KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND PRACTICES (KAP) OF MUSCULOSKELETAL DISORDERS (MSDs) INJURIES IN MALAYSIA ELECTRONICS MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY

ATHIRAH NADIA BINTI NORDIN

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Science (Industrial Engineering)

> Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > JUNE 2016

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah the most Gracious and the Most Merciful, all praises to Allah SWT for His blessings and for the strength given to complete this project report. I am using this opportunity to express my gratitude towards my supervisor, Dr Jafri Mohd Rohani for his guidance, motivations and helpful critical remarks. I am indebted with his thoughts and suggestions on number of issues faced during this project duration. I was also in contact with few people such as academicians and practitioners. They have helped in expanding my knowledge and understanding.

I am also indebted to Noor Liyana, Siti Muhadisa, classmates, friends and the people around me that always lend a helping hand in completing this project and thesis. Their shared knowledge, guidance and patience had contributed a big impact towards the success of this project.

Finally, I would love to express my big thanks to my parent Nordin Bin Lateh and Yon Binti Osman for their support, word of encouragement during my up and down. Without their support, it would be impossible to conquer the challenges and maintain my motivation throughout this study. May Allah bless and reward them.

ABSTRACT

Musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) are among the most frequently reported occupational disease and have depicted increasing exposure trend from electronics manufacturing sector. There are limited studies about Knowledge, Attitude and Practices (KAP) on MSDs from employer and employee perspectives. Hence, it is necessary to discover the underlying KAP factors in order to provide interventions to prevent MSDs. This study aims to develop an appropriate KAP instrument, identify the pattern of KAP on MSDs from employee and employer perspective, and to compare the level of KAP on MSDs among employee and employer. The instrument were developed in four phases; literature review, pilot test, expert review and reliability test. A total of 79 employee data was compared and validated with 164 SOCSO claims data. The highest frequency of employee self-reported body pain shows lower back, hip, shoulder and upper back are among the top. Of 88 employer, majority shows higher level of good knowledge, attitude and practice scores compared to employee. From employee perspective, Spearman's correlation test shows education level correlate with knowledge and practice, gender and attitude, and age group and practice. From employer perspective, the correlation exist between education level and knowledge, and years of experience and practice. Multivariate analysis between KAP components for employee shows no correlation while for employer, there are relationship between attitude and practice, and knowledge and attitude. This study successfully developed an instrument to assess the current KAP levels of MSDs and compare them between employee and employer perspectives.

ABSTRAK

MSDs adalah antara penyakit pekerjaan yang kerap dilaporkan dan telah menunjukkan trend peningkatan dari sektor pembuatan elektronik. Terdapat hanya beberapa kajian tentang KAP terhadap MSDs dari perspektif majikan dan pekerja. Oleh itu, penting untuk mengetahui tahap KAP untuk langkah pencegahan MSDs. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk menghasilkan instrumen KAP yang sesuai, mengenal pasti trend dan membandingkan tahap KAP dalam konteks MSDs antara perspektif pekerja dan majikan. Proses membangunkan instrumen melalui empat fasa; kajian lepas, ujian awal, maklum balas pakar dan ujian kebolehpercayaan. Sebanyak 79 data pekerja dibanding dan disahkan dengan 164 data pampasan PERKESO. Kekerapan aduan kesakitan yang tertinggi terdiri daripada tulang belakang, pinggul, bahu dan bahagian atas belakang. 88 orang majikan dan majoriti daripadanya menunjukkan skor baik KAP lebih tinggi berbanding pekerja. Dari perspektif pekerja, ujian korelasi Spearman menunjukkan tahap pendidikan berkaitan dengan pengetahuan dan amalan, jantina dan sikap, dan kumpulan umur dan amalan. Dari perspektif majikan, korelasi wujud antara tahap pendidikan dan pengetahuan, dan durasi bekerja dan amalan. Analisis multivariate antara komponen KAP menunjukkan tiada hubungan bagi perspektif pekerja, manakala dari perspektif majikan terdapat hubungan antara sikap dan amalan, dan pengetahuan dan sikap. Kajian ini berjaya membangunkan instrument mengenalpasti tahap terkini KAP mengenai MSDs dan membandingkannya di antara perspektif pekerja dan majikan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTEI	R	TITLE	PAGE
	DECI	LARATION	ii
	ACKN	NOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
	ABST	RACT	iv
	ABST	'RAK	v
	TABL	LE OF CONTENTS	vi
	LIST	OF TABLES	ix
	LIST	OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST	OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
	LIST	OF SYMBOLS	xiii
	LIST	OF APPENDICES	xiv
1	INTR	ODUCTION	1
	1.1	Introduction	1
	1.2	Problem Statement	2
	1.3	Objectives of Study	4
	1.4	Scope of Study	4
	1.5	Significance of Study	5
	1.6	Document Organization	6
2	LITE	RATURE REVIEW	8
2.1	Introd	uction	8
2.2	Muscu	loskeletal Disorders (MSDs)	8
	2.2.1	Work-related MSDs	9

