BUILDING INFORMATION MODELLING INTEGRATION INTO PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP (PPP) RISK MANAGEMENT

YONG HUA SONG

A project report submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Construction Management)

> School of Civil Engineering Faculty of Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malalysia

> > JANUARY 2019

DEDICATION

I dedicate this project report to my parents who have been a source of encouragement and inspiration to me throughout my life. Without them, I will not be the person who I am now.

I also dedicate this project report to my wonderful wife, who has always been there for me and has supported me throughout the process.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like take this opportunity to express my sincere appreciation and deepest respect to my project's supervisor, Dr. Eeydzah Binti Aminudin, for her valuable guidance, continuous support and encouragement. I wish to acknowledge Dr. Eeydzah generosity in spending her time for travelling, reading, discussing and guiding to attain my drafted materials in this research study. I am grateful to have a perfect teamwork with her. She also provided me an opportunity to have valuable experiences to contact with numerous of experts in the construction industry.

I also appreciate the helps from my colleagues and friends at the Faculty of Civil Engineering, who provided support at various conditions. I also wish to acknowledge my thanks to the Malaysia construction industry's practitioners who provided valuable data for this study. Last but not least, I dedicate this project report to all my family members for their endless love and support.

ABSTRACT

Public Private Partnership (PPP) method has been applied in numerous countries for procuring infrastructures. It focuses on the cooperative arrangement between two or more public and private sectors which endeavor towards the life cycle of its project. Most of the PPP failures are resulted from the adjoining risks in the projects. Therefore, risk management is critical to ensure the success of projects. This research investigates the risk factors in PPP projects as well as the critical risk factors that influencing the effectiveness and efficiency of PPP implementation. In addition, the relative importance of BIM n-D functions and the relative importance of beneficial factors of integrating BIM for risk management in PPP has been investigated through a comprehensive literature review and a quantitative survey. The purpose of this investigation is to propose a strategic framework for BIM-based risk management in PPP. Data of the survey are collected from 36 PPP participants with extensive BIM experience in Malaysia. Each respondent is requested to assign an agreement of one-to-five rating for each of the critical risk factors of PPP, adoptable BIM n-D functions and the benefits of integrating BIM in PPP risk management identified from the literature review. The results of priority ranking of these factors indicate that only 7 PPP risk factors, 8 BIM n-D functions and 5 benefits of BIM integration in PPP risk management are regarded by the respondents from PPP industry in Malaysia. The application of the proposed BIM-based risk management framework can be used to monitor and control PPP projects' risks and it will also enable PPP participants to consider the corresponding prevention and mitigation strategies.

ABSTRAK

Kaedah Kerjasama Awam Swasta (Public Private Partnership) (PPP) telah digunakan di banyak negara untuk mendapatkan infrastruktur yang memberi tumpuan kepada pengaturan kerjasama antara dua atau lebih sektor awam dan swasta yang berusaha ke arah kitaran hayat projeknya. Oleh itu, pengurusan risiko adalah penting untuk memastikan kejayaan projek. Penyelidikan ini menyiasat faktor risiko dalam projek PPP serta faktor risiko kritikal yang mempengaruhi keberkesanan dan kecekapan pelaksanaan PPP. Di samping itu, kepentingan relatif fungsi BIM n-D dan kepentingan relatif faktor-faktor bermanfaat untuk mengintegrasikan BIM untuk pengurusan risiko dalam PPP telah disiasat melalui kajian literatur komprehensif dan kaji selidik kuantitatif. Tujuan penyelidikan ini adalah untuk mencadangkan rangka kerja strategik bagi pengurusan risiko berasaskan BIM dalam PPP. Data kaji selidik dikumpulkan daripada 36 peserta PPP yang mempunyai pengalaman BIM yang luas di Malaysia. Setiap responden diminta memberikan persetujuan penarafan satu hingga lima untuk setiap faktor risiko kritikal dalam PPP, fungsi BIM n-D yang digunapakai dan manfaat mengintegrasikan BIM dalam pengurusan risiko PPP yang dikenal pasti dari kajian literatur. Keputusan penarafan keutamaan faktor-faktor ini menunjukkan bahawa hanya 7 faktor risiko PPP, 8 fungsi BIM n-D dan 5 manfaat integrasi BIM dalam pengurusan risiko PPP dianggap oleh responden dari industri PPP di Malaysia. Penggunaan rangka kerja pengurusan risiko berasaskan BIM yang dicadangkan boleh digunakan untuk memantau dan mengawal risiko projek-projek PPP dan ia juga membolehkan peserta-peserta PPP untuk mempertimbangkan strategi pencegahan dan mitigasi yang berkaitan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE

DECLARATION	ii
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	v
ABSTRAK	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF ABBREVATIONS	XV
LIST OF APPENDICES	xvii

CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background of Study	1
1.2	Problem Statement	3
1.3	Research Aim and Objectives	3
1.4	Scope of Study	4
1.5	Significant Studies and Researches	4
1.6	Organization of the Thesis	5

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	History and Definitions of BIM	7
2.2	BIM Maturity Model	8
2.3	Level of Details	10

2.4	The Extents of 3D Model to n-D Model	11
	2.4.1 3D BIM	12
	2.4.2 4D BIM	12
	2.4.3 5D BIM	13
	2.4.4 6D BIM	14
2.5	Overview of BIM Software in Construction Industry	15
2.6	Public Private Partnership (PPP)	18
	2.6.1 Types of PPP Models	19
	2.6.2 PPP Stakeholders Relationship	21
	2.6.3 PPP Risk Factors	23
	2.6.4 Managing Risk in PPP	25
	2.6.5 Risk Identification	26
	2.6.6 Risk Assessment	26
	2.6.7 Risk Allocation	27
	2.6.8 Risk Response/ Risk Action	28
2.7	Integration of BIM in Risk Management	29
2.8	Importance of BIM for Risk Management in PPP	30

CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1	Introduction 3		
3.2	Research Approaches and Processes		
3.3	Resear	rch Methods Employed in This Research	33
	3.3.1	Literature Review	33
	3.3.2	Survey	36
	3.3.3	Questionnaire Survey	36
	3.3.4	Reliability Test	37

