

PERFORMANCE OF HONEYCOMB SANDWICH STRUCTURE WITH
NATURAL FIBRES FABRIC REINFORCED FACESHEETS

MOHAMAD XAZAQUAN BIN MANSOR ALI

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Science (Mechanical Engineering)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2016

To my beloved family.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with some academicians, technicians, students and practitioners. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd Yazid Yahya, for encouragement, guidance, critics and friendship. Without his continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

I would like to thank my wife Nor Azura Binti Zainal Abidin for her support, encouragement, quiet patience and unwavering love were undeniably. Her tolerance of my occasional vulgar moods is a testament in itself of her unyielding devotion and love. I thank my parents, for their faith in me and supporting me. It was under their watchful eye that I gained so much drive and an ability to tackle challenges head on. Also, I thank to my fellow office college for their supports and encouragement.

Last but not least, to all my fellow postgraduate students should also be recognised for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space. I am grateful to all my family members.

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to investigate the mechanical behaviour and failure mechanisms of sandwich structures with polypropylene (PP) based honeycomb core and natural fibre reinforced polymer (NFRP) facesheets as a function of facesheets. Flax fibre/epoxy facesheets with PP honeycomb core was fabricated by vacuum bagging hand lay-up technique. The properties of sandwich constitution is investigate by using tensile and flexural test for facesheet and flatwise test for core. Three-point bending (3PB) tests were performed to evaluate the mechanical behaviour of the composite sandwich structures. For the sandwich structures, three point bending test results showed that core shear stress, sandwich beam deflection and panel bending stiffness increased with the facesheet thickness increment. The increment of facesheet thickness show significant increase of bending stiffness but also increase the density of the whole sandwich.

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini dilakukan bertujuan mengkaji kelakuan mekanikal dan mekanisma kegagalan bagi struktur sandwich dengan teras sarang lebah berasaskan polypropylene (PP) dan kepingan muka serat semula jadi diperkukuh polimer (FRP) berfungsi kepingan muka. Kepingan muka serat flax/epoksi dengan teras sarang lebah PP dihasilkan melalui teknik *vacuum bagging hand lay-up*. Sifat-sifat penjujukan sandwich disiasat dengan menggunakan ujian tegangan dan lentur untuk kepingan muka dan ujian tekanan leper untuk teras. Bagi struktur sandwich, ujian tiga titik lenturan (3PBT) menunjukkan teras tegasan ricih teras, pesongan rasuk sandwich dan lenturan kekakuan panel meningkat dengan peningkatan ketebalan kepingan muka. Ujian tiga titik lenturan (3PB) dijalankan untuk menilai sifat mekanikal bagi struktur sandwich komposit. Berdasarkan ujian 3PB, peningkatan tekanan kepingan muka, tegasan ricih teras, pesongan rasuk sandwich dan lenturan kekakuan panel adalah diperhatikan dengan kenaikan dalam ketebalan kepingan muka. Mekanisma kegagalan sandwich diperhatikan dengan perbezaan ketebalan kepingan muka. Peningkatan ketebalan kepingan muka menunjukkan peningkatan yang ketara lenturan kekakuan tetapi juga telah meningkatkan ketumpatan keseluruhan sandwich.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xv
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Objectives	4
	1.4 Research Scopes	4
	1.5 Significant of the Research	5
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	6
	2.1 Definition of Composites	6
	2.2 Definition of Sandwich Structures Composites	8
	2.3 Application Areas of Sandwich Structures	13
	2.4 Natural Fibre as Composite	14
	2.5 Constituents of Composite Sandwich Structures	16
	2.5.1 Composite Facesheet Materials	17
	2.5.1.1 Facesheet Reinforcement Materials	18

