

COMPARISON AND OPTIMIZATION OF GATED AND UNGATED
LIBS SYSTEMS

SIM YIT CHING

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Science (Physics)

Faculty of Science
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

AUGUST 2017

Dedicated to my family for their continuous moral support during
whole of my academic career.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Foremost, I offer my sincerest gratitude and deepest appreciation to my supervisor Dr. Kashif Tufail Chaudhary for his guidance, patience, motivation, enthusiasm, immense knowledge and continuous support throughout my studies and also allowing me the room to work in my own way. His advices helped me in all the time during conducting experiment and writing of this thesis. Without his encouragement and effort this thesis would not have been completed or written. I would also like to thank Dr. Syed Zuhaib Haider Rizvi for his valuable advises, comments and guidance during my research work.

I owe a very important debt to my family, especially my parents. I am very thankful and oblige to my family for their support, courage and help during completion of this task. In my daily work I have been blessed with a friendly and cheerful group of fellow students. My profound regard is for my colleagues Usman Tariq, Ruisheng Yang, and Elham Mazalan.

Special thanks to Dr. Abdul Rahman Sarmani from Physics Department, Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM), for providing facilities to complete my experimental work. At the end, a Special thanks to my institute Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for providing a very constructive and encouraging research environment to complete my research work.

ABSTRACT

Laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS) is a direct and versatile analytical technique that performs the elemental composition analysis based on optical emission. The performance of the LIBS technique relies on the choice of experimental conditions. High cost, accuracy and precision insufficiency, understanding of experimental conditions are key challenges in LIBS. In this research work, a comparison between gated and ungated LIBS systems is presented to determine the efficiency and applicability of ungated LIBS system in context of quantities analysis of elements. Two different LIBS systems gated (high cost) and ungated (low cost) are compared for quantitative analysis of Ca and Mg elements which are prepared in laboratory with known composition. Optimization of experimental parameters (Gate delay, integration time, and laser energy) has performed for both gated and ungated LIBS systems to compare performance of two systems based on signal to background ratio. The calibration curves are plotted to determine the accuracy and sensitivity of both gated and ungated systems. The accuracy of system is obtained from regression (R^2) and sensitivity from the slope of plotted calibration curves at optimized conditions for both LIBS systems. The R^2 and slope values obtained from calibration curves show that the gated measurements are better as compared to those values obtained from the ungated measurements. However, the $R^2 > 0.8$ obtained from calibration curves with ungated measurements indicates the potential of ungated LIBS for quantitative investigations which can be further improved by mitigating the influencing factor.

ABSTRACT

LIBS ialah teknik analisis secara terus dan serba guna yang dapat melakukan analisis komposisi unsur berdasarkan pancaran optik. Prestasi teknik LIBS bergantung kepada pemilihan syarat eksperimen. Kos yang tinggi, kejituan dan kepersisan yang lemah, dan pemahaman syarat eksperimen adalah cabaran utama terhadap LIBS. Dalam kerja penyelidikan ini, perbandingan antara sistem LIBS berpintu dan tak berpintu dikemukakan untuk menentukan kecekapan dan kebolegunaan sistem LIBS tak berpintu dalam konteks analisis kuantitatif keunsuran. Dua sistem LIBS yang berbeza, pintu (kos tinggi) dan tak berpintu (kos rendah) dibandingkan untuk analisis kuantitatif bagi unsur Ca dan Mg dimana unsur-unsur ini telah disediakan didalam makmal dengan komposisi yang diketahui. Pengoptimuman parameter eksperimen (lengah berpintu, masa integrasi, dan tenaga laser) telah dilakukan untuk sistem LIBS berpintu dan tak berpintu untuk membandingkan prestasi dua sistem berdasarkan nisbah isyarat terhadap latar belakang. Keluk tentukan ditandakan untuk menentukan kejituan dan kepekaan kedua-dua sistem pintu dan tak pintu. Kejituan sistem diperolehi daripada regresi dan kepekaan daripada cerun yang ditandakan oleh keluk tentukan pada syarat yang teroptimum untuk kedua-dua sistem LIBS. R^2 dan nilai cerun yang diperolehi daripada keluk tentukan menunjukkan bahawa ukuran berpintu adalah lebih baik dibandingkan nilai yang diperolehi daripada ukuran tak berpintu. Walau bagaimanapun, nilai $R^2 > 0.8$ yang diperolehi daripada keluk tentukan daripada ukuran tak berpintu menunjukkan keupayaan LIBS tak berpintu terhadap penyiasatan kuantitatif dimana dapat diperbaiki dengan mengurangkan faktor yang mempengaruhi.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	ix
	LIST OF FIGURES	x
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiv
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1. Research Background	1
	1.2. Problem Statement	3
	1.3. Objectives	4
	1.4. Scope of Research	4
	1.5. Significance of Study	5
	1.6. Thesis Outline	5
2.	LITERATURE REVIEW	6
	2.1. Introduction	6
	2.2. Literature Review	6
3.	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	20

