

GREEN BUILDING MANAGEMENT PRACTICES MODEL FOR MALAYSIAN GREEN
BUILDING

NASIM AGHILI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Facilities Management)

Faculty of Geo-information and Real Estate
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2018

Present thesis dedicated to my inspiring parents and in order to gratitude for their unwavering, endless love, encouragement, support, patience and sacrifices.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to appreciate my supervisors, PROF. SR. DR. MISWAN ABDUL HAKIM BIN MOHAMMED and DR. LOW SHEAU TING for the guidance, insightful ideas, teaching, support and long hour of struggling through this challenging process. Undoubtedly their support play significant role have done my study. I cannot thank them a sufficient amount.

I would like to express a deep sense of gratitude to my parents who always stood by me like a pillar in times of need and to whom I owe my life for their constant love, encouragement, moral support and blessings. Likewise, thanks are due to my only loving sister and my dear uncle Sohrab Mehregan who always strengthened my morale by standing by me in all situations.

ABSTRACT

Presently, there are serious environmental problems caused by natural and man-made sources. Climate change issues have become a global phenomenon, in particular greenhouse gas emissions such as carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions, recognized as an important factor contributing to climate change. Previous research has revealed that the building sector is one of the largest sources of greenhouse gas emissions globally. The concept of green building emerged during the late 19th and early 20th centuries and it was designed to reduce negative environmental effects and preserves natural resource. The review of the world green building standard shows that management of green building is a critical issue to attain sustainable development. Presently, Malaysia does not have a set of structured green building management key practices in its green building rating system. Therefore, the main objective of the current study was to ascertain appropriate management key practices to attain sustainable development in Malaysian green buildings. Data was collected in two phases and the respondents comprised of 35 Malaysian green building experts, facilitators and managers in phase one, and 89 respondents in phase two. Phase one involved an expert survey to identify the list of key practices to manage the green building and data were analysed by Relative Importance Index. In phase two, questionnaire survey was utilised to identify management key practices appropriate for Malaysian green buildings. Structural Equation Modeling-Partial Least Square was used to analyse the data. This study identified five management key practices, which play a critical role for green building performance, which include sustainable operation, sustainable procurement, environmental health, resource management and repair and maintenance management. However, only four management key practices effect on optimal performance of green buildings in Malaysia were identified which include environmental health, sustainable procurement, sustainable operation and resource management. The contribution of knowledge of this study is the development of a structural equation modeling green building management key practices for Malaysia.

ABSTRAK

Pada masa kini, terdapat beberapa masalah alam sekitar yang serius yang disebabkan oleh sumber alam semula jadi dan buatan manusia. Isu perubahan iklim telah menjadi satu cabaran fenomena global terutamanya berkaitan pelepasan gas rumah hijau, seperti pelepasan karbon dioksida (CO₂), yang diakui sebagai faktor penting yang mempengaruhi peningkatan iklim. Kajian lepas menunjukkan bahawa sektor pembinaan merupakan salah satu sumber pelepasan gas rumah hijau terbesar di dunia. Konsep bangunan hijau muncul pada abad ke-19 dan awal abad ke-20 dan ia telah direka untuk mengurangkan kesan alam sekitar yang negatif dan mengekalkan sumber semula jadi. Kajian piawai bangunan hijau dunia menunjukkan bahawa pengurusan bangunan hijau merupakan isu kritikal untuk mencapai pembangunan mampan. Pada masa ini, Malaysia tidak mempunyai satu set amalan utama pengurusan bangunan berstruktur hijau dalam sistem penarafan bangunan hijau. Oleh itu, objektif utama kajian ini adalah untuk memastikan amalan utama pengurusan yang sesuai bagi mencapai pembangunan mampan bangunan hijau di Malaysia. Data dikumpulkan dalam dua fasa dan responden terdiri daripada 35 pakar bangunan hijau, fasilitator dan pengurus di Malaysia dalam fasa pertama, dan 89 responden dalam fasa kedua. Fasa pertama melibatkan satu kaji selidik pakar untuk mengenal pasti senarai amalan utama untuk mengurus bangunan hijau dan data dianalisis oleh Indeks Kepentingan Relatif. Dalam fasa kedua tinjauan soal selidik digunakan untuk mengenal pasti amalan utama pengurusan yang sesuai untuk bangunan hijau di Malaysia. Persamaan Struktur-Kuasa Dua Terkecil Separa digunakan untuk analisis data. Kajian ini mengenal pasti lima amalan utama pengurusan, yang memainkan peranan penting untuk prestasi bangunan hijau termasuk operasi mampan, perolehan mampan, kesihatan alam sekitar, pengurusan sumber dan pengurusan pembaikan dan penyelenggaraan. Walau bagaimanapun hanya empat amalan utama pengurusan yang memberi kesan terhadap prestasi optimum bagi bangunan hijau di Malaysia telah dikenal pasti termasuk kesihatan alam sekitar, perolehan mampan, operasi mampan dan pengurusan sumber. Sumbangan pengetahuan kajian ini adalah pembangunan pemodelan persamaan struktur amalan utama pengurusan bangunan hijau untuk Malaysia.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATION	xvii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xix
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Research Background	1
	1.3 Problem Statement	5
	1.4 Research Question	13
	1.5 Research Objectives	13
	1.6 Research Methodology	13
	1.7 Scope of Study	14
	1.8 Guide to the Thesis	14
2	GREEN BUILDING MANAGEMENT PRACTICES	16
	2.1 Introduction	16
	2.2 Green Building	16

2.3	The Benefits of Green Buildings	18
2.4	Commercial Green Building	19
2.5	World Green Building Standard	20
2.5.1	Green Building Index Malaysia (GBI)	23
2.5.2	British Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Method (BREEAM)	26
2.5.3	Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED)	29
2.5.4	Green Star	31
2.5.5	Green Globe	33
2.5.6	Haute Qualité Environmental (HQE) in France	35
2.5.7	Building Environmental Assessment (HK-BEAM Plus)	37
2.6	Green Building Management	39
2.7	Green Building Management Practices	40
2.7.1	GBMP 1: Sustainable Procurement	42
2.7.2	GBMP 2: Sustainable Operations	45
2.7.3	GBMP 3: Resources Management	48
2.7.4	GBMP 4: Repair and Maintenance management	53
2.7.5	GBMP 5: Environmental Health	54
2.8	Green Building Performance	56
2.9	The Conceptual Framework of Study	63
2.9.1	H1- Relationship between Sustainable Procurement and Green Building Performance	64
2.9.2	H2- Relationship between Sustainable Operation and Green Building Performance	65
2.9.3	H3- Relationship between Resource Management and Green Building Performance	65
2.9.4	H4- Relationship between Repair and Maintenance Management and Green Building Performance	66
2.9.5	H5- Relationship between Environmental Health and Green Building Performance	67
2.10	Summary	68
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	70

3.1	Introduction	70
3.2	Research Methodology	70
3.2.1	Quantitative Research	71
3.3	Research Procedure	74
3.4	Target Population	75
3.5	Why Using the Likert Scale in Research?	76
3.6	Sampling Method	77
3.7	Sample Size	78
3.8	Expert Survey: Census Survey	81
3.9	Questionnaire Survey: Purposive Sampling	83
3.10	Data Collection	85
3.10.1	Expert Survey	87
3.10.2	Questionnaire Pre-Testing	88
3.11	Data Analysis	90
3.12	Goodness of Measurement	91
3.12.1	Reliability	91
3.12.2	Validity	92
3.13	Descriptive Statistic	93
3.14	Relative Importance Index (RII)	93
3.15	Structural Equation Modeling (SEM-PLS)	94
3.16	Partial Least Square (PLS) versus Covariance-based SEM (CB-SEM)	95
3.17	Research Hypothesis	99
3.18	Reflective and Formative Measurement Models	99
3.19	Measurement and Structural Model	101
3.19.1	Measurement Model	101
3.19.1.1	Assessment and Goodness of Measurement Model	102
3.19.1.2	Convergent Validity	103
3.19.1.3	Discriminate Validity	105
3.19.2	Structural Model	105
3.19.2.1	Path Coefficient (β)	106
3.19.2.2	Coefficient of determination (R^2)	106

3.19.2.3	Effect size (f^2)	106
3.19.2.4	Predictive Relevance (Q^2)	107
3.20	Summary	108
4	DATA ANALYSIS: PHASE (1)	109
4.1	Introduction	109
4.2	Expert Questionnaire Survey	109
4.3	Data Screening	110
4.4	Respondents' Profiles	110
4.4.1	Job Title of Respondents	111
4.4.2	Practicing of Respondents	111
4.4.3	Ages of Respondents	112
4.4.4	Gender of Respondents	113
4.4.5	Certified of Respondents	113
4.5	Relative Importance Index (RII)	114
4.5.1	RII- Green Building Management Practice	114
4.5.2	RII- Green Building Performance	120
4.6	Summary	123
5	DATA ANALYSIS: PHASE (2)	124
5.1	Introduction	124
5.2	Questionnaire Survey	124
5.3	Data Screening	125
5.4	Respondents' Profile	125
5.5	SEM-PLS	126
5.6	Common Method Bias	127
5.7	Evaluation of PLS Path Model Results	130
5.7.1	Assessment and Goodness of Measurement Model	130
5.7.1.1	Convergent Validity	131
5.7.1.2	Discriminate Validity	136
5.7.2.2	Assessment of Structural Model	139
5.7.2	Hypothesis Testing	141
5.8	Summary	144

6	DISCUSSION AND FINDING	145
6.1	Introduction	145
6.2	Summary of the Findings	145
6.3	Discussion of Findings	146
6.3.1	The Effect of Sustainable Operation on Green Building Performance	147
6.3.2	The Effect of Sustainable Procurement on Green Building Performance	148
6.3.3	The Effect of Resource Management on Green Building Performance	150
6.3.4	The Effect of Repair and Maintenance management on Green Building Performance	152
6.3.5	The Effect of Environmental Health on Green Building Performance	154
6.4	Summary	155
7	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	156
7.1	Introduction	156
7.2	Achievement of the Objective	156
7.2.1	Objective1: To Identify the Green Building Management Practices for Green Building Management in Malaysia	158
7.2.2	Objective 2: To Identify Green Building Performance Parameters	158
7.2.3	Objective 3: To Identify the Relationship between the Green Building Management Practices and the Green Building Performance	159
7.3	Contribution of Study	159
7.3.1	Contribution of Knowledge	160
7.3.2	Practical Contribution	161
7.4	Recommendations for the Future Research	161
7.5	Limitations of the Study	162

