# EFFECT OF HEAT PRE-TREATMENT ON BIOHYDROGEN PRODUCTION BY STARCH-DEGRADING BACTERIA

### SITI AMIRAH BINTI MOHD ARIS

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Science Specialization Biotechnology

Faculty of Biosciences and Medical Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

APRIL 2018

This dissertation is dedicated to my beloved mother Hajah Fatimah binti Haji Bachik.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Thanks to Allah s.w.t for His blessing for allowing me the opportunity to complete this Dissertation entitled "Effect of Heat Pre-Treatment on Biohydrogen Production by Starch-Degrading Bacteria". Firstly, I would like to express my highest gratitude to my research supervisor, Dr. Mohd Firdaus bin Abdul Wahab for his exemplary guidance, monitoring and constant encouragement throughout my research study.

Much thanks to my colleagues for all the invaluable help, information and advice offered during the entire of my research. I also wish to thank all the staffs in the Faculty of Biosciences and Medical Engineering (FBME) for all assistance in my research project. Lastly, I would like to thank my family and friends for their continuous support and invaluable assistance throughout this research.

#### ABSTRACT

The combustion of fossil fuels emits majority parts of greenhouse gases thus contributing to global warming. In addition, global economic development leads to the increasing demand for fossil fuels as a major source of energy which causes this nonrenewable resource to become depleted. Therefore, hydrogen was discovered as an alternative source of energy with carbon-neutral and renewable energy because it only produces water vapour and heat upon combustion and can be generated from waste materials. The low hydrogen yield is major challenges in biohydrogen production by the mixed culture via dark fermentation approach. Hence, this study was conducted to study the effect of heat pre-treatments on biohydrogen production of pre-treated cassava sludge at 80°C, 90°C and 100°C for 60 minutes. The sludge was pre-treated to select spore-forming hydrogen-producing bacteria and at the same time inhibit nonspore hydrogen-consuming bacteria. Batch tests were conducted to investigate and compare biohydrogen formation of untreated and pre-treated sludge at 80°C, 90°C and 100°C over 96 hours utilising cassava starch wastewater as substrate with an initial pH 5.5 at 30°C. Based on the experimental results, only hydrogen and carbon dioxide was detected throughout the experiment without the presence of methane. No biohydrogen was recorded for untreated sludge, 80°C and 100°C pre-treated sludge but biohydrogen was detected only by 90°C pre-treated sludge with 0.0883 mL of cumulative hydrogen formation. The biohydrogen production had the maximum biohydrogen production, maximum biohydrogen production rate, starch utilisation, the yield of biohydrogen per substrate and yield of biohydrogen per cell were 0.0012 mol H<sub>2</sub>, 0.0002 mol H<sub>2</sub>/h, 13.9%, 0.0050 mol H<sub>2</sub>/g and 0.0002 mol H<sub>2</sub>/g respectively. In conclusion, heat pretreatment by boiling at 90°C was suitable for enriching biohydrogen-producing bacteria from cassava-processing sludge.