2.5.1.2	Facesheet Matrix Materials	20
2.5.2	Core Materials	22
2.5.2.1	Honeycomb Core Configuration	23
2.5.2.2	Honeycomb Core Material	27
2.6	Failure of Sandwich Structures	28
2.7	Manufacturing Methods of Sandwich Structures	34
2.7.1	Hand Lay-Up	34
2.7.2	Resin Transfer Moulding	35
2.7.3	Vacuum Assisted Resin Transfer Moulding	35
2.7.4	Vacuum Bagging	36
2.7.5	Vacuum Infusion	36
2.7.6	Thermoplastic Adhesion Bonding	37
2.7.7	Prepreg Heated Press	38
2.8	Behaviour of Sandwich Structures Composites	38
3	METHODOLOGY	44
3.1	Research Framework	44
3.2	Materials	45
3.2.1	Core material	45
3.2.2	Facesheets Material Fabrication	45
3.2.2.1	Fabrication of Facesheets	46
3.2.2.2	Fabrication of Sandwich Structures	49
3.3	Characterization Technique	51
3.3.1	Facesheet Materials	51
3.3.1.1	Tensile Test	51
3.3.1.1	Flexural Test	53
3.3.2	Core Materials	54
3.3.2.1	Flatwise Compression Test	54
3.3.3	Composite Sandwich Structures	56
3.3.3.1	Three Point Bending Test	56
3.4	Research Flowchart	59

4	RESULT AND DISCUSSION	60
4.1	Properties of Facesheet Material	60
4.1.1	Tensile Properties	60
4.1.2	Flexural Properties	62
4.2	Properties of Honeycomb Core	65
4.2.1	Flatwise Compression Properties	65
4.3	Properties of Composite Sandwich Structure	67
4.3.1	Flexural Properties	69
4.3.2	Performance Comparison	74
5	CONCLUSION	76
	REFERENCES	78

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Natural fibre properties. Source: Natural fiber'09 Proceedings (University of Bath)	14
2.1	Natural fibre composition [44].	15
2.3	Mechanical Properties of Natural Fibres.	19
4.1	The average values of the mechanical characteristics measured in the flexural test.	61
4.2	The average values of the mechanical characteristics measured in the flexural test.	64
4.3	The average values of the PP honeycomb core mechanical characteristics measured in the flatwise test.	66
4.4	The maximum values of the sandwich structure mechanical characteristics measured in the three point bending test.	71
4.5	Physical and flexural properties of the flax/epoxy/honeycomb core sandwich panels and three commercial products for automotive interior applications	75

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Common forms of fibre reinforcement [31].	7
2.2	Main Sandwich Structure with honeycomb core.	9
2.3	Sandwich panel with (a) corrugated (b) honeycomb and (c) foam core.	10
2.4	Sandwich structure in comparison with an I-Beam [35].	11
2.5	The relative stiffness and weight of sandwich panels compared to solid panels [35].	11
2.6	How a Sandwich Beam Works [35].	12
2.7	Natural fibre hierarchal structure	16
2.8	Honeycomb cell terminology [31].	24
2.9	Honeycomb cell configurations. L, length; T, thickness, W, width.	25
2.10	Honeycomb orientation: L (length or ribbon), W (width), and T (thickness).	26
2.11	Typical load deflection curve for honeycomb.	27
2.12	Core junctions in sandwich beams/panels subjected to (a) axial load, (b) pure bending and (c) transverse load [56].	29
2.13	Sandwich failure modes [57].	31

2.14	Honeycomb failure modes (a) skin compression failure, (b) excessive deflection, (c) panel buckling, (d) shear crimping, (e) skin wrinkling (f) intra cell buckling, and (g) local compression	32
2.15	Schematic of vacuum-bag assembly [61].	36
2.16	Vacuum infusion process principle.	37
2.17	Composition of a polypropylene sandwich structure. In the case of all-PP laminates being used for the sandwich face panels, together with PP based hot melt adhesives [62].	38
2.18	Flatwise compression (a) strength and (b) modulus values of PP-based honeycomb core material as a function of core thickness [45].	39
2.19	Variation of the panel bending stiffness of composite sandwich structures with honeycomb core material and glass fibre/epoxy facesheets under three-point bending loading in accordance with core thickness increment. [45].	39
2.20	Load-Displacement curves under compressive test for (a) polyurethane foam and (b) polypropylene honeycomb [63].	40
2.21	Load-Displacement curves under shear for (a) polyurethane foam and (b) polypropylene honeycomb [63].	40
2.22	Balsa cored specimen (right) and honeycomb cored specimen (left) test results	42
2.23	Balsa cored specimen (top) and honeycomb cored specimen (bottom) testing	42
3.1	Research Framework.	44
3.2	Illustration of PP based honeycomb core material.	45
3.3	Flax fabric specification and fibre properties.	46
3.4	Applying release wax.	47
3.5	Vacuum infusion setting.	47