REFERENCES

163

Appendices A-B

197-216

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	GBI certified projects by categories	5
1.2	World Green Building Council	7
1.3	Summary green building management practices comprise	11
2.1	Benefits of Green Building	19
2.2	Types of World GBC Membership	22
2.3	Green Building Standards in the World	23
2.4	The GBI Rating System	25
2.5	Assessment Credits in BREEAM	27
2.6	Assessment Credits in LEED	30
2.7	The credits aspect of the Green Star	32
2.8	The Green Globes Rating Systems	34
2.9	Main targets of the HQE	37
2.10	The BEAM Plus assessment scheme aspects	38
2.11	Green Building Management Practices in the World	41
2.12	Sustainable procurement Measurement Items	44
2.13	Life Cycle Cost Measurement Items	47
2.14	Resource Management Measurement Items	48
2.15	Repair and Maintenance Management Measurement Items	53
2.16	Environmental Health Measurement Items	55
2.17	Building Performance aspects	57
2.18	Overview of Building Performance Criteria	62
2.19	Building Performance Criteria	62
3.1	Strengths and Weaknesses of Quantitative Methods	72
3.2	The Research Methodology Procedures	75

3.3	Five-point Likert Scale	77
3.4	Summary of Sampling Strategy of Expert Survey	80
3.5	Summary of Sampling Strategy of Questionnaire Survey	80
3.6	Advantage and Disadvantage of Census Survey	81
3.7	GBI Certified Projects by States/Territories	83
3.8	Rules of thumb for selecting CB-SEM or PLS-SEM	97
3.9	Guideline for Choosing the Measurement Model Mode	100
3.10	Criteria for Reflective and Formative Measurement	104
4.1	Variables and Categories of Schedule Green Building Management Key Practice, respondent's scorings, computed RII's, and Ranks	115
4.2	List of top forty seven (47) most important variables of Green Building Management Key Practice	117
4.3	Summarized RII and Ranking of the Green Building Management Key Practice Categories	119
4.4	Variables and Categories of Schedule Green Building Performance, respondent's scorings, computed RII's, and Ranks	120
4.5	List of top Twenty eight (28) most important variables of Green Building Performance	121
4.6	Summarized RII and Ranking of the Green Building Performance Categories	122
5.1	Frequency of Respondents	126
5.2	CMV through Principal component analysis	127
5.3	Initial Outer Loadings of the Measurement Model	132
5.4	Average Variance Extraction of all constructs	135
5.5	Reliability of all Constructs	136
5.6	Fornell-Larcker Criterion	137
5.7	Cross Loadings of the items	138
5.8	Coefficient of Determination (R^2) of endogenous constructs	140
5.9	Direct hypothesis results	143
6.1	Summary of Findings	146

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Expert Survey	197
B	Questionnaire Survey	207

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Summary of the theoretical conceptual framework of study	68
4.1	Job Titles of Respondents	111
4.2	Practicing Status of Respondents	112
4.3	Ages of Respondents	112
4.4	Genders of Respondents	113
4.5	Certified of Respondents	113
5.1	Final Measurement Model	134
5.2	Direct Path Coefficients	141
5.3	Hypotheses results	142

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AIA	-	American Institute of Architects
ASHRAE	-	American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air Conditioning Engineers
ACEM	-	Association of Consulting Engineers Malaysia
AVE	-	Average Variance Extraction
BREEAM	-	Building Research Establishment's Environmental Assessment Method
BSL	-	BEAM Society Limited
CO ₂	-	Carbon Dioxide
CB-SEM	-	Covariance-based SEM
CMB	-	Common Method Bias
EH	-	Environmental Health
EE	-	Energy Efficiency
EM	-	Energy Management
GHG	-	Greenhouse Gases
GBI	-	Green Building Index
GBMP	-	Green Building Management Practice
GBP	-	Green Building Performance
HQE	-	Haute Qualité Environnementale
HKGBC	-	Hong Kong Green Building Council
H and H	-	Health and Hygiene
IPCC	-	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IAQ	-	Indoor Air Quality
INC	-	Industrial New Construction
IDP	-	Integrated Design Process
IEQ	-	Indoor Environment Quality
IEB	-	Industrial Exiting Building

LEED	-	Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design
ML	-	Maximum Likelihood
MR	-	Materials and Resources
NRNC	-	Non- Residential New Construction
NREB	-	Non Residential Existing Building
PLS	-	Partial Least Square
RNC	-	Residential New Construction
RM	-	Resource Management
RMM	-	Repair and Maintenance Management
RT	-	Règlementation Thermique
RII	-	Relative Importance Index
SEM	-	Structural Equation Modeling
SP	-	Sustainable Procurement
SO	-	Sustainable Operation
SSPM	-	Sustainable Site Planning and Management
SPSS	-	Statistical Package for the Social Science
US EPA	-	United States Environmental Protection Agency
WM	-	Water Management
WM	-	Waste Management
WE	-	Water Efficiency
World GBC	-	World Green Building Council

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

This thesis presents a study on green building management practices in Malaysia. Unlike developed countries Malaysia has not included the green building management practices in the green building index. The purpose of this study is to fill the gap identified in previous studies on this topic. This chapter includes research background, problem statement, research questions, research objectives, scope of study, research methodology, and guide to the thesis.

1.2 Research Background

Climate change has become a subject of intense interest all over the world (Lowe *et al.*, 2006). According to the report from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), climate change presents to be one of the main challenges of the 21st century (Bates *et al.*, 2008; Bulkeley and Betsill, 2005; Wang, 2005). Studies predict an increase in the average global surface temperature of about 2° C between 1990 and 2100 (Fong *et al.*, 2008). There is strong evidence that this is due to an increase in the concentrations of certain trace of greenhouse gases. Globally, greenhouse gas emissions, particularly carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions, are the main

cause of climate change over the past few decades (Fox and Chapman, 2011). The countries with the largest CO₂ emissions are China (29%), United States (16%), European Union (11%), India (6%), Russian Federation (5%), and Japan (approximately 4%) (Olivier *et al.*, 2012).

Buildings are the largest producer of greenhouse gases worldwide (Hong *et al.*, 2007; Ahadzie *et al.*, 2014; Wu and Low, 2010). Major impacts on the environment include climate change, resource depletion, waste production, air, land, water and transport pollution from buildings, and the ensuing social deterioration that is typically associated with poor environmental conditions (Preiser and Vischer, 2006). According to Initiative (2009), Meggers *et al.* (2012) and Tsai (2013), buildings use 35% of energy in the world and are directly responsible for 35% of global emissions, especially CO₂ emissions both in developed and developing countries.

According to American Institute of Architects (AIA) (2007), approximately 50 percent of entire greenhouse gas emission in the United States are produced by buildings and constructions (Chan *et al.*, 2009b; Low *et al.*, 2009). Buildings consume 40% of the total energy in Europe (Petersdorff *et al.*, 2006). In UK this sector produced around 17 percent of all carbon dioxide emissions by 2013 (UK greenhouse gas emissions statistics, 2014). Buildings in New Zealand account for 17 percent of the country's GHG, while the figure for Australia is 23 percent (Worzala and Bond, 2011). In Hong Kong, buildings use up to 89% electricity resource leading to 17% greenhouse gas emissions (Chan *et al.*, 2009a). The building sector in Singapore accounts for approximately 16% of Singapore's greenhouse gas emissions (Hamilton-Hart and Natasha, 2008). These studies indicate that most developed countries have similar records in terms of greenhouse gas emissions resulting from the building sector.

The idea of a green building emerged during the late 19th and early 20th centuries; however, it wasn't until recently that green building further developed (Wu and Low, 2010). The goal of a green building is to preserve the environment,

improve the quality of life, and achieve sustainable development in buildings in order to reduce energy consumption (Roderick *et al.*, 2009; Fisk, 2011; Kamarudin *et al.*, 2013; Mohammad *et al.*, 2014). From the financial perspective, green buildings are cost effective and help owners to increase their profit by reducing the costs of operation and maintenance (Kamarudin *et al.*, 2013). Green buildings are designed to decrease negative effects on the environment and simultaneously improve public health by addressing six categories: 1) sustainable planning; 2) water protection and water efficiency; 3) energy efficiency, promoting renewable energy and decreasing greenhouse gas emission; 4) preserving and recycling the materials and resources; and 5) improved health and indoor environmental quality (Richardson and Lynes, 2007; Worzala and Bond, 2011; Zhang *et al.*, 2011; Geng *et al.*, 2012; Hwang and Ng, 2013; Samari *et al.*, 2013; Zainol *et al.*, 2013b). Clearly, green buildings have positive effects on the environmental aspects.

In order to protect the environment, a variety of programs are developed around the world to assess environmental and energy impacts of buildings. These environmental certification programs are known as green rating systems which differ from place to place. Green rating systems propose manners of certifying and ranking the environmental supervision of a project. Almost all of the developed countries implement a rating system for green building. For example, UK Building Research Establishment's Environmental Assessment Method (BREEAM) was introduced in 1990, USA Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) in 1998, Japan Comprehensive Assessment System for Building Environment Efficiency (CASBEEM) in June 2004, and Norway Eco Profile in September 2010 (Geng *et al.*, 2012). Singapore Green Building Council (SGBC) was launched on the 28th of October, 2009 (Hwang and Tan, 2012). It is clearly significant to apply a rating system for green building around the world to improve and protect environment.

Over the years, greenhouse gas emissions have been increasing in Malaysia. According to the United Nations Development Report, Malaysia with 31 million people was ranked at number 26 over 149 countries with final score of 84.0 (Begum and Pereira, 2010; Safaai *et al.*, 2011, Hosseini *et al.*, 2013). According to Begum and Pereira (2010), Malaysia's growth rate of CO₂ emissions appears dramatically on

the rise. One of the main factors contributing to the CO₂ emissions in Malaysia is construction and buildings. Emissions from commercial and residential buildings approximately constitute 13 percent of the total CO₂ emissions in Malaysia. Thus implementing green buildings seems essential toward supporting the environment and decreasing the greenhouse gas emissions in tropical climate countries such as Malaysia (Papargyropoulou *et al.*, 2012). Although the Malaysian government has exhibited interest in greater implementation of green buildings, compared to Asia-Pacific countries such as Australia, Japan, and Singapore, Malaysia has not achieved considerable progress. Malaysian authorities seek to reach the standards of developed countries and reduce the negative effects of buildings; thus (GBI) was established in May 2009 in accordance with the UK and USA methods with incentives allocated for certified green building (Tony Arnel, 2013; Zainol *et al.*, 2014).

Buildings will be awarded the GBI Malaysia rating based on six key criteria: energy efficiency, indoor environmental quality, sustainable site planning and management, material and resources, water efficiency, and innovation. Satisfying these criteria will mean that the building will likely be more environment-friendly than those that do not address such issues (Mun, 2009). According to Mun (2009), depending on the scores achieved in environmental design and performance, buildings are awarded GBI ratings of GBI Malaysia – Platinum, Gold, Silver, or Certified. It is a benchmarking rating system that incorporates internationally recognised as best practice in environmental design and performance. According to the GBI certified projects since 15 October 2015, there are many certified green building projects in Kuala Lumpur (114), Selangor (119), Penang (30), Putrajaya (23), and Johor (20) (GBI, 2017). Table 1.1 illustrates that buildings to be rated include categories Non Residential Existing Building (NREB), Non-Residential New Construction (NRNC), Residential New Construction (RNC), Industrial New Construction (INC), Industrial Existing Building (IEB), and Township since 15 February 2017 throughout Malaysia. This study is focused on NREB.

