

COMPARISON BETWEEN PREDICTED AND ACTUAL GROUND
SETTLEMENT IMPROVED USING STONE COLUMNS

CHEE KHONG SIONG

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award degree of
Master of Engineering (Civil-Geotechnics)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2015

I dedicate this project report to

All my family, the symbol of love and giving,

My friends who encourage and support me,

All the people in my life who touch my heart,

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to thank to my parents. The moment I decided to further my study for part time degree of master. I had to sacrifice the time to accompany my parents. Thanks for their patient and kind to allow me to complete my master.

I would like to thanks my supervisor, Dr. Rini Asnida Binti Abdullah. She always gives me encouragement when I am lost on what I am doing. I am gratefully appreciated her patient, her precious time, her encouragement and her assistant in guiding me to complete this report.

Next, I would like to thank my company Gamuda Engineering Sdn. Bhd. allow me to complete my master in part time. I also would like to thanks Mr. Ir. Andrew Yeow Pow Kwei and Mr. Andrew Tham Chee Kin. They are great superiors who always provide me technical advice, support and guidance. The arrangement of working time allows me to complete this report successfully is highly appreciated.

Last but not least, I wish to thank all my colleagues and friends who had helped me a lot and guided me with their knowledge. Thanks for all the support and encouragement to success me in completing this report.

ABSTRACT

Ground improvement is an important requirement in today's construction industry. One of the ground improvement techniques is stone column which reinforces the ground with compacted stone. It is a very efficient method of improving the strength parameters of soils like shear strength, bearing capacity and reducing the consolidation settlement. It offers a much economical and sustainable alternative to piling and deep foundation solutions. Many methods have been developed by past researchers to predict the ground settlement improved with stone columns. However, the predicted ground settlement is complicated and remains a problem for practical applications. The main purpose of the study is to outline and recommend the appropriate method to predict the ground settlement improved using stone columns. In this study, ground settlement improved using stone columns will be analyzed in both analytical and numerical methods. For analytical analysis, the settlement calculation will focus on two conventional methods which are Equilibrium Method and Priebe Method. For numerical analysis, the settlement of ground treated with stone columns will be modelled in finite element software (PLAXIS 2D V8), in the plane strain method, the behaviour of the ground settlement can be simulated with consolidation process. Then a comparison between field monitored settlement and predicted settlement mentioned above had been carried out. Based on this study, Priebe Method was proved to be reliable even though it does not capture all the fundamental soil parameters and stress changes that take place during stone columns installation and subsequent loading during embankment construction.

ABSTRAK

Kaedah pembaikan tanah merupakan keperluan penting dalam industri pembinaan pada hari ini. Tiang batu atau “Stone Column” adalah salah satu teknik pembaikan tanah yang memperkukuhkan tanah dengan batu dipadatkan di bawah tanah. Kaedah tiang batu adalah satu teknik yang berkesan untuk meningkatkan parameter-parameter tanah yang berkaitan dengan kekuatan tanah seperti kekuatan ricih dan keupayaan galas serta mengurangkan enapan tanah. Kaedah ini telah menjadi alternatif yang lebih murah dan berkekalan ketika dibandingkan dengan cerucuk dan penyelesaian asas dalam yang lain. Banyak kaedah anggaran untuk meramalkan enapan tanah bagi tanah yang telah ditambahbaikkan dengan tiang batu telah dikaji. Walau bagaimanapun, ramalan enapan tanah yang telah ditambahbaikkan dengan tiang batu adalah rumit dan aplikasi praktikal masih perlu diperbaiki. Tujuan utama kajian ini adalah untuk mengetahui kaedah yang sesuai untuk meramalkan enapan tanah yang telah ditambahbaikkan dengan menggunakan teknik tiang batu. Dalam kajian ini, enapan tanah bagi tanah yang telah ditambahbaikkan dengan tiang batu akan dikaji dengan analisis analitikal dan analisis berangka. Dalam analisis analitikal, ramalan enapan tanah akan fokus kepada Kaedah Equilibrium dan Kaedah Priebe. Manakala, ramalan enapan tanah dengan analisis berangka akan dikaji dengan program komputer yang memiliki kaedah unsur terhingga (Plaxis 2D V8). Kaedah satah terikan dan proses enapan telah disimulasikan dalam model komputer. Selepas itu, enapan yang sebenar berlaku di tapak dan enapan yang diramalkan telah dibandingkan. Berdasarkan kajian ini, Kaedah Priebe telah terbukti boleh dipercayai walaupun ia tidak mempertimbangkan semua parameter tanah dan perubahan tekanan yang berlaku ketika pemasangan tiang batu dan pembinaan tambak.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xv
1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objectives of the Study	3
	1.4 Scope and Limitation of the Study	3
	1.5 Significance of the Study	4

