

ASSESSMENT OF HYBRID ATTACHED GROWTH MEMBRANE BIOREACTOR  
SYSTEM FOR DOMESTIC WASTEWATER TREATMENT

RABIALTU SULIHAN BINTI IBRAHIM

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

ASSESSMENT OF HYBRID ATTACHED GROWTH MEMBRANE  
BIOREACTOR SYSTEM FOR DOMESTIC WASTEWATER TREATMENT

RABIALTU SULIHAN BINTI IBRAHIM

This thesis is submitted as fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Chemical)

Faculty of Chemical and Energy Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

NOVEMBER 2016

*Dedicated to my beloved family and all my friends  
from the bottom of my heart who have guided and inspired me throughout  
my journey of education.*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I would like to express my gratitude to all those who gave me the possibility to complete this thesis. I would like to extend my heartfelt gratitude to my supervisor, Associate Prof Dr Zainura Zainon Noor who gave me the opportunity to complete my Master project under her supervision. She guided me patiently through the dissertation process, never accepting less than my best efforts.

Besides, I would like to express my gratitude and appreciation to her previous PhD students Dr Noor Sabrina Mutamim and Dr Adhi Yuniarto and also her Post Doctoral student, Dr Neoh Chin Hong for their guidance and support throughout the journey in completing my research. I would also like to convey my heart-felt gratitude to team from IWK Konsortium Sdn Bhd Skudai. I truly appreciate the warm and conducive working environment in the Pollution Control Laboratory at Faculty of Chemical and Energy Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. To friends and colleagues, I would like thank them for their help, support and precious words of encouragement throughout the course of this research. I am also forever indebted to my family for their unconditional love, encouragement and tremendous support which helped me to get through this challenging phase of my life.

Last but not least, I would like to express my appreciation to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia and Ministry of Higher Education for their financial and generous support in funding this research under Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS).

## ABSTRACT

Domestic wastewaters contain various and high amounts of organic matter and ammonia or nitrogen compounds which are difficult to oxidize biologically or chemically. A promising technology in treating wastewater which is membrane bioreactor (MBR) has been considered to be an advancement over the conventional activated sludge process. However, this type of MBR has a limitation which is membrane fouling problem that can reduce the performance of the system. Thus, in this study, advanced treatment technology in treating synthetic domestic wastewater called Hybrid Attached Growth Membrane Bioreactor (HyAG MBR) is proposed to help minimize and overcome the problem. The aim of this study is to find the optimum concentration of attached growth media that will be used in HyAG MBR system and compare the treatment and fouling tendency performance of conventional MBR (CMBR) with HyAG MBR. Synthetic domestic wastewater was treated with a 20 L lab-scale HyAG MBR equipped with a single microfiltration flat sheet membrane module. Batch tests with attached growth media concentrations from 10 to 40% were used to determine the best attached growth media concentration. Besides that, the treatment performances of HyAG MBR system were also compared with the performances of conventional MBR (CMBR) system by assessing the removal of chemical oxygen demand (COD), biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), total phosphorus, nitrate, nitrite and ammonia concentrations. Furthermore, fouling tendency was also compared between HyAG MBR and CMBR systems at the same operating condition by evaluating critical flux ( $J_c$ ) enhancement, trans membrane pressure (TMP) profiles, membrane resistance analysis, soluble microbial products (SMP) and extracellular polymeric substances (EPS). The finding shows 10% of attached growth media was an optimum concentration for good removal of COD, nitrate, nitrite and phosphorus up to 93.29 %, 94.53%, 90.04% and 61.86%, respectively. The average COD, nitrate, nitrite, nitrogen ammonia, phosphorus and BOD removal of HyAG MBR were 96.82%, 96.54%, 92.91%, 93.30%, 75.55%, and 89.70% while for CMBR were 96.10%, 83.60%, 80.21%, 85.68%, 77.74% and 91.49%, respectively. Average COD, nitrate, nitrite, and nitrogen ammonia removal efficiency for HyAG MBR were found to be greater than the CMBR system but vice versa for phosphorus and BOD removal. HyAG MBR significantly increased  $J_c$  up to  $48 \text{ L m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$  hence producing low final TMP after cleaning. Low TMP also decreased the total resistance at  $5.69 \times 10^{11} \text{ m}^{-1}$  and have distinct changes in the concentrations of SMP and EPS. Thus, it shows the reduction of membrane fouling problem hence prolonging the filtration process. In conclusion, HyAG MBR gives a better treatment performance and could minimize the membrane fouling problem.

## ABSTRAK

Air buangan domestik mengandung kandungan bahan organik dan ammonia atau sebatian nitrogen yang tinggi serta sukar untuk dioksidakan secara biologi atau secara kimia. Teknologi berpotensi dalam merawat air sisa yang dinamakan bioreaktor membran (MBR) telah dianggap sebagai satu inovasi ke atas proses konvensional enapcemar teraktif. Walau bagaimanapun, MBR mempunyai masalah iaitu kotoran membran yang boleh mengurangkan prestasi sistem. Oleh itu, dalam kajian ini, teknologi rawatan terbaru dalam merawat air sisa domestik sintetik yang dikenali Bioreaktor Membran Pertumbuhan Melekat Hibrid (HyAG MBR) dicadangkan untuk membantu mengurangkan dan mengatasi masalah ini. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mencari kepekatan optimum media yang akan digunakan dalam sistem HyAG MBR dan membandingkan rawatan dan prestasi kecenderungan kotoran membran konvensional MBR (CMBR) dengan HyAG MBR. Air sisa domestik sintetik telah dirawat dengan 20 L reaktor skala makmal HyAG MBR yang dilengkapi dengan satu modul membran kepingan rata penurasan mikro tunggal. Satu ujian secara berkelompok dengan kepekatan media 10-40% telah dilaksanakan untuk menentukan kepekatan media yang terbaik. Selain itu, prestasi rawatan sistem HyAG MBR juga dibandingkan dengan prestasi sistem MBR konvensional (CMBR) dengan menilai penyingkiran permintaan oksigen kimia (COD), permintaan oksigen biokimia (BOD), jumlah kepekatan fosforus, nitrat, nitrit dan ammonia. Selanjutnya, kecenderungan kotoran juga telah dibandingkan di antara sistem HyAG MBR dan CMBR pada keadaan operasi yang sama dengan menilai peningkatan kritikal fluks ( $J_c$ ), profil tekanan trans membran (TMP), analisis rintangan membran, produk mikrob terlarut (SMP) dan bahan-bahan polimer luar sel (EPS). Dapatan analisis menunjukkan 10% daripada media merupakan kepekatan optimum yang baik untuk penyingkiran COD, nitrat, nitrit dan fosforus masing-masing sebanyak 93.29%, 94.53%, 90.04% dan 61.86%. Purata COD, nitrat, nitrit, ammonia nitrogen, fosforus dan penyingkiran BOD HyAG MBR adalah masing-masing 96.82%, 96.54%, 92.91%, 93.30%, 75.55% dan 89.70% manakala bagi CMBR adalah 96.10%, 83.60%, 80.21%, 85.68%, 77.74% dan 91.49%. Purata COD, nitrat, kecekapan penyingkiran nitrit, dan ammonia nitrogen untuk HyAG MBR didapati lebih besar berbanding sistem CMBR namun penyingkiran fosforus dan BOD bagi HyAG MBR pula lebih rendah berbanding sistem CMBR. HyAG MBR dengan ketaranya meningkatkan  $J_c$  sehingga  $48 \text{ Lm}^{-2}\text{h}^{-1}$ , oleh itu menghasilkan TMP akhir yang rendah selepas pembersihan. TMP yang rendah juga telah menurunkan jumlah rintangan pada  $5.69 \times 10^{11} \text{ m}^{-1}$  dan menyebabkan perubahan yang berbeza dalam kepekatan SMP dan EPS. Jadi, ini membuktikan masalah kotoran membran telah berkurang seterusnya menghasilkan proses penapisan yang lama. Sebagai kesimpulan, HyAG MBR memberikan rawatan yang baik dan juga mampu mengurangkan masalah kotoran membran.

