. 67: 30–34.
  16. Kshetrimayum, R. S. A brief intro to metamaterials. *IEEE Potentials*, 2004. 23(5): 44–46.
  17. Xin, H., Matsugatani, K., Kim, M., Hacker, J., Higgins, J., Rosker, M. and Tanaka, M. Mutual coupling reduction of low-profile monopole antennas on high-impedance ground plane. *Electronics letters*, 2002. 38(16): 849–850.
  18. Alibakhshikenari, M., Salvucci, A., Polli, G., Virdee, B. S., See, C. H., Abd-Alhameed, R., Falcone, F., Andújar, A., Anguera, J. and Limiti, E. Mutual coupling reduction using metamaterial supersubstrate for high performance & densely packed planar phased arrays. *2018 22nd International Microwave and Radar Conference (MIKON)*. IEEE. 2018.
  19. Maddio, S., Pelosi, G., Righini, M., Selleri, S. and Vecchi, I. Mutual coupling reduction in multilayer patch antennas via meander line parasites. *Electronics Letters*, 2018. 54(15): 922–924.
  20. Li, Q., Ding, C., Lei, X., Wu, G., Yang, R., Huang, M., Gong, Y., Lu, Z., Wang, Z., Yin, H. *et al.* Mutual coupling reduction in patch antenna arrays. *2018 IEEE International Vacuum Electronics Conference (IVEC)*. IEEE. 2018. 209–210.
  21. Li, Q., Ding, C., Yang, R., Tan, M., Wu, G., Lei, X., Jiang, X., Fang, S., Huang, M., Gong, Y. *et al.* Mutual Coupling Reduction between Patch Antennas Using Meander Line. *International Journal of Antennas and Propagation*, 2018.

22. Yang, F. and Rahmat-Samii, Y. Microstrip antennas integrated with electromagnetic band-gap (EBG) structures: A low mutual coupling design for array applications. *IEEE transactions on antennas and propagation*, 2003. 51(10): 2936–2946.
23. Ghosh, S., Tran, T.-N. and Le-Ngoc, T. Dual-layer EBG-based miniaturized multi-element antenna for MIMO systems. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 2014. 62(8): 3985–3997.
24. Bhavarthe, P. P., Rathod, S. S. and Reddy, K. Mutual coupling reduction in patch antenna using Electromagnetic Band Gap (EBG) structure for IoT application. *2018 International Conference on Communication information and Computing Technology (ICCICT)*. IEEE. 2018. 1–4.
25. Bait-Suwailam, M. M., Boybay, M. S. and Ramahi, O. M. Electromagnetic coupling reduction in high-profile monopole antennas using single-negative magnetic metamaterials for MIMO applications. *IEEE transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 2010. 58(9): 2894–2902.
26. Gheethan, A. A., Herzig, P. A. and Mumcu, G. Compact 2 x 2 coupled double loop GPS antenna array loaded with broadside coupled split ring resonators. *IEEE transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 2013. 61(6): 3000–3008.
27. Qamar, Z., Riaz, L., Chongcheawchamnan, M., Khan, S. A. and Shafique, M. F. Slot combined complementary split ring resonators for mutual coupling suppression in microstrip phased arrays. *IET Microwaves, Antennas & Propagation*, 2014. 8(15): 1261–1267.
28. Sharawi, M. S., Khan, M. U., Numan, A. B. and Aloji, D. N. A CSRR loaded MIMO antenna system for ISM band operation. *IEEE Transactions on antennas and propagation*, 2013. 61(8): 4265–4274.
29. Pandeewari, R. Complimentary split ring resonator inspired meandered CPW-fed monopole antenna for multiband operation. *Progress In Electromagnetics Research*, 2018. 80: 13–20.
30. Marcus, M. J. WRC-19 Issues: A Survey. *IEEE Wireless Communications*, 2017. 24(1): 2–3.
31. Ghosh, A. and Ratasuk, R. *Essentials of LTE and LTE-A*. Cambridge

