ISOLATION, IDENTIFICATION AND CHARACTERISATION OF LIGNOCELLULOLYTIC BACTERIA FROM MANGROVE ROOTS

NUR HANIS BINTI MOHD YASIM

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Science Specialization Biotechnology

Faculty of Biosciences and Medical Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JULY 2018

To my beloved family

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to express my highest gratitude towards my supervisor, Dr. Chong Chun Shiong for his continuos supports, patience, encouragement, immense knowledge and time spent for me throughout my master journey. His guidance helped me to get through all the difficulties and problems faced along conducting the research and completing the thesis writing. I could not have imagined having a better supervisor for my research project.

Deepest appreciation for my all my lab members especially my seniors, Lam, Jinn and Suganthi for their knowledge sharing, guidance, advices, and assistances given in order for me to complete this project. My sincere thanks also goes to my cosupervisor, Puan Huszalina bt. Hussin for her guidance and help in completing the project. Not to forget, million thanks to all FBME lab assistants and staffs for their limitless guidance and assistances in term of knowledge and facilities along the project conducted. I also thank my fellow classmates especially Adila, Aimi, Azian, Najwa and Christine for the companions, opinions, motivations and entertainment along my journey here.

Most importantly, my token of appreciation goes to my beloved family, who has been the constant resources of strength, motivations, and supports in term of time and financial for all the time.

I also place on record, my sense of gratitude to everyone, who directly or indirectly lend their hands for me in the journey of the study.

ABSTRACT

Globally, 998 million tonnes of agricultural waste is disposed into landfills per year and Malaysia contributes 1.2 million tonnes of the amount. The waste consists of lignocellulosic biomass, a chainlike sugars called cellulose and hemicellulose embedded in a woody material called lignin, which could be converted into biofuel. Enzymes secreted by microorganisms are needed for the conversion of these materials into biofuels. One of good sources to find the microorganisms that are able to degrade the lignocellulosic materials is mangrove. It is a rich environment containing plenty of decayed plant materials, thus it could be a potential resource of highly productive and diverse microbial community. In this study, five bacterial strains have been isolated from mangrove roots collected in Tanjung Piai, Johor. From the lignocellulolytic screening, CN4, CN7 and CN10 showed positive results for cellulose degradation, CN10 and CN12 for xylan degradation, and CN20 for lignin degradation. Strain CN10 was selected for further investigation due to its abilities to degrade both cellulose and xylan. Gram-staining performed showed that CN10 is a Gram-positive rod-shaped bacterium. Based on 16S rRNAgene sequence analysis, the selected strain was identified as Exiguobacterium sp. CN10. Growth profile was carried out at 35°C, pH 7 and 5% (w/v) salt using tryptic soy broth as medium. The effect of temperature, pH and salinity on cellulose and xylan degradation using crude enzyme of CN10 were investigated. For cellulose degradation, the optimal temperature, pH and salinity for strain CN10 were 50°C, pH 8.0 and 12% (w/v) respectively. For xylanase activity, the optimal temperature and pH for the strain were also at 50°C and pH 8.0, while the optimal salinity was at 10% (w/v) salt. Collectively, the findings suggested that the strain CN10 may have a great potential in the lignocellulosic biomass degradation which could be of great commercial value.

ABSTRAK

Secara global, sebanyak 998 juta tan sisa pertanian dibuang ke tapak pelupusan sampah setiap tahun dan Malaysia menyumbang sebanyak 1.2 juta tan daripada jumlah tersebut. Sisa buangan tersebut terdiri daripada biojisim lignoselulosik, satu rantaian gula dipanggil selulosa dan hemiselulosa yang tersirat dalam bahan kayuan dipanggil lignin, yang boleh ditukar menjadi biofuel. Enzim yang dirembes oleh mikroorganisma diperlukan untuk pertukaran bahan ini menjadi bio-fuel. Salah satu sumber terbaik mendapatkan mikroorganisma yang boleh mendegrad bahan lignoselulosik ialah bakau. Bakau ialah persekitaran yang kaya dengan tumbuhan yang sedang mereput, justeru ia adalah satu sumber potensi untuk komuniti mikroorganisma yang sangat produktif dan pelbagai. Dalam kajian ini, lima strain bakteria telah diasingkan dari akar bakau yang diambil dari Tanjung Piai, Johor. Daripada saringan lignoselulosik, CN4, CN7 dan CN10 menunjukkan hasil positif untuk degradasi selulosa, CN10 dan CN12 untuk degradasi xilan, dan CN20 untuk degradasi lignin. Strain CN10 telah dipilih untuk kajian seterusnya disebabkan kebolehannya untuk mendegrad selulosa dan xilan. Pewarnaan Gram yang dijalankan menunjukkan bahawa CN10 adalah bakterium Gram-positif yang berbentuk rod. Berdasarkan analisis jujukan gen 16S rRNA, strain terpilih dikenalpasti sebagai Exiguobacterium sp. CN10. Profil pertumbuhan dijalankan pada suhu 35°C, pH 7.0 dan 5% (w/v) garam menggunakan kaldu tripsin soya sebagai medium. Kesan suhu, pH dan saliniti terhadap degradasi selulosa dan xilan menggunakan enzim mentah CN10 telah dikaji. Bagi degradasi selulosa, suhu, pH dan saliniti optimal untuk strain CN10 masingmasing adalah 50°C, pH 8.0 dan 12% (w/v). Bagi aktiviti xilan, suhu dan pH optimal untuk strain ini adalah 50°C dan pH 8.0, manakala saliniti optimal adalah pada 10% (w/v) garam. Secara keseluruhan, hasil carian ini memberi cadangan tentang potensi besar strain CN10 dalam degradasi bahan lignoselulosik yang boleh memberi hasil komersial yang besar.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER

TITLE

PAGE

| DECLARATION | ii |
|-----------------------|-------|
| DEDICATION | iii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | iv |
| ABSTRACT | V |
| ABSTRAK | vi |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | vii |
| LIST OF TABLES | xvi |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xvii |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xxii |
| LIST OF SYMBOLS | xxiii |
| LIST OF APPENDICES | xxiv |