3.6	Epoxy are pump into the vacuum bagging.	48
3.7	Cutting using circular diamond saw.	48
3.8	Setting parameter of work.	49
3.9	Hand layup arrangement and sequence.	50
3.10	Covered with perforated release film and breather layer cloth.	50
3.11	Covered with perforated release film and breather layer cloth.	51
3.12	Tensile test specimen during the test	52
3.13	Flexural test specimen under loading and test configuration.	53
3.14	Compression test PP honeycomb core specimen under loading and test configuration.	55
3.15	Sandwich flexural test specimen under loading and test configuration.	56
3.16	Sandwich structure dimensions.	57
3.17	Research Flowchart.	59
4.1	Tensile test result: (a) failure modes, and (b) stress-strain graph.	61
4.2	Tensile stress-strain for determination of the Young's Modulus.	62
4.3	Average flexural stress-strain response of flax fibre/epoxy composite facesheets.	63
4.4	Flexural load-deflection for determination of the Young's Modulus.	63
4.5	Average flexural stress-strain response of flax fibre/epoxy composite facesheets.	64
4.6	Behaviour of PP honeycomb core structure under flatwise loading: (a) collapse during test, and (b) comparison of core before and after test.	65
4.7	Flatwise compressive behaviour of PP based honeycomb core material.	66

4.8	Compressive load-displacement for determination of the Young's Modulus.	67
4.9	Failure modes for different layers of facesheets (a) face wrinkling (2 layers), (b) face yield (4 layers) and (c) core crush (6 layers).	68
4.10	Load-displacement curves measured for different thickness of facesheet.	69
4.11	Facing bending stress-displacement curves measured for different thickness of facesheet.	70
4.12	Three point bending test load-deflection curves for determination of the Young's Modulus.	71
4.13	Core shear stress and sandwich beam deflection tendency with increasing facesheets thickness.	72
4.14	Panel bending stiffness and panel shear rigidity tendency of the composite sandwich structures with facesheet thickness increase under flexural loading.	73
4.15	Bending stiffness and density of sandwich beam against core to face thickness ratio.	73

LIST OF SYMBOLS

σ	-	Stress
P	-	Force
A	-	Cross Section Area
E	-	Modulus
ε	-	Strain
R	-	Rate of crosshead motion
Z	-	Rate of straining of the outer fibre (0.01mm/mm/min)
L	-	Support Span
d	-	Thickness
b	-	Width
m	-	slope of the tangent
E_b	-	flexural modulus
S	-	slope of the initial linear portion of load-deflection curve
c	-	Core thickness
τ	-	Shear stress
Δ	-	Total beam midspan deflection
U	-	panel bending rigidity
D	-	Panel bending stiffness
G	-	Core shear modulus

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

In recent years, composite sandwich structure have attracted considerable interest as advances composite materials that satisfy high performance requirement of machine design, lightweight structure, aerospace, civil, marine and automobile industries [1-2]. These materials have high structural crashworthiness that are capable of absorbing large amounts of energy under impact loads [3].

In general, sandwich structure composed of two high-rigidity thin stiff facesheets (or skin) and a thick lightweight core bonded possessing less strength and stiffness between them. The role of the core is to transmit the shear between the face sheets and the face sheets provide the flexural stiffness. A sandwich structure will offer different mechanical properties with the use of different types of materials because the overall performance of sandwich structures depends on the properties of the constituents [4]. A core with poor mechanical properties will reduce the performance of the panel but a strong core can contribute to the flexural stiffness and to the out of plane shear and compressive strength of the panel [1, 5].

