

ENERGY DISSIPATION AND DUCTILITY OF STEEL PLATE SHEAR WALL
WITH PERFORATION

MOHD SYAFIQ BIN BASIUS

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Structure)

School of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2019

DEDICATION

I dedicated this project report to my beloved mother who always supported me through thick and thin. Without her, I would not be the man that I am today. I also dedicate this to my other family members. Thank you for all of your support this whole time.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I wish to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Roslida binti Abd. Samat, for help, encouragement, guidance, critics and motivation. Without her continued never-ending support, this project report would not have been completed.

I am also indebted to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) in providing ease of access for source of knowledge via online journals and libraries that they have provided.

Special thanks also given to Djemil Tahir who helps me in preparing the modelling for this project as well as giving insights in different light on certain things.

My fellow postgraduate student should also be recognised for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space. I am grateful to all my family member.

ABSTRACT

Steel plate shear wall (SPSW) is a structure system which is mainly used in high-rise building to resist the lateral load either from the wind or earthquake. In this study, several models of perforated steel plate shear wall were analysed by using Abaqus software in order to study the behaviour of perforated steel plate shear wall with different aspect ratios when the location and size of the opening are varied. The parameters concerned are ductility, energy dissipation and lateral load capacity of the perforated SPSW. 4 meter high perforated steel plate with varying width of 4 m and 6 m are bounded by vertical and horizontal boundary elements which is fixed at its base and restrained at z-direction at the top. The plate and boundary element were made from A36 steel and ASTM A992 steel respectively. Cyclic loadings were applied laterally for each SPSW model and the lateral displacements at the top of the model were recorded to plot hysteretic curve in order to obtain the ductility, energy dissipation and lateral load capacity. It has been found that the energy dissipation, ductility and lateral load capacity are affected by the different location of the perforation in the SPSW, where SPSW with perforation that is closer to the acting forces has lower energy dissipation, ductility and lateral load capacity. Maximum energy dissipation, ductility and lateral load capacity were achieved when the perforation was located at the centre of the SPSW. The increase in size of perforation of the SPSW caused the energy dissipation, ductility and lateral load capacity to decrease, while wider width of SPSW have larger values for energy dissipation, ductility and lateral load capacity.

ABSTRAK

Dinding ricih plat keluli (SPSW) adalah sistem struktur yang biasanya digunakan di bangunan tinggi untuk menahan beban sisi sama ada dari angin ataupun gempa bumi. Dalam kajian ini, beberapa model dinding ricih plat keluli tertebuk akan dianalisis dengan menggunakan perisian Abaqus untuk mengkaji kelakuan tembok ricih plat keluli tertebuk dengan nisbah bidang yang berlainan apabila lokasi dan saiz tebuk diubah. Parameter yang dikaji adalah kemuluran, pelepasan tenaga dan kapasiti beban sisi plat keluli SPSW tertebuk. Plat tertebuk dengan ketinggian 4 meter dan kelebaran berbeza iaitu, 4 m dan 6 m dikelilingi oleh element sempadan menegak dan mengufuk yang disokong tegar di tapak dan terhalang daripada pergerakan pada paksi z pada bahagian atas model tersebut. Plat dan elemen sempadan, masing-masing diperbuat daripada keluli A36 dan keluli ASTM A992. Beban kitaran dikenakan pada setiap model dan anjakan sisi pada bahagian atas model direkodkan untuk melakarkan graf histeresis bagi mendapatkan kemuluran, pelepasan tenaga dan kapasiti beban sisi. Kemuluran, pelepasan tenaga dan kapasiti beban sisi didapati dipengaruhi oleh lokasi tebuk yang berbeza pada SPSW iaitu, SPSW yang mempunyai tebuk yang lebih hampir dengan beban keaan mempunyai kemuluran, pelepasan tenaga dan kapasiti beban sisi yang lebih rendah. Kemuluran, pelepasan tenaga dan kapasiti beban sisi yang maksimum boleh dicapai apabila tebuk berada di tengah-tengah plat. Peningkatan saiz tebuk menyebabkan kemuluran, pelepasan tenaga dan kapasiti beban sisi berkurang, manakala, SPSW yang lebih lebar mempunyai nilai kemuluran, pelepasan tenaga dan kapasiti beban sisi yang lebih tinggi.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	ix
	LIST OF FIGURES	x
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xvi
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Problem Statement	2
1.3	Objectives	3
1.4	Scope	3
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1	Introduction	5
2.2	Types of Structural Systems for Tall Buildings	5
2.2.1	Rigid Frame Systems	6
2.2.2	Braced Frame and Shear-walled Frame Systems	6
2.2.3	Outrigger Systems	7
2.2.4	Framed-tube Systems	7
2.2.5	Braced-frame Systems	8
2.1.6	Bundled-tube Systems	8