3.1.	Introduction	20
3.2.	Sample Preparation	20
3.3.	Experimental Equipment	22
	3.3.1. Nd:YAG Laser	22
	3.3.2. Optical Spectrometer	23
3.4.	Experimental Setups/Systems	24
	3.4.1. Gated LIBS System	24
	3.4.2. Ungated LIBS System	26
3.5.	Experimental Procedure	27
	3.5.1. Gated LIBS System	28
	3.5.2. Ungated LIBS System	29
3.6.	Experimental Flow Chart	30
4.	RESULTS & DISCUSSION	31
4.1.	Introduction	31
4.2.	Optical Emission Spectra for Gated and Ungated System	31
4.3.	Optimization of Experimental Parameters	35
	4.3.1. Optimization of Experimental Parameters for Gated LIBS System	35
	4.3.2. Optimization of Experimental Parameters for Ungated LIBS System	40
4.4.	Quantitative Analysis and Comparison	42
5.	CONCLUSION	51
5.1.	Conclusion	51
5.2.	Future Work	52
	REFERENCES	53

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Mass concentration for each sample labeled from A1 to A8	21
3.2	A range of gate delay from 0.00 to 23.75 μ s	28
3.3	A range of laser energy from 5.00 to 650.00 mJ	28
3.4	Laser energies used in ungated LIBS experiment	29
4.1	Ca and Mg line wavelengths	34

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Continuum emission during plasma life-time (td refers to gate delay)	9
3.1	Example of palletized sample of Ca and Mg powders with KBr matrix	21
3.2	Q-Switched, Nd:YAG pulsed laser	22
3.3	Ocean Optics LIBS2500+ Spectrometer	23
3.4	Ocean Optics MayaPro Spectrometer	23
3.5	Experimental setup of time-gated LIBS	24
3.6	Illustration of LIBS2500plus trigger signal mechanism	25
3.7	Photograph of the experimental setup of Gated LIBS system	25
3.8	Schematic diagram of ungated LIBS system	26
3.9	Experimental setup of ungated LIBS System	27
3.10	The flow chart of experimental methodology	30
4.1	LIBS spectra of the A4 sample acquired using LIBS2500+ LIBS system (Integration time: 1 μ s; Gate delay: 1.25 μ s; Laser energy: 650 mJ)	32
4.2	LIBS spectra of the A4 sample acquired using ungated LIBS system (Integration time: 15 ms; Gate delay: N/A; Laser energy: 400 mJ)	33
4.3	Variation in emission intensities of (a) Ca lines and (b) Mg lines against gate delay	36
4.4	Signal to Background (SBR) of Ca line against gate delay	37
4.5	Emission intensities of (a) Ca lines and (b) Mg lines against laser energy	38
4.6	SBR vs laser energy, Ca lines (Gated)	39