	2.3	MSDs Risk Factors	10
	2.4	Review of MSDs in Electronic Manufacturing	12
		Industries	
	2.5	KAP Questionnaires	15
	2.6	Previous Studies Analysis	17
-			
3	MET	HODOLOGY	24
3.1	Introd	uction	24
3.2	Overa	Overall Study Overview 24	
3.3	Sampl	ling	26
3.4	KAP	Questionnaire	27
3.5	Analy	Analysis Techniques	
	3.5.1	Cronbach's Alpha Coefficients	35
	3.5.2	Pearson Correlation Coefficients	36
	3.5.3	Spearman's Rank Correlation Test	38
4	DESI	IT TO ANALVOID AND DISCUSSION	20
4	KESU	LIS, ANALISIS AND DISCUSSION	20
4.1	Introd		39
4.2	SOCS	O Compensation Claim Analysis	39
4.3	Emplo	Employee Sociodemographic 44	
4.4	Emplo	oyer Sociodemographic	48
4.5	Know	ledge, Attitude and Practices Analysis	50
	4.5.1	Knowledge Component	50
	4.5.2	Attitude Component	53
	4.5.3	Practice Component	56
4.6	Socio	demographic Characteristics and KAP	59
4.7	Multiv	variate analysis of KAP Components	64

5	CONCLUSION	67
5.1	Introduction	67
5.2	Findings of study	67
5.3	Limitation of study	69
5.4	Recommendations for future study	70
REFERI	ENCES	72

Appendices A-D	78 - 89

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE N	O. TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Work-related risk factors of MSDs	12
2.2	Occupational Accident Statistics by Sector until September 2015	13
2.3	Comparison of previous studies on KAP	21
3.1	Summary of location and respondents participation rate	28
3.2	Review of components included in the KAP	30
3.3	Components included in the KAP	32
3.4	Comments and reviews of KAP questionnaire	34
3.5	Overview of questionnaire components	35
3.6	Cronbach's Alpha coefficient for both questionnaire	35
3.7	Cronbach's Alpha internal consistency rules	37
3.8	Pearson correlation strength	38
4.1	Demographic analysis of electronics employee from SOCSO database	43
4.2	Sociodemographic and occupational characteristics of 79 employee	45
4.3	Body parts and number of self-reported pain intensity	48
4.4	Sociodemographic and occupational characteristics of 88 employer	49
4.5	Correct answers by both employee and employer	51
4.6	Good attitude scores by both employee and employer	54

4.7	Summary of good practices frequency of employee and employer	56
4.8	Mean score and mean percentage of KAP	59
4.9	Ranking of scores set for each component	60
4.10	Spearman's correlation test of employee KAP level	61
4.11	Spearman's correlation test of employer and KAP level	63
4.12	Pearson correlation test of employee KAP level	65
4.13	Pearson correlation test of employer KAP level	66

LIST OF FIGURES

TITLE

FIGURE NO.

