

CONSTRUCTION OF SEASONAL BASED DEPTH DURATION FREQUENCY  
CURVES

NUR SYEREENA BTE NOJUMUDDIN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy (Mathematics)

Faculty of Science  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2017

*Dedicated to:*

*My beloved parents,  
Nojumuddin Abdul Samad, Hasnah Buang*

*My inspired husband,  
Mohd Zulariffin Md Maarof*

*My supportive siblings,  
Nahdzah, Hishamuddin, Izzudin, Ridhauddin*

*My dedicated lecturers,*

*My endless spirits*

*and all my friends.*

*This is for you.*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious and Most Merciful, all praise to Allah SWT, the Almighty, for His love has given me strength, perseverance, diligence and satisfaction in completing this project.

First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest gratitude especially to my supervisor, Prof. Madya Dr. Fadhillah Yusof who had taken a lot of effort to meticulously go through my work and come out with helpful suggestions. Not forgotten, million appreciations for my co-supervisor Prof. Dr. Zulkifli Yusop for their valuable critics and advices.

I would like to express my appreciation to MyBrain Programme and Research University Grant (RUG) for supporting the scholarship along my study.

Besides that, I also would like to acknowledge my special thanks to my inspired husband Mohd Zulariffin Md Maarof for their suggestions, comment and moral support. Their efforts are much appreciated. May Allah bless all of you.

Finally, I would like to express my greatest gratitude to my beloved family for their unstinting support and prayer. Without the family members support and prayer, this project would have been difficult at best. Thank You.

## ABSTRACT

Rainfall characterization is vital for effective management of water resources especially for designing and operating hydraulic structures. In Malaysia, the frequent occurrence of flood especially urban areas has caused tremendous losses and damages to properties and environment. Rainfall generation model is crucial since the adequate long historical data sets are frequently not available. Hence, the derivation of depth-duration frequency (DDF) with valid statistical results is very important in hydrological studies. This study uses four approaches to achieve this. Firstly, based on 40 years hourly rainfall data from the years 1972-2011 for six states in Peninsular Malaysia, appropriate values of Minimum Inter-Event Time (MIT) to separate rainfall data into individual events using auto-correlation and examination analysis approaches are used. Secondly, based on appropriate MIT values, cluster analysis combined with Huff method are used to identify independent rainfall patterns. Thirdly, selected stations taken from each state are used to generate statistical moments of storm characteristic using Monte Carlo-based (MC) approach. Finally, DDF is derived using MC-based and Copula-based approaches. The copula-based DDF is constructed using the conditional Frank Archimedean. The results show that appropriate MIT values for Johor, Melaka, Perak, Kedah, Kelantan and Pahang are 8 hours, 6 hours, 5 hours, 8 hours, 9 hours and 8 hours, respectively. The rainfall patterns constructed show that relatively high rainfall intensities during early and centre parts of the rainfall events are found in all states except Perak, Kelantan and Pahang during the northeast monsoon; relatively high rainfall intensities are detected in the early parts of the rainfall events for Melaka, Perak and Kedah during the southwest monsoon and Johor for inter-monsoons. The results also show that the MC-based method can preserve well the true moments of the storm characteristics. In addition, the MC-based DDF performs better than the Copula-based DDF as the MC-based method shows smaller errors due to the empirical DDF procedure. However, the Copula-based method is more independent and more flexible in constructing the DDF.

## ABSTRAK

Perincian hujan adalah penting untuk pengurusan sumber air secara efektif terutamanya untuk mereka dan mengendalikan struktur hidraulik. Di Malaysia, kekerapan banjir terutamanya di kawasan bandar menyebabkan kerugian dan kerosakan yang amat besar terhadap harta benda dan alam sekitar. Model penjana hujan sangat penting memandangkan set data sejarah selalunya tidak mencukupi. Oleh itu, pemerolehan frekuensi tempoh masa (DDF) dengan keputusan statistik yang sahih sangat penting dalam kajian hidrologi. Oleh hal yang demikian, kajian ini menggunakan empat pendekatan untuk mencapai objektif kajian. Pertama, 40 tahun data curahan hujan daripada tahun 1972 hingga 2011 dari enam negeri di Semenanjung Malaysia digunakan untuk menentukan nilai masa minimum antara peristiwa (MIT) yang sesuai untuk mengasingkan curahan hujan dan peristiwa tersebut dengan menggunakan analisa autokorelasi dan analisa ujian. Kedua, dengan menggunakan nilai MIT yang sesuai, pengenpastian pola curahan hujan dilakukan dengan menggunakan analisis gugusan statistik yang digabungkan dengan kaedah Huff. Ketiga, penghasilan ciri-ciri ribut momen statistik berdasarkan penilaian Monte Carlo (MC) dilakukan di stesen yang terpilih. Akhir sekali, penjana DDF menggunakan model MC atau Copula dilaksanakan. DDF berdasarkan kebarangkalian Copula dibina menggunakan model Frank Archimedean bersyarat. Keputusan mendapati nilai MIT yang berpatutan bagi Johor, Melaka, Perak, Kedah, Kelantan dan Pahang masing-masing ialah 8 jam, 6 jam, 5 jam, 8 jam, 9 jam dan 8 jam. Pola curahan hujan yang dibina mengesahkan bahawa intensiti curahan hujan yang agak tinggi pada awal dan pertengahan ketika hujan berlaku di semua negeri kecuali Perak, Kelantan dan Pahang ketika monsun timur laut; intensiti curahan hujan yang agak tinggi pada peringkat awal di Melaka, Perak dan Kedah ketika monsun barat daya dan Johor ketika di antara musim. Keputusan juga menunjukkan kaedah MC boleh memelihara momen sebenar ciri-ciri ribut dengan baik. Disamping itu, DDF daripada kaedah MC memberikan prestasi yang lebih baik berbanding DDF daripada kaedah Copula kerana MC mempunyai ralat yang lebih kecil disebabkan oleh kaedah MC dipengaruhi oleh prosedur empirik DDF. Walau bagaimanapun, kaedah Copula lebih bebas dan fleksibel untuk menjana data DDF.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	ii
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	iv
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	vii
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xvii
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xxiv
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	xxx
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xxxii
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xxxiii
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Background to the study	1
	1.3 Statement of the Problem	3
	1.4 Objectives of the study	5
	1.5 Significance of the study	5
	1.6 Scope of the study	6
	1.7 Organization of thesis	6
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>8</b>
	2.1 Introduction	8
	2.2 Minimum Inter-event Time	8
	2.3 Identification of rainfall pattern	11
	2.3.1 Huff curve	12
	2.3.2 Statistical cluster analysis with Huff curve	13

2.4	Generation of storm duration, depth and inter-event time	15
2.4.1	Rainfall distribution	16
2.4.2	Monte Carlo simulation	18
2.4.3	Nataf transformation and Cholesky decomposition	19
2.5	Generating Depth-duration frequency	21
2.5.1	Empirical DDF	21
2.5.2	Copula approach	22
2.6	Conclusion	24
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>25</b>
3.1	Introduction	25
3.2	Data sources	25
3.3	Determination of Minimum Inter-Event Time	33
3.3.1	Definition of rainfall event	33
3.3.2	Event separation by MIT	34
3.3.3	MIT determination	35
3.3.3.1	Adams and Papa (2000) method	35
3.3.3.2	Nix (1994) method	37
3.3.4	The steps in determining MIT	40
3.4	Identification of the significant storm pattern	40
3.4.1	Determination of rainfall event	41
3.4.2	Characterization of Rainfall Patterns	41
3.4.3	Cluster Analysis	42
3.4.4	The steps of using the cluster analysis in identifying the rainfall pattern	43
3.4.5	Factors Affecting the Rainfall Pattern	47
3.4.6	The steps of using contingency table.	48
3.4.7	Comparison of Representative Rainstorm Pattern with the Malaysian Design Storm	52
3.5	Generation of storm duration, rainfall depth and inter-event time	53

3.5.1	Determination of rainfall event duration, depth and inter-event Time.	53
3.5.2	Probability distributions of rainfall duration, depth and inter-event Time.	54
3.5.2.1	Probability distribution	54
3.5.2.2	Parameter estimation	56
3.5.2.3	Goodness of fit test	57
3.5.3	MC-based	58
3.5.3.1	Nataf bivariate distribution	59
3.5.3.2	Nataf transformation of random vector	60
3.5.3.3	Solving correlation coefficient of Nataf transformation	61
3.5.3.4	Cholesky transformation	62
3.5.4	MC-based in generating statistical moments	63
3.5.5	The steps of MC-based in generating the statistical moments	64
3.5.6	Example of MC-based calculation in generating statistical moments	66
3.5.7	Performance Evaluation and MC-based Model Verification	68
3.5.7.1	Examining the ability of the MC-based model to generate the statistical features of rainstorm characteristics	69
3.5.7.2	Example calculation of performance evaluation and model verification	69
3.5.7.3	Comparison of Statistics of Rainstorm Characteristics	70
3.5.7.4	Investigating the capability of the proposed model to derive DDF.	70
3.5.7.5	Empirical-based DDF curves	71