### ABSTRAK

Pembakaran bahan api fosil menghasilkan sebahagian besar gas rumah hijau yang menyumbang kepada pemanasan global. Disamping itu, pembangunan ekonomi global menjadikan permintaan bahan api fosil sebagai sumber tenaga utama semakin meningkat lalu menyebabkan sumber tenaga yang tidak boleh diperbaharui ini menjadi semakin berkurang. Oleh itu, gas hidrogen telah dikenalpasti sebagai sumber tenaga alternatif dengan tenaga karbon neutral dan boleh diperbaharui kerana pembakarannya hanya menghasilkan wap air dan haba serta boleh dihasilkan daripada bahan buangan. Penghasilan hidrogen yang rendah adalah cabaran utama dalam penghasilan biohidrogen oleh kultur campuran melalui pendekatan penapaian gelap. Justeru, kajian ini dijalankan adalah untuk mengkaji kesan pra-rawatan terhadap pengeluaran biohidrogen oleh kultur campuran dari sisa mendapan ubi kayu pada suhu 80°C, 90°C dan 100°C selama 60 minit. Tujuan pra-rawatan haba terhadap sisa mendapan ubi kayu adalah untuk memilih spora bakteria yang menghasilkan hidrogen dan pada masa yang sama membantutkan aktiviti bukan spora bakteria yang menggunakan hidrogen sebagai sumber tenaga. Pendekatan fermentasi kelompok telah dijalankan untuk menyelidik dan membandingkan pembentukan biohidrogen daripada sisa mendapan tanpa pra-rawatan dan pra-rawatan pada 80°C, 90°C dan 100°C selama 96 jam dengan menggunakan air sisa buangan kanji ubi kayu sebagai substrat bermula dengan pH 5.5 pada suhu 30°C. Berdasarkan keputusan eksperimen, hanya gas hidrogen dan karbon dioksida yang telah dikesan sepanjang eksperimen tanpa kehadiran gas metana. Tiada biohidrogen telah direkodkan dari sisa mendapan tanpa pra-rawatan dan pra-rawatan pada suhu 80°C dan 100°C tetapi hanya dikesan oleh pra-rawatan sisa mendapan pada 90°C dengan kumulatif pembentukan hidrogen sebanyak 0.0883 mL. Pengeluaran biohidrogen ini mempunyai pengeluaran biohidrogen maksima, kadar pengeluaran biohidrogen maksima, penggunaan kanji, hasil biohidrogen pada substrat dan hasil biohidrogen pada sel adalah 0.0012 mol H<sub>2</sub>, 0.0002 mol H<sub>2</sub>/j, 13.9%, 0.0050 mol H<sub>2</sub>/g and 0.0002 mol H<sub>2</sub>/g mengikut turutan. Kesimpulannya, kaedah pra-rawatan haba pada suhu 90°C adalah sesuai untuk memperkayakan bakteria yang menghasilkan biohidrogen dari sisa mendapan ubi kayu.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTER |                   |         | TITLE                        | PAGE |  |
|---------|-------------------|---------|------------------------------|------|--|
|         | DECLARATION       |         |                              |      |  |
|         | DEDIC             | iii     |                              |      |  |
|         | ACKN              | OWLE    | DGEMENT                      | iv   |  |
|         | ABST              | RACT    |                              | V    |  |
|         | ABST              | RAK     |                              | vi   |  |
|         | TABL              | E OF C  | ONTENTS                      | vii  |  |
|         | LIST (            | OF TAF  | BLES                         | Х    |  |
|         | LIST (            | OF FIG  | URES                         | xi   |  |
|         | LIST (            | xii     |                              |      |  |
|         | LIST (            | xiv     |                              |      |  |
|         | LIST (            | OF APP  | PENDIX                       | XV   |  |
| 1       | INTRO             | ODUCT   | ION                          | 1    |  |
|         | 1.1               | Resear  | ch Background                | 1    |  |
|         | 1.2               | Proble  | m Statement                  | 3    |  |
|         | 1.3               | Object  | ives of the Research         | 5    |  |
|         | 1.4               | Scope   | of the Study                 | 5    |  |
|         | 1.5               | Signifi | cance of Research            | 6    |  |
| 2       | LITERATURE REVIEW |         |                              | 7    |  |
|         | 2.1               | Hydrog  | gen as an Alternative Source | 7    |  |
|         | 2.2               | Starch  |                              | 9    |  |
|         |                   | 2.2.1   | Cassava Starch               | 10   |  |
|         | 2.3               | Proces  | s of Hydrogen Production     | 11   |  |

|     | 2.3.1   | Thermochemical Process                      | 11 |
|-----|---------|---|----|
|     | 2.3.2   | Electrolytic Process                        | 12 |
|     | 2.3.3   | Photolytic Process                          | 12 |
|     | 2.3.4   | Biological Process                          | 13 |
| 2.4 | Ferme   | ntation                                     | 14 |
|     | 2.4.1   | Dark Fermentation                           | 15 |
|     | 2.4.2   | Bacterial Communities of Mixed Culture      | 18 |
|     |         | in Dark Fermentation                        |    |
|     | 2.4.3   | Factors Affecting of Fermentation           |    |
|     |         | Process on Biohydrogen Production           | 20 |
| 2.5 | Pre-tre | eatment Methods of Sludge                   | 21 |
|     | 2.5.1   | Heat Pre-treatment                          | 22 |
|     |         |   |    |
| MAT | ERIAL   | S AND METHODS                               | 25 |
| 3.1 | Resear  | rch Design                                  | 25 |
| 3.2 | Sample  | e Collection                                | 27 |
| 3.3 | Prepar  | ation of Medium Cassava Starch Broth        |    |
|     | Mediu   | m   | 28 |
| 3.4 | Heat P  | re-treatment of Starch Processing Sludge at |    |
|     | Differe | ent Temperature                             | 28 |
| 3.5 | Biohyc  | drogen Production by the Pre-treated        |    |
|     | Sludge  | ,   | 29 |
| 3.6 | Analys  | sis Procedures                              | 29 |
|     | 3.6.1   | Biohydrogen Measurements                    | 29 |
|     | 3.6.2   | Determination of Starch Concentration       | 30 |
|     | 3.6.3   | Determination of Glucose                    | 31 |
|     | 3.6.4   | Determination of $\alpha$ -amylase Activity | 31 |
|     | 3.6.5   | Determination of Biomass Concentration      | 32 |
| 3.7 | Kineti  | e Analysis of Biohydrogen Production and    |    |
|     | Starch  | Utilisation of Pre-treated Sludge           | 33 |
|     | 3.7.1   | Yield of Coefficient                        | 33 |

