

OPTIMAL VOLTAGE SAG MONITOR PLACEMENT IN POWER SYSTEM BY
ANALYZING MONITOR REACH AREA AND SAG SEVERITY INDEX

ROSLEE BIN ISMAIL

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

OPTIMAL VOLTAGE SAG MONITOR PLACEMENT IN POWER SYSTEM BY
ANALYZING MONITOR REACH AREA AND SAG SEVERITY INDEX

ROSLEE BIN ISMAIL

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Electrical Power)

Faculty of Electrical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

DECEMBER 2016

*Specially dedicated
to my supervisor and family who encouraged
me throughout my journey of
education.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to express my gratitude to ALLAH S.W.T the almighty, without God blessing this project can't be realized and finished successfully.

Special thanks belong to my supervisor Dr. Dalila Binti Mat Said, who provides and guides me with a variety of information, references and ideas to complete this project. Working with her is the most valuable experience for me. A lot of knowledge and skill I had gained from her in producing the quality project.

My appreciation also goes to my family who has been so tolerant and supports me all these years. Thanks for their encouragement, love and emotional supports that they had given to me.

Nevertheless, my great appreciation is dedicated to my friends and those who involved directly or indirectly in this project. Thanks.

ABSTRACT

Voltage sag is one off severe power quality issues. Its effect can cause huge losses to industries which using sensitive equipment like microcontroller and computer. To minimize these losses, industrial customers need to understand how power quality is impacting their system and how to mitigate its effects. Voltage sag happens frequently and it causes by random and unpredictable factors. So, the voltage sag monitoring system should able to monitor the whole power system but to place voltage sag monitor at all buses is not economic. Objectives of this studied are to find the optimal number and placement of voltage sag monitors in IEEE 30-bus system. In proposed method, first, the concept of monitor reach area has been used. In this studied, voltage sag was represented by balance and unbalance fault with fault impedance, Z_f equal to 0Ω . IEEE 30 bus system was constructed on *PowerWorld* software in order obtained fault voltage on every bus. Then, monitor reach area matrix was formed by comparing fault voltage with selected voltage threshold, α . After that, monitor reach area was analyzed by using Branch and Bound method to find minimum number and all possible arrangements of VSM. Finally, to optimally place the identified number of VSM, all possible combinations of those VSM in the power system are evaluated using sag severity index. The proposed algorithm has been implemented and tested on the IEEE 30-bus test systems to show effectiveness of the proposed method in finding the optimal voltage sag monitor placement in power system. The proposed method has been tested with 2 different α which are 0.55 p.u. and 0.80 p.u. respectively. The proposed method successfully found the optimal number and its placement for monitoring the whole IEEE 30 bus system with respective α value. Based on result, for α equal to 0.55, VSM need to be installed on bus 6, 17, 25 and 30 to monitor voltage sag on IEEE 30 bus system and for α equal to 0.80 p.u., VSM only need to be place at bus 25 for monitoring voltage sag on the test system.