2.1	Psychological MSDs risk factors	11
2.2	Occupation Accident by Sector until September 2015	14
2.3	Distribution of MSDs cases across industry	14
2.4	Incident cost of ergonomic and non-ergonomic cases	15
3.1	Overall study design	27
3.2	KAP questionnaire development design	29
3.3	Linear correlations of positive, zero and negative value	38
4.1	Compensation claims paid (RM) vs cause of accidents	42
4.2	Permanent disability claims analysis flowchart	42
4.3	Body part involved	45
4.4	Frequency of self-reported body part experiencing pain	47
4.5	Body parts and level of pain intensity	48
4.6	Frequency of employee and employer good knowledge	53
4.7	Frequency of employee and employer good attitude score	56
4.8	Frequency of employee and employer good practice score	58
4.9	Comparison of KAP scores mean between employee	
	and employer	59
4.10	Education level and knowledge score correlation	61
4.11	Gender and attitude score correlation	62
4.12	Age group and practice score correlation	62
4.13	Education level and practice score correlation	63
4.14	Education level and knowledge score correlation	64
4.15	Years of experience and practice correlation	64
4.16	Attitude and practice correlation	66
4.17	Knowledge and attitude correlation	66

PAGE

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CI	- Confidence Interval
DOSH	- Department of Safe and Health
GDP	- Gross Domestic Product
KAP	- Knowledge, Attitude and Practices
LBP	- Low Back Pain
MIDA	- Malaysian Investment Development Authority
MSDs	- Musculoskeletal Disorders
NIHL	- Noise-Induced Hearing Loss
NIOSH	- National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
NPD	- Non-Permanent Disability
OHS	- Occupational, Health and Safety
PD	- Permanent Disability
PPE	- Personal Protective Equipment
SHO	- Safety and Health Officer
SOCSO	- Social Security Organization
WHO	- World Health Organization

LIST OF SYMBOLS

- α Cronbach's Alpha coefficient
- d_i Difference between the ranks
- *k* Items considered
- *r* Mean of inter-item correlations
- ρ Spearman rank correlation

LIST OF APPENDICES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
А	Work Schedule - Gantt chart	78
В	List of Publications	79
С	KAP Questionnaire: Employee Perspective	80
D	KAP Questionnaire: Employer Perspective	81

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) are disorders of the muscles, tendons, joints, nerves, cartilage, and supporting structures of upper and lower limbs, neck, and lower back which were caused or worsened by extended exposure or abrupt exertion to physical factors such as awkward posture, force recurrence, or vibration (Bernard *et al.*, 1997). MSDs take place when mechanical workload is higher than the physical capacity of the human body. This is a deep-rooted occupational illness occurring as a result of repeated trauma, rather than through a single accident or injury (Washington State Department of Labor and Industries, 2007). Generally, MSDs occurs in many parts of the human body including neck, upper limbs such as hands, wrists, elbows and shoulders, lower limbs including legs, hips, ankles and feet and lastly, back. Discomfort, fatigue and pain are the most common early symptoms of MSDs (Hagberg *et al.*, 1995). These disorders will not cause death of workers but they will bring about a catastrophic impact on worker's lives such as constant pain during work or spare time, and maybe permanent disability.

MSDs are among the most frequently reported occupational diseases globally. The disease are not only a dominant occupational health issue internationally but they are also identified as an economic situation on community (Amell and Kumar, 2001). Furthermore, there are two category of costs usually associated to occupational illness which are direct costs and indirect costs. Direct cost commonly related to medical care, rehabilitation, and employees reimbursement while indirect costs comprise of sick leave, decreased productivity, re-training cost, work disablement, dropped work quality, and declined morale (Deborah, 2003). The direct and indirect costs emerged from MSDs have been estimated at around US\$100 million in Taiwan (Wei, 2000), US\$254 billion in America (Kassi, 2004; Silverstein and Adams, 2005), and around \$40 billion in the United Kingdom (Health and Safety Commision, 2006). 40% of the world's worker compensation claims are from MSDs approximately (Takala, 2002).