1 INTRODUCTION

| 1.1 | Background of Study | 1 |
|-----|-----------------------|---|
| 1.2 | Problem Statement | 2 |
| 1.3 | Objectives of study | 3 |
| 1.4 | Significance of Study | 3 |

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

| 2.1 | Mangrove | | 5 |
|-----|--------------------------------|------------|---|
| 2.2 | Composition of Lignocellulosic | | |
| | Materials | | |
| | 2.2.1 Cellu | llose | 7 |
| | 2.2.2 Hemi | icellulose | 7 |

| | 2.2.3 | Lignin | 8 |
|-----|--------|--|----|
| 2.3 | Ligno | cellulolytic Enzymes | 9 |
| | 2.3.1 | Cellulase | 9 |
| | 2.3.2 | Hemicellulase | 10 |
| | 2.3.3 | Ligninase | 11 |
| 2.4 | Lignoc | ellulose Degrading Microorganisms in | |
| | Mangro | ove Environments | 12 |
| 2.5 | Conver | sion of Lignocellulosic Materials Into | |
| | Useful | Bioproducts | 13 |
| | 2.5.1 | Biofuel | 13 |
| | 2.5.2 | Bioproducts | 14 |

3 MATERIALS AND METHODS

| Experimental Design | | |
|--|---|--|
| Sample and Media Preparation | 18 | |
| 3.2.1 Tryptic Soy Broth | 18 | |
| 3.2.2 Tryptic Soy Agar | 18 | |
| 3.2.3 Preparation of Sample | 18 | |
| Bacterial Isolation | 18 | |
| 3.3.1 Bacteria Enrichment and Serial Dilution | 18 | |
| 3.3.2 Isolation of Bacteria | 19 | |
| 3.3.3 Screening for Lignocellulolytic Activity | 19 | |
| 3.3.4 Long Term Preservation of Isolated | | |
| Bacteria | 20 | |
| Identification of Bacteria | 20 | |
| 3.4.1 Genomic DNA Isolation | 20 | |
| 3.4.2 16S rRNA Gene Amplification by | 21 | |
| Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) | | |
| 3.4.3 Purification of PCR Products | 23 | |
| 3.4.4 Agarose Gel Electrophoresis | 23 | |
| 3.4.5 16S rRNA Gene Sequencing | 24 | |
| 3.4.6 16S rRNA Gene Analysis | 25 | |
| | Sample and Media Preparation 3.2.1 Tryptic Soy Broth 3.2.2 Tryptic Soy Agar 3.2.3 Preparation of Sample Bacterial Isolation 3.3.1 Bacteria Enrichment and Serial Dilution 3.3.2 Isolation of Bacteria 3.3.3 Screening for Lignocellulolytic Activity 3.3.4 Long Term Preservation of Isolated Bacteria Identification of Bacteria 3.4.1 Genomic DNA Isolation 3.4.2 16S rRNA Gene Amplification by Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) 3.4.3 Purification of PCR Products 3.4.4 Agarose Gel Electrophoresis 3.4.5 16S rRNA Gene Sequencing | |

| 3.5 | Characte | erisation of Bacteria | 25 |
|-----|----------|---|----|
| | 3.5.1 | Colony Morphology | 25 |
| | 3.5.2 | Gram Staining | 26 |
| | 3.5.3 | Determination of Microbial Growth | 27 |
| | 3.5.4 | Characterization of Crude | |
| | | Endoglucanase from Lignocellulolytic | |
| | | Bacteria | 27 |
| | 3.5.5 | Inoculum and Crude Enzyme | |
| | | Preparation | 27 |
| | 3.5.6 | Determination of Total Reducing | |
| | | Sugar Concentration | 28 |
| | | 3.5.6.3Construction of Standard Glucose | |
| | | and Xylose Curve | 28 |
| | | 3.5.6.4Determination of Crude | |
| | | Endoglucanase Activity and | |
| | | Stability | 29 |
| | | 3.5.6.5Determination of Crude | |
| | | XylanaseActivity and Stability | 30 |
| | | 3.5.6.6Effect of Temperature on | |
| | | Crude of Endoglucanase and | |
| | | Xylanase Activity and Stability | 30 |
| | | 3.5.6.7Effect of Salinity on Crude | |
| | | Endoglucanase and Xylanase | |
| | | Activity and Stability | 30 |
| | | 3.5.6.8Effect of pH on Crude | |
| | | Endoglucanase and Xylanase | 31 |
| | | Activity and Stability | |
| | | | |

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

| 4.1 | Isolatio | n of Lignocellulolytic Bacteria | 33 |
|-----|----------|---------------------------------|----|
| | 4.1.1 | Culture of Bacteria | 33 |
| | 4.1.2 | Colony Morphology of Isolated | |

| | | Bacteria | 37 |
|-----|----------|--|----|
| | 4.1.3 | Lignocellulolytic Screening | 38 |
| 4.0 | Identifi | cation of Lignocellulolytic BacteriumUsing | |
| 4.2 | 16S rRI | NA Gene Analysis | 43 |
| | 4.2.1 | Genomic Extraction | 43 |
| | 4.2.2 | Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) | |
| | | Amplification of 16S rRNA gene | 44 |
| | 4.2.3 | Purification of PCR Products | 44 |
| | 4.2.4 | Analysis of 16S ribosomal RNA gene | 45 |
| | | sequence | |
| | 4.2.5 | Phylogenetic Analysis | 47 |
| 4.3 | Charact | erization of Strain CN10 and Its | |
| | Cellulo | lytic Activity | 49 |
| | 4.3.1 | Gram Staining | 49 |
| | 4.3.2 | Microbial Growth in Tryptic Soy Broth | 50 |
| | 4.3.3 | Characterization of Crude | |
| | 4.3.3 | Endoglucanase andXylanase | |
| | | Activity and Stability | 51 |
| | | 4.3.3.1Effect of Incubation Period on | |
| | | Crude Endoglucanase and | |
| | | Xylanase Activity and Stability of | |
| | | Exiguobacterium sp. CN10 | 51 |
| | | 4.3.3.3Effect of Temperature on Crude | |
| | | Endoglucanase Activity and | |
| | | Stability of <i>Exiguobacteriumsp</i> . | |
| | | CN10 | 52 |
| | | 4.3.3.3 Effect of Temperature on | |
| | | Crude Xylanase Activity and | |
| | | Stability of <i>Exiguobacterium</i> sp. | |
| | | CN10 | 54 |
| | | 4.3.3.4Effect of Salinity on Crude | |
| | | Endoglucanase Activity and | |
| | | Stability of <i>Exiguobacterium</i> sp. CN10 | 56 |