	3.5.7.6	The steps of Empirical-based in deriving DDF	72
	3.5.7.7	Example calculation of Empirical-based DDF	72
	3.6	Derivation of DDF from Copula-based.	75
	3.6.1	A brief introduction to copula	75
	3.6.2	Selection of a copula	78
	3.6.3	Derivation of Copula-based DDF Curves by using Frank Archimedean	78
	3.6.4	The steps of Copula-based in deriving DDF	79
	3.6.5	Example of Copula-based calculation in deriving DDF	80
	3.6.6	Comparison of Copula-Based DDF with an Empirical-based formula	82
	3.7	Conclusion	82
<b>4</b>		<b>DETERMINATION OF MINIMUM INTER-EVENT TIME FOR STORM CHARACTERIZATION</b>	<b>84</b>
	4.1	Introduction	84
	4.2	Descriptive Analysis	84
	4.2.1	Descriptive Analysis in Johor	84
	4.2.2	Descriptive Analysis in Melaka	86
	4.2.3	Descriptive Analysis in Perak	88
	4.2.4	Descriptive Analysis in Kedah	89
	4.2.5	Descriptive Analysis in Kelantan	91
	4.2.6	Descriptive Analysis in Pahang	92
	4.3	Number of occurrence of rainstorm events	94
	4.3.1	Number of occurrence of rainstorm events in Johor	94
	4.3.2	Number of occurrence of rainstorm events in Melaka	96
	4.3.3	Number of occurrence of rainstorm events in Perak	98

4.3.4	Number of occurrence of rainstorm events in Kedah	100
4.3.5	Number of occurrence of rainstorm events in Kelantan	102
4.3.6	Number of occurrence of rainstorm events in Pahang	103
4.4	MIT determination	105
4.4.1	Nix approach	105
4.4.1.1	Nix approach in Johor	106
4.4.1.2	Nix approach in Melaka	107
4.4.1.3	Nix approach in Perak	108
4.4.1.4	Nix approach in Kedah	109
4.4.1.5	Nix approach in Kelantan	110
4.4.1.6	Nix approach in Pahang	111
4.4.2	Adams approach	111
4.4.2.1	Adams approach in Johor	112
4.4.2.2	Adams approach in Melaka	113
4.4.2.3	Adams approach in Perak	114
4.4.2.4	Adams approach in Kedah	115
4.4.2.5	Adams approach in Kelantan	116
4.4.2.6	Adams approach in Pahang	117
4.5	Conclusion	118
<b>5</b>	<b>IDENTIFICATION OF RAINFALL PATTERNS</b>	<b>120</b>
5.1	Introduction	120
5.2	Cluster Analysis for Identification of the Representative Rainfall Patterns	120 121
5.2.1	Representative Rainstorm Patterns in Johor	121
5.2.2	Representative Rainstorm Patterns in Melaka	123
5.2.3	Representative Rainstorm Patterns in Perak	125
5.2.4	Representative Rainstorm Patterns in Kedah	127
5.2.5	Representative Rainstorm Patterns in Kelantan	129

5.2.6	Representative Rainstorm Patterns in Pahang	132
5.2.7	Identification the best representative rainfall pattern	134
5.2.8	Factors Affecting the Rainfall Pattern	136
5.3	Comparison of Identification Rainstorm Pattern with the Malaysian Design Storm	137
5.3.1	Comparison between Rainstorm Patterns in Johor with the Malaysian Design Storm	138
5.3.2	Comparison between Rainstorm Patterns in Melaka with the Malaysian Design Storm	138
5.3.3	Comparison between Rainstorm Patterns in Perak with the Malaysian Design Storm	139
5.3.4	Comparison between Rainstorm Patterns in Kedah with the Malaysian Design Storm	140
5.3.5	Comparison between Rainstorm Patterns in Kelantan with the Malaysian Design Storm	141
5.3.6	Comparison between Rainstorm Patterns in Pahang with the Malaysian Design Storm	142
5.4	Conclusion	143
<b>6</b>	<b>STORM DURATION, DEPTH AND INTER-EVENT TIME GENERATION</b>	<b>145</b>
6.1	Introduction	145
6.2	Parameter Estimation	145
6.3	Goodness of Fit Test	151
6.3.1	Goodness of Fit Test for J5	151
6.3.2	Goodness of Fit Test for M2	152
6.3.3	Goodness of Fit Test for P14	154
6.3.4	Goodness of Fit Test for KD9	155
6.3.5	Goodness of Fit Test for K4	157
6.3.6	Goodness of Fit Test for PH9	158
6.4	Generating the Statistical Features of Rainstorm Characteristics	159

	6.4.1	Generating the mean and standard deviation of rainfall duration, depth and inter-event time in J5	160
	6.4.2	Generating the mean and standard deviation of rainfall duration, depth and inter-event time in M2	161
	6.4.3	Generating the mean and standard deviation of rainfall duration, depth and inter-event time in P14	162
	6.4.4	Generating the mean and standard deviation of rainfall duration, depth and inter-event time in KD9	163
	6.4.5	Generating the mean and standard deviation of rainfall duration, depth and inter-event time in K4	164
	6.4.6	Generating the mean and standard deviation of rainfall duration, depth and inter-event time in PH9	165
	6.5	Conclusion	166
<b>7</b>		<b>DERIVATION OF DEPTH-DURATION-FREQUENCY RELATIONSHIPS</b>	<b>168</b>
	7.1	Introduction	168
	7.2	Marginal distributions of rainfall depth and duration	168
	7.3	Selection of copula	170
	7.4	Copula-based DDF	173
	7.4.1	Copula-based DDF in J5	174
	7.4.2	Copula-based DDF in M2	175
	7.4.3	Copula-based DDF in P14	176
	7.4.4	Copula-based DDF in KD9	177
	7.4.5	Copula-based DDF in K4	178
	7.4.6	Copula-based DDF in PH9	179
	7.5	Generating MC-based DDF	179

7.5.1	MC-based DDF in J5	183
7.5.2	MC-based DDF in M2	184
7.5.3	MC-based DDF in P14	185
7.5.4	MC-based DDF in KD9	186
7.5.5	MC-based DDF in K4	187
7.5.6	MC-based DDF in PH9	188
7.6	Empirical-based DDF	188
7.6.1	Parameter estimation	189
7.6.2	DDF curve	192
7.6.2.1	Empirical-based DDF in J5	192
7.6.2.2	Empirical-based DDF in M2	193
7.6.2.3	Empirical-based DDF in P14	194
7.6.2.4	Empirical-based DDF in KD9	195
7.6.2.5	Empirical-based DDF in K4	196
7.6.2.6	Empirical-based DDF in PH9	197
7.7	Comparison of Copula-Based DDF Curves with an Empirical-based DDF	197
7.7.1	Comparison of DDF for copula-based and empirical-based by using numerical and graphical component in J5	198
7.7.2	Comparison of DDF for copula-based and empirical-based by using numerical and graphical component in M2	199
7.7.3	Comparison of DDF for copula-based and empirical-based by using numerical and graphical component in P14	201
7.7.4	Comparison of DDF for copula-based and empirical-based by using numerical and graphical component in KD9	202
7.7.5	Comparison of DDF for copula-based and empirical-based by using numerical and graphical component in K4	204

7.7.6	Comparison of DDF for copula-based and empirical-based by using numerical and graphical component in PH9	205
7.7.7	Comparison of DDF for Copula-based and Empirical-based by using numerical and graphical component in all study area.	207
7.8	Comparison of MC-based DDF and Empirical-based DDF by using numerical and graphical component.	209
7.8.1	Comparison of MC-based DDF and Empirical-based DDF by using numerical and graphical component in J5.	209
7.8.2	Comparison of MC-based DDF and Empirical-based DDF by using numerical and graphical component in M2.	211
7.8.3	Comparison of MC-based DDF and Empirical-based DDF by using numerical and graphical component in P14	213
7.8.4	Comparison of MC-based DDF and Empirical-based DDF by using numerical and graphical component in KD9	214
7.8.5	Comparison of MC-based DDF and Empirical-based DDF by using numerical and graphical component in K4	215
7.8.6	Comparison of MC-based DDF and Empirical-based DDF by using numerical and graphical component in PH9	218
7.8.7	Comparison of DDF for MC-based and Empirical-based by using numerical and graphical component in all study area.	219
7.9	Comparison of Copula-based DDF and Monte Carlo simulation-based (MC-based) DDF	221
7.10	Conclusion	226