ABSTRAK

Voltan lendut (VL) adalah satu isu kualiti kuasa yang memudaratkan. Kesannya boleh menyebabkan kerugian besar kepada industri yang menggunakan peralatan sensitif seperti pengawal mikro dan komputer. Untuk mengurangkan kerugian, pihak industri perlu memahami bagaimana VL memberi kesan kepada sistem dan bagaimana untuk mengurangkan kesannya. VL berlaku dengan kerap dan disebabkan oleh faktor-faktor rawak dan tidak dapat diramal. Maka, sistem pemantau voltan lendut (PVL) harus dapat memantau keseluruhan sistem kuasa tetapi untuk meletakkan PVL di kesemua bus adalah tidak ekonomi. Objektif kajian ini ialah bagi mencari bilangan dan penempatan optimum PVL dalam sistem IEEE 30 bus. Dalam kaedah dicadangkan, konsep pemantau jangkauan kawasan (PJK) digunakan. Dalam kajian ini, VL diwakili oleh kerosakan seimbang dan tidak seimbang dengan impedan kerosakan, Z_f adalah 0Ω . Sistem IEEE 30 bus telah dibina menggunakan perisian *PowerWorld* untuk mendapatkan voltan kerosakan. Kemudian, matriks PJK dibentuk dengan membandingkan voltan kerosakan dengan voltan ambang dipilih, α . Selepas itu, matriks PJK dianalisa menggunakan kaedah Branch and Bound untuk mencari bilangan minimum dan semua kemungkinan aturan PVL. Akhir sekali, untuk meletakkan PVL secara optimum, semua kemungkinan kombinasi PVL dalam sistem kuasa adalah dinilai menggunakan indeks keterukan mengendur. Algoritma yang dicadangkan telah dilaksanakan dan diuji pada sistem IEEE 30-bus untuk menunjukkan keberkesanan kaedah yang dicadangkan dalam mencari penempatan PVL yang optimum. Kaedah yang dicadangkan telah diuji dengan 2 nilai α berbeza iaitu 0.55 p.u. dan 0.80 p.u.. Kaedah yang dicadangkan berjaya menemui bilangan dan penempatan optimum untuk memantau keseluruhan IEEE 30 sistem bus untuk nilai α masing-masing. Berdasarkan keputusan, untuk α bernilai 0.55 p.u., PVL perlu dipasang pada bus 6, 17, 25 dan 30 untuk memantau voltan mengendur pada IEEE 30 sistem bus dan untuk α bernilai 0.80 p.u., PVL hanya perlu diletakkan di bus 25.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	i
	DEDICATION	ii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
	ABSTRACT	iv
	ABSTRAK	v
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
	LIST OF TABLES	ix
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xv
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of the study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objectives of Project	2
	1.4 Scope of Project	3
	1.5 Thesis Outline	3
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	5
	2.1 Introduction	5

2.2	Voltage Sag	5
2.3	Monitor Reach Area (MRA)	7
2.4	Branch and Bound method (B&B)	11
2.5	Sag Severity Index (SSI)	13
2.6	Related Work	14
2.6.1	Optimal Placement of Voltage Sag Monitors Based on Monitor Reach Area and Sag Severity Index [16]	14
2.6.2	Optimal Placement of Power Quality Monitors in Distribution Systems Using the Topological Monitor Reach Area [27]	16
2.6.3	Optimal Allocation of Monitors by Analyzing the Vulnerability Area Against Voltage Sags [28]	18
2.7	Summary	20
3	METHODOLOGY	21
3.1	Introduction	21
3.2	Proposed Method	21
3.2.1	Monitor Reach Area Matrix	23
3.2.2	Branch and Bound Method	23
3.2.3	Sag Severity Index (SSI)	24
3.3	Test System	25
3.4	Y-Bus Matrix and Fault Voltage	26
3.5	Optimal Number and Placement of VSM for $\alpha = 0.55$ p.u.	27
3.5.1	Monitor Reach Area (MRA) for $\alpha = 0.55$ p.u.	28
3.5.1.1	3 Phase Balance Fault (3PF)	28
3.5.1.2	Double Line to Ground Fault (DLG)	29
3.5.1.3	Single Line to Ground Fault (SLG)	29
3.5.2	Optimal Number of VSM for $\alpha = 0.55$ p.u.	30
3.5.2.1	3 Phase Balance Fault (3PF)	30
3.5.2.2	Double Line to Ground Fault (DLG)	35
3.5.2.3	Single Line to Ground Fault (SLG)	36
3.5.3	Optimal Number of VSM for 3LF, DLG and SLG Fault.	37