| | 4.3.3.5Effect of Salinity on Crude | |
|---------|---|----|
| | Xylanase Activity and | |
| | Stability of Exiguobacteriumsp. | |
| | CN10 | 58 |
| | 4.3.3.6Effect of pH on Crude | |
| | Endoglucanase Activity and | |
| | Stability of Exiguobacterium sp. | |
| | CN10 | 60 |
| | 4.3.3.7Effect of pH on Crude | |
| | Xylanase Activity and Stability | |
| | of <i>Exiguobacterium</i> sp. CN 10 | 62 |
| 4.4 | Description of Exiguobacterium sp. CN10 | 64 |
| 5 CONCI | LUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS | |
| 5.1 | Conclusion | 67 |
| 5.2 | Recommendations | 68 |
| REFER | ENCES | 69 |
| APPEN | DICES | 78 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE | PA GE |
|-----------|---|----------|
| 2.1 | Lignocellulolytic microorganisms previously isolated from Mangrove Area | 12 |
| 3.1 | Sequences of universal primers | 21 |
| 3.2 | Component of Polymerase Chain Reaction(PCR) | 22 |
| 3.3 | PCR Cycle Profile | 22 |
| 3.4 | Loading components for extracted genomic DNA analysis | 24 |
| 3.5 | Loading components for PCR products | 24 |
| 3.6 | Loading components for purified PCR products | 24 |
| 3.7 | Reagent Preparation for DNS Assay | 28 |
| 3.8 | Preparation of Glucose Standard Solution | 29 |
| 3.9 | Preparation of Xylose Standard Solution | 29 |
| 3.10 | Buffer Systems Used for the Endoglucanase and Xylanase | 31 |
| | Assays | |
| 3.11 | Reagents Used for Preparation of Buffer | 31 |
| 4.1 | Colony morphology of isolated bacteria on tryptic soy agar | |
| | after 24 hours of incubation at 37°C | 37 |
| 4.2 | Homology and similarity search results with description of | |
| | strain CN10 using BLAST | 46 |
| 4.3 | Morphological, physiological and degradation activities that. | |
| | differentiates strain CN10 and other related Exiguobacterium | |
| | spp. | 66 |
| | 11 | |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE | 2 |
|--------|---|
|--------|---|

| NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|-----|--|------|
| 2.1 | Structure of lignocellulosic biomass | 6 |
| 2.2 | Monomer of cellulose | 7 |
| 2.3 | Monomers of lignin | 9 |
| 3.1 | Flow chart of experimental activities conducted in the study | 17 |
| 3.2 | The characteristics of bacterial colony morphologies to be | |
| 4.1 | observed Bacterial growth observed on plates with dilution of 10 ⁻² to | 26 |
| 4.2 | 10 ⁻⁶ on tryptic soy agar after 24 hours of incubation at 37°C Bacterial growth observed on tryptic soy agar after 24 hours | 34 |
| | of incubation at 37°C | 35 |
| 4.3 | Pure colonies of isolated bacteriaontryptic soy agar after | |
| | 24 hours of incubation at 37°C | 36 |
| 4.4 | The plates of tryptic soyagar containing 0.025% azure B | |
| | after incubation at 37°C for72 hours. Formation of halo | |
| | zone only showed by bacterial strain CN20 | 39 |
| 4.5 | The plates of tryptic soy agar containing 1% CMC after | |
| | incubation at 37°C for72 hours. Formation of halo zones | |
| | were shown by bacterial strain CN7 and CN10.) | 40 |
| 4.6 | The plates of tryptic soy agar containing 0.5% xylan after | |
| | incubation at 37°C for72 hours. Formation of halo zone | |
| | were shown by bacterial strain CN4 and CN10. | 41 |
| 4.7 | Qualitative analysis of extracted genomic DNA of bacteria | |
| | strain CN10 on 1% (w/v) agarose gel electrophoresis. Lane | |

| | 1, DNA ladder; Lane 2, strain CN10. | 43 |
|------|---|----|
| 4.8 | PCR product from 16S rRNA fragment obtained using 27F | |
| 1.0 | and 1525R primes. Lane 1, DNA ladder; Lane 2, strain CN | 44 |
| | 10 | |
| 4.9 | Qualitative analysis of purified PCR product of 16S rRNA | |
| 4.9 | | |
| | on 1% (w/v) agarose gel electrophoresis. Lane 1, strain | 45 |
| 4.10 | CN10; Lane 2, DNA ladder | 45 |
| 4.10 | Neighbour-joining tree showing the phylogenetic | |
| | relationship of strain CN10 with other member of the genus | |
| | based on 16S rRNA gene sequences. Bacillus subtilis was | |
| | used as an outgroup. Corresponding GenBank accession | |
| | numbers are indicated in parentheses. Percentages at nodes | |
| | are levels of bootstrap support based on 1000 resampled | |
| | dataset. Bar, 0.01 substitutions per nucleotide position | 48 |
| 4.11 | Gram Staining Result of CN10 which indicated Gram- | |
| | Positive Bacterium. The image observed under 1000X | |
| | magnification | 49 |
| 4.12 | Growth profile of Exiguobacterium sp. CN10 at 35 °C and | |
| | 180 rpm. | 50 |
| 4.13 | Production of endoglucanase and xylanase at different | |
| | incubation periodat 35°C and 180 rpm in tryptic soy broth | |
| | containing 2% (w/v) salt. | 51 |
| | | |
| 4.14 | Effect of temperature on endoglucanase activity of | |
| | Exiguobacterium sp. CN10. Relative activity (%) was | |
| | calculated by relative to the case of reaction at which | |
| | maximum activity was taken as 100%. Mean value (n=3) | |
| | were reported and standard deviation are indicated as error | |
| | bars | 52 |
| 4.15 | Effect of temperature on endoglucanase stability of | |
| | | |

Exiguobacterium sp. CN10. Relative activity (%) was

xix

calculated by relative to the case of reaction at which maximum activity was taken as 100%. Mean value (n=3) were reported and standard deviation are indicated as error bars.

