

PERCEIVED IMAGES OF KUALA LUMUR FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF
INTERNATIONAL TOURISTS

SYED MUHAMMAD RAFY BIN SYED JAAFAR

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Urban and Regional Planning)

Faculty of Built Environment
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

MARCH 2017

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful
All the praises and thanks be to Allah

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praises to God the Almighty for providing me with this opportunity and for granting me the capability to proceed until the successful completion of my thesis. I would like to offer my sincerest thanks to the persons who have provided assistance and guidance along my journey; my utmost gratitude goes to my esteemed supervisor, Associate Professor Dr Hairul Nizam Ismail, thank you for accepting me as your Ph.D student, and for your earnest encouragement, thoughtful guidance, critical comments, and corrections to my thesis.

I would also like to express my appreciation for the financial support from the Zamalah Fund under Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. My endless thanks and appreciation goes to my parents and my mother and father-in-law for their physical and spiritual support in all aspects during my PhD journey.

I want to express my deepest gratitude and gratefulness to my sweet lovely daughter, Hanna Sofea; her invigorating presence motivated me to complete this thesis. Finally, my thanks goes to my soul mate, colleague, and partner, my lovely wife, Nurul Diyana, for without your support and encouragement, I would not have finished this work. Thank you for keeping our family together and for everything you do to make our world better. May Allah give you all the best in return.

ABSTRACT

Most existing literature on destination image studies focus on measuring tourist perceived image using the perspective of ‘before and after’ visitation. However, a question arises as to how these efforts represent an accurate image of the tourist experience during their visit in a particular destination. It is crucial since it gives a perspective of the actual image of a destination. Therefore, this study aims to capture the overall image perceived by tourist before, during and after visitation at an urban tourism destination. Kuala Lumpur was selected as a case study due to its aggressive attempt on becoming a world class business destination, where promoting an accurate destination image is crucial for city positioning and only achievable if the image is portrayed from the demand side. Within this context, the technological innovation and trends, for instance, increase the possibility of capturing actual image that is perceived by tourist during visitation. The Volunteer Employed Photographer (VEP) technique was used due to its advantage in capturing affective elements of a destination and the photographs indirectly represent real tourist emotions towards a destination. Capturing affective elements is vital as it contributes towards the overall image of a destination. A total of 384 international tourists participated in two stages of the survey for this study, using the questionnaire and VEP techniques and conducted within the Kuala Lumpur Business District (KLBD). Descriptive and inferential analyses were used to obtain mean, variance and standard deviation from the collected data. One-Way ANOVA, t-test and correlation analyses were further used to investigate significant differences across variables. The findings indicate that international tourists perceived KLBD differently before and during visitation. Before visitation, cognitive and affective images of tourists differed according to their demography, information sources, and travel characteristic. Elements of expenditure and food were dominant in the cognitive images while culture, expenditure, and food were dominant in the affective images perceived by tourists before visiting city. Supplemental photo analysis showed that image during visitation is constantly changing as the tourists experience different locations based on their movement and preferences. Images of places, crowds of people, food and expenditure activities dominated the overall photo content. However, these perceived images are influenced and dictated by tourist’s age, country of origin, and travel companion. The overall evaluation of the actual images show that KLBD offers passive activities with physical attributes triggering positive and negative attitudes with active emotions. Acknowledging the image changes perceived by tourist on each stage helps in understanding the image influencing tourist tendency to revisit the destination. Acquiring image perceived by tourist during visitation is critical to assist tourism agencies and authorities in promoting accurate image in order to promote higher tourism satisfaction since different tourists have different preferences.

ABSTRAK

Hampir keseluruhan literatur dalam imej destinasi lebih tertumpu kepada kajian mengukur imej yang dilihat pelancong menggunakan persepsi 'sebelum dan selepas' lawatan. Walau bagaimanapun, timbul persoalan sama ada usaha ini sebenarnya mewakili imej sebenar pengalaman pelancong semasa di destinasi. Ia dilihat penting kerana memberi persepsi terhadap imej sebenar destinasi. Oleh itu, kajian ini bertujuan merakam keseluruhan imej yang dilihat pelancong sama ada sebelum, semasa dan selepas lawatan di destinasi pelancongan bandar. Kuala Lumpur dipilih sebagai kajian kes kerana usaha agresif untuk mempromosikan imejnya, yang merupakan aspek penting bagi kedudukan bandar dan hanya boleh dicapai jika imej digambarkan dari aspek permintaan. Dalam konteks ini, inovasi dan teknologi meningkatkan kebolehan merakam imej sebenar yang dilihat semasa lawatan pelancongan. Teknik *Volunteer-Employed Photography* (VEP) digunakan kerana kelebihan dalam menguasai elemen afektif destinasi dan juga secara tidak langsung mewakili emosi sebenar pelancong. Merakam elemen afektif penting kerana menyumbang kepada imej keseluruhan destinasi. Seramai 384 pelancong antarabangsa terlibat dalam dua peringkat untuk kajian ini, menggunakan soal selidik dan teknik VEP di pusat perniagaan Kuala Lumpur (KLBD). Analisis deskriptif dan inferensi digunapakai bagi menganalisis data yang dikumpul dalam memperoleh min, varians dan sisihan piawai. Analisis t-test, ANOVA sehalu dan korelasi digunapakai bagi ujian lanjut untuk menyiasat perbezaan signifikan terhadap pembolehubah. Dapatan kajian menunjukkan pelancong antarabangsa melihat KLBD berbeza sebelum dan semasa lawatan. Sebelum lawatan, imej kognitif dan afektif pelancong berbeza mengikut demografi, sumber maklumat, dan karakteristik perjalanan. Unsur membeli-belah dan makanan adalah dominan bagi imej kognitif manakala unsur budaya, membeli-belah dan makanan adalah dominan bagi imej afektif oleh pelancong sebelum melawat KLBD. Analisis foto tambahan menunjukkan imej semasa lawatan sentiasa berubah berdasarkan lokasi yang berbeza disebabkan pergerakan dan keutamaan mereka. Imej tempat, orang ramai, aktiviti makanan dan membeli-belah menguasai keseluruhan kandungan foto. Walau bagaimanapun, imej tersebut dipengaruhi oleh umur, negara asal, dan rakan perjalanan. Penilaian terhadap keseluruhan imej sebenar menunjukkan KLBD menawarkan aktiviti pasif dengan atribut fizikal yang mencetuskan sikap positif dan negatif dengan emosi aktif. Pertimbangan terhadap perubahan imej di setiap peringkat membantu mengenalpasti imej yang memberi pengaruh besar kepada potensi pelancong kembali ke destinasi. Perolehan terhadap imej semasa lawatan adalah kritikal bagi membantu pihak berkuasa dan agensi pelancongan untuk mempromosi imej yang tepat bagi memberi kepuasan pelancongan yang tinggi kerana pelancong mempunyai kemahuan yang berbeza dimana menjurus kepada penerimaan imej yang pelbagai.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xiii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Current Issues in Destination Image	4
	1.3 Existing Gaps	5
	1.4 Problem Statement	8
	1.5 Research Objectives	10
	1.6 Research Questions	11
	1.7 Scope of Study	11
	1.8 Significant of the Study	12
	1.9 Organization of the Thesis	13
	1.10 Chapter Summary	13
2	TOURIST PERCEIVED IMAGE IN URBAN DESTINATION	14
	2.1 Introduction	14
	2.2 Tourism in Urban Destination	15
	2.3 Cities and Image	18
	2.4 Destination Image and its Components	21

2.4.1	Early Components of Destination Image	22
2.4.2	Comprehensive Components of Destination Image	25
2.5	Destination Image Formation	27
2.6	Destination Perceived	29
2.6.1	Pre-Visit	31
2.6.2	During-Visit	34
2.6.3	Post-Visit	35
2.6.4	Implication of Destination Perceived Image towards Consumer Behavior	36
2.7	Factor Influence Destination Image Formation	37
2.7.1	Information Sources	38
2.7.2	Past Visit Experience	40
2.7.3	Psychological Factors	42
2.7.4	Socio-Demographic	44
2.7.5	Cultural Distance	45
2.7.6	Destination Satisfaction and Destination Loyalty	47
2.8	Methodologies Used in Capturing and Measuring Destination Image	50
2.8.1	Quantitative Approaches	51
2.8.2	Qualitative Approaches	52
2.8.3	Combination Approaches	54
2.9	Photography and Tourism	60
2.9.1	Fields of Participant-Generated Image	60
2.9.2	Volunteer Employed Photography to Investigated Destination Image	63
2.10	Framework of Study	66
2.10.1	Research Paradigm	68
2.10.2	Research Purpose	70
2.10.3	Research Methods	71
2.11	Chapter Summary	74
3	KUALA LUMPUR'S TOURISM IMAGE	75
3.1	Introduction	75
3.2	Tourism Development in Malaysia	75

3.3	Projected Image of Cities in Malaysia	76
3.4	Kuala Lumpur Position in Southeast Asia Region	80
3.5	Urban Tourism and Place Branding of Kuala Lumpur	82
3.6	Chapter Summary	88
4	METHODOLOGIES IN MEASURING	
	DESTINATION IMAGE	89
4.1	Introduction	89
4.2	Research Paradigm of the Study	89
4.3	Study Area	91
4.4	Sampling	92
4.5	Research Instrument and Questionnaire Design	93
	4.5.1 Questionnaire Form	93
	4.5.2 Volunteer- Employed Photography	94
4.6	Development of Survey Instrument	95
	4.6.1 1 st Phase: Extracted from Literature Review	96
	4.6.2 2 nd Phase: 1 st Pilot Survey	97
	4.6.3 Final Phase: 2 nd Pilot Survey	98
4.7	Research Variables	101
	4.7.1 Variables Related to the Cognitive Image of Tourist	103
	4.7.2 Variables Related to the Affective Image of Tourist	103
	4.7.3 Variables Related to the Destination Image	104
4.8	Location of Sampling	105
	4.8.1 Monorail Line	107
	4.8.2 Kelana Jaya Line	108
	4.8.3 Ampang Line	108
4.9	Data Collection	116
	4.9.1 Actual Survey Timeframe	116
	4.9.2 Samples Acquired and the Response Rate	117
4.10	Data Analysis	118
	4.10.1 Descriptive Analysis and Chi-Square Analysis	119
	4.10.2 t-Test for Independent Groups and the Mann-Whitney U test	120

	4.10.3 One-Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Kruskal-Wallis H test	120
	4.10.4 Pearson Correlation Analysis	122
	4.10.5 Photo Analysis Method	123
	4.10.5.1 Supplementary Photo Analysis	124
	4.10.5.2 Manifest and Latent Content of Photo	124
	4.11 Chapter Summary	128
5	RESULTS OF THE STUDY	129
	5.1 Introduction	129
	5.2 Tourist Profile at Kuala Lumpur Business District (KLBD)	130
	5.2.1 Socio-Demographic Profile of Respondents	130
	5.2.2 Respondent Travel Behavioral Pattern at KLBD	133
	5.3 Evaluation of Tourist Perceived Image before visit at KLBD	134
	5.3.1 Cognitive Image before visit at KLBD	134
	5.3.1.1 Influence of Socio-Demographic on Cognitive Image	136
	5.3.1.2 Influence of Travel Characteristic on Cognitive Image	139
	5.3.2 Motivation (Affective) before visit at KLBD	140
	5.3.2.1 Influence of Socio-Demographic on Motivation (Affective)	141
	5.3.2.2 Influence of Travel Characteristic on Motivation (Affective)	144
	5.4 Preferred Tourist Attraction at Kuala Lumpur	146
	5.5 Evaluation of Tourist Perceived Image During-visit	157
	5.5.1 Manifest Content (Cognitive Image) During-visit KLBD	166
	5.5.1.1 Influence of Socio-Demographic on Manifest Content	167
	5.5.1.2 Influence of Travel Characteristics on Manifest Content	170
	5.5.2 Latent Content (Affective Image) During-visit at KLBD	173

