

MACHINABILITY STUDY OF UNCOATED AND COATED CARBIDE TOOLS
WHEN DRILLING CFRP/METAL STACKS

ISMAIL BIN MAHAMAD HAKIMI

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

Dedicated to:

*My beloved parents,
Norizan Esa, Mahamad Hakimi Ibrahim*

*My wife and daughter,
Nur Hazwani Mohamad, Fatimah
My dedicated lecturers,*

My endless spirits

and all my friends.

This is for you.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious and Most Merciful, all praise be to Allah SWT, the Almighty, for His love has given me strength, perseverance, diligence and satisfaction in completing this project.

First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest gratitude especially to my main supervisor, Prof. Dr. Safian Sharif who had taken a lot of effort to meticulously go through my work and come out with helpful suggestions. Not forgotten, appreciations for my co-supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd Yazid Yahya for his valuable advices.

I would like to express my appreciation to Ministry of Science Technology and Innovation (MOSTI), UTM Zamalah Programme and Research University Grant (RUG) for supporting the scholarship along my study.

Finally, I would like to express my greatest gratitude to my beloved family for their relentless support and prayer. Without the family members support and prayer, this project would have been difficult at best. Thank You.

ABSTRACT

Carbon fiber reinforced plastic (CFRP) composite is often used in combination with other materials, requiring it to be machined during fabrication of a structure. In the aerospace industry, CFRPs are often stacked together with metals to provide stronger and better performance of aircraft structural components with the advantage of weight reduction and increasing mechanical strength. Drilling which is the most common machining process of CFRP is complex and often results in delamination of the composites. This study presents the findings of an experimental investigation in drilling of CFRP plate stacked on top of Aluminum 2024 plate. Uncoated carbide and TiAlN coated carbide tool were used to perform the drilling of the CFRP/aluminum stack at cutting speeds of 70, 85, 100 mm/min with constant feed rate of 0.1 mm/rev using dry drilling. The responses that were analyzed include thrust force, torque, hole quality, CFRP surface delamination, and tool wear. Experimental results showed that the application of various cutting speeds have no significant effect on all the responses analyzed when drilling CFRP/aluminum stacks for both tools. In terms of hole quality and CFRP surface delamination, the uncoated tool displayed better results compared to coated tool at cutting speeds of 85 and 100m/min. This is due to the sharp cutting edge of the uncoated tool that enabled efficient cutting in producing holes. Experimental results also showed that coated tool performed better than uncoated tool producing lower thrust force and torque, lower surface roughness, and lower tool wear rate.

ABSTRAK

Komposit karbon bertetulang gentian plastik (CFRP) lazimnya digabungkan dengan bahan lain yang memerlukan ia dimesin ketika fabrikasi sebuah struktur. Di dalam industri aeroangkasa, CFRP lazimnya disusun berlapis bersama-sama logam untuk memberi kekuatan dan prestasi yang lebih baik bagi komponen struktur pesawat dengan pengurangan berat dan menambah kekuatan mekanikal. Penggerudian CFRP adalah proses pemesinan yang sukar di mana lekangan komposit seringkali berlaku. Kajian ini menunjukkan penemuan ujikaji ketika menggerudi kepingan CFRP yang disusun berlapis di atas kepingan Aluminium 2024. Mata alat karbida tidak bersalut dan karbida bersalut TiAlN digunakan untuk menggerudi kepingan CFRP/aluminium pada halaju pemotongan pelbagai iaitu 70, 85, dan 100 m/min serta kadar uluran tetap pada 0.1 mm/pusingan dalam keadaan kering. Sambutan yang dianalisa adalah daya tujah, daya kilas, kualiti lubang, lekangan permukaan CFRP, dan kehausan mata alat. Keputusan ujikaji menunjukkan bahawa penggunaan halaju pemotongan yang pelbagai tidak memberi kesan yang ketara terhadap semua sambutan yang dianalisa ketika menggerudi lapisan kepingan CFRP/aluminium bagi kedua-dua mata alat. Dari segi kualiti lekangan; iaitu masing-masing disebabkan kencekap Keputusan ujikaji juga menunjukkan prestasi mata alat bersalut lebih baik daripada mata alat tidak bersalut dengan menghasilkan daya tujah dan daya kilas yang rendah, kekasaran permukaan yang rendah, serta kadar kehausan mata alat yang perlahan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vii
	ABSTRACT	viii
	ABSTRAK	ix
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	x
	LIST OF TABLES	xiii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xviii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Objectives	5
	1.4 Scope of Study	5
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	6
	2.1 Introduction	6
	2.2 Composite-Metal Stack	7
	2.2.1 Fiber Reinforced Composites	8
	2.2.2 Fiber Reinforced Polymers (FRPs)	8
	2.2.3 Carbon Fiber Reinforced Plastic (CFRP)	9
	2.2.4 CFRP Applications in Aerospace Industry	10