- 4.16 Effect of temperature on xylanase activity of *Exiguobacterium* sp. CN10. Relative activity (%) was calculated by relative to the case of reaction at which maximum activity was taken as 100%. Mean value (n=3) were reported and standard deviation are indicated as error bars.
- 4.17 Effect of temperature on xylanase stability of *Exiguobacterium* sp. CN10. Relative activity (%) was calculated by relative to the case of reaction at which maximum activity was taken as 100%. Mean value (n=3) were reported and standard deviation are indicated as error bars
- 4.18 Effect of salt concentration on endoglucanase activity of *Exiguobacterium* sp. CN10. Relative activity (%) was calculated by relative to the case of reaction at which maximum activity was taken as 100%. Mean value (n=3) were reported and standard deviation are indicated as error bars
- 4.19 Effect of salt concentration on endoglucanase stability of *Exiguobacterium* sp. CN10. Relative activity (%) was calculated by relative to the case of reaction at which maximum activity was taken as 100%. Mean value (n=3) were reported and standard deviation are indicated as error bars.

54

53

55

- 4.20 Effect of salt concentration on xylanase activity of *Exiguobacterium* sp. CN10. Relative activity (%) was calculated by relative to the case of reaction at which maximum activity was taken as 100%. Mean value (n=3) were reported and standard deviation are indicated as error bars
- 4.21 Effect of salt concentration on xylanase stability of *Exiguobacterium* sp. CN10. Relative activity (%) was calculated by relative to the case of reaction at which maximum activity was taken as 100%. Mean value (n=3) were reported and standard deviation are indicated as error bars
- 4.22 Effect of pH concentration on endoglucanase activity of *Exiguobacterium* sp. CN10. Relative activity (%) was calculated by relative to the case of reaction at which maximum activity was taken as 100%. Mean value (n=3) were reported and standard deviation are indicated as error bars
- 4.23 Effect of pH concentration on endoglucanase stability of *Exiguobacterium* sp. CN10. Relative activity (%) was calculated by relative to the case of reaction at which maximum activity was taken as 100%. Mean value (n=3) were reported and standard deviation are indicated as error bars.
- 4.24 Effect of pH concentration on xylanase activity of *Exiguobacterium* sp. CN10. Relative activity (%) was calculated by relative to the case of reaction at which maximum activity was taken as 100%. Mean value (n=3) were reported and standard deviation are indicated as error bars.

XX

59

61

60

62

4.25 Effect of pH concentration on xylanase stability of *Exiguobacterium* sp. CN10. Relative activity (%) was calculated by relative to the case of reaction at which maximum activity was taken as 100%. Mean value (n=3) were reported and standard deviation are indicated as error bars

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| NH4H2PO4 | - | Ammonium dihydrogen phosphate |
|-------------------|---|---|
| BLASTn | - | Basic Local Alignment Search Tool of Nucleotide |
| CMC | - | Carboxymethylcellulose |
| dNTPs | - | Deoxynucleotide triphosphates |
| DNA | - | Deoxyribonucleic acid |
| DNS | - | dinitrosalicylic acid |
| et al. | - | et alia |
| EDTA | - | Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid |
| HCl | - | Hydrochloric acid |
| FeC13 | - | Iron(III) chloride |
| MgCl ₂ | - | Magnesium chloride |
| MEGA 7 | | Molecular Evolutionary Genetic Analysis version 7.0 |
| NCBI | - | National Center for Biotechnology Information |
| ND | | Not determined |
| OD | - | Optical density |
| PCR | - | Polymerase chain reaction |
| pН | - | Potential of hydrogen |
| RNase | - | Ribonuclease |
| NaCl | - | Sodium chloride |
| NaOH | - | Sodium hydroxide |
| TAE | - | Tris-Acetic-EDTA |
| TSA | | Tryotic soy agar |
| TSB | | Tryptic soy broth |
| UV | - | Ultraviolet |
| 16S rRNA | - | 16 Subunit Ribsomal Ribonucleic Acid |

LIST OF SYMBOLS

| α | - | Alpha |
|----------|---|-------------------------|
| β | - | Beta |
| bp | - | Base pair |
| °C | - | Celsius |
| CFU | - | Colony forming unit |
| 0 | - | Degree |
| g | - | Gram |
| g/L | - | Gram per litre |
| h | - | Hour |
| ∞ | - | Infinity |
| kb | - | kilo basepair |
| μL | - | microlitre |
| mA | - | milliampere |
| mg | - | milligramme |
| mL | - | millilitre |
| mm | - | millimetre |
| mV | - | millivolt |
| Μ | - | Molarity |
| ng/µL | | nanogram per microlitre |
| nm | - | nanometer |
| - | - | Negative |

xxiv

LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX PAGE | TITLE | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|----|
| A1 | Buffer Solution Preparation | 78 |
| A2 | Partial Sequence of 16S rRNA Gene | 79 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

The world is gradually marching towards a severe energy crisis, in which the demand of energy is overstepping its supply. Fossil fuel is an example of energy source that is still becoming the top global demand despite its dwindling resources (Mohapatra, 2017). This scenario leads to development of diverse research to find the alternative sources that can replace the dependence towards fossil fuels as the source of energy in order to meet future demands. One of the alternative sources of energy that is being widely studied is the biofuel produced from lignocellulosic materials (Dhiman, 2009). Other than becoming sources for biofuel, lignocelluloses can also be a resource for structural materials such as paper and fiber.