4.7	SBR of (a) Ca and (b) Mg lines against 236 mJ, 350 mJ, 400 mJ obtained from ungated LIBS system	40 - 41
4.8	Close view of Ca and Mg lines used to draw calibration curves from the gated LIBS system (a), (c) and un-gated LIBS system (b), (d)	43
4.9	Calibration curves of Calcium emission line drawn from the data obtained by gated LIBS system (a) raw data, (b) normalized data	44 - 45
4.10	Calibration curves of Calcium emission line drawn from the data obtained by ungated LIBS system (a) raw data, (b) normalized data	46
4.11	Calibration curves for Mg drawn with (a) raw data and with (b) normalized data for the gated LIBS system	48
4.12	Calibration curve for Magnesium samples drawn from raw data for spectral line (a) Mg II 279.553 nm, (b) and Mg I 285.603 nm and (c) normalized data of emission lines Mg II 279.553 nm and Mg I 285.603 nm for ungated LIBS system	49

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<i>et. al.</i>	-	and others
Ar	-	Argon
ANN	-	Artificial Neural Networks
Ba	-	Barium
Ca	-	Calcium
Ca II	-	Calcium (Ionic type)
Ca I	-	Calcium (Neutral type)
CaCl ₂	-	Calcium Chloride
CCD	-	Charge-Coupled Device
Cr	-	Chromium
Cu	-	Copper
EMCCD	-	Electron Multiplying CCD
H	-	Hydrogen
ICP	-	Inductively Coupled Plasma
ICP-OES	-	Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectrometry
Fe	-	Iron
KrF	-	Krypton Fluoride
LIBS	-	Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy
LIP	-	Laser-Induced Plasma
Pb	-	Lead
Li	-	Lithium
LTE	-	Local thermodynamic equilibrium
Mg	-	Magnesium
Mg II	-	Magnesium (Ionic type)
Mg I	-	Magnesium (Neutral type)
Mn	-	Manganese
MSM	-	Mechanically Separated Meat

Mo	-	Molybdenum
NIST	-	National Institute of Standards and Technology
Nd:YAG	-	Neodymium-doped Yttrium Aluminium Garnet or Nd:Y ₃ Al ₅ O ₁₂
Ni	-	Nickel
N	-	Nitrogen
O	-	Oxygen
PLSDA	-	Partial Least Squares Discriminant Analysis
PLS-R	-	Partial Least Squares Regression
P	-	Phosphorous
K	-	Potassium
KBr	-	Potassium Bromide
RF	-	Random Forest
SBR or S/R	-	Signal-to-Background Ratio
SNR or S/N	-	Signal-to-Noise Ratio
SIMCA	-	Soft Independent Modelling of Class Analogy
W	-	Tungsten
UV	-	Ultra-violet

LIST OF SYMBOLS

cm	-	Centimeter
°	-	Degree
eV	-	Electron Volt
i.e.	-	That is
J/cm ²	-	Joule per centimeter square
kN	-	Kilo-Newton
μg	-	Micro-gram
μJ	-	Micro-Joule
μm	-	Micro-meter
μs	-	Micro-second
mbar	-	Mili-bar (unit of pressure)
mJ	-	Mili-Joule
ms	-	Mili-second
nm	-	Nano-meter
ns	-	Nano-second
ppm	-	Part per million
Pa	-	Pascal (unit of pressure)
%	-	Percentage
psi	-	pound per square inch
R ²	-	Regression coefficients

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

Laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS) is a direct and versatile analytical technique that performs the elemental composition analysis based on optical emission produced by laser induced plasma, with a little pre-treatment procedures or nearly no sample preparation. Moreover, LIBS has outstanding capabilities to provide real time in-situ and simultaneous multi-element detection of materials (i.e. solid, liquid or gas), unique elemental identification as well as the remote and stand-off analysis in various fields of applications of science and technology [1, 2].

The capability of real-time analysis gives LIBS potential to test larger number of samples and replace the existing analytical tools. Currently, LIBS technique has successfully been applied in different technological fields such as manufacturing processes, material science, biology, archeology, forensics, geological and environmental materials, food products, agricultural products, biomedicine, space exploration, radiological and nuclear materials and so on [3].

Typically, the LIBS system consists of number of components which can be variably setup in different configuration for laboratory experiments, made compact or portable for field use. LIBS system can be configured for either close-in analysis, remote or stand-off detection at far distance. The main components of the LIBS system are (i) a solid-state, Q-switched pulsed laser is used to induce a plasma on the target surface, (ii) optical lenses to focus the laser beam onto a sample surface and to deliver

the optical emission produced during the plasma event (iii) detection unit includes spectrometer, and (iv) a computer to control whole LIBS system and data acquisition processing [1].

