

CAPACITY DETERMINATION OF INTERMODAL CONTAINER
FRAME IN RESISTING HOUSING LOAD

ONG ZHEN LING

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Structure)

School of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2019

DEDICATION

This project report is dedicated to my father, who taught me that the best kind of knowledge to have is that which is learned for its own sake. It is also dedicated to my mother, who taught me that even the largest task can be accomplished if it is done one step at a time.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In preparing this project report, I was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians, and practitioners. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main project report supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Arizu Bin Sulaiman, for encouragement, guidance, critics and friendship. Without his continued support and interest, this project report would not have been the same as presented here.

My fellow postgraduate student should also be recognised for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space. I am grateful to all my family member.

ABSTRACT

The shipping container has only been around for the last 50 years. The common functional period of a shipping container in its logistic service can last 10 to 12 years. Used shipping container from logistic service are re-trade in aftermarket for other purposes and usually can sustain for another 10 more years of usage. The increasing numbers of shipping containers which have survived from their serving life span in the logistic service will be considered as “junk” and causing pollution issue. The concept of recycling shipping container into residential usage can mitigate the issue of the unaffordable demand for rebuilt houses in short period of time for the homelessness due to natural disaster, like flooding in Malaysia which is currently faced by the country government in terms of supplying temporary houses in the short time and budgeted paid to the contractor. However, availability of published and research information on shipping containers utilized as inhabitable purpose is still difficult to obtain as most available publication have not included the topic of the shipping container structural strength and the corresponding structural behavior of shipping containers with other loading scenarios or modification. The purpose of this study is to investigate the capacity of shipping container frame members in resisting residential load and determine the maximum allowable span length of the frame members if stress exceeds the yield strength or deflection exceeds the allowable limit. LUSAS software is used to model the container frame and run using linear analysis. Only edge frame of shipping container is taken into consideration in order to provide more flexibility for builder or architect to modify the shipping container up to their desired standard whereby the corrugated wall or cross members can be removed. The ultimate load combination and deflection limit used is based on BS5400: 2000. The model is built using simple line element with each section properties determined beforehand using section property calculator of LUSAS and assigned accordingly. The results show that intermediate supports are required and necessary to be added so that the maximum member span length is controlled within 2.1 *m* for top side rails. Additional supports are also required to avoid cantilever structural behaviour within the container.

ABSTRAK

Kewujudan perkapalan kontena hanya sekitar 50 tahun yang lepas. Tempoh fungsional yang biasa untuk perkapalan kontena dalam perkhidmatan logistiknya boleh bertahan 10 hingga 12 tahun. Perkapalan kontena yang telah digunakan dari perkhidmatan logistic akan didagang semula dalam pasaran semula untuk kegunaan lain dan penggunaannya biasa boleh bertahan selama 10 tahun dan lebih. Peningkatan bilangan perkapalan kontena yang telah sampai tempoh jangka hayat mereka dalam perkhidmatan logistic akan dianggap sebagai “sampah” dan menyebabkan pencemaran. Konsep kitar semula perkapalan kontena untuk kegunaan kediaman boleh mengurangkan masalah keperluan rumah dalam jangka masa yang singkat untuk tempat tinggal akibat bencana alam, seperti banjir yang kini dihadapi di Malaysia dari segi membekal rumah sementara dalam masa yang singkat dan bayaran bajet kepada kontraktor. Ketersediaan maklumat yang diterbitkan dan penyelidikan mengenai kontena perkapalan yang digunakan sebagai kediaman masih sukar diperolehi kerana kebanyakan penerbitan yang tersedia tidak termasuk topik kekuatan struktur kontena perkapalan dan tingkah laku struktur dengan scenario pemampatan atau pengubahsuaian lain. Kajian ini dilakukan bertujuan menyiasat kapasiti rangka perkapalan kontena untuk menentang beban kediaman dan menentu maksimum panjang rentang yang dibenarkan sebelum rangka melebihi kekuatan alah atau had pesongan yang dibenarkan. LUSAS software digunakan untuk memodelkan rangka kontena dan proses analisis dijalankan dengan mengguna linear analisis. Hanya rangka kontena diambil kira dalam kajian ini bertujuan memberi fleksibiliti pada pembina dan arkitek untuk mengubah suai kontena sekiranya dinding beralun dan ahli silang perlu dikeluearkan dari kontena untuk memenuhi aspek estetik. Kombinasi beban muktamad dan had pesongan dari BS5400: 2000 digunakan dalam kajian ini. Unsur garis mudah digunakan untuk pemodelan rangka kontena. Seksyen sifat bahan untuk setiap ahli rangka ditentukan dengan menggunakan fungsi “Section Property Calculator” dalam LUSAS dan ditetapkan pada ahi rangka yang berkenaan. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa sokongan perantara diperlukan dan perlu ditambah supaya Panjang span anggota maksimum dikawal dalam jarak 2.1 *m* untuk landasan sisi atas.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xix
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxi
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Problem Background	3
	1.3 Problem Statement	4
	1.4 Research Goal	4
	1.4.1 Research Objectives	4
	1.5 Research Scope	5
	1.6 Organization of the Thesis	6
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
	2.1 Previous Related Researches	7
	2.2 Recycled Shipping Container turned As Home	8
	2.3 Structural Components of Shipping Containers	9
	2.4 Shipping Container foundations and connections	11
	2.4.1 Foundations	11
	2.4.2 Connections	12