## TABLE OF CONTENT

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	ii
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	iv
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b>	vii
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xi
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xii
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xiv
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xvi
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	1
	1.1 Research Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	4
	1.3 Objectives of Study	6
	1.4 Scope of Study	7
	1.5 Significance of Study	8
	1.6 Chapter Outline	8

<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1	Domestic wastewater	10
2.1.1	Domestic Wastewater Characteristics	10
2.1.2	Existing Domestic Wastewater Treatment	12
2.2	Membrane Bioreactor (MBR)	15
2.2.1	Configurations of MBR	15
2.2.1.1	Side stream MBR	16
2.2.2.2	Submerged MBR	17
2.2.2	Types of MBR	18
2.2.2.1	Suspended Growth MBR	18
2.2.2.2	Attached Growth Membrane Bioreactor	19
2.2.3	Advantages and Disadvantages of MBR	23
2.3	Membrane Fouling	26
2.3.1	Membrane Fouling Classifications	27
2.3.2	Stages in Membrane Fouling	29
2.3.2.1	An initial short-term rapid rise in TMP	29
2.3.2.2	A long term weak rise in TMP	29
2.3.2.3	A gradual increase in $dTMP/dT$ , also known as TMP jump	30
2.4	Factors Affecting Fouling	30
2.4.1	Operating Condition	31
2.4.1.1	Aeration, Cross Flow Velocity	32
2.4.1.2	Solid retention time	33
2.4.1.3	Hydraulic Retention Time (HRT)	33
2.4.1.4	Organic Loading Rate (OLR)	34
2.4.1.5	Temperature	35
2.4.1.6	Mixed Liquor Suspended Solid (MLSS)	36
2.4.2	Biomass Characteristics	36
2.4.2.1	Extracellular Polymeric Substances (EPS)	36
2.4.2.2	Soluble Microbial Product (SMP)	37
2.4.2.3	Floc Size and Distribution	38
2.4.3	Membrane properties	38
2.4.3.1	Pore Size and Distribution	39



2.4.3.2	Membrane Configuration	39
2.4.3.3	Membrane Material	40
2.4.3.4	Hydrophobicity	41
2.5	Conclusion on Future Direction and Need of Research	41
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>43</b>
3.1	Introduction	43
3.2	Framework of study	43
3.3	Material and Equipment	46
3.3.1	Preparation of synthetic domestic wastewater	46
3.3.2	Laboratory Scale CMBR and HyAG MBR Experimental Set-up	47
3.3.3	Attached Growth Media	50
3.4	Analytical Method	50
3.4.1	MLSS and MLVSS	50
3.4.2	SMP and EPS analysis	51
3.4.3	Membrane Resistance Analysis	53
3.4.4	Effluent Quality Analysis	54
3.4.4.1	Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	54
3.4.4.2	Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)	55
3.4.4.3	Total Ammonia, Nitrate, Nitrite and total Phosphorus Analysis	57
3.5	Experiment Procedure	57
3.5.1	Sludge Acclimatization	58
3.5.2	Batch Test	59
3.5.3	Critical Flux analysis	61
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULT AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>63</b>
4.1	Introduction	63
4.2	Acclimatization stages performances	64
4.3	Optimization of attached growth media	66

4.4	Critical Flux Analysis	69
4.5	Biomass Analysis	73
4.6	SMP and EPS Analysis	74
4.7	TMP and Membrane Resistance Analysis	76
4.8	Effluent Quality Analysis	78
4.9.1	Organic and Nutrient Removal Efficiency	78
4.8.2	Average BOD <sub>5</sub> Removal	82
4.9	Summary of Results	84
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>85</b>
5.1	Conclusions	85
5.2	Recommendations	86
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>87</b>
	Appendices A-B	104

**LIST OF TABLES**

<b>TABLE NO</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Typical Characteristics of Domestic Wastewater	11
2.2	Acceptable Condition of Sewage Discharge of Standards A and B	12
2.3	Summarize of the performances of several type of attached growth membrane bioreactor system with different media	24
3.1	Composition of synthetic wastewater	46
3.2	Membrane Characteristics	47
3.3	Operating condition of HyAG MBR system	48
3.4	Measurable BOD using various dilutions of sample	56
3.5	Operating conditions for the batch reactors	59
3.6	Parameters requirement for critical flux determination	62
4.1	Characteristics of synthetic wastewater	64
4.2	Annova Test for COD parameter	67
4.3	Annova Test for nitrate parameter	67
4.4	Annova Test for nitrite parameter	68
4.5	Annova Test for phosphorus parameter	68
4.6	Resistance in series of CMBR and HyAG MBR	77

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE NO</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	(a) Side stream MBR (b) Submerged MBR	16
2.2	Schematic diagram of submerged hybrid MB MBR	22
2.3	Membrane fouling process in MBRs : a) pore blocking b) cake layer	27
2.4	Schematic illustration of the production and removal of removal and irremovable fouling in MBRs	28
2.5	Fouling mechanism for MBR operated at constant flux	30
2.6	Factors affecting fouling in submerged MBRs	31
3.1	The framework of the study	45
3.2	Flow Diagram of Hybrid Attached Growth Membrane Bioreactor System (HyAG MBR)	49
3.3	The HyAG MBR set up	49
3.4	Cylindrical polythene media	50
3.5	Heating extraction method for SMP and EPS measurement	52
3.6	HACH/DR5000 UV-visible spectrophotometer	55

3.7	Acclimatization plan of seeded sludge	58
3.8	Schematic diagram of batch reactor test	59
3.9	Four sequential steps of batch reactor test	60
4.1	Trendline of MLSS, MLVSS and MLVSS/MLSS ratio performances during acclimatization stage	65
4.2	COD Removal Rate and MLSS performances during acclimatization stage	65
4.3	Average COD and Nitrate, Nitrite and Phosphorus removal under various percentage of attached growth media after 20 days	66
4.4	Flux step method on CMBR	70
4.5	Flux step method on HyAG MBR	71
4.6	MLSS performance in both CMBR and HyAG MBR	73
4.7	MLVSS performance in both CMBR and HyAG MBR	74
4.8	Protein and carbohydrate of SMP and EPS concentration in both MBR systems	75
4.9	TMP performance of CMBR and HyAG MBR	77
4.10	COD removal of CMBR and HyAG MBR after treatment	79
4.11	Nitrate removal of CMBR and HySAGMBR after treatment	80
4.12	Nitrite removal of CMBR and HySAGMBR after treatment	80
4.13	Ammonia nitrogen removal of CMBR and HySAGMBR after treatment	81
4.14	Phosphorus removal of CMBR and HySAGMBR after treatment	82
4.15	Average BOD <sub>5</sub> Removal	83

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

$\mu$	-	Viscosity
$\mu\text{m}$	-	Micrometre
ABS		Acrylonitrile butadiene styrene
AG-MBR	-	Attached growth MBR
BAP	-	Biomass associated products
Bf-MBRs		Biofilm Membrane Bioreactor
BOD	-	Biological Oxygen Demand
CAS	-	Conventional activated sludge
CMBR	-	Conventional Membrane Bioreactor
COD	-	Chemical Oxygen Demand
DO	-	Dissolve oxygen
EPS	-	Extracellular Polymeric Substances
F/M	-	Food per microbe
g/L	-	Gram per litre
GAC	-	Granular activated carbon
H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	-	Sulphuric Acid
HMBR	-	Hybrid membrane bioreactor
HRT	-	Hydraulic retention time
Hy-SAG-MBR	-	Hybrid suspended attached growth MBR
IWK	-	Indah Water Konsortium
J	-	Permeate Flux
J <sub>c</sub>	-	Critical Flux
kPa	-	KiloPascal
LMH	-	Litre per metre square hour
MB-MBR	-	Moving bed membrane bioreactor

MBR	-	Membrane Bioreactor
M-CMBBR	-	Membrane coupled moving bed biofilm reactor
MFI	-	Membrane fouling index
mg/g	-	Milligram per gram
mg/L	-	Miligram per litre
MLSS	-	Mixed Liquor Suspended Solids
MLVSS	-	Mixed Liquor Volatile Suspended Solids
NO <sub>3</sub> -N	-	Nitrate Nitrogen
OLR	-	Organic loading rate
PAOs	-	Phosphorus-accumulating organisms
PE	-	Polyethylene
PhAC	-	Pharmaceutically active compounds
PO <sub>4</sub> -P	-	Phosphate Phisphorus
PVDF	-	Polyvinylidene fluoride
Q	-	Flowrate
RBC	-	Rotating biological contactor
Rc	-	Cake Resistance
Rm	-	Intrinsic membrane resistance
Rp	-	Pore blocking resistance
Rt	-	Total Resistance
SBR	-	Sequential Batch Reactor
SEM	-	Scanning electron microscope
SMP	-	Soluble Microbial Product
SND	-	Simultaneous nitrification and denitrification
SOUR	-	Specific Oxygen Uptake Rate
SRT	-	Solid retention time
TMP	-	Transmembrane Pressure
TN	-	Total Nitrogen
TN	-	Total nitrogen
TSS	-	Total suspended solids
UAP	-	Utilization associated products
V	-	Volume
VOC	-	Volatile organic compound

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	Membrane before and after used in CMBR and HySAG MBR system	104
B	Attached Growth Media before and after treatment with HySAG MBR	105



## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Research Background**

Nowadays, increasingly stringent environmental legislation and treatment technologies that are effective in removing wide range of pollutants, cost efficient and reliable are needed. Throughout the world, one of the most common methods that is flexible with reliable process and able to produce high quality effluent is conventional activated sludge process. Conventional activated sludge process is common biological process involving microorganisms which are grown in a variety of bioreactors while degrading particulates and dissolved wastes using suspended biomass. However, remaining problems of this process is poor settling of activated sludge biomass resulting to poor quality of effluent, decrease system capacity, and increase capital and operating costs. Besides that, this system also uses clarifying tank during sedimentation that obviously requires a large space and employs low volumetric loading rate. Thus, due to stringent effluent permits and the needs of population growth, an upgraded and expanding activated sludge system is required in order to treat wastewater in the future.