Malaysia is a middle-income in process to be a high-income country that counting on trade heavily, especially on the products of manufacturing industry. Manufacturing industry had chip in almost 28% of Malaysia Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and 16.8% of total Malaysia workforce in 2013 (Prime Minister's Department Malaysia, 2014). The electronics manufacturing industries in Malaysia are among the leading manufacturing sector in Malaysia, which contributing significantly to the country's exports (33.4%) and employment (27.3%) in 2014 (MIDA, 2015). A previous study performed by Abdullah and Abdul Rahman (2009) showed that operators in semi-conductor industries were exposed to extremely high ergonomics risk factors. MSDs has also been closely connected to organization where absenteeism, turnover, time performance, productivity, morale, work disability and accidents occasionally occurs (Buckle, 2005). Hence, it is necessary to discover the factors of MSDs in the manufacturing industry before implementing any intervention or prevention strategies.

1.2 Problem Statement

Manufacturing sector especially electronics industry are few of the vital contributors to Malaysian economy. Within the electronic components sub-sector, the semiconductor devices have been the leading contributor in the performance of exports for the electronics industry. In 2014 alone, the electronic components sub-sector became the largest sub-sector with approved investment of RM5.8 billion. Meanwhile, the industrial electronics sub-sector is the second largest sub-sector, comprising 28% of the total investments approved in the electronics sector for 2014 (MIDA, 2015). To date, there are more than 50 big electronics companies in Malaysia such as Intel, AMD, Infineon, STMicroelectronics, Silterra, Texas Instruments, Unisem and so on. Hence,

high occupational accidents certainly will give impact to the companies' production and productivity. Decreased workers productivity will absolutely cause an adversarial effect to the economy. Occupational accident would definitely causes significant implications to the employers, employees and even to the nation as a whole in term of financial aspect, company image and quality of life. For employers, they shall not only expect lower productivity but also loss of profit due to force closure or stop work order that being issued by enforcement agency (Jallon *et al.*, 2011).

While many of past literatures have discovered extensive information of MSDs injuries, physical risk factors and prevalence rate, the underlying reasons or hazards of MSDs psychological risk factors occurrence among electronic manufacturing workers especially in Malaysia have not yet been in a clear picture. As physical risk factors interventions such as reengineering is important to MSDs studies, we must also focus on personal or behavioral intervention such as through knowledge, attitude and practice enhancement. The blurry status of psychological risk factors and MSDs is possible due to absence of standardized methods and means of assessment. Unfortunately, there is no specific instrument to examine causes of MSDs in term of knowledge, attitude and practices in Malaysia electronics manufacturing industries from employee and employer perspective for the time being.

With a clear picture of knowledge, this could help both workers and employers to change or upgrade their attitude and comply with safe practices. Most of the workers in electronics manufacturing industries have a perceived concept about occupational injuries. They may be aware that there are consequences associated with not working in a friendly environment but the technical power of their intelligent quotient cannot allow them to sense danger due to lack of knowledge or awareness on repercussion or even if they are aware of a particular hazard, some of them tend to take risk due to attitude differences among workers leading to poor practice.

1.3 Objectives of Study

The objectives of the study are as follows:

- 1. To develop an instrument appropriate for assessing KAP on MSDs in Malaysia electronics industries
- 2. To assess the pattern of KAP on MSDs with respect to employee and employer perspective
- 3. To compare the level of KAP on MSDs between employee and employer perspectives

1.4 Scope of Study

There are several scopes and limitations covered in this study in order to guarantee it will be carried out in compact and relevant means. The scopes and limitations are as follows:

- i. Cover on electronics manufacturing industries
- ii. Covers Malaysian workers only
- Employee data from sample are validated with SOCSO database of past MSDs permanent disability cases during year 2009 to 2014
- iv. Includes four cases among accidents cases which showed high compensation claims:
 - a) Strenuous movements,
 - b) Over-exertion in lifting objects,
 - c) Over-exertion in pushing or pulling objects,
 - d) Over-exertion in handling or throwing objects.
- v. Consider MSDs caused by work nature only
- vi. Descriptive and statistical analysis were done using SPSS software version 22