<b>8</b>	<b>SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION</b>	<b>228</b>
	8.1 Introduction	228
	8.2 Objective 1	228
	8.3 Objective 2	229
	8.4 Objective 3	230
	8.5 Objective 4	230
	8.6 Objective 5	231
	8.7 Contribution of Study	231
	8.8 Recommendations for Future Research	232
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>233</b>
	Appendices A-C	243-252

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
3.1	Rain gauge stations used in Johor	27
3.2	Rain gauge stations used in Melaka	27
3.3	Rain gauge stations used in Perak	28
3.4	Rain gauge stations used in Kedah	29
3.5	Rain gauge stations used in Kelantan	30
3.6	Rain gauge stations used in Pahang	30
4.1	Basic statistical of rainfall depth in Johor	85
4.2	Basic statistical of rainfall depth in Melaka	86
4.3	Basic statistical of rainfall depth in Perak	88
4.4	Basic statistical of rainfall depth in Kedah	89
4.5	Basic statistical of rainfall depth in Kelantan	91
4.6	Basic statistical of rainfall depth in Pahang	92
4.7	Number of rainfall events using different Minimum Inter-event Time (MIT) in Johor.	94
4.8	Storm event properties derived from 5 year hourly data for various MIT at station J1.	95
4.9	Number of rainfall events using different Minimum Inter-event Time (MIT) in Melaka.	96
4.10	Storm event properties derived from 5 year hourly data for various MIT at station M1.	97
4.11	Number of rainfall events using different Minimum Inter-event Time (MIT) in Perak.	98
4.12	Storm event properties derived from 5 year hourly data for various MIT at station P1.	99
4.13	Number of rainfall events using different Minimum	



	Inter-event Time (MIT) in Kedah.	100
4.14	Storm event properties derived from 5 year hourly data for various MIT at station KD2.	101
4.15	Number of rainfall events using different Minimum Inter-event Time (MIT) in Kelantan.	102
4.16	Storm event properties derived from 5 year hourly data for various MIT at station K1.	102
4.17	Number of rainfall events using different Minimum Inter-event Time (MIT) in Pahang.	103
4.18	Storm event properties derived from 5 year hourly data for various MIT at station PH1.	104
5.1	Contingency table of four typical rainfall patterns in Johor.	136
6.1	MIT value for J5, M2, P14, KD9, K4 and PH9	146
6.2	Summary of parameter estimates for (a) rainfall duration, (b) rainfall depth and (c) rainfall inter-event time in J5	146
6.3	Summary of parameter estimates for (a) rainfall duration, (b) rainfall depth and (c) rainfall inter-event time in M2	147
6.4	Summary of parameter estimates for (a) rainfall duration, (b) rainfall depth and (c) rainfall inter-event time in P14	148
6.5	Summary of parameter estimates for (a) rainfall duration, (b) rainfall depth and (c) rainfall inter-event time in KD9	148
6.6	Summary of parameter estimates for (a) rainfall duration, (b) rainfall depth and (c) rainfall inter-event time in K4	149
6.7	Summary of parameter estimates for (a) rainfall duration, (b) rainfall depth and (c) rainfall inter-event time in P14	150

6.8	Summary of Goodness-of-fit test for (a) rainfall duration, (b) rainfall depth and (c) rainfall inter-event time by using Anderson Darling approach in J5.	151
6.9	Summary of the best goodness-of-fit test for storm variables in J5	152
6.10	Summary of Goodness-of-fit test for (a) rainfall duration, (b) rainfall depth and (c) rainfall inter-event time by using Anderson Darling approach in M2	153
6.11	Summary of the best goodness-of-fit test for storm variables in M2	154
6.12	Summary of Goodness-of-fit test for (a) rainfall duration, (b) rainfall depth and (c) rainfall inter-event time by using Anderson Darling approach in P14	154
6.13	Summary of the best goodness-of-fit test for storm variables in P14	155
6.14	Summary of Goodness-of-fit test for (a) rainfall duration, (b) rainfall depth and (c) rainfall inter-event time by using Anderson Darling approach in KD9	155
6.15	Summary of the best goodness-of-fit test for storm variables in KD9	156
6.16	Summary of Goodness-of-fit test for (a) rainfall duration, (b) rainfall depth and (c) rainfall inter-event time by using Anderson Darling approach in K4	157
6.17	Summary of the best goodness-of-fit test for storm variables in K4	158
6.18	Summary of Goodness-of-fit test for (a) rainfall duration, (b) rainfall depth and (c) rainfall inter-event time by using Anderson Darling approach in PH9	158
6.19	Summary of the best goodness-of-fit test for storm variables in PH9	159
6.20	Comparison of Statistical Properties between the 30-years simulated and 30 years observed data during (a)	160

	northeast, (b) southwest and (c) inter-monsoons in J5	
6.21	Comparison of Statistical Properties between the 30- years simulated and 30 years observed data during (a) northeast, (b) southwest and (c) inter-monsoons in M2	161
6.22	Comparison of Statistical Properties between the 30- years simulated and 30 years observed data during (a) northeast, (b) southwest and (c) inter-monsoons in P14	162
6.23	Comparison of Statistical Properties between the 30- years simulated and 30 years observed data during (a) northeast, (b) southwest and (c) inter-monsoons in KD9	163
6.24	Comparison of Statistical Properties between the 30- years simulated and 30 years observed data during (a) northeast, (b) southwest and (c) inter-monsoons in K4	164
6.25	Comparison of Statistical Properties between the 30- years simulated and 30 years observed data during (a) northeast, (b) southwest and (c) inter-monsoons in PH9	165
7.1	The parameters of marginal distribution as well as Kendall's, $\tau$ for the correlations between the two random variables in J5	169
7.2	The parameters of marginal distribution as well as Kendall's, $\tau$ for the correlations between the two random variables in M2	169
7.3	The parameters of marginal distribution as well as Kendall's, $\tau$ for the correlations between the two random variables in P14	169
7.4	The parameters of marginal distribution as well as Kendall's, $\tau$ for the correlations between the two random variables in KD9	169
7.5	The parameters of marginal distribution as well as Kendall's, $\tau$ for the correlations between the two	170

	random variables in K4	
7.6	The parameters of marginal distribution as well as Kendall's, $\tau$ for the correlations between the two random variables in PH9	170
7.7	Values of $\theta$ and Akaike's Information Criteria (AIC) for Frank, Clayton and Gumble copula in J5	171
7.8	Values of $\theta$ and Akaike's Information Criteria (AIC) for Frank, Clayton and Gumble copula in M2	171
7.9	Values of $\theta$ and Akaike's Information Criteria (AIC) for Frank, Clayton and Gumble copula in P14	171
7.10	Values of $\theta$ and Akaike's Information Criteria (AIC) for Frank, Clayton and Gumble copula in KD9	171
7.11	Values of $\theta$ and Akaike's Information Criteria (AIC) for Frank, Clayton and Gumble copula in K4	172
7.12	Values of $\theta$ and Akaike's Information Criteria (AIC) for Frank, Clayton and Gumble copula in PH9	172
7.13	Values of $\theta$ in J5, M2, P14, KD9, K4 and P14.	173
7.14	Comparison of Statistical Properties between the 40-years observed and 40 years simulated data during (a) northeast, (b) southwest and (c) inter-monsoons in J5	180
7.15	Comparison of Statistical Properties between the 40-years observed and 40 years simulated data during (a) northeast, (b) southwest and (c) inter-monsoons in J5	180
7.16	Comparison of Statistical Properties between the 40-years observed and 40 years simulated data during (a) northeast, (b) southwest and (c) inter-monsoons in J5	181
7.17	Comparison of Statistical Properties between the 40-years observed and 40 years simulated data during (a) northeast, (b) southwest and (c) inter-monsoons in J5	181
7.18	Comparison of Statistical Properties between the 40-years observed and 40 years simulated data during (a) northeast, (b) southwest and (c) inter-monsoons in J5	182

7.19	Comparison of Statistical Properties between the 40- years observed and 40 years simulated data during (a) northeast, (b) southwest and (c) inter-monsoons in J5	182
7.20	Parameters of DDF curves in J5	189
7.21	Parameters of DDF curves in M2	189
7.22	Parameters of DDF curves in P14	190
7.23	Parameters of DDF curves in KD9	190
7.24	Parameters of DDF curves in K4	191
7.25	Parameters of DDF curves in PH9	191
7.26	Numerical comparison between the storms depths obtained from copula-based and the empirical-based in J5	198
7.27	Numerical comparison between the storms depths obtained from copula-based and the empirical-based in M2	199
7.28	Numerical comparison between the storms depths obtained from copula-based and the empirical-based in P14	201
7.29	Numerical comparison between the storms depths obtained from copula-based and the empirical-based in KD9	202
7.30	Numerical comparison between the storms depths obtained from copula-based and the empirical-based in K4	204
7.31	Numerical comparison between the storms depths obtained from copula-based and the empirical-based in PH9	205
7.32	Numerical comparison between the MC-based method and the Empirical-based formula in J5	210
7.33	Numerical comparison between the MC-based method and the Empirical-based formula in M2	211
7.34	Numerical comparison between the MC-based method	

	and the Empirical-based formula in P14	213
7.35	Numerical comparison between the MC-based method and the Empirical-based formula in KD9	214
7.36	Numerical comparison between the MC-based method and the Empirical-based formula in K4	216
7.37	Numerical comparison between the MC-based method and the Empirical-based formula in PH9	218
7.38	Comparison of Copula-based DDF and MC-based DDF in J5	221
7.39	Comparison of Copula-based DDF and MC-based DDF in M2	222
7.40	Comparison of Copula-based DDF and MC-based DDF in P14	222
7.41	Comparison of Copula-based DDF and MC-based DDF in KD9	223
7.42	Comparison of Copula-based DDF and MC-based DDF in K4	224
7.43	Comparison of Copula-based DDF and MC-based DDF in PH9	224

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
3.1	Location of the rain gauge stations in Johor, Melaka, Perak, Kedah, Kelantan and Pahang used in the study.	32
3.2	Definition of rainfall event	33
3.3	Event separations by Inter-event Time	34
3.4	The steps in determining MIT by using Adams and Papa approach	36
3.5	Example of autocorrelation coefficient with respect to the lag time in J1.	37
3.6	The steps in determining MIT by using the Nix approach	38
3.7	The average annual number of rainfall events observed with respect to the MIT	39
3.8	MIT sequence	40
3.9	The steps of using the cluster analysis	44
3.10	The steps of using contingency table	48
3.11	The basic-type of rainfall pattern	49
3.12	2-h West Coast Urban Storm water Management Manual for Malaysia, DID (2000).	53
3.13	The steps of MC-based in generating the statistical moments	66
3.14	Examining the ability of the MC-based model to generate the statistical features of rainstorm characteristics.	70
3.15	The steps of Empirical-based in deriving DDF	73

3.16	Example of Empirical-based DDF during southwest monsoon in PH9	76
3.17	The steps of Copula-based in deriving DDF	80
3.18	Example of Copula-based DDF during southwest monsoon in PH9.	83
4.1	Mean depth for all stations in Johor	87
4.2	Mean depth for all stations in Melaka	88
4.3	Mean depth for all stations in Perak	90
4.4	Mean depth for all stations in Kedah	91
4.5	Mean depth for all stations in Kelantan	93
4.6	Mean depth for all stations in Pahang	94
4.7	Average annual numbers of events for different values of MIT (1-9h) in Johor	107
4.8	Average annual numbers of events for different values of MIT (1-7h) in Melaka	108
4.9	Average annual numbers of events for different values of MIT (1-6h) in Perak.	109
4.10	Average annual numbers of events for different values of MIT (1-9h) in Kedah	110
4.11	Average annual numbers of events for different values of MIT (1-10h) in Kelantan	111
4.12	Average annual numbers of events for different values of MIT (1-9h) in Pahang	112
4.13	Autocorrelation coefficients against lag time at station J1	113
4.14	Autocorrelation coefficients against lag time for 15 stations in Johor	113
4.15	Autocorrelation coefficients against lag time at station M1	114
4.16	Autocorrelation coefficients against lag time for 13 stations in Melaka	114
4.17	Autocorrelation coefficients against lag time at station	



	P1	115
4.18	Autocorrelation coefficients against lag time for 15 stations in Perak	115
4.19	Autocorrelation coefficients against lag time at station KD1	116
4.20	Autocorrelation coefficients against lag time for 15 stations in Kedah	116
4.21	Autocorrelation coefficients against lag time at station K1	117
4.22	Autocorrelation coefficients against lag time for 15 stations in Kelantan	117
4.23	Autocorrelation coefficients against lag time at station P1	118
4.24	Autocorrelation coefficients against lag time for 15 stations in Pahang	119
5.1	Rainstorm pattern for northeast monsoon in Johor	122
5.2	Rainstorm pattern for southwest monsoon in Johor	123
5.3	Rainstorm pattern for inter-monsoon in Johor	124
5.4	Rainstorm pattern for northeast monsoon in Melaka	125
5.5	Rainstorm pattern for southwest monsoon in Melaka	125
5.6	Rainstorm pattern for inter-monsoon in Melaka	126
5.7	Rainstorm pattern for northeast monsoon in Perak	127
5.8	Rainstorm pattern for southwest monsoon in Perak	127
5.9	Rainstorm pattern for inter-monsoon in Perak	128
5.10	Rainstorm pattern for northeast monsoon in Kedah	129
5.11	Rainstorm pattern for southwest monsoon in Kedah	129
5.12	Rainstorm pattern for inter-monsoon in Kedah	130
5.13	Rainstorm pattern for northeast monsoon in Kelantan	130
5.14	Rainstorm pattern for southwest monsoon in Kelantan	131
5.15	Rainstorm pattern for inter-monsoon in Kelantan	132
5.16	Rainstorm pattern for northeast monsoon in Pahang	133
5.17	Rainstorm pattern for southwest monsoon in Pahang	134

5.18	Rainstorm pattern for inter-monsoon in Pahang	134
5.19	Comparison between the best representative rainfall pattern in Johor and 2-h West Coast design storm given.	139
5.20	Comparison between the best representative rainfall pattern in Melaka and 2-h West Coast design storm given.	140
5.21	Comparison between the best representative rainfall pattern in Perak and 2-h West Coast design storm given.	141
5.22	Comparison between the best representative rainfall pattern in Kedah and 2-h West Coast design storm given.	142
5.23	Comparison between the best representative rainfall pattern in Kelantan and 2-h West Coast design storm given.	143
5.24	Comparison between the best representative rainfall pattern in Pahang and 2-h West Coast design storm given.	144
7.1	Copula-based DDF for northeast, southwest and inter-monsoons in J5	175
7.2	Copula-based DDF for northeast, southwest and inter-monsoons in M2	176
7.3	Copula-based DDF for northeast, southwest and inter-monsoons in P14	177
7.4	Copula-based DDF for northeast, southwest and inter-monsoons in KD9	178
7.5	Copula-based DDF for northeast, southwest and inter-monsoons in K4	179
7.6	Copula-based DDF for northeast, southwest and inter-monsoons in PH9	180
7.7	MC-based DDF for northeast, southwest and inter-monsoons in J5	184

7.8	MC-based DDF for northeast, southwest and inter-monsoons in M2	185
7.9	MC-based DDF for northeast, southwest and inter-monsoons in P14	186
7.10	MC-based DDF for northeast, southwest and inter-monsoons in KD9	187
7.11	MC-based DDF for northeast, southwest and inter-monsoons in K4	188
7.12	MC-based DDF for northeast, southwest and inter-monsoons in PH9	189
7.13	Empirical-based DDF for northeast, southwest and inter-monsoons in J5	193
7.14	Empirical-based DDF for northeast, southwest and inter-monsoons in M2	194
7.15	Empirical-based DDF for northeast, southwest and inter-monsoons in P14	195
7.16	Empirical-based DDF for northeast, southwest and inter-monsoons in KD9	196
7.17	Empirical-based DDF for northeast, southwest and inter-monsoons in K4	197
7.18	Empirical-based DDF for northeast, southwest and inter-monsoons in PH9	198
7.19	Graphical comparison of Copula-based and Empirical-based DDF for northeast, southwest and inter-monsoons in J5	200
7.20	Graphical comparison of Copula-based and Empirical-based DDF for northeast, southwest and inter-monsoons in M2	201
7.21	Graphical comparison of Copula-based and Empirical-based DDF for northeast, southwest and inter-monsoons in P14	203
7.22	Graphical comparison of Copula-based and Empirical-	

	based DDF for northeast, southwest and inter- monsoons in KD9	204
7.23	Graphical comparison of Copula-based and Empirical- based DDF for northeast, southwest and inter- monsoons in K4	206
7.24	Graphical comparison of Copula-based and Empirical- based DDF for northeast, southwest and inter- monsoons in PH9	207
7.25	Graphical comparison between the MC-based DDF and the Empirical-based DDF in J5	212
7.26	Graphical comparison between the MC-based DDF and the Empirical-based DDF in M2	213
7.27	Graphical comparison between the MC-based DDF and the Empirical-based DDF in P14	215
7.28	Graphical comparison between the MC-based DDF and the Empirical-based DDF in KD9	216
7.29	Graphical comparison between the MC-based DDF and the Empirical-based DDF in K4	218
7.30	Graphical comparison between the MC-based DDF and the Empirical-based DDF in PH9	220

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$r_k$	-	Autocorrelation of coefficient of a sample observations
$k$	-	Lag time
$y_t$	-	The observation at time, $t$
$\bar{y}$	-	The mean of sample
$F_\tau$	-	The dimensionless cumulative rainfall
$D_\tau$	-	The cumulative rainfall depth at time, $t$
$D_t$	-	The total rainfall depth
$C$	-	Centroid coordinates of the points
$D$	-	Distance between points and in a Euclidean space
$\chi^2$	-	The chi-square test
$df$	-	The degree of freedom
$n_c$	-	Number of column
$n_r$	-	Number of row
$t$	-	Storm duration
$b$	-	Storm separation time
$V$	-	Storm depth
$A^2$	-	Anderson Darling
$\rho_{ij}$	-	correlation coefficient in the original space
$\rho_{ij}^*$	-	correlation coefficient in the normal space
$\mu_i, \mu_j$	-	Mean of correlated variables
$\sigma_i, \sigma_j$	-	Standard deviation of correlated variables
$x_i, x_j$	-	Correlated variables in original space

$\varphi_{ij}(\bullet)$	-	The joint standard normal density function
$U$	-	The mutually independent standard normal random
$L$	-	Lower triangular matrix
$F_i^{-1}(\bullet)$	-	Marginal cumulative distribution function (CDF) of random variable
$\varphi(\bullet)$	-	The standard normal CDF
$z_i, z_j$	-	The bivariate standard normal variables
$L^{-1}$	-	The inverse Cholesky transformation
$T_{ij}$	-	Transformation factor
$e_i$	-	The percentage error
$e_{mabs}$	-	Mean-absolute percentage error
$e_{rms}$	-	Root-mean-squared percentage error
$\theta_n$	-	Mean and standard deviation of observed data
$\theta_{m,n-m}$	-	Mean and standard deviation of simulated data
$K_T$	-	Frequency factor
$V$	-	Depth of Empirical-based
$V_S$	-	Depth of MC-based
$V_C$	-	Depth of Copula-based
$\tau$	-	Coefficient correlation
$\theta$	-	Parameter of Frank Copula distribution
$\Delta_s$	-	Percentage error between MC-based and Empirical-based DDF
$\Delta_c$	-	Percentage error between Copula-based and Empirical-based DDF

**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

MIT	-	Minimum inter-event Time
GM	-	Gamma
GMax	-	Gumbel Maximum
Gmin	-	Gumbel Minimum
LG	-	Lognormal
WB	-	Weibull
A-D	-	Anderson Darling
MC	-	Monte Carlo simulation
DDF	-	Depth-duration-frequency

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	Paper Publication	270
B	Example of MIT coding using C++ software	272
C	Table formula of $T_{ij}$	278



## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Introduction**

This chapter discussed the background, problem statement, objectives, significance and scope of the study.

#### **1.2 Background of the study**

The climate of Malaysia is driven by its equatorial position, extensive coastlines on tropical seas and monsoonal winds with high temperatures, high humidity, relatively light winds, and abundant rainfall throughout the year. There are two seasons of monsoon which are the southwest and northeast monsoons (longest in duration) and two inter-monsoon seasons (shortest duration). Seasonal wind flow patterns and local topographic features determine the precipitation pattern. The northeast monsoon blows from November to March which is responsible for the heavy rains while the period occurs between May and September is a drier period of southwest monsoon. The period between these two monsoons is inter-monsoon seasons which occurs and are marked by heavy rainfall.

The natural results of cyclical monsoons during the local tropical wet season that are characterised by heavy and regular rainfall from roughly October to March are the main cause of floods occurrence. Floods frequently occurred in the Malaysia during the northeast monsoons. For example, northeast monsoon was claimed for heavy rains of up to 350 mm within 24 hours in southern Peninsular Malaysia,

specifically Johor, Negeri Sembilan, Melaka and Pahang, causing massive floods within the southern region on December 2006, which were considered as the worst in the history of the southern region of Malaysia. A series of flash floods also hit different areas of Malaysia in November 2010. Recently, in December 2014, the northern and eastern states of Kelantan, Terengganu, Pahang, Perak and Perlis in Peninsular Malaysia were hit by flash floods including some areas in Sabah.

The high atmospheric water vapor can lead to more intense precipitation events. Furthermore, rising temperatures and subsequent increases in atmospheric moisture content may increase the probable maximum precipitation (PMP) or the expected extreme precipitation. Consequently, global warming increases the risk of climatic extremes including floods and damage to infrastructure such as dams, roads and sewer and storm water drainage systems.

Flood has far-reaching impact on the well-being of the population. The flood occurrence in Malaysia will caused the property and structural damage. In addition, the flood occurrence can endanger the lives of humans as the flood victims had to live without clean water and electricity. Some methods of flood control have been practiced since ancient times. These methods include planting vegetation to retain extra water, terracing hillsides to slow flow downhill, and the construction of floodways. Other techniques include the construction of levees, lakes, dams, reservoirs and retention ponds to hold extra water during times of flooding.

The other methods which can be used in controlling floods are managing flood risk in a changing climate. The increase of the intensity and frequency of extreme rainfall in the climate change will increase the region's flood catastrophes, human casualties and economic loss. Extreme climatic events are growing more severe and frequent, calling into question on how to prepare our infrastructure deal with these changes. Therefore, the Intensity-Duration-Frequency (IDF) or Depth-Duration-Frequency (DDF) curves are use in designing the storm water system to deal with these changes.

Thus, this study aims to propose an updated model to construct rainfall DDF as it is one of the most commonly used tools in water resources engineering in water management planning. Since the rainfall in Malaysia is governed by the monsoon season, therefore, the study on monsoonal-based should be focused in Malaysia. Hence, this study will also identify the rainfall pattern and generate the rainstorm characteristics. As the study is conducted by event-based, therefore, the suitable method to separate the rainfall into the event is investigated first by using suitable methods.

### **1.3 Statement of the Problem**

The impact of a changing climate is already being felt on several hydrological systems both on a regional and sub-regional. All regions affected by climate change, includes Malaysia. The impacts of climate change are an increase in the intensity and frequency of extreme rainfall which can increase the flood risk. To overcome the extreme climatic change is to deal with these changes. Therefore, the storm water systems are designed using rainfall IDF or DDF curves derived from a long and good quality rainfall data.

Deriving the DDF curves is challenging especially for many countries which are having insufficient quantity of rain gauges that provide necessary rainfall information. There are also problems with the readily available rainfall records such as missing data, insufficient length and non-existence of data at locations of interest. Therefore, accurate and reliable prediction of the rainfall process is needed to compensate for the incomplete data. The situation becomes even worst when the rainfall DDF relationships are established on the basis of annual maximum data. Therefore, useful and practical methods would be established to generate the unavailable data by utilizing as much as possible from the limited available data. Therefore, the study on the method of the generation of rainfall characteristics is becoming very important to help the researcher to overcome the existing problem.

The development of DDF curves of an event-based is important as the rainfall events are important in the study of hydraulic structures. In each rainstorm events

occurrence, the storm duration, storm depth, inter-event time and rainstorm pattern is available. To obtain valid statistical results from an appropriate identification of the events, choosing a correct method to separate the rainfall into independent event is very important. However, an independent event is hard to recognize from the rainfall records, which is made up of sequential pulses. Minimum period without rainfall or MIT is a typical criterion used to isolate an individual storm event from a long-term rainfall record.

The rainfall event characteristics such as depth and intensity are affected by the choice of MIT value. An erroneous identification of the event may cause invalid statistical results, leading to flawed design and analysis. James (1992 and 1994) stated that the inter-event period strongly affects the amount of runoff generated by a rainstorm such that to estimate flood frequency properly, the storm event must be considered if the event hydrology is to be reliable. Therefore, the suitable method to make a choice of the value of MIT must be further investigated.

#### **1.4 Objectives of the study**

The objectives of the study are:

1. To determine an appropriate value of Minimum inter-event Time (MIT) to separate the rainfall data into rainfall event.
2. To identify the rainfall patterns in Peninsular Malaysia.
3. To propose Monte-Carlo simulation(MC-based) with nataf bivariate in generating mean and standard deviation of storm duration, depth and inter-event time in deriving the DDF
4. To propose Copula approach (Copula-based) in deriving the DDF.
5. To compare MC-based DDF and Copula-based DDF with the Empirical-based DDF.

## 1.5 Significance of the Study.

In Malaysia, the frequent occurrence of flood especially within urban areas has caused tremendous losses and damages to properties and the environment. Therefore, to manage the flood risk, the DDF or IDF curves are used in designing the storm water management. The DDF curves are based on historical rainfall time series data and are designed to capture the depth and frequency of precipitation for different durations.

The results of the study undoubtedly have significance contribution in terms of local and regional hydrology. The anticipated impacts of climate change especially increase in rainfall intensity and its frequency appreciates the derivation of DDF curves in this study. It also provides policy makers better information on the adequacy of storm drainage design, for the current climate at the ungauged sites, and the adequacy of the existing storm drainage to cope with the impacts of climate change.