In LIBS, a high energy, ultra-short pulse duration, pulsed-laser is closely aligned and focused on to a target surface causing the breakdown of the material and the formation of extremely high temperature induced plasma which contains excited ionic, atomic, molecular and neutral species. During plasma generation, small amount of the material is ablated and vaporized from the target surface. As laser induced plasma cools down gradually, optical emissions are radiated. Then, the plasma emission is spectrally recorded and measured for elemental composition analysis of the target material [1, 4].

Although, LIBS is rapid and in situ rapid analytical technique, there are several issues associated to LIBS technique for instance efficient quantification, high cost, accuracy and precision insufficiency, understanding of experimental conditions contribution and extensive maintenance [5]. Most of the LIBS experiments are generally conducted using gated system in which a pulse generator is introduced in the LIBS setup to control the gate delay time and shutter opening time during detection of optical signal to enhance signal-to-background (SBR) and signal-to-noise (SNR) ratios [6, 7]. As the cost of system is also an important consideration which can be cut down greatly using spectrometer with an ungated camera. The ungated LIBS system can provide a simple, cost-effective and robust tool [8]. On the other hand, ungated LIBS experiments have been conducted recently by several research groups using low cost spectrometers and simplified experimental configurations [9]. Therefore, it indicates the feasibility and applicability of using ungated system to conduct multi-elemental analysis in combination with optimized parameters (i.e. laser pulse energy, wavelength, pulse duration, focusing and detection geometries), approaches and operating conditions.

1.2 Problem Statements

LIBS is capable of performing rapid, in-situ, multi elemental composition analysis of virtually any materials regardless of their physical conditions, depth profiling, elemental surface mapping, diagnosing and solving the industrial and scientific problems in real time, with minimum or no sample preparation as compared to other common techniques (e.g. thermal ionization mass spectrometry, atomic absorption spectrometry, inductively-coupled mass spectrometry) that often require complex and time-consuming laboratory procedures. Despite of all the advantages and capabilities that LIBS technique possesses, it does have several drawbacks reported in the studies and, however, most of the LIBS system employ the gated control with complex and expensive setup cost that including the gated control features (e.g. gate delay time, gate width, integration time, device-control synchronization), intensified charge-coupled device (ICCD) camera as a detector and high resolution spectrometer. In addition, the early stage of laser-induced plasma is inevitably dominated by the continuum emission whose time of decay can be affected by the experimental parameters such as laser energy, laser wavelength, laser pulse duration, sample material and ambient pressure etc.

In present research work, a comparison between gated and ungated LIBS systems is presented to explore their performance, optimize the experimental parameters such as gate delay and laser energy etc. used in the systems, and the applicability of ungated LIBS system, with respect to the quantification analysis of elements. The Calcium and Magnesium elements are chosen to perform the comparative analysis as these elements are found to be present in most of the food and geological samples. Additionally, the target samples which consist of different concentration of Ca and Mg were prepared in the laboratory. The effect of chosen gate delay and laser energy on specific emission intensities of interest, signal to background ratio (SBR) and continuum emission, has been studied and discussed in detail in the subsequent chapters.

1.3 Objectives

The main objective of research is to explore the performance of ungated LIBS system in comparison with gated LIBS system in terms of quantitative estimations of Calcium (Ca) and Magnesium (Mg) concentrations in laboratory prepared samples.

The specific objectives of the research are:

- i. Optimization of experimental parameters (i.e. gate delay and laser energy) in gated and ungated LIBS systems on the basis of SBR.
- ii. Quantitative estimation of Ca and Mg in samples using gated LIBS system and ungated LIBS system in separate experiments.
- iii. Performance comparison of gated and ungated LIBS systems for quantitative estimation of Ca and Mg.