3

| 4       | RES   | ULTS AND DISCUSSION                               | 34 |  |  |  |  |
|---------|---|---|----|--|--|--|--|
|         | 4.1 Application of Different Temperature of Heat Pre- |   |    |  |  |  |  |
|         |   | Treatments on Starch Processing Sludge            | 34 |  |  |  |  |
|         | 4.2   | Effect of Different Heat Pre-Treatments on        |    |  |  |  |  |
|         |   | Biohydrogen Production                            | 36 |  |  |  |  |
|         | 4.3   | Effect of Different Heat Pre-Treatments on Starch |    |  |  |  |  |
|         |   | Concentration, Glucose Concentration, Amylase     |    |  |  |  |  |
|         |   | Activity and Biomass Concentration                | 39 |  |  |  |  |
|         |   | 4.3.1 Effect of Untreated Cassava Sludge          | 40 |  |  |  |  |
|         |   | 4.3.2 Effect at 80°C Heat Pre-Treatments of       |    |  |  |  |  |
|         |   | Cassava Sludge                                    | 42 |  |  |  |  |
|         |   | 4.3.3 Effect at 90°C Heat Pre-Treatments of       |    |  |  |  |  |
|         |   | Cassava Sludge                                    | 44 |  |  |  |  |
|         |   | 4.3.4 Effect at 100°C Heat Pre-Treatments of      |    |  |  |  |  |
|         |   | Cassava Sludge                                    | 48 |  |  |  |  |
|         | 4.4   | Kinetic Analysis of Biohydrogen Production and    |    |  |  |  |  |
|         |   | Starch Utilisation Using Pre-Treated Sludge       | 51 |  |  |  |  |
| 5       | CON   | NCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK                          | 54 |  |  |  |  |
|         | 5.1   | Conclusion  | 54 |  |  |  |  |
|         | 5.2   | Future Work                                       | 55 |  |  |  |  |
| REFEREN | NCES  |   | 56 |  |  |  |  |
| APPENDI | CES   |   | 66 |  |  |  |  |

# LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE  | PAGE |
|-----------|--|------|
| 2.1       | Biohydrogen yield of different temperature and duration    |      |
|           | of heat pre-treatments.                                    | 23   |
| 4.1       | Summary for kinetic parameters of biohydrogen              |      |
|           | production and substrate utilization by untreated and pre- |      |
|           | treated sludge.  | 52   |

# LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO. | TITLE   | PAGE |
|------------|---|------|
| 2.1        | Structure of amylose and amylopectin                  | 9    |
| 2.2        | General dark fermentation pathways                    | 16   |
| 3.1        | The overall flow of this study                        | 26   |
| 3.2        | A drain behind of Layang Food Sdn. Bhd where the      |      |
|            | sludge sample was collected.                          | 27   |
| 4.1        | Cumulative biohydrogen production of untreated and    |      |
|            | different temperatures of heat pre-treatment methods  |      |
|            | over 96 hours   | 37   |
| 4.2        | Starch concentration, glucose concentration, amylase  |      |
|            | activity and VSS concentration from batch test by the |      |
|            | untreated sludge over 96 hours                        | 41   |
| 4.3        | Starch concentration, glucose concentration, amylase  |      |
|            | activity and VSS concentration from batch test by the |      |
|            | pre-treated sludge at 80°C over 96 hours              | 43   |
| 4.4        | Starch concentration, glucose concentration, amylase  |      |
|            | activity and VSS concentration from batch test by the |      |
|            | pre-treated sludge at 90°C over 96 hours              | 45   |
| 4.5        | Cumulative biohydrogen production by 90°C of heat     |      |
|            | pre-treated sludge over 96 hours                      | 46   |
| 4.6        | Starch concentration, glucose concentration, amylase  |      |
|            | activity and VSS concentration from batch test by the |      |
|            | pre-treated sludge at 100°C over 96 hours             | 49   |
|            |   |      |

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| CaCl <sub>2</sub>                                    | - | calcium chloride                         |
|--|---|--|
| СО   | - | carbon monoxide                          |
| CoA  | - | coenzyme A                               |
| CO <sub>2</sub>                                      | - | carbon dioxide                           |
| CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> COOH | - | butyric acid                             |
| CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> COOH                 | - | propionic acid                           |
| CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH                   | - | ethanol                                  |
| CH <sub>3</sub> CHOHCOOH                             | - | lactic acid                              |
| CH <sub>3</sub> COOH                                 | - | acetatic acid                            |
| $C_6H_{12}O_6$                                       | - | glucose                                  |
| DNS  | - | dinitrosalicylic acid                    |
| FeCl <sub>3</sub> .5H <sub>2</sub> O                 | - | iron (III) chloride pentahydrate         |
| Fd   | - | ferredoxins                              |
| GC-TCD   | - | gas chromatography with thermal detector |
| H <sub>2</sub>                                       | - | hydrogen                                 |
| H <sub>2</sub> O                                     | - | water                                    |
| $\mathrm{H}^+$                                       | - | hydrogen ion                             |
| KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>                      | - | potassium dihydrogen phosphate           |
| K <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub>                      | - | potassium phosphate dibasic anhydrous    |
| MgSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O                 | - | magnesium sulfate heptahydrate           |
| NH4NO3   | - | ammonium nitrate                         |
| NO <sub>X</sub>                                      | - | nitrogen oxides                          |
| OX   | - | oxidation                                |
| red  | - | reduction                                |
| rpm  | - | rotation per minute                      |

| SO <sub>X</sub> | - | sulfur oxides            |
|-----------------|---|--------------------------|
| VSS             | - | volatile suspended solid |