2.3	Shear Wall	9
2.4	Behaviour of A36 Steel	13
2.5	Behaviour of ASTM A992 Steel	14
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	15
3.1	Models	15
3.2	Location of Perforation	18
3.3	Size of Perforation	21
3.4	Control Model	23
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	25
4.1	Findings	25
4.2	Control Models	25
4.3	Location of Perforation	29
4.4	Size of Perforation	47
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	62
5.1	Research Outcomes	62
5.2	Recommendations	63
REFERENCES		64

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 3.1	Distance of perforation from the left of the SPSW	18
Table 3.2	Height of perforation	21
Table 4.1	Results for SPSW with different location of perforation	44
Table 4.2	Results for SPSW with different size of perforation	58

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Stress and strain curve of A36 steel	13
Figure 3.1	Deformation history	16
Figure 3.2	Hysteretic curve	17
Figure 3.3	Pushover graph	17
Figure 3.4	SPSW model with perforation with different location studied	18
Figure 3.5	Plate 1 (4 m)	19
Figure 3.6	Plate 2 (4 m)	19
Figure 3.7	Plate 3 (4 m)	19
Figure 3.8	Plate 4 (4 m)	19
Figure 3.9	Plate 1 (6 m)	20
Figure 3.10	Plate 2 (6 m)	20
Figure 3.11	Plate 3 (6 m)	20
Figure 3.12	Plate 4 (6 m)	20
Figure 3.13	Plate 5 (6 m)	20
Figure 3.14	SPSW model with perforation with different size that will be studied	21
Figure 3.15	Plate A (4 m)	22
Figure 3.16	Plate B (4 m)	22
Figure 3.17	Plate C (4 m)	22
Figure 3.18	Plate A (6 m)	23
Figure 3.19	Plate B (6 m)	23
Figure 3.20	Plate C (6 m)	23
Figure 3.21	Control model (4 m)	24
Figure 3.22	Control model (6 m)	24
Figure 4.1	Deformed shape for control model (4 m)	26

Figure 4.2	Deformed shape for control model (6 m)	26
Figure 4.3	Hysteresis graph for control model (4 m)	27
Figure 4.4	Hysteresis graph for control model (6 m)	27
Figure 4.5	Pushover graph for control model (4 m)	28
Figure 4.6	Pushover graph for control model (6 m)	28
Figure 4.7	Deformed shape for Plate 1 (4 m)	29
Figure 4.8	Deformed shape for Plate 2 (4 m)	30
Figure 4.9	Deformed shape for Plate 3 (4 m)	30
Figure 4.10	Deformed shape for Plate 4 (4 m)	31
Figure 4.11	Deformed shape for Plate 1 (6 m)	31
Figure 4.12	Deformed shape for Plate 2 (6 m)	32
Figure 4.13	Deformed shape for Plate 3 (6 m)	32
Figure 4.14	Deformed shape for Plate 4 (6 m)	33
Figure 4.15	Deformed shape for Plate 5 (6 m)	33
Figure 4.16	Hysteresis graph for Plate 1 (4 m)	34
Figure 4.17	Hysteresis graph for Plate 2 (4 m)	35
Figure 4.18	Hysteresis graph for Plate 3 (4 m)	35
Figure 4.19	Hysteresis graph for Plate 4 (4 m)	36
Figure 4.20	Hysteresis graph for Plate 1 (6 m)	36
Figure 4.21	Hysteresis graph for Plate 2 (6 m)	37
Figure 4.22	Hysteresis graph for Plate 3 (6 m)	37
Figure 4.23	Hysteresis graph for Plate 4 (6 m)	38
Figure 4.24	Hysteresis graph for Plate 5 (6 m)	38
Figure 4.25	Pushover graph for Plate 1 (4 m)	39
Figure 4.26	Pushover graph for Plate 2 (4 m)	39
Figure 4.27	Pushover graph for Plate 3 (4 m)	40
Figure 4.28	Pushover graph for Plate 4 (4 m)	40
Figure 4.29	Pushover graph for Plate 1 (6 m)	41
Figure 4.30	Pushover graph for Plate 2 (6 m)	41

Figure 4.31	Pushover graph for Plate 3 (6 m)	42
Figure 4.32	Pushover graph for Plate 4 (6 m)	42
Figure 4.33	Pushover graph for Plate 5 (6 m)	43
Figure 4.34	Graph of energy dissipation and location of perforation with different breadth of SPSW	45
Figure 4.35	Graph of lateral load capacity and location of perforation with different breadth of SPSW	46
Figure 4.36	Graph of ductility and location of perforation with different breadth of SPSW	47
Figure 4.37	Deformed shape for Plate A (4 m)	48
Figure 4.38	Deformed shape for Plate B (4 m)	48
Figure 4.39	Deformed shape for Plate C (4 m)	49
Figure 4.40	Deformed shape for Plate A (6 m)	49
Figure 4.41	Deformed shape for Plate B (6 m)	50
Figure 4.42	Deformed shape for Plate C (6 m)	50
Figure 4.43	Hysteresis graph for Plate A (4 m)	51
Figure 4.44	Hysteresis graph for Plate B (4 m)	52
Figure 4.45	Hysteresis graph for Plate C (4 m)	52
Figure 4.46	Hysteresis graph for Plate A (6 m)	53
Figure 4.47	Hysteresis graph for Plate B (6 m)	53
Figure 4.48	Hysteresis graph for Plate C (6 m)	54
Figure 4.49	Pushover graph for Plate A (4 m)	54
Figure 4.50	Pushover graph for Plate B (4 m)	55
Figure 4.51	Pushover graph for Plate C (4 m)	55
Figure 4.52	Pushover graph for Plate A (6 m)	56
Figure 4.53	Pushover graph for Plate B (6 m)	56
Figure 4.54	Pushover graph for Plate C (6 m)	57
Figure 4.55	Graph of energy dissipation and size of perforation with different breadth of SPSW	59
Figure 4.56	Graph of lateral load capacity and size of perforation with different breadth of SPSW	60

Figure 4.57 Graph of ductility and size of perforation with different breadth of SPSW

61

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

SPSW - Steel Plate Shear Wall

LIST OF SYMBOLS

h_p	-	Height of Perforation
s	-	Distance of Perforation from the Edge of the SPSW
F	-	Force
p	-	Pressure

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

With the construction of the tallest twin towers currently in the world in 1996, more similar projects soon follow as Malaysia continues its rapid urban developments. These developments lead to more high-rise buildings to be constructed in order to save up more space which will be dwindling in the near future. Therefore, the technologies and skills required to build higher altitude structures needs to be emphasized more in order to expand and obtain new knowledge which can further enhance our understanding on the mechanics of tall building structures.

On 5 June 2015, earthquake struck Ranau, Sabah, Malaysia with a moment magnitude of 6.0 which lasted about 30 seconds and took 18 lives on Mount Kinabalu and caused several structural damages on the buildings of the surrounding regions. It is also considered the strongest earthquake that affected Malaysia since 1976. The event came out as a surprise due to the location epicentre location of the earthquake which is in Sabah, a place not well-known for destructive earthquake unlike Sumatra, Nepal, Taiwan and Japan, which straddle fast-moving tectonic plate boundaries. Therefore, most structures on the surrounding areas are susceptible to earthquake due to lack of standards for earthquake-resistant structural design in Malaysia. Ever since then, awareness on the importance of earthquake-resistant design raised and Malaysia quickly responded by introducing the standards for earthquake design in which the Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (MOSTI), through the Department of Standards Malaysia developed a Malaysian Standards (MS EN 1998-1:2015 (NATIONAL ANNEX:2017)) for earthquake-resistant building design code based on European Eurocode 8 ‘Design Of Structures For Earthquake Resistance — Part 1: General Rules, Seismic Actions and Rules For

Buildings'. Therefore, earthquake become one of the important factors that needs to be considered in designing structures in Malaysia ever since.

With the consideration of earthquake in structural design, the lateral effects from the earthquake on a structure can be minimized. However, tall buildings have other source of lateral actions included acting on the structure in the form of wind load which increases with altitude. Therefore, tall buildings are not only susceptible to earthquake, but also from the wind load. Numerous methods and innovations are being researched and studied thoroughly in order to reduce the effects of lateral load on a structure. One such method is to use the steel plate shear wall (SPSW) in order to reduce the energy released by the earthquake and wind thus stabilizing the structure from swaying and minimize the damages.

Steel plate shear wall (SPSW) consists of thin steel infill plates called the web plate which is bounded by boundary elements which is columns and beams with bolted or welded connections. SPSWs have been used in the US since the 1970s primarily for seismic retrofits, as most practicing engineers usually hesitate to use systems due to the lack of standard codes and clear history of past performance. However, recent studies and researches have raised the prospect of using SPSWs as a promising alternative to conventional systems in high-risk seismic regions due to the energy dissipating qualities of the web plate under extreme cyclic loading. Compared to reinforced concrete shear walls, SPSWs is significantly faster to construct and much lighter thus reducing the material construction duration and materials which is essential factors affecting the overall cost of the project.

1.2 Problem Statement

SPSW is one of the most efficient tall building systems to resist earthquake. However, SPSW sometimes need to be perforated to allow people to go through it or to serve as windows. Research proves that perforation of SPSW will reduce its capacity to resist the lateral load. Previous research did study the effect of the size of the perforation and the location of the perforation to the shear capacity of the SPSW.

However, does the relationship remain the same when the size of the SPSW is altered? The height of the SPSW may always be about 4 meters but the breadth may change from one building to the other building. The answers to these questions are important to enable engineers and architects to make the correct decision regarding the size and location of perforation of the SPSW they design.

Furthermore, ductility and energy dissipation are important in the seismic resistance structure design. Ductility factor required for structure is typically in the range 3 to 6 (Park, 1988). The seismic input energy imparted to a structure is dissipated by hysteretic behavior. It is generally recognized that there is a strong correlation between the energy dissipated by hysteretic action and the seismically induced level of damage. Thus, how do energy dissipation and ductility of SPSW are affected by the size and the location of the perforation?

1.3 Research Objectives

The objectives of the research are :

- (a) To obtain ductility, energy dissipation and shear capacity of the SPSW when the size and location of the perforation are varied.
- (b) To find the effect of the width of the SPSW to ductility, energy dissipation and lateral load capacity for different location and size of the perforation

1.4 Scope

This study will focus on the behavior of ductility, energy dissipation of perforated steel plate shear wall (SPSW). The material used for the steel plate web is A36 mild steel, which is commonly used. Only numerical simulations of the SPSW will be performed. The SPSW has a height of 4 meters and fix supported at the

REFERENCES

- Berman J. W. (2011) 'Seismic behaviour of code designed steel plate shear walls', *Engineering Structures* 33 (2011), 230–244.
- Bhowmick et al. (2013) 'Nonlinear seismic analysis of perforated steel plate shear walls', *Journal of Constructional Steel Research* 94 (2014), 103–113.
- Farzampour et al. (2015) 'Behaviour prediction of corrugated steel plate shear walls with openings', *Journal of Constructional Steel Research* 114 (2015), 258–268.
- Ghomi and Mamazizi. (2014) 'Experimental investigation on stiffened steel plate shear walls with two rectangular openings', *Thin-Walled Structures* 86 (2015), 56–66.
- Ghomi and Sajjadi (2012) 'Experimental and theoretical studies of steel shear walls with and without stiffeners', *Journal of Constructional Steel Research* 75 (2012), 152–159.
- Ghomi et al. (2012) 'Stiffness and strength degradation of steel shear walls having an arbitrarily-located opening', *Journal of Constructional Steel Research* 79 (2012), 91–100.
- Hosseinzadeh and Tehranizadeh. (2012) 'Introduction of stiffened large rectangular openings in steel plate shear walls', *Journal of Constructional Steel Research* 77 (2012), 180–192.
- Hoseinzadeh and Safarkhani. (2017) 'Seismic behaviour of steel plate shear wall with reduced boundary beam section', *Thin-Walled Structures* 116 (2017), 169–179.
- Jin et al. (2015) 'Stability of buckling-restrained steel plate shear walls with inclined-slots: Theoretical analysis and design recommendations', *Journal of Constructional Steel Research* 117 (2016), 13–23.
- Machaly et al. (2014) 'Numerical investigation on ultimate shear strength of steel plate shear walls', *Thin-Walled Structures* 84 (2014), 78–90.
- Qiang and Jingyu. (2018) 'Experimental study and numerical simulation of corrugated steel plate shearwalls subjected to cyclic loads', *Thin-Walled Structures* 127 (2018), 306–317.

- Shafaei et al. (2015) ‘The effect of concrete panel thickness upon composite steel plate shear walls’, *Journal of Constructional Steel Research* 117 (2016), 81–90.
- Shafaei et al. (2017) ‘Concrete stiffened steel plate shear walls with an unstiffened opening’, *Structures*. 12 (2017), 40–53.
- Sheng & Chuan. (2006) ‘Cyclic behaviour of low yield point steel shear walls’, *Thin-Walled Structures* 44 (2006), 730–738.
- Park R. (1988) ‘Ductility evaluation from laboratory and analytical testing’, *Proceedings of Ninth World Conference on Earthquake Engineering*