1.4 Scope of Research

In this study, experiments are performed on two different LIBS setups, one is low cost ungated LIBS system and the other is expensive gated LIBS system. Eight target samples are carefully prepared and compacted into the form of hard pallets, each sample having unique concentration of Ca and Mg. Experimental parameters such as laser energy and gate delay, are optimized using whole range of values allowed on the system. A permissible range of laser energy (5 mJ ~ 650 mJ) and gate delay (0 ~ 23.75 μ s) is used on gated LIBS system and three laser energies only (236 mJ, 350 mJ and 400 mJ) are used on ungated LIBS system due to the limitation of the system can support. Using ungated LIBS system, the elemental measurements are conducted without synchronizing the laser source with the spectrometer. In contrast, with gated LIBS system, the measurements are conducted at optimized gate delay time. The experiments for both gated and ungated systems are conducted at atmospheric pressure under the same conditions.

1.5 Significance of the Study

With the empirical optimization of LIBS operating parameters and conditions, a reliable quantitative and qualitative elemental analysis of the target samples can be highly achieved. In addition, the intensities of detected emission lines will be closely proportional to the elemental concentrations of the samples. Typically, the measured emission lines from the atomic species can be unavoidably suppressed by continuum radiation during the initial stage of plasma formation and the continuum radiation is possibly caused by the radiative recombination and the Bremsstrahlung effect etc. Therefore, an optimized delay time for the acquisition window, optimized operating parameters such as laser energy and laser pulse duration (i.e. micro-second to femto-second), sample material and environmental condition are taken into consideration in order to reduce the undesirable effect of the continuum radiation during the measurement. On the other hand, the comparative performance of gated and ungated LIBS systems suggests the possibility and applicability of using the ungated LIBS system for quantitative investigation. Moreover, it can simplify the experimental setup in terms of selected configuration that suited for particular experiment and cost of the components that will enable the current LIBS development to future compact, portable or even handheld devices designed for use in the fields of various applications including food, biomedical, pharmaceutical, agricultural and so on.

1.6 Thesis Outline

Present thesis includes 5 Chapters in total. Chapter 1 contains background history, problem statement, research objectives, significance and scope of study. Chapter 2 includes the literature review related to this research. Chapter 3 describes the research methodology which contains description of experimental materials, equipment, procedures, parameters and flow chart of the experimental methodology. Chapter 4 presents the results obtained from experiment and discussion on the results. The conclusion and future work is described in Chapter 5.

REFERENCES

1. Russell S. Harmon, R.E.R., Richard R. Hark, *Applications of laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy for geochemical and environmental analysis: A comprehensive review*. 2013. **87**: p. 11–26.
2. Edilene C. Ferreira, E.A.M., Wladiana O. Matos, Débora M.B.P. Milori, Ana Rita A. Nogueira, Ladislau Martin-Neto, *Determination of Ca in breakfast cereals by laser induced breakdown spectroscopy*. Food Control, 2010. **21**(10): p. 1327–1330.
3. Chaudhary, K., et al., *Laser-Induced Graphite Plasma Kinetic Spectroscopy under Different Ambient Pressures*. Chinese Physics Letters, 2015. **32**(4): p. 043201.
4. Maj-Britt Schmidt Andersen, J.F., Poul Henckel, Åsmund Rinnan, *The potential of laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy for industrial at-line monitoring of calcium content in comminuted poultry meat*. Food Control, 2016. **64**: p. 226 - 233.
5. Singh, V.K., V. Kumar, and J. Sharma, *Importance of laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy for hard tissues (bone, teeth) and other calcified tissue materials*. Lasers in medical science, 2015. **30**(6): p. 1763-1778.
6. Kemal E. Eseller, F.Y.Y., and Jagdish P. Singh, *Laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy measurement in methane and biodiesel flames using an ungated detector*. Applied Optics, 2008. **47**(31).
7. Xiaohui Li, R., HaiZhu, Xin Yu, Deying Chen, *Characterization of laser induced plasmas in nitrogen using an ungated spectrometer*. 2013. **118**: p. 1–7.
8. Tripathi, M.M., et al., *A comparison of multivariate LIBS and chemiluminescence-based local equivalence ratio measurements in premixed atmospheric methane–air flames*. Fuel, 2013. **106**: p. 318-326.
9. Li, X., et al., *Characterization of laser induced plasmas in nitrogen using an ungated spectrometer*. Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer, 2013. **118**: p. 1-7.
10. Radziemski, L.J., *From LASER to LIBS, the path of technology development*. Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy, 2002. **57**(7): p. 1109-1113.
11. Cremers, D.A. and R.C. Chinni, *Laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy—capabilities and limitations*. Applied Spectroscopy Reviews, 2009. **44**(6): p. 457-506.
12. Young, M., M. Hercher, and C.Y. Wu, *Some Characteristics of Laser - Induced Air Sparks*. Journal of Applied Physics, 1966. **37**(13): p. 4938-4940.
13. Scott, R. and A. Strasheim, *Laser induced plasmas for analytical spectroscopy*. Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy, 1970. **25**(7): p. 311E1317E5321E6327-316E4320326E8332.
14. Cremers, D.A. and L.J. Radziemski, *Detection of chlorine and fluorine in air by laser-induced breakdown spectrometry*. Analytical Chemistry, 1983. **55**(8): p. 1252-1256.