Table 1.1: GBI Certified Projects by Categories (Green Building Index, 2017)

Update on GBI	Total as of 15 February 2017	Non Residential New Construction (NRNC)	Residential New Construction (RNC)	Industrial New Construction (INC)	Non Residential Existing Building (NREB)	Industrial Existing Building (IEB)	Township
Applied	780	411	299	24	22	5	19
Registered	729	381	284	21	20	4	19
Total Certified	388 (100%)	190 (50%)	164 (41%)	9 (3%)	13 (3%)	3 (1%)	9 (2%)

Key practices are techniques that, through experience and research, have been proven to reliably lead to a desired result (Baek and Bullock, 2014; Gilbert, 2006). Green building management key practices effect on environment and social and economic aspects. Likewise, green they can reduce a building's operating costs, increase building values, and realise increases in return on investment (Tony Arnel, 2013). The considerable cost savings over time and improvement of quality of life for building tenants becomes possible through implementation green principles to building management. The main purpose of the green building is to reduce environmental impact to achieve sustainable development. Green building improves the environment in several ways; it protects the natural environment, promotes healthy living, and minimizes environmental negative impacts.

1.3 Problem Statement

It will be a great challenge to decrease the impact of CO₂ on a global level in the next decade (Hoel and Kverndokk, 1996). Increasing CO₂ emission and global climate change are created through both natural and man-made sources. One of the most enormous industrial polluters of the environment is the construction industry (Horvath, 1999; Akadiri and Olomolaiye, 2012; Samari et al., 2013). Green building

is an important alternative for implementing sustainable goals set to produce a healthier environment, utilize less energy and resources than conventional buildings, and mitigate impacts on the environment (Hwang and Ng, 2013; Hwang and Tan, 2012). Indeed, green building has been adopted by both developed and developing countries (Geng *et al.*, 2012). Researchers have highlighted that management practices can play a key role in advancing the sustainability agenda on account of its potential contribution to sustainability goals in green building (Ikediashi *et al.*, 2012). Likewise, comprehensive and extensive researches are available regarding impacts of building management on building performance (Yau and Ho, 2009). Mismanagement of buildings has resulted in a large number of complaints about the dangers of buildings and accidents involving building structures in the past decade (Yau, 2010). Researchers claim that despite the proliferation of green buildings, there are impediments on management of green and sustainable buildings (Ikediashi *et al.*, 2012; Kato *et al.*, 2009). Disregarding the significant role of green building management in attaining sustainable development can lead to irreparable damages in Malaysia context.

It is clear that green building management has played the most significant role in reducing CO₂ emissions and mitigating negative impacts on environment. For this purpose, World Green Building Council (World GBC) has formed a global community comprising national GBC members. World GBC is an independent and developed organization that drives the best practices of the international GBC model. The GBC members fall into one of three categories based on the way they develop their organization: established, emerging, or prospective. Based on the World GBC, majority of regions with established positions include "management" standards in their green building criteria (www.worldgbc.org). Table 1.2 illustrates indicators for each country, showing that the management criteria are significant and indispensable.

Table 1.2: Criteria of World Green Building Standard

Status	Criteria	GB CERTIFICATION SYSTEM	Management	Energy Efficiency	Indoor Environment Quality	Land use, Site and Ecology	Water Efficiency	Material & Resource	Emission and Pollution	Mobility and Transportation	Health and Well being	Economic	Cultural and Social	Innovation	
Established	Canada	Green Globe	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	✓	
	Colombia	LEED	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	×	×	×	✓	
	Brazil	LEED	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	×	×	×	✓	
	US	LEED	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	×	×	×	✓	
	Dutch	BREEAM -NL	✓	✓	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	✓	
	France	HQE	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	✓	×	✓	×	×	×	
	German	DGNB	×	✓	✓	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	
	Espana	LEED	×	×	✓	×	×	✓	×	×	×	✓	✓	×	
	Polish	LEED	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	✓
		BREEAM	✓	✓	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	✓
		EU GB													
	Sweden	Eco Effect	×	×	×	×	×	✓	✓	×	✓	×	×	×	
	Turkish	LEED	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	✓
		BREEAM	✓	✓	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	✓
		DGNB	×	✓	✓	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×
	UK	BREEAM	✓	✓	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	✓	
	Emirates	LEED	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	×	×	×	×	✓
		BREEAM	✓	✓	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	✓
		Estidama													
	Jordan	LEED	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	✓	
Australia	Green Star	✓	✓	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	✓		

Criteria	GB CERTIFICATION SYSTEM	Management	Energy Efficiency	Indoor Environment Quality	Land use, Site and Ecology	Water Efficiency	Material & Resource	Emission and Pollution	Mobility and Transportation	Health and Well being	Economic	Cultural and Social	Innovation	
	Indian	BREEAM	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	✓	✓	×	×	✓
LEED		×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	✓	✓	×	×	✓	
IGBC		✓	✓	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	✓	
Hong Kong	BEAM	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	✓	
	BEAM PLUS	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	✓	
Japan	CASBEE	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	✓	×	×	✓	
Singapore	SGBC	×	✓	×	×	✓	✓	×	×	✓	×	×	✓	
New Zealand	Green Star NZ	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	×	✓	
South Africa	Green Star SA	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	×	✓	
Malaysia	GBI	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	×	×	×	✓	
Emerging	Finland	LEED	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	×	×	×	✓	
		BREEAM	✓	✓	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	✓
	Italia	LEED	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	×	×	✓	
	Panama	LEED	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	×	×	✓	
	Qatar	BREEAM	✓	✓	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	✓	
	Russia	LEED	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	×	×	×	✓
		BREEAM	✓	✓	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	✓
	Serbia	LEED	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	×	×	✓	
Total		15	25	20	22	26	26	18	15	18	3	3	22	

Discuss there are various criteria included in the “management” criteria according to different green building certification system.

For example, the management criteria of Green Globe certification system adopted by Canada explain the integrated design process (IDP). According to ECD Energy & Environment Canada (2004), using integrated design process produces

cost-effective and achieves a greater degree of sustainability compared to the conventional design process. IDP is one of the best tools that contribute to choose the most appropriate design path. It provides the alternative to adopt the design strategies and move society towards sustainability during the project (Zimmerman and Eng, 2006). Environmental purchasing (including energy efficient products) is to select materials, products and equipment that have minimal impact on the environment in terms of resource use for the purpose of energy-saving and utilisation of high-efficiency equipment (ECD Energy & Environment Canada, 2004). Emergency response plan are applied to reduce the hazard of hurt and the environmental impact of disaster emergency (ECD Energy & Environment Canada, 2004). Commissioning is to design, construct, and calibrate building systems so that they can operate as intended (ECD Energy & Environment Canada, 2004). Indeed, Green Globe has allocated approximately 50% to management practices including the commissioning item, which includes the structural system, heating, ventilation, air conditioning HVAC system, electrical system, etc. The reason is that with the commissioned systems, quality is assured and environmental protection practice is applied from the start (Wu and Low, 2010). The Green Globe rating system recognized the highest level alternative to attain sustainable development in Canadian green building context.

BREEAM criteria management adopted by UK includes sustainable procurement, which was as a key initial step to reducing their environmental impacts and stimulating markets for sustainable products and services (Berry *et al.*, 2011; Thomson and Jackson, 2007). Sustainable procurement has positive effective outcomes for the economy, environment, and society (Walker and Brammer, 2009). This is a process whereby organisations meet their needs for goods, services, works, and utilities in a way to achieve value for money on a whole life basis in terms of generating benefits not only for the organisation, but also for the society and economy, while minimising damage to the environment (Berry and McCarthy, 2011). Responsible construction practices attempt to recognise and encourage construction sites which are managed in an environmentally and socially considerate, responsible, and answerable procedure (BREGlobal, 2012). Construction sites recognise and encourage the management of resource use, energy consumption, and

pollution (BREEAM, 2011; BREGlobal, 2012). Stakeholder participation, according BREEAM (2011), included consultation with pertinent parties, accessible design, building user information, and post-occupancy evaluation. In fact, stakeholders get involved in designing, planning, and delivering available practical and inclusive buildings in consultation with current and future building of users and other stakeholders (BREGlobal, 2012). Service life planning and costing to recognise and encourage life cycle costing and service life planning to improve design, specification and through-life maintenance and operation (BREGlobal, 2012). BREEAM rating system is the best tool for reducing the environmental impacts and cost saving to attain sustainable development by various criteria, particularly management criteria.

HQE criteria management is another standard system, which was adopted by France. It involves energy management, water management, waste management, and repair and maintenance management. In BEAM Plus, the management practices include encouraging to develop the systematic building management systems that cause to achievement safety, health, and environmental performance. Green Star management practices adopted by Australia include credits addressing the adoption of sustainable development principles from project conception through design and construction. Commissioning is adequate and important for all buildings, including those with simple design and without much equipment, tuning, and operation; it recognizes the policies, procedures, targets, and strategies put in place to ensure buildings operate to their perfect sustainable potential. According to Green Building Council of Australia (2013), the effective management of building operations and services plays a key role to attain the sustainable performance. In an ideal world, an overall result based on previous studies, which has been shown as the main objective of any mentioned rating system, is conservation and preservation of environment, protecting natural resource, reducing greenhouse gas emissions particularly CO₂, energy saving, and cost effectiveness to achieve sustainable development on construction industry in various countries. Table 1.3 presents a summary of countries that include management.

Table 1.3: Management Criteria of Various Green Building Certification Systems

No	Country	GB Certification System	Management Criteria
1	Canada	Green Glob	Integrated design process, Environmental purchasing (including energy efficient products), Commissioning, Emergency response plan
2	UK	BREEAM	Sustainable procurement, Responsible construction practices, Construction site impacts, Stakeholder participation, Service life planning and cost
3	France	HQE	Energy management, Water management, Waste management, Repair and maintenance management
4	Australia	Green Star	Addresses the adoption of sustainable development principles from project conception through design, construction, commissioning, tuning and operation
5	Hong Kong	BEAM PLUS	Health, Safety and environmental management
6	New Zealand	Green Star NZ	Addresses the adoption of sustainable development principles from project conception through design, construction, commissioning, tuning and operation
7	South Africa	Green Star SA	Addresses the adoption of sustainable development principles from project conception through design, construction, commissioning, tuning and operation

According to Table 1.2, the green building certification standards, such as BREEAM, Green Globe, HQE, Green Star, Green Star NZ, Green Star SA, and BEAM Plus, have included management practice in their green building certification standard. Green Globe, BREEAM, HQE, Green Star, BEAM Plus, Green Star NZ, and Green Star SA have management practices in their green building standard system. However, some green building standards, including LEED, DGNB, CASBEE, SGBC, and GBI in Malaysia, have no management practices (Bond and Worzala, 2014; Christensen, 2012a, 2012b; Lowe and Ponce, 2010; Zainol *et al.*, 2013a). here are some potentialities for green building management in Malaysia; however, findings indicate that poor key management practices is a critical factor that leads to poor green building management in Malaysia (Kamarudin *et al.*, 2013; Nurul Nadiyah Zainol, 2014). It means that a management criteria is essential and green buildings are required for reducing the overall impact of the built environment.