Generally in a sandwich structure, the bending loads are carried by the force couple formed by the facesheets and the shear loads are carried by the lightweight core material [6]. The core material is low in density that primarily is to maintain a high moment of inertia compared to the facesheets that are strong and stiff both in tension and compression. The low density of the core material results in low panel density,

therefore under flexural loading sandwich panels have high specific mechanical properties whereby loads are supported through facesheets known as the monocoque structures. Therefore, sandwich panels are highly efficient in carrying bending loads. Under flexural loading, one laminate is under compression and the other one under tension which forming a force couple as facesheets act together.

On the other hand, the core resists transverse forces and stabilizes the laminates against global buckling and local buckling [7]. Additionally, the core provides increased resistance to buckling and crippling of shear panels and compression members [8]. Sandwich panels are also costs saving other than it's advantageous as light weight material and structural performance [9]. Especially for sandwich structures for civil applications that's need large cell size of (typically in the range from 500 mm to 1500 mm) allows the fabrication of cores using fibre reinforced polymers [10]. For example, Ji et al. introduced a glass-fibre reinforced-polymer corrugated-core which is fabricated via the assembly of pultruded and thermoformed shapes [10, 11].

Recent technological developments have expanded the use of composites in industrial, automotive, construction, sports and leisure, and mass production industries which focusing on sustainability and renewable reinforced natural fibres composite [12]. Thus, creating an interest toward substituting glass and carbon fibres by natural fibres [13]. In some research, the use of natural fibres reinforced have been found use in several application, ranging from simple design to complex engineering uses such as building material and automobiles parts [15–17].

The advantageous of natural fibres compared with the synthetic fibres; natural fibres are cheaper, lower mass per unit area, eco-friendly, recyclable and biodegradable by nature, do not produce skin irritation and provide good acoustic insulating properties [17, 18]. On the other hand, natural fibre reinforced composites exhibit inferior mechanical performance and water resistance properties than conventional glass fibre reinforced composites [17–21]. Due to these problems, many research programmes have been undertaken in an attempt to better understand the impact response of these materials [22–24].

Stocchi et al [25] introduced a novel honeycomb core made of a natural fibre reinforced composite that consists of a thermoset-polymer (vinylester) reinforced with jute fabrics. 6 mm and 10 mm cell honeycombs are manufactured using two compression moulding techniques which the best results are obtained for the mould with lateral compression. Experimental tests are conducted to characterize the elastic response of the composite and the core response under flatwise compression. The result of the test show that the core failure mechanisms are yarn pull out and fibre breaking. The large wall thickness relative to the cell size of the jute–vinylester cores, which inhibits buckling, and the heterogeneities in the composite, which are preferential damage initiation sites, explain the observed behaviour. When compared in terms of the specific strengths, the jute/vinylester cores introduced in this work show similar performances to those of their commercially available counterparts. The results from this study suggest that jute-reinforced cores have the potential to be an alternative to standard cores in applications that sustain compressive static loads.

Evaluations are needed for different properties or characteristics of sandwich panels depending on sandwich structure of area application [26]. Thus, it can be concluded that sandwich structure critical properties are depending on area of application. For example, out of plane compressive properties are more critical for automotive industry, whereas in plane compressive properties are more important in wind turbines.

1.2 Problem Statement

Green technologies is a part of the larger process of technological change that comprises invention, innovation and diffusion that reduce the production of waste [27]. Therefore, replacing synthetic fibre for natural fibre are significant due to its lightweight, nonabrasive, non-irritating, combustible, non-toxic, biodegradable properties [28], low energy consumption for production and renewable. It is known that natural fibre reinforced composites exhibit inferior mechanical performance than conventional glass fibre reinforced composites. Hence, this study will determine the mechanical properties of natural fibre as facesheet reinforcing material. The

incompatibility and poor interfacial adhesion of natural fibre reinforced composite with matrix compare to the synthetic fibre (glass, carbon, aramid, etc.) should be investigate in order to replace the synthetic fibre. There are less research regarding the effect of facesheet natural fibre composite on performance sandwich structure, thus making the research an advantage for further development.

1.3 Objective

The objective of this study is to determine the mechanical behaviour and failure mechanisms of sandwich structures with polypropylene (PP) based honeycomb core and natural fibre reinforced polymer (NFRP) facesheets as a function of facesheets thickness. For this purpose, tensile test, flexural test, flatwise test and three point bending tests will be conducted on composite sandwich specimens with various of facesheets thickness. Constituents of the sandwich structures are also tested mechanically.