1.5 Significance of Study

MSDs have become a subject of growing concern among populations in the industrialized countries during the last two to three decades. MSDs are still common in the working populations although mechanization, automation and the concepts of intensive safety campaigns have contributed to a safer working environment. These disorders may cause considerable human suffering and result in a lower work capacity and reduced production (Lee *et al.*, 2005). Workplace accidents remain as the number one issue in Occupational, Health and Safety (OHS) that needs extra and urgent attention in Malaysia especially in electronic manufacturing industries. Injured workers not only suffers from pain or discomfort, but there are possibilities for them to experience temporary or permanent disabilities. This will surely effect their daily routine and work productivity alongside the loss of household income.

Statistics provided by DOSH and past literatures have revealed that electronics manufacturing sector had become one of the main contributors in occupational injury in past years. Although number of reported cases had reduced significantly, the electronic manufacturing sector still appears at the top of the chart. Reduction in term number of reported cases does not mean MSDs cases are smaller but there are high possibilities they are not being reported by workers due to many reasons.

As both physical evidence and psychosocial association should be taken into account when treating this problem, the increased level of awareness will aid in better management of MSDs. Understanding the KAP on MSDs will increase the awareness level of industry workers, which is often overlooked by most organization. On the other hand, awareness should not only come from the employee side only, but the management or the employers also should take part to enhance good work practices and administer better preventive measures in the work area. Thus, it is important to study the extent of KAP from the employee and employer perspective on MSDs in order to evaluate and provide suitable interventions to increase the awareness of MSDs. When both parties strive together to provide safer working environment and preventing the occurrence of MSDs, the problem could be prevented and avoided. The aim of this study is not only to increase level of awareness, but also to encourage employee and the management to invest more in preventive measures. According to Dorman (2000), workplace accident cost can be reduced effectively through accident prevention approach. Relating to Malaysia perspective, this study will assist the Safety and Health Officer (SHO) to enhance their role in an organization by understanding in depth of knowledge, attitude and practice of MSDs from their employee perspective. As the saying goes, prevention is better than cure. Other than that, several studies on the KAP of both employee and employer engaged in different industries have been published but in the context of electronics manufacturing in Malaysia are still limited. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to assess the level of KAP on MSDs in Malaysia electronics manufacturing industry from employee and employer perspective.

1.6 Document Organization

This document contains five chapters altogether which is Chapter 1 (Introduction), Chapter 2 (Literature Review), Chapter 3 (Methodology), Chapter 4 (Results and Discussions) and Chapter 5 (Conclusion). The chapters' summaries are as follows:

- Chapter 1 discusses about the basic of MSDs alongside its effects in electronics manufacturing industries. We also discuss about the problem statement, objectives of study, scope and significance of the study.
- ii. Chapter 2 discusses about the background study that explains more in depth about MSDs, KAP instrument and related past literatures.
- iii. Chapter 3 explains about the research methodology. How the research were conducted in order to collect data, analyze and to achieve the objectives of study mentioned.
- iv. Chapter 4 explains about the result and discussion based on past literatures.
 This chapter will cover on the descriptive and statistical analysis from the database, and the KAP questionnaire of employee and employer perspectives.

v. Chapter 5 discusses about the objectives fulfillment at the end of this study, study limitations and possible future works.