- University Press. 2011.
32. Dass, R. *Wireless Communication Systems*. IK International Publishing House. 2012.
  33. Boccardi, F., Heath, R. W., Lozano, A., Marzetta, T. L. and Popovski, P. Five disruptive technology directions for 5G. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 2014. 52(2): 74–80.
  34. Zhao, H., Mayzus, R., Sun, S., Samimi, M., Schulz, J. K., Azar, Y., Wang, K., Wong, G. N., Gutierrez, F. and Rappaport, T. S. 28 GHz millimeter wave cellular communication measurements for reflection and penetration loss in and around buildings in New York City. *Communications (ICC), 2013 IEEE International Conference on*. IEEE. 2013. 5163–5167.
  35. Murdock, J. N., Ben-Dor, E., Qiao, Y., Tamir, J. I. and Rappaport, T. S. A 38 GHz cellular outage study for an urban outdoor campus environment. *Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC), 2012 IEEE*. IEEE. 2012. 3085–3090.
  36. Rappaport, T. S., Gutierrez, F., Ben-Dor, E., Murdock, J. N., Qiao, Y. and Tamir, J. I. Broadband millimeter-wave propagation measurements and models using adaptive-beam antennas for outdoor urban cellular communications. *IEEE transactions on antennas and propagation*, 2013. 61(4): 1850–1859.
  37. Rappaport, T. S., Sun, S., Mayzus, R., Zhao, H., Azar, Y., Wang, K., Wong, G. N., Schulz, J. K., Samimi, M. and Gutierrez, F. Millimeter wave mobile communications for 5G cellular: It will work! *IEEE access*, 2013. 1: 335–349.
  38. MacCartney, G. R., Zhang, J., Nie, S. and Rappaport, T. S. Path loss models for 5G millimeter wave propagation channels in urban microcells. *Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM), 2013 IEEE*. IEEE. 2013. 3948–3953.
  39. Niu, Y., Li, Y., Jin, D., Su, L. and Vasilakos, A. V. A survey of millimeter wave communications (mmWave) for 5G: opportunities and challenges. *Wireless Networks*, 2015. 21(8): 2657–2676.



40. *Final Acts WRC-15 World Radiocommunication Conference*. Technical report. International Telecommunication Union, Geneva. 2015.
41. Guha, D. and Antar, Y. M. *Microstrip and printed antennas*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc, 2011.
42. Carver, K. and Mink, J. Microstrip antenna technology. *IEEE transactions on antennas and propagation*, 1981. 29(1): 2–24.
43. Lo, Y., Solomon, D. and Richards, W. Theory and experiment on microstrip antennas. *IEEE transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 1979. 27(2): 137–145.
44. Ojha, J. and Peters, M. *Microwave and Millimeter Wave Technologies—Modern UWB Antennas and Equipment*, 2010.
45. James, J. R. and Hall, P. S. *Handbook of microstrip antennas*. Volumes 1 & 2. *NASA STI/Recon Technical Report A*, 1989. 90.
46. Pozar, D. M. Microstrip antennas. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 1992. 80(1): 79–91.
47. Oltman, H. and Huebner, D. Electromagnetically coupled microstrip dipoles. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 1981. 29(1): 151–157.
48. Pozar, D. M. Microstrip antenna aperture-coupled to a microstripline. *Electronics letters*, 1985. 21(2): 49–50.
49. Pozar, D. M. *Microwave engineering*. 4th ed. John Wiley & Sons. 2011.
50. Hansen, R. C. *Microwave scanning antennas*. vol. 1. Academic Press New York. 1964.
51. Stutzman, W. L. and Thiele, G. A. *Antenna theory and design*. John Wiley & Sons. 2012.
52. Chang, K. *RF and microwave wireless systems*. vol. 161. John Wiley & Sons. 2004.
53. Chen, S. *Handbook on advancements in smart antenna technologies for wireless networks*. IGI Global. 2008.
54. Rayal, F. Why have smart antennas not yet gained traction with wireless network operators? *IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine*, 2005. 47(6):

- 124–126.
55. Semkin, V., Ferrero, F., Bisognin, A., Ala-Laurinaho, J., Luxey, C., Devillers, F. and Räisänen, A. Beam switching conformal antenna array for mm-wave communications. *IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters*, 2016. 15: 28–31.
  56. Herscovici, N. and Christodoulou, C. Potentials of smart antennas in CDMA systems and uplink improvements. *IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine*, 2001. 43(5): 172–177.
  57. Kishore, K. V., Rajesh, G. S., Kumar, V. and Srinivasulu, P. Design of  $0.71 \lambda$ , spacing 8-element microstrip patch antenna linear array for 0.43 GHz wind profiling radar. *Emerging Technology Trends in Electronics, Communication and Networking (ET2ECN), 2014 2nd International Conference on*. IEEE. 2014. 1–6.
  58. Babakhani, B., Sharma, S. K. and Labadie, N. R. A frequency agile microstrip patch phased array antenna with polarization reconfiguration. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 2016. 64(10): 4316–4327.
  59. Lotfi, P., Soltani, S., Murch, R. D. *et al.* Broadside beam-steerable planar parasitic pixel patch antenna. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag*, 2016. 64(10): 4519–4524.
  60. Zhou, H., Hong, W., Tian, L. and Cheng, L. Cross-polarization suppressed and linearly polarized patch array antenna with cavity-backed slot feed for vehicle applications. *IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters*, 2016. 15: 126–129.
  61. Lui, H.-S., Hui, H. T. and Leong, M. S. A note on the mutual-coupling problems in transmitting and receiving antenna arrays. *IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine*, 2009. 51(5).
  62. Henault, S. and Antar, Y. Unifying the theory of mutual coupling compensation in antenna arrays. *IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine*, 2015. 57(2): 104–122.
  63. Lau, C. E., Adve, R. S. and Sarkar, T. K. Minimum norm mutual coupling compensation with applications in direction of arrival estimation. *IEEE*

- Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 2004. 52(8): 2034–2041.
64. Huang, Q., Zhou, H., Bao, J. and Shi, X. Mutual coupling calibration for microstrip antenna arrays via element pattern reconstruction method. *IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters*, 2014. 13: 51–54.
  65. Bao, J., Huang, Q., Wang, X., Zhou, H. and Shi, X. An improved method for mutual coupling calibration with application in wide-angle direction-of-arrival estimations. *Electromagnetics*, 2015. 35(2): 101–111.
  66. Chakravorty, P. and Mandal, D. Radiation pattern correction in mutually coupled antenna arrays using parametric assimilation technique. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 2016. 64(9): 4092–4095.
  67. Hui, H. T. Decoupling methods for the mutual coupling effect in antenna arrays: A review. *Recent Patents on Engineering*, 2007. 1(2): 187–193.
  68. Rajo-Iglesias, E., Quevedo-Teruel, O. and Inclan-Sanchez, L. Mutual coupling reduction in patch antenna arrays by using a planar EBG structure and a multilayer dielectric substrate. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 2008. 56(6): 1648–1655.
  69. Bait-Suwailam, M. M., Siddiqui, O. F. and Ramahi, O. M. Mutual coupling reduction between microstrip patch antennas using slotted-complementary split-ring resonators. *IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters*, 2010. 9: 876–878.
  70. Hafezifard, R., Naser-Moghadasi, M., Mohassel, J. R. and Sadeghzadeh, R. Mutual coupling reduction for two closely spaced meander line antennas using metamaterial substrate. *IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters*, 2016. 15: 40–43.
  71. Gupta, I. and Ksienski, A. Effect of mutual coupling on the performance of adaptive arrays. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 1983. 31(5): 785–791.
  72. Yeh, C.-C., Leou, M.-L. and Ucci, D. R. Bearing estimations with mutual coupling present. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 1989. 37(10): 1332–1335.
  73. Segovia-Vargas, D., Martin-Cuerdo, R. and Sierra-Perez, M. Mutual

- coupling effects correction in microstrip arrays for direction-of-arrival (DOA) estimation. *IEE Proceedings-Microwaves, Antennas and Propagation*, 2002. 149(2): 113–118.
74. Wallace, J. W. and Jensen, M. A. Mutual coupling in MIMO wireless systems: A rigorous network theory analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2004. 3(4): 1317–1325.
  75. Pasala, K. M. and Friel, E. M. Mutual coupling effects and their reduction in wideband direction of arrival estimation. *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems*, 1994. 30(4): 1116–1122.
  76. Steyskal, H. and Herd, J. S. Mutual coupling compensation in small array antennas. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 1990. 38(12): 1971–1975.
  77. Harrington, R. F. *Field computation by moment methods*. Wiley-IEEE Press. 1993.
  78. Adve, R. S. and Sarkar, T. K. Compensation for the effects of mutual coupling on direct data domain adaptive algorithms. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 2000. 48(1): 86–94.
  79. Yuan, H., Hirasawa, K. and Zhang, Y. The mutual coupling and diffraction effects on the performance of a CMA adaptive array. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 1998. 47(3): 728–736.
  80. Hui, H. Compensating for the mutual coupling effect in direction finding based on a new calculation method for mutual impedance. *IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters*, 2003. 2(1): 26–29.
  81. Yu, Y., Lui, H.-S., Niow, C. H. and Hui, H. T. Improved DOA estimations using the receiving mutual impedances for mutual coupling compensation: An experimental study. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2011. 10(7): 2228–2233.
  82. Yu, Y. and Hui, H. T. Design of a mutual coupling compensation network for a small receiving monopole array. *IEEE Transactions on Microwave theory and Techniques*, 2011. 59(9): 2241–2245.
  83. Coetzee, J. C. and Yu, Y. Port decoupling for small arrays by means of an

- eigenmode feed network. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 2008. 56(6): 1587–1593.
84. Chua, P. T. and Coetzee, J. C. Microstrip decoupling networks for low-order multiport arrays with reduced element spacing. *Microwave and Optical Technology Letters*, 2005. 46(6): 592–597.
  85. Liu, Y. and Zhang, X. Metamaterials: a new frontier of science and technology. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 2011. 40(5): 2494–2507.
  86. Cai, W. and Shalaev, V. M. *Optical metamaterials*. vol. 10. Springer. 2010.
  87. Veselago, V. G. The electrodynamics of substances with simultaneously negative values of  $\epsilon$  and  $\mu$ . *Soviet physics uspekhi*, 1968. 10(4): 509.
  88. Pendry, J. and Vidal, F. G. Computational studies of photonic band gaps in metals. 1996.
  89. Pendry, J. B., Holden, A. J., Robbins, D. J. and Stewart, W. Magnetism from conductors and enhanced nonlinear phenomena. *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, 1999. 47(11): 2075–2084.
  90. Shelby, R. A., Smith, D. R. and Schultz, S. Experimental verification of a negative index of refraction. *science*, 2001. 292(5514): 77–79.
  91. Ziolkowski, R. W. Design, fabrication, and testing of double negative metamaterials. *IEEE Transactions on antennas and Propagation*, 2003. 51(7): 1516–1529.
  92. Mosallaei, H. and Sarabandi, K. Magneto-dielectrics in electromagnetics: Concept and applications. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 2004. 52(6): 1558–1567.
  93. Ikonen, P. M., Rozanov, K. N., Osipov, A. V., Alitalo, P. and Tretyakov, S. A. Magnetodielectric substrates in antenna miniaturization: Potential and limitations. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 2006. 54(11): 3391–3399.
  94. Capolino, F. *Theory and phenomena of metamaterials*. CRC press. 2009.
  95. Marquã, R., Martãn, F., Sorolla, M. *et al.* *Metamaterials with negative parameters: theory, design, and microwave applications*. vol. 183. John

- Wiley & Sons. 2011.
96. Ahn, D., Park, J.-S., Kim, C.-S., Kim, J., Qian, Y. and Itoh, T. A design of the low-pass filter using the novel microstrip defected ground structure. *IEEE transactions on microwave theory and techniques*, 2001. 49(1): 86–93.
  97. Guha, D., Biswas, S., Biswas, M., Siddiqui, J. Y. and Antar, Y. M. Concentric ring-shaped defected ground structures for microstrip applications. *IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters*, 2006. 5(1): 402–405.
  98. Chiu, C.-Y., Cheng, C.-H., Murch, R. D. and Rowell, C. R. Reduction of mutual coupling between closely-packed antenna elements. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 2007. 55(6): 1732–1738.
  99. Yang, F. and Rahmat-Samii, Y. *Electromagnetic band gap structures in antenna engineering*. Cambridge university press Cambridge, UK:. 2009.
  100. Yang, F. and Rahmat-Samii, Y. Applications of electromagnetic band-gap (EBG) structures in microwave antenna designs. *Microwave and Millimeter Wave Technology, 2002. Proceedings. ICMMT 2002. 2002 3rd International Conference on*. IEEE. 2002. 528–531.
  101. De Maagt, P., Gonzalo, R., Vardaxoglou, Y. C. and Baracco, J.-M. Electromagnetic bandgap antennas and components for microwave and (sub) millimeter wave applications. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 2003. 51(10): 2667–2677.
  102. De Maagt, P., Gonzalo, R., Vardaxoglou, J. and Baracco, J. Review of electromagnetic-bandgap technology and applications. *URSI Radio Science Bulletin*, 2004. 77(2): 11–25.
  103. Sievenpiper, D., Zhang, L., Broas, R. F., Alexopolous, N. G. and Yablonovitch, E. High-impedance electromagnetic surfaces with a forbidden frequency band. *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and techniques*, 1999. 47(11): 2059–2074.
  104. Sievenpiper, D. F. *High-impedance electromagnetic surfaces*. Ph.D. Thesis. University of California, Los Angeles. 1999.
  105. Yang, L., Fan, M., Chen, F., She, J. and Feng, Z. A novel compact electromagnetic-bandgap (EBG) structure and its applications for microwave

- circuits. *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, 2005. 53(1): 183–190.
106. Coulombe, M., Koodiani, S. F. and Caloz, C. Compact elongated mushroom (EM)-EBG structure for enhancement of patch antenna array performances. *IEEE transactions on antennas and propagation*, 2010. 58(4): 1076–1086.
  107. Yang, F.-R., Ma, K.-P., Qian, Y. and Itoh, T. A uniplanar compact photonic-bandgap (UC-PBG) structure and its applications for microwave circuit. *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, 1999. 47(8): 1509–1514.
  108. Coccioli, R., Yang, F.-R., Ma, K.-P. and Itoh, T. Aperture-coupled patch antenna on UC-PBG substrate. *IEEE Transactions on microwave theory and techniques*, 1999. 47(11): 2123–2130.
  109. Lee, Y., Chauraya, A., Lockyer, D. and Vardaxoglou, J. Dipole and tripole metallodielectric photonic bandgap (MPBG) structures for microwave filter and antenna applications. *IEE Proceedings-Optoelectronics*, 2000. 147(6): 395–400.
  110. Folayan, O. and Langley, R. Wideband reduced size electromagnetic bandgap structure. *Electronics Letters*, 2005. 41(20): 1099–1100.
  111. Toyota, Y., Engin, A. E., Kim, T. H., Swaminathan, M. and Bhattacharya, S. Size reduction of electromagnetic bandgap (EBG) structures with new geometries and materials. *Electronic Components and Technology Conference, 2006. Proceedings. 56th. IEEE. 2006. 6–pp.*
  112. Lin, B.-q., Zheng, Q.-r. and Yuan, N.-c. A novel planar PBG structure for size reduction. *IEEE Microwave and Wireless Components Letters*, 2006. 16(5): 269–271.
  113. Yu, A. and Zhang, X. A novel method to improve the performance of microstrip antenna arrays using a dumbbell EBG structure. *IEEE antennas and wireless propagation letters*, 2003. 2(1): 170–172.
  114. Farahani, H. S., Veysi, M., Kamyab, M. and Tadjalli, A. Mutual coupling reduction in patch antenna arrays using a UC-EBG superstrate. *IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett*, 2010. 9: 57–59.

115. Naqui, J. *Symmetry Properties in Transmission Lines Loaded with Electrically Small Resonators Circuit Modeling and Application to Common-Mode Suppressed Differential Lines, Microwave Sensors, and Spectral Signature Barcodes*. Ph.D. Thesis. Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona. 2014.
116. Baena, J. D., Bonache, J., Martín, F., Sillero, R. M., Falcone, F., Lopetegui, T., Laso, M. A., García-García, J., Gil, I., Portillo, M. F. *et al.* Equivalent-circuit models for split-ring resonators and complementary split-ring resonators coupled to planar transmission lines. *IEEE transactions on microwave theory and techniques*, 2005. 53(4): 1451–1461.
117. Karami Horestani, A. *Metamaterial-inspired structures and their applications in microwave, millimeter-wave and terahertz planar circuits*. Ph.D. Thesis. The University of Adelaide, Australia. 2014.
118. Martín, F., Falcone, F., Bonache, J., Marques, R. and Sorolla, M. Miniaturized coplanar waveguide stop band filters based on multiple tuned split ring resonators. *IEEE Microwave and Wireless Components Letters*, 2003. 13(12): 511–513.
119. García-García, J., Martín, F., Falcone, F., Bonache, J., Gil, I., Lopetegui, T., Laso, M. A., Sorolla, M. and Marques, R. Spurious passband suppression in microstrip coupled line band pass filters by means of split ring resonators. *IEEE Microwave and Wireless Components Letters*, 2004. 14(9): 416–418.
120. García-García, J., Martín, F., Falcone, F., Bonache, J., Baena, J. D., Gil, I., Amat, E., Lopetegui, T., Laso, M. A., Iturmendi, J. A. M. *et al.* Microwave filters with improved stopband based on sub-wavelength resonators. *IEEE Transactions on Microwave theory and techniques*, 2005. 53(6): 1997–2006.
121. Chen, X., Grzegorzczak, T. M., Wu, B.-I., Pacheco Jr, J. and Kong, J. A. Robust method to retrieve the constitutive effective parameters of metamaterials. *Physical Review E*, 2004. 70(1): 016608.
122. Gupta, S., Briqech, Z., Sebak, A. R. and Denidni, T. A. Mutual-Coupling Reduction Using Metasurface Corrugations for 28 GHz MIMO Applications. *IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters*, 2017. 16: 2763–2766.