3.5.4	Optimal Location Based on Sag Severity Index (SSI) for $\alpha = 0.55$ p.u.	39
3.5.4.1	SSI for bus 1, $\beta = 0.90$.	40
3.6	VSM Optimal Number and Placement for $\alpha = 0.80$ p.u.	43
3.6.1	Monitor Reach Area (MRA) for $\alpha = 0.80$ p.u.	43
3.6.1.1	3 Phase Balance Fault (3PF)	43
3.6.1.2	Double Line to Ground Fault (DLG)	43
3.6.1.3	Single Line to Ground Fault (SLG)	45
3.6.2	Optimal Number of VSM for $\alpha = 0.80$ p.u.	45
3.6.2.1	3 Phase Balance Fault (3PF)	46
3.6.2.2	Double Line to Ground Fault (DLG)	47
3.6.2.3	Single Line to Ground Fault (SLG)	48
3.6.3	Optimal Number of VSM for 3LF, DLG and SLG Fault.	49
3.6.4	Optimal Location Based on Sag Severity Index (SSI) for $\alpha = 0.80$ p.u.	49
3.7	Summary	50
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	51
4.1	Introduction	51
4.2	Result	51
4.3	Result Verification	52
4.4	Summary	61
5	CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATIONS	62
5.1	Conclusions	62
5.2	Recommendations for Future Work	63
	REFERENCES	64
	Appendix A	68

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	SSI values for various type of fault	16
3.1	VSM arrangement possibilities for 3LF fault	34
3.2	VSM arrangements for monitoring voltage sag due to SLG fault	36
3.3	VSM arrangement for monitoring voltage sag due to 3LF, DLG and SLG fault	38
3.4	Balance and unbalance fault voltage for bus 1	40
3.5	SSI value for all buses on IEEE 30 bus system for $\beta = 0.9$	41
3.6	SSI value of VSM arrangement on IEEE 30 bus system for $\beta = 0.9$	41
3.7	VSM location possibilities for 3 phase balance fault	46
3.8	VSM location possibilities for DLG fault	47
3.9	VSM location possibilities for SLG fault	48
3.10	Possibles VSM location for monitoring 3LF, DLG and SLG fault, $\alpha = 0.80$ p.u.	49
3.11	SSI value of VSM location on IEEE 30 bus system for $\beta = 0.9$, $\alpha = 0.80$ p.u.	50
4.1	Optimal placement result on IEEE 30 bus system	52
4.2	VSM voltage value when fault occur on the IEEE 30 bus system and $\alpha = 0.55$ p.u. for 3LF fault	55
4.3	VSM voltage value when fault occur on the IEEE 30	56

	bus system and $\alpha = 0.55$ p.u. for DLG fault	
4.4	VSM voltage value when fault occur on the IEEE 30 bus system and $\alpha = 0.55$ p.u. for SLG fault	57
4.5	VSM voltage value when fault occur on the IEEE 30 bus system and $\alpha=0.80$ p.u. (a) 3 phase balance fault (3LF) (b) Double line to ground fault (DLG) (c) Single line to ground fault (SLG)	59
A.1	Bus data	69
A.2	Transmission lines data	70

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Voltage sag waveform example	6
2.2	41 busses power system	10
2.3	MRA matrix for 10 busses power system	10
2.4	Illustration of the search space of B&B (a) 1 st step of B&B (b) B&B branch out (c) S1 and S4 not branch out	13
2.5	Overall process for determine optimal number and location of Voltage Sag Monitor	15
2.6	Overall process for determine optimal number and location of Voltage Sag Monitor	18
2.7	Methodology used to find the optimal placement of VSM	19
3.1	Proposed Methodology	22
3.2	B&B rules and strategy	24
3.3	Method for finding SSI	25
3.4	IEEE 30 bus power system	26
3.5	<i>PowerWorld</i> software analysis (a)Y-bus matrix (b) Fault voltage	27
3.6	MRA matrix for 3LF fault and $\alpha=0.55$ p.u.	28
3.7	MRA matrix for DLG fault and $\alpha=0.55$ p.u..	29
3.8	MRA matrix for SLG fault and $\alpha=0.55$ p.u.	30
3.9	1 st iteration (a) Element from row 24, 25 and 26 give the highest value (b) B&B chart for 1st iteration	31
3.10	2 nd iteration for row 24 branch (a) Element from	32