# LIST OF SYMBOLS

| %               | - | percentage        |
|-----------------|---|-------------------|
| °C              | - | degree Celsius    |
| μl              | - | microliter        |
| g               | - | gram              |
| g/g             | - | gram/gram         |
| g/L             | - | gram/ litre       |
| h <sup>-1</sup> | - | per hour          |
| Κ               | - | Kelvin            |
| kJ/g            | - | kilojoules/ gram  |
| М               | - | Molar             |
| m               | - | metre             |
| mg/L            | - | milligram/litre   |
| mmol            | - | millimoles        |
| mL              | - | millilitre        |
| mL/h            | - | millilitre/hour   |
| mL/min          | - | millilitre/minute |
| mol             | - | molar             |
| nm              | - | nanometre         |
| U/mL            | - | unit/millilitre   |
| w/v             | - | weight/volume     |

# LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX | TITLE                                       | PAGE |
|----------|---|------|
| А        | Determination of starch concentration       | 66   |
| В        | Determination of glucose concentration      | 67   |
| С        | Determination of $\alpha$ -amylase activity | 68   |

### **CHAPTER 1**

#### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Research Background

Globally, rapid increase in industrialization sector, urbanisation and human population growth causes high demand of energy and its resources (Mohanty *et al.*, 2015). High dependency on fossil fuels as energy source especially for transportation causes depletion of the resources of fossil fuels to occur (Azwar *et al.*, 2014). The combustion of it emits major parts of greenhouse gases (GHGs) and causes depletion of ozone layer to occur, thus contribute to global warming (Rachman *et al.*, 2015). This GHGs emission phenomenon also occur in Malaysia as population growth rate increases and industries are developed gradually. Aside from that, this phenomenon not only give a negative impact on our environment and economic sector, but also affect human health (Hosseini *et al.*, 2013).

Being a developing country with a growing economy, expected energy consumption in Malaysia will increase steadily at 2.8% per year from 65.9 5 million tonnes of oil equivalent (Mtoe) in the year 2005 until reaching 130.5 Mtoe in 2030 (Mustapa *et al.*, 2010). Hence, effort to find greener, renewable and sustainable energy source gain mainstream interest. Hydrogen is suggested as a suitable candidate which

is believed can provide a solution to this problem due to its environmentally friendly characteristics.

Over the last decades, the study of biohydrogen production has gained its momentum. Hydrogen has been identified as a promising green energy option because of its clean energy source with the highest energy content compared to other fuel (Kapdan and Kargi, 2006; Parihar and Upadhyay, 2015). This is due to the fact that hydrogen does not produce  $CO_2$ , CO,  $SO_X$  and  $NO_X$  but only produces water vapour and heat upon combustion (Moreno-Dávila *et al.*, 2011; Rachman *et al.*, 2015).

Being the most abundant element in the universe, pure hydrogen gas need to produce artificially such as through a biomass gasification process which is a conventional physicochemical method (Singh and Wahid, 2015). However, biological methods are favoured than conventional methods because it does not require high energy intensive and less potential to cause environmental problem throughout the process (Singh and Wahid, 2015; Bharathiraja *et al.*, 2016). Biological methods for biohydrogen production involved direct and indirect biophotolysis, photofermentation, dark fermentation and two-stage fermentation (Boodhun *et al.*, 2017).

Dark fermentation approach can manipulate a wide range of wastes on biohydrogen production such as municipal solid wastes, industrial waste and agricultural waste. Mixed microflora offers more benefit than pure culture in terms of efficiency in conversion of substrates due to the presence of more than one substratedegrading bacteria within the mixed culture (Rafieenia *et al.*, 2018). Low biohydrogen production is a common problem when using mixed culture as inoculum via dark fermentation approach (Rafieenia *et al.*, 2018). This problem arises due to the production of hydrogen as an intermediate product and eventually reduced by hydrogen-consuming bacteria that is also present within the mixed culture (Singh and Wahid, 2015). Pre-treatment methods of inoculum or substrate are necessary to adopt for suppressing hydrogen-consuming bacteria while preserving biohydrogenconsuming bacteria (Wang and Yin, 2017). Hydrogen have been utilised for transportation, buildings, utilities and industrial sectors. This type of gas is widely used as fuel in transportation and utilities sectors while it acts as a reactant in industrial sectors such as chemical industry (Elam *et al.*, 2003). Furthermore, hydrogen also have been utilised as an energy source of electricity for buildings (Elam *et al.*, 2003). Since hydrogen is an environmental friendly energy source, it is become an interesting option to replace fossil fuel in internal combustion engines (ICE) for transportation and power generation such as electricity (Tanksale *et al.*, 2010; Moreno-Dávila *et al.*, 2011). Pilot-scale studies on hydrogen production contribute to generation of hydrogen at high rate system thus ensuring more fuel cell cars could be fuelled (Fernandes *et al.*, 2010).

#### **1.2** Problem Statement

Nowadays, environmental and economical problems have been raised and gaining our main concerns from the usage of fossil fuels. In the economic sector, shortage of fossil fuel supply causes growing of oil price. This issue happens when consumption rate is faster than its natural regeneration due to its role as primary sources of energy (Cherubini and Strømman, 2011). In addition, products of combustion of fossil fuels give negative impacts to environment and human health (Hosseini *et al.*, 2013). In response, hydrogen is foreseen as an alternative source of energy to achieve zero carbon emission energy. Hydrogen is a clean energy because it is only produce water as by-product and has high energy density (Carrieri *et al.*, 2006).

Cassava wastes such as wastewater and sludge contain high organic content and suspended solids which must be treated properly before it is released into local waterways (Zhang *et al.*, 2016). Consequently, human and animal health problems and also our environment will be affected due to contamination of the wastes. However, utilising of these high levels of starch and rich organic matter of waste for bioconversion into value-added products could get rid of this problem. In this study, the cassava processing sludge was utilised as inoculum and wastewater as substrate for biohydrogen production via dark fermentation thus reducing the cost of treatment and disposal issues can be solved. This carbohydrate-rich waste is preferred as substrate compared to other waste due to biodegradability while the presence of natural microbial communities within the sludge may contribute in an efficiency of starch degradation. Hence, this research could contribute to a sustainable development of cassava industries.

Hydrogen gas is produced as an intermediate product during dark fermentation when using mixed culture. This problem causes low biohydrogen production yield and becomes a major challenge on biohydrogen production through dark fermentation approach (Rafieenia *et al.*, 2018). Furthermore, the biohydrogen-producing bacteria, hydrogen-consuming bacteria such as propionate-producing bacteria, homoacetogens and lactic acid-producing bacteria are also present in natural microbial flora. These hydrogen is consumed and converted to other product. Hence, employment of inoculum pre-treatment methods is a strategy that could provide a solution to these problems to enrich the mixed microbial population with spore-forming biohydrogenproducing bacteria.

It is quite difficult to select a suitable pre-treatment of inoculum because of the variability of the natural microbial community present in a sample which consequently affected the bacterial community that survives after a pre-treatment was applied. This factor results in different biohydrogen production even same pre-treatment method, duration and condition are conducted. Therefore, this study was conducted to suggest an appropriate heat pre-treatments for future reference from cassava-processing sludge using cassava wastewater as substrate.

#### 1.3 **Objectives of Research**

In this study, starch-processing sludge was used as the source of inoculum. The aims of this research are as follows:

- I. To apply heat pre-treatment methods on starch processing sludge to enrich spore-forming biohydrogen-producing bacteria in the mixed culture
- II. To investigate biohydrogen production and starch utilisation using pretreated sludge
- III. To perform kinetic analysis of biohydrogen production and starch utilisation using pre-treated sludge

#### **1.4** Scope of the Study

This study was conducted to assess the effects of heat pre-treatment methods of starch processing sludge on biohydrogen production. The starch processing sludge and wastewater samples were collected from a factory of Layang Food Sdn. Bhd. in Layang-Layang, Johor. Firstly, the sludge sample was pre-treated at different temperatures that were 80°C, 90°C and 100°C. Secondly, a batch of the fermentation process was conducted for each pre-treated and untreated sample to test the ability to produce biohydrogen gas. Then, biohydrogen production by the mixed culture was analysed via Gas Chromatography-Thermal Conductivity Detector (GC-TCD). Lastly, the kinetic analysis of biohydrogen production was performed for observation of growth, utilisation of starch and formation of product throughout the fermentation process.

### **1.5** Significance of the Research

Rapid growth in the industrial sector over the years give rise to accumulation of effluents as it cannot be disposed to the environment. Disposal of wastewater from industrial effluent mainly starch processing sludge must go through a series of treatment before it is released into local waterways. This process requires a long time and high cost to treat this wastewater due to high organic content such as carbohydrate. As the wastewater may contain a potential energy source, it will be used in this project for biohydrogen production. Besides, the utilisation of this industrial effluent is beneficial because it is more economical for biohydrogen production and problems regarding accumulation and treatment of industrial effluent can be solved (Ntaikou *et al.*, 2010).