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, F. I., Aziah, D., Zaliha, I. & Baharudin, A. (2013). Noise-induced hearing loss among quarry workers in a north-eastern state of Malaysia: a study on knowledge, attitude and practice. *Oman Medical Journal*, 28(5), 331-336.
- Alaydaa, Thamer, & Ali (2012). Musculoskeletal Disorders in Electronic Frame Manufacturing. 44–46.
- Amell, T., & Kumar, S. (2001). Work-related musculoskeletal disorders: design as a prevention strategy. *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation*.11, 255–265.
- Aziz, R. A., Rani, M. R. A., Rohani, J. M., Adeyemi, A. J., & Omar, N. (2013).
 Relationship between working postures and MSDs in different body regions among electronics assembly workers in Malaysia. *Proceedings of the 2013 IEEE IEEM*. 1-5.
- Bakker, E.W., Verhagen, A.P., van Trijffel, E., Lucas, C. & Koes, B.W. (2009). Spinal mechanical load as a risk factor for low back pain: a systematic review of prospective cohort studies. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*, 34(8), 81–93.
- Bernard, B. (1997). Musculoskeletal Disorders and Workplace Factors: A Critical Review of Epidemiologic Evidence for Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders of the Neck, Upper Extremity, and Low Back (pp. 97-141). U.S. Department of Health and Human Service.
- Buckle, P. (2005). Ergonomics and Musculoskeletal Disorders: Overview. *Occupational Medicine*, 55(3), 164–167.
- Buijs, P. & Weel, A. (2005). Curative health care and work-relatedness: A blind spot? International Conference on Occupational Health Services, Helsinki, Finland, Finnish Institute of Occupational Health.
- Bulduk, O.E., Bulduk, S., Suren, T. & Ovali, F. (2014). Assessing exposure to risk factors for work-related musculoskeletal disorders using Quick Exposure

Check (QEC) in taxi drivers. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 44, 817-820.

- Campo, M., Weiser, S., Koenig, L.K. & Nordin, M. (2008). Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders in Physical Therapists: A Prospective Cohort Study with 1-Year Follow-up. *Journal of Americal Physical Theraphy Association*, 88(5), 608-619.
- Chandrasakaran, A., Chee, L. H., Rampal, G.K. & Tan, G. (2003). The prevalence of musculoskeletal problems and risk factors among women assembly workers in the semiconductor industry. *Med J Malaysia*, 58(5), 657-666.
- Cilliers, L. & Maart, S. (2013). Attitudes, knowledge and treatment of low back pain amongst nurses in the Eastern Cape, South Africa. *Afr J Prm Health*. 5(1),1-8.
- Chee, L. H., Chandrasakaran, A, Rampal, G.K. & Tan, G. (2004). Ergonomic risk factors of work process in the semiconductor industry in peninsular Malaysia. *Industrial Health*, 42, 373-381.
- Deborah, P. L. (2003). The costs of musculoskeletal disease: health needs assessment and health economics. *Chinical rheumatology*. 17, 529-539.
- Doctors of the World. (2011). *The KAP Survey Model. Knowledge, Attitude and Practices* (pp. 4-8). France: IGC Communigraphics.
- Geer, A. L., Curbow, A. B., Anna, H. D., Lees, SJ. P., & Buckley, J. T. (2006). The development of a questionnaire to assess worker knowledge, attitudes and perceptions underlying dermal exposure. *Scand J Work Environ Health 2006*, 32(3), 209-218.
- Gliem A. J. & Gliem, R. M. (2003). Calculating, interpreting, and reporting Cronbach's Alpha Reliability Coefficient for Likert-Type Scales. *Research-to-Practice Conference in Adult, Continuing and Community Education*, the Ohio State University.
- Hagberg, M.,, Silverstein, B. A., Wells, R. V., Smith, M. J., Hendrick, H. W., Carayon,
 P. & Perusses, M. (1995). Work related musculoskeletal: a reference book for prevention. Taylor & Francis Publishers, London
- Health and Safety Commission (2006). Musculoskeletal disorders. http://www.hse.gov.uk
- Hedge, A. (2013). Structure and function of the Musculoskeletal System. 1st ed. Comell University, 1-2.

- Hoogendoorn, W.E., van Poppel, M.N., Bongers, P.M., Koes, B.W. & Bouter L.M. (1999). Physical load during work and leisure time as risk factors for back pain. *Scand J Work Environ Health*, 25(5), 387–403.
- Hoogendoorn, W.E., van Poppel, M.N., Bongers, P.M., Koes, B.W. & Bouter, L.M. (2000). Systematic review of psychosocial factors at work and private life as risk factors for back pain. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*, 25(16), 14–25.
- Hof, M. (2012). Questionnaire Evaluation with Factor Analysis and Cronbach's Alpha: an example. (1-11)
- Ho Chi Minh City University. What is Factor Analysis? A Simple Explanation (2011) (1st ed., pp. 1-10). Ho Chi Minh. Retrieved from http://www.hcmut.edu.vn/en/
- Jafri, M. R., Affandi, M. Z., Raemy, M. Z., Afifi, M. R., Ismail, A. R. & Mohamed, F., J. (2012). Demographic Analysis of Musculokeletal Disease Occurrence among Industrial Worker's in Malaysia. *Jurnal Teknologi (Science and Engineering)* 58(2012) 85-88.
- Jafri, M. R., Affandi, M. Z., Raemy, M. R., Ismail, A. R. & Mohamed, F., J. (2015). Estimation of compensation cost related to musculoskeletal disorder (MSDs) for manufacturing industry in Malaysia. *International Symposium on* Advancement in Ergonomics & Safety 2015
- Jallon, R., Imbeau D. & Natalie, M. (2011). Development of an indirect-cost calculation model suitable for workplace use. *Journal of Safety Research*, volume 42(3), 149–164.
- Kaila-Kangas, L., Kivimaki, M., Riihimaki, H., Luukkonen, R., Kirjonen, J. & Leino-Arjas, P. (2004). Psychosocial factors at work as predictors of hospitalization for back disorders: a 28-year follow-up of industrial employees. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*, 29(16), 23–30.
- Karibasappa, G. N., Sujatha, A. & Rajeshwari, K. (2014). Dentist's knowledge, attitude, and behavior towards the dental ergonomics. *Journal of Dental and Medical Science*, 13(5), 86–89.
- Kassi, J. P. (2004). Musculoskeletal loading and pre-clinical analysis of primary stability after cementless total hip arthroplasty in vitro. Technische University Berlin. Labours Department (2010). *Chapter 282 – Employee's compensation ordinance*. Labours department, Hong Kong Special Administration Region Government.

- Kauppinen, T., Hanhela, R., Heikkilä, P., Kasvio, A., Lehtinen, S., Lindström, K., Toikkanen, J. & Tossavainen, A. (2007). Work and Health in Finland 2006.
- Kutty, K., R, Gebremicheal, H., & Vargehese, S. (2013). Work and Health in Finland 2006. Knowledge, attitude, practice and associated factors of physiotherapy among medical doctors in Tigray, Northern Ethophia - a cross sectional study. *Global journal of biology, agriculture & health sciences.* 1(4), 74-81.
- Lee, H.Y., Yeh, W. Y., Chen, W. C. & Wang D. J. (2005). Prevalence and psychosocial risk factors of upper extremity musculoskeletal pain in industries of Taiwan: A nationwide study. *Journal Occupational Health*, 47, 311-318.
- Macfarlane, G.J., Pallewatte, N., Paudyal, P., Blyth, F.M., Coggon, D., Crombez, G., Linton, S., Leino-Arjas, P., Silman, A.J., Smeets, R.J. & van der Windt, D. (2009). Evaluation of work-related psychosocial factors and regional musculoskeletal pain: results from a EULAR Task Force. *Ann Rheum Dis*, 68(6), 85–91.
- Martimo, KP. (2010). Musculoskeletal disorders, disability and work. *Finnish Institute* of Occupational Health, 19–21.
- McGinn, T., Wyer, P. C., Newman, T. B., Keitz, S., Leipzig, R. & Evidence-Based Medicine Teaching Tips Working Group. (2004). Tips for learners of evidencebased medicine: 3. Measures of observer variability (kappa statistic). *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 171(11), 1369-1373.
- Mehlum, I.S., Veiersted, K.B., Waersted, M., Wergeland, E. & Kjuus, H. (2009). Selfreported versus expert-assessed work-relatedness of pain in the neck, shoulder, and arm. *Scand J Work Environ Health*, 35(3): 22–32.
- Merriam-webster.com, (2015). <u>www.merriamwebster.com/dictionary/disorders</u> [Accessed 7 Oct. 2015].
- Merriam-webster, (2015). www.merriamwebster.com/dictionary/musculoskeletal [Accessed 7 Oct. 2015].
- Mugera, W. (2013). Non-probability sampling techniques. Research Methods, 1-2.