1.4 Research Scopes

The research project is a study and development of honeycomb sandwich structure with natural fibres fabric reinforced facesheets suitable of mechanical applications. In this research, few sample of honeycomb sandwich structure with NFRP facesheets are fabricated using flax fabrics as the reinforcement phase and epoxy are use as matrix phase. The performance of facesheets are then determine by tensile and flextural test for strength and modulus. The performance of core are then determine by flatwise test for strength and modulus. The performance of sandwiches are then determine by three point bending tests for core shear stress, facesheet bending stress and panel bending stiffness that will be conducted on composite sandwich specimens with 10 mm core thicknesses.

REFERENCES

- [1] O. T. Thomsen, “Sandwich Materials for Wind Turbine Blades -- Present and Future,” *J. Sandw. Struct. Mater.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 7–26, 2009.
- [2] S. Hou, S. Zhao, L. Ren, X. Han, and Q. Li, “Crashworthiness optimization of corrugated sandwich panels”, *Mater. Des.*, vol. 51, pp. 1071–1084, 2013.
- [3] F. Tarlochan, a M. S. Hamouda, E. Mahdi, and B. B. Sahari, “Composite sandwich structures for crashworthiness applications”, *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part L J. Mater. Des. Appl.*, vol. 221, no. 2, pp. 121–130, 2007.
- [4] J. D. D. Melo, a. L. S. Silva, and J. E. N. Villena, “The effect of processing conditions on the energy absorption capability of composite tubes”, *Compos. Struct.*, vol. 82, no. 4, pp. 622–628, 2008.
- [5] G. C. Saha, A. L. Kalamkarov, and A. V. Georgiades, “Effective elastic characteristics of honeycomb sandwich composite shells made of generally orthotropic materials”, *Compos. Part A Appl. Sci. Manuf.*, vol. 38, pp. 1533–1546, 2007.
- [6] M. Q. Nguyen, S. S. Jacombs, R. S. Thomson, D. Hachenberg, and M. L. Scott, “Simulation of impact on sandwich structures”, *Compos. Struct.*, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 217–227, 2005.
- [7] Michael W. Hyer, “Bending behavior of corrugated-core sandwich plates”, *Compos. Struct.*, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 81–89, 2005.
- [8] S. A. Smith and K. N. Shivakumar, “Modified Mode-I Cracked Sandwich Beam (CSB) Fracture Test”, in *42nd AIAA/ASME/ASCE/AHS/ASC Structures, Structural Dynamics, and Materials Conference.*, pp. 1221–1232, 2001.
- [9] J. Pflug, “Sandwich Materials Selection Charts”, *J. Sandw. Struct. Mater.*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 407–421, 2006.

- [10] H. S. Ji, W. Song, and Z. J. Ma, “Design, test and field application of a GFRP corrugated-core sandwich bridge”, *Eng. Struct.*, vol. 32, no. 9, pp. 2814–2824, 2010.
- [11] J. F. Davalos, “Buckling Behavior of Honeycomb FRP Core with Partially Restrained Loaded Edges under Out-of-plane Compression”, *J. Compos. Mater.*, vol. 39, no. 16, pp. 1465–1485, 2005.
- [12] L. A. Pothan, Z. Oommen, and S. Thomas, “Dynamic mechanical analysis of banana fiber reinforced polyester composites”, *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 283–293, 2003.
- [13] C. Santulli, F. Sarasini, J. Tirillò, T. Valente, M. Valente, a. P. Caruso, M. Infantino, E. Nisini, and G. Minak, “Mechanical behaviour of jute cloth/wool felts hybrid laminates”, *Mater. Des.*, vol. 50, pp. 309–321, 2013.
- [14] M. A. Dweib, B. Hu, A. O’Donnell, H. W. Shenton, and R. P. Wool, “All natural composite sandwich beams for structural applications”, *Compos. Struct.*, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 147–157, 2004.
- [15] B. Singh, M. Gupta, and A. Verma, “The durability of jute fibre-reinforced phenolic composites”, *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 60, no. 4, pp. 581–589, 2000.
- [16] A. M. M. Edeerozey, H. M. Akil, A. B. Azhar, and M. I. Z. Ariffin, “Chemical modification of kenaf fibers”, *Mater. Lett.*, vol. 61, no. 10, pp. 2023–2025, 2007.
- [17] S. H. Aziz and M. P. Ansell, “The effect of alkalization and fibre alignment on the mechanical and thermal properties of kenaf and hemp bast fibre composites: Part 1 – polyester resin matrix”, *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 64, no. 9, pp. 1219–1230, 2004.
- [18] Y. Du, N. Yan, and M. T. Kortschot, “Light-weight honeycomb core sandwich panels containing biofiber-reinforced thermoset polymer composite skins: Fabrication and evaluation”, *Compos. Part B Eng.*, vol. 43, no. 7, pp. 2875–2882, 2012.
- [19] P. Wambua, J. Ivens, and I. Verpoest, “Natural fibres: Can they replace glass in fibre reinforced plastics?”, *Composites Science and Technology*, vol. 63, no. 9, pp. 1259–1264, 2003.