2.5	Shipping container model information	13
2.6	Model Configuration	14
2.6.1	3-D Straight Beam (BTS3)	14
2.6.1.1	Formulation	15
2.6.1.2	Evaluation of stresses/ forces	15
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	17
3.1	Defining Section Properties for the Shipping Container Member Frames	17
3.2	Modelling of Shipping Container model in LUSAS	18
3.2.1	Assumptions made for the model	19
3.2.2	Load cases for single container	20
3.2.3	Load cases for cantilever container	22
3.2.4	Load Combination in accordance to BS5400: 2000	23
3.3	Checking of frame members capacity against allowable limit	24
3.4	Determination of maximum span length of member frame	25
3.4.1	Calculations for Determining Maximum Allowable Span	26
3.4.1.1	Bottom End Rail (Bending Capacity 6.55 kNm)	26
3.4.1.2	Bottom Side Rail (Bending Capacity 6.32 kNm)	30
3.4.1.3	Door Header (Bending Capacity 20.8 kNm)	35
3.4.1.4	Top End Rail (Bending Capacity 4.47 kNm)	38
3.4.1.5	Top Side Rail (Bending Capacity 3.4 kNm)	41
CHAPTER 4	ANALYTICAL & MODELLING USING LUSAS	47
4.1	Container Frame Modelling with Intermediate Support	47
4.1.1	Creation of Model File	47
4.1.2	Geometry Modelling and Meshing	48

4.1.3	Element Type	57
4.1.4	Material Properties	71
4.1.5	Boundary Condition	72
4.1.6	Assigning Loading and Solving Model	73
4.1.7	Results	85
CHAPTER 5	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	87
5.1	Section Properties determined using Section Property Calculator in LUSAS	87
5.2	Bending Moment and Deflection for shipping container frame members supporting residential load without additional support	92
5.3	Bending Capacity for each members and allowable span length	94
5.4	Suggested span length of $1.95\text{ m} - 2.1\text{ m} - 1.95\text{ m}$ with 2 intermediate supports added	99
5.5	Bending Moment and Deflection for shipping container with cantilever frame members supporting residential load	101
CHAPTER 6	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	103
6.1	Conclusions and Recommendations for Future Works	103
REFERENCES		105