5.5.2.1	Influence of Socio-Demographic on Latent Content	176
5.5.2.2	Influence of Travel Characteristics on Latent Content	178
5.6	Evaluation of Tourist Perceived Image after visit at KLBD	180
5.7	Actual Image of KLBD Perceived by Tourist	184
5.8	Chapter Summary	190
6	CONCLUSION	191
6.1	Introduction	191
6.2	Summary of the Research Findings	191
6.2.1	Profile of Tourist in KLBD	192
6.2.2	Tourist Pre-visit Perceived Image towards Kuala Lumpur	192
6.2.3	Tourist During-visit Perceived Image towards Kuala Lumpur	193
6.2.4	Tourist Post-visit Perceived Image towards Kuala Lumpur	194
6.2.5	Actual Destination Image of Kuala Lumpur as Perceived by Tourists	195
6.3	Concluding Remarks	198
6.4	Contributions of the Research	202
6.5	Implication on Theory	205
6.6	Implication on Practice	207
6.7	Limitations of the Study	209
6.8	Recommendation for Future Research	210
	REFERENCES	211
	Appendices A-D	240-256

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Selected Theoretical and Conceptual Contributions to the Study of Urban Tourism	16
2.2	Top Ten Issues in Urban Tourism Research	17
2.3	Studies Investigating Destination Image's Effect on Consumer Behaviour	36
2.4	Types of Information Sources That Influence Destination Choices	39
2.5	Description of Cultural Difference Aspects	45
2.6	Previous Methodologies Used in Destination Image	57
2.7	Fields in Which Participant-Generated Image has been Used	61
2.8	VEP been Used as a Planning and Management Tool	64
2.9	Characteristics of Research Purpose	71
3.1	International Tourist Arrival and Receipt to Malaysia	76
3.2	National Tourism Development Strategy in Malaysia's Five Year Plan	77
3.3	12 Entry Points Projects in Tourism NKEA	79
3.4	Tourist Arrival to Kuala Lumpur City Centre	84
3.5	Key Tourism Indicators (2013)	85
3.6	Profiles of International Tourists in Kuala Lumpur year 2013	86
4.1	Summary on First and Second Pilot Survey	100
4.2	Items and Variables in the Study	102
4.3	Input of First and Second Pilot Survey at Monorail Line Train Station	107

4.4	Input of First and Second Pilot Survey at Kelana Jaya Line Train Station	108
4.5	Input of First and Second Pilot Survey at Ampang Line Train Station	109
4.6	Actual Survey Timeframe (January-August 2015)	117
4.7	Samples Acquired at Each Stations and the Response Rate	118
4.8	Analysis Test Used in the Study	120
4.9	Four Types of Photo Analysis	124
4.10	Categories of Manifest and Latent Content Perceived by the Respondent	126
5.1	Country of origin of Respondents Visiting Kuala Lumpur	131
5.2	Age Range of Respondents Visiting Kuala Lumpur	132
5.3	Travel Characteristics of Respondents Visiting Kuala Lumpur	133
5.4	Mean Score of Respondent Cognitive Image to Kuala Lumpur	136
5.5	Respondent Perceived Cognitive Image Influence by Gender	137
5.6	Respondent Perceived Cognitive Image Influence by Region	138
5.7	Respondent Perceived Cognitive Image Influence by Type of Tourist	140
5.8	Mean Score of Tourist Motivations towards Kuala Lumpur	141
5.9	Respondent Perceived Affective Image Influence by Age	142
5.10	Respondent Perceived Affective Image Influence by Gender	143
5.11	Respondent Perceived Affective Image Influence by Region	144
5.12	Respondent Perceived Affective Image Influence by Type of Tourist	145
5.13	Frequency Length of Stay Based on Type of Tourist	145
5.14	Where the pictures were taken	147
5.15	Respondent's Destination Knowledge on Kuala Lumpur	156
5.16	Positive and Negative Image of Location when Image Taken	157
5.17	Summary of Data Collection Using VEP approach	158

5.18	Score of Manifest Content in photo taken at Kuala Lumpur	166
5.19	Respondent Perceived Manifest Content Influence by Age	168
5.20	Respondent Perceived Manifest Content Influence by Region	169
5.21	Respondent Perceived Manifest Content Influence by Travel Companion	171
5.23	Score of Latent Content in Photo Taken at Kuala Lumpur	174
5.24	Latent Content of Photo Influence by Respondent's Age	177
5.25	Latent Content of Photo Influence by Respondent's Travel Companion	179
5.26	Respondent Feedback on Destination Satisfaction, Recommendation, and Loyalty towards KLBD	181
5.27	Respondent Destination Satisfaction, Recommendation and Loyalty Influence by Age	182
5.28	Tourist Satisfaction, Recommendation and Loyalty towards KLBD (compare by age group)	183
5.29	Respondent Destination Satisfaction, Recommendation and Loyalty Influence by Past Experience	183

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Types of Tourism	15
2.2	The Tourist City	19
2.3	Component of Destination Image	23
2.4	Comprehensive Components of Destination Image	26
2.5	Framework of Destination Image Formation	28
2.6	Process of Tourist Perceived Image in a Destination	31
3.1	Value Proposition and Proposed Tourism Clusters	78
3.2	Tourism Attractions in Kuala Lumpur	83
3.3	Tourism Attraction in Kuala Lumpur Golden Triangle	87
4.1	Flowchart of Research Design	90
4.2	Flow of the Research Instrument and Questionnaire Design	95
4.3	Development of Survey Instrument	96
4.4	Elements Needed to Measure Overall Destination Image	101
4.6	Sampling Areas	106
4.7	Eliminating Staging Point	114
4.8	Selected Staging Point	115
4.9	Analysis Test Used for this Study	119
4.10	Pearson's Correlation Coefficient Value	123
4.11	Manifest and Latent Content of Photo	125
4.12	Clusters of adjectives descriptive of a place	127
4.13	The process in evaluating the perceived image of Kuala Lumpur	128

5.1	Tourism Attractions in KLBD and the Photo Concentration	148
5.2	Photo Concentration in Perdana Lake Garden Zone	149
5.3	Photo Concentration in Old Kuala Lumpur Zone	151
5.4	Photo Concentration in Kuala Lumpur City Centre Zone	152
5.5	Photo Concentration in Bukit Bintang Zone	154
5.6	Photo Concentration in Chinatown Kuala Lumpur Zone	155
5.7	Manifest & Latent Content in Perdana Lake Garden Zone	160
5.8	Manifest & Latent Content in Old Kuala Lumpur Zone	161
5.9	Manifest & Latent Content in Kuala Lumpur City Centre Zone	163
5.10	Manifest & Latent Content in Bukit Bintang Zone	164
5.11	Manifest & Latent Content in Chinatown Kuala Lumpur Zone	165
5.13	Classification of Pre and During Image by Respondents in KLBD	185
5.14	Changes in Tourist Perceived Image towards Kuala Lumpur	187
5.15	Kuala Lumpur Actual Image as Urban Tourism Destination	189
6.1	Pre-visit, During-visit and Post-visit Image of Kuala Lumpur Perceived by Tourist	197
6.2	Summary of Key Factors in Determining the Actual Image of Kuala Lumpur	201

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Examples of Tourist Destination Questionnaire	241
B	Examples of Respondents Feedback on the VEP Approach	243
C	Examples of Photo Classification Taken by the Respondents	245
D1	Examples of Photo Classification at Perdana Lake Garden Zone	248
D2	Examples of Photo Classification at Old Kuala Lumpur Zone	249
D3	Examples of Photo Classification at Kuala Lumpur City Center Zone	250
D4	Examples of Photo Classification at Bukit Bintang Zone	252
D5	Examples of Photo Classification at Chinatown Kuala Lumpur Zone	255

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

An image can be defined as the sum of impressions and views that people hold about places. Images symbolise the huge number of relations and bits of information that interact with a place. Fakeye and Crompton (1991) define this concept as the overall impression that a tourist has towards a tourism destination. Previous literature stated that there are four common concepts when discussing destination images, which are complexity, multidimensionality, relativity, and dynamic nature. Images are abstract and consist of two types of evaluations, which are the cognitive component related to beliefs (Crompton, 1979) and the affective component that covers feelings or emotions (Beerli *et al.*, 2002). Cognitive can be summed up as perceptions that represent the views and opinions that the tourist embraces about the characteristics and attributes of a certain object or place (Pike and Ryan, 2004), while affective evaluations comprise the affected feeling and emotions towards these objects or places (Chen and Uysal, 2002; Kim and Richardson, 2003). Current studies also recognise the presence of a third image component, which is the conative image (Choi *et al.*, 2007). Conative can be defined as the behavioural action that is reflected from cognitive and affective valuations. Beerli *et al.* (2002) highlighted that the combination of cognitive and affective components would eventually form the overall image that reflects a tourist's positive or negative evaluation. It can also be noted that all elements must be acknowledged in their contribution towards better understanding of the overall image of a destination.

Multiple destinations nowadays are becoming more essential than one individual attraction due to the increase in tourism demand for holidays. As a result, when visiting a destination, tourists always seek more diverse experiences. The common things tourist do when travelling is staying at a hotel, going outside to eat and drink, interconnecting with local people, going shopping, and visiting cultural and historic places and attractions. Thus, it can be observed that a trip is not a single product, but consists of different service components, which are often provided by several organisations with multiple objectives (Kozak, 2003). In order to gain overall destination satisfaction, most importantly, the tourists must be satisfied with all the services they receive at the destination (Chen and Kerstetter, 1999). Tourist overall satisfaction is crucial towards determining their future purchase behaviour because tourists with high satisfaction level usually have a higher intention to revisit the destination.

The above information provides an overview of the importance of understanding a destination image when explaining tourist behaviour. This is because destination image not only influences the destination choice during the tourist decision-making process (Crompton and Ankomah, 1993), but also influences the post-decision-making behaviour (Bigne *et al.*, 2001). Post-decision-making behaviour can involve participation (onsite experience), evaluation (satisfaction), and future behavioural intentions (intention to revisit) (Chen and Tsai, 2007). Therefore, in tourism research, images are much more important than tangible resources, where Guthrie and Gale (1991) mentioned that perceptions, rather than reality, are what motivate consumers to act. However, in spite of increasing interest in destination image research, many authors have come to an agreement that up until recently, most studies that have been carried out are insufficiently theory-based. This situation is a result of a lack of framework or solid conceptualization which later result to the issues in measuring an overall destination image.

Many studies often use the term 'destination image', but these studies have not conceptualised this term specifically. Various authors have indicated that although the concept is widely used in the empirical context, it has been lightly defined and lacks a solid conceptual structure (Fakeye and Crompton, 1991). Tourism destination image

formation before the trip is the most important stage in the tourist destination selection processes. As stated by Brokaw in Baloglu and McCleary (1999), the before image is used to influence behaviour, so it is important to acknowledge what actually influences image. Goodall (1990) suggested that the discovered factors that influence destination images would help identify target markets and later decide which image should be promoted and highlighted into which segment of the market.

Although much research has been done in this field over the last two decades, destination image studies have also been condemned as being lacking in conceptual framework (Echtner and Ritchie, 1993). Promoting and marketing a good destination image will provide tourists with an early mental perception of what they will experience if they chose that particular tourism destination. Tourism destinations in urban areas face difficulties in arriving at an accurate promotional image since a city provides diverse activities and attractions, which can portray a different perspective of images. So, identifying the correct image that promotes a high satisfaction for the tourists is vital to distinguishing particular cities from each other. A good image should include an element that satisfies tourist perceived value, their expectation toward the destination, and also their tendency to revisit or recommend the destination to their friends and relatives.

However, mismatched images promoted for the actual destination experience can affect the tourism economies in the area since tourist satisfaction and expectation greatly influence tourism business. Identifying the factor that influences tourist behaviour and their decision-making process would lead to a vast amount of information being funneled to local authorities to help them promote their tourism destination. A destination image can be interpreted according to three dimensions, which are cognitive, affective, and conative. The combination of these dimensions will form an overall image of the tourism destination, since different types of tourists have different kinds of perceptions towards an attraction.