- [20] Z. N. Azwa, B. F. Yousif, a. C. Manalo, and W. Karunasena, "A review on the degradability of polymeric composites based on natural fibres", *Mater. Des.*, vol. 47, pp. 424–442, 2013.
- [21] V. Eskizeybek, A. Avci, and A. Gülce, "The Mode I interlaminar fracture toughness of chemically carbon nanotube grafted glass fabric/epoxy multi-scale composite structures", *Compos. Part A Appl. Sci. Manuf.*, vol. 63, pp. 94–102, 2014.
- [22] B. Ahmadi-Moghadam and F. Taheri, "Influence of graphene nanoplatelets on modes I, II and III interlaminar fracture toughness of fiber-reinforced polymer composites," *Eng. Fract. Mech.*, vol. 143, pp. 97–107, 2015.
- [23] [R. D. S. G. Campilho, D. C. Moura, D. J. S. Gonçalves, J. F. M. G. da Silva, M. D. Banea, and L. F. M. da Silva, "Fracture toughness determination of adhesive and co-cured joints in natural fibre composites", *Compos. Part B Eng.*, vol. 50, pp. 120–126, 2013.
- [24] R. V. Silva, D. Spinelli, W. W. Bose Filho, S. Claro Neto, G. O. Chierice, and J. R. Tarpani, "Fracture toughness of natural fibers/castor oil polyurethane composites", *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 66, no. 10, pp. 1328–1335, 2006.
- [25] A. Stocchi, L. Colabella, A. Cisilino, and V. Álvarez, "Manufacturing and testing of a sandwich panel honeycomb core reinforced with natural-fiber fabrics", *Mater. Des.*, vol. 55, pp. 394–403, 2014.
- [26] G. a O. Davies, D. Hitchings, T. Besant, A. Clarke, and C. Morgan, "Compression after impact strength of composite sandwich panels", *Compos. Struct.*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2004.
- [27] D. M. Running, J. B. Ligon, and I. Miskioglu, "from the SAGE Social Science Collections. All Rights Reserved", *J. Compos. Mater.*, vol. 33, no. 10, pp. 928–940, 1999.
- [28] D. N. Saheb and J. P. Jog, "Natural Fiber Polymer Composites : A Review", *Adv. Polym. Technol.*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 351–363, 1999.
- [29] A. McIlhagger, E. Archer, and R. McIlhagger, "Polymer Composites in the Aerospace Industry", *Polym. Compos. Aerosp. Ind.*, pp. 53–75, 2015.
- [30] M. K. Bannister, "Development and application of advanced textile composites", *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part L J. Mater. Des. Appl.*, vol. 218, no. 3, pp. 253–260, 2004.