	row 24, 25 and 26 give the highest value (b) B&B chart for Figure 4.7(a)	
3.11	B&B chart after completed 2 nd iteration	33
3.12	3 rd iteration for row 26 branch (a) Element from rows 9, 10, 11, 17, 20, 21, 22, 27, 29 and 30 give the highest value (b) B&B chart for Figure 4.9(a)	34
3.13	B&B chart after completed 3 rd iteration	34
3.14	B&B chart for DLG fault	35
3.15	B&B chart for SLG fault	36
3.16	MRA matrix for 3 phase balance fault and $\alpha = 0.80$ p.u..	44
3.17	MRA matrix for DLG fault and $\alpha = 0.80$ p.u..	44
3.18	MRA matrix for SLG fault and $\alpha = 0.80$ p.u.	45
3.19	B&B chart for 3LF balance fault, $\alpha = 0.80$ p.u.	46
3.20	B&B chart for DLG fault, $\alpha = 0.80$ p.u.	47
3.21	B&B chart for SLG fault, $\alpha = 0.80$ p.u.	48
4.1	VSM location on IEEE 30 bus system and $\alpha = 0.55$	53
4.2	VSM response to 3LF fault at Bus 13 on system for $\alpha = 0.55$	54
4.3	VSM response to 3LF fault at Bus 26 on system for $\alpha = 0.55$	54
4.4	Area covered by voltage sag monitor placed on bus 6, 17, 25 and 30 for IEEE 30 bus system and $\alpha = 0.55$ p.u. (a) 3 phase balance fault (3LF) (b) Double line to ground fault (DLG) (c) Single line to ground fault (SLG)	59
4.5	Area covered by voltage sag monitor placed on bus 25 for IEEE 30 bus system and $\alpha = 0.80$	61
A.1	IEEE 30 bus system	69

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

SSI	-	Sag Severity Index
B&B	-	Branch and Bound
PQ	-	Power Quality
VSM	-	Voltage Sag Monitor
3LF	-	3 Phase Balance Fault
DLG	-	Double Line to Ground Fault
SLG	-	Single Line to Ground Fault
MRA	-	Monitor Reach Area
IEEE	-	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineer
rms	-	Root Mean Square
AC	-	Alternating Current
FV	-	Fault Voltage
TSP	-	Travelling Salesman Problem

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Z_f	-	Fault Impedance
Ω .	-	Ohm
p.u.	-	per unit
B	-	Susceptance
R	-	Resistance
X	-	Reactance
Y	-	Admittance
Z	-	Impedance
V	-	Volt
kV	-	kilovolt
MVA _r	-	MegaVoltAmpere (reactive)
KVA	-	Kilo.Volt.Ampere
MW	-	MegaWatt

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	30 BUS POWER FLOW TEST CASE	56

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the study

When traditional method almost replaced by sophisticated and modern technology, power quality (PQ) issues become more crucial and ignorance of PQ issues will trigger a huge losses to industries [1]. Nowadays, almost everything will be controlled by computer and micro-controller. Transportation, manufacturing, military, telecommunication and etc. already integrated with computer to make it more reliable and efficient but this sophisticated equipment is expensive and very sensitive [2]. For electric companies, detecting and monitoring such disturbances in their whole electrical systems is a great challenge [3]. The development of the sophisticated man made machine need to be support by development of power quality means that modern and sophisticated equipment required a good quality of power supply [4].

One of the severe and critical power quality issues is voltage sag [5]. Voltage sag happens when the rms voltage decreases between 10 and 90 percent of nominal voltage for half cycle to one minute [6]. Voltage sag can cause huge damage to electronic equipment and bring big losses to industries [7]. Inrush current cause by voltage sag is high in magnitude since voltage varied in very short time [7].

Missile by military may strike a wrong place if the sensor gives a wrong signal and telecommunication may not be available for a week since equipment was damaged by voltage sag and need to be replaced.

1.2 Problem Statement

The costs cause by voltage sag show up in the forms of plant downtime, equipment replacement, lost work in process, additional labor and etc. Without the ability to monitor and gain a comprehensive understanding of the impact of voltage sag on industrial processes, these costs will continue to go unaddressed. However, with the knowledge to identify and mitigate voltage sag events, process reliability can be significantly improved. But to place power quality monitor in every bus on power system is not economic. So, optimal number and placement of voltage sag monitors need to be determine to reduce the voltage sag monitoring installation cost on power system.