1.2 Current Issues in Destination Image

Defining the exact meaning of 'tourist destination image' is challenging. The term has been used in multiple contexts, including those relating to the destination images projected, the publicly held or 'stereotype' image of destinations, and also the destination images held by individuals. Echtner and Ritchie (1991) note that previously, the destination image definitions used have been quite ambiguous. Understanding tourist destination images is important because images influence not only the tourist's decision-making behaviour, but also the levels of satisfaction regarding their experience. As Mayo (1975) states in his article, the mere existence of an image in the mind of the person is more important than the true representation of what any given destinations has to offer. This shows that the image tourists hold or perceive about the destination is more important than the real image of the destination.

Decision-making and sales from tourist products and services are the reason marketers are always interested in the concept of tourist destination image because both are interrelated. However, an overly ambitious projected image could lead to much more frustration and lesser loyalty towards the destination. According to MacInnis and Price (1987), imagery involves the full consumption experience. Before purchase, indirect consumption is overwhelmed by imagery. Throughout consumption, imagery can add value and increase satisfaction. After consumption, an individual could reconstruct the imagery through memories and vacation souvenirs used to remember the experience. Understanding the differing images that different types of tourists have towards a destination is invaluable, because it enables the re-evaluated image to be merged into tourism marketing planning (Selby and Morgan, 1996). Marketers can also use imagery to increase the remembered satisfaction as well as to encourage re-purchase of holidays. To promote an accurate destination image, knowledge and information of the overall destination image is needed since these represent the real experience.

Promoting an image that does not represent the actual destination will not satisfy tourist perceived value, expectation, and loyalty towards the tourism destination. This scenario will greatly affect tourist level of satisfaction and

expectation, which will also influence their tendency to revisit the destination. In order to promote a good tourism destination image, tourism planners and local authorities must first determine how tourists consume and perceive a destination. Different types of tourists reflect different types of consumption styles and different consumption styles reflect different perceived images. Understanding how the tourists consume and move within a destination can provide knowledge to the authorities for developing a destination image that can match tourist satisfaction, expectation, and in turn lead to their revisiting the destination.

At the initial research stage of the Tourism Destination Image (TDI), researchers have been more concerned with how the image formation process occurs based on the supply-side, to understand the concept of an image. However, recently, research attention has turned to how the receivers of information perceive the image formation process; in this case, what image do the tourists perceive. Tourists will hold a certain image of the destination before visiting the destination based on the image projected by marketers and authorities. Satisfaction with the destination not only depends on the image that tourists receive before the visit, but the experience while visiting the destination is also crucial since it influences the actual image (Ross, 1993; Tasci and Gartner, 2007; Chen and Funk, 2010). When the image these tourists held before visiting is beyond the image they get and perceive during the visit, this creates a perception that the image projected before is just a marketing strategy and will lead to frustration. It is clear to justify that the issue face by the local authorities and marketers in promoting the tourism destination is to figure out the accurate image that need to be projected since there still has an argument on how to actually measure and capture the ideal image of the given destination.

1.3 Existing Gaps

The increased academic interest in the urban tourism field is related to the growth of tourism in cities (Pearce, 2001). Cities have slowly started to become destinations that tourists want to explore, rather than merely acting as gates or stop-over points to rural and coastal destinations. The growth of tourism demand in the city

has made the authorities and governments realise the economic potential of the city to this industry. Tourism strategies of urban renewal and economic development have become popular in many cities around the world (Law, 1996; Judd, 1999; Russo and Van Der Borg, 2002). Nowadays, urban tourism contributes significantly to the basic economy of a city and also impacts people's activity and city shape (Selby 2004). However this trend has also sparked competitions between the big cities around the world. A destination is considered to be competitive enough only if it can attract and satisfy potential tourists. Enright and Newton (2004) added that these criteria are determined by tourism-specific factors and by a much wider range of factors that influence tourism service providers.

Image plays a fundamental role in the success of tourist destinations, as it is considered critical to the destination choice (Law, 2002). Image provides an identity to the place or destination. The image portrayed by a city could then be perceived by the consumer, for which the tourist can then create a perception towards the destination that will influence their purchase behaviour. The similarity of attractions and activities among the cities around the world makes promoting the most appealing image difficult. Nowadays, strong competitions occur where tourists can freely choose from a wide variety of destinations available, but which often substitutable (Tigu, 2012). So a particular destination needs to identify and differentiate itself from other possibilities in the minds of the target market (Qu *et al.*, 2011). A statement by Echtner and Ritchie (2003) supports this in that each destination requires their own unique image because a destination must be positively positioned or favourably differentiated from its competition in the minds of the consumers. Research on destination images have been discussed and new ones have been discovered for over three decades. However, in the recent years, academic researchers have been more concerned with capturing and measuring the overall destination image components.

Efforts by Pike (2002) in synthesising the destination image themes from 1973 through 2000 reveal that 142 papers were published in this field alone. They also highlighted that destination image studies were lacking and limited in terms of research design and approach, as well as methods to measure destination image constructs. According to Boulding (1957), the three components that take into account destination

image are what we know about an object (cognitive), how we feel about what we know (affective), and how we act on this information (conative). However, most destination image researches frequently focus on obtaining only the cognitive and conative images of a destination. Only six studies have shown explicit interest in affective images from 1973 until 2000, as reviewed by Pike (2002). After that, a work by Stepchenkova and Mills in 2010 who review a destination image research from years 2000 until 2007 discovers that only four studies which focus on developing interest towards affective image.

Although the number of destination images research regarding the affective image get an attention by the researchers in 2008 until 2012 where 15 works focusing in the motivations of the tourist, the number is far to be notable from the total 177 works on destination image research in the same period of time (Nghiem-Phu, 2014). Nevertheless, many researchers agree that most destination image studies emphasise more on the cognitive component rather than the affective component (Ecthner and Ritchie, 1991; Walmsley and Young, 1998). Combining the cognitive, affective, and conative components of a destination image could actually produce a stronger overall evaluation of a destination (Baloglu and McCleary, 1999a, 1999b; Stern and Krakover, 1993). Although acquiring the comprehensive destination image can be achieved by acknowledging these three components, the image must be looked at from the demand-side rather from the supply-side. Researchers often detail the image formation process using the supply-side approach in order to better understand the concept.

However, recent attention has now focused on how the image formation process is perceived by receivers of the information (Tasci and Gartner, 2007). The demand-side or the consumer can be referred to as the tourist in the case of a tourism destination research. Capturing the image from the perspective of the tourist is important since the tourists are the ones who experience the destination. The more positive the image tourists perceive about a destination, the higher the destination satisfaction, destination recommendation, as well as destination loyalty. The perceived tourist image of the destination can be differentiated into three stages, which are before the visit, during the visit, and after the visit.

Numerous studies have attempted to examine and capture the differences in destination-perceived image between the before and after trip (Andreu *et al.*, 2000; Chaudhary, 2000), pre-visitors, and post-visitors (Chon, 1991). However, there is a lack of studies that have attempted or have focused on the “during-visit” perceived image. Shaw and Williams (1994) also highlighted this issue where they mention that there is limited effort and literature on tourist activities in urban areas. Page (1995) also stressed that it is particularly important to constantly evaluate whether or not actual experience meets tourist expectation. The tourist actual experience can only be acquired when they actually visit and consume the destination, as (Ross, 1993; Tasci and Gartner, 2007; Chen and Funk, 2010) stated, satisfaction with a visited destination not only depends on the ideal images held before the visitation, but also on experiences while at the destination. So, it is vital for the tourism destination, especially urban destinations, to acknowledge the tourist perceived image that include pre-visit image, during-visit image and post-visit image and add that to the comprehensive destination image components (cognitive, affective, and conative).

Acquiring an accurate image of a destination not only leads to destination satisfaction, destination recommendation, and destination loyalty; it also helps in guiding the government and responsible tourism authorities in investing and developing the tourism industry. This is because destination image research might influence the destination, and because the researchers and the methodologies they use are crucial factors for the destination (Tasci *et al.*, 2007). They also mentioned that if the researchers expose the wrong results due to methodological mistakes or faulty interpretations, the destination might run the risk of spending tourism resources for the wrong purposes.

1.4 Problem Statement

As discussed earlier, Law (2002) mentioned that the image of a destination acts as the key role in determining the success of that particular destination since it is a critical factor for destination choice. A destination becomes competitive enough only when it can attract and satisfy potential tourists. However, Tigu (2012) highlighted

that a strong competitive streak exists nowadays, so the tourist has more freedom of choice to pick from a wide variety of destinations although they are often substitutable. Therefore, it can be justified that a destination needs to be identified and differentiated from the alternative destinations in the minds of their target market (Qu *et al.*, 2011). Capturing the image from the perspective of the tourist is important since the tourists are the ones who experience the destination. However, arriving at an accurate definition of 'tourist destination image' is challenging because different types of tourists reflect different types of consumption styles, which in turn reflect different perceived images.

Selby and Morgan (1996) clarified that it is invaluable if a researcher can understand the differing images that different types of tourist have on a destination. This is because this information can contribute towards a re-evaluated image that can be merged into tourism marketing planning. Unfortunately, many researchers agree that previously, most destination image research have emphasised more on the cognitive component rather than the affective component (Ecthner and Ritchie, 1991; Walmsley and Young, 1998). Combining the cognitive, affective, and conative components of a destination image could actually produce a stronger overall evaluation of a destination (Baloglu and McCleary, 1999a, 1999b; Stern and Krakover, 1993). Since the tourist perceived image towards a destination is crucial in identifying an ideal image of the particular destination, it is necessary to differentiate the tourist perceived image into three stages, which are before the visit, during the visit, and after the visit.

Previously, numerous studies have attempted to examine and capture the differences in destination perceived image between the before and after trips (Andreu *et al.*, 2000; Chaudhary, 2000), pre-visitors, and post-visitors (Chon, 1991). However, not much study has attempted or focused on the during-visit perceived image. Shaw and Williams (1994) also highlighted this issue where they mentioned that limited effort and literature has been done regarding tourist activities in urban areas. Page (1995) also stressed that it is particularly important to constantly evaluate whether or not the actual experience meets tourist expectation.

From these arguments, it can be justified that capturing the tourist perceived image during their experience of the destination is essential although often overlooked. How tourists actually experience the destination can only be acquired when they actually visit and consume the destination, as (Ross, 1993; Tasci and Gartner, 2007; Chen and Funk, 2010) who stated that satisfaction with a visited destination depends not only on the ideal images held before visitation, but also on experiences while at the destination. This is due to the fact the image that tourist held before the visit may not equal or more positive when they actually experience the destination. It may lead to frustration and negative perception which later influence the tourist tendency to revisit the destination or promote the destination to their respective family and friends.

1.5 Research Objectives

This study aims to determine an accurate destination image of Kuala Lumpur perceived by the demand-side, which are the tourists themselves. Given that the perceived image of the tourist is important to the decision-making in visiting Kuala Lumpur, this study emphasises the different stages of perceived image, which are before visit, during visit, as well as after the visit. The relationship of the perceived image will be discovered via the factors of tourist socio-demographic profile, sources of information, travel characteristics, and components of destination images. In detail, the objectives of this study are:

- i. To measure tourist perceived image before their visit to Kuala Lumpur in terms of the cognitive and affective elements of a destination.
- ii. To capture tourist perceived image during their visit to Kuala Lumpur in terms of the cognitive and affective elements of a destination.
- iii. To assess tourist feedback on their image of Kuala Lumpur after their visit.
- iv. To explore the actual image and image changes at each stages that perceived by tourists towards Kuala Lumpur as an urban tourism destination.

1.6 Research Questions

To achieve the research objectives, the following research questions were formulated and examined:

- i. What types of tourists visit Kuala Lumpur in terms of their socio-demographic and travel characteristics?
- ii. What are the elements represent the cognitive and affective image of a destination before visitation?
- iii. How do we capture tourist perceived image during visitation?
- iv. What elements represent the cognitive and affective image of a destination during visitation?
- v. What are the feedbacks from the tourist after visiting Kuala Lumpur regarding their overall satisfaction, recommendation, and loyalty?
- vi. Which image represents the actual image of Kuala Lumpur as an urban tourism destination?