- [31] ASM Handbook, Composites, vol. 21. 2001.
- [32] A. Petras, “Design of Sandwich Structures”, Ph.D. Thesis, Robinson College, Cambridge, 1998.
- [33] S. V. Rocca and A. Nanni, “Mechanical characterization of sandwich structure comprised of glass fiber reinforced core: part 1”, in Composites in Construction 2005 – Third International Conference Lyon, France, July 11 – 13, 2005 MECHANICAL, pp. 1–8, 2005.
- [34] Autar K. Kaw, Mechanics of Composite Materials, Second Edition, vol. 2. 2005.
- [35] HexCel, “Honeycomb sandwich design technology”, Publication No. AGU, 1995. [Online]. Available from: <http://www.hexcel.com/Resources/DataSheets/Brochure-Data-Sheets/Honeycomb_Sandwich_Design_Technology.pdf>. [11 November 2015].
- [36] N. M. Aly, M. a. Saad, E. H. Sherazy, O. M. Kobesy, and a. a. Almetwally, “Impact properties of woven reinforced sandwich composite panels for automotive applications”, J. Ind. Text., vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 204–218, 2012.
- [37] M. Amraei, M. Shahravi, Z. Noori, and A. Lenjani, “Application of aluminium honeycomb sandwich panel as an energy absorber of high-speed train nose”, J. Compos. Mater., vol. 48, no. 9, pp. 1027–1037, 2014.
- [38] G. Cicala, G. Cristaldi, and A. Latteri, “Composites Based on Natural Fibre Fabrics”, in Woven Fabric Engineering, pp. 317 – 342, 2010.
- [39] K. Begum and M. a Islam, “Natural Fiber as a substitute to synthetic fiber in polymer composites : A review”, Res. J. Eng. Sci., vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 46–53, 2013.
- [40] R. Sengupta, S. Chakraborty, S. Bandyopadhyay, S. Dasgupta, R. Mukhopadhyay, K. Auddy, and a S. Deuri, “A Short Review on Rubber / Clay Nanocomposites With Emphasis on Mechanical Properties”, Engineering, vol. 47, pp. 21–25, 2007.
- [41] S. Nunna, P. R. Chandra, S. Shrivastava, and A. Jalan, “A review on mechanical behavior of natural fiber based hybrid composites”, J. Reinf. Plast. Compos., vol. 31, no. 11, pp. 759–769, 2012.
- [42] D. Paukszta and S. Borysiak, “The influence of processing and the polymorphism of lignocellulosic fillers on the structure and properties of

- composite materials-A review”, *Materials (Basel)*., vol. 6, no. 7, pp. 2747–2767, 2013.
- [43] M. Z. Rong, M. Q. Zhang, Y. Liu, G. C. Yang, and H. M. Zeng, “The effect of fiber treatment on the mechanical properties of unidirectional sisal-reinforced epoxy composites”, *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 61, pp. 1437–1447, 2001.
- [44] A. O’Donnell, M. Dweib, and R. Wool, “Natural fiber composites with plant oil-based resin”, *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 64, no. 9, pp. 1135–1145, 2004.
- [45] F. E. Sezgin, M. Tanoglu, O. O. Egilmez, and C. Donmez, “Mechanical Behavior of Polypropylene-based Honeycomb-Core Composite Sandwich Structures”, *J. Reinf. Plast. Compos.*, vol. 29, no. 10, pp. 1569–1579, 2010.
- [46] A. Chen and J. F. Davalos, “Development of facesheet for honeycomb FRP sandwich panels”, *J. Compos. Mater.*, no. February, 2012.
- [47] A. K. Bledzki, P. Franciszczak, Z. Osman, and M. Elbadawi, “Polypropylene biocomposites reinforced with softwood, abaca, jute, and kenaf fibers”, *Ind. Crops Prod.*, vol. 70, pp. 91–99, 2015.
- [48] V. Fiore, T. Scalici, G. Di Bella, and A. Valenza, “A review on basalt fibre and its composites”, *Compos. Part B Eng.*, vol. 74, pp. 74–94, 2015.
- [49] V. Dhand, G. Mittal, K. Y. Rhee, S.-J. Park, and D. Hui, “A short review on basalt fiber reinforced polymer composites”, *Compos. Part B Eng.*, vol. 73, pp. 166–180, 2014.
- [50] A. Greco, A. Maffezzoli, G. Casciaro, and F. Caretto, “Mechanical properties of basalt fibers and their adhesion to polypropylene matrices”, *Compos. PART B*, vol. 67, pp. 233–238, 2014.
- [51] J. Müssig, K. Haag, and H. Bremen, *Biofiber Reinforcements in Composite Materials*. 2015.
- [52] S. M. R. Kazmi, R. Das, and K. Jayaraman, “Sheet forming of Flax reinforced polypropylene composites using Vacuum Assisted Oven Consolidation (VAOC) ”, *J. Mater. Process. Technol.*, vol. 214, no. 11, pp. 2375–2386, 2014.
- [53] W. d W. D. Callister, D. g Rethwisch, and J. Wiley, “Materials science and engineering”, *Mater. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 334, no. 6058, pp. 266–267, 2007.