At present, there has been increasing interest in the use of attached growth systems which use support media for biomass growth. Usually, attached growth process is designed to minimize the limitation of suspended growth process. Based on previous studies, advantages of attached growth system are it is able to maintain high concentration of active biomass, able to maintain high biomass age, lower sensitivity to toxic effects, upgrades existing systems at minimum cost and also reduces sludge-settling period (Delatolla *et al.*, 2008, 2009). Different attached growth systems have been used such as rotating biological contactor (RBC), trickling filter, fixed media submerged biofilter, fluidized bed reactors and others. For RBC, it is one of the biofilm systems that is effective, has low cost wastewater treatment because of short hydraulic retention time, excellent shock and toxic loading capability, simple process control and low energy requirement (Alemzadeh *et al.*, 2001).

On the other hand, an upgraded wastewater treatment technology system called MBR has been selected as a suitable and effective way for wastewater treatment system. MBR is a system that combines activated sludge system and membrane filtration. In MBR system, sedimentation process is replaced with the filtration by membrane. Biological unit in activated sludge system plays a role for the biodegradation of the waste compounds while membrane module is responsible for physical separation of the treated water from the mixed liquor (Hoinkis *et al.*, 2012). Biological process in MBR converts dissolved organic matter into suspended biomass, reducing membrane fouling and allowing increase in recovery (Friha *et al.*, 2014). MBR is applicable in treating various types of domestic and industrial wastewater.

In previous studies, submerged MBR treating abattoir wastewater was run in 115 days resulting in stable removal efficiencies of organic and pathogens with a little excess sludge production (Keskes *et al.*, 2012). The results show that this technology is a good potential in wastewater treatment. Besides, treatment of textile wastewater has also been done using aerobic MBR which also resulted in high average removal COD at 97% which is quite high (Badani *et al.*, 2005). MBR is also successful in treating cosmetic industrial wastewater where it showed very good biodegradability after

six months of continuous treatment, where the adopted aerobic bacteria was able to completely degrade a wide range of terpenes, olefins, fatty acids esters and more particularly surfactants after 180 days of MBR operation (Friha *et al.*,2014).

MBR system is also applicable in attached growth process as an alternative and unique way to produce high effluent quality. The treatment performance of conventional MBR could be increased by the use of media in hybrid MBR due to high biomass concentrations and reduction of membrane fouling (Leiknes and Odegaard.,2007). For instance, attached microbial systems can lower membrane fouling by providing a surface besides membrane for microbial attachment, or by providing a location for soluble microbial products or hydrophobic compounds to adsorb, thus limiting sorption to the membrane and allowing increased time for degradation (Achilli *et al.*, 2011). Additionally, Sombatsompop *et al* (2006) observed that formation of cake in suspended reactor is higher compared to attached growth reactor for all MLSS concentrations. Hence, it is noted that particle fouling in the attached growth reactor was lower than in the suspended reactor. This is due to the movement of attached media in the reactor which is responsible in producing small particles of biomass.

Hence, by integrating these two types of MBR systems, an upgraded and expanded MBR known as hybrid attached growth membrane bioreactor (HyAG MBR) can be developed in order to treat various types of wastewater. This system may also significantly reduce fouling tendency of MBR system as a major challenge. Therefore, the findings of this proposed study may also be helpful towards establishment of fundamental process mechanism of membrane filtration in the operation of a HyAG MBR treating high strength industrial or domestic wastewater.

## 1.2 Problem Statement

Due to more stringent regulations concerning various types of wastewater with several wide range of pollutants, advanced treatment technologies for a more efficient treatment of these effluents are required. As the country is rapidly developed, the increasing population of people will generate high amount of domestic wastewater. The effluent might consist of several types of pollutants that is possible to be untreated. This would give an effect to water quality of the river since the effluent of domestic wastewater will flow to the river causing water pollution. This situation affects the aquatic life and ecosystem problem. Sewage water pollution is actually one of the major problems especially in developing countries. Careless disposal of sewage waters may lead to some problems such as spreading of diseases, eutrophication, increase in Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD) and others.

Domestic wastewaters (DWW) contain varied and high amounts of organic matter which are difficult to oxidize biologically or chemically (Daghrir *et al.*, 2014). High strength DWW discharges in certain areas may cause an alarming increase in groundwater nitrate levels. Not many of the treated plants are designed to remove nitrogen from sewage and the effluent is normally utilised for surface irrigation (Gupta *et al.*, 2001). Besides, DWW is also one of the main sources for wastewater contaminations by ammonia or nitrogen compounds (El-Bourawi *et al.*, 2007). As ammonia may cause the eutrophication and reduce the dissolved oxygen in water, the discharge of domestic sewage and industry wastewater with ammonia would lead to the death of aquatic life (El-Bourawi *et al.*, 2007, Tan *et al.*, 2006). Thus, the removal of ammonia has become a major concern in wastewater treatment. Discharge of insufficiently treated DWW effluent to aquatic receptors, via direct discharge or base flow, may lead to excess nutrient enrichment, algal blooms and eutrophication (Withers *et al.*, 2011). It can also lead to waterborne disease; numerous significant outbreaks have been attributed to DWW treatment system effluent ingress to drinking water sources (Naughton *et al.*, 2014). Due to these challenges, it is crucial to find a wastewater treatment technology that can treat the wastewater efficiently. In order to

deal with the problem of nutrient removal, more and more attentions were paid to membrane bioreactor process (MBR) (Kimura *et al.*, 2008, Hao *et al.*, 2016, Ersu *et al.*, 2010) which has become a promising technology for upgrading wastewater treatment over the past few decades.

A promising technology in treating wastewater which is MBR has been considered to be an advancement over the conventional activated sludge process. However, this type of MBR has a limitation which is membrane fouling problem that can reduce the performance of treated wastewater. Nguyen *et al.* (2012) also stated that conventional activated sludge-based MBRs pose operational and R&D problems such as membrane fouling, high energy consumption, and limited nutrient removal capability. Membrane fouling reduces the membrane life, requires more energy for backwashing and makes the system less efficient. Membrane fouling results in performance reduction, severe flux decline or rapid pressure increase and frequent membrane cleaning, thus directly leading to an increase of operating and maintenance costs ( Wang *et al.*, 2016). Therefore, reducing membrane fouling is one of the top priorities in enhancing MBR performance.

Fortunately, attached growth MBR has been found to solve membrane fouling problem and could also prolong filtration due to the difference in particle size distribution of biomass between these two reactors. Biofilm MBR (BF-MBR) or attached growth MBR is the addition of carriers inside the MBR that reduces the concentration of suspended solids and leads to mitigation of membrane fouling. This system is able to reduce the concentration of suspended solids without limiting the efficiency of the process (Leyva-Díaz *et al.*, 2013). It offers several advantages such as higher biomass activity and higher resistance to toxic substances (Igor Ivanovic., 2011). Subtil *et al.* (2014) also reported that BF-MBR showed better removal in ammonia and TN as well as lowered the fouling rate about 35% compared to MBR.

Even though some of the wastewater treatment system may be able to treat industrial wastewater to meet current disposal requirement and producing water for basic uses in the industry, the treated effluent would need to be further polished by using integrated MBR for applications that need high grade water (Neoh *et al.*, 2016). The purposes of the integrated MBR are to improve qualities of permeates, mitigate membrane fouling and enhance the stability of the treatment process. In this study, membrane and attached growth media were inserted in one reactor. Thus, due to the limitation of different types of both MBR, advance treatment technology in treating industrial or domestic wastewater combining both type of MBR called HyAG MBR is proposed.