Lignocellulosic materials are one of promising alternative resources due to its continuous availability as they are the main components found in plant materials. Many studies have reported that lignocellulosic biomass holds enormous potential for sustainable production of fuels. Baêta*et al.*(2016) reported the optimisation of net energy recovery from production of hydrogen and methane through anaerobic digestion of the hemicelluloses hydrolysate obtained from pretreatment of sugarcane bagasse. Another study by Faraco and Hadar (2011) focused on the production of bioethanol from degradation of lignocellulosic wastes such from cereal crops, tomatoes and olive trees in Mediterranean Basin. To achieve the production of biofuels, the lignocellulosic materials need to be degraded by certain

enzymesfollowed by fermentation process, in which the source of the enzymes can be originated from microorganisms.

Vast numbers of studies have been done for the detection of lignocelluloses degrading microorganisms using agrowastessources like palm residues, sugarcanes wastes, rice straws and others. Microorganisms in the environment like the mangrove would have necessary enzymes such as the lignolytic enzymes, hemicellulases and cellulase to breakdown the biopolymer into simple sugars for glycolysis and respiration. These microorganisms are typically distributed in the intertidal zones with the fluctuating temperature, pH, salinity and tidal (Kathiresan and Bingham, 2001). The sediments and plant materials of the mangrove area is one of the suitable environment to explore lignocelluloses degrading microorganisms because of continuous input of lignocellulosic carbon in the form of litter which can function as a substrate for decomposition by microbes (Beheraet al., 2017). Due to the richness of nutrients and its unique environment, this location could potentially be the source to obtain novel microorganisms for lignocelluloses degradation. This study focused on the identification and characterization of bacteria from mangrove partss to be the source of enzymes for degradation of lignocellulosic materials such as from agricultural wastes.

1.2 Problem Statement

The increasing demand of the energy derived from fossil fuels has been one of the global problems as its supply cannot fulfil the demand due to the depletion of this particular resource. Hence, alternative source of energy must be developed in order to prevent the energy shortage as well as to meet the future demands.

One of the alternatives for sustainable and renewable energy that can be a promising source due to their abundance on the earth is lignocellulosic materials. The degradation of these materials can lead to the production of biofuels such as ethanol, methane and hydrogen. For the lignocellulosic materials to be degraded into sugars before transforming into biofuels, presence of respective enzymes are needed and microorganisms can be the resources for these enzymes. In natural environments, microorganisms can effectively degrade lignocellulose materials and the degraded compounds could be used as their carbon and energy source for growth. Hence, these microorganisms could be the potential sources of biocatalysts for plant biomass into biofuel.

Most of the researches concentrated on fungi as the lignocellulose degrader. However recently, the role of bacteria as the lignocelluloses degrader begin to receive more attention as they are more cost-efficient because bacteria can grow more rapidly, produce multi-enzyme complexes with increased functionality and higher specificity (Maki *et al.*, 2009).

So far, the microbial degradation of lignocelluloses biomass from mangrove is not well characterized as it is from other sources such as agroindustrial biomass. Hence, this study was conducted using the sample from mangrove area to identify the roles and abilities of the microorganisms from mangrove area in the degradation of lignocellulose materials.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

- 1) To isolate and screen of the lignocellulolytic bacteria from mangrove roots
- 2) To identify the bacteria using 16S rRNA gene analysis
- To characterize the bacteria from the aspects of physiology and its cellulolytic enzyme activity

1.4 Significance of Study

As the supply for the fossil-derived fuels has become limited, the development of studies involving the degradation of lignocellulolytic materials as a

source for energy production is significantly increase because this approach can provide renewable and sustainable resources. The degradation of lignocelluloses must be aided with presence of effective enzymes, which can naturally be produced by microorganisms. Nigam (2013) stated that bacteria is one of the good sources of enzyme, the one with the ability to degrade lignocellulosic materials can become interesting research area. Lignocellulolytic bacteria from mangrove roots can potentially be one of the microbial sources that can be used to degrade lignocellulosic biomass, which then could be converted to produce valuable end product such as biofuel. The isolation of lignocellulolytic bacteria from mangrove roots is a potential source for the discovery of novel lignocellulolytic bacteria as the environment provides unique conditions for the microbial growth.

REFERENCES

- Amon, T., Amon, B., Kryvoruchko, V., Machmuller A., Hopfner-Sixt, K., Bodiroza, V., Hrbek R., Friedel, J., Potsch, E., Wagentristl, H.,Schreiner, M., Zollitsch, W. (2007). Methane production throughanaerobic digestion of various energy crops grown in sustainable crop rotations. *Bioresource Technology*. 98(3), 204–3212.
- Anbu, P., Hur, B. K., Gyun Lee, C. Isolation and characterization of a novel oxidantand surfactant stable extracellular alkaline protease from *Exiguobacterium profundum* BK-P23. *Biotechnology and Applied Biochemistry*. 60(2), 155-161.
- Antoni, D., Zverlov, V.V., Schwarz, W.H. (2007). Biofuels from microbes. Applied Microbiology Biotechnology. 77, 23–35.
- Archibald, F. S. (1992). A new assay for lignin-type peroxidases employing the dye azure B. Applied and Environmental Microbiology. 58(9). 3110-3116.
- Asgher, M., Bhatti, H.N., Ashraf, M. (2008).Recent developments in biodegradation of industrial pollutants by white rot fungi and their enzyme system.*Biodegradation*. 19, 771.
- Baêta, B. E. L., Lima, D. R. S., Filho, J. G. B., Adarme, O. F. H., Gurgel, L. V. A., and deAquino, S. F. (2016). Evaluation of hydrogen and methane production from sugarcane bagasse hemicelluloses hydrolysates by two-stage anaerobic digestion process. *Bioresource Technology*. 218, 436-446.
- Baucher, M., Halpin, C., Petit-Conil M., Boerjan W. (2003). Lignin: Genetic engineering and impact on pulping. *Critical Review Biochem Molecular Biology*. 38, 305–350.
- Beg, Q. K., Kapoor, M., Mahajan, L., Hoondal, G. S. (2001). Microbial xylanases and their industrial applications, A review. *Applied. Microbiology Biotechnology*. 56, 326–338.
- Behera, B. C., Sethi, B. K., Mishra, R. R., Dutta, S. K., and Thatoi, H. N. (2017).Microbial cellulases : Diversity & biotechnology with reference to mangrove

environment: A review. *Journal of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology*. *15*(1), 197-210.