Prime Minister`s Department Malaysia. (2013). 2013 Annual Report of the Economic Transformation Programme Malaysia. EPPO Bulletin (Vol. 43). Retrieved from

http://etp.pemandu.gov.my/annualreport2013/upload/ENG/ETP2013_ENG_f ull_version.pdf

- Punnett, L. & Wegman, D.H. (2004). Work-related musculoskeletal disorders: the epidemiologic evidence and the debate. *Journal Electromyogr Kinesiol*, 14(1), 13–23.
- Razman, M. R., Aziah, D., Kamarul, I. M., & Naing, L. (2008). Knowledge, attitude and practice of sawmill workers towards noise-induced hearing loss in Kota Bharu, Kelantan. *Malaysian Journal of Medical Science*, 15(4), 28-34.
- Salazar, M. K., Jeifer, M. & Connon, C. (2009). An exploration of Hispanic workers' perspectives about risks and hazards associated with orchard work. *Fam Community Health*, 32(1): 34–47.
- Social Security Organization. (2014). 2013 Annual Report of Social Security Organization.
- Statstutor UK. (2015) Pearson's Correlation. (1st ed., pp. 1-8). United Kingdom. Retrieved from http://www.statstutor.ac.uk/resources/uploaded/pearsons.pdf

Takala, J. (2002). Introductory report: decent work – safe work. <u>http://www.ilo.org</u>

- Tam, V. Y. & Fung, H. W. (2015). Knowledge, attitude and training on preventing work-related musculoskeletal symptoms in the construction industry. *International Journal of Construction Project Management*, 7(1).
- Tan, G. (1994). Ergonomics tasks analysis in electronics industries: some case studies. *Ergonomics for Productivity and Safe Work*, 274-96.
- Tessier-Sherman, B., Cantley, F. L., Galusha, D., Slade, D. M., Taiwo, A. O. & Cullen, R. M (2014). Occupational injury risk by sex in a manufacturing cohort. *Occup Environ Med*, 1-6.
- U.S. Bureau of Labor. (2012). Annual Occupational Injury Report. United States of America: United States Department of Labor.
- Vyas, K, Parihaar, L, Rajoria, K., Arora, J., Pandit, A., & Singh, J. (2014). Knowledge, attitude and practice towards 'ergonomics' among oral health professionals in Jodhpur city, Rajasthan, India. *I J Pre Clin Dent Res*, 1(3), 5–9.
- World Health Organization. (2004). The World Health Report 2004. Changing History. 56-59.
- Wai, E.K, Roffey, D.M., Bishop, P., Kwon, B.K. & Dagenais, S. (2009). Causal assessment of occupational bending or twisting and low back pain: results of a systematic review. *Spine J*, 10(1), 76–88.
- Washington State Department of Labor and Industries (2007). Supreme Court of the state of Washington. http://www.lni.wa.gov

- Waters, T.R., Dick, R. B., Davis-Barkley, J. & Krieg, E, F. (2007). A cross-sectional study of risk factors for musculoskeletal symptoms in the workplace using data from the General Social Survey (GSS). *Journal of Occupational Environment Med*, 49, 172-184.
- Werner, E.L. & Cote, P. (2009). Low back pain and determinants of sickness absence. *Eur J Gen Pract*, 15(2), 4–9.
- Yu, T.I., Lee, L. N., & Wong, W. T. (2005). Knowledge, attitude and practice regarding organic solvents among printing workers in Hong Kong. *Journal of occupational health*, 47, 305–310.
- Zwart, B. C. H. & Frings-Dresen, M. H. W. (2001). Gender differences in upper extremity musculoskeletal complaints in the working population. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*, 74, 21-30.