- El-Sharkawy, M. A. (2004). Cassava Biology and Physiology. *Plant Molecular Biology*, 53(5), 621-641.
- Esfahani, R. M., Ghani, W. A. W. A. K., Salleh, M. A. M., and Ali, S. (2012). Hydrogen-rich Gas Production from Palm Kernel Shell by Applying Air Gasification in Fluidized Bed Reactor. *Energy and Fuels*, 26(2), 1185-1191.
- Fang, H. H.P., Li, C., and Zhang, T. (2006). Acidophilic Biohydrogen Production from Rice Slurry. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 31(6), 683-692.
- Fernandes, B. S., Peixoto, G., Albrecht, F. R., del Aguila, N. K. S., and Zaiat, M. (2010). Potential to Produce Biohydrogen from Various Wastewaters. *Energy for Sustainable Development*, 14(2), 143-148.
- Ghimire, A., Frunzo, L., Pirozzi, F., Trably, E., Escudie, R., Lens, P. N., and Esposito, G. (2015). A Review on Dark Fermentative Biohydrogen Production from Organic Biomass: Process Parameters and Use of By-Products. *Applied Energy*, 144, 73-95.
- Hallenbeck, P. C., and Benemann, J. R. (2002). Biological Hydrogen Production; Fundamentals and Limiting Processes. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 27(11), 1185-1193.
- Hosseini, S. E., Wahid, M. A., and Aghili, N. (2013). The Scenario of Greenhouse Gases Reduction in Malaysia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 28, 400-409.
- Hosseini, S. E., Wahid, M. A., Jamil, M. M., Azli, A. A. M., and Misbah, M. F. (2015).
  A Review on Biomass-Based Hydrogen Production for Renewable Energy Supply. *International Journal of Energy Research*, 39(12), 1597-1615.
- Hu, B., and Chen, S. (2007). Pretreatment of Methanogenic Granules for Immobilized Hydrogen Fermentation. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 32(15), 3266-3273.
- Jane, J., Chen, Y. Y., Lee, L. F., McPherson, A. E., Wong, K. S., Radosavljevic, M., and Kasemsuwan, T. (1999). Effects of Amylopectin Branch Chain Length and Amylose Content on the Gelatinization and Pasting Properties of Starch. *Cereal Chemistry*, 76(5), 629-637.
- Jawed, M., Jun, W., Jian, P., Li, X., and Yan, Y. (2017). Bioinformatics Approaches for Improvement of Biohydrogen Production: A Review. *International Journal* of Environmental Science and Development, 8(1), 10-14.

- Jenol, M. A., Ibrahim, M. F., Yee, P. L., Salleh, M. M., and Abd-Aziz, S. (2014). Sago Biomass as a Sustainable Source for Biohydrogen Production by *Clostridium butyricum* A1. *BioResources*, 9(1), 1007-1026.
- Jung, K. W., Kim, D. H., Kim, S. H., and Shin, H. S. (2011). Bioreactor Design for Continuous Dark Fermentative Hydrogen Production. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(18), 8612-8620.
- Kan, E. (2013). Effects of Pretreatments of Anaerobic Sludge and Culture Conditions on Hydrogen Productivity in Dark Anaerobic Fermentation. *Renewable Energy*, 49, 227-231.
- Kapdan, I. K., and Kargi, F. (2006). Bio-hydrogen Production from Waste Materials. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 38(5), 569-582.
- Kawagoshi, Y., Hino, N., Fujimoto, A., Nakao, M., Fujita, Y., Sugimura, S., and Furukawa, K. (2005). Effect of Inoculum Conditioning on Hydrogen Fermentation and pH Effect on Bacterial Community Relevant to Hydrogen Production. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, 100(5), 524-530.
- Khanna, N., and Das, D. (2013). Biohydrogen Production by Dark Fermentation. In Lund P. P., and Byme, D. J. (Ed) *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Energy and Environment* (pp. 401-421). United Kingdom: John Wiley and Sons Ltd.
- Kleerebezem, R., and van Loosdrecht, M. C. (2007). Mixed Culture Biotechnology for Bioenergy Production. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 18(3), 207-212.
- Korma, S. A., Kamal-Alahmad., Niazi, S., Ammar, A., Zaaboul, F., and Zhang, T. (2016). Chemically Modified Starch and Utilization in Food Stuffs. International *Journal of Nutrition and Food Sciences*, 5(4): 264-272.
- Kotay, S. M., and Das, D. (2008). Biohydrogen as a Renewable Energy Resource-Prospects and Potentials. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 33(1), 258-263.
- Kothari, R., Buddhi, D., and Sawhney, R. L. (2008). Comparison of Environmental and Economic Aspects of Various Hydrogen Production Methods. *Renewable* and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 12(2), 553-563.
- Kumar, G. R., and Chowdhary, N. (2016). Biotechnological and Bioinformatics Approaches for Augmentation of Biohydrogen Production: A Review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 56, 1194-1206.
- Luo, G., Karakashev, D., Xie, L., Zhou, Q., and Angelidaki, I. (2011). Long-Term Effect of Inoculum Pretreatment on Fermentative Hydrogen Production by

Repeated Batch Cultivations: Homoacetogenesis and Methanogenesis as Competitors to Hydrogen Production. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 108(8), 1816-1827.