2.3 Machining Applications	11
2.3.1 CFRP Machining	11
2.3.2 Aluminum Machining	13
2.3.3 Composite-Metal Stack Machining	14
2.4 Thrust Force and Torque Relationship in Drilling Composite-Metal Stack	15
2.5 Drilling Process	16
2.5.1 Geometry of Drill Bit	17
2.6 Special Tool for Drilling Composite-Metal Stack	20
2.7 Common Tool Material for Composite-Metal Stack	22
2.7.1 Solid Carbide Drill	23
2.7.2 Diamond Coated Drills	23
2.7.3 Polycrystalline Diamond (PCD) Drill	24
2.7.4 High Speed Steel (HSS) Drill	24
2.8 Tool Wear in Drilling Composite-Metal Stack	25
2.8.1 Flank Wear	26
2.8.2 Crater Wear	27
2.9 Damages in Drilling FRPs	28
2.10 Summary of Literature Review	31
3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	32
3.1 Introduction	32
3.2 Workpiece Material	33
3.3 Experimental Set Up	34
3.4 Cutting Tool	34
3.5 Experiment Details	35
3.6 Cutting Conditions	38
3.7 Measurement of the Machining Responses	39

	3.7.1 Tool Wear Measurement	39
	3.7.2 Surface Roughness Measurement	40
	3.7.3 Hole Size Measurement	40
	3.7.4 Delamination Measurement	42
	3.8 Summary of Research Methodology	42
4	EXPERIMENT RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	43
	4.1 Introduction	43
	4.2 Thrust Force	44
	4.3 Drilling Torque	49
	4.4 Hole Size	53
	4.5 CFRP Surface Delamination	56
	4.6 Surface Roughness	58
	4.7 Tool Wear	61
	4.8 Summary of Experimental Results and Discussion	65
5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	66
	5.1 CONCLUSION	66
	5.2 RECOMMENDATIONS	68
	REFERENCES	70
	APPENDICES	76

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Composite advantages and its applications	7
3.1	Deckel Maho DMC 835 V CNC milling machine specifications	37
3.2	Rotary dynamometer specifications	38
4.1	Dimensional deviation of the hole size for CFRP and aluminum plates when drilling using uncoated and coated carbide tools	56

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	(a) An example of a UD ply [14], (b) An example of a quasi-isotropic laminate stacking composition [16]	10
2.2	Cutting mechanisms in composites machining based on fiber orientations [19]	12
2.3	The main parts of a twist drill body [44]	17
2.4	Classifications of chisel edge angle [19]	18
2.5	Different tool point angles	20
2.6	Router tool (a), Dagger tool (b) [47]	21
2.7	Amamco modified tool geometry specific for drilling composites [49]	22
2.8	Sandvik , helix drills ,both with modified geometry [50]	22
2.9	Tool Materials used to drill Polymeric Composites [52]	25
2.10	Flank wear and definitions of (VB,Max) and (VB) [53]	27
2.11	Potential defects which may occur during drilling FRP [55]	30
2.12	Schema of visualization of damage [57]	31
3.1	Flow of research approach phases	34
3.2	Workpiece CFRP/Aluminum 2024 stack	34
3.3	Experimental set up	35
3.4	Image of the drill taken by Zeiss Stemi 2000C microscope	36
3.5	CNC Maho DMG 835 V	37
3.6	Rotary dynamometer for force and torque measurement	38
3.7	Force and torque data acquisition system from Kistler Rotary Dynamometer Type 9123C instruction manual	39
3.8	Zeiss Stemi 2000C microscope	41