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 1.1	Container Information (TEUS)	2
Table 3.1	Load assumption	20
Table 3.2	Material Properties Input in PROKON	26
Table 3.3	Arbitrary section input for the element in PROKON	27
Table 3.4	Element Length	27
Table 3.5	Boundary condition for the elements at both end	27
Table 3.6	Loadings assigned to the element	27
Table 3.7	Resultant Bending Moment	29
Table 3.8	Resultant Short-term Deflection	29
Table 3.9	Resultant Long-term Deflection	30
Table 3.10	Material Properties Input in PROKON	30
Table 3.11	Arbitrary section input for the element in PROKON	30
Table 3.12	Element Length	31
Table 3.13	Boundary condition for the elements at both end	31
Table 3.14	Loadings assigned to the element	31
Table 3.15	Resultant Bending Moment	32
Table 3.16	Resultant Short-term Deflection	33
Table 3.17	Resultant Long-term Deflection	34
Table 3.18	Material Properties Input in PROKON	35
Table 3.19	Arbitrary section input for the element in PROKON	35
Table 3.20	Element Length	35
Table 3.21	Boundary condition for the elements at both end	36
Table 3.22	Loadings assigned to the element	36
Table 3.23	Resultant Bending Moment	37
Table 3.24	Resultant Short-term Deflection	37

Table 3.25	Resultant Long-term Deflection	38
Table 3.26	Material Properties Input in PROKON	38
Table 3.27	Arbitrary section input for the element in PROKON	38
Table 3.28	Element Length	39
Table 3.29	Boundary condition for the elements at both end	39
Table 3.30	Loadings assigned to the element	39
Table 3.31	Resultant Bending Moment	40
Table 3.32	Resultant Short-term Deflection	40
Table 3.33	Resultant Long-term Deflection	41
Table 3.34	Material Properties Input in PROKON	41
Table 3.35	Arbitrary section input for the element in PROKON	42
Table 3.36	Element Length	42
Table 3.37	Boundary condition for the elements at both end	42
Table 3.38	Loadings assigned to the element	42
Table 3.39	Resultant Bending Moment	43
Table 3.40	Resultant Short-term Deflection	44
Table 3.41	Resultant Long-term Deflection	45
Table 5.1	Section Properties for each frame member	87
Table 5.2	Moment Capacity and allowable span length for Bottom End Rail	95
Table 5.3	Moment Capacity and allowable span length for Bottom Side Rail	95
Table 5.4	Moment Capacity and allowable span length for Door Header	96
Table 5.5	Moment Capacity and allowable span length for Door Sill	96
Table 5.6	Moment Capacity and allowable span length for Front Corner Post	97
Table 5.7	Moment Capacity and allowable span length for Rear Corner Post	97
Table 5.8	Moment Capacity and allowable span length for Top End Rail	98

Table 5.9	Moment Capacity and allowable span length for Top Side Rail	98
Table 5.10	Capacity check for maximum span length of 2.1 <i>m</i>	100
Table 5.11	Deflection limit check for maximum span length of 2.1 <i>m</i>	100

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 1.1	Modified container home at Amsterdam	3
Figure 2.1	Structural tests for a 6.06 m ISO shipping container (ISO 1496-1:1990)	8
Figure 2.2	20' ISO shipping container accomodation	8
Figure 2.3	Structural components of shipping containers	9
Figure 2.4	(a) Concrete block foundation, and (b) modified container on block foundation	12
Figure 2.5	(a) Welded shipping container to base plate and (b) uncommon connection between shipping containers	13
Figure 2.6	Nodal Freedoms For BTS3 Element	16
Figure 2.7	Local Cartesian System For BTS3 Element	16
Figure 3.1	Procedure for determining section properties for the shipping container member frames	17
Figure 3.2	Procedure for modelling shipping container in LUSAS software	18
Figure 3.3	Load cases assigned to each shipping container frame member	20
Figure 3.4	Load cases assigned to each shipping container frame member for cantilever case	22
Figure 3.5	Procedure of checking frame members capacity against allowable limit	24
Figure 3.6	Procedure for determination of maximum allowable span length of frame member	25
Figure 3.7	Short-term deflecion	28
Figure 3.8	Long-term deflection	28
Figure 3.9	Resultant Shear Force Diagram	28
Figure 3.10	Resultant Bending Moment Diagram	28
Figure 3.11	Short-term deflecion	31
Figure 3.12	Long-term deflection	31