	3.4.5 Mean Value Ranking Technique	38
	3.4.6 Framework Development	39
CHAPTER 4	DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS	40
4.1	Introduction	40
4.2	Variable Selection	41
	4.2.1 External Environmental Influence Variable	42
	4.2.2 Internal Project Related Variables	44
	4.2.3 Measures of BIM n-Dimension	45
	4.2.4 Measures of Beneficial Factors of Integrating BIM in PPP Risk Management	47
4.3	Quantitative Survey's Questionnaire Design	48
4.4	Sampling Strategy	50
4.5	Questionnaire Survey Responses	52
4.6	Background Information of the Respondents	53
4.7	Critical Risk Factors of PPP	59
4.8	BIM n-D Functions for PPP Risk Management	63
4.9	Beneficial Factors of Integrating BIM in PPP Risk Management	66
CHAPTER 5	STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK FOR BIM INTEGRATION INTO RISK MANAGEMENT IN PPP	
5.1	Introduction	70
5.2	Initial Conceptual Idea of the PPP Risk Management based on BIM	70

- 5.3 Relationship Between BIM n-D Functions and PPP 72 Critical Risk Factors
 - 5.3.1 PPP Critical Risk Factors versus Project 72 Objectives 72

	5.3.2 Implementation of AHP for PPP Risks Assessment	73	
	5.3.3 BIM n-D Functions versus Project Objectives	75	
5.4	Strategic Framework of BIM-based Risk Management for PPP	78	
5.5	Limitation of the Proposed Framework 80		
5.6	Validation of the Proposed Framework in Future 8 Research		
CHAPTER 6	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION		
6.1	Review of Research Objectives	82	
6.2	Findings of This Research	83	
6.2 6.3	Findings of This Research The Proposed Framework for BIM-based Risk Management in PPP	83 84	
	The Proposed Framework for BIM-based Risk		
6.3	The Proposed Framework for BIM-based Risk Management in PPP	84	
6.3 6.4	The Proposed Framework for BIM-based Risk Management in PPP Contributions of This Research Limitation and Recommendation on Future Research	84 85	

APPENDIX A	100
	100

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	BIM maturity levels and the required actions and activities	9
Table 2.2	Specification of Level of Details	11
Table 2.3	Summary of findings of the BIM n-D functions review	15
Table 2.4	BIM software tools across AEC disciplines	17
Table 2.5	Number of publications distributed by PPP project types	18
Table 2.6	Summary of findings of risk factors in PPP	24
Table 2.7	Summary of beneficial factors of integrating BIM in PPP risk management	31
Table 4.1	Success criteria based on PMBOK knowledge body	42
Table 4.2	Scale items of uncertainty of socio-political risks	43
Table 4.3	Scale items of uncertainty of external market and economic risks	44
Table 4.4	Scale items of uncertainty of natural hazards	44
Table 4.5	Scale items of internal project related risks	45
Table 4.6	Scale items of the variable of BIM n-Dimensional functions	46
Table 4.7	Scale items of the variable of beneficial factors of integrating BIM in PPP risk management	48
Table 4.8	Survey responses	52
Table 4.9	Profile of respondents	57-58
Table 4.10	Data analysis results of PPP critical risk factors	60
Table 4.11	Data analysis results of BIM n-D functions	64

Table 4.12	Data analysis results of beneficial factor of integrating BIM in PPP risk management	68
Table 5.1	Impacts of BIM n-D functions on the project objectives	77
Table 6.1	Data collection tools and data analysis tools used to achieve the research objectives	83

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	BIM maturity levels defined by UK Department of Business Innovations and Skills (BIS)	10
Figure 2.2	Percentage of software connection use by teams between architectural and structural analysis disciplines (Bellido-Montesinos et al., 2019)	17
Figure 2.3	Example of PPP relationship between stakeholders	22
Figure 2.4	PPP's contractual structure of Shanghai Tianma waste-to-energy incineration plant (Xu et al., 2015)	23
Figure 2.5	Risk Management Cycle (Szymanski, 2017)	25
Figure 2.6	Theoretical framework for risk allocation decision- making in PPP projects (Jin and Zhang, 2011)	28
Figure 3.1	Flow chart of research process	32
Figure 4.1	Organisation types of respondents	53
Figure 4.2	Role of the respondents	54
Figure 4.3	Years of experience in PPP	55
Figure 4.4	Years of experience with BIM implementation	55
Figure 4.5	Level of BIM adoption in the organisation	55
Figure 4.6	BIM implementation in construction phases	56
Figure 4.7	Current BIM application by respondents	56
Figure 5.1	AHP structure (Saaty, 1980)	74
Figure 5.2	AHP structure of PPP risk factors	75
Figure 5.3	Proposed BIM-based risk management framework for PPP	79

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

2D	-	2 nd Dimensional
3D	-	3 rd Dimensional
4D	-	4 th Dimensional
5D	-	5 th Dimensional
6D	-	6 th Dimensional
n-D	-	Multi-dimensional
AEC	-	Architecture, Engineering and Construction
AHP	-	Analytical Hierarchy Process
BIM	-	Building Information Modelling
BLT	-	Build- Lease-Transfer
BOO	-	Build-Operate-Own
BOT	-	Build-Operate-Transfer
BOOT	-	Build-Own-Operate-Transfer
BTO	-	Build-Transfer-Operate
CAD	-	Computer Aids Design
CRF	-	Critical Risk Factor
DBFO	-	Design-Build-Finance-Operate
FM	-	Facilities Management
ICT	-	Information and Communication Technologies
IFC	-	Industry Foundation Classes
IT	-	Information Technology
IoT	-	Internet of Things
KMO	-	Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin
LCA	-	Life-cycle Analysis
LoD	-	Level of Details
ISO	-	International Organization for Standardization
MEP	-	Mechanical, Electrical and Plumbing
O&M	-	Operation and Maintenance
PMBOK	-	Project Management Body of Knowledge
PPP	-	Public Private Partnership

PtD	-	Prevention through Design
QTO	-	Quantity Take-off
SD	-	Standard Deviation

SPC/ SPV - Special Purpose Company/ Special Purpose Vehicle

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX

TITLE

PAGE

Appendix AQuestionnaireSurveyforBuildingInformation100Modelling(BIM)adoptioninPublicPrivatePartnership(PPP)Risk Management

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

PPP has emerged as a strategic instrument to improve wide range of public services in quality and effectiveness. PPP can be defined as "cooperation between public and private actors with a durable character in which actors develop mutual products and services and in which risk, costs and benefits are shared" (Klijn and Teisman, 2003). In other words, PPP is a long-term partnership between public and private for procuring a public asset or service with a substantial share of risk or risk transfer to private party. Therefore, it is important to identify the risk factors and understand how to allocate, transfer and manage those risks. The main common risks of many PPP projects are political risk, revenue risk, operation risk, demand risk and debt servicing risk (Singh and Kalidindi, 2006). Risk management is a critical process for both public and private participants usually depend on the predictability of impact and controllability of the risk outcome (Trangkanont and Charoenngam, 2014).