Optimization problem:

- Objective/function: To determine minimum number of PQM and it locations.
- Constraint: Able to monitor voltage sags at all buses.

1.3 Objectives of Project

Objectives of this project are:

1. To determine the minimum number of voltage sag monitor on IEEE 30 bus system.

2. To identify the optimum placement of voltage sag monitor on IEEE 30 bus system.

1.4 Scope of Project

This project has been limited as below scopes:

1. Voltage sag represented by balance and unbalance fault on bus with fault impedance, Z_f , is 0Ω .
2. Proposed method tested on IEEE 30-bus system.
3. *PowerWorld* software was used for system bus analysis (fault voltage) and *Microsoft Excel* software was used to get the optimization of VSM number and location.

1.5 Thesis Outline

This project report consists of five main chapters which are introduction, literature review, methodology, results and discussion and conclusion.

Chapter 1 of this project report will be focused on the general briefing about the project which contains background of the project, project objectives, scopes of the project, problem statement and project report outline.

Chapter 2 will be more on the discussion about literature review on the voltage sag, monitor reach area method, Brach and Bound algorithm and sag

severity index concept. This chapter also discussed on the related previous work done by other researcher on optimal voltage sag monitor placement.

Chapter 3 is the methodology for this project. In this chapter, the method proposed will be explained in further details on how to model, simulate and analyze the power system in order to obtain the optimal voltage sag monitor placement.

Chapter 4 focused on the results obtained in the chapter 3. The results will be analyzed using appropriate method. The analysis will verify the ability of result obtained in fulfill the objective of this study.

Chapter 5 is the main conclusion of this project, which will further discuss about the results of this project. This chapter also provides suggestion of future works.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Espinosa-juárez, A. Hernández, and G. Olguin, “An Approach Based on Analytical Expressions for Optimal Location of Voltage Sags Monitors,” *IEEE Trans. POWER Deliv.*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 2034–2042, 2009.
- [2] J. Seymour, “The Seven Types of Power Problems Rev 1,” *Schneider Electric*, pp. 1–21, 2011.
- [3] S. Member and A. Hernández, “Analysis of System Operation State Influence on the Optimal Location of Voltage Sag Monitors by Applying Tabu Search,” *IEEE*, 2012.
- [4] A. Kazemi, A. Mohamed, H. Shareef, and H. Zayandehroodi, “A Review of Power Quality Monitor Placement Methods in Transmission and Distribution Systems,” no. 3, pp. 185–188, 2013.
- [5] E. Espinosa-juárez, “Analysis of Distributed Generation Impact on the Optimal Location of Voltage Sag Monitors by Applying Genetic Algorithms,” *Electron. Robot. Automot. Mech. Conf.*, 2009.
- [6] Wikipedia, “Voltage Sag.” [Online]. Available: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Voltage_sag. [Accessed: 06-Mar-2016].
- [7] Pacific Gas and Electric Company, “Short Duration Voltage Sags Can Cause Disruptions,” *Pacific Gas and Electric Company*, 2010.
- [8] M. H. Bollen, *Understanding Power Quality Problems: Voltage Sags and Interruptions*. Wiley-IEEE Press, 1999.
- [9] Kevin Olikara, “Power Quality Issues, Impacts, and Mitigation for Industrial Customers,” *Rockwell Automation, Inc.*, 2015.
- [10] Vincent P. Luciani, “Analysis of Voltage Sag Count Gathered by Innovolt