- Miller, G. L. (1959). Use of Dinitrosalicylic Acid Reagent for Determination of Reducing Sugar. *Analytical Chemistry*. 31(3), 426-428
- Mohammadi, P., Ibrahim, S., Annuar, M. S. M., and Law, S. (2011). Effects of Different Pretreatment Methods on Anaerobic Mixed Microflora for Hydrogen Production and COD Reduction from Palm Oil Mill Effluent. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 19(14), 1654-1658.
- Mohan, S. V., Babu, V. L., and Sarma, P. N. (2007). Anaerobic Biohydrogen Production from Dairy Wastewater Treatment in Sequencing Batch Reactor (AnSBR): Effect of Organic Loading Rate. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 41(4), 506-515.
- Mohan, S. V., Babu, V. L., and Sarma, P. N. (2008). Effect of Various Pretreatment Methods on Anaerobic Mixed Microflora to Enhance Biohydrogen Production Utilizing Dairy Wastewater as Substrate. *Bioresource Technology*, 99(1), 59-67.
- Mohanty, P., Pant, K. K., and Mittal, R. (2015). Hydrogen Generation from Biomass Materials: Challenges and Opportunities. In Lund, P. D., Byme, J. Berndes, G., and Vasalos, I. A. (Ed) *Advances in Bioenergy: The Sustainability Challenge* (pp. 139-155). United Kingdom: John Wiley and Sons Ltd.
- Momirlan, M., and Veziroglu, T. N. (2005). The Properties of Hydrogen as Fuel Tomorrow in Sustainable Energy System for a Cleaner Planet. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 30(7), 795-802.
- Montagnac, J. A., Davis, C. R., and Tanumihardjo, S. A. (2009). Nutritional Value of Cassava for Use as a Staple Food and Recent Advances for Improvement. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 8(3), 181-194.
- Moosbrugger, R. E., Wentzel, M. C., Ekama, G. A., and Marais, G. V. R. (1993). Weak Acid/Bases and pH Control in Anaerobic Systems-A Review. *Water South Africa*, 19(1), 1-10.
- Morgan, N. K., and Choct, M. (2016). Cassava: Nutrient Composition and Nutritive Value in Poultry Diets. *Animal Nutrition*, 2(4), 253-261.
- Moreno-Dávila, I. M. M., Ríos-González, L. J., Garza-García, Y., Rodríguez-de la Garza, J. A., and Rodríguez-Martínez, J. (2011). Biohydrogen Production from

Diary Processing Wastewater by Anaerobic Biofilm Reactors. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 10(27), 5320-5326.

- Mu, Y., Wang, G., and Yu, H. Q. (2006a). Kinetic Modeling of Batch Hydrogen Production Process by Mixed Anaerobic Cultures. *Bioresource Technology*, 97(11), 1302-1307.
- Mu, Y., Zheng, X. J., Yu, H. Q., and Zhu, R. F. (2006b). Biological Hydrogen Production by Anaerobic Sludge at Various Temperatures. *International Journal* of Hydrogen Energy, 31(6), 780-785.
- Mu, Y., Yu, H. Q., and Wang, G. (2007). Evaluation of Three Methods for Enriching H<sub>2</sub>-Producing Cultures from Anaerobic Sludge. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 40(4), 947-953.
- Mustapa, S. I., Peng, L. Y., and Hashim, A. H. (2010). Issues and Challenges of Renewable Energy Development: A Malaysian Experience. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Energy and Sustainable Development: Issues and Strategies*. 2-4 June. Chiang Mai, Thailand: IEEE, 1-6.
- Ni, M., Leung, D. Y., Leung, M. K., and Sumathy, K. (2006). An Overview of Hydrogen Production from Biomass. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 87(5), 461-472.
- Ntaikou, I., Antonopoulou, G., and Lyberatos, G. (2010). Biohydrogen Production from Biomass and Wastes via Dark Fermentation: A Review. *Waste and Biomass Valorization*, 1(1), 21-39.
- Nwokoro, S. O., Orheruata, A. M., and Ordiah, P. I. (2002). Replacement of Maize with Cassava Sievates in Cockerel Starter Diets: Effect on Performance and Carcass Characteristics. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 34(2), 163-167.
- Parihar, R. K., and Upadhyay, K. (2015). Production of Bio-Hydrogen Gas from Wastewater by Anaerobic Fermentation Process: A Review. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 3(3), 7-14.
- Park, J. M., Kim, T. Y., and Lee, S. Y. (2009). Constraints-Based Genome-Scale Metabolic Simulation for Systems Metabolic Engineering. *Biotechnology Advances*, 27(6), 979-988.
- Pendyala, B., Chaganti, S.R., Lalman, J.A., Shanmugam, S.R., Heath, D.D., and Lau, P.C.K. (2012). Pretreating Mixed Anaerobic Communities from Different Sources: Correlating The Hydrogen Yield with Hydrogenase Activity and

Microbial Diversity. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 37, 12175-12186.