Based on review of GBI of Malaysia, it has different criteria in such as energy efficiency, indoor environmental quality, sustainable site planning & management, material & resource, water efficiency, and innovation, but it does not include the “management” criteria as other GB standards such as BREEAM, Green Globe, Green Star, and HQE. Most of the countries in “established” membership status have included “management” as a criterion in their GB standard; however, Malaysia is not among them. In this respect, with identifying green building management key practices, the present research attempts to highlight the significance of the inclusion of “management” criteria in the Malaysian GB Standard. The findings shows that the presence of management criteria in Malaysian GBI inspire the necessity of the including that in the GBI implementation in Malaysia, that has important role in developing the sustainability agenda on account of its possible contribution to the country sustainability aims in GB.

As mentioned earlier, based on the review of the world green building standards (Table 1.3), Malaysia does not have management criteria in the GBI rating purpose, despite the fact that it is essential for achieving the building’s sustainability. To achieve sustainable development in green building, green building management practice is required. The management of green building is a critical issue practitioners face in the building industry (Kamarudin *et al.*, 2013). The Malaysian management construction industry still does not include a long-range planning policy particularly regarding the maintenance of common features (Tiun, 2006). Consequently, there is a superior consciousness regarding the necessity for these features to be managed well (Azmi and Azmin, 2006). It is clearly shown that green building has a positive impact on the environment by increasing the efficiency of natural resources such as water and energy. The main mission of green building is reducing impact of building on human health and decreasing greenhouse gases emissions especially CO₂ during its building life cycle. Ideally, green building management requires appropriate key practices in order to achieve sustainable development objectives. However, currently the appropriate management key practices are not available.

1.4 Research Questions

- 1- What are the green building management practices?
- 2- What are the green building performance parameters?
- 3- What are the relationships between the green building management practices and the green building performance?

1.5 Research Objectives

The objectives of this study are as follows:

- 1- To identify the green building management practices in Malaysia;
- 2- To identify green building performance parameters;
- 3- To develop a model for the green building management key practices and the green building performance.

1.6 Research Methodology

The present study was devoted in two phases: Phase I and Phase II. Phase I used expert survey and Phase II used a questionnaire survey as data collection instrument. Prior to the questionnaire development, a sample (totally 35 green building experts, facilitators, and managers) was collected during a four months period. In the first phase, the data obtained were analysed using Relative Important Index (RII), which was performed by the statistical package of SPSS. In the second phase, after final development of the questionnaires, a sample (totally 89 green building experts, facilitators, and managers) was collected during five months. The

data collected were analysed by Structural Equation Modeling-Partial Least Square (SEM-PLS). The sample for this study was extracted from a list of the Green Building Index Malaysia. Likewise, entire green building (office building) in Malaysia was chosen as the sampling frame. It means that this sample covered all the office green buildings in Malaysia.

1.7 Scope of the Study

As discussed above, present study covered all office green buildings in Malaysia. In Phase I, a set of questionnaires was distributed among 200 experts, facilitators, and managers. In Phase II, a set of questionnaires was distributed among 750 experts, facilitators, and managers. Experts, facilitators, and managers were targeted in this study because they were involved in overall management in green building context. Therefore, the most knowledgeable about green building management practices. Their opinions were taken into account in the outcomes of this study, which seeks to make improvements on green building performance and effective on green building performance to achieve sustainable development of green building management in Malaysia.

1.8 Guide to the Thesis

This thesis consists of seven chapters as follow:

Chapter 1 introduces the research background, problem statement, research questions, research objectives, research methodology, and scope of the study. Chapter 2 reviews the literature and provides a broad overview of green building management practices in the world. The extensive literature review covers previous studies conducted on green building, benefits of green building, commercial green

building, global standards of green building, and green building performance. Subsequently, it attempts to identify proper and competent management practices for Malaysian green building management. The literature review also provides a deep insight into the relationship between green building management practices and green building performance. Chapter 3 discusses the methodology and design of the research and the process of data collection to achieve the research objectives. Research population and sampling method is also discussed in this chapter. The chapter also describes the constructs of questionnaire and it concludes with a discussion of the statistical methods used to analyze data. Chapter 4 presents the empirical findings from the expert survey. The chapter presents the ranking of factors and categories revealed pertaining to their significance rank on improvement in green building management using Relative Importance Index. Chapter 5 discusses the results of data analysis and findings of the questionnaire survey. The chapter starts with description of green building, which is targeted for this study, in order to examine the bias within responses using Harman's single factor method. This is followed by outer loadings of the model, which will be assessed using validity and reliability. Finally, structural model will be analyzed to test the hypotheses. This chapter makes use of SEM-PLS for data analysis. Chapter 6 presents a summary of the study aims and objectives, followed by recapitulation of findings and discussion of results based on the empirical examinations of the theoretical framework of the study. Finally, Chapter 7 provides achievements pertaining to the research objectives and main findings of this research. Moreover, it discusses the conclusions drawn and provides recommendations of future works. Likewise, the limitations encountered in conducting the study are described and possible ways for future research are mentioned.

REFERENCES

- Abdullah, S., Razak, A. A., Hanafi, M. H., and Salleh, M. N. (2011). Managing Government Property Assets: The Main Issues From The Malaysian Perspective. *Journal of Techno-Social*, 3(1).
- Abdul Jalil, M. (2010). Sustainable Development in Malaysia: A Case Study on Household Waste Management Journal of Sustainable Development 3.
- Agency, U. E. P. (1999). Water Efficiency Technology Fact Sheet Composting Toilets.
- Agency, U. S. A. a. R. E. P. (1998). ENERGY STAR Buildings Manual
- Ahmad Yusni Bahaudin, Ezanee Mohamed Elias, and Saifudin, A. M. (2013). A Comparison of the Green Building's Criteria. *The 3rd International Building Control Conference*.
- Ahmad, N., and Abdul-Ghani, A. (2011). Towards Sustainable Development in Malaysia: In the Perspective of Energy Security for Buildings. *Procedia Engineering*, 20, 222-229.
- Ahmed, S. A., and Ali, M. (2004). Partnerships for Solid Waste Management in Developing Countries: Linking Theories to Realities. *Habitat International*, 28(3), 467-479.
- Ahmad Yusni Bahaudin, Ezanee Mohamed Elias, and Saifudin, A. M. (2013). A Comparison of the Green Building's Criteria. *The 3rd International Building Control Conference*.
- Akadiri, P. O., and Olomolaiye, P. O. (2012). Development of sustainable assessment criteria for building materials selection. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 19(6), 666-687.

- Akadiri, P. O., Chinyio, E. A., and Olomolaiye, P. O. (2012). Design of a sustainable building: A conceptual framework for implementing sustainability in the building sector. *Buildings*, 2(2), 126-152.
- Ali, A.S., Kamaruzzaman, S.N., Sulaiman, R., and Cheong Peng, Y. (2010). Factors Affecting Housing Maintenance Cost in Malaysia. *Journal of Facilities Management*, 8(4), 285-298.
- Allen, I. E., and Seaman, C. A. (2007). Likert Scales and Data Analyses. *Quality Progress*, 40(7), 64.
- Alejandre, E., Traspaderne, A., and Elgea, A. O. d. (2010). Best practice on green or sustainable public procurement and new guidelines.
- Alfred, A. M., and Adam, R. F. (2009). Green management matters regardless. *The Academy of Management Perspectives*, 23(3), 17-26.
- Ali, A.-S., Kamaruzzaman, S.-N., Sulaiman, R., and Cheong Peng, Y. (2010). Factors affecting housing maintenance cost in Malaysia. *Journal of Facilities Management*, 8(4), 285-298.
- Ambu, S., Chu, W.L., Mak, J.-W., Wong, S.-F., Chan, L.-L., and Wong, S.-T. (2008). Environmental Health And Building Related Illnesses. *Review Article*. 11-18.
- Antwi, S. K, and Hamza, K. (2015). Qualitative and Quantitative Research Paradigms in Business Research: A Philosophical Reflection. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 7(3), 217-225.
- Anuar, A., Nor Kalsum, M., Zulkiflee, A., and Mohd Yazid, M. (2012). Green and Sustainable Buildings: Preliminary Research on the Benefits and Barriers. *Paper presented at the International Real Estate Research Symposium, Malaysia*.
- Antwi, S. K., and Hamza, K. (2015). Qualitative and Quantitative Research Paradigms in Business Research: A Philosophical Reflection. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 7(3).
- Ashuri, B., and Durmus-Pedini, A. (2010). An overview of the benefits and risk factors of going green in existing buildings. *International Journal of Facility Management*, 1(1).

- Ates, S. A., and Durakbasa, N. M. (2012). Evaluation of Corporate Energy Management Practices of Energy Intensive Industries in Turkey. *Energy*, 45(1), 81-91.
- Attaran, S., and Celik, B. G. (2013). Analytic Hierarchy Process: An Application in Green Building Market Research. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 3(3), 122-133.
- Au-Yong, C. P. (2013). *Office Building Maintenance: Cost Prediction Model*.
- Au-Yong, C. P., Ali, A. S., and AhmAd, F. (2014). Prediction Cost Maintenance Model of Office Building Based on Condition-Based Maintenance. *Eksploratacja i Niezawodnosc-Maintenance and Reliability*, 16(2), 319-324.
- Australia, G. B. C. o. (2015). *What is Green Star?*
- Awosusi, A. O. (2010). Assessment of environmental problems and methods of waste management in Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria. *African Research Review*, 4(3).
- Azmi, A. L., and Azmin, N. (2006). The study of problems faced by property management in managing the high rise condominium in Malaysia. *Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Faculty of Civil Engineering*.
- Baek, J., and Bullock, L. M. (2014). Evidence-Based Parental Involvement Programs in the United States of America and Korea. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 1-7.
- Bates, B., Kundzewicz, Z. W., Wu, S., and Palutikof, J. (2008). Climate Change and Water: *Technical Paper* vi: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC).
- Baird, G., Leaman, A., and Thompson, J. (2012). A Comparison of the Performance of Sustainable Buildings with Conventional Buildings from the Point of View of the Users. *Architectural Science Review*, 55(2), 135-144.
- Banani, R., Vahdati, M., and Elmualim, A. (2013). Demonstrating the Importance of Criteria and Sub-criteria in Building Assessment Methods. *Sustainable Development and Planning VI*, 173, 443.
- Banani, R., Vahdati, S. D. M., and Elmualim, A. (2011). *A Sustainable Assessment Method for Non-residential Buildings in Saudi Arabia: Development of*

Criteria. School of Construction Management and Engineering, University of Reading, Reading.