World Economic Forum (WEF) promotes the application of BIM along the PPP value chain to overcome the challenge of global infrastructure development among the governments. The BIM software tools' demand has exponentially growth in the large public project due to its infrastructure investment expanding in relatively with population growth, economic growth, urbanization and industrialization. The BIM software tool enables the following potential benefits for the PPP risk management (WEF, 2017):

i. Capture, manipulate, share and manage project preliminary data and feasibility study in an effective manner;

- ii. Identify the gaps between project planning and initial preparation e.g. preliminary study stage;
- iii. Provide better perspective illustration to marketing and funding resources such as lenders and sponsors; and
- iv. Provide detailed information to engage market participants in a more effective way;

BIM is defined as a socio-technical technology tool and process reengineering system that involve in technical 3D modeling and dimensions of social impact (Sackey et al., 2014). The nature of Architect, Engineering and Construction (AEC) is commonly known as fragmented work processes in multi-disciplines and lack of collaboration among participants. BIM has been recently adopted by the AEC industry to enable participants from different disciplines who are working together to perform more efficiently and effectively in design and construction activity (Isikdag and Underwoood, 2010). The performance of risk management in a construction project highly depends on the degree of collaboration in construction tasks. Love et al. (2015) suggested that the use of BIM as a catalyst to ensure the PPP project's performance and risks can be monitored and evaluated throughout its life-cycle. A lot of researches have been conducted for adopting BIM in construction project's risk management (Ding et al., 2016).

Zhang et al. (2015) proposed an BIM-based framework to automatically detect site safety issues and hazards, and apply it for site's accidents prevention. Then, Zhang et al. (2016) continued the further study on the BIM-based and construction knowledge-based risk management system that able to function as follows: (1) identifying the construction processes and its potential risks; (2) analyzing the risk factors; (3) measuring the precautions and mitigations. There are wide range of benefits associated with the use of BIM integration in risk management including more accurate information, reduce conflicts, less abortive works use throughout the building life-cycle, integrated procurement and improve construction cost and time control mechanism.

1.2 Problem Statement

The challenge is the increasingly growth of the construction industry stakeholders to have a successful organizational change for BIM adoption. In Malaysia, BIM implementation is fallen behind the developed country such as US, UK, Japan and Singapore. Malaysia BIM Report that published in 2016 by Construction Industry Development Board (CIDB, 2016) indicated that there are only 45% of the 570 respondents among the construction industry players in Malaysia have knowledge of BIM and only 17% of them have experience using BIM. Despite the consecutively strong encouragement of BIM adoption among government by enforcing BIM guidelines standardization and promoting BIM techniques, it is still relatively slow due to BIM technology requires a shift in not only the technological barriers, but also in the approach of construction project's teamwork and organizational changes (Ahmad et al., 2018).

Lack of awareness of BIM adoption in PPP projects made both public and private actors unable to enjoy full benefits of PPP implementation (WEF, 2017). The risk management in PPP projects can be improved by exploration of the BIM adoption in PPP processes. An effective application framework of BIM functions among the PPP participants from different sectors and disciplines will enable the optimization of the advantages of BIM and initiate the use of BIM in construction industry. Wide range of studies exploited the interoperability of BIM technology to improve information exchange and communication among PPP participants. There is still a shortcoming of the literature regarding identifying the best practices and functional framework to succeed BIM adoption in PPP risk management.

1.3 Research Aim and Objectives

The aim of this research is to explore the extent levels of BIM adoption in PPP industry by proposing a strategy framework of BIM-based risk management for PPP projects. The objectives of this paper are:

- i. To identify the critical risk factors in PPP projects from perspective of stakeholder relationship, financial aspect, project governance and management, operation and facility management;
- ii. To determine the BIM functions for PPP risk management;
- iii. To recognize the benefits of integrating BIM initiatives and practices in PPP risk management;
- iv. To develop framework for integrating BIM in PPP risk management.

1.4 Scope of Study

This research focuses on the integration of BIM functions in PPP to achieve successful PPP risk management. Unlike the conventional project delivery process, PPP project participants and stakeholders such as government authority, SPV and consultants work together in extraordinary long term as a team to deliver the project. Hence, collaboration among team members is important to resolve the issues of integrated working arrangement, information exchange mechanism, project processes and relationship management in PPP projects. A functional framework of BIM integration for PPP risk management projects requires a well strategic plan to mitigate risks efficiently. The literature review and quantitative questionnaire survey will be conducted in Malaysia and the data is derived mainly from the Malaysia construction industry. Therefore, the research outcomes are expected to be applicable to Malaysia and as a comparative study for other regions. The targeted sample of this study includes the professionals with PPP experiences and BIM knowledge in the construction industry of Malaysia.

1.5 Significant Studies and Researches

In this project report, it can be explained with the following main significant studies and researches:

- i. Various types of PPP risk factors have been reviewed from existing literatures and re-classified the identified PPP risk factors in this research;
- The current risk management practices in the PPP projects have been reviewed and examined for identifying the existing problems, needs of improvement;
- iii. Types of BIM functions in multi-dimensional BIM environment have identified for the uses of better managing complex risk factors in PPP projects;
- This research studied the valuable insights of the benefits of integrating BIM functions in PPP risk management;
- v. A framework of BIM-based risk management for PPP practices has been developed for helping key stakeholders from both public sector and private sectors to drive the PPP projects towards better performance.

1.6 Organization of the Project Report

This project report consists of six chapters and the outline of the chapters is presented as follows:

Chapter 1: Introduction – Introduces the problem statement, motivation, goal and knowledge gaps that addressed in this research, and presents the research objectives, methods and scope of this study.

Chapter 2: Literature Review – Presents a comprehensive literature reviews on the existing BIM definitions, concepts and knowledge, BIM tools and technologies, definitions and modes of PPP, PPP risk factors, PPP risk management methods and processes, the current relevant principles and guidelines for BIM-based risk management and the beneficial factors of integrating BIM in PPP risk management. Concludes the research problems and knowledge gaps from the findings of this literature reviews.

Chapter 3: Research Methodology – Discusses and illustrate the methodology of this research by presenting the research methods, research workflows and strategies, research framework to determine the research questions.

Chapter 4: Data Collection and Analysis – Presents the quantitative data collection and analyses the questionnaire survey, which aims to identify the critical risk factors in PPP, BIM n-D functions for PPP risk management and the beneficial factors of integrating BIM in PPP risk management.

Chapter 5: A Strategic Framework for BIM-based Risk Management in PPP – Discusses the conceptual ideas in developing a strategic framework for BIM-based risk management in PPP. Describe the structures and design methods of the strategic framework and presents its applications.

Chapter 6: Conclusions and Recommendation – Concludes the project by providing a summary of the work done in this research that involving the review of the achievements in the research objectives, theoretical and practical contributions, limitations and future research suggestion.

REFERENCES

- 1. Abanda, F.H., Byers, L., (2016). An investigation of the impact of building orientation on energy consumption in a domestic building using emerging BIM (Building Information Modelling), Energy, 97, 517–527.
- Abdulsame, F., Fathi, S., Enferadi, M. H. (2014). Apprising effective of Building Information Management in Project Management. Procedia Technology, CENTERIS 2014, 1116-1125. doi: 10.1016/j.protcy.2014.10.126
- 3. Ahmad, Z., Thaheem, M.J. and Maqsoom A. (2018). Building information modelling as a risk transformer: An evolutionary insight into project uncertainty. Autom. in Constr. 92, 103-119.
- 4. Aibinu, A., Venkatesh, S., (2014). Status of BIM adoption and the BIM experience of cost consultants in Australia. J. Prof. Issues Eng. Educ. Pract., 140, 1–10.
- Akbiyikli, R., Eaton, D. (2004). Risk management in PFI procurement: a holistic approach. In: Khosrowshahi, F. (Ed.), Proceedings 20th Annual ARCOM Conference, 1–3 September 2004, Edinburgh, UK. Association of Researchers in Construction Management, vol. 2, 1269–1279.
- 6. Akinade, O.O., Oyedele I.O. et al. (2018). Designing out construction waste using BIM technology: Stakeholders' expectations for industry deployment. Journal of Cleaner Production, 180, 375-385.
- Alashwal, A.M., Chew, M.Y. (2017). Simulation techniques for cost management and performance in construction projects in Malaysia. Built Environment Project and Asset Management, 7 (5), 534–545. http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/BEPAM-11- 2016-0058.
- Aleksander K. Nicał and Wojciech Wodyń ski (2016). Enhancing Facility Management through BIM 6D. Procedia Engineering, 164, 299-306, Creative Construction Conference 2016, CCC 2016, 25 -28 June 2016.
- 9. Ameyaw, E.E., Chan, A.P.C. (2015). Evaluation and ranking of risk factors in public–private partnership water supply projects in developing countries using fuzzy synthetic evaluation approach. Expert Systems with Applications, 42, 5102–5116.
- Aminbakhsh, S., Gunduz, M., Sonmez, R., (2013). Safety risk assessment using analytic hierarchy process (AHP) during planning and budgeting of construction projects. Journal of Safety Research, 46, 99–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2013.05.003
- 11. Azhar S, Khalfan M, Maqsood T. (2015). Building Information Modelling (BIM): now and beyond. Constr Econ Build, 12,15–28.

- 12. Bhatt, M., Borrmann, A., Amor, R. and Beetz, J., (2013). Architecture, computing, and design assistance. Automation in Construction, 32(29), 161–164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2013.01.00
- Barnes, P. and Davies, N. (2014). BIM in principle and in practice, 2nd Edition. ICE Publishing. <u>http://doi.org/10.1680/bimpp.58637.019</u>
- Bazjanac, V. (2004). Building energy performance simulation as part of interoperable software environments. Building and environment, 39(8), 879-883. doi: 10.1016/j.buildenv.2004.01.012
- 15. Beach, T.H., Rezgui, Y., Li, H., Kasim T. (2015). A rule-based semantic approach for automated regulatory compliance in the construction sector. Expert Systems with Applications, 42, 5219-5231.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2015.02.029

- 16. Bellido-Montesinos, P., Lozano-Galant, F., Castill, F.J., Lozano-Galant, J.A., (2019). Experiences learned from an international BIM contest: Software use and information workfl ow analysis to be published in: Journal of Building Engineering. Journal of Building Engineering, 21, 149-157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2018.10.012
- Bergin, M. S. (2012). A Brief History of BIM. ArchDaily, http://www.archdaily.com/302490/a-brief-history-of-bim/ (accessed: 22 August 2018).
- Biagini, C., Capone, P., Donato, V., Facchini, N. (2016). Towards the BIM implementation for historical building restoration sites, Autom. Constr. 71 74–86, http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2016.03.003.
- 19. Bibliography: Autodesk Inc. (2018) FOLLOW AUTODESK. Available at: https://knowledge.autodesk.com/support/revit-products (accessed: 30 September 2018).
- 20. Braun, A., Tuttas, S., Borrmann, A., Stilla U., (2015). A concept for automated construction progress monitoring using BIM-based geometric constraints and photogrammetric point clouds. J. Inform. Technol. Constr. (ITcon), 20, 68–79.
- BIS (U.K. Department of Business, Innovation and Skills) (2011). A report for the Government Construction Client Group: Building Information Modelling (BIM) Working Party Strategy Paper.
 https://www.cdbb.cam.ac.uk/Resources/ResoucePublications/BISBIMstrategyRep ort.pdf (accessed: 22 August 2018).
- 22. Bryde, D., Broquetas, M., Volm, J. (2015). The Benefits of Building Information Modelling (BIM). International Journal of Project Management, 31, 971-980. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2012.12.001
- 23. Bryman, A. (2001). The nature of qualitative research. Social research methods, 365-399.

- 24. Camacho R, Carreira P, Lynce I, Resendes S. (2014). An ontology-based approach to conflict resolution in Home and Building Automation Systems. Expert Syst Appl, 41, 6161–6173.
- Carter, G., Smith, S.D., (2006) Safety Hazard Identification on Construction Projects. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 132(2), 197-205. doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9364(2006)132:2(197)
- Charef, R., Alaka, H., Emmitt, S. (2018). Beyond the third dimension of BIM: A systematic review of literature and assessment of professional views. Journal of Building Engineering, 19, 242-257, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2018.04.028</u>
- Charehzehi, A., Chai, C., Md Yusof, A., Chong, H.Y., Loo, S.C., (2017). Building information modeling in construction conflict management. Int. J. Eng. Bus. Manag, 9. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1847979017746257</u>
- 28. Chen, L., Luo, H. (2014). A BIM-based construction quality management model and its applications, Autom. Constr., 46, 64–73.
- 29. Chen H-M, Hou C-C, Wang Y-H. (2013). A 3D visualized expert system for maintenance and management of existing building facilities using reliability-based method. Expert Syst Appl, 40, 287–99.
- 30. Cheung FKT, Rihan J, Tah J, Duce D, Kurul E. (2012). Early stage multi-level cost estimation for schematic BIM models. Autom Constr, 27, 67–77.
- Chien, K.F., Wu, Z.H., Huang, S.C. (2014). Identifying and assessing critical risk factors for BIM projects: Empirical study. Automation in Construction, 45, 1-15. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2014.04.012 0926-5805</u>
- Choi, J., Kim H., and Kim I. (2014). Open BIM-based quantity take-off system for schematic estimation of building frame in early design stage. Journal of Computational Design and Engineering, 2, 16-25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcde.2014.11.002
- 33. Chong, H. Y., Preece, C., Rogers, J. (2014). BIM update 2013: a mixed review approach from academia and industry. Trends Dev. Manag. Stud., 3 (1), 1–21.
- Cui, C., Liu, Y., Alex Hope, Jianping Wang (2018). Review of studies on the public–private partnerships (PPP) for infrastructure projects. International Journal of Project Management, 36, 773-794. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.03.004
- 35. Chow, L.K., (2005). Incorporating fuzzy membership functions and gap analysis concept into performance evaluation of engineering consultants—Hong Kong study. Unpublished PhD thesis. The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong.
- 36. Delgado, F.R., Nieto, J.E., Moyano, J.J., García, D.A., (2016). Management of built heritage via HBIM Project: A case of study of flooring and tiling. Virtual Archaeol, 7, 1–12.
- Delmon, J. (2000). BOO/BOT projects: a commercial and contractual guide, 1 (1), Sweet & Maxwell Limited, London, 40-62.

- 38. Deshpande, A., Azhar, S., & Amireddy, S. (2014). A framework to leverage BIM for knowledge management in AEC projects. Online Available at: http://www.creative-construction-conference.com/wp-content/uploads/ 2015/01/CCC2014_A_Deshpande.pdf (accessed: 25 Sept 2018)
- 39. Ding, L.Y., Zhong B.T., and et al. (2016). Construction risk knowledge management in BIM using ontology and semantic web technology. Safety Science, Vol. 87, 202-213. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2016.04.008</u>
- 40. Domingues P, Carreira P, Vieira R, Kastner W. (2016). Building automation systems: concepts and technology review. Comput Stand Interfaces, 45, 1–12.
- Dong B, O'Neill Z, Li Z. (2014). A BIM-enabled information infrastructure for building energy Fault Detection and Diagnostics. Autom Constr, 44, 197–211. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2014.04.007</u>
- 42. Duffey, M., DiProfi, V., Semproch, D., (2010). The next dimension, Mil. Eng., 102 (668), 75–76.
- 43. Everett, J. G., & Frank, P. B. (1996). Costs of accidents and injuries due to the construction industry. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management ASCE, 122(2), 158–164.
- 44. Field, A. (2009). Discovering statistics using SPSS, Vol. 58, Sage Publication. http://dx.doi. org/10.1234/12345678.
- 45. Ghaffarianhoseini, A., Tookey, J., Ghaffarianhoseini, A., Naismith, N. et al. (2017). Building Information Modelling (BIM) uptake: Clear benefits, understanding its implementation, risks and challenges. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 75, 1046-1053. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.11.083
- 46. Ghaffarianhoseinia, A., John Tookeya, Amirhosein Ghaffarianhoseini (2017). Building Information Modelling (BIM) uptake: Clear benefits, understanding its implementation, risks and challenges. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 75, 1046-1053. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.11.083</u>
- 47. Grimsey, D., Lewis, M., 2002. Evaluating the risks of public private partnerships for infrastructure projects, International Journal of Project Management 20 (2) 107–118.
- 48. Gu, N., London, K. (2010). Understanding and facilitating BIM adoption in the AEC industry. Autom Constr, 19(8), 988-999. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2010.09.002</u>
- 49. Hardin, B. and McCool, D. (2015). BIM and construction management: Proven tools, methods, and workflows. John Wiley & Sons. (p.5).
- 50. Harrison, C., Thurnell, D., (2015). BIM implementation in a New Zealand consulting quantity surveying practice, Int. J. Constr. Supply Chain Manag. 5 (1), 1–15.

- 51. Hu Z., Zhang J., Deng Z. (2008). Construction process simulation and safety analysis based on building information model and 4D technology. Tsinghua Sci Technol,13(Suppl 1), 266–72.
- 52. Hong S, Jung J, Kim S, Cho H, Lee J, Heo J. (2015). Semi-automated approach to indoor mapping for 3D as-built building information modeling. Comput. Environ. Urban. Syst., 51, 34–46.
- 53. Hwang, B.G., Zhao, X., Ng, S.Y. (2013). Identifying the critical factors affecting schedule performance of public housing projects. Habitat Int., 38, 214-221.
- 54. Integrated project delivery: a guide, the American Institute of Architects (AIA), <u>http://www.aia.org/contractdocs/AIAS077630</u> (accessed: 28 August 2018).
- 55. Ismail S., Azzahra Harris F. (2014). Challenges in Implementing Public Private Partnership in Malaysia, International Conference on Accounting Studies, ICAS, 18-19.
- 56. Isikdag, U., Underwood, J. (2010). Two design patterns for facilitating building information model-based synchronous collaboration. Autom. Constr. 19(5), 544-553.
- 57. Jalaei F, Jrade A. (2015). Integrating building information modeling (BIM) and LEED system at the conceptual design stage of sustainable buildings. Sustain Cities Soc, 18, 95–107. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2015.06.007</u>
- 58. Jernigan, F. E. (2007). The practical approach to building information modeling integrated practice done the right way. Site Press, Second Edition, Salisbury, Maryland, 24-25.
- 59. Jin, X.H., Zhang, G. (2011). Modelling optimal risk allocation in PPP projects using artificial neural networks. International Journal of Project Management, 29, 591–603.
- 60. Ozorhon, B., Arditi, D., Dikmen, I., Birgonul, M.T. (2007). Effect of host country and project conditions in international construction joint ventures. Int. J. Proj. Manag., 25, 799–806.
- Julie Jupp (2017). 4D BIM environment planning and management. International High-Performance Built Environment Conference –A Sustainable Built Environment Conference 2016 Series (SBE16), iHBE 2016, Procedia Engineering, 180, 191-201. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2017.04.178</u>
- Kang, T.W., Choi, H.S. (2015). BIM perspective definition metadata for interworking facility management data. Advanced Engineering Informatics, 29, 958-970. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2015.09.004</u>
- 63. Kang, T.W., Hong C.H. (2015). A study on software architecture for effective BIM/GIS-based facility management data integration. Autom Constr, 54:25–38.
- 64. Ke, Y., Wang, S.Q. et al. (2010). Preferred risk allocation in China's public– private partnership (PPP) projects. International Journal of Project Management, 28, 482–492. doi: 10.1016/j.ijproman.2009.08.007

- Khmel, V., Zhao, S. (2016). Arrangement of financing for highway infrastructure projects under the conditions of Public–Private Partnership. IATSS Research, 39, 138–145. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iatssr.2015.05.002</u>
- Kim, M.K., Jack C.P. Cheng et al. (2015). A framework for dimensional and surface quality assessment of precast concrete elements using BIM and 3D laser scanning. Automation in Construction, 49(B), 225-238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2014.07.010
- 67. Klijm, E.H., Teisman, G.R., 2003. Institutional and strategic barriers to public private partnership: An analysis of Dutch cases. Public Money and Manag., 23(3), 137-146
- 68. Kolbe, R.H., Burnett, M.S., (1991). Content analysis research: an examination of applications with directives for improving research reliability and objectivity. J. Consum. Res. 18 (2), 243–250.
- 69. Kumaraswamy, M.M., Zhang, X.Q., (2001). Governmental role in BOT-led infrastructure development, International Journal of Project Management 19 (4), 195–205.
- Kurniawan, F., Ogulana S., Motawa I., 2015. Best Practice for Financial Models of PPP Projects, The 5th International Conference of Euro Asia Civil Eng. Forum (EACEF-5), 124-132.
- 71. Laakso, M. and Kiviniemi, A. (2012). The IFC standard A review of history, development, and standardization. *Journal of Information Technology in Construction*, Vol. 17, No. 9, 134–161.
- 72. Lagüela S, Díaz-Vilariño L, Martínez J, Armesto J. (2013). Automatic thermographic and RGB texture of as-built BIM for energy rehabilitation purposes. Autom Constr, 31, 230–40.
- 73. Lee HW, Oh H, Kim Y, Choi K (2015). Quantitative analysis of warnings in building information modeling (BIM). Autom Constr, 51:23–31.
- 74. Lee S-K, Kim K-R, Yu J-H. (2014). BIM and ontology-based approach for building cost estimation. Autom. Constr., 41, 96–105.
- 75. Li, B., Akintoye, A., Edwards, P.J., Hardcastle, C., (2005). Critical success factors for PPP/PFI projects in the UK construction industry. Construction Management and Economics, 23(5), 459–471.
- 76. Li, M., Yu, H., Liu, P. (2018). An automated safety risk recognition mechanism for underground construction at the pre-construction stage based on BIM. Automation in Construction, 91, 284-292.
- 77. Lindblada H., and Vass, S. (2015). BIM Implementation and Organisational Change: A Case Study of a Large Swedish Public Client. Procedia Economics and Finance, 21, 178-184

- 78. Liu, y., Nederveen, S.V., Marcel Hertogh (2017). Understanding effects of BIM on collaborative design and construction: An empirical study in China. International Journal of Project Management, 35, 686–698.
- 79. Love P.E.D., Steve Lockley JM, Kassem P, Kelly M, Dawood G, Serginson N, M, et al. (2015). BIM in facilities management applications: a case study of a large university complex. Built Environ Proj Asset Manag, 5, 261–77.
- Lu, Z., P-Mora, F., et al. (2015). Social impact project finance: An innovative and sustainable infrastructure financing framework. Procedia Engineering, 123, 300 307.
- CIDB (Construction Industry Development Board of Malaysia) (2016). Malaysia Building Information Modelling Report 2016. http://www.cidb.gov.my/images/content/penerbitan-IBS/BIM-REPORT.pdf (accessed: 21 August 2018).
- Martins, J., Marques, R.C., Carlos Oliveira Cruz (2014). Maximizing the value for money of PPP arrangements through flexibility: An application to airports. Journal of Air Transport Management, 39, 72-80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jairtraman.2014.04.003
- 83. Marshall, C., Rossman, G.B., 1998. Designing Qualitative Research. Sage, Thousand Oaks, CA.
- 84. Martins, J., Marques, R.C., Carlos Oliveira Cruz (2014). Maximizing the value for money of PPP arrangements through flexibility: An application to airports. Journal of Air Transport Management, 39, 72-80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jairtraman.2014.04.003
- 85. Martínez-Aires, M.D., López-Alonso, M., Martínez-Rojas, M. (2018). Building information modeling and safety management: a systematic review. Safety Science101 Elsevier, 11–18. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2017.08.015
- 86. Maslyukivska, O., Sohail, M., 2007. European infrastructure procurement through PPP, Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers: Management, Procurement and Law, Issue MP4, 159–167.
- Masood, R., Kharal, M.K.N., Nasir, A.R. (2013). Is BIM Adoption Advantageous for Construction Industry of Pakistan? Procedia Engineering, 77, 229-238.
- 88. Medda, F., 2007. A game theory approach for the allocation of risks in transport public private partnerships, International Journal of Project Management 25 (3), 213–218.
- 89. McGraw-Hill Construction, (2009). BIM Smart Market Report, 34 Crosby Drive, Suite 201, Bedford, MA 01730.
- 90. McGraw-Hill Construction, (2014). The Business Value of BIM for Construction in Global Markets, 34 Crosby Drive, Suite 201, Bedford, MA 01730.