### **1.3 Objectives of the Study**

This study embarks on the following objectives:

- i. To determine the optimum concentration of attached media in the HyAG MBR system for treating domestic wastewater treatment on a batch system.
- ii. To compare fouling tendency of conventional MBR with HyAG MBR system at the same operating conditions.
- iii. To compare treatment performance of conventional MBR with HyAG MBR system.

## 1.4 Scope of the Study

The scope of this study is as follows:

- i) The 20L lab-scale HyAG MBR using single flat-sheet Kubota MF was setup to treat DWW. This set-up was completed with attached growth media, pressure gauge, peristaltic pump, water level meter, air flowmeter, air pump, air diffuser, pH meter, and pressure data logger
- ii) The synthetic DWW was used to get less fluctuation in nutrient values. Synthetic DWW of about 1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of COD were fed to the HyAG MBR.
- iii) Batch reactor test was conducted in order to find the optimum concentration of attached growth media that will be used in HySAG MBR system. The attached growth reactor was added with four different concentrations of media of 10%, 20%, 30% and 40%. The experiment was run for 20 days and samples were collected twice a day and analyzed in terms of COD, nitrate, nitrite and phosphorus removal. The optimum concentration of attached growth media was utilized for the next stage of experiment.
- iv) Several analytical methods were applied to evaluate the treatment performance between conventional MBR and HySAG MBR. These included measurement of COD removal, BOD, total phosphorus, nitrate, nitrite and Ammonia concentrations.
- v) Since membrane fouling became a major problem in MBR, TMP profiles, critical flux analysis and membrane resistance analysis was conducted to analyze membrane fouling characteristics. The analysis of MLSS/MLVSS, EPS and SMP analysis was also evaluated to test the membrane fouling tendency.

## **1.5 Significance of Study**

The findings of this proposed study may significantly help towards establishment of a fundamental process mechanism of membrane filtration in the operation of a HyAG MBR treating high strength industrial or domestic wastewater. Moreover, this study helps towards finding better wastewater treatment technology in order to achieve requirement of more stringent regulations of wastewater effluent today. This analysis may also enhance the capacity of wastewater treatment engineers or researchers that focus on MBR technology to understand the key variables that affect MBR performance, allowing them to avoid situations that cause poor performances. As mentioned, membrane fouling is a major challenges in MBR systems. Thus, this design of MBR system could help towards overcoming fouling problem. HySAG-MBR might also help in overcoming fouling problem which is a major challenge in MBR.

## **1.6 Chapter Outline**

The literature review of this research discussed in Chapter 2. This chapter explained the domestic wastewater, application of membrane bioreactor in wastewater treatment, advantages and disadvantages of MBR and also the types of MBR used in wastewater treatment. Besides that, this chapter also includes membrane fouling topic that was discussed briefly in section 2.3. Moreover, Chapter 3 is focusing on experimental procedure and also experimental analysis of this study. Last but not least, results and discussion of this research discussed in Chapter 4. Then, Chapter 5 is the conclusion of the results from the experiment. Achievement of the objectives discussed in the conclusion part. The process of research includes problem solving, suitability of the methods and possibility of future research was summarized in this chapter.



## REFERENCES

- Abdulaziz S. Alquwaizany, Ghulam Hussain and Omar A. Al-Harbi, (2011). Use of Membrane Bio-Reactor and Activated Sludge to Remove COD and BOD from Sewage Water in Saudi Arabia. *Research Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 5: 68-76.
- Achilli, a., Marchand, E. a. & Childress, a. E., (2011). A performance evaluation of three membrane bioreactor systems: aerobic, anaerobic, and attached-growth. *Water Science & Technology*, 63(12), p.2999
- Ahmed, Z., Cho, J., Lim, B.-R., Song, K.-G. and Ahn, K.-H. (2007). Effects of Sludge Retention Time on Membrane Fouling and Microbial Community Structure in a Membrane Bioreactor. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 287(2), 211-218.
- Alemzadeh, I. and Vossoughi, M. (2001). Biodegradation of Toluene by an Attached Biofilm in a Rotating Biological Contactor. *Process Biochemistry*, 36(8-9), 707-711
- Antonelli M, Bialek K, Teli A, Citterio S, Malpei F. (2011). Influence of Thermal Extraction of Extracellular Polymeric Substances on Cell Integrity in Activated Sludge and Membrane Bioreactor Samples. *Water Environmental Resource*. 83(2):100-6.
- APHA, AWWA and WEF. (2005). Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 20th ed. American Public Health Association, Washington, DC.
- Arvin, E., Harremoës, P., 1990. Concepts and models for biofilm reactor performance. *Water Sci. Technol.* 22, 171-192

- Badani, Z., Ait-Amar, H., Si-Salah, A., Brik, M. and Fuchs, W. (2005). Treatment of Textile Waste Water by Membrane Bioreactor and Reuse. *Desalination*, 185(1–3), 411-417.
- Bae, T.-H. and Tak, T.-M. (2005). Interpretation of Fouling Characteristics of Ultrafiltration Membranes During the Filtration of Membrane Bioreactor Mixed Liquor. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 264(1–2), 151-160.
- Barker, D. J. and Stuckey, D. C. (1999). A review of soluble microbial products (SMP) in wastewater treatment systems. *Water Research*, 33(14), 3063-3082.
- Bendida, A., Tidjani, A. E.-B., Badri, A., Kendouci, M. A. and Nabou, M. (2013). Treatment of Domestic Wastewater from the Town of Bechar by a Sand Filter (Sand of Beni Abbes Bechar Algeria). *Energy Procedia*, 36(0), 825-8.
- bioreactor (MBR) process using polymer. *Desalination* 191, 52–61.
- Brannock, M. W. D., Wang, Y. and Leslie, G. (2010). Evaluation of Full-Scale Membrane Bioreactor Mixing Performance and The Effect of Membrane Configuration. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 350(1–2), 101-108
- Brans, G., Schroën, C. G. P. H., van der Sman, R. G. M. and Boom, R. M. (2004). Membrane fractionation of milk: state of the art and challenges. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 243(1–2), 263-272.
- Chae, S.-R., Ahn, Y.-T., Kang, S.-T. and Shin, H.-S. (2006). Mitigated Membrane Fouling in a Vertical Submerged Membrane Bioreactor (VSMBR). *Journal of Membrane Science*, 280(1–2), 572-581.
- Chang, I.-S. and Kim, S.-N. (2005). Wastewater Treatment Using Membrane Filtration—Effect of Biosolids Concentration on Cake Resistance. *Process Biochemistry*, 40(3–4), 1307-1314.
- Chang, I.-S. and Lee, C.-H. (1998). Membrane Filtration Characteristics In Membrane-Coupled Activated Sludge System -The Effect of Physiological States of Activated Sludge on Membrane Fouling. *Desalination*, 120(3), 221-233
- Chellam, S. and Xu, W. (2006). Blocking laws analysis of dead-end constant flux microfiltration of compressible cakes. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 301(1), 248-257
- Chiemchaisri, C., Wong, Y. K., Urase, T. and Yamamoto, K. (1993). Organic stabilisation and nitrogen removal in a membrane separation bioreactor for domestic wastewater treatment. *Filtration & Separation*, 30(3), 247-240.

- Cho, B.D., Fane, A.G., (2002). Fouling Transients in Nominally Subcritical Flux Operation of a Membrane Bioreactor. *Journal of Membrane Science* 209 (2), 391–403.
- Choi, H., Zhang, K., Dionysiou, D.D., Oerther, D.B. and Sorial, G.A. (2005). Effect of Permeate Flux and Tangential Flow on Membrane Fouling For Wastewater Treatment. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 45, 68–78.
- Choi, J.-H., Fukushi, K. and Yamamoto, K. (2007). A submerged nanofiltration membrane bioreactor for domestic wastewater treatment: the performance of cellulose acetate nanofiltration membranes for long-term operation. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 52(3), 470-477.
- Choo, K.-H. and Lee, C.-H. (1996). Membrane fouling mechanisms in the membrane-coupled anaerobic bioreactor. *Water Research*, 30(8), 1771-1780.
- Chu, L. and Wang, J. (2011). Nitrogen removal using biodegradable polymers as carbon source and biofilm carriers in a moving bed biofilm reactor. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 170(1), 220-225
- Cicek, N., Franco J.P, Suidan M.T., Vincent U., Manem J., (1999). Characterization and Comparison of a Membrane Bioreactor and a Conventional Activated Sludge System in the Treatment of Wastewater Containing High-Molecular Weight Compounds, *Water Environment. Research*. 71 (1) 64–70
- Cortez, S., Teixeira, P., Oliveira, R., Mota, M., (2008). Rotating biological contactors: a review on main factors affecting performance. *Reviews in Environmental. Science. Biotechnology*. 7, 155–172.
- Cruddas, P. H., Wang, K., Best, D., Jefferson, B., Cartmell, E., Parker, A., et al. (2014). Diagnosis of an Anaerobic Pond Treating Temperate Domestic Wastewater: an Alternative Sludge Strategy for Small Works. *Ecological Engineering*, 63(0), 64-71.
- Daghrir, R., Drogui, P. and Tshibangu, J. (2014). Efficient treatment of domestic wastewater by electrochemical oxidation process using bored doped diamond anode. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 131, 79-83.
- Defrance, L., Jaffrin, M. Y., Gupta, B., Paullier, P. and Geaugey, V. (2000). Contribution of Various Constituents of Activated Sludge to Membrane Bioreactor Fouling. *Bioresource Technology*, 73(2), 105-112.

- Delatolla, R., Berk, D. and Tufenkji, N. (2008). Rapid and Reliable Quantification of Biofilm Weight and Nitrogen Content of Biofilm Attached to Polystyrene Beads. *Water Research*, 42(12), 3082-3088
- Delatolla, R., Tufenkji, N., Comeau, Y., Lamarre, D., Gadbois, A. and Berk, D. (2009). In Situ Characterization of Nitrifying Biofilm: Minimizing Biomass Loss and Preserving Perspective. *Water Research*, 43(6), 1775-1787.
- Deng, L., Guo, W., Ngo, H. H., Zhang, X., Wang, X. C., Zhang, Q., et al. (2016). New functional biocarriers for enhancing the performance of a hybrid moving bed biofilm reactor–membrane bioreactor system. *Bioresource Technology*, 208, 87-93.
- Department of Environment (1996). *In Environmental Quality Reports. Department of Environment, Ministry of Science, Technology and Environmental*, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Di Bella, G., Torregrossa, M. and Viviani, G. (2011). The Role of EPS Concentration in MBR Foaming: Analysis of A Submerged Pilot Plant. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(2), 1628-1635
- Domínguez, L., Cases, V., Birek, C., Rodríguez, M. and Prats, D. (2012). Influence of Organic Loading Rate on the Performance of Ultrafiltration and Microfiltration Membrane Bioreactors at High Sludge Retention Time. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 181–182(0), 132-143.
- Drews, A., Mante, J., Iversen, V., Vocks, M., Lesjean, B. and Kraume, M. (2007). Impact of ambient conditions on SMP elimination and rejection in MBRs. *Water Research*, 41(17), 3850-3858
- Duncan Mara (2003). *Domestic Wastewater Treatment in Developing Countries* (2<sup>nd</sup> Edition). UK and USA. Earthscan.
- El-Bourawi, M. S., Khayet, M., Ma, R., Ding, Z., Li, Z. and Zhang, X. (2007). Application of vacuum membrane distillation for ammonia removal. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 301(1–2), 200-209
- Erick Butler, Yung-Tse Hung, Mohammed Suleiman Al Ahmad, Ruth Yu-Li Yeh, Robert Lian-Huey Liu ,Yen-Pei Fu (2015). Oxidation pond for municipal wastewater treatment. *Applied Water Science*, 1-21.
- Ersu, C. B., Ong, S. K., Arslankaya, E. and Lee, Y.-W. (2010). Impact of solids residence time on biological nutrient removal performance of membrane bioreactor. *Water Research*, 44(10), 3192-3202.

- Fallah N, Bonakdarpour B, Nasernejad B, Alavi Moghadam MR.(2010). Long-Term Operation of Submerged Membrane Bioreactor (MBR) for the Treatment of Synthetic Wastewater Containing Styrene as Volatile Organic Compound (VOC): Effect of Hydraulic Retention Time (HRT).*Journal of Hazardous Materials*. 178(1-3):718-24.
- Fane, S. C. a. A. G. (2002). Filtration of Biomass with Laboratory-Scale Submerged Hollow Fibre Modules – Effect of Operating Conditions and Module Configuration. *Chemical technology biotechnology*, (77), 1030-1038.
- Fernandes, H., Jungles, M. K., Hoffmann, H., Antonio, R. V. and Costa, R. H. R. (2013). Full-Scale Sequencing Batch Reactor (SBR) for Domestic Wastewater: Performance and Diversity of Microbial Communities. *Bioresource Technology*, 132(0), 262-268.
- Flemming, H. & Wingender, J., 1999. Relevance of microbial extracellular polymeric substances ( EPSs ) – Part I: Structural and ecological aspects. , pp.1–8.
- Friha, I., Karray, F., Feki, F., Jlaiel, L. and Sayadi, S. (2014). Treatment of Cosmetic Industry Wastewater by Submerged Membrane Bioreactor With Consideration of Microbial Community Dynamics. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*, 88(0), 125-133.
- Gao, D.-W., Hu, Q., Yao, C., Ren, N.-Q. and Wu, W.-M. (2014). Integrated Anaerobic Fluidized-Bed Membrane Bioreactor for Domestic Wastewater Treatment. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 240(0), 362-368.
- Germain, E., Nelles, F., Drews, A., Pearce, P., Kraume, M., Reid, E., et al. (2007). Biomass Effects on Oxygen Transfer in Membrane Bioreactors. *Water Research*, 41(5), 1038-1044
- Guo, J., Peng, Y., Wang, S., Yang, X. and Yuan, Z. (2014). Filamentous and non-filamentous bulking of activated sludge encountered under nutrients limitation or deficiency conditions. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 255, 453-461.
- Guo, W., Ngo, H.-H. and Li, J. (2012). A mini-review on membrane fouling. *Bioresource Technology*, 122, 27-34.
- Gupta, A. B. and Gupta, S. K. (2001). Simultaneous Carbon and Nitrogen Removal From High Strength Domestic Wastewater In an Aerobic RBC Biofilm. *Water Research*, 35(7), 1714-1722.

- Hai, F. I. and Yamamoto, K. (2011). 4.16 - Membrane Biological Reactors. In P. Wilderer (Ed.), *Treatise on Water Science* (pp. 571-613).
- Hai, F. I., Yamamoto, K. and Fukushi, K. (2005). Different Fouling Modes Of Submerged Hollow-Fiber and Flat-Sheet Membranes Induced by High Strength Wastewater With Concurrent Biofouling. *Desalination*, 180(1–3), 89-97
- Hao, L., Liss, S. N. and Liao, B. Q. (2016). Influence of COD:N ratio on sludge properties and their role in membrane fouling of a submerged membrane bioreactor. *Water Research*, 89, 132-141.
- Hashimoto, K., Matsuda, M., Inoue, D. and Ike, M. (2014). Bacterial community dynamics in a full-scale municipal wastewater treatment plant employing conventional activated sludge process. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, 118(1), 64-71.
- Hoinkis, J., Deowan, S. A., Panten, V., Figoli, A., Huang, R. R. and Drioli, E. (2012). Membrane Bioreactor (MBR) Technology – a Promising Approach for Industrial Water Reuse. *Procedia Engineering*, 33(0), 234-241.
- Hong, S. P., Bae, T. H., Tak, T. M., Hong, S. and Randall, A. (2002). Fouling Control in Activated Sludge Submerged Hollow Fiber Membrane Bioreactors. *Desalination*, 143(3), 219-228.
- Howe K.J., Clark M.M., Fouling of microfiltration and ultrafiltration membranes by natural waters, *Environmental. Science. Technology*. 36 (2002) 3571–3576.
- Hu, J., Ren, H., Xu, K., Geng, J., Ding, L., Yan, X., et al. (2012). Effect of carriers on sludge characteristics and mitigation of membrane fouling in attached-growth membrane bioreactor. *Bioresource Technology*, 122, 35-41.
- Huang, L. and Lee, D.-J. (2015). Membrane bioreactor: A mini review on recent R&D works. *Bioresource Technology*, 194, 383-388.
- Huang, X., Wei, C.-H. and Yu, K.-C. (2008). Mechanism of Membrane Fouling Control by Suspended Carriers in a Submerged Membrane Bioreactor. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 309(1–2), 7-16.
- Igor Ivanovic (2011). *Application of biofilm membrane bioreactor (BF-MBR) for municipal wastewater treatment*. Doctor of Philosophy. Department of Hydraulic and Environmental Engineering, Norwegian University of Science and Technology.

- Ilyas.S (2008). *Effects Of Cod/N Ratios On Treatment Performance And Fouling Propensity In A Membrane Bioreactor (MBR)*. Master of Science. National University of Sciences and Technology Islamabad, Pakistan.
- In-Soung Chang, P. L. C., Bruce Jefferson, Simon Judd. (2002). Membran Fouling in Membrane Bioreactors for Wastewater Treatment. *Journal Of Environmental Engineering*, 128(11), 1018-1029.
- Itonaga T, Kimura K, Watanabe Y.(2004). Influence of Suspension Viscosity and Colloidal Particles on Permeability of Membrane Used in Membrane Bioreactor (MBR). *Water Science and Technology*. 50(12):301-9.
- Ivanovic, I. and Leiknes, T. (2008). Impact of Aeration Rates On Particle Colloidal Fraction in the Biofilm Membrane Bioreactor (BF-MBR). *Desalination*, 231(1–3), 182-190.
- Ivanovic, I., Leiknes, T. and Ødegaard, H. (2006). Influence of Loading Rates on Production And Characteristics of Retentate From a Biofilm Membrane Bioreactor (BF-MBR). *Desalination*, 199(1–3), 490-492.
- Jamal Khan, S., Ilyas, S., Javid, S., Visvanathan, C. and Jegatheesan, V. (2011). Performance of Suspended and Attached Growth MBR Systems In Treating High Strength Synthetic Wastewater. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(9), 5331-5336.
- Jamal Khan, S., Zohaib Ur, R., Visvanathan, C. and Jegatheesan, V. (2012). Influence of Biofilm Carriers On Membrane Fouling Propensity in Moving Biofilm Membrane Bioreactor. *Bioresource Technology*, 113(0), 161-164.
- Jang, N., Ren, X., Kim, G., Ahn, C., Cho, J. and Kim, I. S. (2007). Characteristics of Soluble Microbial Products and Extracellular Polymeric Substances in the Membrane Bioreactor for Water Reuse. *Desalination*, 202(1–3), 90-98.
- Ji, L. and Zhou, J. (2006). Influence of Aeration on Microbial Polymers and Membrane Fouling in Submerged Membrane Bioreactors. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 276(1–2), 168-177.
- Jiang J., Du X., Yang S. (2010) “Analysis of the Combustion of Sewage Sludge Derived Fuel By a Thermogravimetric Method In China, “Waste Management,*International Journal of Water Resources and Environmental Engineering*. 30, 1407 – 1413
- Jiang, T., Kennedy, M. D., Yoo, C., Nopens, I., Van der Meer, W., Futselaar, H., et al. (2007). Controlling Submicron Particle Deposition in a Side-Stream

- Membrane Bioreactor: A Theoretical Hydrodynamic Modelling Approach Incorporating Energy Consumption. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 297(1–2), 141-151.
- Jin, L., Ong, S. L. and Ng, H. Y. (2010). Comparison of Fouling Characteristics in Different Pore-Sized Submerged Ceramic Membrane Bioreactors. *Water Research*, 44(20), 5907-5918.
- Johir, M. A. H., Vigneswaran, S., Sathasivan, A., Kandasamy, J. and Chang, C. Y. (2012). Effect of Organic Loading Rate on Organic Matter and Foulant Characteristics in Membrane Bio-Reactor. *Bioresource Technology*, 113(0), 154-160.
- Judd, S. J. 2004. A review of fouling of membrane bioreactors in sewage treatment. *Water Science and Technology* 49, (2): 229-235.
- Judd, S. (2006) *The MBR Book: Principles and Applications of Membrane Bioreactors in Water and Wastewater Treatment*, Oxford. Elsevier.
- Kadir, M.D.A.; Velayutham, S. (1999) In *The Management of Municipal Wastewater Sludge in Malaysia. Symposium. on Sludge Management*, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Katayon, S., Megat Mohd Noor, M. J., Ahmad, J., Abdul Ghani, L. A., Nagaoka, H. and Aya, H. (2004). Effects of Mixed Liquor Suspended Solid Concentrations On Membrane Bioreactor Efficiency for Treatment of Food Industry Wastewater. *Desalination*, 167(0), 153-158.
- Katayon, S., Megat Mohd Noor, M. J., Kien Tat, W., Abdul Halim, G., Thamer, A. M. and Badronisa, Y. (2007). Effect of natural coagulant application on microfiltration performance in treatment of secondary oxidation pond effluent. *Desalination*, 204(1–3), 204-212.
- Keskes, S., Hmaied, F., Gannoun, H., Bouallagui, H., Godon, J. J. and Hamdi, M. (2012). Performance of a Submerged Membrane Bioreactor For The Aerobic Treatment of Abattoir Wastewater. *Bioresource Technology*, 103(1), 28-34.
- Khan, S. J. and Visvanathan, C. (2008). Influence of Mechanical Mixing Intensity On A Biofilm Structure And Permeability In a Membrane Bioreactor. *Desalination*, 231(1–3), 253-267.
- Khan, S. J., Ilyas, S. and Zohaib Ur, R. (2013). Impact of nitrogen loading rates on treatment performance of domestic wastewater and fouling propensity in submerged membrane bioreactor (MBR). *Bioresource Technology*, 141, 46-49.



- Kimura, K., Hara, H. and Watanabe, Y. (2005). Removal of Pharmaceutical Compounds by Submerged Membrane Bioreactors (MBRs). *Desalination*, 178(1–3), 135-140.
- Kimura, K., Nishisako, R., Miyoshi, T., Shimada, R. and Watanabe, Y. (2008). Baffled membrane bioreactor (BMBR) for efficient nutrient removal from municipal wastewater. *Water Research*, 42(3), 625-632.
- Koseoglu, H., Yigit, N. O., Iversen, V., Drews, A., Kitis, M., Lesjean, B., et al. (2008). Effects of several different flux enhancing chemicals on filterability and fouling reduction of membrane bioreactor (MBR) mixed liquors. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 320(1–2), 57-64
- Kraume, M., Drews, A., (2010). Membrane bioreactors in waste water treatment – status and trends. *Chemical Engineering Technology*. 33, 1251–1259.
- Krauth, K. and Staab, K. F. (1993). Pressurized bioreactor with membrane filtration for wastewater treatment. *Water Research*, 27(3), 405-411
- Laspidou, C. S. and Rittmann, B. E. (2002). A Unified Theory for Extracellular Polymeric Substances, Soluble Microbial Products, and Active and Inert Biomass. *Water Research*, 36(11), 2711-2720.
- Le-Clech, P., Chen, V. and Fane, T. A. G. (2006). Fouling in Membrane Bioreactors Used in Wastewater Treatment. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 284(1–2), 17-53.
- Le-Clech, P., Jefferson, B. and Judd, S. J. (2005). A comparison of submerged and sidestream tubular membrane bioreactor configurations. *Desalination*, 173(2), 113-122
- Lee, J., Ahn, W.-Y. and Lee, C.-H. (2001). Comparison of the Filtration Characteristics Between Attached and Suspended Growth Microorganisms in Submerged Membrane Bioreactor. *Water Research*, 35(10), 2435-2445.
- Lee, S. and Kim, M.-H. (2013). Fouling Characteristics in Pure Oxygen MBR Process According to MLSS Concentrations and COD Loadings. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 428(0), 323-330.
- Lee, W., Kang, S. and Shin, H. (2003). Sludge Characteristics and Their Contribution to Microfiltration in Submerged Membrane Bioreactors. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 216(1–2), 217-227.
- Leiknes, T. and Ødegaard, H. (2007). The Development of a Biofilm Membrane Bioreactor. *Desalination*, 202(1–3), 135-143.

- Leiknes, T., Bolt, H., Engmann, M. and Ødegaard, H. (2006). Assessment of Membrane Reactor Design in the Performance Of a Hybrid Biofilm Membrane Bioreactor (BF-MBR). *Desalination*, 199(1–3), 328-330.
- Leyva-Díaz, J. C., Calderón, K., Rodríguez, F. A., González-López, J., Hontoria, E. and Poyatos, J. M. (2013). Comparative kinetic study between moving bed biofilm reactor-membrane bioreactor and membrane bioreactor systems and their influence on organic matter and nutrients removal. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 77, 28-40.
- Leyva-Díaz, J. C., Martín-Pascual, J., González-López, J., Hontoria, E. and Poyatos, J. M. (2013). Effects of scale-up on a hybrid moving bed biofilm reactor – membrane bioreactor for treating urban wastewater. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 104, 808-816.
- Leyva-Díaz, J. C., Muñío, M. M., González-López, J. and Poyatos, J. M. (2016). Anaerobic/anoxic/oxic configuration in hybrid moving bed biofilm reactor-membrane bioreactor for nutrient removal from municipal wastewater. *Ecological Engineering*, 91, 449-458.
- Li, T., Law, A. W.-K., Jiang, Y., Harijanto, A. K. and Fane, A. G. (2016). Fouling control of submerged hollow fibre membrane bioreactor with transverse vibration. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 505, 216-224.
- Liu, Q., Wang, X. C., Liu, Y., Yuan, H. and Du, Y. (2010). Performance of a hybrid membrane bioreactor in municipal wastewater treatment. *Desalination*, 258(1–3), 143-147
- Luo, W., Hai, F. I., Price, W. E. and Nghiem, L. D. (2015). Water extraction from mixed liquor of an aerobic bioreactor by forward osmosis: Membrane fouling and biomass characteristics assessment. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 145, 56-62.
- Ma, Z., Wen, X., Zhao, F., Xia, Y., Huang, X., Waite, D., et al. (2013). Effect of Temperature Variation on Membrane Fouling and Microbial Community Structure in Membrane Bioreactor. *Bioresource Technology*, 133(0), 462-468.
- Malaysia's Environmental Law, ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT, 1974, the Malaysia Environmental Quality (Sewage and Industrial Effluents) Regulations, 2009.

- Masłoń, A. and Tomaszek, J. A. (2015). A study on the use of the BioBall® as a biofilm carrier in a sequencing batch reactor. *Bioresource Technology*, 196, 577-585.
- Meng, F., Chae, S.-R., Drews, A., Kraume, M., Shin, H.-S. and Yang, F. (2009). Recent advances in membrane bioreactors (MBRs): Membrane fouling and membrane material. *Water Research*, 43(6), 1489-1512.
- Meng, F., Yang, F., Shi, B. and Zhang, H. (2008). A Comprehensive Study on Membrane Fouling in Submerged Membrane Bioreactors Operated Under Different Aeration Intensities. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 59(1), 91-100.
- Metcalf & Eddy. (2013). *Wastewater Engineering, Treatment and Resource Recovery* (5<sup>th</sup> ed.): McGraw-Hill.
- Metcalf and Eddy. (2004). *Wastewater Engineering Treatment and Reuse* (4th ed.).
- Miyoshi, T., Yuasa, K., Ishigami, T., Rajabzadeh, S., Kamio, E., Ohmukai, Y., et al. (2015). Effect of membrane polymeric materials on relationship between surface pore size and membrane fouling in membrane bioreactors. *Applied Surface Science*, 330, 351-357
- Mohammadi, H., Sabzali, A., Gholami, M., Dehghanifard, E. and Mirzaei, R. (2012). Comparative study of SMBR and extended aeration activated sludge processes in the treatment of high-strength wastewaters. *Desalination*, 287, 109-115.
- Monclús, H., Sipma, J., Ferrero, G., Rodriguez-Roda, I. and Comas, J. (2010). Biological nutrient removal in an MBR treating municipal wastewater with special focus on biological phosphorus removal. *Bioresource Technology*, 101(11), 3984-3991.
- Muhamad, M. H., Sheikh Abdullah, S. R., Abu Hasan, H. and Abd. Rahim, R. A. (2015). Comparison of the efficiencies of attached- versus suspended-growth SBR systems in the treatment of recycled paper mill wastewater. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 163, 115-124.
- Muhamad, M. H., Sheikh Abdullah, S. R., Mohamad, A. B., Abdul Rahman, R. and Hasan Kadhum, A. A. (2013). Application of response surface methodology (RSM) for optimisation of COD, NH<sub>3</sub>-N and 2,4-DCP removal from recycled paper wastewater in a pilot-scale granular activated carbon sequencing batch biofilm reactor (GAC-SBBR). *Journal of Environmental Management*, 121, 179-190.

- Munusami, C., Othman, J. and Ismail, S. M. (2014). Using Choice Modelling to Reveal Household Demand for Wastewater Treatment in Malaysia. *APCBEE Procedia*, 10, 64-68.
- Munz, G., Gualtiero, M., Salvadori, L., Claudia, B. and Claudio, L. (2008). Process Efficiency and Microbial Monitoring in MBR (Membrane Bioreactor) and CASP (Conventional Activated Sludge Process) Treatment of Tannery Wastewater. *Bioresource Technology*, 99(18), 8559-8564.
- Najafpour, G.D., Zinatizadeh, A.A.L., Lee, L.K., (2006). Performance of a three-stage aerobic RBC reactor in food canning wastewater treatment. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*. 30, 297–302
- Naughton, O. and Hynds, P. D. (2014). Public awareness, behaviours and attitudes towards domestic wastewater treatment systems in the Republic of Ireland. *Journal of Hydrology*, 518, Part A, 108-119.
- Neoh, C. H., Noor, Z. Z., Mutamim, N. S. A. and Lim, C. K. (2016). Green technology in wastewater treatment technologies: Integration of membrane bioreactor with various wastewater treatment systems. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 283, 582-594.
- New York: Mc-Graw Hill.
- Nguyen, T. T., Ngo, H. H. and Guo, W. (2013). Pilot Scale Study On a New Membrane Bioreactor Hybrid System in Municipal Wastewater Treatment. *Bioresource Technology*, 141(0), 8-12.
- Nguyen, T. T., Ngo, H. H., Guo, W., Listowski, A. and Li, J. X. (2012). Evaluation of sponge tray-membrane bioreactor (ST-MBR) for primary treated sewage effluent treatment. *Bioresource Technology*, 113, 143-147
- Notch, E. G. and Mayer, G. D. (2009). Wastewater treatment effluent alters nucleotide excision repair in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C: Toxicology & Pharmacology*, 150(2), 307-313.
- Ognier, S., Wisniewski, C. and Grasmick, A. (2002). Influence of Macromolecule Adsorption During Filtration of a Membrane Bioreactor Mixed Liquor Suspension. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 209(1), 27-37.
- Özdemir, S., Çokgör, E. U., İnsel, G. and Orhon, D. (2014). Effect of extended aeration on the fate of particulate components in sludge stabilization. *Bioresource Technology*, 174, 88-94.

- Ozkaya, B. (2005). Chlorophenols in Leachates Originating From Different Landfills and Aerobic Composting Plants. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 124(1–3), 107-112
- Park, J.-S., Yeon, K.-M. and Lee, C.-H. (2005). Hydrodynamics and Microbial Physiology Affecting Performance of a New MBR, Membrane-Coupled High-Performance Compact Reactor. *Desalination*, 172(2), 181-188.
- Patwardhan, A.W., (2003). Rotating biological contactors: a review. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*. 42, 2035–2051.
- Rahimi, Y., Torabian, A., Mehrdadi, N., Habibi-Rezaie, M., Pezeshk, H. and Nabi-Bidhendi, G.-R. (2011). Optimizing Aeration Rates for Minimizing Membrane Fouling and Its Effect on Sludge Characteristics in a Moving Bed Membrane Bioreactor. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 186(2–3), 1097-1102.
- Ren, N., Chen, Z., Wang, A. and Hu, D. (2005). Removal of organic pollutants and analysis of MLSS–COD removal relationship at different HRTs in a submerged membrane bioreactor. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*, 55(4), 279-284.
- Rittmann, B.E., McCarty, P.L., (2001). *Environmental Biotechnology: Principles and Applications*, 4th ed. McGraw-Hill Higher Education, New York.
- Rodríguez-Hernández, L., Esteban-García, A. L. and Tejero, I. (2014). Comparison between a fixed bed hybrid membrane bioreactor and a conventional membrane bioreactor for municipal wastewater treatment: A pilot-scale study. *Bioresource Technology*, 152, 212-219.
- Rosenberger, S., Evenblij, H., te Poele, S., Wintgens, T. and Laabs, C. (2005). The Importance of Liquid Phase Analyses to Understand Fouling in Membrane Assisted Activated Sludge Processes—Six Case Studies of Different European Research Groups. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 263(1–2), 113-126.
- Rosenberger, S., Krüger, U., Witzig, R., Manz, W., Szewzyk, U. and Kraume, M. (2002). Performance of a Bioreactor With Submerged Membranes For Aerobic Treatment of Municipal Waste Water. *Water Research*, 36(2), 413-420.
- Sabia, G., Ferraris, M. and Spagni, A. (2013). Effect of Solid Retention Time on Sludge Filterability and Biomass Activity: Long-Term Experiment on a Pilot-Scale Membrane Bioreactor Treating Municipal Wastewater. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 221(0), 176-184.