- Bakker, R., De Vries, C., Edwards, E., Hooghe, L., Jolly, S., Marks, G., Polk, J., Rovny, J., Steenbergen, M., and Vachudova, M. A. (2015). Measuring Party Positions in Europe The Chapel Hill Expert Survey Trend File, 1999–2010. *Party Politics*, 21(1), 143-152.
- Barroso, C., Carrión, G. C., and Roldán, J. L. (2010). Applying Maximum Likelihood and PLS on Different Sample Sizes: Studies on Servqual model and Employee Behavior Model Handbook of Partial Least Squares. *Springer* (427-447).
- Begum, R. A., and Pereira, J. J. (2010). GHG Emissions and Energy Efficiency Potential in the Building Sector of Malaysia. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 4(10), 5012-5017.
- Berry, C., and McCarthy, S. (2011). *Guide to Sustainable Procurement in Construction*.
- Berry, C., McCarthy, S., Sustainability, A., and Enterprise, S. (2011). *Guide to Sustainable Procurement in Construction*: CIRIA London.
- Beacock, S., and Kingham, L. (2005). *Industrial Energy Management Best Practice Programme for South Africa*.
- Benedikt, M. L. (1979). To Take Hold of Space: Isovists and Isovist Fields. *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design*, 6(1), 47-65.
- Berry, C., McCarthy, S., Sustainability, A., and Enterprise, S. (2011). *Guide to Sustainable Procurement in Construction*: CIRIA London.
- Bhattacharjee, A. (2012). *Social Science Research: Principles, Methods, and Practices*.
- Biddle, S. J., Markland, D., Gilbourne, D., Chatzisarantis, N. L., and Sparkes, A. C. (2001). Research Methods in Sport and Exercise Psychology: Quantitative and Qualitative Issues. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 19(10), 777-809.

- Brammer, S., and Walker, H. (2011). Sustainable Procurement in the Public Sector: an International Comparative Study. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 31(4), 452-476.
- Brundtland, G. H. (1987). *Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development: "Our Common Future."*: United Nations.
- BREEAM. (2011). *Construction Site Impacts*.
- BREGlobal. (2012). *BREEAM New Construction*.
- Brammer, S., and Walker, H. (2011). Sustainable Procurement in the Public Sector: an international comparative study. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 31(4), 452-476.
- Bryman, A., and Bell, E. (2015). *Business Research Methods*. Oxford University Press.
- Broeck, J., Cunningham, S. A., Eeckels, R., Herbst, K. (2005). Data Cleaning: Detecting, Diagnosing, and Editing Data Abnormalities. *PLoS Med*, 2(10), e267.
- Bond, S., and Worzala, E. (2014). *Green Buildings. Private Real Estate Markets and Investments*, 234.
- Bolino, M. C., Varela, J. A., Bande, B., and Turnley, W. H. (2006). The Impact of Impression-Management Tactics on Supervisor Ratings of Organizational Citizenship Behavior. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 27(3), 281-297.
- Boone, H. N., and Boone, D. A. (2012). Analyzing Likert Data. *Journal of extension*, 50(2), 1-5.
- Budge, I. (2000). Expert Judgements of Party Policy Positions: Uses and Limitations in Political Research. *European Journal of Political Research*, 37(1), 103-113.
- Bourbeau, J., Brisson, C., and Allaire, S. (1997). Prevalence of the Sick Building Syndrome Symptoms in Office Workers Before and Six Months and Three Years after being Exposed to a Building with an Improved Ventilation System. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 54(1), 49-53.

- Boudreau, M.C., Gefen, D., and Straub, D. W. (2001). Validation in Information Systems Research: A State-of-the-Art Assessment. *MIS quarterly*: 1-16.
- Bulkeley, H., and Betsill, M. M. (2005). Cities and Climate Change: Urban Sustainability and Global Environmental Governance. *Psychology Press* (4).
- Burnard, P., Gill, P., Stewart, K., Treasure, E., and Chadwick, B. (2008). Analysing and Presenting Qualitative Data. *British Dental Journal*, 204(8), 429-432.
- Butler, C., and Adamowski, J. (2015). Empowering Marginalized Communities in water resources management: Addressing Inequitable Practices in Participatory Model Building. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 153, 153-162.
- Buys, F., and Nkado, R. (2003). *A Survey of Approaches to Maintenance Management in Tertiary Institutions*. Department of Quantity Surveying, University of Port Elizabeth, South Africa.
- Canada, N. R. (2015). *Energy Management Best Practices Guide For Commercial and Institutional Buildings*.
- Cape Hart, T. a. K. (1997). *The Judicious and Effective Use of Energy to Maximize Profits (Minimize Costs) and Enhance Competitive Positions*.
- Carroon, J. C., Whitmore, B., and Stumpf, K. (2006). Designing for Building Performance: The Management of Change. *APT Bulletin*, 37(4), 35-40.
- Cassidy, R. (2003). A Report on the Green Building Movement. *White Paper on Sustainability*.
- Cavana, R., Delahaye, B. L., and Sekeran, U. (2001). Applied Business Research: Qualitative and Quantitative Methods. *John Wiley & Sons Australia*.
- Chan, E. H., Qian, Q. K., and Lam, P. T. (2009a). The Market for Green Building in Developed Asian Cities—the Perspectives of Building Designers. *Energy Policy*, 37(8), 3061-3070.
- Chan, E. H. W., Qian, Q. K., and Lam, P. T. I. (2009b). The Market for Green Building in Developed Asian Cities—the Perspectives of Building Designers. *Energy Policy*, 37(8), 3061-3070.

- Chanan, V., White, S., Howe, C., and Jha, M. (2003). *Sustainable Water Management in Commercial Office Buildings*. Institute for Sustainable Futures. www.isf.uts.edu.au/publications/chanan.html.
- Chandan, J. (1999). *Management Theory and Practice*: Vikas Publishing House PVT Ltd.
- Chan, D. W., and Kumaraswamy, M. M. (1997). A Comparative Study of Causes of Time Overruns in Hong Kong Construction Projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 15(1), 55-63.
- Chatterjee, A. K. (2009). Sustainable Construction and Green Buildings on the Foundation of Building Ecology. *The Indian Concrete Journal*, 1, 27-30.
- Chin, W. W., Thatcher, J. B., and Wright, R. T. (2012). Assessing Common Method Bias: Problems with the ULMC Technique. *Mis Quarterly*, 36(3), 1003-1019.
- Chin, W. W. (1998a). Commentary: Issues and Opinion on Structural Equation Modeling. *Jstor*.
- Chin, W. W. (1998b). The Partial Least Squares Approach to Structural Equation Modeling. *Modern Methods for Business Research*, 295(2), 295-336.
- Chin, W. W. (1998c). The Partial Least Squares Approach to Structural Equation modeling Modern Methods for Business Research (295-336). *Psychology Press*.
- Chin, W. W. (2010). How to Write Up and Report PLS Analyses Handbook of Partial Least Squares (655-690). *Springer*.
- Chin, W. W., and Dibbern, J. (2010). An Introduction to a Permutation Based Procedure for Multi-Group PLS Analysis: Results of Tests of Differences on Simulated Data and a Cross Cultural Analysis of the Sourcing of Information System Services Between Germany and the USA Handbook of Partial Least Squares (171-193). *Springer*.
- Chin, W. W., Marcolin, B. L., and Newsted, P. R. (2003). A Partial Least Squares Latent Variable Modeling Approach for Measuring Interaction Effects: Results from a Monte Carlo Simulation Study and an Electronic-mail Emotion/Adoption Study. *Information Systems Research*, 14(2), 189-217.

- Chin, W. W., and Newsted, P. R. (1999a). Structural Equation Modeling Analysis with Small Samples Using Partial Least Squares. *Statistical Strategies for Small Sample Research*, 2, 307-342.
- Chin, W. W., and Newsted, P. R. (1999b). Structural Equation Modeling Analysis with Small Samples Using Partial Least Squares. *Statistical Strategies for Small Sample Research*, 1(1), 307-341.
- Chin, W. W., Peterson, R. A., and Brown, S. P. (2008). Structural Equation Modeling in Marketing: Some Practical Reminders. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 16(4), 287-298.
- Colin, R. (2002). *Real World Research: A Resource for Social Scientists and Practitioner-researchers*. Victoria: Blackwell Publishing.
- Christensen, P. (2012a). *Assessing Assessment: Toward a More Holistic Rating System for Sustainability Performance*.
- Christensen, P. (2012b). *Key Strategies of Sustainable Real Estate Decision-Making in the United States: A Delphi Study of the Stakeholders*.
- Christmann, P. (2000). Effects of "Best Practices" of Environmental Management on Cost Advantage: The Role of Complementary Assets. *Academy of Management Journal*, 43(4), 663-680.
- Chua, S. C., and Oh, T. H. (2011). Green progress and prospect in Malaysia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 15(6), 2850-2861.
- Chua, S. C., and Oh, T. H. (2011). Green Progress and Prospect in Malaysia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 15(6), 2850-2861.
- Coad, L., and Dimick, S. (2012). *Energy Management in Commercial Buildings: The Value of Best Practices*.
- Cole, R. J. (1998). Emerging Trends in Building Environmental Assessment Methods. *Building Research & Information*, 26(1), 3-16.
- Council, U. G. B. (1998). *US Green Building Council: US Green Building Council*.
- Cole, R. J. (2000). Building Environmental Assessment Methods: Assessing Construction Practices. *Construction Management & Economics*, 18(8), 949-957.

- Crawley, D., and Aho, I. (1999). Building Environmental Assessment Methods: Applications and Development trends. *Building Research & Information*, 27(4-5), 300-308.
- Crawley, D., and Ilari, A. (1999). Building Environmental Assessment Methods: Applications and Development Trends. *Building Research & Information*, 27(4-5), 300-308.
- Coltman, T., Devinney, T. M., Midgley, D. F., and Venaik, S. (2008). Formative Versus Reflective Measurement Models: Two Applications of Formative Measurement. *Journal of Business Research*, 61(12), 1250-1262.
- Cooper, D. R., Schindler, P. S., and Sun, J. (2003). *Business Research Methods*. McGraw-hill New York.
- Cooper, D. R., Schindler, P. S., and Sun, J. (2006). *Business Research Methods* (9). McGraw-hill New York.
- Corvalán, C. F., Kjellstrom, T., and Smith, K. R. (1999). Health, Environment and Sustainable Development: Identifying Links and Indicators to Promote Action. *Epidemiology-Baltimore*, 10(5), 656.
- Creswell, J., Plano Clark, V., Gutmann, M., and Hanson, W. (2008). An Expanded Typology for Classifying Mixed Methods Research into Design. *The Mixed Methods Reader*, 159-197.
- Creswell, J. W. (2013). Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches. *Sage Publications*.
- Cronbach, L. J., and Meehl, P. E. (1955). Construct Validity in Psychological Tests. *Psychological Bulletin*, 52, 281-302.
- Curry, L. A., Nembhard, I. M., and Bradley, E. H. (2009). Qualitative and Mixed Methods Provide Unique Contributions to Outcomes Research. *Circulation*, 119(10), 1442-1452.
- CW Ho, D., WC Lai, L., Chi-wing Ho, D., Yau, Y., Wong, S.-k., King-chung Cheung, A., Chau, K.-w., and Leung, H.-f. (2006). Effects of Building Management Regimes of Private Apartment Buildings in Hong Kong. *Property Management*, 24(3), 309-321.