Figure 3.13	Resultant Shear Force Diagram	32
Figure 3.14	Resultant Bending Moment Diagram	32
Figure 3.15	Short-term deflection	36
Figure 3.16	Long-term deflection	36
Figure 3.17	Resultant Shear Force Diagram	36
Figure 3.18	Resultant Bending Moment Diagram	37
Figure 3.19	Short-term deflection	39
Figure 3.20	Long-term deflection	39
Figure 3.21	Resultant Shear Force Diagram	40
Figure 3.22	Resultant Bending Moment Diagram	40
Figure 3.23	Short-term deflection	42
Figure 3.24	Long-term deflection	43
Figure 3.25	Resultant Shear Force Diagram	43
Figure 3.26	Resultant Bending Moment Diagram	43
Figure 4.1	Interface for Creating New Model	47
Figure 4.2	Input Filename and Unit Selection	48
Figure 4.3	Interface for Creating Node using Coordinates	49
Figure 4.4	Input of First Node Coordinate for Base of Container	49
Figure 4.5	Prompting Interface for Copying Node for Base of Container	50
Figure 4.6	Copy and Creation of Second Node Coordinate for Base of Container	50
Figure 4.7	Copy and Creation of Third Node Coordinate for Base of Container	51
Figure 4.8	Copy and Creation of Fourth Node Coordinate for Base of Container	51
Figure 4.9	Copy and Creation of Nodes for Top of Container	52
Figure 4.10	Selection of 2 Nodes for Line Creation	52
Figure 4.11	Creation of Line	53
Figure 4.12	Created Lines for Container Frame	53
Figure 4.13	Dividing Line by Creating More Intermediate Nodes	54

Figure 4.14	Specifying Number of Division for Each Line	54
Figure 4.15	Initial Division of Line Model	55
Figure 4.16	Selection of Target Lines for Finer Mesh	55
Figure 4.17	Creating Finer Mesh for Intended Lines	56
Figure 4.18	Creation of Intermediate Supports using the created nodes	56
Figure 4.19	Prompting Mesh Interface	57
Figure 4.20	Creation of Thick Beam element to be used in the model	58
Figure 4.21	Selecting all intended lines to be assigned as thick beam element	58
Figure 4.22	Interface after done assigning beam element	59
Figure 4.23	Prompting section properties creation interface	59
Figure 4.24	Interface for creating section properties	60
Figure 4.25	Input for Bottom End Rail	60
Figure 4.26	Select line to be assigned as Bottom End Rail	61
Figure 4.27	Input for Bottom Side Rail (Left)	61
Figure 4.28	Select line to be assigned as Bottom Side Rail (Left)	62
Figure 4.29	Input for Bottom Side Rail (Right)	62
Figure 4.30	Select line to be assigned as Bottom Side Rail (Right)	63
Figure 4.31	Input for Door Header	63
Figure 4.32	Select line to be assigned as Door Header	64
Figure 4.33	Input for Door Sill	64
Figure 4.34	Select line to be assigned as Door Sill	65
Figure 4.35	Input for Front Corner Post	65
Figure 4.36	Select line to be assigned as Front Corner Post	66
Figure 4.37	Input for Rear Corner Post	66
Figure 4.38	Select line to be assigned as Rear Corner Post	67
Figure 4.39	Input for Top End Rail	67
Figure 4.40	Select line to be assigned as Top End Rail	68
Figure 4.41	Input for Top Side Rail	68
Figure 4.42	Select line to be assigned as Top Side Rail	69