2	LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	Introduction	5
2.2	Stone Columns	6
2.2.1	Installation Techniques	7
2.2.2	Materials	11
2.3	Design Principles	11
2.4	Ground Settlement Improved Using Stone Columns	17
2.4.1	Equilibrium Method	20
2.4.2	Priebe Method	22
2.4.2.1	Stone column Material was Incompressible	24
2.4.2.2	Effect of the Compressibility of the Column Material	25
2.4.2.3	Effect of Overburden	26
2.4.3	Numerical Modelling	29
2.5	Estimation for the Degree of Consolidation	31
2.5.1	Asaoka Method	32
3	METHODOLOGY	
3.1	Introduction	34
3.2	Literature Review	36
3.3	Data Collection	36
3.4	Data Analysis	37
3.5	Comparison of Results	38
3.6	Conclusion and Recommendations	38

4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1	Introduction	39
4.2	Background of Case Study	40
4.2.1	Subsoil Conditions	43
4.2.2	Embankment Geometry and Stone Column Design	44
4.2.3	Instrumentation and Monitoring	45
4.3	Prediction of Ground Settlement	47
4.3.1	Equilibrium Method (Analytical Method)	47
4.3.2	Priebe Method (Analytical Method)	49
4.3.3	PLAXIS 2D V8 – Plane Strain Model (Numerical Method)	51
4.4	Settlement Plot	54
4.5	Discussion from Result of Analysis	57
5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1	General	64
5.2	Conclusion	64
5.3	Recommendations and Suggestions for Future Work	66
	REFERENCES	68
	APPENDICES A-C	73

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
4.1	Idealized Subsoil Profile (Yee <i>et al.</i> , 2014)	44
4.2	List of Instruments for Zone 1 Test Embankment	45
4.3	Parameters from One-Dimensional Consolidation Test	48
4.4	List of Parameters Used for Priebe Method	49
4.5	Settlement Improvement Factors	50
4.6	Material Parameters for Plaxis Model	52
4.7	Summary of Ultimate Settlement and Percentage Differences between Actual and Predicted Settlement	63

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Cross Section through (a) Top Feed and (b) Bottom Feed Vibrator (Raison, 2004)	8
2.2	Method of Installation (a) Wet Method and (b) Dry Method (Oo, 2004)	8
2.3	Rammed Stone Columns Constructed by Bailer and Chisel Technique (Som and Das, 2003)	10
2.4	Failure Mechanism of a Single Stone Column in a Homogeneous Soft Layer (Barksdale and Bachus, 1983)	12
2.5	Failure Mechanism of a Stone Columns Group in a Homogeneous Soft Layer (Barksdale and Bachus, 1983)	13
2.6	Stone Columns Installation Pattern (a) Triangular and (b) Square (IS: 15284 – Part 1)	14
2.7	Stone Columns Stress Distribution (Barksdale and Bachus, 1983)	15
2.8	Settlement of Stone Columns Foundation (Som, 1995)	18
2.9	Maximum Reductions in Settlement that can be Obtained Using Stone Columns - Equilibrium Method of Analysis (Barksdale and Bachus, 1983)	22