- Benner, R., Newell, S. Y., Maccubbin, A. E., and Hondson, R. E. (1984). Relative contributions of bacteria and fungi to rates of degradation of lignocellulosic detritus in salt;marsh sediments. *Applied Environmental Microbiology*. 48, 36-40.
- Bhalla, A., Bansal, N., Kumar, S., Bischoff, K. M., and Sani, R. K. (2013). Improved lignocellulose conversion to biofuels with thermophilic bacteria and thermostable enzymes. *Bioresource Technology*. 28, 751-759.
- Cavaco-Paulo, A. (1998). Mechanism of cellulase action in textile processes. *Carbohydrate Polymers*. 37(3), 273-277.
- Chatha, S. A. S., Hussain, A. I., Bajwa, J. R., Sherazi, S.T.H and Shaukat, A. (2011). Wheat bran extracts: a potent source of natural antioxidants for the stabilization of canola oil, *Grasas y Aceites*.62(2).
- Chong, V. C. (2006). Sustainable utilization and management of Mangrove ecosystems of Malaysia. *Aquatic Ecosystem Health & Management*.9(2), 249-260.
- Chen, S., Hong, Y., Shao, Z., and Liu, Z. (2010). A cold-active β-glucosidase (Bgl1C) from a sea bacteria Exiguobacterium oxidotolerans A011. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology. 26(8), 1427-1435.
- Collins, T., gerday, C., and Feller, G. (2005). Xylanases, xylanase families and extremophilic xylanases. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*. 29, 3-23.
- Crapart, S., Fardeau, M. L., Cayol, J. L., Thomas, P., Sery, C., Ollivier, B., & Combet-Blanc, Y. (2007). *Exiguobacterium profundum* sp. nov., a moderately thermophilic, lactic acid-producing bacterium isolated from a deep-sea hydrothermal vent. *International Journal System Evolutionary Microbiology*. 57(2), 287-292.
- Demirbas, A. (2009). Biofuels securing the planets's future energy needs. *EnergyConversion and Management*. 50, 2239-2249.
- Deru, M., Field, K., Studer, D., Benne,K., and Griffith, B. (2011). U.S. Department of Energy commercial reference building models of the national building stock. 1-118.
- DeSantis, T. Z., Hugenholtz, P., larsen, N., Rojas, M.m brodie, E. L., Keller, K., Huber, T., Dalevi, D., Hu, P., and Andersen, G. L. 92006). Greengenes, a

chimera-checked 16S rRNA gene database and workbench compatible with ARB. *Applied Environmental Microbiology*. 72(7), 5069-5072.

- Dhiman,S.S., Sharma, J., Battan, B. (2009). Industrial applications and future prospects of microbial xylanase: a review. *Bioresource Technology*. 100, 4736-4741.
- Duke, N. C. (1992). *Mangrove floristics and biogeography* (41). Washington, D.C.:American Geophysical Union.
- El- Morsy, E. M. (2000). Fungi isolated from the endorhizosphere of halophytic plants from the Red Sea Coast of Egypt. *Fungal Diversity*. 5, 43-54.
- Faraco, V., and Hadar, Y. (2011). The potential of lignocellulosic etahnol production in the Mediterranean Basin. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 15(1), 252-266.
- Felsenstein, J.(1985). Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using bootstrap. *Evolution*. 783-791.
- Frühling, A. (2002). Exiguobacterium undae sp. nov. and Exiguobacterium antarcticum sp. nov. International Journal of Systematic Evolutionary Microbiology. 52(4), 1171-1176.
- Fukuchi S., Yoshimune K., Wakayama M., Moriguchi M., Nishikawa K. (2003). Unique amino acid composition of proteins in halophilic bacteria. *Journal of Molecular Biology*. 327, 347–357.
- Gao, Z. M., Ruan, L. W., Chen, X. L., Zhang, Y. Z., and Xu, X. (2010). A novel salttolerant endo-beta-1,4-glucanase Cel5A in *Vibrio* sp. G21 isolated from mangrove soil. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnolohys*. 87, 1373-1382.
- Ghose, T. (1988). Measurement of Cellulase Activities. *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, 59(2), 257-268.
- Girio, F. M., Fonseca, C., Carvalheiro, F., Duarte, F.C, Marques, S., Bogel-Lukasik, R. (2010). Hemicelluloses for fuel ethanol: a review. *Bioresource Technology*. 101(13), 4775-4800.
- Godliving, Y. S. M. (2012). Lignocellulolytic enzymes from tropical fungi: Types, substrates and applications. *Scientific Research and Essays*, 7(15).
- Gomez del-Pulgar E. M. and Saadeddin A. (2014). The cellulolytic system of Thermobifida fusca. *Critical Reviews in Microbiology*. 40(3), 236–247.

- Gulve, R. M., deshmukh, A. M. (2011). Enzymatic activity of actinomycetes isolated from marine sediments. Recent Research in Science and Technology. 3(5), 80-83.
- Gupta, P., Samant, K., & Sahu, A. (2012). Isolation of cellulose-degrading bacteria and determination of their cellulolytic potential. *International Journal of Microbiology*, 1-5.
- Hall, T. A. (1999). BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 5/98/NT. *In*
- Harada, J., Takaku, S., and Watanabe, K (2012). An on-demand metalloprotease frompsychro-tolerant exiguobacterium undae Su-1, the activity and stability of which are controlled by Ca²⁺ concentration. *Bioscience, Biotechnology and Biochemistry*. 76(5), 986-992.
- Harmsen, P.F. H., Huijgen, W., Bermudez, L.,and Bakker, R. (2010). Literature review of physical and chemical pretreatment processes for lignocellulosic biomass. *Food & Biobased Research*.
- Huang, S., Deng, G., Yang, Y., Wu, Z., and Wu, L. (2015). Optimization of Endoglucanase Production from a Novel Bacterial Isolate, *Arthrobacter* sp. HPG166 and Characterization of Its Properties.*Brazilian Archives of Biology* and Technology,58(5), 692-701.
- Horikoshi, K. (1999) Alkaliphiles: Some Applications of Their Products for Biotechnology. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol.Rev.* 63(4), 735-750.
- Howard, R.L., Abotsi, E., Jansen van Rensburg, E. L., and Howard, S(2003) Lignocellulose biotechnology: issues of bioconversionand enzyme production *African. Journal of Biotechnology*. 2, 602–619.
- Juhász, T., Szengyel, Z., Rećxey, K., Siika-Aho, M., Viikari, L. (2005). Characterization of cellulases and hemicellulases produced by Trichoderma reesei on various carbon source. *Process Biochemistry*. 40, 3519-3525.
- Juturu, V., and Wu, J. C. (2012). Insight into microbial hemicellulases other than xylanases: a review. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*. 88(3), 353-363.
- Kasana , R. C., and Pandey, C. B. (2016). Exiguobacterium: an overview of a versatile genus with potential in industry and agriculture. Critical Reviews in Biotechnology. 38(1), 141-156.