15. Radziemski, L.J., D.A. Cremers, and T.R. Loree, *Detection of beryllium by laser-induced-breakdown spectroscopy*. Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy, 1983. **38**(1-2): p. 349-355.
16. Wachter, J.R. and D.A. Cremers, *Determination of uranium in solution using laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy*. Applied Spectroscopy, 1987. **41**(6): p. 1042-1048.
17. Cremers, D.A., F.L. Archuleta, and R.J. Martinez, *Evaluation of the continuous optical discharge for spectrochemical analysis*. Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy, 1985. **40**(4): p. 665-679.
18. Poulain, D.E. and D.R. Alexander, *Influences on concentration measurements of liquid aerosols by laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy*. Applied spectroscopy, 1995. **49**(5): p. 569-579.
19. Sabsabi, M. and P. Cielo, *Quantitative analysis of aluminum alloys by laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy and plasma characterization*. Applied Spectroscopy, 1995. **49**(4): p. 499-507.
20. Aragon, C., J. Aguilera, and J. Campos, *Determination of carbon content in molten steel using laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy*. Applied spectroscopy, 1993. **47**(5): p. 606-608.
21. Yamamoto, K.Y., et al., *Detection of metals in the environment using a portable laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy instrument*. Applied Spectroscopy, 1996. **50**(2): p. 222-233.
22. Aldstadt, J.H. and A.F. Martin, *Analytical chemistry and the cone penetrometer: In situ chemical characterization of the subsurface*. Microchimica Acta, 1997. **127**(1): p. 1-18.
23. Corsi, M., V. Palleschi, and E. Tognoni, *Special Issue-LIBS2000-1st International Conference on Laser Induced Plasma Spectroscopy and Applications-Preface*. 2001, PERGAMON-ELSEVIER SCIENCE LTD THE BOULEVARD, LANGFORD LANE, KIDLINGTON, OXFORD OX5 1GB, ENGLAND.
24. Bilge, G., et al., *Determination of whey adulteration in milk powder by using laser induced breakdown spectroscopy*. Food Chemistry, 2016. **212**: p. 183-188.
25. Bustamante, M., C. Rinaldi, and J. Ferrero, *Laser induced breakdown spectroscopy characterization of Ca in a soil depth profile*. Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy, 2002. **57**(2): p. 303-309.
26. Myakalwar, A.K., et al., *Non-gated laser induced breakdown spectroscopy provides a powerful segmentation tool on concomitant treatment of characteristic and continuum emission*. PloS one, 2014. **9**(8): p. e103546.
27. Trevizan, L.C., et al., *Evaluation of laser induced breakdown spectroscopy for the determination of macronutrients in plant materials*. Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy, 2008. **63**(10): p. 1151-1158.
28. Hamzaoui, S., et al., *Quantitative analysis of pathological nails using laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS) technique*. Lasers in medical science, 2011. **26**(1): p. 79-83.
29. Wu, J.-l., et al., *Time resolved laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy for calcium concentration detection in water*. Optoelectronics Letters, 2011. **7**(1): p. 65-68.
30. Silvestre, D.M., et al., *Direct analysis of barium, calcium, potassium, and manganese concentrations in tobacco by laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy*. Microchemical Journal, 2016. **126**: p. 545-550.