1.7 Scope of Study

This study focuses on Kuala Lumpur due to it being the city that is representing Malaysia to be promoted as the nation's tourism image. This is due to Kuala Lumpur is a city that is comparable to other big cities around the globe, and is the commercial capital city of Malaysia. Therefore, this study investigates the tourist perceived image towards Kuala Lumpur. Since the perceived image of the tourist involves three different stages, which are the images before visit, during visit, and after visit, this study explores the changes of perceived image of tourists at each stage. The evaluation of the perceived image will include all the component of overall destination image. The tourist's sources of information and their travel motivation are also considered because these influence the image formation when visiting Kuala Lumpur either they are physically or emotionally attached (Hughes and Morrison-Saunders, 2002). Other related factors that can influence the tourist perceived image such as socio-

demographic profiles and travel characteristics are included in this study to further understand the outline of Kuala Lumpur's image (Detailed discussion in Chapter 4).

1.8 Significance of the Study

The significance of this study can be looked at from two perspectives, where the first contribution is to the knowledge of destination image research that has been discussed for over three decades. This study is also seen to contribute to Kuala Lumpur tourism authorities and stakeholders especially for practical implementations. In the context of destination image research, this study helps provide the empirical frameworks for measuring the perceived image of tourists towards Kuala Lumpur. The key factors that influence the tourist decision to visit the destination are identified through extensive literature in order to recognise the significant factors that could influence the way tourists perceive a destination. This study also expands upon the knowledge of the method to capture and measure the overall destination image that represents Kuala Lumpur. Moreover, this study enhances the role played by the perceived image of a destination towards tourist perception, which in turn influences their purchase behaviour.

Since the perceived image of tourists towards a destination involves three stages, it is crucial to acknowledge these stages, so as to identify the accurate image perceived by the tourist, which can promote a better positive attitude. The tourist perceived image towards Kuala Lumpur were evaluated. This is to ensure that the tourists still hold the memory and image of the destination and are able to recall their experience in Kuala Lumpur, which can help towards identifying an accurate image of the city. The findings from this study are also useful for providing knowledge on the key factors that influence tourist perceived image towards a destination. This study also identifies the image that has been perceived with high destination satisfaction, high destination recommendation, and also high destination loyalty. Plus, the study findings can be used as a guideline and reference for policy and decision makers when determining the direction for tourism planning, as well as the promotional and marketing strategies for Kuala Lumpur.

1.9 Organisation of the Thesis

The first chapter provides a background of the research problem, problem statement, and objectives of the study, research gap, research scope, and the significance of this study. Next, the second chapter exhaustively discusses and reviews the relevant literature on the subject of urban tourism, destination image, components that contribute to destination image formation, and previous efforts on capturing and measuring destination images. Terminologies used in destination image research are also introduced and discussed in this chapter. The third chapter presents the historic, social and cultural lifestyle, economic development of Kuala Lumpur. In this chapter, overview information of the Kuala Lumpur tourism industry and its marketing strategy is provided. The fourth chapter discusses the methods, approaches, techniques, and instruments that have been used for this study. The fifth chapter presents the results and discussion of the study based on the analyses done, while the final chapter focuses on the conclusion, contribution towards theory and practice, limitations of this study, and feasible recommendations.

1.10 Chapter Summary

Discussion focused on the current issues in destination image and the existing gaps found by the researcher, which later becomes the main interest to undertake this study. The tourist perceived image towards a destination is crucial information for identifying the ideal image of a particular destination. This study explore tourist perceived images into three different stages, which is pre-visit, during-visit and post-visit, where the second stage is often neglected in other studies. The ‘during-visit’ perceived image of tourist is essential since it represents the actual image of the destination when the tourist undergoes a live experience of the destination. However, other gap is found in the methodological aspect when the overall destination components, in which the cognitive, affective, and conative images are not captured as a whole and where the second components are often overlooked. The methodology used to capture the destination components in the different stages of perceived image and also clarifies why Kuala Lumpur was chosen for this study.

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, A. G. (1998). Urban Tourism in Malaysia: Heritages Cities of Georgetown, Malacca and Kota Bahru. Paper Presented at the 2nd International Seminar on European Architecture and Town Planning Outside Europe (Dutch Period). 2-5 November. Melaka, Malaysia.
- Ahmed, Z. U. (1991). The Influence of the Components of a State's Tourist Image on Product Positioning Strategy. *Tourism Management*. 12(4), 331-340.
- Alba, J. W. and Hutchinson, J. W. (1987). Dimensions of Consumer Expertise. *Journal of Consumer Research*. 13(4), 411-454.
- Alegre, J. and Cladera, M. (2009). Analysing the Effect of Satisfaction and Previous Visits on Tourist Intentions to Return. *European Journal of Marketing*. 43(5), 670-685.
- Alegre, J. and Juaneda, C. (2006). Destination Loyalty: Consumers' Economic Behavior. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 33(3), 684-706.
- Alexandris, K., Kouthouris, C. and Meligdis, A. (2006). Increasing Customers' Loyalty in a Skiing Resort: The Contribution of Place Attachment and Service Quality. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*. 18(5), 414-425.
- Alhemoud, A. M. and Armstrong, E. G. (1996). Image of Tourism Attractions in Kuwait. *Journal of Travel Research*. 34(4), 76-80.
- Andereck, K. L. (2005). Evaluation of a Tourist Brochure. *Journal of Travel and Tourism Marketing*. 18(2), 1-13.

- Andreu, L., Bigné, J. E. and Cooper, C. (2000). Projected and Perceived Image of Spain as a Tourist Destination for British Travellers. *Journal of Travel and Tourism Marketing*. 9(4), 47-67.
- Anuar, A. N. A., Ahmad, H., Jusoh, H. and Hussain, M. Y. (2012). Understanding the Factors Influencing Formation of Tourist Friendly Destination Concept. *Journal of Management and Sustainability*. 2(1), 106.
- Ashworth, G. and Page, S. J. (2011). Urban Tourism Research: Recent Progress and Current Paradoxes. *Tourism Management*. 32(1), 1-15.
- Ashworth, G. J. (2003). Urban Tourism: Still an Imbalance in Attention. In Cooper, C. (Ed.) *Classic Reviews in Tourism* (pp. 143-163). Clevedon: Channel View Publications.
- Ashworth, G. J. (2009). Do Tourists Destroy the Heritage They Have Come to Experience?. *Tourism Recreation Research*. 34(1), 79-83.
- Awaritefe, O. (2004). Motivation and Other Considerations in Tourist Destination Choice: A Case Study of Nigeria. *Tourism Geographies*, 6(3). 303-330.
- Axelsen, M. and Swan, T. (2010). Designing Festival Experiences to Influence Visitor Perceptions: The Case of a Wine and Food Festival. *Journal of Travel Research*. 49(4), 436-450.
- Babbie, E. R. (2011). *Basics of Social Research*. (6th ed.) USA: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.
- Baker, D. A. and Crompton, J. L. (2000). Quality, Satisfaction and Behavioral Intentions. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 27(3), 785-804.
- Ball, M. S. and Smith, G. W. (1992). *Analyzing Visual Data*. London: Sage.
- Baloglu, S. (1997). The Relationship between Destination Images and Sociodemographic and Trip Characteristics of International Travellers. *Journal of Vacation Marketing*. 3(3), 221-233.
- Baloglu, S. (2001). Image Variations of Turkey by Familiarity Index: Informational and Experiential Dimensions. *Tourism Management*. 22(2), 127-133.

- Baloglu, S. and Brinberg, D. (1997). Affective Images of Tourism Destinations. *Journal of Travel Research*. 35(4), 11-15.
- Baloglu, S. and Mangaloglu, M. (2001). Tourism Destination Images of Turkey, Egypt, Greece, and Italy as Perceived by US-based Tour Operators and Travel Agents. *Tourism Management*. 22(1), 1-9.
- Baloglu, S. and McCleary, K. W. (1999a). A Model of Destination Image Formation. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 26(4), 868-897.
- Baloglu, S. and McCleary, K. W. (1999b). US International Pleasure Travelers' Images of Four Mediterranean Destinations: A Comparison of Visitors and Nonvisitors. *Journal of Travel Research*. 38(2), 144-152.
- Baloglu, S. and Uysal, M. (1996). Market Segments of Push and Pull Motivations: A Canonical Correlation Approach. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*. 8(3), 32-38.
- Balomenou, N. and Garrod, B. (2010). Using Volunteer-Employed Photography: Seeing St. David's Peninsula through the Eyes of Locals and Tourists. In Burns, P., Lester, J. and Bibbings, L. (Eds.) *Tourism and Visual Culture: Methods and Cases* (pp. 111-119). Wallingford: Cabi.
- Banks, M. and Zeitlyn, D. (2015). *Visual Methods in Social Research*. (2nd ed.) London: Sage.
- Berli Palacio, A., Díaz Meneses, G. and Pérez Pérez, P. J. (2002). The Configuration of the University Image and Its Relationship with the Satisfaction of Students. *Journal of Educational Administration*. 40(5), 486-505.
- Berli, A. and Martin, J. D. (2004). Factors Influencing Destination Image. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 31(3), 657-681.
- Berli, A., Meneses, G. D. and Gil, S. M. (2007). Self-Congruity and Destination Choice. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 34(3), 571-587.
- Berg, B. L., Lune, H. and Lune, H. (2004). *Qualitative Research Methods for the Social Sciences*. (Vol. 5) Boston: Pearson.

- Berne, C., Mugica, J. M. and Yague, M. J. (1997). Intrinsic Variety Seeking as a Moderator of Loyalty. *Proceedings of the 26th EMAC Conference*. 20-23 May. Coventry, UK, 1542-1562.
- Bhuiyan, M. A. H., Siwar, C., Ismail, S. M., Islam, R. and Ehsan, D. (2011). Potentials of Islamic Tourism: A Case Study of Malaysia on East Coast Economic Region. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*. 5(6), 1333-1340.
- Bigne, J. E., Sanchez, M. I. and Sanchez, J. (2001). Tourism Image, Evaluation Variables and After Purchase Behaviour: Inter-Relationship. *Tourism Management*. 22(6), 607-616.
- Bijoux, D. and Myers, J. (2006). Interviews, Solicited Diaries and Photography: 'New' ways of Accessing Everyday Experiences of Place. *Graduate Journal of Asia-Pacific Studies*. 4(1), 44-64.
- Boer, J.D. (2009). *Top 20 of the World's Best City Icons: The Pop-Up City*, Retrieved on 23 November 2016. Retrieved from <http://popupcity.net/2009/05/top-20-of-the-worlds-best-city-icons/>.
- Boo, S. and Busser, J. A. (2006). The Hierarchical Influence of Visitor Characteristics on Tourism Destination Images. *Journal of Travel and Tourism Marketing*. 19(4), 55-67.
- Botha, C., Crompton, J. L. and Kim, S. S. (1999). Developing a Revised Competitive Position for Sun/Lost City, South Africa. *Journal of Travel Research*. 37(4), 341-352.
- Bouchon, F. A. (2014). Truly Asia and Global City and Quest: Branding Strategies and Contested Identities in Kuala Lumpur. *Place Branding and Public Diplomacy*. 10(1), 6-18.
- Bouchon, F., Tung, L. and Lim, T. S. (2009). Sayangi Kuala Lumpur? Branding Strategies for Metropolitan Areas. In *3rd International Conference on Destination Branding and Marketing*. 2-4 December. Macao, China, 84-95.
- Boulding, K. E. (1957). *The Image: Knowledge in Life and Society*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.