- Power Protection Devices,” 2013. [Online]. Available: <https://innovolt.zendesk.com/hc/en-us/articles/217692627-Analysis-of-Voltage-Sag-Count-Gathered-by-Innovolt-Power-Protection-Devices>. [Accessed: 06-Jun-2016].
- [11] B. Group, “THE BMW GROUP PLANT TOUR. PASSION AND PRECISION.” [Online]. Available: http://www.bmw-welt.com/en/visitor_information/guided_tours/plant.html. [Accessed: 03-Jun-2016].
- [12] M. Mcgranaghan, B. Roettger, and E. Impacts, “Economic Evaluation of Power Quality,” *IEEE Power Eng. Rev.*, no. February, 2002.
- [13] M. A. Ali, M. Fozdar, K. R. Niazi, and A. R. Phadke, “Multiple Optimal Solutions and Sag Occurrence Index Based Placement of Voltage Sag Monitors,” *Res. J. Appl. Sci. Eng. Technol.*, vol. 7, no. 18, pp. 3716–3724, 2014.
- [14] G. Olguin, F. Vuinovich, and M. H. J. Bollen, “An Optimal Monitoring Program for Obtaining Voltage Sag System Indexes,” *IEEE Trans. POWER Syst.*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 378–384, 2006.
- [15] X. Dai and H. Yang, “An Optimum Allocation Method of Power Quality Monitors by Considering Voltage Dip,” *IEEE*, pp. 10–13, 2011.
- [16] H. S. A.A Ibrahim, A. Mohamed, “Optimal Placement of Voltage Sag Monitors Based on Monitor Reach Area and Sag Severity Index,” no. SCORED, pp. 13–14, 2010.
- [17] N. C. Woolley and J. V Milanovi, “A Comparison of Voltage Sag Estimation Algorithms Using Optimal Monitoring Locations,” *IEEE*, 2010.
- [18] J. Manuel, A. Mora, and M. Sc, “Monitor Placement for Estimation of Voltage Sags in Power Systems,” *Fac. Eng. Phys. Sci. Univ. Manchester*, 2012.
- [19] A. A. Ibrahim, A. Mohamad, and H. Shareef, “A Novel Quantum-inspired Binary Gravitational Search Algorithm in Obtaining Optimal Power Quality

- Monitor Placement,” *J. Appl. Sci.*, pp. 1–9, 2012.
- [20] W. Hong, L. Dan, H. Wenqing, and D. Yuxing, “Optimal allocation of power quality monitors based on an improved adaptive genetic algorithm,” *Jt. Int. Mech. Electron. Inf. Technol. Conf.*, no. Jimet, pp. 774–785, 2015.
- [21] C. Wei and H. Huimin, “A study on optimal placement of voltage sag monitors,” *China Int. Conf. Electr. Distrib.*, pp. 1–6, 2010.
- [22] J. Clausen, *Branch and Bound Algorithms - Principles and Examples*. Department of Computer Science, University of Copenhagen, 1999.
- [23] E. Balas and P. Toth, “Branch and Bound Methods for the Traveling Salesman Problem,” 1983.
- [24] W. Kuo and S. M. Ieee, “Reliability Optimization with the Lagrange-Multiplier and Branch-and-Bound Technique,” *IEEE Trans. Reliab.*, vol. R-36, no. 5, pp. 624–630, 1987.
- [25] H. Liao, S. Abdelrahman, S. Member, Y. Guo, and V. Jovica, “Identification of Weak Areas of Power Network Based on Exposure to Voltage Sags — Part I: Development of Sag Severity Index for Single-Event Characterization,” *IEEE Trans. POWER Deliv.*, vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 2392–2400, 2015.
- [26] H. Liao, S. Abdelrahman, Y. Guo, and V. Jovica, “Identification of Weak Areas of Network Based on Exposure to Voltage Sags — Part II: Assessment of Network Performance Using Sag Severity Index,” *IEEE Trans. POWER Deliv.*, vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 2401–2409, 2015.
- [27] A. A. Ibrahim, A. Mohamed, and H. Shareef, “Optimal Placement of Power Quality Monitors in Distribution Systems Using the Topological Monitor Reach Area,” *IEEE Int. Electr. Mach. Drives Conf.*, pp. 394–399, 2011.
- [28] A. Q. Santos, “Optimal Allocation of Monitors by Analyzing the Vulnerability Area Against Voltage Sags,” *IEEE*, pp. 536–540, 2014.
- [29] R. Christie, “Power Systems Test Case Archive,” 1993. [Online]. Available: http://www2.ee.washington.edu/research/pstca/pf30/pg_tca30bus.htm. [Accessed: 14-Dec-2016].

- [30] F. Salim, K. M. Nor, and S. Member, "Voltage Sags Observation through Optimal 1 Monitor Locations," *IEEE*, 2010.