- Darroch, J., and McNaughton, R. (2002). Examining the Link Between Knowledge Management Practices and Types of Innovation. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 3(3), 210-222.
- Darus, Z. M., Hashim, N. A., Salleh, E., Haw, L. C., Rashid, A. K. A., and Manan, S. N. A. (2009). Development of Rating System for Sustainable Building in Malaysia. *Wseas Transactions on Environment and Development*, 5(3), 260-272.
- Davidson, G. (2011). *Waste Management Practices: Literature Review*. Office of Sustainability—Dalhousie University.
- Dawes, J. G. (2008). Do Data Characteristics Change According to the Number of Scale Points Used? An Experiment Using 5 Point, 7 Point and 10 Point Scales. *International Journal of Market Research*, 51(1).
- DeMaio, T. J., Rothgeb, J., and Hess, J. (1998). Improving Survey Quality through Pretesting. *Paper Presented at the Proceedings of the Section on Survey Research Methods, American Statistical Association*.
- Ding, G. K. C. (2008). Sustainable Construction—The Role of Environmental Assessment Tools. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 86(3), 451-464.
- Di Bucchianico, A. (2008). Coefficient of Determination (R^2). *Encyclopedia of Statistics in Quality and Reliability*.
- Dorge, V., and Jones, S. L. (2000). Building an Emergency Plan: a guide for Museums and Other Cultural Institutions. *Getty Publications*.
- Douglas, J. (1994). Developments in Appraising the Total Performance of Buildings. *Structural Survey*, 12(6), 10-15.
- Douglas, J. (1996). Building Performance and Its Relevance to Facilities Management. *Facilities*, 14(3/4), 23-32.
- Drost, E. A. (2011). Validity and Reliability in Social Science Research. *Education Research and Perspectives*, 38(1), 105.
- Duarte, P. A. O., and Raposo, M. L. B. (2010). A PLS Model to Study Brand Preference: An Application to the Mobile Phone Market. *Springer*.

- Easterby-Smith, M., Thorpe, R., and Jackson, P. R. (2012). *Management research*: Sage.
- ECD Energy & Environment Canada. (2004). *Green Globes TM Design for New Buildings Design for New Buildings and Retrofiand Retrofi and Retrofits and Retrofitsts*.
- Edwards, B. W., and Naboni, E. (2013). *Green Buildings Pay: Design, Productivity and Ecology*. Routledge.
- Efron, B. (1979). Bootstrap Methods: Another Look at the Jackknife. *Jstor*, 7(1), 26.
- Erickson, P. A. (1999). *Emergency Response Planning: For Corporate and Municipal Managers*: Academic Press.
- Enshassi, A., Mohamed, S., and Abushaban, S. (2009). Factors affecting the performance of construction projects in the Gaza strip. *Journal of Civil engineering and Management*, 15(3), 269-280.
- Fanie Buys, and Roneesh Hurbissoon. (2011). *Green buildings: A Mauritian built environment stakeholders' perspective*.
- Feige, A., Wallbaum, H., Janser, M., and Windlinger, L. (2013). Impact of Sustainable Office Buildings on Occupant's Comfort and Productivity. *Journal of Corporate Real Estate*, 15(1), 7-34.
- Fischer, E. A. (2010). *Issues in Green Building and the Federal Response: An Introduction*.
- Fisk, W. J. (2011). *Potential nationwide improvements in productivity and health from better indoor environments*. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.
- Fong, W.-K., Matsumoto, H., Ho, C.-S., and Lun, Y.-F. (2008). Energy consumption and carbon dioxide emission considerations in the urban planning process in Malaysia. *Journal of the Malaysian Institute of Planners*, 6, 101-130.
- Fox, T. A., and Chapman, L. (2011). *Engineering geo-engineering*. Meteorological Applications, 18(1), 1-8. GBI. (2015).
- Fischer, E. A. (2010). *Issues in Green Building and the Federal Response: An Introduction*.

- Fleming, D. (2004). Facilities Management: A Behavioural approach. *Facilities*, 22(1/2), 35-43.
- Foliente, G. C., and Becker, R. (2001). *CIB PBBCS Proactive Programme–Task I. Compendium of Building Performance Models*. CSIRO Building, Construction and Engineering, Victoria, Australia.
- Fowler, K., Rauch, E., Henderson, J., and Kora, A. (2011). *Re-assessing Green Building Performance: A Post Occupancy Evaluation of 22 GSA Buildings*.
- Fowler, K. M., and Rauch, E. M. (2006). *Sustainable Building Rating Systems Summary*.
- Fowler, K. M., and Rauch, E. M. (2008). *Assessing Green Building Performance: A Post Occupancy Evaluation of 12 GSA Buildings*.
- François Citerne, D. G. (2014). Overview of International Green Building Rating Systems. *50th ASC Annual International Conference Proceedings*.
- Frumkin, H. (2005). Environmental Health: from Global to Local. *John Wiley & Sons* (11).
- Fridah, M. W. (2011). *Sampling in Research*.
- Furie, G. L., and Balbus, J. (2012). Global Environmental Health and Sustainable Development: the Role at Rio+ 20. *Ciência & Saúde Coletiva*, 17(6), 1427-1432.
- Geng, Y., Dong, H., Xue, B., and Fu, J. (2012). An Overview of Chinese Green Building Standards. *Sustainable Development*, 20(3), 211-221.
- Gefen, D., Straub, D., and Boudreau, M.-C. (2000a). Structural Equation Modeling and Regression: Guidelines for Research Practice. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 4(1), 1-77.
- Gefen, D., Straub, D., and Boudreau, M.-C. (2000b). Structural Equation Modeling and Regression: Guidelines for Research Practice. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 4(1), 7.
- Gefen, D., Straub, D. W., and Rigdon, E. E. (2011). An Update and Extension to SEM Guidelines for Administrative and Social Science Research. *Management Information Systems Quarterly*, 35(2), iii-xiv.

- Gilbert, S. W. (2006). *Best Practices – Defined Usefully?*
- Gimenez, C., Sierra, V., and Rodon, J. (2012). Sustainable Operations: Their Impact on the Triple Bottom Line. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 140(1), 149-159.
- Globes, G. (2009). Green Globes. *Transportation*, 7(10.1), 4.1.
- Gluch, P. (2005). *Building Green-Perspectives on Environmental Management in Construction*. Chalmers University of Technology.
- Goh, B. H., and Sun, Y. (2015). The Development of Life-cycle Costing for Buildings. *Building Research & Information*, 1-15.
- Goldschmidt, K., Harrison, T., Holtry, M., and Reeh, J. (2013). Sustainable Procurement: Integrating Classroom Learning with University Sustainability Programs. *Decision Sciences Journal of Innovative Education*, 11(3), 279-294.
- Goodman, F. (2008). *Integrating Green Practice into your Building Management Strategy*.
- Goodhue, D. L., Lewis, W., and Thompson, R. (2012). Does PLS Have Advantages for Small Sample Size or Non-normal Data?. *Mis Quarterly*, 36(3), 891-1001.
- Götz, O., Liehr-Gobbers, K., and Krafft, M. (2010). Evaluation of Structural Equation Models Using the Partial Least Squares (PLS) Approach Handbook of Partial Least Squares. *Springer*, 691-711.
- Gowri, K. (2004). Green building rating systems: An overview. *Ashrae Journal; New York*, 46(11), 56-60.
- Green, S. B., and Salkind, N. J. (2010). Using SPSS for Windows and Macintosh: Analyzing and Understanding Data. *Prentice Hall Press*.
- Gruber, J., Huerta, F., Matatagui, P., and Prodanović, M. (2015). Advanced Building Energy Management Based on a Two-stage Receding Horizon Optimization. *Applied Energy*, 160, 194-205.
- Gu, Z., Wennersten, R., and Assefa, G. (2006). Analysis of the Most Widely Used Building Environmental Assessment Methods. *Environmental Sciences*, 3(3), 175-192.

- Gunasekaran, A., and Irani, Z. (2014). Sustainable Operations Management: Design, Modelling and Analysis. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 65(6), 801-805.
- Gunasekaran, A., Irani, Z., and Papadopoulos, T. (2013). Modelling and Analysis of Sustainable Operations Management: Certain Investigations for Research and Applications. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 65(6), 806-823.
- Gundes, S. (2016). The Use of Life Cycle Techniques in the Assessment of Sustainability. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 216, 916-922.
- Gündüz, M., Nielsen, Y., and Özdemir, M. (2012). Quantification of Delay Factors Using the Relative Importance Index Method for Construction Projects in Turkey. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 29(2), 133-139.
- Hamidi, B. (2010). *A Green Cost Allocation Model for Office and Commercial Buildings in Malaysia*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Hamilton-Hart, and Natasha. (2008). *Singapore's Climate Change Policy and Carbon Emissions*.
- Harris, J. M. (2000). *Basic Principles of Sustainable Development*. Tufts University Medford, MA.
- Haenlein, M., and Kaplan, A. M. (2004). A Beginner's Guide to Partial Least Squares Analysis. *Understanding Statistics*, 3(4), 283-297.
- Hair, J. F. (2015). *Essentials of Business Research Methods*. ME Sharpe. Armonk, New York, London, England.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., Anderson, R. E., and Tatham, R. L. (2006). Multivariate data analysis. *Pearson Prentice Hall Upper Saddle River, NJ*, (6).
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C., and Sarstedt, M. (2013). A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM). *United States of America: SAGE Publication, Inc.*
- Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M., and Sarstedt, M. (2011a). PLS-SEM: Indeed a Silver Bullet. *The Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 19(2), 139-152.

- Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M., and Sarstedt, M. (2011b). PLS-SEM: Indeed a Silver Bullet. *The Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 19(2), 139-152.
- Hair, J. F., Sarstedt, M., Pieper, T. M., and Ringle, C. M. (2012a). The Use of partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling in Strategic Management Research: A Review of Past Practices and Recommendations for Future Applications. *Long Range Planning*, 45(5), 320-340.
- Hair, J. F., Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., and Mena, J. A. (2012b). An Assessment of the Use of Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling in Marketing Research. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 40(3), 414-433.
- Hair Jr, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C., and Sarstedt, M. (2013). A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM). *Sage Publications*.
- Harris, J. M. (2003). *Sustainability and Sustainable Development*.
- Hassanain, M. A., and Mudhei, A. A. (2006). Post-occupancy Evaluation of Academic and Research Library Facilities. *Structural Survey*, 24(3), 230-239.
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., and Sinkovics, R. R. (2009). The Use of Partial Least Squares Path Modeling in International Marketing. *Advances in International Marketing*, 20(1), 277-319.
- Henderson, J., and Fowler, K. (2014). *Assessing Green Building Performance A Post Occupancy Evaluation of 14 Air Force Buildings*.
- Hitchcock, R. J. (2002). *High Performance Commercial Building Systems Program, Element 2 Project 2.1-Task 2.1. 2, Standardized Building Performance Metrics*.
- Hoel, M., and Kverndokk, S. (1996). Depletion of Fossil Fuels and the Impacts of Global Warming. *Resource and Energy Economics*, 18(2), 115-136.
- Hong, W., Chiang, M. S., Shapiro, R. A., and Clifford, M. L. (2007). *Building Energy Efficiency: Why Green Buildings Are Key to Asia's Future*.
- Horvath, A. (1999). *Construction for Sustainable Development—A Research and Educational Agenda*.