3.9	Mitutoyo CMM used for measuring hole size	42
3.10	Measuring hole size for CFRP/AL stack using Mitutoyo CMM	42
3.11	Method to measure surface delamination [57]	43
4.1	(a) Thrust force at the 17th hole when drilling CFRP/Aluminum 2024 stack using uncoated carbide tool and	45
	(b) TiAlN coated carbide tool at cutting speed of 85 m/min with feed rate of 0.1 mm/rev.	46
4.2	Thrust force when drilling CFRP-Aluminum stack at various cutting speed with feed rate of 0.1 mm/rev using uncoated carbide drill (Tool A)	46
4.3	Thrust force when drilling CFRP-Aluminum stack at various cutting speed with feed rate of 0.1 mm/rev using TiAlN coated carbide drill (Tool B)	48
4.4	Average thrust force comparison between uncoated carbide drill (Tool A) and TiAlN coated drill (Tool B) at various cutting speed with feed rate of 0.1 mm/rev	49
4.5	(a) Torque at the 17th hole when drilling CFRP/aluminum stack using uncoated and (b) TiAlN coated carbide tool at cutting speed of 85 m/min with feed rate of 0.1 mm/rev .	51
4.6	Torque of CFRP/Aluminum stack when drilling at various cutting speeds using uncoated carbide (Tool A) with feed rate of 0.1 mm/rev	53
4.7	Torque of CFRP/Aluminum stack when drilling at various cutting speeds using TiAlN coated carbide (Tool B) with feed rate of 0.1 mm/rev	54
4.8	Average torque comparison between Tool A and B at various cutting speed with feed rate of 0.1 mm/rev	54
4.9	Average hole size of the CFRP/Aluminum 2024 stack using uncoated carbide tool at various cutting speed with feed rate of 0.1 mm/rev	56
4.10	Average hole size of the CFRP/Aluminum 2024 stack using TiAlN coated carbide tool at various cutting speed with feed rate of 0.1 mm/rev	57
4.11	Delamination evaluation method around CFRP hole at 20X	

	magnification.	58
4.12	Delamination at hole 30 for Tool A and Tool B at various cutting speed with feed rate of 0.1 mm/rev	59
4.13	Average surface delamination of CFRP plate when drilling at various cutting speed using uncoated carbide tool and TiAlN coated tool	60
4.14	Surface roughness values of CFRP and aluminium holes when drilling at various cutting speed using uncoated carbide tool	61
4.15	Surface roughness values of CFRP and aluminium holes when drilling at various cutting speed using TiAlN coated carbide tool	62
4.16	Average surface roughness values of CFRP and aluminium holes when drilling at various cutting speed using uncoated carbide tool and TiAlN coated carbide tool	63
4.17	Flank wear (V_b avg) of CFRP and aluminium holes when drilling at various cutting speed using uncoated carbide tool	64
4.18	Flank wear (V_b avg) of CFRP and aluminium holes when drilling at various cutting speed using TiAlN coated carbide tool	65
4.19	Flank wear (V_b avg) of CFRP and aluminium holes when drilling at various cutting speed using uncoated carbide tool and TiAlN coated carbide tool	65
4.20	Average flank wear (V_b avg) of CFRP and aluminium holes when drilling at various cutting speed using uncoated carbide tool and TiAlN coated carbide tool	66
4.21	Flank wear images of uncoated carbide and TiAlN coated carbide tool at 50th hole and at various cutting speed	67
4.22	BUE occurrence at hole 25 when drilling CFRP/aluminium stack at cutting speed of 85 m/min using TiAlN coated carbide tool	67

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

FRP	Fiber Reinforced Plastic
CFRP	Carbon Fiber Reinforced Plastic
BUE	Built Up Edge
HSS	High Speed Steel
TiAlN	Titanium Aluminum Nitride
Wc	Tungsten
$2p$	Drill Point Angle
Fz	Drilling thrust force
Mz	Drilling torque
L	Lead length of the helix
γ	Chisel edge angle
VBB	Average width of flank wear
VBBmax.	Maximum width of flank wear
f	Feed rate
D	Diameter
Ra	Arithmetical mean surface roughness
S	Spindle rotational speed
Cs	Cutting speed