- Bowen, J. T. and Chen, S. L. (2001). The Relationship between Customer Loyalty and Customer Satisfaction. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*. 13(5), 213-217.
- Bramwell, B. and Rawding, L. (1996). Tourism Marketing Images of Industrial Cities. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 23(1), 201-221.
- Britton, R. A. (1979). The Image of the Third World in Tourism Marketing. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 6(3), 318-329.
- Bryman, A. (2015). *Social Research Methods*. (5th ed.) Great Clarendon Street, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Byrne, D. and Nelson, D. (1965). The Effect of Topic Importance and Attitude Similarity-Dissimilarity on Attraction in a Multistranger Design. *Psychonomic Science*, 3(1). 449-450.
- Castleden, H. and Garvin, T. (2008). Modifying Photovoice for Community-Based Participatory Indigenous Research. *Social Science and Medicine*. 66(6), 1393-1405.
- Cha, S., McCleary, K. W. and Uysal, M. (1995). Travel Motivations of Japanese Overseas Travelers: A Factor-Cluster Segmentation Approach. *Journal of Travel Research*. 34(1), 33-39.
- Chaudhary, M. (2000). India's Image as a Tourist Destination—A Perspective of Foreign Tourists. *Tourism Management*. 21(3), 293-297.
- Chen, C. F. and Tsai, D. (2007). How Destination Image and Evaluative Factors Affect Behavioral Intentions?. *Tourism Management*. 28(4), 1115-1122.
- Chen, J. S. and Uysal, M. (2002). Market Positioning Analysis: A Hybrid Approach. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 29(4), 987-1003.
- Chen, J., Wang, W. and Prebensen, N. K. (2016). Travel Companions and Activity Preferences of Nature-Based Tourists. *Tourism Review*. 71(1), 45-56.

- Chen, N. and Funk, D. C. (2010). Exploring Destination Image, Experience and Revisit Intention: A Comparison of Sport and Non-Sport Tourist Perceptions. *Journal of Sport and Tourism*. 15(3), 239-259.
- Chen, P. J. and Kerstetter, D. L. (1999). International Students' Image of Rural Pennsylvania as a Travel Destination. *Journal of Travel Research*. 37(3), 256-266.
- Chenoweth, R. (1984). Visitor Employed Photography: A Potential Tool for Landscape Architecture. *Landscape Journal*. 3(2), 136-143.
- Cherem, G. J. and Traweek, D. E. (1977). Visitor Employed Photography: A Tool for Interpretive Planning on River Environments. *Proceedings River Recreation Management and Research Symposium, USDA Forest Service*. 24-27 January. Minneapolis, 236-244.
- Chi, C. G. Q. and Qu, H. (2008). Examining the Structural Relationships of Destination Image, Tourist Satisfaction and Destination Loyalty: An Integrated Approach. *Tourism Management*. 29(4), 624-636.
- Choi, S., Lehton, X. Y. and Morrison, A. M. (2007). Destination Image Representation on the Web: Content Analysis of Macau Travel Related Websites. *Tourism Management*. 28(1), 118-129.
- Chon, K. S. (1989). Understanding Recreational Traveler's Motivation, Attitude and Satisfaction. *The Tourist Review*. 44(1), 3-7.
- Chon, K. S. (1991). Tourism Destination Image Modification Process: Marketing Implications. *Tourism Management*. 12(1), 68-72.
- Cohen, S. A., Prayag, G. and Moital, M. (2014). Consumer Behaviour in Tourism: Concepts, Influences and Opportunities. *Current Issues in Tourism*. 17(10), 872-909.
- Collier Jr, J. (1967). *Visual Anthropology: Photography as a Research Method*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Collier, J. and Collier, M. (1986). *Visual Anthropology: Photography as a Research Method*. New York: University of New Mexico Press.

- Collis, J. and Hussey, R. (2009). *Business Research*. (3rd ed.) Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillian.
- Creswell, J. (2009). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*. London: Sage.
- Crompton, J. L. (1979). Motivations for Pleasure Vacation. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 6(4), 408-424.
- Crompton, J. L. and Ankomah, P. K. (1993). Choice Set Propositions in Destination Decisions. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 20(3), 461-476.
- Crompton, J. L., Fakeye, P. C. and Lue, C. C. (1992). Positioning: The Example of the Lower Rio Grande Valley in the Winter Long Stay Destination Market. *Journal of Travel Research*. 31(2), 20-26.
- Crotts, J. C. (2004). The Effect of Cultural Distance on Overseas Travel Behaviors. *Journal of Travel Research*. 43(1), 83-88.
- Crotts, J. C. and Erdmann, R. (2000). Does National Culture Influence Consumers' Evaluation of Travel Services? A Test of Hofstede's Model of Cross-Cultural Differences. *Managing Service Quality: An International Journal*. 10(6), 410-419.
- Dakin, S. (2003). There's More to Landscape than Meets the Eye: Towards Inclusive Landscape Assessment in Resource and Environmental Management. *The Canadian Geographer*. 47(2), 185-200.
- Dann, G. M. (1996). Tourists' Images of a Destination-An Alternative Analysis. *Journal of Travel and Tourism Marketing*. 5(2), 41-55.
- Davidson, R. (1993). European Business Tourism—Changes and Prospects. *Tourism Management*. 14(3), 167-172.
- De Rojas, C. and Camarero, C. (2008). Visitors' Experience, Mood and Satisfaction in a Heritage Context: Evidence from an Interpretation Center. *Tourism Management*. 29(3), 525-537.

- De Vaus, D. (2002). *Analyzing Social Science Data: 50 Key Problems in Data Analysis*. London: Sage.
- Decrop, A. (1999). Tourists' Decision-Making and Behavior Processes. In Pizam, A. and Mansfeld, Y. (Eds.) *Consumer Behavior in Travel and Tourism*, (pp. 103-133). New York: Haworth Hospitality Press.
- Della Corte, V., Piras, A. and Zamparelli, G. (2010). Brand and Image: The Strategic Factors in Destination Marketing. *International Journal of Leisure and Tourism Marketing*. 1(4), 358-377.
- Denscombe, M. (2010). *Ground Rules for Good Research: Guidelines for Good Practice*. Buckingham: Open University Press.
- Di Marino, E. (2008). The strategic dimension of destination image: An analysis of the French Riviera image from the Italian tourists' perceptions. In *17th International Tourism and Leisure Symposium*. 24-26 May. Barcelona, 117-136.
- Diedrich, A. and García-Buades, E. (2009). Local Perceptions of Tourism as Indicators of Destination Decline. *Tourism Management*. 30(4), 512-521.
- Dimitriades, Z. S. (2006). Customer Satisfaction, Loyalty and Commitment in Service Organizations: Some Evidence from Greece. *Management Research News*. 29(12), 782-800.
- Dobni, D. and Zinkhan, G. M. (1990). In Search of Brand Image: A Foundation Analysis. *Advances in Consumer Research* .17(1), 110-119.
- Dodd, T. H., Laverie, D. A., Wilcox, J. F. and Duhan, D. F. (2005). Differential Effects of Experience, Subjective Knowledge, and Objective Knowledge on Sources of Information Used in Consumer Wine Purchasing. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Research*. 29(1), 3-19.
- Dorman, C. and Gaudiano, P. (1995). *Motivation: Handbook of Brain Theory and Neural Networks*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Druckman, D. (2005). *Doing Research: Methods of Inquiry for Conflict Analysis: Methods of Inquiry for Conflict Analysis*. London: Sage.

- Dudwick, N., Kuehnast, K., Jones, V. N. and Woolcock, M. (2006). Analyzing Social Capital in Context. In *A Guide to Using Qualitative Methods and Data*. (pp. 1-46). Washington: World Bank Institute.
- Dyer, P., Gursoy, D., Sharma, B. and Carter, J. (2007). Structural Modeling of Resident Perceptions of Tourism and Associated Development on the Sunshine Coast, Australia. *Tourism Management*. 28(2), 409-422.
- Echtner, C. M. and Ritchie, J. B. (1991). The Meaning and Measurement of Destination Image. *Journal of Tourism Studies*. 2(2), 2-12.
- Echtner, C. M. and Ritchie, J. B. (1993). The Measurement of Destination Image: An Empirical Assessment. *Journal of Travel Research*. 31(4), 3-13.
- Echtner, C. M. and Ritchie, J. R. (2003). The Meaning and Measurement of Destination Image:[Reprint of original article published in 2(2), 2-12]. *Journal of Tourism Studies*. 14(1), 37.
- Edginton, C. R., Jordan, D. J., DeGraaf, D. G. and Edginton, S. R. (1995). *Leisure and Life Satisfaction: Foundational Perspectives*. Dubuque: Brown and Benchmark.
- Edwards, D., Griffin, T. and Hayllar, B. (2008). Urban Tourism Research: Developing an Agenda. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 35(4), 1032-1052.
- Enright, M. J. and Newton, J. (2004). Tourism Destination Competitiveness: A Quantitative Approach. *Tourism Management*. 25(6), 777-788.
- Espelt, N. G. and Benito, J. A. D. (2005). The Social Construction of the Image of Girona: A Methodological Approach. *Tourism Management*. 26(5), 777-785.
- Fainstein, S. S. and Judd, D. R. (1999). Global Forces, Local Strategies, and Urban Tourism. In *The Tourist City* (pp. 1-20). New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Fakeye, P. C. and Crompton, J. L. (1991). Image Differences between Prospective, First-Time, and Repeat Visitors to the Lower Rio Grande Valley. *Journal of Travel Research*. 30(2), 10-16.
- Fakeye, P. C. and Crompton, J. L. (1992). Importance of Socialization to Repeat Visitation. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 19(2), 364-367.

- Falk, P. and Campbell, C. (1997). *The Shopping Experience*. London: Sage.
- Ferber, R. (1974). *Handbook of Marketing Research*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Finfacts (2008). *Global Quality of Living City Rankings 2008*. Retrieved on 23 November 2016. Retrieved from <http://www.finfacts.ie/qualityoflife.htm>.
- Flick, S. and Taylor, J. (1998). Attitudes of Backpackers and Casual Day Visitors in Rocky Mountain National Park. *Park Science*. 18(1), 18-20.
- Fridgen, J. D. (1987). Use of Cognitive Maps to Determine Perceived Tourism Regions. *Leisure Sciences*. 9(2), 101-117.
- Frochot, I. and Kreziak, D. (2008). Customers' Perceptions of Ski Resorts' Images: Implications for Resorts' Positioning Strategies. *Tourism and Hospitality Research*. 8(4), 298-308.
- Gallarza, M. G., Saura, I. G. and García, H. C. (2002). Destination Image: Towards a Conceptual Framework. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 29(1), 56-78.
- Garrod, B. (2008). Exploring Place Perception a Photo-Based Analysis. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 35(2), 381-401.
- Garrod, B. (2009). Understanding the Relationship between Tourism Destination Imagery and Tourist Photography. *Journal of Travel Research*. 47(3), 346-358.
- Gartner, W. C. (1989). Tourism Image: Attribute Measurement of State Tourism Products Using Multidimensional Scaling Techniques. *Journal of Travel Research*. 28(2), 16-20.
- Gartner, W. C. (1994). Image Formation Process. *Journal of Travel and Tourism Marketing*. 2(2), 191-216.
- Gartner, W. C. (1996). *Tourism Development: Principles, Processes, and Policies*. Hoboken: Wiley.
- Gartner, W. C. and Shen, J. (1992). The Impact of Tiananmen Square on China's Tourism Image. *Journal of Travel Research*. 30(4), 47-52.

- Gensch, D. H. (1978). Image-measurement Segmentation. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 15(3), 384-394.
- George, D. and Mallery, P. (2001). *SPSS for Windows Step by Step: a Simple Guide and Reference, 11.0 Update*. (4th ed.) New Jersey: Pearson.
- Gephart, R. P. (2004). Qualitative Research and the Academy of Management Journal. *Academy of Management Journal*. 47(4), 454-462.
- Gibson, H. J., Qi, C. X. and Zhang, J. J. (2008). Destination Image and Intent to Visit China and the 2008 Beijing Olympic Games. *Journal of Sport Management*. 22(4), 427-450.
- Gitelson, R. J. and Kerstetter, D. L. (1990). The Relationship between Sociodemographic Variables, Benefits Sought and Subsequent Vacation Behavior: A Case Study. *Journal of Travel Research*. 28(3), 24-29.
- Goodall, B. (1990). The Dynamics of Tourism Place Marketing. In *Marketing Tourism Places* (pp. 259-279). London: Routledge.
- Goodrich, J. N. (1978). The Relationship between Preferences for and Perceptions of Vacation Destinations: Application of a Choice Model. *Journal of Travel Research*. 17(2), 8-13.
- Goossens, C. (2000). Tourism Information and Pleasure Motivation. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 27(2), 301-321.
- Govers, R. and Go, F. M. (2003). Deconstructing Destination Image in the Information Age. *Information Technology and Tourism*. 6(1), 13-29.
- Grappi, S. and Montanari, F. (2011). The Role of Social Identification and Hedonism in Affecting Tourist Re-Patronizing Behaviours: The Case of an Italian Festival. *Tourism Management*. 32(5), 1128-1140.
- Gratton, C. and Jones, I. (2010). *Research Methods for Sports Studies*. United Kingdom: Taylor and Francis.
- Gripsrud, G., Nes, E. B. and Olsson, U. H. (2010). Effects of Hosting a Mega-Sport Event on Country Image. *Event Management*. 14(3), 193-204.

- Gronmo, S. and Lavik, R. (1988). Shopping Behaviour and Social Interaction: An Analysis of Norwegian Time Budget Data. In *The Sociology of Consumption: An Anthology*. Oslo: Solum Forlag.
- Groves, D. L. and Timothy, D. J. (2001). Photographic Techniques and the Measurement of Impact and Importance Attributes on Trip Design: A Case Study. *Society and Leisure*. 24(1), 311-317.
- Grubb, E. L. and Stern, B. L. (1971). Self-Concept and Significant Others. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 8(3), 382-385.
- Gugler, J. (2004). *World Cities beyond the West: Globalization, Development and Inequality*. London: Cambridge University Press.
- Gunn, C. A. (1988). *Tourism Planning*. United Kingdom: Taylor and Francis.
- Gunn, C. A. (2014). *Vacationscape: Developing Tourist Areas*. (3rd ed.) London: Routledge.
- Guthrie, J. and Gale, P. (1991). Positioning Ski Areas. In *New Horizons Conference Proceedings*. 2-5 July. Calgary, 551-569.
- Haila, A. (2000). Real Estate in Global Cities: Singapore and Hong Kong as Property States. *Urban Studies*. 37(12), 2241-2256.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., Anderson, R. E. and Tatham, R. L. (2006). *Multivariate Data Analysis*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Hamzah, A. (2002). The Concept of Urban Tourism. Paper *Presented at the Seminar of the Roles of Government Agencies on Urban Tourism*. 29-30 January. Sabah, Malaysia.
- Hansen, T. (2005). Perspectives on Consumer Decision Making: An Integrated Approach. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*. 4(6), 420-437.
- Hanyu, K. (1993). The Affective Meaning of Tokyo: Verbal and Non-Verbal Approaches. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 13(2), 161-172.
- Harper, D. (1987). The Visual Ethnographic Narrative. *Visual Anthropology*. 1(1), 1-19.

- Harper, D. (2002). Talking About Pictures: A Case for Photo Elicitation. *Visual Studies*. 17(1), 13-26.
- Hassan, A. S., Badarulzaman, N., Ahmad, A. G. and Mohamed, B. (2002). Adaptive re-use of Old Building for Heritage Hotels: Prospects and Challenges in the Malaysian Tourism Industry. In Wober, H. W. (Ed.) *City Tourism 2002: Proceedings of European Cities Tourism's International Conference in Vienna, Austria* (pp. 112-122). Austria: Springer New York.
- Hasanuddin L. (2003). *A Comparative Analysis of Perception of Urban Landmarks between Designers, Non-designers and Laypublic: Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia*. PhD Thesis. University of Sheffield.
- Hawkins, D. E. and Mann, S. (2007). The World Bank's Role in Tourism Development. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 34(2), 348-363.
- Hawkins, D. I., Best, R. J. and Coney, K. A. (2003). *Consumer Behavior: Building Marketing Strategy*. (9th ed.) Boston: McGraw Hill.
- Haywood, K. M. (1990). Visitor-Employed Photography: An Urban Visit Assessment. *Journal of Travel Research*. 29(1), 25-29.
- Henning, E., Van Rensburg, W. and Smit, B. (2004). *Finding Your Way in Qualitative Research*. Pretoria: Van Schaik.
- Holbrook, M. B. and Hirschman, E. C. (1982). The Experiential Aspects of Consumption: Consumer Fantasies, Feelings, and Fun. *Journal of Consumer Research*. 9(2), 132-140.
- Holcomb, B. (1999). Marketing Cities for Tourism. In Fainstein, S. S. and Judd, D. R. (Eds.) *The Tourist City* (pp. 54-70) New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Holm, S. (1979). A Simple Sequentially Rejective Multiple Test Procedure. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*. 6(2), 65-70.
- Holsti, O. R. (1969). *Content Analysis for the Social Sciences and Humanities*. USA: Addison-Wesley Pub. Co.

- Hosany, S. and Gilbert, D. (2010). Measuring Tourists' Emotional Experiences toward Hedonic Holiday Destinations. *Journal of Travel Research*. 49(4), 513-526.
- Hosany, S. and Witham, M. (2010). Dimensions of Cruisers' Experiences, Satisfaction, and Intention to Recommend. *Journal of Travel Research*. 49(3), 351–364.
- Hsu, C. H., Wolfe, K. and Kang, S. K. (2004). Image Assessment for a Destination with Limited Comparative Advantages. *Tourism Management*. 25(1), 121-126.
- Hsu, T. K., Tsai, Y. F. and Wu, H. H. (2009). The Preference Analysis for Tourist Choice of Destination: A Case Study of Taiwan. *Tourism Management*. 30(2), 288-297.
- Hu, Y. and Ritchie, J. B. (1993). Measuring Destination Attractiveness: A Contextual Approach. *Journal of Travel Research*. 32(2), 25-34.
- Hudson, S. (1999). Consumer Behavior Related to Tourism. In Pizam, A. and Mansfeld, Y. (Eds.) *Consumer Behavior in Travel and Tourism* (pp. 7-32). New York: Haworth Hospitality Press.
- Hughes, M. and Morrison-Saunders, A. (2002). Repeat and First Time Visitation in an Experience Specific Context: The Valley of the Giants Tree Top Walk. *Journal of Tourism Studies*. 13(1), 20.
- Hui, T. K. and Wan, T. W. D. (2003). Singapore's Image as a Tourist Destination. *International Journal of Tourism Research*. 5(4), 305-313.
- Hung, K. and Petrick, J. F. (2011). Why Do You Cruise? Exploring the Motivations for Taking Cruise Holidays, and the Construction of a Cruising Motivation Scale. *Tourism Management*. 32(2), 386-393.
- Hunt, J. D. (1975). Image as a Factor in Tourism Development. *Journal of Travel Research*. 13(3), 1-7.
- Inskeep, E. (1991). *Tourism Planning: An Integrated and Sustainable Development Approach*. Michigan: Van Nostrand Reinhold.

- Jackson, M. S. (2000). Predicting Australian Mass Tourism Flow Using Hofstede S Cultural Model. In *Proceedings of the Tenth Australian Tourism and Hospitality Research Conference*. 2-5 February. Mt Buller, Victoria, 47-56.
- Jacoby, J. and Chestnut, R. W. (1978). *Brand Loyalty: Measurement and Management*. New York: Wiley.
- Jani, D. and Hwang, Y. H. (2011). User-Generated Destination Image through Weblogs: A Comparison of Pre- and Post-Visit Images. *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research*. 16(3), 339-356.
- Jenkins, O. (2003). Photography and Travel Brochures: The Circle of Representation. *Tourism Geographies*. 5(3), 305-328.
- Jenkins, O. H. (1999). Understanding and Measuring Tourist Destination Images. *The International Journal of Tourism Research*. 1(1), 1-15.
- Jenner, P. and Smith, C. (1993). *Tourism in the Mediterranean*. UK: Economist Intelligence Unit Ltd.
- Jennings, G. (2011). Evaluation Research Methods in Leisure, Recreation and Tourism Research. In Sirakaya-Turk, E. (Ed.) *Research Methods for Leisure, Recreation and Tourism* (pp. 140-161). Wallingford: Cabi.
- Johnsen, S., May, J. and Cloke, P. (2008). Imag (in) ing 'Homeless Places': Using Auto-Photography to (Re) Examine the Geographies of Homelessness. *Area*. 40(2), 194-207.
- Jones, T. O. and Sasser, W. E. (1995), Why Satisfied Customers Defect?. *Harvard Business Review*. 73(6), 88-99.
- Jönsson, C. and Devonish, D. (2008). Does Nationality, Gender, and Age Affect Travel Motivation? A Case of Visitors to the Caribbean Island of Barbados. *Journal of Travel and Tourism Marketing*. 25(3), 398-408.
- Joppe, M., Martin, D. W. and Waalen, J. (2001). Toronto's Image as a Destination: A Comparative Importance-Satisfaction Analysis by Origin of Visitor. *Journal of Travel Research*. 39(3), 252-260.

- Judd, D. R. (Ed.). (2002). *The Infrastructure of Play: Building the Tourist City*. New York: ME Sharpe.
- Jutla, R. S. (2000). Visual Image of the City: Tourists' Versus Residents' Perception of Simla, a Hill Station in Northern India. *Tourism Geographies*. 2(4), 404-420.
- Kenney, K. (1993). Using Self-Portrait Photographs to Understand Self-Concepts of Chinese and American University Students. *Visual Anthropology*. 5(3), 245-269.
- Kim, H. and Richardson, S. L. (2003). Motion Picture Impacts on Destination Images. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 30(1), 216-237.
- Kim, H. and Stepchenkova, S. (2015). Effect of Tourist Photographs on Attitudes towards Destination: Manifest and Latent Content. *Tourism Management*. 49, 29-41.
- Kim, S. and Yoon, Y. (2003). The Hierarchical Effects of Affective and Cognitive Components on Tourism Destination Image. *Journal of Travel and Tourism Marketing*. 14(2), 1-22.
- Kim, S. S. and Lee, C. K. (2002). Push and Pull Relationships. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 29(1), 257-260.
- Kim, S. S. and Morrison, A. M. (2005). Change of Images of South Korea among Foreign Tourists after the 2002 FIFA World. *Tourism Management*. 26(2), 233-247.
- Kim, S. S., Lee, C. K. and Klenosky, D. B. (2003). The Influence of Push and Pull Factors at Korean National Parks. *Tourism Management*. 24(2), 169-180.
- Klenosky, D. B. (2002). The "Pull" of Tourism Destinations: A Means-End Investigation. *Journal of Travel Research*. 40(4), 396-403.
- KLTMP (2015). *Kuala Lumpur Tourism Master Plan 2015-2025*. Retrieved by 25 November 2015. Retrieved from <http://www.kltourismmasterplan.com/the-masterplan/>.
- Konecnik, M. and Gartner, W. C. (2007). Customer-Based Brand Equity for a Destination. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 34(2), 400-421.

- Konecnik, M. and Ruzzier, M. (2006). The Influence of Previous Visitation on Customer's Evaluation of a Tourism Destination. *Managing Global Transitions*. 4(2), 145-165.
- Kotler, P., Hamlin, M. A., Rein, I. and Haider, D. H. (2002). *Marketing Asian Places: Attracting Investment, Industry, and Tourism to Cities, States and Nations*. Singapore: John Wiley and Sons (Asia).
- Kozak, M. (2001). Comparative Assessment of Tourist Satisfaction with Destinations across Two Nationalities. *Tourism Management*. 22(4), 391-401.
- Kozak, M. (2002). Comparative Analysis of Tourist Motivations by Nationality and Destinations. *Tourism Management*. 23(3), 221-232.
- Kozak, M. (2003). Measuring Tourist Satisfaction with Multiple Destination Attributes. *Tourism Analysis*. 7(3), 229-240.
- Kozak, M. and Rimmington, M. (2000). Tourist Satisfaction with Mallorca, Spain, As an Off-Season Holiday Destination. *Journal of Travel Research*. 38(3), 260-269.
- Krejcie, R. V. and Morgan, D. W. (1970). Determining Sample Size for Research Activities. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*. 30(3), 607-610.
- Kuala Lumpur City Hall (2015). *Kuala Lumpur Structure Plan 2020*. Retrieved by 15 October. Retrieved from <http://www.dbkl.gov.my/pskl2020/english/index.htm>.
- Law, C. M. (1996). *Tourism in Major Cities*. London: Routledge.
- Law, C. M. (2002). *Urban Tourism: The Visitor Economy and the Growth of Large Cities*. London: Continuum.
- Lee, R. and Lockshin, L. (2012). Reverse Country-Of-Origin Effects of Product Perceptions on Destination Image. *Journal of Travel Research*. 51(4), 502-511.
- Leisen, B. (2001). Image Segmentation: The Case of a Tourism Destination. *Journal of Services Marketing*. 15(1), 49-66.
- Lim, S. and Neethiananthan, A. R. (2006). Destination Branding: 'Malaysia Truly Asia' from the Perspective of Foreign Tourists. In *1st Asia-Euro Conference*. 9-10 November. Kuala Lumpur, 97-115.

- Litvin, S. W. and Ling, S. N. S. (2001). The Destination Attribute Management Model: An Empirical Application to Bintan, Indonesia. *Tourism Management*. 22(5), 481-492.
- Loeffler, T. A. (2004). A Photo Elicitation Study of the Meanings of Outdoor Adventure Experiences. *Journal of Leisure Research*. 36(4), 536-556.
- Lorde, T., Greenidge, D. and Devonish, D. (2011). Local Residents' Perceptions of the Impacts of the ICC Cricket World Cup 2007 on Barbados: Comparisons of Pre- and Post-Games. *Tourism Management*. 32(2), 349-356.
- Low, L. and Heng, T. M. (1997). Singapore: Development of Gateway Tourism. In Go, F. M. and Jenkins, C. L. (Eds.) *Tourism and Economic Development in Asia and Australasia* (pp. 237-254). London: Cassell.
- Lupton, R. A. (1997). Customer Portfolio Development: Modeling Destination Adapters, Inactives, and Rejecters. *Journal of Travel Research*. 36(1), 35-43.
- Luttrell, W. (2010). A Camera is a Big Responsibility: A Lens for Analysing Children's Visual Voices. *Visual Studies*. 25(3), 224-237.
- MacInnis, D. J. and Price, L. L. (1987). The Role of Imagery in Information Processing: Review and Extensions. *Journal of Consumer Research*. 13(4), 473-491.
- Macintosh, G. (2007). Customer Orientation, Relationship Quality, and Relational Benefits to the Firm. *Journal of Services Marketing*. 21(3), 150-159.
- MacKay, K. J. and Couldwell, C. M. (2004). Using Visitor-Employed Photography to Investigate Destination Image. *Journal of Travel Research*. 42(4), 390-396.
- MacKay, K. J. and Fesenmaier, D. R. (1997). Pictorial Element of Destination in Image Formation. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 24(3), 537-565.
- Mansfeld, Y. (1992). From Motivation to Actual Travel. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 19(3), 399-419.
- Markwell, K. W. (2000). Photo-Documentation and Analyses as Research Strategies in Human Geography. *Australian Geographical Studies*. 38(1), 91-98.

- Maslow, A. H. (1943). A Theory of Human Motivation. *Psychological Review*. 50(4), 370-396.
- Mayo, E. (1975). Tourism and the National Parks: A Psychographic and Attitudinal Study. *Journal of Travel Research*. 14(1), 14-21.
- Mazursky, D. (1989). Past experience and future tourism decisions. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 16(3), 333-344.
- Mill, R. C. and Morrison, A. M. (1998). *The Tourism System: An Introductory Text*. (3rd ed.) Iowa: Kendall/Hunt Publishing Company.
- Milman, A. and Pizam, A. (1995). The Role of Awareness and Familiarity with a Destination: The Central Florida Case. *Journal of Travel Research*. 33(3), 21-27.
- Mittal, V. and Kamakura, W. A. (2001). Satisfaction, Repurchase Intent, and Repurchase Behavior: Investigating the Moderating Effect of Customer Characteristics. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 38(1), 131-142.
- Mmopelwa, G., Kgathi, D. L. and Molefhe, L. (2007). Tourists' Perceptions and Their Willingness to Pay for Park Fees: A Case Study of Self-Drive Tourists and Clients for Mobile Tour Operators in Moremi Game Reserve, Botswana. *Tourism Management*. 28(4), 1044-1056.
- MOCAT (Ministry of Culture, Arts and Tourism Malaysia) (1991) *Malaysia National Tourism Policy Study: Tourism Product Sectoral Report*, MOCAT: Kuala Lumpur.
- Molina, A. and Esteban, A. (2006). Tourism Brochures: Usefulness and Image. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 33(4), 1036-1056.
- Money, R. B. and Crofts, J. C. (2003). The Effect of Uncertainty Avoidance on Information Search, Planning, and Purchases of International Travel Vacations. *Tourism Management*. 24(2), 191-202.
- Moutinho, L. (1987). Consumer Behaviour in Tourism. *European Journal of Marketing*. 21(10), 5-44.

- Mullins, P. (1999). International Tourism and the Cities of Southeast Asia. In Fainstein, S. S. and Judd, D. R. (Eds.) *The Tourist City* (pp. 245-260) New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Murphy, L. (2000). Australia's Image as a Holiday Destination-Perceptions of Backpacker Visitors. *Journal of Travel and Tourism Marketing*. 8(3), 21-45.
- Murray, K. B. (1991). A Test of Services Marketing Theory: Consumer Information Acquisition Activities. *The Journal of Marketing*. 55(1), 10-25.
- Musa, G., and Melewar, T. C. (2011). Kuala Lumpur: Searching for the Right Brand. In Dinnie, K. (Ed.) *City Branding: Theory and Cases* (pp. 162-168). UK: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Nair, V., Chiun, L. M. and Singh, S. (2014). The International Tourists' Perspective on Malaysia's Economic Transformation Programme (ETP). *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 144, 433-445.
- Nawijn, J. (2011). Determinants of Daily Happiness on Vacation. *Journal of Travel Research*. 50(5), 559-566.
- Nghiêm-Phú, B. (2014). A Review of Destination Image Studies from 2008 to 2012. *European Journal of Tourism Research*, 8(2014), 35-65.
- Neuman, W. L. and Kreuger, L. (2011). *Social Work Research Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches*. (7th ed.). Wisconsin: Pearson.
- Ng, S. I., Lee, J. A. and Soutar, G. N. (2007). Tourists' Intention to Visit a Country: The Impact of Cultural Distance. *Tourism Management*. 28(6), 1497-1506.
- Nicholls, J. A. F., Gilbert, G. R. and Roslow, S. (1998). Parsimonious Measurement of Customer Satisfaction with Personal Service and the Service Setting. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*. 15(3), 239-253.
- O'Brien, L. and Jones, C. (1995). Do Rewards Really Create Loyalty?. *Long Range Planning*. 28(4), 130-138.

- O'Leary, S. and Deegan, J. (2003). People, Pace, Place: Qualitative and Quantitative Images of Ireland as a Tourism Destination in France. *Journal of Vacation Marketing*. 9(3), 213-226.
- O'Neill, M. J. and Jasper, C. R. (1992). An Evaluation of Models of Consumer Spatial Behavior Using the Environment-Behavior Paradigm. *Environment and Behavior*. 24(4), 411-440.
- Oh, H. C., Uysal, M. and Weaver, P. A. (1995). Product Bundles and Market Segments Based on Travel Motivations: A Canonical Correlation Approach. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*. 14(2), 123-137.
- Oliver, R. L. (2014). *Satisfaction: A Behavioral Perspective on the Consumer*. (2nd ed.) New York: Routledge.
- Oppermann, M. (1992). Intranational Tourist Flows in Malaysia. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 19(3), 482-500.
- Oppermann, M. (2000). Tourism Destination Loyalty. *Journal of Travel Research*. 39(1), 78-84.
- Oppermann, M. and Chon, K. S. (1997). Convention Participation Decision-Making Process. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 24(1), 178-191.
- Ornoy, H., Levi-Nishri, G. and Uziel, L. (2014). Relationship between the Personality and Gender and the Adjustment to a Foreign Culture: Comparative Research between Expatriates and Business Trip Travelers. *International Journal of Business Administration*. 5(3), 122-136.
- Page, S. J. (1995). *Urban Tourism*. New York: Routledge.
- Park, K. S. and Reisinger, Y. (2009). Cultural Differences in Shopping for Luxury Goods: Western, Asian, and Hispanic Tourists. *Journal of Travel and Tourism Marketing*. 26(8), 762-777.
- Passer, M. W. and Smith, R. E. (2004). *Psychology – The Science of Mind and Behavior*. Boston: McGraw-Hill.

- Pearce, D. G. (2001). An integrative Framework for Urban Tourism Research. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 28(4), 926-946.
- Pearce, P. L. (1982). Perceived Changes in Holiday Destinations. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 9(2), 145-164.
- Pearce, P. L. (2012). The Experience of Visiting Home and Familiar Places. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 39(2), 1024-1047.
- Pedersen, P. E. and Nysveen, H. (2001). Shopbot Banking: An Exploratory Study of Customer Loyalty Effects. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*. 19(4), 146-155.
- PEMANDU. (Ed.) (2010) *Economic Transformation Programme (ETP)*. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: PEMANDU.
- Peter, J. P., Olson, J. C. and Grunert, K. G. (1999). *Consumer Behavior and Marketing Strategy*. London: McGraw-Hill.
- Petersen, N. J., Petersen, S. Ø. N. J. and Østergaard, S. (2005). A “Snapshot” Approach to Understanding Knowledge Sharing. *Challenges and Issues in Knowledge Management*. 229-248.
- Petrick, J. F. and Backman, S. J. (2002). An Examination of the Construct of Perceived Value for the Prediction of Golf Travelers’ Intentions to Revisit. *Journal of Travel Research*. 41(1), 38-45.
- Phelps, A. (1986). Holiday Destination Image—The Problem of Assessment: An Example Developed in Menorca. *Tourism Management*. 7(3), 168-180.
- Pierre, J. (1999). Models of Urban Governance the Institutional Dimension of Urban Politics. *Urban Affairs Review*. 34(3), 372-396.
- Pike, S. (2002). Destination Image Analysis—A Review of 142 Papers from 1973 to 2000. *Tourism Management*. 23(5), 541-549.
- Pike, S. and Ryan, C. (2004). Destination Positioning Analysis through a Comparison of Cognitive, Affective, and Conative Perceptions. *Journal of Travel Research*. 42(4), 333-342.

- Pizam, A., Neumann, Y. and Reichel, A. (1978). Dimensions of Tourist Satisfaction with a Destination Area. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 5(3), 314-322.
- Popcorn, F. and Marigold, L. (2000). *EVEolution: The Eight Truths of Marketing to Women*. New York: Hyperion.
- Poria, Y., Reichel, A. and Biran, A. (2006). Heritage Site Perceptions and Motivations to Visit. *Journal of Travel Research*. 44(3), 318-326.
- Prebensen, N. K. (2007). Exploring Tourists' Images of a Distant Destination. *Tourism Management*. 28(3), 747-756.
- Prime Minister's Department. (2012) *Government Transformation Programme: Annual Report 2012*. Putrajaya: PEMANDU.
- Pritchard, A. and Morgan, N. (2003). Mythic Geographies of Representation and Identity: Contemporary Postcards of Wales. *Journal of Tourism and Cultural Change*. 1(2), 111-130.
- Pritchard, M. P. and Howard, D. R. (1997). The Loyal Traveler: Examining a Typology of Service Patronage. *Journal of Travel Research*. 35(4), 2-10.
- Public Relations Department (PRD) (2012). Strategic Tourism Planning: National Tourism Development Plan (2012-2016) Thailand. In *6th UNWTO Executive Training Program*. 25-28 June. Bhutan.
- Qu, H., Kim, L. H. and Im, H. H. (2011). A Model of Destination Branding: Integrating the Concepts of the Branding and Destination Image. *Tourism Management*. 32 (3), 465-476.
- Reichheld, F. F. and Teal, T. (1996). *The Loyalty Effect*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Reisinger, Y. and Turner, L. (1998). Cross-Cultural Differences in Tourism: A Strategy for Tourism Marketers. *Journal of Travel and Tourism Marketing*. 7(4), 79-106.
- Reisinger, Y. and Turner, L. (2003). *Cross-Cultural Behaviour in Tourism: Concepts and Analysis*. Oxford: Elsevier.

- Rezende-Parker, A. M., Morrison, A. M. and Ismail, J. A. (2003). Dazed and Confused? An Exploratory Study of the Image of Brazil as a Travel Destination. *Journal of Vacation Marketing*. 9(3), 243-259.
- Richards, G. and Wilson, J. (2004). The Impact of Cultural Events on City Image: Rotterdam, Cultural Capital of Europe 2001. *Urban Studies*. 41(10), 1931-1951.
- Riff, D., Lacy, S. and Fico, F. (2014). *Analyzing Media Messages: Using Quantitative Content Analysis in Research*. New York: Routledge.
- Rimmer, P. J. and Dick, H. W. (2009). *The City in Southeast Asia: Patterns, Processes and Policy*. Singapore: NUS Press.
- Rittichainuwat, B. N., Qu, H. and Brown, T. J. (2001). Thailand's International Travel Image: Mostly Favorable. *Cornell Hospitality Quarterly*, 42(2), 82-95.
- Rocco, T. S., Bliss, L. A., Gallagher, S. and Pérez-Prado, A. (2003). Taking the Next Step: Mixed Methods Research in Organizational Systems. *Information Technology, Learning, and Performance Journal*. 21(1), 19-30.
- Rosenbaum, M. S. and Spears, D. L. (2005). Who Buys That? Who Does What? Analysis of Cross-Cultural Consumption Behaviours among Tourists in Hawaii. *Journal of Vacation Marketing*. 11(3), 235-247.
- Ross, G. F. (1993). Ideal and Actual Images of Backpacker Visitors to Northern Australia. *Journal of Travel Research*. 32(2), 54-57.
- Rubin, A. and Babbie, E. (2010). *Research Methodology for Social Work*. California: Thomson Brookes.
- Russell, J. A. and Pratt, G. (1980). A Description of the Affective Quality Attributed to Environments. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 38(2), 311-322.
- Russo, A. P. and Van Der Borg, J. (2002). Planning Considerations for Cultural Tourism: A Case Study of Four European Cities. *Tourism Management*. 23(6), 631-637.
- Ryan, C. and Cave, J. (2005). Structuring Destination Image: A Qualitative Approach. *Journal of Travel Research*. 44(2), 143-150.

- San Martín, H. and Del Bosque, I. A. R. (2008). Exploring the Cognitive–Affective Nature of Destination Image and the Role of Psychological Factors in Its Formation. *Tourism Management*. 29(2), 263-277.
- Schiffman, L. G. and Kanuk, L. L. (2004). *Customer Behavior*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Schwandt, T. A. (2007). Thematic Analysis. In *The SAGE Dictionary of Qualitative Inquiry* (pp. 292-294). California: Sage.
- Sekaran, U. (2003). *Research Methods for Business: A Skill-Building Approach*. (4th ed.) New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- Selby, M. (2004a). Consuming the City: Conceptualizing and Researching Urban Tourist Knowledge. *Tourism Geographies*. 6(2), 186-207.
- Selby, M. (2004b). *Understanding Urban Tourism: Image, Culture and Experience*. London: IB Tauris.
- Selby, M. and Morgan, N. J. (1996). Reconstructing Place Image: A Case Study of Its Role in Destination Market Research. *Tourism Management*. 17(4), 287-294.
- Shaw, G. and Williams, A. M. (1994). *Critical Issues in Tourism: A Geographical Perspective*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers.
- Shoemaker, S. and Lewis, R. C. (1999). Customer Loyalty: The Future of Hospitality Marketing. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*. 18(4), 345-370.
- Singapore Tourism Board (STB) (2015). *Tourism Year-in-Review 2015*. Retrieved on 29 February 2016. Retrieved from https://www.stb.gov.sg/news-and-publications/Documents/Year-in-review_FINALFINAL2.pdf.
- Sirgy, M. J. and Su, C. (2000). Destination Image, Self-Congruity, and Travel Behavior: Toward an Integrative Model. *Journal of Travel Research*. 38(4), 340-352.
- Son, A. and Pearce, P. (2005). Multi-Faceted Image Assessment: International Students' Views of Australia as a Tourist Destination. *Journal of Travel and Tourism Marketing*. 18(4), 21-35.

- Sönmez, S. and Sirakaya, E. (2002). A Distorted Destination Image? The Case of Turkey. *Journal of Travel Research*. 41(2), 185-196.
- Sönmez, S. F. and Graefe, A. R. (1998). Determining Future Travel Behavior from Past Travel Experience and Perceptions of Risk and Safety. *Journal of Travel Research*. 37(2), 171-177.
- Stepchenkova, S. and Li, X. (2012). Chinese Outbound Tourists' Destination Image of America: Part II. *Journal of Travel Research*. 51(6), 687-703.
- Stepchenkova, S. and Mills, J. E. (2010). Destination Image: A Meta-Analysis of 2000–2007 Research. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing and Management*. 19(6), 575-609.
- Stepchenkova, S. and Morrison, A. M. (2006). The Destination Image of Russia: From the Online Induced Perspective. *Tourism Management*. 27(5), 943-956.
- Stepchenkova, S., Chen, Y. and Morrison, A. M. (2007). China and Russia: Organic Destination Images in US Media. *China Tourism Research*. 3(1), 55-72.
- Stern, E. and Krakover, S. (1993). The Formation of a Composite Urban Image. *Geographical Analysis*. 25(2), 130-146.
- Sya, L. S. (2005). *Branding Malaysia*. Oak Enterprise.
- Tam, J. L. (2004). Customer Satisfaction, Service Quality and Perceived Value: An Integrative Model. *Journal of Marketing Management*. 20(7), 897-917.
- Tapachai, N. and Waryszak, R. (2000). An Examination of the Role of Beneficial Image in Tourist Destination Selection. *Journal of Travel Research*. 39(1), 37-44.
- Tasci, A. D. (2007). Methodology Influences on Destination Image: The Case of Michigan. *Current Issues in Tourism*. 10(5), 480-501.
- Tasci, A. D. and Gartner, W. C. (2007). Destination Image and Its Functional Relationships. *Journal of Travel Research*. 45(4), 413-425.

- Tasci, A. D., Gartner, W. C. and Cavusgil, S. T. (2007a). Conceptualization and Operationalization of Destination Image. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Research*. 31(2), 194-223.
- Tasci, A. D., Gartner, W. C. and Cavusgil, S. T. (2007b). Measurement of Destination Brand Bias Using a Quasi-Experimental Design. *Tourism Management*. 28(6), 1529-1540.
- Taylor, J. G., Czarnowski, K. J., Sexton, N. R. and Flick, S. (1995). The Importance of Water to Rocky Mountain National Park Visitors: An Adaptation of Visitor-Employed Photography to Natural Resources Management. *Journal of Applied Recreation Research*. 20(1), 61-85.
- Telišman-Košuta, N. and Ivandić, N. (2004). Strategic Partnerships as a Key Competitive Factor for SMEs: Case Study of Croatia's Small Family Hotels. In *The Future of Small and Medium Sized Enterprises in Tourism* (pp.219-233). St Gallen: Thomas.
- Tigu, G. (2012). New Challenges for Tourism Destination Management in Romania. In Kasimoglu, M. and Aydin, H. (Eds.) *Strategies for Tourism Industry- Micro and Macro Perspectives* (pp. 176-184). Croatia: InTech.
- Truong, T. H. and Foster, D. (2006). Using HOLSAT to Evaluate Tourist Satisfaction at Destinations: The Case of Australian Holidaymakers in Vietnam. *Tourism Management*. 27(5), 842-855.
- Um, S. and Crompton, J. L. (1990). Attitude Determinants in Tourism Destination Choice. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 17(3), 432-448.
- Um, S., Chon, K. and Ro, Y. (2006). Antecedents of Revisit Intention. *Annals of Tourism Research*. 33(4), 1141-1158.
- Urry, J. (1994). Cultural Change and Contemporary Tourism. *Leisure Studies*. 13(4), 233-238.
- Urry, J. (2002). *The Tourist Gaze*. (2nd ed.) London: Sage.
- Urry, J. (2004). *Tourism Mobilities: Places to Play, Places in Play*. London: Psychology Press.

- Usakli, A. and Baloglu, S. (2011). Brand Personality of Tourist Destinations: An Application of Self-Congruity Theory. *Tourism Management*. 32(1), 114-127.
- Uysal, M. and Hagan, L. (1993). *Encyclopedia of Hospitality and Tourism*. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold.
- Van den Berg, L. and Braun, E. (1999). Urban Competitiveness, Marketing and the Need for Organising Capacity. *Urban Studies*. 36(5), 987-999.
- Veal, A.J. (2005). *Business Research Methods: A Managerial Approach*. (2nd ed.). Australia: Person, Adison Wesley.
- Vogt, C. A. and Andereck, K. L. (2003). Destination Perceptions across a Vacation. *Journal of Travel Research*. 41(4), 348-354.
- Wagner, J. (1979). *Images of Information: Still Photography in the Social Sciences*. California: Sage.
- Walmsley, D. J. and Jenkins, J. M. (1993). Appraisive Images of Tourist Areas: Application of Personal Constructs. *The Australian Geographer*. 24(2), 1-13.
- Walmsley, D. J. and Young, M. (1998). Evaluative Images and Tourism: The Use of Personal Constructs to Describe the Structure of Destination Images. *Journal of Travel Research*. 36(3), 65-69.
- Warren, S. (2002). Show Me How it feels to Work Here: Using Photography to Research Organizational Aesthetics. *Ephemera*. 2(3), 224-245.
- Weaver, P. A., Weber, K. and McCleary, K. W. (2007). Destination Evaluation: The Role of Previous Travel Experience and Trip Characteristics. *Journal of Travel Research*. 45(3), 333-344.
- Weber, K. (1997). The Assessment of Tourist Satisfaction Using the Expectancy Disconfirmation Theory: A Study of the German Travel Market in Australia. *Pacific Tourism Review*. 1(1), 35-45.
- Woodside, A. G. and Lysonski, S. (1989). A General Model of Traveler Destination Choice. *Journal of Travel Research*. 27(4), 8-14.

- Woodside, A. G., Frey, L. L. and Daly, R. T. (1989). Linking Service Quality, Customer Satisfaction, and Behavioral Intention. *Journal of Health Care Marketing*. 9(4), 5-17.
- Yang, Y. and Wong, K. K. (2012). The Influence of Cultural Distance on China Inbound Tourism Flows: A Panel Data Gravity Model Approach. *Asian Geographer*. 29(1), 21-37.
- Yeung, Y. M. (2001). Coastal Mega-Cities in Asia: Transformation, Sustainability and Management. *Ocean and Coastal Management*. 44(5), 319-333.
- Yilmaz, Y., Yilmaz, Y., İçigen, E. T., Ekin, Y. and Utku, B. D. (2009). Destination Image: A Comparative Study on Pre and Post Trip Image Variations. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing and Management*. 18(5), 461-479.
- Yoon, Y. and Uysal, M. (2005). An Examination of the Effects of Motivation and Satisfaction on Destination Loyalty: A Structural Model. *Tourism Management*. 26(1), 45-56.
- You, X., O'leary, J., Morrison, A. and Hong, G. S. (2000). A Cross-Cultural Comparison of Travel Push and Pull Factors: United Kingdom vs. Japan. *International Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Administration*. 1(2), 1-26.
- Yüksel, A. and Akgül, O. (2007). Postcards as Affective Image Makers: An Idle Agent in Destination Marketing. *Tourism Management*. 28(3), 714-725.
- Yüksel, A. and Yüksel, F. (2007). Shopping Risk Perceptions: Effects on Tourists' Emotions, Satisfaction and Expressed Loyalty Intentions. *Tourism Management*. 28(3), 703-713.
- Ziller, R. C. and Smith, D. E. (1977). A phenomenological utilization of photographs. *Journal of Phenomenological Psychology*. 7(2), 172-182.
- Zins, A. H. (2001). Relative Attitudes and Commitment in Customer Loyalty Models: Some Experiences in the Commercial Airline Industry. *International Journal of Service Industry Management*. 12(3), 269-294.