Figure 4.43	Prompting section library interface	69
Figure 4.44	Creating Section Properties for Intermediate Support	70
Figure 4.45	Select line to be assigned as Intermediate Supports	70
Figure 4.46	Prompting interface for material creation	71
Figure 4.47	Creation of Material Properties	71
Figure 4.48	Assign Material Properties to Selected Line Element	72
Figure 4.49	Prompting interface for creating support	72
Figure 4.50	Creating fixed support	73
Figure 4.51	Assigning fixed support to the highlighted nodes	73
Figure 4.52	Prompting loading creation interface	74
Figure 4.53	Creating self-weight load	74
Figure 4.54	Input for self-weight load	75
Figure 4.55	Selection of line elements to be assigned self-weight	75
Figure 4.56	Creation of first load case (Self-weight) by assigning self-weight	76
Figure 4.57	Interface showing assigned loading for self-weight	76
Figure 4.58	Creating loading SDL (W1)	77
Figure 4.59	Input for SDL (W1)	77
Figure 4.60	Creation of second load case (SDL – W1)	78
Figure 4.61	Interface showing assigned loading for SDL (W1)	78
Figure 4.62	Creating loading LL (W1)	79
Figure 4.63	Input for LL (W1)	79
Figure 4.64	Creation of third load case (LL – W1)	80
Figure 4.65	Interface showing assigned loading for LL (W1)	80
Figure 4.66	Input for SDL (W2)	81
Figure 4.67	Create the respective loading for LL(W2), Glass, Cross members	81
Figure 4.68	Select intended line elements to assign for SDL(W1)	82
Figure 4.69	Creation of fourth load case (SDL – W2)	82
Figure 4.70	Creation of fifth load case (LL – W2)	83

Figure 4.71	Select intended line elements for assigning glass and cross member load	83
Figure 4.72	Select solve analysis	84
Figure 4.73	Interface showing analysis in progress	84
Figure 4.74	Prompting interface for load combination creation	85
Figure 4.75	Creation of load combination	85
Figure 4.76	Prompting desired load combination for results viewing	86
Figure 4.77	Selection of types of results to be viewed	86
Figure 5.1	Bending moment of Shipping container frame under Ultimate residential load [$1.4(DL+SDL) + 1.6(LL)$]	93
Figure 5.2	Deflection of Shipping container frame under Serviceability load [$1.0(SDL) + 1.0(LL)$]	93
Figure 5.3	Suggested maximum intermediate span length of 2.1 <i>m</i>	99
Figure 5.4	Bending moment for cantilever frame member	101
Figure 5.5	Deflection at cantilever edge	102

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

TEUS	-	Twenty-foot equivalent unit
ISO	-	International Organization for Standardization
CSC	-	Convention for Safe Containers
BTS3	-	Thick 3D Straight Beam

LIST OF SYMBOLS

U	-	Nodal degrees of freedom in X direction
V	-	Nodal degrees of freedom in Y direction
W	-	Nodal degrees of freedom in Z direction
θ_x	-	Rotation about X axis
θ_y	-	Rotation about Y axis
θ_z	-	Rotation about Z axis
F_x	-	Forces in local X direction
F_y	-	Forces in local Y direction
F_z	-	Forces in local Z direction
M_x	-	Moment about local X axis
M_y	-	Moment about local Y axis
M_z	-	Moment about local Z axis
l	-	Length
E	-	Young's modulus
ν	-	Poisson's ratio
f_y	-	Yield strength
DL	-	Dead load
SDL	-	Superimposed dead load
LL	-	Live load
M	-	Bending moment
σ	-	Stress
y	-	Vertical distance away from neutral axis
I	-	Moment of inertia around the neutral axis
δ	-	Deflection
M_c	-	Moment capacity
A	-	Area
I_{xx}	-	Moment of inertia about X-X axis
I_{yy}	-	Moment of inertia about Y-Y axis
I_{xy}	-	Moment of inertia about X-Y axis

J	-	Torsional constant
A_{sy}	-	Shear area in Y direction
A_{sx}	-	Shear area in X direction
UDL	-	Uniform distributed load
ρ	-	Density

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Shop Drawings for Steel Dry Cargo Container by CTX CONTAINEX	107

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

The shipping container has only been around for the last 50 years. Malcolm Mclean from USA invented and patented the first standard shipping container in 1956. The idea of creating a shipping container came after 20 year of observation on the inadequacy of the dock loaders unloading freight from trucks and transferring it to ships. The US Government pushed-forward and urged ISO and IMO for the standardization in 1967 for the US military usage in terms of transportation and housing overseas. In 1972, the ISO Container is standardized and certified. The global transportation industry has since responded rapidly to this invention of shipping container and the world entrepreneurs also began to find more uses for this “super box”, and not just for shipping.