- Prakasham, R. S., Brahmaiah, P., Sathish, T., and Rao, K. S. (2009). Fermentative Biohydrogen Production by Mixed Anaerobic Consortia: Impact of Glucose to Xylose Ratio. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 34(23), 9354-9361.
- Rachman, M. A., Eniya, L.D., and Widyastuti, E.T. (2015). Biohydrogen Production from Fermentation of Sweet Sorhgum (*Sorghum biocular* L) by *Interobacter aerogenes* ADH-43 in the Packed-Bed Reactor. *Jurnal Teknologi (Sciences and Engineering)*, 75(6), 67-72.
- Rafieenia, R., Lavagnolo, M. C., and Pivato, A. (2018). Pre-Treatment Technologies for Dark Fermentative Hydrogen Production: Current Advances and Future Directions. *Waste Management*, 71, 734-748.
- Ren, N. Q., Guo, W. Q., Wang, X. J., Xiang, W. S., Liu, B. F., Wang, X. Z., Ding, J., and Chen, Z. B. (2008). Effects of Different Pretreatment Methods on Fermentation Types and Dominant Bacteria for Hydrogen Production. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 33(16), 4318-4324.
- Saady, N. M. C. (2013). Homoacetogenesis during Hydrogen Production by Mixed Cultures Dark Fermentation: Unresolved Challenge. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 38(30), 13172-13191.
- Show, K., Lee, D., Tay, J., Lin, C., and Chang, J. (2012). Biohydrogen Production: Current Perspectives and the Way Forward. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 37(20), 15616-15631.
- Singh, L., and Wahid, Z. A. (2015). Methods for Enhancing Bio-Hydrogen Production from Biological Process: A Review. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, 21, 70-80.
- Sittijunda, S., and Pattra, S. (2016). Evaluation of Different Pretreatment Methods to Prepare an Inoculum for Bio-Hydrogen Production from Cassava Starch Wastewater. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Science and Technology*, 21(2), 81-92.
- Sompong, O., Prasertsan, P., and Birkeland, N. K. (2009). Evaluation of Methods for Preparing Hydrogen-Producing Seed Inocula under Thermophilic Condition by Process Performance and Microbial Community Analysis. *Bioresource Technology*, 100(2), 909-918.

- Sompong, O., Hniman, A., Prasertsan, P., and Imai, T. (2011). Biohydrogen Production from Cassava Starch Processing Wastewater by Thermophilic Mixed Cultures. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 36(5), 3409-3416.
- Stanislaus, M. S., Zhang, N., Zhao, C., Zhu, Q., Li, D., and Yang, Y. (2017). *Ipomoea aquatica* as a New Substrate for Enhanced Biohydrogen Production by Using Digested Sludge as Inoculum. *Energy*, 118, 264-271.
- Tanksale, A., Beltramini, J. N., and Lu, G. M. (2010). A Review of Catalytic Hydrogen Production Processes from Biomass. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 14(1), 166-182.
- Tonukari, N. J. (2004). Cassava and the Future of Starch. *Electronic Journal of Biotechnology*, 7(1), 5-8.
- Vaclavik, V. A., and Christian, E. W. (2008). *Essentials of Food Science*. New York: Springer-Verlag New York.
- Vendruscolo, F. (2015). Starch: A Potential Substrate for Biohydrogen Production. International Journal of Energy Research, 39(3), 293-302.
- Wang, J., and Wan, W. (2008). Comparison of Different Pretreatment Methods for Enriching Hydrogen-Producing Bacteria from Digested Sludge. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 33(12), 2934-2941.
- Wang, J., and Yin, Y. (2017). Principle and Application of Different Pretreatment Methods for Enriching Hydrogen-Producing Bacteria from Mixed Cultures. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 42(8), 1-20.
- Wang, Y. Y., Ai, P., Hu. C. X., and Zhang, Y. L. (2011). Effects of Various Pretreatment Methods of Anaerobic Mixed Microflora on Biohydrogen Production and the Fermentation Pathway of Glucose. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 36(1), 390-396.
- Wang, Y. B., Li, R. J., Li, W. W., Fan, Y. T., and Hou, H. W. (2012). Effects of Pretreatment of Natural Bacterial Source and Raw Material on Fermentative Biohydrogen Production. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 37(1), 831-836.
- Wei, J., Liu, Z. T., and Zhang, X. (2010). Biohydrogen Production from Starch Wastewater and Application in Fuel Cell. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 35(7), 2949-2952.

- Whitman, W. B., Bowen, T. L., and Boone, D. R. (2006). The Methanogenic Bacteria. In Rosenberg, E. (Ed) The Prokaryotes (pp. 165-207). London: Springer New York.
- Williams, R. T., and Crawford, R. L. (1985). Methanogenic Bacteria, Including an Acid-Tolerant Strain, from Peatlands. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 50(6), 1542-1544.
- Wong, Y.M., Juan, J.C., Ting, A., and Wu, T.Y. (2014). High Efficiency Bio-Hydrogen Production from Glucose Revealed in an Inoculum of Heat-Pretreated Landfill Leachate Sludge. *Energy* 72, 628-635.
- Yin, Y., Hu, J., and Wang, J. (2014). Enriching Hydrogen-Producing Bacteria from Digested Sludge by Different Pretreatment Methods. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 39(25), 13550-13556.
- Zhang, T., Liu, H., and Fang, H. H. (2003). Biohydrogen Production from Starch in Wastewater under Thermophilic Condition. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 69(2), 149-156.
- Zhang, M., Xie, L., Yin, Z., Khanal, S. K., and Zhou, Q. (2016). Biorefinery Approach for Cassava-Based Industrial Wastes: Current Status and Opportunities. *Bioresource Technology*, 215, 50-62.