- Moon, H., Dawood, N., Kang, L., (2014). Development of workspace conflict visualization system using 4D object of work schedule, Adv. Eng. Inform., 28, 50–65.
- 92. Mousavi A, Vyatkin V. (2015). Energy Efficient Agent Function Block: a semantic agent approach to IEC 61499 function blocks in energy efficient building automation systems. Autom Constr, 54, 127–42.
- 93. Motawa I, Almarshad A (2013). A knowledge-based BIM system for building maintenance. Autom Constr, 29,173–82.
- 94. Niu S, Pan W, Zhao Y. (2015), A BIM-GIS integrated web-based visualization system for low. Energy Build Des Procedia Eng;121, 2184–2192.
- 95. Ng, A., Loosemore, M., 2007. Risk allocation in the private provision of public infrastructure, International Journal of Project Management 25 (1), 66–76.
- 96. Olatunji, S. O., Olawumi, T. O., & Aje, I. O. (2017). Rethinking partnering among quantity-surveying firms in Nigeria. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 143(11), 1–12. http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-7862. 0001394.
- 97. Olawumi, T. O., and Chan, Daniel W.M. (2018). Identifying and prioritizing the benefits of integrating BIM and sustainability practices in construction projects: A Delphi survey of international experts. Sustainable Cities and Society, 40, 16–27.
- Park, C.S., Kim, H.J., Park, H.T., et al. (2016). BIM-based idea bank for managing value engineering ideas. International Journal of Project Management, 35, 699-713. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.09.015</u>
- Parsanezhad, P., & Tarandi, V. (2013). Is The Age of Facility Managers' Paper Boxes Over?. CIB World Building Congress, 5-9, Brisbane, Australia.
- 100. Paweá Nowak, Mariola Ksiąłek, Marcin Draps, Jacek Zawistowski (2016). Decision making with use of Building Information Modeling. Procedia Engineering, 153, 519-526.
- 101. Peter, E.D. L., Junxiao, L., Jane, M., Chun, P.S., Jim, S. (2015). Future proofing PPPs: Life cycle performance measurement and BIM, Auto. in Constr. 56, 26-35.
- 102. Peter Smith (2014). BIM implementation global strategies. Procedia Engineering, 85, 482-492. doi: 10.1016/j.proeng.2014.10.575
- 103. PMI. A Guide to the Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK® Guide). 2008. 5th edition. Project Management Institute, Newton Square, Pennsylvania, USA
- 104. Popov, V., Juocevicius, V., Migilinskas, D., Ustinovichius, L., Mikalauskas S., (2010). The use of a virtual building design and construction model for developing an effective project concept in 5D environment, Autom. Constr. 19 (3) 357–367. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2009.12.005</u>

- 105. Porwal, A., Hewage, K.N. (2013). Building Information Modeling (BIM) partnering framework for public construction projects. Automation in Construction, 31, 204–214.
- Q. Lu, J. Won, J.C.P. Cheng (2016). A financial decision-making framework for construction projects based on 5D Building Information Modeling (BIM), Proj. Manag. 34, 3–21.
- 107. Raviv, G., Shapira, A., Fishbain, B., (2017). AHP-based analysis of the risk potential of safety incidents: Case study of cranes in the construction industry. Safety Science, 91, 298–309. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2016.08.027</u>
- Robert Bouška (2016). Evaluation of maturity of BIM tools across different software platforms. Procedia Engineering, 164, 481 – 486. Creative Construction Conference 2016, CCC 2016, 25-28 June 2016. doi: 10.1016/j.proeng.2016.11.648.
- 109. Ruppel, U. and Schatz, K. (2011). Designing a BIM-based serious game for fire safety evacuation simulations. Advanced Engineering Informatics, 25, 600-611.
- Sackey, E., Tuuli, M.M., Dainty, A. (2014). Sociotechnical Systems Approach to BIM Implementation in a Multidisciplinary Construction Context. Journal of Management in Engineering, 31(1). doi: <u>10.1061/(ASCE)ME.1943-5479.0000303</u>
- 111. Salzmann, A., Mohamed, S., 1999. Risk identification frameworks for international BOOT projects, in: S.O. Ogunlana (Ed.), Profitable Partnering in Construction Procurement: CIB W92 (Procurement Systems) and CIB TG 23 (Culture in Construction), E&FN Spon, London, 475–485.
- Sastoque L.S., Arboleda, C.A., Ponz, J.L. (2016). A Proposal for risk Allocation in social infrastructure projects applying PPP in Colombia. Procedia Engineering, 145, 1354 – 1361. doi: 10.1016/j.proeng.2016.04.174
- 113. Shen, L.Y., Platten, A., Deng, X.P. (2006). Role of public private partnerships to manage risks in public sector projects in Hong Kong, Inter. J. Project Management 24 (7) 587–594.
- 114. Singh, L.B., Kalidindi, S.N. (2006). Traffic revenue risk management through annuity model of PPP road projects in India. Int. J. Proj. Manag. 24(7). 605-613.
- 115. Sobhiyah, M.H., Bemanian, M.R., Kashtiban Y.Kh. (2009). Increasing VFM in PPP power station projects – Case study: Rudeshur gas turbine power station. International Journal of Project Management, 27, 512–521. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2008.07.002</u>
- Succar, B. (2009). Building information modelling framework: A research and delivery foundation for industry stakeholders. Automation in Construction, 18(3), 357-375. doi: 10.1016/j.autcon.2008.10.003
- 117. Szymańskia, P. (2017). Risk management in construction projects. Procedia Engineering, 208, 174–182. doi: 10.1016/j.proeng.2017.11.036