Referring to Table 1.1, it can be seen that the total amount of shipping container at Port Klang alone comprising of import, export and transshipment sum up to a total of 11,978,466 units in 2017 alone.

Table 1.1 Container Information (TEUS)
 (www.pka.gov.my/index.php/component/content/article/127-port-klang-statistics.html (09-12-2018) - information last updated on 06-09-2018.)

YEAR	IMPORT	EXPORT	TRANSHIPMENT	TOTAL
2005	1,342,901	1,276,661	2,923,965	5,543,527
2006	1,403,946	1,367,625	3,554,724	6,326,295
2007	1,527,893	1,474,193	4,116,628	7,118,714
2008	1,629,977	1,598,544	4,745,058	7,973,579
2009	1,515,743	1,478,354	4,315,682	7,309,779
2010	1,716,304	1,718,845	5,436,596	8,871,745
2011	1,794,508	1,720,542	6,088,876	9,603,926
2012	1,872,867	1,821,995	6,306,633	10,001,495
2013	1,907,497	1,860,613	6,582,299	10,350,409
2014	1,962,431	1,942,773	7,040,600	10,945,804
2015	1,992,460	1,962,237	7,931,988	11,886,685
2016	2,063,736	2,038,527	9,067,314	13,169,577
2017	2,176,055	2,161,053	7,642,358	11,978,466

1.2 Problem Background

According to (Container Technology Inc.), the common functional period of a shipping container in its logistic service can last 10 to 12 years. Used shipping container from logistic service are re-trade in aftermarket for other purposes and usually can sustain for another 10 more years of usage. A “One Trip” container not utilized in shipping service is loaded one time only with cargo and then re-trade in the aftermarket for other purposes and usually can sustain for another 25 more years of usage. As shown in Table 1.1, the amount of containers in Port Klang alone is huge and keep increasing each year. The increasing numbers of shipping containers which have survived from their serving life span in the logistic service will be considered as “junk” and causing pollution issue (Josefina S.d.A). Despite not knowing the originated concept of utilizing the shipping container as residential purposes, recycling of these outlived shipping containers into residential or building usage seems to be one of the solution to the issue mentioned above. This concept can mitigate the issue of the unaffordable demand for rebuilt houses in short period of time for the homelessness due to natural disaster, like flooding in Malaysia which is currently faced by the country government in terms of supplying temporary houses in the short time and budgeted paid to the contractor. Converting shipping container to houses can be done provided that the heat insulation and sufficient air ventilation in internal and preventive corrosion at external of the shipping container is done. Figure 1.1 as below shows an affordable and simple modified container home at Amsterdam.



Figure 1.1 Modified container home at Amsterdam

1.3 Problem Statement

Despite numerous examples could be noticed around the world of adopting shipping container as individual inhabitable unit and as simple 2 to 4 stories building complex with simple layouts, availability of published and research information on shipping containers utilized as inhabitable purpose is still difficult to obtain as most available publication have not included the topic of the shipping container structural strength and the corresponding structural behavior of shipping containers with other loading scenarios or modification. Therefore, in this study, the capacity of the shipping container frame will be studied. LUSAS software is used to run the model using linear analysis to determine the resultant force and deflection for each element in the frame. The results are then checked against the allowable limit. The maximum limit of the span length of the elements where supports need to be added is also determined where corrugated wall is not considered part of the supporting system. This would provide a good data for builders or architect when huge modification such as total disposal of all sides of corrugated wall is required by replacing with other component such as glass or other material for aesthetic purposes.

1.4 Research Goal

The purpose of this study is to investigate the capacity of shipping container frame members in resisting residential load and also the maximum allowable span length of the frame members if stress exceeds the yield strength or deflection exceeds the allowable limit.