31. Andersen, M.-B.S., et al., *The potential of laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy for industrial at-line monitoring of calcium content in comminuted poultry meat*. Food Control, 2016. **64**: p. 226-233.
32. Haider, Z., et al., *Comparison of single pulse and double simultaneous pulse laser induced breakdown spectroscopy*. Analytical Letters, 2015. **48**(2): p. 308-317.
33. David A. Rusak, A.E.Z., Jillian L. Obuhosky, Scott M. Holdren, Craig A. Noldy, *Quantitative determination of calcium, magnesium, and zinc in fingernails by laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy*. 2013. **117**: p. 55 - 59.
34. Cravetchi, I.V., et al., *Evaluation of femtosecond LIBS for spectrochemical microanalysis of aluminium alloys*. Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry, 2006. **385**(2): p. 287-294.
35. Kiefer, J., et al., *Laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy in gases using ungated detection in combination with polarization filtering and online background correction*. Measurement science and technology, 2010. **21**(6): p. 065303.
36. Eseller, K.E., F.Y. Yueh, and J.P. Singh, *Laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy measurement in methane and biodiesel flames using an ungated detector*. Applied optics, 2008. **47**(31): p. G144-G148.
37. Haider, Z., et al., *Plasma diagnostics and determination of lead in soil and phaleria macrocarpa leaves by ungated laser induced breakdown spectroscopy*. Analytical Letters, 2016. **49**(6): p. 808-817.
38. Penczak, J.S., Y. Liu, and R.J. Gordon, *Polarization resolved laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy of Al*. The Journal of Physical Chemistry A, 2009. **113**(47): p. 13310-13317.
39. Zhang, T., et al., *Classification of steel samples by laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy and random forest*. Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems, 2016. **157**: p. 196-201.
40. Chen, M., et al., *Effects of moisture content on coal analysis using laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy*. Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy, 2015. **112**: p. 23-33.
41. Bilge, G., et al., *Analysis of bakery products by laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy*. Food chemistry, 2015. **181**: p. 186-190.
42. Nunes, L.C., et al., *Determination of Cd, Cr and Pb in phosphate fertilizers by laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy*. Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy, 2014. **97**: p. 42-48.
43. Kula, A., et al., *Application of laser induced breakdown spectroscopy to examination of writing inks for forensic purposes*. Science & Justice, 2014. **54**(2): p. 118-125.
44. Wainner, R., et al., *Analysis of environmental lead contamination: comparison of LIBS field and laboratory instruments*. Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy, 2001. **56**(6): p. 777-793.
45. Rieger, G., et al., *Comparative study of laser-induced plasma emission from microjoule picosecond and nanosecond KrF-laser pulses*. Spectrochimica acta part B: Atomic spectroscopy, 2003. **58**(3): p. 497-510.
46. Schill, A.W., et al., *Characterization of near-infrared low energy ultra-short laser pulses for portable applications of laser induced breakdown spectroscopy*. Optics express, 2007. **15**(21): p. 14044-14056.

47. Eseller, K.E., F.-Y. Yueh, and J.P. Singh, *Non-intrusive, on-line, simultaneous multi-species impurity monitoring in hydrogen using LIBS*. Applied Physics B: Lasers and Optics, 2011. **102**(4): p. 963-969.
48. Kashif Chaudhary, S.Z.H.R.a.J.A., *Laser-Induced Plasma and its Applications*, in *Plasma Science and Technology - Progress in Physical States and Chemical Reactions*, T. Mieno, Editor. 2016, INTECH: Croatia.
49. Musazzi, S. and U. Perini, *Laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy*, in *Theory and Applications*. 2014, Springer.
50. Noll, R., *Laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy*, in *Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy*. 2012, Springer. p. 7-15.
51. Cremers, D.A. and L.J. Radziemski, *Handbook of Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy*. 2013: Wiley.
52. Singh, J.P. and S.N. Thakur, *Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy*. 2007: Elsevier Science.