An accurate DDF estimation by using the proposed generation model is very important especially in developing the reliable flood prediction models in the water study. The proposed method of developing the DDF can be an option in deriving the DDF rather than using the empirical method as the traditional method is very time-consuming. (Ariff, N.M et al., 2012). Then, the simulated rainfall data which is developed by the generation model is very important to overcome the unavailable rainfall data problem. The simulated rainfall data is also used as the input in hydrological, agricultural and ecological models.

The event-based analysis is used in the study as the hourly rainfall data consists a very long rainfall data which is not easy to handle in the analysis part. However, the long rainfall data can be divided into the individual rainfall event, which can simplified the calculation of analysis part. Moreover, the rainfall event is regarded to be independent and become very important in hydrology analysis. As the study is an event-based analysis, therefore, the correctness of identification of storm events by using an appropriate MIT value may lead to the significant statistical

result. Hence, an accurate and standardized description of storm event properties can be obtained to further understand the rainfall characteristics.

## **1.6 Scope of the Study**

As the study focus on the event-based analysis, therefore, this study begins with the determination of the appropriate value of MIT to separate the rainfall into the event by using the two methods which are proposed by Adams and Papa (2000) and Nix (1994). Hence, the study proceeds in identifying the rainfall pattern according to the monsoon seasons in Malaysia by using the statistical cluster analysis combining with the Huff method. The hourly rainfall data from stations in the state of Johor, Melaka, Perak, Kedah, Kelantan and Pahang from years 2007 to 2011 is used in the study.

The study then concentrated on the generation of the statistical moments of storm characteristics by using MC-based with nataf bivariate based on the 30 years rainfall data from years 1972-2001. The best fit of storm characteristics is used as a marginal distribution to fit the rainfall depth and duration. The five distributions used are Gamma (GM), Gumbel Maximum (GMax), Gumbel Minimum (GMin), Lognormal (LG) and Weibull (WB) distributions.

The 40 years rainfall data (1972-2011) were used to derive the DDF by using the MC-based and Copula-based methods. The copula-based DDF is constructed by using the conditional Frank Archimedean. Later, the comparison between the Copula-based DDF and Empirical-based DDF will be examined.

## **1.7 Organization of thesis**

This thesis consists of eight chapters. Chapter 2 presents a literature review of the stochastic rainfall models. Chapter 3 presents a detailed description of the selected models, in particular the method of determining an appropriate MIT, identification of rainfall pattern, generation of rainfall characteristics and deriving the

DDF. Chapter 4 delivers the result and discussion of the best MIT while Chapter 5 delivers the rainfall pattern of the study area. Chapter 6 and 7 provides the results and discussion on the model's performance in generating the storm characteristics and deriving the DDF. Chapter 8 presents the summary and recommendations for continuing research.

## REFERENCES

- Aas, K., Czado, C., Frigessi, A., & Henrik Bakken. (2009). Pair-copula constructions of multiple dependence. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics* 44, 44(2), 182–198.
- Adams, B. J., & Papa, F. (2000). *Urban stormwater management planning with analytical probabilistic models*. Canada: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Agnese, C., Bagarello, V., Corrao, C., D'Agostino, L., & D'Asaro, F. (2006). Influence of the rainfall measurement interval on the erosivity determinations in the Mediterranean area. *Journal of Hydrology*, 329(1–2), 39–48.
- Aksoy, H. (2000). Use of Gamma Distribution in Hydrological Analysis. *Turkish Journal of Engineering and Environmental Sciences*, 24(6), 419–428.
- AlHassoun, S. a. (2011). Developing an empirical formulae to estimate rainfall intensity in Riyadh region. *Journal of King Saud University - Engineering Sciences*, 23(2), 81–88.
- Amin, M. Z. M., Desa, M. N. M., & Daud, Z. M. (2008). Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency (IDF) Analysis for the Asia Pacific Region. Chapter 6. International Hydrological Programme. In *Asian Pacific FRIEND* (pp. 53–57).
- Ang, A. H., & Wilson H. Tang. (1984). *Probability concepts in engineering*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Ariff, N. M., Jemain, A. a., Ibrahim, K., & Wan Zin, W. Z. (2012). IDF relationships using bivariate copula for storm events in Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Hydrology*, 470-471, 158–171.
- Asdak, C., Jarvis, P. G., van Gardingen, P., & Fraser, A. (1998). Rainfall interception loss in unlogged and logged forest areas of Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Journal of Hydrology*, 206(3–4), 237–244.
- Azli, M., & Rao, A. R. (2010). Development of Huff curves for Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Hydrology*, 388(1-2), 77–84.
- Bacchi, B., Becciu, G., & Kottegoda, N. T. (1994). Bivariate exponential model



- applied to intensities and durations of extreme rainfall. *Journal of Hydrology*, 155(1/2), 225–236.
- Bernard, M. M. (1932). Formulas for rainfall intensities of long duration. In *Transactions, ASCE*, 96(Paper No.1801) (pp. 592–624).
- Bhakar, S. R., Bansal, A. K., Chhajer, N., & Purohit, R. C. (2006). Frequency analysis of consecutive days maximum rainfall at Banswara, Rajasthan, India. *ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 1(3), 64–67.
- Bonta, J. ., & Rao, A. . (1987). Factors affecting development of Huff curves. *Transactions of the ASAE*, 30(6), 1689–1693.
- Bonta, J. V. (2004). S s s o , d , d , w s i, 47(5), 1573–1584.
- Bonta, J. V. (1997). Proposed use of Huff Curves for hyetograph characterization. In *In: Proceedings of the Workshop on Climate and Weather Research* (pp. 111–124).
- Bonta, J. V., & Rao, A. R. (1988). Factors affecting the identification of independent storm events. *Journal of Hydrology*, 98(3-4), 275–293.
- Bonta, J. V., & Shahalam, A. (2003). Cumulative storm rainfall distributions: comparison of Huff curves. *Journal of Hydrology. New Zealand*, 42(1), 65–74.
- Borgman, L., & Faucette, R. (1990). *Irregular ocean waves: kinematics and forces* (In Mehaute). NY USA: Wiley Inc.
- Bougadis, J., & Adamowski, K. (2006). Scaling model of a rainfall intensity-duration-frequency. *Hydrological Processes*, 20, 3747–3757.
- Buishand, T. A. (1991). Extreme rainfall estimation by combining data from several sites. *Hydrological Sciences Journa*, 36(4), 345–365.
- Burguefio, A., Codina, B., Redafio, A., & Lorente, J. (1994). Theorefcad and Applied Climatology Basic Statistical Characteristics of Hourly Rainfall Amounts in Barcelona ( Spain )\*, 181, 175–181.
- Burgueño, A., Codina, B., Redaño, A., & Lorente, J. (1994). Basic statistical characteristics of hourly rainfall amounts in Barcelona (Spain). *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 49(3), 175–181.
- Calenda, G., & Napolitano, F. (1999). Parameter estimation of Neyman-Scott processes for temporal point rainfall simulation. *Journal of Hydrology*, 225(1-2), 45–66.
- Cameron, D. ., Beven, K. ., Tawn, J., Blazkova, S., & Naden, P. (1999). Flood frequency estimation by continuous simulation for a gauged upland catchment

- (with uncertainty). *Journal of Hydrology*, 219(3-4), 169–187.
- Cameron, D., Beven, K., & Tawn, J. (2000). An evaluation of three stochastic rainfall models. *Journal of Hydrology*, 228(1-2), 130–149.
- Carbone, M., Turco, M., Brunetti, G., & Piro, P. (2014). Minimum Inter-Event Time to Identify Independent Rainfall Events in Urban Catchment Scale. *Advanced Materials Research*, 1073-1076, 1630–1633.
- Chang, C., Yang, J., & Tung, Y. (1997). Incorporate marginal distributions in point estimate methods for uncertainty analysis. *J Hydrol Eng*, 123(3), 244–251.
- Chang, C.-H., Yeou-Koung Tung, & Jinn-Chuang Yang. (1994). Monte Carlo simulation for correlated variables with marginal distributions. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 120(3), 313–331.
- Chow, V. ., Maidment, D. ., & Mays, L. . (1988). *Threshold analysis of rainstorm depth and duration statistics*. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company.
- Conover WJ. (1980). *Practical nonparametric statistics*. NY USA: Wiley.
- Cowpertwait, P., Isham, V., & Onof, C. (2007). Point process models of rainfall: developments for fine-scale structure. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 463(2086), 2569–2587.
- Dan'azumi, S., Shamsudin, S., & Aris, A. (2013). Development of analytical probabilistic model parameters for urban stormwater management. *Sains Malaysiana*, 42(3), 325–332.
- Dan'azumi, S., Shamsudin, S., & Rahman, A. A. (2010). Probability Distribution of Rainfall Depth at Hourly Time-Scale. In *Proceedings of International Conference on Environmental Science and Engineering* (pp. 26–28). Singapore.
- Dan'azumi, S., & Supiah Shamsudin. (2011). Modeling the distribution of inter-event dry spell for Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*, 7(3), 333–339.
- Daud, Z. M., Kassim, A. H. ., Desa, M. N. M., & Nguyen, V. T. V. (2002). Statistical analysis of at-site extreme rainfall processes in peninsular Malaysia. *International Association of Hydrological Sciences, Publication*, 274, 61–68.
- Deni, S. M., Jemain, a. a., & Ibrahim, K. (2008). The spatial distribution of wet and dry spells over Peninsular Malaysia. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 94(3-4), 163–173.
- Deni, S. M., & Jemain, A. A. (2008). Fitting the distribution of dry and wet spells with alternative probability models. *Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics*,