123. Szabó, Z., Park, G.-H., Hedge, R. and Li, E.-P. A unique extraction of metamaterial parameters based on Kramers–Kronig relationship. *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, 2010. 58(10): 2646–2653.
124. Bait-Suwailam, M. and Ramahi, O. Mutual Coupling Reduction Between Axial-Mode Helical Antennas using Single-Negative (SNG) Magnetic Metamaterials. *The Journal of Engineering Research [TJER]*, 2010. 7(2): 59–68.
125. Dadgarpour, A., Zarghooni, B., Virdee, B. S., Denidni, T. A. and Kishk, A. A. Mutual Coupling Reduction in Dielectric Resonator Antennas Using Metasurface Shield for 60-GHz MIMO Systems. *IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters*, 2017. 16: 477–480.
126. Falcone, F., Lopetegi, T., Baena, J. D., Marqués, R., Martín, F. and Sorolla, M. Effective negative-/spl epsiv/stopband microstrip lines based on complementary split ring resonators. *IEEE Microwave and Wireless Components Letters*, 2004. 14(6): 280–282.
127. Falcone, F., Lopetegi, T., Laso, M., Baena, J., Bonache, J., Beruete, M., Marqués, R., Martín, F. and Sorolla, M. Babinet principle applied to the design of metasurfaces and metamaterials. *Physical review letters*, 2004. 93(19): 197401.
128. Hsue, C.-W., Chang, Y.-W. and Jang, S.-L. Comments on babinets principle. *Forum for Electromagnetic Research Methods and Application Tecchnologies (FERMAT)*. 2016, vol. 16.
129. Booker, H. G. Slot aerials and their relation to complementary wire aerials (Babinet’s principle). *Journal of the Institution of Electrical Engineers-Part IIIA: Radiolocation*, 1946. 93(4): 620–626.
130. Bait-Suwailam. *Metamaterials for decoupling antennas and electromagnetic systems*. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Waterloo. 2011.
131. Ortiz, N., Baena, J., Beruete, M., Falcone, F., Laso, M., Lopetegi, T., Marques, R., Martin, F., García-García, J. and Sorolla, M. Complementary split-ring resonator for compact waveguide filter design. *Microwave and*

- Optical Technology Letters*, 2005. 46(1): 88–92.
132. Öznazi, V. and Ertürk, V. A comparative investigation of SRR-and CSRR-based band-reject filters: Simulations, experiments, and discussions. *Microwave and optical technology letters*, 2008. 50(2): 519–523.
  133. Bait-Suwailam, M. M. and Ramahi, O. M. Ultrawideband mitigation of simultaneous switching noise and EMI reduction in high-speed PCBs using complementary split-ring resonators. *IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility*, 2012. 54(2): 389–396.
  134. Habashi, A., Nourinia, J. and Ghobadi, C. Mutual coupling reduction between very closely spaced patch antennas using low-profile folded split-ring resonators (FSRRs). *IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters*, 2011. 10: 862–865.
  135. Smith, D., Vier, D., Koschny, T. and Soukoulis, C. Electromagnetic parameter retrieval from inhomogeneous metamaterials. *Physical review E*, 2005. 71(3): 036617.
  136. Han, X., Hafdallah-Ouslimani, H., Zhang, T. and Priou, A. C. CSRRS for efficient reduction of the electromagnetic interferences and mutual coupling in microstrip circuits. *Progress In Electromagnetics Research*, 2012. 42: 291–309.
  137. Saha, C., Siddiqui, J. Y. and Antar, Y. M. Square split ring resonator backed coplanar waveguide for filter applications. *General Assembly and Scientific Symposium, 2011 XXXth URSI. IEEE*. 2011. 1–4.