- Kathiresan, K. and. Bingham, B. L., (2001) Biology of Mangroves and Mangrove Ecosystem. *Advances in Marine Biology*, 40, 81-251.
- Klemm, D. Heublein, B., Fink, H.P., Boh, A. (2005). Cellulose: fascinating biopolymer and sustainable raw material. *Angewandte Chemie International edition*. 44 (22), 3358-3393
- Kuhad, R. C., Gupta, R., and Singh, A. (2011). Microbial cellulases and their industrial applications. *Enzyme Research*. 280-696.
- Lankau, R. A., and Strauss, S. Y. (2007). Mutual feedbacks maintain both genetic and species diversity in a plant community. *Science*, 317(5844), 1561-1563.
- Levin, D. B., Zhu, H., Beland, M., Cicek, N., Holbein, B. E. (2007). Potentialfor hydrogen and methane production from biomass residuesin Canada. *Bioresource Technologies*. 98, 654–660.
- Liu, X., Huang, Z., Zhang, X., Shao, Z., and Liu, Z. (2014). Cloning, expression and characterization f a novel cold-active, and halophilic xylanase from *Zunongwangia profunda*.*Extremophiles*. 18, 441–450.
- Madadi, M., Kareem, A. (2017). Lignin degradation by fungal pretreatment: a review. *Journal of Plant Pathology and Microbiology*. 8, 1-6.
- Margesin, R., and Schinner, F. (2001). Potential of halotolerant and halophilic microorganisms for biotechnology. *Extremophiles*. 5(2), 73-83.
- Maki, M., Leung, K. T., and Qin, W. (2009). The prospects of cellulase-producing bacteria for the bioconversion of lignocellulosic biomass. *International Journal* of Biological Sciences, 5(5), 500-516.
- Mamo, G., Thunnissen, M., Hatti-Kaul, R., Mattiasson, B. (2009). An alkaline active xylanase: Insights into mechanisms of high pH catalytic adaptation. *Biochimie* 91, 1187-96.
- Manikandan, K., Bhardwaj, A., Gupta, N., Lokanath, N. K., Ghosh, A., and Reddy, V. S. (2006). Crystal structures of native and xylosaccharide-bound alkali thermostable xylanase from an alkalophilic *Bacillus* sp. NG-27: structural insights into alkalophilicity and implications for adaptation to polyextreme conditions.*Protein Science*. 15, 1951–1960.
- Marie, G. L., Sridhar, K. R., Raviraja, N. S. (2005). Antimicrobial and enzyme activity of mangrove endophytic fungi of southwest coast of India. *Journal of Agricultural Technology*. 67-80.

- Mohapatra, B. R. (2017). Kinetic and thermodynamic properties of alginate lyase and cellulase co-produced by *Exiguobacterium* species Alg-S5. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*.98, 103-110.
- Miller, G. L. (1959). Use of Dinitrosalicylic Acid Reagent For Determination Of Reducing Sugar. Analytical Chemistry, 31(3), 426-428.
- Nigam, P., Singh, D. (1995) Processes for fermentative production f xylitol—a sugar substitute: a review. *Process Biochemistry*.30, 117–124.
- Nigam, P. S. (2013). Microbial enzymes with special characteristics for biotechnlogical applications. *Biomolecules*. 3(3), 597-611.
- Nishiyama, Y., Paul, L., Henri, C. (2002). Crystal structure and hydrogen-bonding system in cellulose Iβ from synchrotron X-ray and neutron fiber diffraction. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 124(31), 9074-9082.
- Panwar, D., Srivastava, P.K., and Kapoor, M. (2014). Production, extraction and Characterization alkaline xylanase from *Bacillus* sp. PKD-9 with potential for poultry feed. *Biocatalysis and. Agricultural Biotechnology*. 3, 118–125.
- Pointing, S.B., Vrijmoed, L.L.P. and Jones, E.B.G. (1999b). Laccase is produced as the solelignin modifying enzyme in submerged liquid culture by the white-rot fungus *Pycnoporussanguineus* L. *Mycologia*. 91
- Potters, G., VanGoethem, D., and Schutte, F. (2010). Promising biofuel resource: lignocellulose and algae. *Nature Education*. 3(9), 14.
- Prade, R. A. (1996). Xylanases: from biology to biotechnology. *Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering Reviews*. 13, 101-131.
- Rahman, S. H., Choudhury, J.P., Ahmad, A. L., and Kamaruddin, A. H. (2007).Optimization studies on acid hydrolysis of oil palm empty fruitbunch fiber for production of xylose. *Bioresource Technology*. 98, 554–559.
- Raichand, R., Pareek, S., Singh, N. K., and Mayilraj, S. (2012). Exiguobacterium aquaticum sp. nov., a member of the genus Exiguobacterium. *International Journal of Systematic Evolutionary Microbiology*, 62(9), 2150-2155.
- Ramanathan, A. L., Singh, G., Majumdar, J., Samal, A. C., Chauhan, R., Ranjan, R. K., Rajkumar, K., Santra, S. C. (2008). A study of microbial diversity and its interaction with nutrients in sediments of Sundarban mangroves. *International Journal of Geo- Marine Sciences*. 37(2), 159-165.