- 104(1-2), 13–27.
- DID. (2000). *Urban Stormwater Management Manual for Malaysia, vol. 4, Design fundamentals*. Department of Irrigation and Drainage Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Ditleven, O., & Madsen, H. . (1996). *Structural Reliability Methods*. New York: Wiley.
- Eagleson, P. S. (1978). The distribution of annual precipitation derived from observed storm sequences. *Water Resources Research*, 14(5), 713–721.
- Econopouly, T. W., Davis, D. R., & Woolhiser, D. A. (1990). Parameter transferability for a daily rainfall disaggregation model. *J. Hydrol.*, 118, 209–228.
- Ellouze, M., Abida, H., & Safi, R. (2009). A triangular model for the generation of synthetic hyetographs. *Hydrol. Sci. J.-J. Sci*, 54, 287–299.
- Elsebaie, I. H. (2012). Developing rainfall intensity–duration–frequency relationship for two regions in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of King Saud University - Engineering Sciences*, 24(2), 131–140.
- Fang, T.Q., Tung, Y.K. (1996). Analysis of Wyoming extreme precipitation patterns and their uncertainty for safety evaluation of hydraulic structure. Technical Report, WWRC-96.5, Wyoming Water Resource Centre, University of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyoming.
- Favre, A.-C., El Adlouni, S., Perreault, L., Thiémonge, N., & Bobée, B. (2004). Multivariate hydrological frequency analysis using copulas. *Water Resources Research*, 40(1)
- Fontanazza, C. M., Freni, G., La Loggia, G., & Notaro, V. (2011). Uncertainty evaluation of design rainfall for urban flood risk analysis. *Water Sci. Technol.*, 63(11), 2641–2650.
- Gargouri-Ellouze, E., & Chebchoub, A. (2008). Modelling the dependence structure of rainfall depth and duration by Gumbel’s copula. *Hydrol. Sci. J.-J. Sci. Hydrol*, 53, 802–817.
- Genest, C., Favre, A.-C., Béliveau, J., & Jacques, C. (2007). Metaelliptical copulas and their use in frequency analysis of multivariate hydrological data. *Water Resources Research*, 43(9)
- Golub, G. H., & Van Loan, C. F. (1996). *Matrix Computations* (3rd ed.). Johns Hopkins, Baltimore.
- Grimaldi, S., & Serinaldi, F. (2006). Asymmetric copula in multivariate flood

- frequency analysis. *Advances in Water Resources*, 29(8), 1155–1167.
- Grimaldi, S., & Serinaldi, F. (2006). Design hyetograph analysis with 3-copula function. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 51(2), 223–238.
- Grimaldi, S., Serinaldi, F., Napolitano, F., & Ubertini, L. (2005). A 3-copula function application for design hyetograph analysis. In *Int. Assoc. of Hydrological Sciences* (Vol. IAHS Publi). Walling Ford, U.K.
- Guenni, L., & Bárdossy, a. (2002). A two steps disaggregation method for highly seasonal monthly rainfall. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment (SERRA)*, 16(3), 188–206.
- Guo, J. C. Y., & Urbonas, B. (2002). Runoff Capture and Delivery Curves for Storm-Water Quality Control Designs. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, 128(3), 208–215.
- Gupta, V. ., & Waymire, E. (1994). A statistical analysis of mesoscale rainfall as a random cascade. *J. Appl Meteor*, 32, 251–267.
- Hannah, D. ., Smith, B. P. ., & Gurnel, A. . (2000). An approach to hydrograph classification. *Hydrol Process*, 14, 317–338.
- HongShuang, L., Lü, Z., & Yuan, X. (2008). Nataf transformation based point estimate method. *Chinese Science Bulletin*, 53(17), 2586–2592.
- Huard, D., Evin, G., & Favre, A. C. (2006). Bayesian copula selection. *Comput. Stat. Data Anal*, 51(2), 809–822.
- Huff, F. . (1967). Time distribution of rainfall in heavy storms. *Water Resources Research*, 3(4), 1007–1019.
- Husak, G. J., Michaelsen, J., & Chris Funk. (2007). Use of the gamma distribution to represent monthly rainfall in Africa for drought monitoring applications. *International Journal of Climatology*, 27(7), 935–944.
- Islam, S., Entekhabi, D., & Bras, R. L. (1990). Parameter estimation and sensitivity analysis for the modified Bartlett-Lewis rectangular pulses model of rainfall. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 95(D3), 2093–2100.
- James, W. (1994) *Rules for Responsible Modelling*. **CHI**, Guelph, Ontario.
- James, W. (1992) *Stormwater Management Modelling: Conceptual Workbook* . **CHI**, Guelph, Ontario.
- Joe, H. (1997). *Multivariate Models and Dependence Concepts*. London: Chapman & Hall.

- Kao, S.-C., & Govindaraju, R. S. (2007). Probabilistic structure of storm surface runoff considering the dependence between average intensity and storm duration of rainfall events. *Water Resources Research*, 43(6)
- Kao, S.-C., & Govindaraju, R. S. (2008). Trivariate statistical analysis of extreme rainfall events via the Plackett family of copulas. *Water Resources Research*, 44(2)
- Karmakar, S., Kumar, P., & Varekar, V. B. (2012). Multivariate flood frequency analysis: A comparative study of nonparametric, parametric and copula-based approaches. In *Asia Oceania Geosciences Society (AOGS)-American Geophysical Union (AGU) Joint Assembly*. Resorts World Convention Centre, Singapore.
- Keifer, C. J., & Chu, H. H. (1957). Synthetic storm pattern for drainage design. *Journal of the Hydraulics Division*, 83(4), 1–25.
- Kiefer, C. J., & Chu, H. H. (1957). Synthetic storm pattern for drainage design. *Journal of the Hydraulics Division*, 83(HY4 1332-1-1332-25).
- Kottegoda, N. T., Natale, L., & Raiteri, E. (2014). Monte Carlo Simulation of rainfall hyetographs for analysis and design. *Journal of Hydrology*, 519, Part , 1–11.
- Kottegoda, N. T., & Rosso, R. (2008). *Applied statistics for civil and environmental engineers*. Wiley-Blackwell.
- Koutsoyiannis, D., Kozonis, D., & Manetas, A. (1998). A mathematical framework for studying rainfall intensity–duration–frequency relationships. *J. Hydrol.*, 206, 118–135.
- Lana, X., Serra, C., & Burgueño, A. (2001). Patterns of monthly rainfall shortage and excess in terms of the standardized precipitation index for Catalonia (Ne Sapin). *Int J Climatol*, 21, 1669–1691.
- Lawrence, T. B., Suddaby, R., & Leca, B. (2009). *Institutional Work: Actors and Agency in Institutional Studies of Organizations*. uk: cambridge university press.
- Li, S. T., & Joseph L. Hammond. (1975). Generation of pseudorandom numbers with specified univariate distributions and correlation coefficients. In *Systems, Man and Cybernetics, IEEE Transactions on* 5 (pp. 557–561).
- Lin, G. ., Chen, L. ., & Kao, S. . (2004). *Development of regional design hyetograph. Hydrol Process (in press)*.
- Liu, P. L., & Der Kiureghian, A. (1986). Multivariate distribution models with