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Graph of hole diameter when drilling CFRP/Aluminum stack using uncoated carbide tool at various cutting speed	79
	Graph of cutting force (F_z) and torque (M_z) when drilling CFRP/Aluminum stack using uncoated carbide tool ($V_c= 85$ m/min, $f= 0.1$ mm/rev, $\alpha= 80^0$)	80
B	Graph of hole diameter when drilling CFRP/Aluminum stack using TiAlN coated carbide tool at various cutting speed	81
	Graph of cutting force (F_z) and torque (M_z) when drilling CFRP/Aluminum stack using TiAlN coated carbide tool ($V_c= 85$ m/min, $f= 0.1$ mm/rev, $\alpha= 80^0$)	82
C	Example of hole size data from Mitutoyo CMM	83
D	Publication	84

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Machining is widely used in the manufacturing industries to produce accurate and precise components. A material is considered machinable when it can be machined with relative ease while producing the desired range of surface finish. The characteristics of a good machinability materials are when less power is consumed in a short machining time, good surface finish and can be produced without causing the cutting tool to wear in a short period of usage. It is difficult to determine the machinability of a material since it involved many criteria such as workpiece material, type of cutting tool used, the cutting parameters, and also machine tool. Among all the machining processes, drilling is known to be most used machining process which is usually done at the end of manufacturing stage [1].

Carbon fiber reinforced plastic is (CFRP) a unique material that is highly used in industries such as aerospace, automotive, marine, electronics, medical, and others. This is due to the unique characteristics of CFRP especially in the materials structure that provides high performance properties such as high strength-to-weight and stiffness-to-weight ratios [2]. Since the mechanical properties of CFRP is superior and

having different structure than the majority of conventional material, a different approach is needed in order to obtain the best results when drilling CFRP. The uniqueness of CFRP structure is based on its fiber orientation, fiber and matrix properties, and relative volume of the fiber and matrix [2]. In the CFRP drilling process, the tool encounters different layer of fiber materials and matrix thus causing the tool to experience a variety of cutting mechanisms.

Aluminum or aluminum alloys play a significant role in the world of manufacturing, especially in the aerospace industry. Aluminum alloy 2024 is chosen in this research as they are widely used as structural applications of aircrafts around the world [3]. This highly abundant material is favored due to its properties such as high durability, high strength, and light weight that are highly suitable for aircrafts. These advantages are utilised in aircraft structural applications such as fuselage, wing tension members, shear webs and ribs and in vital places that require stiffness, outstanding fatigue resistance and good strength-to-weight ratio. There are also substantial applications of aluminum alloy 2024 in commercial and military aircrafts. These include fuselage skins, wing skins, and engine parts that usually experience high temperatures.

Combining the advantages of CFRPs high strength-to-weight ratio with aluminium in the structural applications of aerospace, a hybrid multi-layer material is produced. This combination allows the properties structural component of an aircraft to be heightened to a new level. CFRP is usually selected as a substitute for aluminium in an aircraft, whereby it provides considerable weight reduction to an aircraft while maintaining its strength comparable to metals [4]. For instance, the new Boeing 787 Dreamliner and Airbus A350 utilised the benefits of CFRP as aircraft parts as it comprises more than 60% of the weight of the aircraft when empty [5]. When composites and metals are joined together in a manufacturing line, the processing time and productivity can be improved. However, in a drilling process, different materials have different machining characteristics. Both materials have different set of

machining parameter settings as they are of different properties. The stacking of CFRP with aluminium means that a tight control of drilling procedure must be followed to avoid errors such as hole diameter tolerances and hole location. A number of studies in drilling multi-layer materials such as CFRP stacked on top of metals are available; however, they are still limited as compared to studies that focused on drilling on a single material only. Thus, various characteristics can be explored in drilling multi-layer materials. Through the findings of this study, it is expected that a further apprehension of the machinability and the effect of coating performance and drilling parameters in drilling stack of CFRP/Aluminium 2024.

1.2 Problem Statement

Drilling a stack of different materials such as CFRP and aluminum plates possesses great challenges to manufacturing industries. Both materials behave distinctly during drilling process due to the fact that CFRP has low thermal conductivity and highly abrasive properties. The abrasiveness nature of CFRP, would accelerate tool wear and shorten tool life. Meanwhile aluminum is a ductile material which cause built up edge (BUE) on the cutting tool during machining. The formation of BUE is caused by the adhesion of aluminum to the cutting tool. This BUE is responsible for accelerating the tool wear leading to premature tool failure, low quality of hole, poor surface roughness, and high deviation of hole diameter.

Suitable cutting tool selection must be done in order to allow good finishing for both materials. In addition, cutting conditions must comply with tool and workpiece as the response differently towards cutting parameters. The general cutting parameter recommended for drilling CFRP is to employ relatively high speed combined with low feed rate [6]. This cutting parameter is most likely selected to minimize or avoid drilling-induced delamination. As such for aluminum alloys, there

is a contrasting effect between drilling at high and low speed [7]. Selection of suitable cutting parameters to create holes with good quality when drilling aluminum alloy is not easy because of the ductility and the tendency of this material to cause BUE to the cutting tool thus causing undesirable continuous chips and poor hole quality [7]. BUE usually occurs frequently at low cutting speed thus one of the solution to reduce or avoid BUE is to apply higher cutting speed. However, increasing the cutting speed, increases the drilling temperature. Aluminum alloy is much more ductile, harder, and having more density than CFRP, thus cutting tools that is suitable to drill aluminum is also suitable for drilling CFRP/aluminum stack.

Fiber-reinforced materials possess better mechanical properties and its strength-to-weight ratio is highly advantageous compared to most metal alloys. These advantages lie in the material structure of fiber-reinforced materials. Due to the material structure of CFRP, as compared to metal alloys [2]. The different behaviour of CFRP during drilling process causes defects that are dissimilar compared to drilling of metal. The process is used to produce holes in the composite's body before joining with other materials. In drilling process, it is highly desirable to avoid defects. The defects that were commonly found in CFRP drilling are matrix cracking, fiber pullout, fiber fracture, fiber debonding, delamination, fuzzing and spalling. Among the common defects induced by drilling process, delamination is the most undesirable and most frequently occurs [3,4,5,7-12].

Delamination is of interest when drilling CFRP since it may result in reduction of material strength and fracture toughness [10] and the fact that 60% of part rejections during final assembly in aircraft industry consist of delamination rejects due to drilling [11]. There are various factors in the process parameters that are directly related to the defects in drilling CFRP. For example, the most common factors identified were the cutting tool type, cutting tool material, point angle, and helix angle of the cutting tool, cutting speed, feed rate, and thrust force [3,4,5,7-12]. These factors in turn can be

related to the progress of the tool wear which is used to determine the appropriate tool life of a drilling tool.

1.3 Objectives

The main objective of this research study is to investigate the machinability of CFRP/Aluminum 2024 stack during drilling process. The specific objectives of this study are as follows:

- i. To evaluate the machining performance of uncoated and TiAlN coated carbide tools when drilling of CFRP/Aluminum 2024 stack.
- ii. To study the effect of cutting speed on thrust force, torque, hole size, delamination, surface roughness, and tool wear.

1.4 Scope of Study

This study focuses on the drilling of CFRP/Aluminum 2024 stack using carbide drill bits, which are uncoated carbide, and TiAlN coated carbide. The cutting conditions include variation in cutting speed of 70, 80, and 100 m/min and constant feed rate of 0.1 mm/rev. The workpiece, CFRP plate was supplied by CTRM Malaysia. All experimental and analysis works are conducted at the Production Laboratory, FKM, UTM using a CNC machining centre various measuring equipments.

REFERENCES

1. Stone, R. and Krishnamurthy, K. A Neural Network Thrust Force Controller to Minimize Delamination during Drilling of Graphite-Epoxy Laminates. *Int. J. Mach. Tools Manuf.* 1996, 36: 985–1003.
2. Soutis, C. Fibre Reinforced Composite in Aircraft Construction. *Progr. Aerosp. Sci.* 2005, 41: 143–151.
3. Shyha, I. S. Aspinwall, D. K. Soo, S. L. and Bradley, S. Drill Geometry and Operating Effects when Cutting Small Diameter Holes in CFRP. *Int. J. Mach. Tools Manuf.* 2009, 49: 1008–1014.
4. König, W. and Graß, P. Quality Definition and Assessment in Drilling of Fibre Reinforced Thermosets. *CIRP. Annals. Manuf. Technol.* 1989, 38(1): 119–124.
5. Shyha, I. S. Soo, S. L. Aspinwall, D. and Bradley, S. Effect of Laminate Configuration and Feed Rate on Cutting Performance when Drilling Holes in Carbon Fibre Reinforced Plastic Composites. *J. Mater. Process. Technol.* 2010, 210: 1023–1034.
6. Karnik, S. R. Gaitonde, V. N. Rubio, J. C. Correia, A. E. Abrão, A. M. and Davim, J. P. Delamination Analysis in High Speed Drilling of Carbon Fiber Reinforced Plastics (CFRP) using Artificial Neural Network Model. *Mater. Des.* 2008, 29 (9): 1768–1776.
7. Songmene, V. Khettabi, R. Zaghbani, I. Kouam, J. and Djebara, A. *Machining and Machinability of Aluminum Alloys, Aluminium Alloys, Theory and Applications*, Prof. Tibor Kvackaj (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-307-244-9, InTech, DOI: 10.5772/14888; 2011

8. Zitoune, R. Krishnaraj, V. Sofiane Almabouacif, B. Collombet, F. Sima, M. and Jolin, A. Influence of Machining Parameters and New Nano-Coated Tool on Drilling Performance of CFRP/Aluminium Sandwich. *Compos. Part B: Eng*, 2012, 43(3): 1480-1488
9. Iliescu, D. Gehin, D. Gutierrez, M. E. and Girot, F. Modeling and Tool Wear in Drilling of CFRP. *Int. J. Mach. Tools. Manuf*, 2010, 50: 204–213.
10. Won, M. S. and Dharan, C. K. H. Drilling of Aramid and Carbon Fiber Polymer Composites, *J. Manuf. Sci. Eng. ASME*, 2002, 124: 778–783.
11. Wong, T. L. Wu, S. M. and Croy, G. M. An Analysis of Delamination in Drilling Composite Materials. *14th National SAMPE Technology Conference. Atlanta, GA, USA*, 1982: 471-483.
12. Teti, R. Machining of Composite Materials, *CIRP Annals – Manuf. Tech*, 1992, 51(2): 611-634
13. Abrate, S. and Walton, D. A. Machining of Composite Material. Part I: Traditional Methods, *Compos. Manuf*, 1992, 3: 75–83.
14. Awtar, K. K. *Mechanics of Composite Materials*. Second ed., Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton. 2006.
15. Singh, I. Bhatnagar, N. and Viswanath, P. Drilling of Uni-Directional Glass Fiber Reinforced Plastics: Experimental and Finite Element Study. *Mater. Des.* 2008, 29: 546–553.
16. Lee, S. C. Jeong, S. T. Park, J. N. Kim, S. J. and Chao, G. J. Study on Drilling Characteristic and Mechanical Properties of CFRP Composites. *Act. Mech. Solid. Sin*, 2008, 21: 364–368.
17. Bhatnagar, N. Ramakrishnan, N. Naik, H. and Komanduri, R. On the Machining of Fiber– Reinforced Plastic (FRP) Composite Laminates. *Int. J. Mach. Tools and Manuf.* 1995, 35(5): 701-716
18. Komanduri, R. Machining Fiber-Reinforced Composites. *Mech. Engng*, 1993, 115(4): 58- 64
19. Wang, D. H. Ramulu, M. and Arola, D. Orthogonal Cutting Mechanisms of Graphite/Epoxy Composites. Part I: Unidirectional Laminate 199. *Int. J. of Machine Tools and Manuf.* 1995, 35(12): 1623-1638

20. Durao, L. M. P. Goncalves, D. J. S. Trvares, J. M. R. S. de Albuquerque, V. H. C. Vieira, A. A. and Marques, A. T. Drilling Tool Geometry Evaluation for Reinforced Composite Laminates. *Compos. Struct*, 2010, 92: 1545–1550.
21. Park, K. Kwon, P. and Kim, D. Wear Characteristic on BAM Coated Carbide Tool in of Composite/Titanium Stack. *Int. J. of Precision Eng. and Manuf*, 2012, 13: 1073-1076.
22. Tsao, C. C. and Hocheng, H. Taguchi Analysis of Delamination Associated with Various Drill Bits in Drilling of Composite Material. *Int. J. Mach. Tools Manuf*, 2004, 44: 1085–1090.
23. Tsao, C. C. and Hocheng, H. Effect of Tool Wear on Delamination in Drilling Composite Materials. *Int. J. Mech. Sci*, 2007, 49: 983–988.
24. Hocheng, H. and Tsao, C. C. Effects of Special Drill Bits on Drilling-Induced Delamination of Composite Materials. *Int. J. Mach. Tools Manuf*. 2006, 46: 1403–1416.
25. Tsao, C. C. Experimental Study of Drilling Composite Materials with Step-Core Drill. *Mater. Des*. 2008, 29: 1740–1744.
26. SenthilKumar, M. Prabukarthi, A. Krishnaraj, V. Study on Tool Wear and Chip Formation During Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer (CFRP)/Titanium Alloy (Ti6Al4V) Stacks. *Procedia Engng*. 2013, 64: 582-592.
27. Benezech, L. Landon, L. Rubio, W. Study of Manufacturing Defects and Tool Geometry Optimisation for Multi-Material Stack Drilling. *Adv Mater Res* 2011, 423: 1-11.
28. Park, K. Beal, D. (Dae-Wook) Kim, D. Kwon, P. Lantrip, J. A Comparative Study of Carbide Tools in Drilling of CFRP and CFRP-Ti Stacks. *J. of Manuf. Sc and Engng*. 2013, 136: 014501-1 – 014501-9.
29. El-Sonbaty, I. Khashaba, U. A. and Machaly, T. Factors Affecting the Machinability of GFR/Epoxy Composites. *Compos. Struct*. 2004, 63: 329–338.
30. Benezech, L. Landon, Y. and Rubio, W. Study of Manufacturing Defects and Tool Geometry Optimisation for Multi-Material Stack Drilling. *Adv Mater Res* 2012, 423: 1–11.

31. Tashiro, T. Fujiwara, J. Inada, K. Drilling of CFRP/Ti-64Al-4V Stacks *Adv Mater Res* 2011, 325: 369-374.
32. Lin, S. C. and Chen, I. K. Drilling Carbon Fiber-Reinforced Composite Material at High Speed, *Wear*. 1996, 194: 156–162.
33. Kuo, C. Soo, S. Aspinwall, D. Thomas, W. Bradley, S. Pearson, D. M'Saoubi, R. Leahy, W. The Effect of Cutting Speed and Feed Rate on Hole Surface Integrity in Single-Shot Drilling of Metallic-Composite Stacks. *Procedia CIRP*. 2014, 13: 405-410.
34. Krishnaraj, V. Zitoune, R. and Collombet F. Study of Drilling of Multi-Material (CFRP/Al) using Taguchi and Statistical Techniques, *Usak. Univ. J. Mater. Sc.* 2012, 2: 95-109.
35. Durao, L. M. P. de Moura, M. F. S. F. and Marques, A. T. Numerical Simulation of the Drilling Process on Carbon/Epoxy Composite Laminates, *Compos.: Part A* 2006, 37(9): 1325–1333.
36. Skingle, T. and Thompson, T. Machining of Aluminum Alloys. *J. App. Metalworking*. 1980. 1(2): 76-78
37. Rawat, S. and Attia, H. Wear Mechanisms and Tool Life Management of WC-Co Drill during Dry High Speed Drilling of Woven Carbon Fibre Composites. *Wear* 2009, 267: 1022–1030.
38. Zitoune, R. Krishnaraj, V. and Collombet, B. F. Study of Drilling Composite Material and Aluminium Stack. *Compos. Struct.* 2010, 92(5): 1246-1255
39. Ramulu, M. Branson, T. and Kim, D. A Study on the Drilling of Composite and Titanium Stacks. *Compos Struct* 2001, 54: 67–77.
40. Kim, D. and Ramulu, M. Drilling Process optimization for Graphite/Bismaleimide-Titanium Alloy Stacks. *Compos Struct* 2004, 63(1): 101–114
41. Montoya, M. Calamaz, M. Gehin, D. and Girot, F. Evaluation of the Performance of Coated and Uncoated Carbide Tools in Drilling Thick CFRP/Aluminium Alloy Stacks. *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.* 2013, 68(9-12): 2111-2120
42. Shyha, I. S. Soo, S. L. and Aspinwall, D. K. Hole Quality Assessment Following Drilling of Metallic-Composite Stacks. *Int. J. Mach. Tools Manuf.* 2011, 51: 569–578.

43. Brinksmeier, E. Fangmann, S. and Rentsch, R. Drilling of Composites and Resulting Surface Integrity. *CIRP. Ann. Manuf. Technol.* 2011, 60(1): 57–60
44. Smith, G. T. *Cutting Tool Technology: Industrial Handbook*: Springer. 2008
45. U.S. Army. *Fundamental of Machine Tools*, Chapter 4: Drilling Machines, P.8 1996
46. Mazoff, J. Choose the best drill point geometry, *Modern Machine Shop.*, June 1989
47. Subbiah, S. and Idapalapati, S. Drilling Holes in CFRP Composite Laminates Using a Router Tool. *SME*, 2010, 38: 275-282
48. Fernandes, M. and Cook, C. Drilling of Carbon Composites using a One Shot Drill Bit, Part II. Empirical Modeling of Maximum Thrust Force, *Int. J. Mach. Tools Manuf.* 2006, 46: 76–79.
49. Amamco Tool Catalogue, *Composite Drills and Reamers*, 2010
50. Sandvik Catalogue ,*Rotating Tools*, ,*Solid Carbide Drills*, 2010
51. Giplin, A. Composite Drills, *Tooling and Production*, 2009 75: 32-34
52. Abrao, A. M. Faria, P.E. Campos Rubio, J. C and Paulo, J. Drilling of Fiber Reinforced Plastics: A Review, *J. Mater. Process. Technol.* 2007 186: 1-7
53. International Standardization Organization ISO 3685 *Tool-Life Testing with Single-Point Turning Tools.* 1977.
54. Davim, J. P. and Reis, P. Drilling Carbon Fiber Reinforced Plastics Manufactured by Autoclave – Experimental and Statistical Study, *Mater. Des.* 2003, 24: 315–324
55. Lachaud, F. Piquet, R. Collombet, F. and Surcin, L. Drilling of Composite Structures, *Compos. Struct.* 2001, 52(3–4): 511–516
56. Khashaba, U. A. Delamination in Drilling GFR-Thermoset Composites, *Compos. Struct.* 2004, 63: 313-327
57. Hocheng, H. and Dharan, C. K. H. Delamination during Drilling in Composite Laminates. *J. Engng. Indus.* 1990, 112: 236–239
58. Gaitonde, V. N. Karnik, S. R. Rubio, J. C. Correia, A. E. Abra, A. M. Davim, J. P. A Study Aimed at Minimizing Delamination during Drilling of CFRP Composites *J. Compos. Mater.* 2011, 45(22): 2359-2368.
59. Ashrafi A. S. Sharif, S. Farid A. A. and Yahya, M. Y. Performance Evaluation of Carbide Tools in Drilling CFRP-AL Stacks. *J. Compos. Mater.* 2014, 48(17): 2071-2084.

60. Brinksmeier, E. and Janssen, R. Drilling of Multi-Layer Composite Materials Consisting of Carbon Fiber Reinforced Plastics (CFRP), Titanium and Aluminum Alloys. *CIRP. Ann. Manuf. Technol.* 2002, 51(1): 87–90
61. Ramulu, M. Werna, C. W. and Garbinia, J. L. Effect of Fibre Direction on Surface Roughness Measurements of Machined Graphite/Epoxy Composite. *Compos Manuf* 1993, 4(1): 39–51.
62. Kistler, *Kistler Rotary Dynamometer Type 9123C Instruction Manual*, 2010