- Roberto, I.C., Mussatto, S.I., Rodrigues, R. C. L. B. (2003). Dilute-acidhydrolysis for optimization of xylose recovery from rice straw ina semi-pilot reactor. *Industrial Crops and Products*. 17,171–176.
- Sadhu, S., and Maiti, T. K. (2013). Cellulase Production by Bacteria : A Review. British Microbiology Research Journal, 3(3), 235-258.
- Saiki, R. K., Gelland, D.H., Stoffel, S., Scharf, S. J., Higuchi, R., Horn, G. T., Mullis, K. B. And Erlich, H. A. (1985). Primer-directed enzymatic amplification of DNA with a thermostable DNA polymerase. *Science*. 239, 487-491.
- Saini, A., Aggarwal, N. K., Sharma, A., and Yadav, A. (2015). Actinomycetes: A Source of Lignocellulolytic Enzymes. *Enzyme Research*. 279-381.
- Saitoui, N.,and Nei, M. (1987). The neighbour-joining method : a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic tree. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*. 4, 406-425.
- Scheller, H. V., andUlvskov, P. (2010).Hemicelluloses.Annual Reviewof Plant Biology.61, 263-289.
- Sharma, S.K., Kalra, K.L., and Kocher, S. (2004) Fermentation of enzymatichydrolysate of sunflower hulls for ethanol production andits scale up. *Biomass Bioenergy*. 27, 399–402.
- Sharma, S., and Horn, S. J. (2016). Enzymatic saccharification of brown seaweed for production offermentable sugars.*Bioresource Technology*. 213, 155–161.
- Sharyo, M., Sakaguchi, H., Ohishi, M., Takahashi, Kida, K., Tamagawa, H., Schulein, M., franks, N. (2002). U.S. Patent No. 468,391. Bagsvaerd DK. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
- Shikata, S., Saeki, K., Okoshi, H., Yoshimatsu, T., Ozaki, K., Kawai,S. and Ito, S. (1990). Alkaline cellulases for laundry detergents: production by alkalophilic strains of bacillus and some properties of the crude enzymes. *Agricultural* and Biological Chemistry. 54(1), 91-96.
- Soetarto,E. S. and Putri, R. N. (2016). Bioethanol fermentation from sugarcane bagasse using ragi tape. *Towards the sustainable use of biodiversity in a changing environment: from basic to applied research:* 18-19 September. American Institute of Physics. 2016. 1-7.
- Soni, H., and Kango, N. (2013). Hemicellulases in Lignocellulose Biotechnology: Recent Patents. *Recent Patents on Biotechnology*, 7(3), 207-218.

- Sorokulova, I., Krumnow, A., Globa, L., &Vodyanoy, V. (2009).Efficient decomposition of shrimp shell waste using Bacillus cereus and Exiguobacteriumacetylicum.*Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 36(8), 1123-1126.
- Sukumaran, R. K., Singhania, R. R., and Pandey, A. (2005).Microbial cellulases-Production, applications and challenges.*Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research.* 64, 832-844.
- Tabao and Monsalud (2010). Characterization and identification of high cellulaseproducing bacterial strains from Philippines mangroves. *Philippine Journal of Systematic Biology*. 4,13-20.
- Tan, K.H., andBasiron, N.M. (2000). Conservation, development and management of mangrove resources in Malaysia: Issues, Challenges and opportunities. *International Symposium on Protection and Management of Coastal Marine Ecosystem*.12-13 December. Bangkok, Thailand: UNEP/EAS EMECS.
- Teather, R. M., and Wood, P. J. (1982). Use of Congo red-polysaccharide interactions in enumeration and characterization of cellulolytic bacteria from bovine rumen. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 43(4), 777-780.
- Thatoi, H., Bikash, C., Behera, B. C., and Mishra, R. R. (2012). Microbial biodiversity in Mangrove soils of Bhitarkanika, Odisha, India. International *Journal of Environmental Biology*. 2(2), 50-58.
- Tumer, P.,Mamo, G., and Karlsson, E. N. (2007). Potential and utilization of thermophiles and thermostable enzymes in biorefining.*Microbial Cell Factories*. 6, 1-23.
- Van Dyk, J. S., and Pletschke, B. I. (2012). *Biotechnology Advances*. 30(6), 1458-1480.
- Vanholme, R., Demedts, B., Morreel, K., ralph, J., Boerjan, W. (2010). Lignin biosynthesis and structure. *Plant Physiology*. 153(3), 895-905.
- Ventosa, A., Joaquin, J.N., and Oren, A. (1998). Biology of moderately halophilic aerobic bacteria. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Review*. 62, 504-544.
- Viikari, L., Kantelinen, A., Sundquist, J., and Linko, M. (1994). Xylanases in bleaching: from an idea to the industry.*FEMS Microbiology Review*.13, 335– 350.
- Vijayalaxmi, S., Anu Appaiah, K. A., Jayalakshmi, S. K., Mulimani, V. H., and Sreeramulu, K. (2013). Production of bioethanol from fermented sugars of

sugarcane bagasse produced by lignocellulolytic enzymes of *Exiguobacterium* sp. VSG-1. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 171(1), 246-260.

- Vishnivetskaya, T. A., Kathariou, S., and Tiedje, J. M. (2009). The Exiguobacterium genus: biodiversity and biogeography. *Extremophiles*, *13*(3), 541-555.
- Walton, N. J., Mayer, M. J., and Narbad, A. (2003). Vanillin. *Phytochemistry*, 63(5), 505-515.
- Wang, C.M., Shyu, C.L., Ho, S. P., and Chiou, S. H. (2008). Characterization of a novel thermophilic, cellulose-degrading bacterium*Paenibacillussp.* strain B39.Letters in Applied Microbiology, 47, 46-53.
- Wilson, D. B. (2009).Cellulases and biofuels.*Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 20(3), 295-299.
- Wong, D. W. S. (2009). Structure and action mechanism of lignolytic enzymes. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*. 157, 174-209.

Wood, T. M., and Bhat, K. M. (1988). Methods for measuring cellulase activities. *Methods in Enzymology*, 160, 87-112.