- prescribed marginals and covariances. *Probabilistic Engineering Mechanics*, 1(2), 105–112.
- Loukas, A., & Quick, M. C. (1996). Spatial and temporal distribution of storm precipitation in southwestern British Columbia. *Journal of Hydrology*, 174(1-2), 37–56.
- Lovejoy, S., & Schertzer, D. (1990). Multifractals, universality classes, and satellite and radar measurements of cloud and rain fields. *J. Geophys*, 95, 2021–2031.
- Loveridge, M., Rahman, A., & Babister, M. (2013). Probabilistic flood hydrographs using Monte Carlo simulation : potential impact to flood inundation mapping. *Proceedings of the 20th International Congress on Modelling and Simulation (MODSIM2013)*, 1-6 December 2013, Adelaide, South Australia, 2660-2666.
- Manfroï, O. J., Koichiro, K., Nobuaki, T., Masakazu, S., Nakagawa, M., Nakashizuka, T., & Chong, L. (2004). The stemflow of trees in a Bornean lowland tropical forest. *Hydrological Processes*, 18(13), 2455–2474.
- Marin, C. T., Bouten, W., & Sevink, J. (2000). Gross rainfall and its partitioning into throughfall, stemflow and evaporation of intercepted water in four forest ecosystems in western Amazonia. *Journal of Hydrology*, 237(1–2), 40–57.
- Marsalek, J., & Watt, W. E. (1984). Design storms for urban drainage design. In *In: Proceedings of Sixth Hydrotechnical Conference. Canadian Society for Civil Engineering* (pp. 574–584). Ottawa, Ontario.
- Nataf, A. (1962). Determination des distributions dont les marges sont donnees. *Comptes Rendus de l'Academie Des Sciences Paris*, 225, 42–43.
- Nelson, R. B. (2006). *An Introduction to Copulas* (2nd editio). New York: Springer Science.
- Nhat, L. M., Tachikawa, Y., & Takara, K. (2006). Establishment of Intensity-Duration-Frequency Curves for Precipitation in the Monsoon Area of Vietnam, (49).
- Nix, S. J. (1994). *Urban Stormwater Modeling and Simulation*. FL: Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton.
- Onof, C., Chandler, R. E., Kakou, a., Northrop, P., Wheeler, H. S., & Isham, V. (2000). Rainfall modelling using Poisson-cluster processes: a review of developments. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, 14(6), 0384–0411.
- Overeem, A., Buishand, A., & Holleman, I. (2008). Rainfall depth-duration-

- frequency curves and their uncertainties. *Journal of Hydrology*, 348(1-2), 124–134.
- Palynchuk, B. a., & Guo, Y. (2011). A probabilistic description of rain storms incorporating peak intensities. *Journal of Hydrology*, 409(1-2), 71–80.
- Palynchuk, B., & Guo, Y. (2008). Threshold analysis of rainstorm depth and duration statistics at Toronto, Canada. *Journal of Hydrology*, 348(3-4), 535–545.
- Pelczer, I. ., & Cisneros-Iturbe, H. . (2008). Identification of rainfall patterns over the Valley of Mexico. In *Proceedings of 11th International Conference on Urban Drainage*.
- Pilgrim, D. ., & Cordery, I. (1975). Rainfall temporal patterns for design floods. *Journal of Hydrolic Div ASCE*, 1, 81–95.
- Powell, D. N., Khan, A. A., Aziz, N. M., & Raiford, J. P. (2007). Dimensionless Rainfall Patterns for South Carolina, (February), 130–133.
- Prodanovic, P., & Simonovic, S. (2004). *Generation of synthetic design storms for the Upper Thames River basin*. Western Ontario.
- Rahman, A., Weinmann, P. ., Hoang, T. M. ., & Laurenson, E. . (2002). Monte Carlo simulation of flood frequency curves from rainfall. *J. Hydrol.*, 256, 196–210.
- Raiford, J. P., Aziz, N. M., Khan, A. A., & Powel, D. N. (2007). Rainfall depth–duration–frequency relationships for South Carolina, and Georgia. *American Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 3(2), 78–84.
- Ramos, M. . (2001). *Divisive and hierarchical clustering techniques to analyse variability of rainfall distribution patterns in a Mediterranean region*.
- Rao, A. R., Burke, C. ., Burke, J. ., & T.T. (2003). *Urban Drainage. In the Civil Engineering Handbook*. Boca Raton Florida USA: CRC Press.
- Salvadori, G., & De Michele, C. (2004). Frequency analysis via copulas: Theoretical aspects and applications to hydrological events. *Water Resources Research*, 40(12).
- Salvadori, G., De Michele, C., Kottegoda, N., & Rosso, R. (2007). *Extremes in Nature. An Approach using Copulas*. Springer.
- Serinaldi, F. (2009). A multisite daily rainfall generator driven by bivariate copula-based mixed distributions. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 114(D10).
- Shamsudin, S., Dan’azumi, S., & Aris, A. (2010). Effect of Storm Separation Time on Rainfall Characteristics-A Case Study of Johor , Malaysia. *European*

- Journal of Scientific Research*, 45(2), 162–167.
- Shamsudin, S., & S. Dan'azumi. (2012). Uncertainty of rainfall characteristics with minimum inter-event time definition for a raingauge station in Johor, Malaysia. *Journal of Environmental Hydrology*, 20.
- Sherly, M. A., Karmakar, S., Chan, T., & Rau, C. (2013). Regional depth-duration-frequency curves for Mumbai City. In *6th Int. Conf. on Water Resources and Environmental Research (ICWRER 2013)* (pp. 629–646). KLIWAS, Koblenz, Germany.
- Shrestha, S., Fang, X., & Zech, W. C. (2014). What Should Be the 95th Percentile Rainfall Event Depths? *Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering*, 140(1), 06013002.
- Singh, V. P., & Zhang, L. (2007). IDF Curves Using the Frank Archimedean Copula, (December), 651–662.
- Sivapalan, M., & Blöschl, G. (1998). Transformation of point rainfall to areal rainfall: Intensity-duration-frequency curves. *J. Hydrol.*, 204, 150–167.
- Suhaila, J., & Jemain, A. A. (2007). Fitting Daily Rainfall Amount in Peninsular Malaysia Using Several Types of Exponential Distributions, 3(10), 1027–1036.
- Tan, S. K., & Sheau Yunn Sia. (1997). Synthetic generation of tropical rainfall time series using an event-based method. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 2(2), 83–89.
- Thoft-Christensen, P., & Baker, M. J. (1982). *Structural reliability theory and its applications*.
- Tung, Y., & Yen, B. (2005). *Hydrosystem engineering uncertainty analysis*. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company.
- Vandenberghe, S., Verhoest, N. E. C., Buyse, E., & De Baets, B. (2010). A stochastic design rainfall generator based on copulas and mass curves. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions*, 7(3), 3613–3648.
- Vandenberghe, S., Verhoest, N. E. C., & De Baets, B. (2010). Fitting bivariate copulas to the dependence structure between storm characteristics: A detailed analysis based on 105 year 10 min rainfall. *Water Resources Research*, 46(1).
- Watt, W. E., Chow, K. C. A., Hogg, W. D., & Lathem, K. W. (1986). A 1 h design storm for Canada. *Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering*, 13(3), 293–300.
- Waymire, E. D., & Vijay K. Gupta. (1981). The mathematical structure of rainfall representations: 1. A review of the stochastic rainfall models. *Water Resources*



- Research*, 17(5), 1261–1272.
- Wenzel, H. G. (2013). *Rainfall for urban stormwater design, in urban stormwater hydrology* (American G). Washington, DC.
- Wu, S.-J., Tung, Y.-K., & Yang, J.-C. (2006). Stochastic generation of hourly rainstorm events. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, 21(2), 195–212.
- Wu, S.-J., Yang, J.-C., & Tung, Y.-K. (2005). Identification and stochastic generation of representative rainfall temporal patterns in Hong Kong territory. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, 20(3), 171–183.
- WZ, W. Z., Jemain, A., & Ibrahim, K. (2009). The best fitting distribution of annual maximum rainfall in Peninsular Malaysia based on methods of L-moment and LQ-moment. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 96, 337–344.
- Yen, B. C., & Chow, V. . (1983). *Local design rainfall, vol II-methodology and analysis*. washington DC USA.
- Yen, B. C., & Chow, V. T. (1980). Design hyetographs for small drainage structures. *Journal of the Hydraulics Division*, 106(6), 1055–1076.
- Yue, S. (2000). Joint probability distribution of annual maximum storm peaks and amounts as represented by daily rainfalls. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 45(2), 315–326.
- Yusof, F., & Foo Hui-Mean. (2012). Use of statistical distribution for drought analysis. *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 6(21), 1031–1051.
- Yusof, F., Hui-Mean, F., Suhaila, J., & Yusof, Z. (2013). Characterisation of Drought Properties with Bivariate Copula Analysis. *Water Resources Management*, 27(12), 4183–4207.
- Zhang, L., & Singh, V. P. (n.d.). Gumbel-Hougaard Copula for Trivariate Rainfall Frequency Analysis. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 12(4), 409–419.
- Zhang, L., & Singh, V. P. (2007). Bivariate rainfall frequency distributions using Archimedean copulas. *Journal of Hydrology*, 332(1-2), 93–109.
- Zhao, B. (1992). *Determination of a unit hydrograph and its uncertainty applied to reliability analysis of hydraulic structures*. University of Wyoming.
- Zin, W. Z. W., Jemain, A. A., & Ibrahim, K. (2013). Analysis of drought condition and risk in Peninsular Malaysia using Standardised Precipitation Index. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 111(3-4), 559–568.