- 118. Tah, J.H.M., & Carr, V. (2001). A proposal for construction project risk assessment using fuzzy logic. Construction Management and Economics, Taylor & Francis Journals, vol. 18(4), pages 491-500.
- 119. Tang, L.Y., Shen, Q., Eddie W.L. Cheng (2010). A review of studies on Public– Private Partnership projects in the construction industry. International Journal of Project Management, 28, 683–694. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2009.11.009</u>
- 120. Thomas Ng, S., Yoki M.W. Wong, James M.W. Wong (2012). Factors influencing the success of PPP at feasibility stage e A tripartite comparison study in Hong Kong. Habitat International, 36, 423-432. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2012.02.002</u>
- Tomek, A., & Matejka, P., (2014). The impact of BIM on risk management as argument for its implementation in a construction company. Procedia Engineering, 85, 501 – 509.
- 122. Trangkanot, S., Charoenngam, C. (2014). Private partner's risk response in PPP low-cost housing projects. Prop. Manag. 32(1), 67-94.
- 123. Vanlande, R., Nicolle, C., Cruz, C. (2008). IFC and building lifecycle management. Automation in Construction, Volume 18, Issue 1, 70–78.
- 124. Vito Getuli, S. Mastrolembo V., P. Capone, Angelo L.C. Ciribini (2016) A BIMbased Construction Supply Chain Framework for Monitoring Progress and Coordination of Site Activities. Procedia Engineering, 164, 542-549. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2016.11.656</u>
- Wang, S.Q., Dulaimi, M.F., Aguria, M.Y. (2004). Risk management framework for construction project in developing countries. Constr. Manag. Econ. 22, 237-252.
- 126. Warburton, W., Baker, B. (2004). Integrity systems and local government, National Council of the Institute of Public Administration, Australia, 63–68.
- 127. Wetzel, E.M., & Thabet, W.Y. (2015). The use of a BIM-based framework to support safe facility management processes. Automation in Construction, 60, 12-24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2015.09.004</u>
- 128. Wong JKW, Zhou J. (2015). Enhancing environmental sustainability over building life cycles through green BIM: a review. Autom Constr, 57, 156–65.
- 129. World Economic Forum (2017). Shaping the Future of Construction: Lessons from leading innovators and disruptors. http://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Future_of_Construction_Softlaunch_Report_Jan2017.pdf (accessed: 20 August 2018)
- 130. Wu I-C, S-R Lu and B-C Hsiung (2015). A BIM-based monitoring system for urban deep excavation projects. Visualization in Engineering, a Springer Open Journal. doi: 10.1186/s40327-014-0015-x

- 131. Vives, A., Paris, A. M., Benavides, J., Raymond, P. D., Quiroga, D., & Marcus, J. (2006). Financial structuring of infrastructure projects in public–private partnerships: An application to water projects, Inter-American Development Bank, Washington D.C.
- 132. Xiao F, Fan C. (2014) Data mining in building automation system for improving building operational performance. Energy Build, 75, 109–18.
- 133. Xu, Y., Yeung, J.F.Y., Chan, A.P.C., Chan, D.W.M., Wang, S.Q., Ke, Y. (2010). Developing a risk assessment model for PPP projects in China – a fuzzy synthetic evaluation approach. Auto. in Constr. 19 (7), 929-943.
- 134. Xu, Y., Chan A.P.C., Xia, B. (2015). Critical risk factors affecting the implementation of PPP waste-to-energy projects in China. Applied Energy, 158, 403–411. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.08.043</u>
- 135. Yeung, J.F.Y., (2007). Developing a partnering performance index for construction projects a fuzzy set theory approach. Unpublished PhD thesis. The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong.
- Yung, P., Wang X., (2014). A 6D CAD model for the automatic assessment of building sustainability. Int. J. Adv. Robot. Syst. 11 (1), 1–8. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/58446</u>
- 137. Y. Wu, C. Xu, L. Li et al. (2018). A risk assessment framework of PPP waste-toenergy incineration projects in China under 2-dimension linguistic environment. Journal of Cleaner Production, 183, 602-617. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.02.077
- 138. Y. Wang (2015). Evolution of public–private partnership models in American toll road development: Learning based on public institutions' risk management. International Journal of Project Management, 33, 684–696. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2014.10.006
- Zhang, J., Hu Z. (2011). BIM- and 4D-based integrated solution of analysis and management for conflicts and structural safety problems during construction: 1. Principles and methodologies. Automation in Construction, 20, 155-166. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2010.09.013</u>
- 140. Zhang, L., Wu, X., Ding, L., Skibniewski, M.J., Lu, Y. (2016). Bim-based risk identification system in tunnel construction, J. Civ. Eng. Manag. 22 (4), 529–539. http:// dx.doi.org/10.3846/13923730.2015.1023348
- Zhang, S., Sulankivi, K., Kiviniemi, M., Romo, I., Eastman, C.M., Teizer J. (2015). BIM-based fall hazard identification and prevention in construction safety planning, Safety Science, Vol. 72, 31–45
- 142. Zhong, B., Gan C., et al. (2018). Ontology-based framework for building environmental monitoring and compliance checking under BIM environment. Building and Environment, 141, 127-142. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2018.05.046</u>

- 143. Zhang, S., Chan, A.P.C., Feng Y. et al. (2016). Critical review on PPP Research A search from the Chinese and International Journals. International Journal of Project Management, 34, 597–612. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.02.008
- 144. Zhang, X., Chen S., (2013). A systematic framework for infrastructure development through public private partnerships. IATSS Research, 36, 88–97. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iatssr.2012.11.001</u>
- 145. Zhao X., Hwang, B.G., Gao, Y., (2016). A fuzzy synthetic evaluation approach for risk assessment: a case of Singapore's green projects. Journal of Cleaner Production, 115, 203-213. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.11.042</u>
- 146. Zou, X.W., Wang, S.Q., Fang, D.P., 2008. A life-cycle risk management framework for PPP infrastructure projects, Journal of Financial Management of Property and Construction 13 (2), 123–142.