- [54] C. K. Hong, I. Hwang, N. Kim, D. H. Park, B. S. Hwang, and C. Nah, "Mechanical properties of silanized jute–polypropylene composites", *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 71–76, 2008.
- [55] A. Bourmaud, G. Ausias, G. Lebrun, M. L. Tachon, and C. Baley, "Observation of the structure of a composite polypropylene/flax and damage mechanisms under stress", *Ind. Crops Prod.*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 225–236, 2013.
- [56] E. Bozhevolnaya and A. Lyckegaard, "Local effects at core junctions of sandwich structures under different types of loads", *Compos. Struct.*, vol. 73, no. 1, pp. 24–32, 2006.
- [57] P. Norlin and S. Reuterlöv, "The role of sandwich composites in turbine blades", *Reinf. Plast.*, vol. 46, no. March, pp. 32–34, Mar. 2002.
- [58] O. Murthy, "Strength and stiffness optimization studies on honeycomb core sandwich panels", *J. Reinf. Plast. Compos.*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 663–671, 2005.
- [59] L. Fagerberg and D. Zenkert, "Imperfection-induced Wrinkling Material Failure in Sandwich Panels", *J. Sandw. Struct. Mater.*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 195–219, 2005.
- [60] N. Sharma, R. F. Gibson, and E. O. Ayorinde, "Fatigue of Foam and Honeycomb Core Composite Sandwich Structures: A Tutorial", *J. Sandw. Struct. Mater.*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 263–319, 2006.
- [61] K. F. Karlsson and B. Tomas Åström, "Manufacturing and applications of structural sandwich components," *Compos. Part A Appl. Sci. Manuf.*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 97–111, 1997.
- [62] N. O. Cabrera, B. Alcock, and T. Peijs, "Design and manufacture of all-PP sandwich panels based on co-extruded polypropylene tapes," *Compos. Part B Eng.*, vol. 39, no. 7–8, pp. 1183–1195, Oct. 2008.
- [63] D. M. Ferreira, "Structural behaviour of composite sandwich panels in service and failure conditions", 2012.
- [64] J. A. Tafoya, "Effect of Sustainable and Composite Materials on the Mechanical Behavior of Sandwich Panels under Edgewise Compressive Loading", 2015.
- [65] M. Styles, P. Compston, and S. Kalyanasundaram, "The effect of core thickness on the flexural behaviour of aluminium foam sandwich structures," *Compos. Struct.*, vol. 80, pp. 532–538, 2007.

- [66] A. H. Seno, E. Koswara, H. Syamsudin, and D. Widagdo, "Analysis Of Bending Loads On Bamboo-Balsa And Bamboo- Polypropylene Honeycomb Composite Sandwiches," *Adv. Mater. Res. Vol.*, vol. 1125, pp. 94–99, 2015.
- [67] A. Mechraoui, B. Riedl, and D. Rodrigue, "Mechanical properties of polypropylene structural foams with fiber-reinforced skins," *J. Cell. Plast.*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 115–132, 2011.
- [68] C. Cerbu, "Mechanical characterization of the flax/epoxy composite material," 8th Int. Coneference Interdiscip. Eng. INTER-ENG 2014, 9-10 Oct. 2014, Tirg. Rom., vol. 19, pp. 268–275, 2015.
- [69] M. Sadighi, A. A. Dehkordi, and R. Khodambashi, "A Theoretical and Experimental Study of Failure Maps of Sandwich Beams with Composite Skins and Honeycomb," *Amirkabir, MISC*, Vol . 42, No. 1, 2010.