1.4.1 Research Objectives

The objectives of the research are:

- (a) To determine the section properties of shipping container frame members.

- (b) To determine the maximum capacity length of shipping container frame members in resisting residential loading.
- (c) To determine the behaviour and capacity of the shipping container frame members with cantilever portion under residential loading.

1.5 Research Scope

In this research, container of size 20' x 8' x 8'6" is used. The shop drawings attached in technical specification for Steel Dry Cargo Container by CTX CONTAINEX is used where the section of the container frame members are modelled in LUSAS software and analyzed using section property calculator to obtain the section properties.

The model is analyzed using LUSAS software. This study aims for simplicity in terms of determining the capacity of the container frame members. Thus, linear analysis is used and the model is built using line elements only where the section properties are determined using Section Property Calculator of LUSAS and are assigned to the corresponding line element. The material properties assigned for the member frame will be following the same material properties used by Kevin G. (2012) which will be mentioned in Section 2.5. As the modification of shipping container is intended for housing purpose, residential loads is applied.

The capacity of the frame member is determined by referring to the stress output and deflection results. The member is considered fail when the stress exceeds the yield strength of the corresponding member frame or when the deflection exceeds the allowable limit as stipulated in British Standard. Once a member exceeds the capacity, support will be added to reduce the span length and the maximum allowable span length is determined.

1.6 Organization of the Thesis

The following chapters include Chapter 2 literature review which discuss on researches done by other researcher, example of recycled shipping container turned as home, structural component of shipping containers, types of foundation and connection for shipping containers, modelling information used by other researchers and model configuration in LUSAS. Chapter 3 methodology for determining the capacity of shipping container frame members and also assumptions being made in modelling, Chapter 4 results and Chapter 5 which is discussion and conclusion.

REFERENCES

- CSC. International convention for safe containers. 4th ed. London: International Maritime Organization; (1996).
- Coduto D.P. (2001) "Foundation design-principles and practices", end ed. New Jersey; Prentice Hall.
- Enitan Oloto, Anthony K. Adebayo "BUILDING WITH SHIPPING CONTAINERS: A SUSTAINABLE APPROACH TO SOLVING HOUSING SHORTAGE IN LAGOS METROPOLIS", Department of Architecture, University of Lagos, Akoka, Nigeria.
- Hermann N., Gehle J. (2007) "249th Engineers Company operations building", Speech presented at U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.
- ISO/TC 104. ISO 1496-1 : 1990 Series 1 freight containers-specification and testing – Part 1: General cargo containers for general purposes. Geneva (Switzerland): International Organization for Standardization; (1990).
- ISO/TC 104. ISO 3874: 1997 series 1 freight containers-handling and securing. Geneva (Switzerland): International Organization for Standardization; (1997).
- Josefina Santos de Asis "POTENTIAL OF CONTAINER HOUSING IN THE PHILIPPINES", Research and Development Office, Technological Institute of the Philippines.
- Kevin G., Halil S., Rebecca B. D. (2012) "Evaluation, modelling, and analysis of shipping container building structures", *ELSEVIER, Engineering Structures* 43, pp. 48-57.
- Luis F. A. Bernardo, Luiz A. P. Oliveira, Miguel C. S. Nepomuceno, Jorge M. A. Andrade (2013) "Use of Refurbished Shipping Containers for the Construction of Housing Buildings: Details for the Structural Project", *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management, Volume 19(5)*, pp. 628-646.
- SolidWorks [Computer program] (2011), Waltham (MA, USA): DassaultSystemes.
- Hypermesh [Computer program] (2009), Troy (MI, USA): Altair.
- Abaqus/CAE [Computer program] (2010), Providence (RI, USA); Simulia.
- <http://containertech.com/about-containers/how-durable-are-shipping-containers/> (09-12-2018)

www.pka.gov.my/index.php/component/content/article/127-port-klang-statistics.html
(09-12-2018) - information last updated on 06-09-2018.