- Ho, D. C., Leung, H., Wong, S., Cheung, A., Lau, S., Wong, W., Lung, D., and Chau, K. (2004). Assessing the Health and Hygiene Performance of apartment Buildings. *Facilities*, 22(3/4), 58-69.
- Hofstede, G. (1993). Cultural Constraints in Management Theories. *The Academy of Management Executive*, 7(1), 81-94.
- Holechek, J. L., Pieper, R. D., and Herbel, C. H. (1995). Range Management: Principles and Practices: *Prentice-Hall*.
- Horner, R., El-Haram, M., and Munns, A. (1997). Building Maintenance Strategy: a New Management Approach. *Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering*, 3(4), 273-280.
- Hosseini, S. E., Wahid, M. A., Aghili, N. (2013). The Scenario of Greenhouse Gases Reduction in Malaysia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, (28), 400-409.
- Hunt, S. D., Sparkman Jr, R. D., and Wilcox, J. B. (1982). The Pretest in Survey Research: Issues and Preliminary Findings. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 269-273.
- Hussey, J., and Hussey, R. (1997). *Business Research. A Practical guide for Undergraduate and Postgraduate Students*. Houndsmills: Macmillan.
- Hwang, B.-G., and Ng, W. J. (2013). Project Management Knowledge and Skills for Green Construction: Overcoming Challenges. *International Journal of Project Management*, 31(2), 272-284.
- Hwang, B.-G., and Tan, J. S. (2012). Green Building Project Management: Obstacles and Solutions for Sustainable Development. *Sustainable Development*, 20(5), 335-349.
- Ikediashi, D. I., Ogunlana, S. O., Oladokun, M. G., and Adewuyi, T. (2012). Assessing the Level of Commitment and Barriers to Sustainable Facilities Management Practice: A Case of Nigeria. *International Journal of Sustainable Built Environment*, 1(2), 167-176.
- Initiative, C. (2009). *Buildings and Climate Change*.
- Institute, B. P. (2012). *Home Energy Auditing Standard*.

- Introna, V., Cesarotti, V., Benedetti, M., Biagiotti, S., and Rotunno, R. (2014). Energy Management Maturity Model: An Organizational Tool to Foster the Continuous Reduction of Energy Consumption in Companies. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 83(0), 108-117.
- Ince, O., Ozbayram, E. G., Akyol, Ç., Ince, O., and Ince, B. (2016). Composting Practice for Sustainable Waste Management: A Case Study in Istanbul. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 57(31), 14473-14477.
- Isaac, S., and Michael, W. B. (1971). *Handbook in Research and Evaluation*.
- Jabareen, Y. (2009). Building a Conceptual Framework: Philosophy, Definitions, and Procedure. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 8(4), 49-62.
- Jarvis, C. B., Mackenzie, S. B., Podsakoff, P. M. (2003). A Critical Review of Construct Indicators and Measurement Model Misspecification in Marketing and Consumer Research. *Journal of Consumer Research*, Inc, 30, 199-218.
- Jamieson, S. (2004). Likert Scales: How to (ab) Use Them. *Medical Education*, 38(12), 1217-1218.
- Jarvis, C. B., MacKenzie, S. B., and Podsakoff, P. M. (2003). A Critical Review of construct indicators and measurement model Misspecification in Marketing and Consumer Research. *Journal of consumer research*, 30(2), 199-218.
- Jensen, K. (2013). Coloured Petri Nets: Basic Concepts, Analysis Methods and Practical Use. *Springer Science & Business Media*, 1.
- John, L. Howard, J. (2003). The Federal Commitment to Green Building: Experiences and Expectations. Researchers: Descriptive Statistics. *Review Article*, (1), 53-59.
- Johns, R. (2010). *Likert Items and Scales*. University of Strathclyde.
- Johnson, R. B., and Onwuegbuzie, A. J. (2004). Mixed Methods Research: A Research Paradigm Whose Time Has Come. *Educational Researcher*, 33(7), 14-26.
- K. Ahadzie, D., A. Ankrah, N., Pheng Low, S., Gao, S., and Lin Tay, W. (2014). Comparative Study of Project Management and Critical Success Factors of

- Greening New and Existing Buildings in Singapore. *Structural Survey*, 32(5), 413-433.
- K. Ahadzie, D., A. Ankrah, N., O. Efeoma, M., and Uduku, O. (2014). Assessing Thermal Comfort and Energy Efficiency in Tropical African Offices Using the Adaptive Approach. *Structural Survey*, 32(5), 396-412.
- Kamarudin, N., Razak, S. M. A., Sapri, M., Mahamud, R., Daud, D., and Sipan, I. (2013). *Green Property Management Practice in Malaysia*.
- Kato, H., Too, L., and Rask, A. (2009). Occupier Perceptions of Green Workplace Environment: the Australian Experience. *Journal of Corporate Real Estate*, 11(3), 183-195.
- Kakkar, A. A. (2014). Resource Management for Green Buildings. *International Journal of Civil Engineering Research*, 5, 443-446.
- Kannan, R., and Boie, W. (2003). Energy Management Practices in SME—Case Study of a Bakery in Germany. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 44(6), 945-959.
- Kapiki, S. T. (2010). Energy Management in Hospitality: a study of the Thessaloniki Hotels. *Journal of Economics and Organization of Future Enterprise*.
- Kats, G. (2003). *Green Building Costs and Financial Benefits*. Massachusetts Technology Collaborative Boston, MA.
- Kayaş, E. (2009). *Life Cycle Cost Awareness Among Architects: The Case of Turkey*. Master of Science, Izmir Institute of Technology.
- Kasunic, M. (2005). *Designing an Effective Survey*. CarnegieMellon Software Engineering Institute.
- Kerzner, H. R. (2013). *Project Management: A Systems Approach to Planning, Scheduling, and Controlling*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Kibert, C. J. (2016). *Sustainable Construction: Green Building Design and Delivery*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Kleindorfer, P. R., Singhal, K., Wassenhove, L. N. (2005). Sustainable Operations Management. *Production and Operations Management*, 14(4), 482-492.

- Kleindorfer, P. R., Singhal, K., and Wassenhove, L. N. (2005). Sustainable Operations Management. *Production and Operations Management*, 14(4), 482-492.
- Koontz, H. (2010). *Essentials Of Management 8E*. Tata McGraw-Hill Education.
- Kometa, S., and Olomolaiye, P. (1997). Evaluation of Factors Influencing Construction Clients' Decision to Build. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 13(2), 77-86.
- Kothari, C. R. (2004). *Research Methodology: Methods and Techniques*. New Age International.
- Kometa, S., and Olomolaiye, P. (1997). Evaluation of Factors Influencing Construction Clients Decision to Build. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 13(2), 77-86.
- Kometa, S. T., Olomolaiye, P. O., and Harris, F. C. (1994). Attributes of UK Construction Clients Influencing Project Consultants' Performance. *Construction Management and Economics*, 12(5), 433-443.
- Krosnick, J. A. (1999). Survey Research. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 50(1), 537-567.
- Kumar, K., Subramanian, R., and Strandholm, K. (2001). Competitive Strategy, Environmental Scanning and Performance: A Context Specific Analysis of Their Relationship. *International Journal of Commerce and Management*, 11(1), 1-33.
- Kuerer, R. C. (1997). Classes of Acoustical Comfort in Housing: Improved Information about Noise Control in Buildings. *Applied Acoustics*, 52(3-4), 197-210.
- Kundzewim, Z. W. (2001). Some for all, Forever—Sustainable Development and Management of Water Resources. *The International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology*, 8(4), 290-298.
- Kwek, C. L., Lau, T. C., and Tan, H. P. (2010). Education Quality Process Model and Its Influence on Students' Perceived Service Quality. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 5(8), p154.

- Langdon, D. (2006). *Literature Review of Life Cycle Costing (LCC) and Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)*.
- Latham, B. (2007). *Sampling: What is it. Quantitative Research Methods*, ENGL, 5377.
- Levin, H. (1995). *Building Ecology: An Architect's Perspective on Healthy Buildings*. Lecture at "Healthy Buildings '95", Milan, Italy.
- Levin, H. (2000). *Design and Construction of Healthy and Sustainable Buildings*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of Healthy Buildings.
- Limited, B. S. (2013). *BEAM Plus Interiors Commercial, Retail and Institutional*.
- Links, J. M. (2006). *Introduction to Environmental Health*.
- LLP, R. f. B. P. (2012). *Embedding Social Value through Sustainable Procurement*.
- Low, S. P., Liu, J. Y., and Wu, P. (2009). Sustainable Facilities: Institutional Compliance and the Sino-Singapore Tianjin Eco-city Project. *Facilities*, 27(9-10), 368-386.
- Lowe, C., and Ponce, A. (2010). *UNEP-FI/SBCI's Financial & Sustainability Metrics Report: UNEP-Financial Initiative (FI)/Sustainable Buildings and Construction Initiative (SBCI)*.
- Lowe, T., Brown, K., Dessai, S., de França Doria, M., Haynes, K., and Vincent, K. (2006). Does Tomorrow Ever Come? Disaster Narrative and Public Perceptions of Climate Change. *Public Understanding of Science*, 15(4), 435-457.
- Lohmöller, J.-B. (2013). *Latent Variable Path Modeling with Partial Least Squares. Springer Science & Business Media*.
- Loucks, D. P. (2000). Sustainable water resources management. *Water International*, 25(1), 3-10.
- Ltd, B. (2011). *BREEAM New Construction Non-Domestic Buildings*.
- Luay N. Dwaikat, and Ali, K. N. (2014). *Green Buildings' Actual Life Cycle Cost Control: A Framework for Investigation*.