2.10	Settlement Reduction due to Stone Column – Priebe and Equilibrium Methods (Barksdale and Bachus, 1983)	28
2.11	Cross Section of Unit Cell Stone Column and Plane Strain Conversion (Tan <i>et al.</i> , 2008)	30
2.12	Asaoka Plot with S_n versus S_{n-1} (Asaoka, 1978)	33
3.1	Methodology Flow Chart	35
4.1	Overall IPBDT Layout Plan (Solihin, 2010)	41
4.2	Zone Test Layout Plan (Solihin, 2010)	42
4.3	Zone 1 Test Embankment Layout Plan (Solihin, 2010)	42
4.4	IPBDT on Malaysia Geology Map (Geology Map of Peninsular Malaysia, 8 th Edition, 1985)	43
4.5	Plane Strain Model Generated Using Plaxis 2D V8	51
4.6	Total Settlement Simulated with Plaxis 2D for RSG 1	53
4.7	Settlement Plot for RSG 1	54
4.8	Settlement Plot for RSG 2	54
4.9	Settlement Plot for RSG 3	55
4.10	Settlement Plot for RSG 4	55
4.11	Settlement Plot for RSG 5	55
4.12	Settlement Plot for RSG 6	56
4.13	Average Settlement Plot for All Settlement Gauges	56
4.14	Asaoka Plot for RSG 1	59
4.15	Asaoka Plot for RSG 2	60
4.16	Asaoka Plot for RSG 3	60
4.17	Asaoka Plot for RSG 4	61
4.18	Asaoka Plot for RSG 5	61
4.19	Asaoka Plot for RSG 6	62

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A	-	Tributary Area
A_c	-	Area of stone column
a_s	-	Area replacement ratio
b_c	-	Radius of stone columns model in Plaxis
C_c	-	Compression index
C_r	-	Recompression index
c	-	Cohesion
D	-	Stone column diameter
D_c	-	Constrained modulus of stone column
D_e	-	Equivalent diameter
D_s	-	Constrained modulus of soils
d	-	Depth of stone column
E	-	Young Modulus
e_0	-	Initial void ratio
f_d	-	Depth factor
H	-	Vertical distance of the ground which settlements are being calculate
K_{aC}	-	Coefficient of active earth pressure
K_{oC}	-	Coefficient of earth pressure at rest
k_h	-	Permeability in horizontal direction
k_v	-	Permeability in vertical direction
n	-	Stress concentration factor
n_0	-	Basic improvement factor
n_1	-	Reduced improvement factor
n_2	-	Final improvement factor

n_{\max}	-	Maximum value of improvement factor
P	-	Total applied load
P_c	-	Load applied on stone column
P_s	-	Load applied on soils
p'_c	-	Preconsolidation effective stress
R_e	-	Equivalent radius
r_c	-	Radius of stone columns at field
S	-	Settlement of untreated soil
S_n	-	Settlement at time t_n
S_{n-1}	-	Settlement at time t_{n-1}
S_{ult}	-	Ultimate settlement
S_t	-	Total settlement for ground improved using stone columns
s	-	Spacing between stone column
W_c	-	Weight of stones
W_s	-	Weight of soils
β	-	Settlement reduction ratio for stone columns treatment
β_0	-	Intercept of the fitted straight line with S_n axis
β_1	-	Gradient or slope of the fitted straight line
$\delta\sigma'$	-	Increase in effective stress due to applied load
φ	-	Angle of friction
φ_c	-	Friction angle of stone columns
γ_c	-	Unit weight of stone columns
γ_s	-	Unit weight of soils
ρc_1	-	Settlement of soil within depth of stone columns treatment
ρc_2	-	Settlement of untreated soil below stone columns
μ_c	-	Ratio of stresses acting on the stone column
μ_s	-	Ratio of stresses acting on the soft soil
ν_s	-	Poisson's ratio of soil
σ'_0	-	Average initial effective stress
σ_c	-	Vertical stress acting on the stone column
σ_s	-	Vertical stress acting on the surrounding soft soil

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Zone Test Layout Plan	74
B	Priebe Method Calculation Spreadsheet	75
C	Average Settlement for Field Monitored Data, Equilibrium Method, Priebe Method and Plaxis 2D	77

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Soft ground improvement technique is a method to improve the mechanical behavior of soft soil in order to speed up construction. The purposes of ground improvement are to increase the bearing capacity, reduce the magnitude of deformations and differential settlements, accelerate the consolidation by reducing the excess pore water pressures and increase the soil shear strength in order to reduce the construction cost and shorten the construction time. Basically, ground improvement can be classified into two categories namely as techniques involving works on soil such as dewatering and compaction and methods that require foreign materials such as use of chemical admixtures or utilization of various reinforcement.

With the ever-growing economical activities in Malaysia, infrastructure development such as highway and railway interlinking between Northern and Southern region of Peninsular Malaysia, ground improvement technique is gradually becoming a vital aspect in the construction industry. However, the construction of such infrastructure projects requires different foundations and ground improvement

techniques for different geological formations and ground conditions. The existing soil for a given site might not be suitable for supporting the desired facilities as the safe bearing capacity of soil might not be adequate to support the given loads. Therefore, as a geotechnical engineer, it is necessary to ensure the soil properties within the influence zone to be improved in order to make them suitable to support the required loads.

Although there are variety soil improvement techniques available in the market, “stone column” is still one of the most popular and effective technique in practice around the world. Installation of stone columns into soft soil helps to improve the shear strength, reduce the excessive settlement and speed up the consolidation process by shortening the horizontal drainage paths for pore water flow. Hence, stone columns have been successfully used for the construction of highway embankment, industrial and residential structures, airport runways and also railway tracks.

1.2 Problem Statement

Stone column is used as one form of ground improvement in cohesive soils to meet specific bearing capacity, settlement magnitude and settlement rate requirements. There are many methods established that can be used for settlement prediction after the soft ground is treated with stone columns. However, the predicted settlement normally difficult to match the actual settlement recorded using settlement gauges at site.

If the actual settlement is higher than the predicted settlement, it will create havoc especially for infrastructure project and foundation of the structures. However, if the predicted settlement is too much higher than the actual settlement, the

structures or building designed above the treated ground will be safe, but the design is not cost effective. Remedial works on ground treated with stone column will incur higher cost to the particular project. Therefore the method to obtain accurate predicted settlement to match with actual field settlement is crucial.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

The main purpose of this study is to determine the suitable method to use in predicting the ground settlement improved using stone columns at Koding, Kedah. In order to achieve the aim of the study, the following objectives are set forth:

- a) To understand the mechanism of settlement for ground treated with stone columns using Priebe Method and Equilibrium Method.
- b) To acquire and analyze field data for ground treated with stone columns.
- c) To simulate ground settlement, compare between predicted and actual ground settlement and suggest the most improvisation method to predict ground settlement improved using stone columns.

1.4 Scope and Limitation of the Study

This study focuses specifically on the ground improvement for soft clay located in Koding, Kedah. A test embankment was constructed at Koding on May 2018 to evaluate the performance of stone column foundation for Electrified Double Track Project between Ipoh to Padang Besar. The test embankment consists of two zones namely Zone 1 (3.0m fill + 1.0m surcharge) and Zone 2 (2.0m fill). Only subsurface investigation data and settlement monitoring records for Zone 1 test embankment were analyzed. Comparison between field monitored settlement with

few conventional methods in predicting the settlements had been carried out to identify the most accurate and reliable method in predicting the settlement. In addition, the settlement of ground treated with stone column might be affected by design parameter selection, installation effects and stress distribution. In this study, the settlement prediction will purely focus on 3 main components, which are Equilibrium method, Priebe Method and Numerical modelling using finite element software, PLAXIS 2D V8 with plane strain model suggested by Tan *et al.* (2008).

1.5 Significance of the Study

This study is mainly to identify the ground behavior around Kodiang, Kedah after treating with stone columns. With complete monitoring data and ground investigation records, the ground settlement improved using stone columns can be predicted especially for stringent settlement criteria for rail project. This study will eventually suggest the most appropriate method to predict ground settlement improved using stone columns.

REFERENCES

- Abdrabbo, F. M. and Mahmoud, Z. I. (2002). Control of Ground Settlement by Stone Columns – Case Study. *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Ground Improvement Techniques*. Malaysia, pp. 179-186.
- Aboshi, H., Ichimoto, E., Enoki, M. and Harada, K. (1979). The Compozer – A Method to Improve Characteristics of Soft Clays by Inclusion of Large Diameter Sand Columns. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Soil Reinforcement, Reinforced Earth and Other Techniques*. Paris, Vol. 1, 211-216.
- Adalier, K. and Elgamal, A. (2004). Mitigation of Liquefaction and Associated Ground Deformations by Stone Columns. *Eng. Geol.*, 72(3-4), 275-291.
- Alamgir, M., Miura, N., Poorooshab, H. B. and Madhav, M. R. (1996). Deformation Analysis of Soft Ground Columnar Inclusions Reinforced by Columnar Inclusions. *Comput. Geotechnical*. 18(4), 267-290.
- Allen, T. M., Harrison, T. L., Strade, J. R. and Kilian, A. P. (1991). Use of Stone Columns to Support 1-90 Cut and Cover Tunnel in Deep Foundation Improvements: Design, Construction and Testing, *ASTM STP 1089, American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM)*. West Conshohocken, PA, USA, pp. 101-115.
- Asaoka, A. (1978). Observational Procedure of Settlement Prediction. *Soils and Foundations*. Volume 18, Issue 4, 1978, pp. 87-101.

- Barksdale, R. D. and Bachus, R. C. (1983). Design and Construction of Stone Columns. *FHWA Report No. SCEGIT-83-104 (E20-686)*. Federal Highway Administration, Washinton, DC, USA.
- Barron, R. A. (1948). Consolidation of Fine-grained Soils by Drain Wells. *Trans. Am. Soc. Civ. Eng.*. 113 (Paper No. 2346), 718-742.
- Bryan A. McCabe and Derek Egan (2010). A Review of The Settlement of Stone Columns in Composite Soils. *GeoShanghai 2010 International Conference, Ground Improvement and Geosynthetics*. 197-204.
- Caleb Douglas, S. and Vernon, R. S. (2012). Reliability of the Priebe Method for Estimating Settlements. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers – Ground Improvement*. V.167, Issue G12.
- Clemente, J. L. and Davie, J. R. (2000). Stone Columns for Settlement Reduction. *Proceeding of GeoEng 2000*. Melbourne, Australia.
- Datye, K. R. and Nagaraju (1977). Design Approach and Field Control of Stone Columns. *Proceedings 10th ICSMFE*. Stockholm, Vol. 3.
- Goughnour, R. R. and Bayuk, A. A. (1979). A Field Study of Long Term Settlements of Loads Supported by Stone Columns in Soft Ground. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Soil Reinforcement: Reinforced Earth and Other Technologies*. Paris, Vol. a, pp. 279-285.
- Han, J. and Ye, S. L. (2001). Simplified Method for Consolidation Rate of Stone Column Reinforced Foundation. *J. Geotech.Geoenviron. Eng.*. 127(7), 597-603.
- Han, J. and Ye, S. L. (2002). A Theoretical Solution for Consolidation Rate for Stone Column Reinforced Foundations Accounting for Smear and Well Resistance Effects. *Int. Journal Geomech.*. 2(2), 135-151.
- Hansbo, S. (1981). Consolidation of Fine-grained Soils by Prefabricated Drains. *Proc., 10th Int. Conf. Soil Mechanics and Foundations Engineering*. Vol. 3, Balkema, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, 677-682.

- Indraratna, B. and Redana, I. W. (1997). Plane-strain Modeling of Smear Effects Associated with Vertical Drains. *J. Geotech. Geoenviron. Eng.*, 123(5), 474-478.
- Indraratna, B. and Redana, I. W. (2000). Numerical Modeling of Vertical Drains with Smear and Well Resistance Installed in Soft Clay. *Can. Geotech. J.*, 37(1), 132-145.
- IS: 15284 – Part 1 (2003). Design and Construction for Ground Improvement- Guidelines for Stone Columns. *Bureau of Indian Standards*, New Delhi, India.
- James D. Hussin (2006). The Foundation Engineering Handbook – Chapter 12 Methods of Soft Ground Improvement. *Manjriker Gunaratne, CRC Press 2006*.
- Karun M. and Nigee, K. (2013). A Study on Ground Improvement Using Stone Column Technique. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology*. Vol.2, Issue 11, 6451-6456.
- Madhav, M. R. and Sivakumar, V. (2012). Perspective in Granular/Stone Columns Engineered Ground. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Ground Improvement and Ground Control*. Australia, pp. 621-628.
- McCabe, B. A., Nimmons, G. J. and Egan, D. (2009). A Review of Field Performance of Stone Columns in Soft Soils. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers – Geotechnical Engineering*. 162(6): 323-334.
- Mestat, P. H., Magnan, J. P. and Dhouib, A. (2006). Results of the Settlement Prediction Exercise if an Embankment Founded on Soil Improved by Stone Columns. *Numerical Methods in Geotechnical Engineering (Schweiger H(ed.))*. London, UK, pp. 471-476.
- Mitchell, J. K. and Katti, R. K. (1981). Soil Improvement: State-of the-art Report. *Proceedings 10th International Conference on SMFE*. Stockholm, p. 163.
- Mohammad Etezzad-Borojerdi (2007). *Geotechnical Performance of Group Stone Columns*. Doctor Philosophy, Concordia University, Canada.

- Nayak, N. V. (1982). Stone Columns and Monitoring Instruments. *Proceedings Symposium on soil and rock improvement: geotextiles, reinforced earth and modern piling techniques*. Asian Institute of Technology, Bangkok.
- Nayak, N. V. (1983). Structures on Ground Improved by Stone Columns. *Proceedings International Symposium on Soil Structure Interaction*. Roorkee, India.
- Nazir, R., Sukor, N., Niroumand, H. and Kassim, K. A. (2013). Performance of Soil Instrumentation on Settlement Prediction. *Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering*. Vol. 50, No. 2, p. 15
- Oo, K. K. (2004). *Analysis of stone column reinforced foundation using finite element method*. Master Thesis, Engineering Faculty, National University of Singapore.
- Osterberg, J. O. (1986). The Realities of Foundation Engineering. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Deep Foundations, China Building Industry Press*. Hong Kong, PR China, pp. 1.203-1.208.
- Priebe H. J. (1991). Vibro Replacement – Design Criteria and Quality Control In Deep Foundation Improvements: Design, Construction and Testing. *ASTM STP 1089*. ASTM. West Conshohocken, PA, USA, pp. 62-72.
- Priebe. H. J. (1995). The Design of Vibro Replacement. *Ground Engineering*. 28(10): 31-37.
- Raison, C. A. (2004). *Ground and Soil Improvement*. London: Thomas Telford Publishing.
- Raju V. R. and Sridhar Valluri (2008). Practical Applications of Ground Improvement. *Symposium on Engineering of Ground & Environmental Geotechniques, HYDERABAD*. 2008.
- Raman, S. (2006). *Comparison of Predicted Settlement Behaviour to the Field Measurement of Stone Column Improved Ground*. M. Eng. Report, University of Technology, Malaysia.

- Rao, B. G. and Ranjan, G. (1985). Settlement Analysis of Skirted Granular Piles. *Journal Geotechnical Engineering*. 111(11), 1264-1283.
- Solihin Mohd. Zuhaili (2010). *Technical Report of Zone Test Monitoring for Electrified Double Track Project between Ipoh and Padang Besar, Package N2 (CH 119,750 to CH 119,800)*. Keller (M) Sdn. Bhd.
- Som, N. N. (1995). Consolidation Settlement of Large Diameter Storage Tank Foundations on Stone Columns in Soft Clay. *Proceedings International Symposium on compression and consolidation of clayey soils*. Hiroshima, p. 653.
- Som, N. N. and Das, S. C. (2003). *Theory and Practice of Foundation Design*. (3rd ed.) New Delhi: Prentice-Hall of India Private and Limited.
- Tan, S. A, Tjahyono, S. and Oo, K. K. (2008). Simplified Plane-Strain Modeling of Stone Column Reinforced Ground. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, ASCE*. 134:185-194.
- Terzaghi, K. (1936). Discussion of Settlement of Structures. *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering*. Cambridge, UK, 3, 79-87.
- Wehr, J. (2006). The Undrained Cohesion of The Soil as Criterion for The Stone Column Installation with A Depth Vibrator. *Transvib 2006*. pp. 157-162.
- Yee, Y. W., Prasad, P. V. S. R., Ooi, L. H. and Daramalinggam, J. (2014). *An Instrumented Low Embankment on Stone Columns for the Ipoh-Padang Besar Double Track Project*. Keller (M) Sdn. Bhd.
- Zahmatkesh, A. and Choobbasti, A. J. (2010). Settlement Evaluation of Soft Clay Reinforced with Stone Columns Using the Equivalent Secant Modulus. *Arabian J. Geosci.*