- Sadri, S., Cicek, N. and Van Gulck, J. (2008). Aerobic Treatment Of Landfill Leachate Using A Submerged Membrane Bioreactor – Prospects For On-Site Use. *Environmental Technology*, 29(8), 899-907.
- Sibil, R., Berkun, M. and Bekiroglu, S. (2014). The Comparison of Different Mathematical Methods to Determine the BOD Parameters, a New Developed Method and Impacts of These Parameters Variations on The Design of WWTPs. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 38(2), 641-658.
- Singh, V., Mittal, A.K., (2012). Characterization of biofilm of a rotating biological contactor treating synthetic wastewater. *Water Science. Technology*. 66, 429–437
- Singhania, R. R., Christophe, G., Perchet, G., Troquet, J. and Larroche, C. (2012). Immersed membrane bioreactors: An overview with special emphasis on anaerobic bioprocesses. *Bioresource Technology*, 122, 171-180.
- Sipma, J., Osuna, B., Collado, N., Monclús, H., Ferrero, G., Comas, J., et al. (2010). Comparison of Removal of Pharmaceuticals in MBR and Activated Sludge Systems. *Desalination*, 250(2), 653-659.
- Sohaimi Kling (2007), *Determination of Domestic Wastewater Characteristics and Its Relation to the Type and Size of Developments*. Master of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai.
- Sombatsompop, K., Visvanathan, C. and Ben Aim, R. (2006). Evaluation of Biofouling Phenomenon in Suspended and Attached Growth Membrane Bioreactor Systems. *Desalination*, 201(1–3), 138-149.
- Stackelberg, P. E., Furlong, E. T., Meyer, M. T., Zaugg, S. D., Henderson, A. K. and Reissman, D. B. (2004). Persistence of Pharmaceutical Compounds and Other Organic Wastewater Contaminants in a Conventional Drinking-Water-Treatment Plant. *Science of The Total Environment*, 329(1–3), 99-113.
- Su, X., Tian, Y., Zuo, W., Zhang, J., Li, H. and Pan, X. (2014). Static Adsorptive Fouling of Extracellular Polymeric Substances with Different Membrane Materials. *Water Research*, 50(0), 267-277.
- Sun, C., Leiknes, T., Weitzenböck, J. and Thorstensen, B. (2010). Development of an integrated shipboard wastewater treatment system using biofilm-MBR. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 75(1), 22-31
- Sun, F. Y., Li, P., Li, J., Li, H. J., Ou, Q. M., Sun, T. T., et al. (2015). Hybrid biofilm-membrane bioreactor (Bf-MBR) for minimization of bulk liquid-phase

- organic substances and its positive effect on membrane permeability. *Bioresource Technology*, 198, 772-780.
- Tan, X., Tan, S. P., Teo, W. K. and Li, K. (2006). Polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) hollow fibre membranes for ammonia removal from water. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 271(1-2), 59-68.
- Tian, Y. and Su, X. (2012). Relation Between the Stability of Activated Sludge Flocs and Membrane Fouling in MBR: Under Different SRTs. *Bioresource Technology*, 118(0), 477-482.
- Trussell, R. S., Merlo, R. P., Hermanowicz, S. W. and Jenkins, D. (2006). The Effect of Organic Loading On Process Performance and Membrane Fouling in a Submerged Membrane Bioreactor Treating Municipal Wastewater. *Water Research*, 40(14), 2675-2683.
- Van den Brink, P., Satpradit, O.-A., van Bentem, A., Zwijnenburg, A., Temmink, H. and van Loosdrecht, M. (2011). Effect of Temperature Shocks on Membrane Fouling in Membrane Bioreactors. *Water Research*, 45(15), 4491-4500.
- Van den Broeck, R., Van Dierdonck, J., Nijskens, P., Dotremont, C., Krzeminski, P., van der Graaf, J. H. J. M., et al. (2012). The Influence of Solids Retention Time on Activated Sludge Biofloculation and Membrane Fouling in a Membrane Bioreactor (MBR). *Journal of Membrane Science*, 401-402(0), 48-55.
- Viero, A. F. and Sant'Anna Jr, G. L. (2008). Is Hydraulic Retention Time an Essential Parameter for MBR Performance? *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 150(1), 185-186.
- Wang, X., Chang, V. W. C. and Tang, C. Y. (2016). Osmotic membrane bioreactor (OMBR) technology for wastewater treatment and reclamation: Advances, challenges, and prospects for the future. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 504, 113-132
- Wang, Y., Huang, X. and Yuan, Q. (2005). Nitrogen and Carbon Removals from Food Processing Wastewater by an Anoxic/Aerobic Membrane Bioreactor. *Process Biochemistry*, 40(5), 1733-1739.
- Wang, Z., Chu, J., Song, Y., Cui, Y., Zhang, H., Zhao, X., et al. (2009). Influence of operating conditions on the efficiency of domestic wastewater treatment in membrane bioreactors. *Desalination*, 245(1-3), 73-81

- Wilderer, P.A., Arnz, P., Arnold, E., 2000. Application of biofilms and biofilm support materials as a temporary sink and source. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* 123, 147–158.
- Withers, P. J. A., Jarvie, H. P. and Stoate, C. (2011). Quantifying the impact of septic tank systems on eutrophication risk in rural headwaters. *Environment International*, 37(3), 644-653
- Wu, B., Kitade, T., Chong, T. H., Uemura, T. and Fane, A. G. (2013). Impact of Membrane Bioreactor Operating Conditions on Fouling Behavior of Reverse Osmosis Membranes in MBR–RO processes. *Desalination*, 311(0), 37-45.
- Xue, Y., Yang, F., Liu, S. and Fu, Z. (2009). The Influence of Controlling Factors on The Start-Up and Operation for Partial Nitrification in Membrane Bioreactor. *Bioresource Technology*, 100(3), 1055-1060.
- Yamamura, H., Kimura, K., Watanbe, Y.,( 2007). Mechanism Involved in the Evolution of Physically Irreversible Fouling in Microfiltration and Ultrafiltration Membranes Used for Drinking Water Treatment. *Environmental Science and Technology* 41 (19), 6789–6794
- Yamato, N., Kimura, K., Miyoshi, T. and Watanabe, Y. (2006). Difference in Membrane Fouling in Membrane Bioreactors (MBRs) Caused by Membrane Polymer Materials. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 280(1–2), 911-919.
- Yang, Q., Chen, J. and Zhang, F. (2006). Membrane fouling control in a submerged membrane bioreactor with porous, flexible suspended carriers. *Desalination*, 189(1–3), 292-302.
- Yang, W., Cicek, N. and Ilg, J. (2006). State-of-the-Art of Membrane Bioreactors: Worldwide Research and Commercial Applications in North America. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 270(1–2), 201-211.
- Yeom, I.-T., Nah, Y.-M. and Ahn, K.-H. (1999). Treatment of Household Wastewater Using an Intermittently Aerated Membrane Bioreactor. *Desalination*, 124(1–3), 193-203.
- Yigit, N. O., Uzal, N., Koseoglu, H., Harman, I., Yukseler, H., Yetis, U., et al. (2009). Treatment of a Denim Producing Textile Industry Wastewater Using Pilot-Scale Membrane Bioreactor. *Desalination*, 240(1–3), 143-150.
- Ying, L., Ai-jun, G. and Jing, Z. (2011). Study of Treating High Ammonia-N Domestic Wastewater with CASS Process. *Procedia Environmental Sciences*, 11, Part B(0), 858-863.



- Zhang, J., Chua, H. C., Zhou, J. and Fane, A. G. (2006). Factors Affecting the Membrane Performance in Submerged Membrane Bioreactors. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 284(1–2), 54-66.
- Zhang, Y., Zhang, M., Wang, F., Hong, H., Wang, A., Wang, J., et al. (2014). Membrane Fouling in a Submerged Membrane Bioreactor: Effect of pH and Its Implications. *Bioresource Technology*, 152(0), 7-14
- Zohaib Ur Rehman (2011). *Influence Of Biofilm Carriers On Membrane Fouling Tendency And Treatment Performance In Hybrid Membrane Bioreactor*. Master of Science. National University of Sciences and Technology (NUST), Islamabad, Pakistan