- Lukachko, A., and Lstiburek, J. (2008). *Towards Sustainability: Green Building, Sustainability Objectives, and Building America Whole House Systems Research*. Building America Report - 0801.
- Mahbub, A. S., Kua, H.-W., and Lee, S.-E. (2010). A Total Building Performance Approach to Evaluating Building Acoustics Performance. *Architectural Science Review*, 53(2), 213-223.
- Marker, A. W., Mason, S. G., and Morrow, P. (2014). Change Factors Influencing the Diffusion and Adoption of Green Building Practices. *Performance Improvement Quarterly*, 26(4), 5-24.
- Maslow, A. H. (1943). A Theory of Human Motivation. *Psychological Review*, 50(4), 370.
- MacKenzie, S. B., Podsakoff, P. M., and Podsakoff, N. P. (2011). Construct Measurement and Validation Procedures in MIS and Behavioral Research: Integrating new and Existing Techniques. *Mis Quarterly*, 35(2), 293-334.
- Marshall, G., and Jonker, L. (2010). An Introduction to Descriptive Statistics: A Review and Practical Guide. *Radiography*, 16(4), e1-e7.
- Matveev, A. V. (2002). The Advantages of Employing Quantitative and Qualitative Methods in Intercultural Research: Practical Implications from the Study of the Perceptions of Intercultural Communication Competence by American and Russian Managers. *Bulletin of Russian Communication Association Theory of Communication and Applied Communication*, 1, 59-67.
- Meggers, F., Leibundgut, H., Kennedy, S., Qin, M., Schlaich, M., Sobek, W., and Shukuya, M. (2012). Reduce CO₂ from Buildings with Technology to Zero Emissions. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 2(1), 29-36.
- Meehan, J., and Bryde, D. (2011). Sustainable Procurement Practice. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 20(2), 94-106.
- McMurray, A. J., Islam, M. M., Siwar, C., and Fien, J. (2014). Sustainable Procurement in Malaysian Organizations: Practices, Barriers and Opportunities. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, 20(3), 195-207.

- Michael A. Lacasse, and Vanier, D. J. (1999). *Durability of Building Materials and Components*, 8, 1–4. NRC Research Press.
- Miller, E., and Buys, L. (2008). *Water-recycling in South-East Queensland, Australia: What Do Men and Women Think? Rural Society*, 18(3), 220-229.
- Mohammad, I. S., Zainol, N. N., Abdullah, S., Woon, N. B., and Ramli, N. A. (2014). Critical Factors that Lead to Green Building Operations and Maintenance Problems in Malaysia. *Theoretical and Empirical Researches in Urban Management*, 9(2), 68.
- Mohd-Noor, N., Hamid, M., Abdul-Ghani, A., and Haron, S. (2011). Building Maintenance Budget Determination: An Exploration Study in the Malaysia Government practice. *Procedia Engineering*, 20, 435-444.
- Mokhtar Azizi, N. S., Fassman, E., Wilkinson, S., and Che Ani, A. I. (2012). Management Practice to Achieve Energy Efficiency Performance: Green versus Conventional Office Building in Malaysia. *Journal of Legal Affairs and Dispute Resolution in Engineering and Construction*, 5(4), 205-214.
- Montana, P., and Charnov, B. (2000). *Management, Barron's Educational Series*. New York.
- Mohd-Noor, N., Hamid, M., Abdul-Ghani, A., and Haron, S. (2011). Building Maintenance Budget Determination: An Exploration Study in the Malaysia Government Practice. *Procedia Engineering*, 20, 435-444.
- Moss, K. J. (2006). *Energy Management in Buildings* (2nd Edition).
- Mullins, J. P. (2006). *Recent Employment Trends in Residential and Nonresidential Construction*. Monthly Lab. Rev, 129, 3.
- Mun, T. L. (2009a). *The Development of GBI Malaysia (GBI)*.
- Mun, T. L. (2009b). *The Development of GBI Malaysia (GBI)*. PAM/ACEM, 1-8.
- Murugan, M. S. (2007). *Management Principles and Practices*. New Age International.
- Muijs, D. (2010). *Doing Quantitative Research in Education with SPSS*. Sage.

- Nan Li, Burcin Becerik-Gerber, Lucio Soibelman, and Krishnamachari, B. (2015). Comparative Assessment of an Indoor Localization Framework for Building Emergency Response. *Automation in Construction*, 42–54.
- Nagelkerke, N. J. (1991). A Note On A General Definition of the Coefficient of Determination. *Biometrika*, 78(3), 691-692.
- Narayana, T. (2009). Municipal Solid Waste Management in India: From Waste Disposal to Recovery of Resources?. *Waste management*, 29(3), 1163-1166.
- Nurul Nadiyah Zainol, Izran Sarrazin Mohammad, and Ramli, N. B. W. a. N. A. (2013). Barriers of Implementing Green Building Maintenance: A Preliminary Survey. *20th IBIMA Conference*.
- Nesler, C. (2013). *Global energy management practices, standards increase plant profitability*.
- Nurul Nadiyah Zainol, N. B. W., Nur Aqlima Ramli, Izran Sarrazin Mohammad. (2014). *Barriers of Implementing Green Building Maintenance: A Preliminary Survey*.
- Nyayiem, S. K. (2013). *Factors Affecting Housing Maintenance Management Cost in Kakamega Municipality*. University of Nairobi, Kenya.
- Office, A. N. A. (2001). *Life–Cycle Costing*.
- Olivier, J. G., Janssens-Maenhout, G., and Peters, J. A. (2012). *Trends in Global CO₂ Emissions: 2012 Report*. PBL Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency.
- Oliveira, A. M., Lopes, I. d. S., and Figueiredo, D. (2014). *Maintenance Management Practices of Companies of the Industrial Pole of Manaus*. Paper Presented at the World Congress on Engineering and Computer Science, 2014. San Francisco, USA.
- Olum, Y. (2004). *Modern Management Theories and Practices*.
- Operating, B. B. B., and Resources, P. (2008). *The High Performance Portfolio: Building Tune-Ups*.

- Olomolaiye, P. O., Wahab, K., and Price, A. D. (1987). Problems Influencing Craftsmen's Productivity in Nigeria. *Building and Environment*, 22(4), 317-323.
- Oppenheim, A. N. (2000). *Questionnaire Design, Interviewing and Attitude Measurement*. Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Özdemir, M. (2010). *A Probabilistic Schedule Delay Analysis in Construction Projects by Using Fuzzy Logic Incorporated with Relative Importance Index (RII) Method*. Middle East Technical University.
- Papargyropoulou, E., Padfield, R., Harrison, O., and Preece, C. (2012). The Rise of sustainability Services for the Built Environment in Malaysia. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 5(0), 44-51.
- Parker, S. (2011). *Sampling Versus Census: A Comparative Analysis*.
- Petersdorff, C., Boermans, T., and Harnisch, J. (2006). Mitigation of CO₂ Emissions From the EU-15 Building Stock. Beyond the EU Directive on the Energy Performance of Buildings (9 pp). *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 13(5), 350-358.
- Peyton, T. L. (1977). Energy Management in Commercial Buildings. Engineering Issues: *Journal of Professional Activities*, 103(1), 31-35.
- Pinsonneault, A., and Kraemer, K. L. (1993). Survey Research Methodology in Management Information Systems: An Assessment. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 75-105.
- Podsakoff, PM., MacKenzie, SB., Lee, JY. (2003). Common Method Biases in Behavioral Research: A Critical Review of the Literature and Recommended Remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(5), 879-903.
- Podsakoff, PM., Organ, DW. (1986). Self-reports in Organizational Research: Problems and Prospects. *Journal of Management*, 531-544.
- Po,S. K., Henry, F., Wiliana, S., and Seng, K. C. (2001). A Case Study on Total Building Performance Evaluation of an "Intelligent" Office Building in Singapore. *Dimensi Teknik Sipil*, 3, 9-15.

- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J.-Y., and Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common Method Biases in Behavioral Research: A Critical Review of the Literature and Recommended Remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(5), 879.
- Podsakoff, P. M., and Organ, D. W. (1986). Self-reports in Organizational Research: Problems and Prospects. *Journal of Management*, 12(4), 531-544.
- Preiser, W., and Vischer, J. (2006). *Assessing Building Performance*. Routledge.
- Preiser, W. F. (1983). The Habitability Framework: A Conceptual Approach Towards Linking Human Behaviour and Physical Environment. *Design Studies*, 4(2), 84-91.
- Preiser, W. F., and Schramm, U. (2002). Intelligent Office Building Performance Evaluation. *Facilities*, 20(7/8), 279-287.
- Preiser, W. F. E., and Vischer, J. C. (2005). *Assessing Building Performance*.
- Qgcpo, and Office, Q. G. C. P. (2012). *Sustainable Procurement A Working Definition*.
- Rafferty, K. (2001). *An Information Survival Kit for the Prospective Geothermal Heat Pump Owner*. Geo-Heat Center, Oregon Institute of Technology, Klamath Falls, OR.
- Ramayah, T., Lee, J. W. C., and In, J. B. C. (2011). Network Collaboration and Performance in the Tourism Sector. *Service Business*, 5(4), 411-428.
- Ramayah, T., Mohamad, O., Omar, A., Marimuthu, M., and Leen, J. Y. A. (2012). Green Manufacturing Practices and Performance among SMEs: Evidence from. Green Technologies and Business Practices: An IT Approach: *An IT Approach*, 208.
- Rahman, S., and Khalid, R. M. (2009). Water Resource Management In Malaysia: Legal Issues And Challenges. *International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning*, 4(3), 258-264.
- Richardson, G. R. A., and Lynes, J. K. (2007). Institutional Motivations and Barriers to the Construction of Green Buildings on Campus: A Case Study of the University of Waterloo, Ontario. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 8(3), 339-354.

- Richard, Z. (2008). *Element Analysis of the Green Building Process*.
- Roderick, Y., McEwan, D., Wheatley, C., and Alonso, C. (2009). Comparison of Energy Performance Assessment Between LEED, BREEAM and Green Star. *Paper Presented at the Eleventh International IBPSA Conference, Glasgow, Scotland, 27-30 July 2009*.
- Roderick, Y., McEwan, D., Wheatley, C., and Alonso, C. (2012). *A comparative Study of Building Energy Performance Assessment Between LEED, BREEAM and Green Star Schemes*.
- Ropi, R. M., and Tabassi, A. (2014). Study on Maintenance Practices for School Buildings in Terengganu and Kedah, Malaysia. *Paper presented at the Matec Web of Conferences*.
- Rousseau, D., and Wasley, J. (1997). *Healthy by Design: Building and Remodeling Solutions for Creating Healthy*.
- Rosseel, Y. (2012). lavaan: An R Package for Structural Equation Modeling. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 48(2), 1-36.
- Samari, M., Ghodrati, N., Esmaeilifar, R., Olfat, P., and Shafiei, M. W. M. (2013). The Investigation of the Barriers in Developing Green Building in Malaysia. *Modern Applied Science*, 7(2), 1.
- Safaai, N. S. M., Noor, Z. Z., Hashim, H., Ujang, Z., Talib, J. (2011). Projection of CO₂ Emissions in Malaysia. *Environmental Progress & Sustainable Energy*, 30(4), 658-665.
- Sebake, T. (2009). *Overview of Green Building Rating Tools*.
- Shaikh, P. H., Nor, N. B. M., Nallagownden, P., Elamvazuthi, I., and Ibrahim, T. (2014). A Review on Optimized Control Systems for Building Energy and Comfort Management of Smart Sustainable Buildings. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 34(0), 409-429.
- Samari, M., Ghodrati, N., