

ADOPTION OF KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS (KMS) IN
UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

FATIMA ABUBAKAR

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Information Technology Specialization Information Technology
Management

Faculty of Computing
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

AUGUST 2017

Dedicated to

This dissertation is dedicated to my parents Mr. Atiku Abu-Bakr and Mrs. Raudah Abubakar Atiku for their endless love, support and encouragement. The depths of your love and belief in me have been uplifting me to complete this study. Encouragement given makes me become a better person and I strive every day to make you proud. May Almighty Allah continue to Guide and protect you with the entire family.

This dissertation, is also dedicated to my supervisor. Dr Nazmona Mat Ali, who was the inspiration for this research. Your guidance, patient, care, advice, help and wise counsel has fundamentally changed me for the better. Thank you for the inspiration and encouragement throughout this process. May Almighty Allah continues to bless and protected you. I would like to thank the lecturers of Faculty Computing that involved either directly or indirectly in helping, assistance and guidance for me to complete this dissertation. Among them are Dr. Suraya, and Dr. Norasnita.

Finally yet importantly, I dedicated to UTM lecturers family and friends who have struggled in helping to complete this dissertation. Thank you

ABSTRACT

Knowledge Management System(KMS) has become progressively vital for teaching and learning, and also potentially become one of the most significant applications in Information Technology (IT). This study used a quantitative approach, seeking a causative explanation of the decision behaviour of individuals toward the acceptance of KMS in teaching and learning. Data was collected through a questionnaire from students and lecturers of Faculty of Education, Faculty of Science Faculty of Bioscience and Medical Engineering and Faculty of Mechanical Engineering in UTM. The study framework was based on the second version of the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM2) using eight factors from the framework, the factors are subjective norm, image, job relevant, output quality, result demonstrability, perceived ease of use, perceived usefulness and intention to use. The data were analysed using Structural Equation Modelling, in order to determine the factors that influence the adoption of KMS by lecturers and students in teaching and learning. Results shows that output quality is significantly determined by perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use, which are significantly determined by intention to use, perceived usefulness and subjective norm. But subjective norm is not significantly determined by perceived usefulness, result demonstrability, job relevant and image.

ABSTRAK

Sistem Pengurusan Pengetahuan (KMS) telah menjadi semakin penting untuk pengajaran dan pembelajaran, dan juga berpotensi menjadi salah satu aplikasi yang paling penting dalam Teknologi Maklumat (IT). Kajian ini menggunakan pendekatan kuantitatif, mencari penjelasan penyebab tingkah laku keputusan individu terhadap penerimaan KMS dalam pengajaran dan pembelajaran. Data dikumpulkan melalui soal selidik dari pelajar dan pensyarah Fakulti Pendidikan, Fakulti Sains Fakulti Bio Sains dan Kejuruteraan Perubatan dan Fakulti Kejuruteraan Mekanikal di UTM. Rangka kerja kajian adalah berdasarkan kepada versi kedua Model Penerimaan Teknologi (iaitu, TAM2) menggunakan lapan faktor dari kerangka kerja, faktor-faktor tersebut adalah norma subjektif, imej, pekerjaan yang berkaitan, kualiti output, kebolehpercayaan hasil, kemudahan penggunaan yang dirasakan, kegunaan yang dirasakan dan niat untuk digunakan. Data dianalisis menggunakan pemodelan persamaan struktur, untuk menentukan faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi penggunaan KMS oleh pensyarah dan pelajar dalam pengajaran dan pembelajaran. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa kualiti output ditentukan dengan ketara oleh kegunaan yang dirasakan dan kemudahan penggunaan yang dirasakan, yang ketara ditentukan oleh niat untuk menggunakan, kebolegunaan dan norma subjektif. Tetapi norma subjektif tidak ketara ditentukan oleh kegunaan yang dilihat, hasil kebolehpercayaan, tugas yang berkaitan dan imej.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DEDICATION	iii
	ABSTRACT	iv
	ABSTRAK	v
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xiii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of the Study	1
	1.2 Problem Statements	2
	1.3 Research Questions	3
	1.4 Objectives	3
	1.5 Scopes of the Research	3
	1.6 Significance of the Research	4
	1.7 Thesis Organisation	4
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	5
	2.1 Introduction	5
	2.2 Knowledge management	5
	2.3 Definition of knowledge	7
	2.3.1 Type of Knowledge	7
	2.3.2 Procedural Knowledge	7
	2.3.3 Declarative knowledge	8

2.3.4	Explicit	8
2.3.5	Tacit	8
2.4	Knowledge Management Systems	10
2.5	Diference between Information System and Knowledge Management System	14
2.6	Knowledge Management Process	14
2.6.1	Socialization	15
2.6.2	Externalization	16
2.6.3	Combination	16
2.6.4	Internalization	16
2.7	Adoption Theories on ICT	17
2.7.1	Theory of reasoned action (TRA)	18
2.7.2	Theory of Social Cognitive Theory (SCT)	19
2.7.3	Theory of planned Behavior TPB	20
2.7.4	Model of PC Utilization (MPCU)	20
2.7.5	Theory of Motivation Model (MM)	21
2.7.6	Theory of Combined TAM – TPB	21
2.7.7	Extending Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology	22
2.7.8	Innovation Diffusion Theory (IDT)	23
2.7.9	Theory of acceptance model (TAM)	24
2.7.10	TAM 2	25
2.7.11	TAM3	28
2.7.12	Justification of Selecting TAM 2	30
2.8	E-learning as one of KMS	30
2.9	Previous Studies of Knowledge Management System Adoption	32
2.10	Chapter summary	39
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	40
3.1	Introduction	40
3.2	Research Design Framework	40
3.2.1	Phase 1: Formulate Research Question	42
3.2.2	Phase 2: Literature review	42

3.2.3	Phase 3: Initial model	42
3.2.4	Phase 4: Development of Instrument	43
3.2.4.1	Validating the questionnaire	43
3.2.4.2	Selecting sample respondents	44
3.2.5	Phase 5: Analysis	44
3.2.5.1	Distributing questionnaire	45
3.2.5.2	Analysis and reviewing the initial model	45
3.2.6	Phase 6: Conclusion and recommendation	46
3.3	Chapter Summary	46
4	MODEL OF KMS ADOPTION FOR TEACHING AND LEARNING	47
4.1	Introduction	47
4.2	Hypothesis	48
4.3	Questionnaire Design	49
4.4	Chapter Summary	52
5	ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS	53
5.1	Introduction	53
5.2	Data presentation	53
5.3	Respondent basic Data	53
5.4	Characteristic of Respondent	54
5.4.1	Respondent by ages	54
5.4.2	Respondent by Gender	55
5.4.3	Respondent by faculty	55
5.4.4	Type of KMS Use	56
5.5	Measurement Model Analysis	57
5.5.1	Construct Reliability	60
5.5.2	Discriminant Validity	61
5.5.3	Hypothesis Testing	63
5.5.4	Structural Model Analysis	64
5.6	Hypothesis Justification	66
5.7	KMS Adoption Model for teaching and learning	66

5.8	Chapter Summary	67
6	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	68
6.1	Introduction	68
6.2	Achievement of study	69
6.3	Limitation	70
6.4	Recommendation	70
6.5	Conclusion	71
	REFERENCES	72
	Appendix A	83

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1:	Definition of knowledge management	6
2.2:	Difference between declarative, procedural, tacit and explicit	9
2.3:	Definitions of Knowledge Management Systems	10
2.4:	Features General of E-learning in education sector.	12
2.5:	UTM e-learning Features.	13
2.6:	Summary of KM Processes	14
2.7:	Knowledge process with E-learning features.	31
2.8:	Factors Influence Adoption of KMS	34
2.9:	Factors of KMS and Authors	38
4.1:	Questionnaire Sources	49
5.1:	Measurement model table	60
5.2:	Checking discriminant validity	61
5.3:	Hypothesis testing	63

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	The Theory of Reasoned Action (Fayad and Paper, 2015)	18
2.2	Social Cognitive Theory (Bandura, 1989)	19
2.3	Theory of planned behaviour(Aizen, 1991)	20
2.4	The Model of PC Utilization (Thompson <i>et al.</i> , 1991)	21
2.5	Combined TAM-TPB. (Taylor and Todd, 195)	22
2.6	UTAUT2 (Venkatesh <i>et al.</i> , 2003)	23
2.7	The Innovation Diffusion Theory (Rogers, 2003)	24
2.8	The Technology Acceptance Model TAM (Davis, <i>et al.</i> ,1989)	25
2.9	Technology Acceptance Model (TAM 2) (Venkatesh and Davis, 2000)	28
2.10	TAM3 Theory (Venkatesh and Bala, 2008)	29
2.11	TAM2 with the related factors	38
3.1	Research Design Framework	41
4.1	Initial model of factors influence adoption of KMS	47
5.1	Respondent by age	54
5.2	Respondents by Gender	55
5.3	Respondent by Faculties	56
5.4	KMS Use	57
5.5	Result measurement using SmartPLS after removing the indicator	65
5.6	Path coefficient and Structural Model	65
5.7	KMS Adoption Model for teaching and learning	67

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

IS	-	Information system
IDT	-	Innovation diffusion theory
KM	-	Knowledge management
KMS	-	Knowledge management system
K	-	Knowledge
MPCU	-	Model of PC utilization
MM	-	Theory of motivation model
SCT	-	Social Cognitive theory
TC	-	Theory of combined
TOE	-	Technology Organization environment
TAM	-	technology Acceptance model
TRA	-	Theory of reasoned action
TPB	-	Theory of planned behaviour
TAM2	-	Technology acceptance model 2
UTAUT	-	Unified theory of acceptance and used technology
UTAUT2	-	Extend unified theory of acceptance and used technology

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Questionnaires	97

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Knowledge management (KM) has been increased attention since the early 1990s. As a result, more and more organizations in various business sectors have undertaken KM initiatives with different degrees of success, one of them is education sector. Problems experienced in KM initiatives are frequently attributed to focus on KM technological dimension, together with a lack of consideration for the social dimension, for instance organizational culture, (Ramakrishnan and Norizan, 2012). KMS could play an important role in their organization by helping them to improve their service quality especially in teaching and learning (Ramakrishnan and Norizan, 2012).

Today, educational environment is very dynamic and undergoes rapid changes, this is as a result of technological innovation, increased awareness and demands from customers. Business organization, especially the education sector of the 21st century operate in a complex and competitive environment, characterized by changing conditions and highly unpredictable economic climate. The application of knowledge management concept, technique, policies and practice strategies to the education sector has become a subject of fundamental importance and concerns to education and indeed prerequisites for local and global competitiveness.

However for an education sector to fully realizing the potentials of its knowledge assets, specific knowledge management systems (KMS) are required to

conduct and facilitate the process, such processes knowledge application of an education sector. Knowledge management systems are considered as a new technology, and therefore, like any other new technology, educational sector face various challenges in deciding whether to adopt it or not, and also, how the users would react to the new system.

Moreover, achieving the expected target is still a challenging task. While few organizations have enjoyed its benefits, others have had a scale back the adoption and accept the minimum payoffs and some others simply give up on the adoption. In fact, it has been estimated that more than 50-70% of KMS project fail to achieve the desired output (Matayong and Mahmood, 2012). Users are the key towards successful uses of KMS. Thus, it is important to ensure the users understand the benefits of using KMS, the usefulness and ease of use is key determinant of adoption in every organization.

1.2 Problem Statements

Many faculties nowadays has the desire to adopt KMS, Since KMS play an important role in education sector which helps the faculty members to improve their service quality in both teaching and learning (Ramakrishnan and Norizan, 2012). There are some factors that influence user to use the system, most of the users of the system don't understand the uses of the system and this is why they do not use it, or some system are rather complicated.

“What are the factors that influence the adoption of Knowledge Management Systems for teaching and learning (T&L) in UTM?”

1.3 Research Questions

- i. What are the factors that influence the adoption of knowledge management systems in teaching and learning?
- ii. How to validate and evaluate the proposed factors of KMS adoption for teaching and learning?

1.4 Objectives

- i. To identify the factors that influences the adoption of knowledge management systems in teaching and learning.
- ii. To validate and evaluate the proposed factors of KMS adoption.

1.5 Scopes of the Research

This research focused on adoption of knowledge management system for teaching and learning in UTM. To find out what factors that influence students and lecturers to use KMS for the purpose of this research work, hand to hand questioner will be distributed to students and staff in four (4) major faculties as follows;

- i. Faculty of Education
- ii. Faculty of Science,
- iii. Faculty of Bioscience and Medical Engineering
- iv. Faculty of Mechanical Engineering

1.6 Significance of the Research

There are several significance of study that will be obtained as can be seen, the major contribution of this study is to find the factors that influence the student and lecturers to adopt KMS. Its purpose is to determine the perception of lecturers and students in UTM on KMS, and how they can properly manage the flow of knowledge in teaching and learning. Moreover, the findings of this study will provide the major faculties in UTM with more light on academics perception of KMS in teaching and learning.

1.7 Thesis Organisation

This chapter is about introduction, background of the problem, problem statement, objectives of the study, scope of the study, and significance of the research. In Chapter 2, the relevant literature is reviewed in order to gain more understanding of the adoption of KMS. In Chapter 3, methodology and research design are discussed in detail in order to have a clear guide for the project execution, while Chapter 4, is initial model of KMS Adoption for teaching and learning. Chapter 5, will be the analysis and findings, while Chapter 6, will be conclusion of the project and recommendation for future study.

REFERENCES

- Abu-Dalbouh, H.M. (2013) A questionnaire approach based on the technology acceptance model for mobile tracking on patient progress applications. *Journal of Computer Science*, **9**, 763–770.
- Ahmed, S., Fiaz, M., and Shoaib, M. (2015) Impact of Knowledge Management Practices on Organizational Performance: an Empirical study of Banking Sector in Pakistan. *FWU Journal of Social Sciences*, **9**, 147–167.
- Ajzen, I. (1991) The Theory of Planned Behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* **50**, 179-211.
- Al-Adaileh, R.M. and Al-Atawi, M.S. (2011) Organizational culture impact on knowledge exchange: Saudi Telecom context. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, **15**, 212–230.
- Al-Adwan, A., Adwan, A.A., and Smedley, J. (2013) Exploring students acceptance of e-learning using technology acceptance model in jordanian universities. *International Journal of Education and Development using Information and Communication Technology*, **9**, 4–18.
- Al-Mamary, Y.H. and Shamsuddin, A. (2015) Testing of the Technology Acceptance Model in Context of Yemen. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, **6**, 268–273.
- Alatawi, F.M.H., Dwivedi, Y.K., and Williams, M.D. (2013a) Developing a conceptual model for investigating adoption of knowledge management system in Saudi Arabian public sector. *International Journal of Business Information Systems*, **14**, 135–163.

- Alatawi, F.M.H., Williams, M.D., and Dwivedi, Y.K. (2013b) Exploring Importance of Environmental Factors for Adoption of Knowledge Management Systems in Saudi Arabian Public Sector Organisations. *International Journal of Electronic Government Research*, **9**, 19–37.
- Alharbi, Saleh, and Drew, Steve. (2014) Using the Technology Acceptance Model in Understanding Academics' Behavioural Intention to Use Learning Management Systems. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, **5**, 143–155
- Alavi, M. and Leidner, D.E. (2001) Knowledge management and knowledge management systems: Conceptual foundations and research issues. *MIS Quarterly*, **25**, 107–136.
- Alomary, A. and Woollard, J. (2015) How Is Technology Accepted by Users? A Review of Technology Acceptance Models and Theories. *The IRES 17th International Conference*, 1–4.
- Assegaff, S. (2015) Factors Influencing the Knowledge Seekers in Adopting the Knowledge Management System (KMS). **19**, 63–74.
- Assegaff, S., Razak, A., and Hussin, C. (2012) Review of Knowledge Management Systems As Socio-Technical System. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1212.0387*.
- Bandura. (1986) *A. Social Foundations of Thought and Action: A Social Cognitive Theory*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ,
- Becerra-Fernandez, I. and Sabherwal, R. (2015) *Knowledge Management: Systems and Processes, second edition*. P. in.: Roulledge, New York, 1-367.
- Bhatia, R.P. (2011) Features and Effectiveness of E-learning Tools. *Global Journal of Business Management and Information Technology*, **1**, 1–7.
- Billari C., F., Philipov, D., and Testa, M.R. (2005) The Influence of Attitudes, Subjective Norms and perceived Behavioral Control on Union Formation Intentions. *Iussp*, 10.
- Blau, P. M. (1964) *Exchange and Power in Social Life*. John Wiley, New York.

- Borouzan E., M, Mehrdadi, H., Sabet, Z, Saleki., and M. Manafi. (2012) A case study of implementing Knowledge management System in Health care in Malaysia. *Int J Res. Manage. Technol*, **2** 487-494.
- Chen, Y. and K, Hew. (2015) Knowledge Sharing in Virtual Distributed Environments: Main Motivators, Discrepancies of Findings and Suggestions for Future Research. *International Journal of Information and Education Technology*, **5**, 466–471.
- Compeau, D., Higgins, C.A., and Huff, S. (1999) Social cognitive theory and individual reactions to computing technology: A longitudinal study. *MIS Quarterly*, **23**, 145–158.
- Davis, F.D. (1989) Perceived Usefulness , Perceived Ease Of Use , And User Acceptance. *MIS Quarterly*, **13**, 319–339.
- Davis, F.D., Bagozzi, R.P. and Warshaw, P.R. (1989) User Acceptance of Computer Technology: A Comparison of Two Theoretical Models," *Management Science* (35, 982-1002.
- Davis, F.D., and Warshaw, P.R. (1992) Extrinsic and Intrinsic Motivation to Use Computers in the Workplace. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, **22**, 1111-1132.
- Darvish, H, and Nazari E, A. (2013) Investigating the Influence of Knowledge Management Practices on Organizational Performance: An Empirical Study. *Acta Polytechnica Hungarica*, **2**, .207–216
- Dahiya, D., Gupta, M. and Jain, P. (2012) Enterprise Knowledge Management System: A Multi Agent Perspective. *Information Systems, Technology and Management*, **4**, 271–281
- Denford, J.S. and Chan, Y.E. (2011) Knowledge strategy typologies: defining dimensions and relationships. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*, **9**, 102–119.

- Dong, T., Hung, C.-L., and Cheng, N.-C. (2016) Enhancing knowledge sharing intention through the satisfactory context of continual service of knowledge management systems. *Information Technology and People*, **29**, 807–829.
- Fan, C. and Fan, C. (2014) Applied the Technology Acceptance Model to Survey the mobile-learning adoption behavior in Science Museum. **12**, 22–29.
- Fishbein, M., and Ajzen, I. (1975) *Belief, Attitude, Intention and Behavior: An Introduction to Theory and Research*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA.
- Fayad, R. and Paper, D. (2015) The technology acceptance model e-commerce extension: A conceptual framework. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, **26**, 1000–1006. Elsevier B.V.
- Fornell, C. and Larcker, D.F. (1981) Evaluating Structural Equation Models with Unobservable Variables and Measurement Error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, **18**, 39. American Marketing Association.
- Girish, G.P., Joseph, D., Roy, S., and Raju, G.A. (2015) Factors Influencing Adoption of Knowledge Management Systems in India from a Micro , Small and Medium Enterprise ' s. **5**, 135–140.
- Greco, M., Grimaldi, M., and Hanandi, M. (2013) How to select knowledge management systems: A framework to support managers regular paper. *International Journal of Engineering Business Management*, **5**, 1–11.
- Hair, J.F., Ringle, C.M., and Sarstedt, M. (2011a) PLS-SEM: Indeed A silver Bullet". *Journal of Marketting Theory and Practice*, **19**, 139–150.
- Hair, J.F., Ringle, C.M., and Sarstedt, M. (2011b) PLS-SEM: Indeed A silver Bullet". *Journal of Marketting Theory and Practice*, **19**, 139–150.
- Hair, J, F., G. T. M. Hult, C. M. Ringle and M. Sarstedt. (2013) *A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)*, Thousand Oaks: Sage,

- Ham, M., Jeger, M., and Frajman Ivković, A. (2015) The role of subjective norms in forming the intention to purchase green food. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja*, **28**, 738–748. Routledge.
- Hartwick, J., and Barki, H. (1994) Explaining the Role of User Participation in Information System Use, *Management Science*, 40, 4, 440-465.
- Hossain, M.M., Ouedraogo, N., and Rezania, D. (2013) Student Acceptance of Knowledge Management Systems : Evidence from a Canadian Business School. *International Journal of Business and Management*, **8**, 29–41.
- Howard, N. L., Marshall, P., and Swatman, P. A. (2010) Reconceptualising Motivation in Adoption and Acceptance Research: Back to Basics. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 21st Australasian Conference on Information Systems, Brisbane.
- Hulland, J. (1999) Use of Partial Least Squares (PLS) in Strategic Management Research : A Review of Four Recent Studies. *Strate*, **20**, 195–204.
- Jaleel, S. and Verghis, A.M. (2015) Knowledge Creation in Constructivist Learning. **3**, 8–12.
- Jeffrey, L. M. (2015) The Adoption of Blended E-learning Technology in Vietnam using a Revision of the Technology Acceptance Model. *Journal of Information Technology Education: Research*, **13**, 121-140
- Kabir, N. (2013) Tacit Knowledge , its Codification and Technological Advancement. *The Electronic Journal of Knowledge Management*, **11**, 235–243.
- Khanam, L., Mahfuz, M.A., and Ahmed, E. (2016) Employee Behavioral Intention to Adopt Knowledge Management Systems (KMS) in Bangladesh. Pp. 445–453in:*Proceeding of European Conference of Knowledge Managemet ECKM*.
- Kripanont, N. (2007) Using technology acceptance model of Internet usage by academics within Thai Business Schools. PhD thesis submitted to the Victoria University. Available at: <http://wallaby.vu.edu.au/adtVVUT/public/adt->

VVUT20070911.152902/index.html[Accessed on 6 January 2017]

- Kim, Y. and Crowston, K. (2011) Technology Adoption and Use Theory Review for Studying Scientists Continued Use of Cyber-infrastructure. *Proceedings of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, **48**, 1–10.
- Kuo, R. and Lee, G. (2011) The impact of empowering leadership for KMS adoption. *Management Decision*, **49**, 1120–1140.
- Lin, H. (2013) Examining the factors influencing knowledge management system adoption and continuance intention. *Knowledge Management Research and Practice*, **11**, 389–404.
- Lin, H.-C. (2014) An investigation of the effects of cultural differences on physicians' perceptions of information technology acceptance as they relate to knowledge management systems. *Computers in Human Behavior*, **38**, 368–380.
- Lwoga, E.T. and Komba, M. (2015) Antecedents of continued usage intentions of web-based learning management system in Tanzania. *Education + Training*, **57**, 738–756.
- Mahmood, J., Dahlan, H.M., and Hussin, A.R.C. (2013) Enhancement of e-learning system by using social network features. *2013 IEEE Conference on e-Learning, e-Management and e-Services, IC3e 2013*, 24–29.
- Mafuna, M., and N. Wadesango. (2016) Exploring Lecturers' Acceptance Level of Learning Management System (LMS) at Applying the Extended Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) *J Soc Sci*, **48** 63–70.
- Mahroeian, H.H. and Foroza, A. (2012) Challenges in Managing Tacit Knowledge : A Study on Difficulties in Diffusion of Tacit Knowledge in Organizations. *International Business and Social Science*, **3**, 303–308.
- Maier, D., Kalus, W., Wolff, M., Kalko, S.G., Roca, J., Marin de Mas, I., Turan, N., Cascante, M., Falciani, F., Hernandez, M., Villà-Freixa, J. and Losko, S. (2011) Knowledge Management for Systems Biology; a general and visually driven framework applied to translational medicine. *BMC Syst. Biol.*, **5**, 38.

- Makani, J. (2012) Revisiting Knowledge Management Systems: Exploring Factors Influencing the Choices of Knowledge Management Systems in Knowledge-Intensive Organisations. *Journal of Information & Knowledge Management*, **11**, 1–20.
- Matayong, S. and Mahmood, B. (2012) The studies of Knowledge Management System in organization: A systematic review. *2012 International Conference on Computer & Information Science (ICCIS)*, **1**, 221–226.
- Matayong, S., Kamil, A., and Mahmood, B. (2011) The Grounded Process of KMS Adoption : The Case Study of Knowledge Sharing and CoPs in Oil and Gas Industry in Malaysia. *Software Engineering and Computer Systems*, 167–176.
- Mills, A.M., Smith, T.A., Mills, A.M., and Smith, T.A. (2011) Knowledge management and organizational performance: a decomposed view. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, **15**, 156–171.
- Muhammad-Bashir Owolabi, Y. and Alias, M.D. (2013) Measurement model of corporate zakat collection in Malaysia: A test of diffusion of innovation theory. *Humanomics*, **29**, 61–74.
- Nonaka, I. and Konno, N. (1998) The concept of “Ba.” *California Management Review*.
- Nonaka, I. and Lewin, A.Y. (1994) Dynamic Theory Knowledge of Organizational Creation. *Organization Science*, **5**, 14–37.
- Nonaka, I., Toyama, R., and Byosière, P. (2001) Theory of Organizational Knowledge Creation: Understanding the Dynamic Process of Creating Knowledge. In: *DIERKES, M.; et al. Handbook Organizational Learning and Knowledge. .*
- Nunnally, J.C. and Bernstein, I.H. (1967) *Psychometric theory*. P. in.: 3rd Edition. McGraw-Hill, New York, 275-280.

- Oliveira, T. and Martins, M. (2011) Literature review of Information Technology Adoption Models at Firm Level. *Electronic Journal of Information*, **14**, 110–121.
- Othman, M.S., Mohamad, N., Yusuf, L.M., Yusof, N., and Suhaimi, S.M. (2012) An Analysis of e-Learning System Features in Supporting the True e-Learning 2.0. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, **56**, 454–460.
- Pai, J. and Zou, L. (2013) An Empirical Study of Factors Influencing the Use of Knowledge Management Systems in A Public Sector Organization. *International Journal of E-Business Development*, **3**, 56–63.
- P. B. Lowry and J. Gaskin, "Partial least squares (PLS) Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) for Building and Testing Behavioral Causal Theory: When to Choose It and How to Use It," *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 123-146, 2014.
- Pension, K., Nyasha, M., Sheiller, M., and Vhuramai, C. (2013) Impact of Knowledge Management on Organizational Performance : A Case Study of Grain Marketing Board (GMB). *Greener Journal of Business and Management Studies*, **3**, 270–278.
- Posner, P.L. (2009) The pracademic: An agenda for re-engaging practitioners and academics. *Public Budgeting and Finance*, **29**, 12–26.
- Prasetya, W.Y.S., Shihab, M.R., and Sandhyaduhita, P.I. (2015) Exploring the Roles of Personality Factors on Knowledge Management System Acceptance. 107–112.
- Rahman, A., Chairman, Z., and Zubairi-lecturer, S.A. (2012) Role of Knowledge Management in Higher Education – A Qualitative Model. 1104–1118.
- Ramakrishnan, K. and Norizan, M.Y. (2012) Knowledge Management System and Higher Education Institutions. *International Conference on Information and Network Technology*, **37**, 67–71.

- Rohendi, D. (2012) Development Model for Knowledge Management System (KMS) to Improve University ' s Performance (Case Studies in Indonesia University of Education). *Journal of Computer Science*, **9**, 1–6.
- Sharma, S. and Chandel, J. (2013) Technology Acceptance Model for the use of learning through websites among students in Oman. *International Arab Journal of e-Technology* .
- Shih, Y.-Y., Lu, Y.-H., Liu, T.-Y., and Wu, M.-F. (2017) THE STAFFS ' ADOPTION INTENTION OF KNOWLEDGE MAN- AGEMENT SYSTEM IN GREEN HOSPITAL — THE THEORY OF. *The International Journal of Organizational Innovation*, **9**, 27–36.
- Shroff, R.H., Deneen, C.C., and Ng, E.M.W. (2011) Analysis of the technology acceptance model in examining students ' behavioural intention to use an e-portfolio system. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, **27**, 600–618.
- Samaradiwakara, G. D. M. N., and C, G, Gunawardena. (2014) COMPARISON OF EXISTING TECHNOLOGY ACCEPTANCE THEORIES AND MODELS TO SUGGEST A WELL IMPROVED THEORY/MODEL. *International Technical Sciences Journal*, **1**, 21–36
- Surendran, P. (2012) Technology Acceptance Model: A Survey of Literature *International Journal of Business and Social Research (IJBSR)*, **2**,175–178
- Suzana, R. and Kasim, R. (2010) The relationship of knowledge management practices, the performance of government departments and Administrative and Diplomatic Officers in Malaysia. *ICEMT. International Conference on Education and Management Technology, Proceedings*, 531–535.
- Thompson, R. L., Higgins, C. A., and Howell, J. M. (1991) Personal Computing: Toward a Conceptual Model of Utilization. *MIS Quarterly*, **15**, 125-143.

- Triandis, H. C. *Interpersonal Behavior*, Brooke/ Cole, Monterey, CA, 1977
- Tsai, J.C. and Hung, S. (2016) Determinants of knowledge management system adoption in health care. *Journal of Organizational Computing and Electronic Commerce ISSN:*, **26**, 1091–9392.
- Tubigi, M., Alshawi, S.N., and Alalwany, H. (2013) Impact of Knowledge Management Processes on Organisational Performance ; A Preliminary Study. 1–16.
- Taylor, S., and Todd, P. A. (1995) Assessing IT Usage: The Role of Prior Experience, *MIS Quarterly* **19**, 561-570
- Un Jan, A. and Contreras, V. (2016) Success model for knowledge management systems used by doctoral researchers. *Computers in Human Behavior*, **59**, 258–264. Elsevier Ltd.
- Vallerand, R. J. (1997) Toward a Hierarchical Model of Intrinsic and Extrinsic Motivation,” in *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* M. Zanna (ed.), Academic Press, New York, 271-360.
- Venkatesh, Viswanath., and H. Bala. (2008) Technology Acceptance Model 3 and a Research Agenda on Interventions. *Journal compilation of Decision Sciences Institute*, **2**, 273–315
- Venter, P., van Rensburg, M. J., and Davis, A. (2012) Drivers of learning management system use in a South African open and distance learning institution. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, **28**,183–198
- Venkatesh, V., and Davis, F. D. (2000). A theoretical extension of the technology acceptance model: Four longitudinal field studies. *Management Science*, **46**, 186–204
- Wang, W.T. and Lai, Y.J. (2014) Examining the adoption of KMS in organizations from an integrated perspective of technology, individual, and organization. *Computers in Human Behavior*, **38**, 55–67. Elsevier Ltd.

- Wang, Y., Meister, D.B., and Gray, P.H. (2013) Social Influence and Knowledge Management Systems Use: Evidence from Panel Data. *MIS Quarterly*, **37**, 299–313.
- Wu MEI., HAN-PING, C., and YUNG, C, W. (2011).TAM2-based Study of Website User Behavior—Using Web 2.0 Websites as an Example. *WSEAS TRANSACTIONS on BUSINESS and ECONOMICS*, 4, 133-151
- Xu, J. and Quaddus, M. (2012) Examining a model of knowledge management systems adoption and diffusion: A Partial Least Square approach. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, **27**, 18–28.
- Yılmaz, İ. and Yalçın, N. (2012) The relationship of procedural and declarative knowledge of science teacher candidates in Newton’s laws of motion to understanding. *American International Journal of Contemporary Research*, **2**, 50–56.
- Yun, E.K. (2013) Predictors of attitude and intention to use knowledge management system among Korean nurses. *Nurse Education Today*, **33**, 1477–1481. Elsevier Ltd.
- Yusuf, Muhammad-Bashir Owolabi, and Alias Mat Derus, (2013) Measurement model of corporate zakat collection in Malaysia: A test of diffusion of innovation theory, *Humanomics*, **29**, 61–74
- Zwass, V. (2011) Information System. Retrieved February 14, 2017, from Britannica:<http://www.britannica.com/EBchecked/topic/287895/informationssystem>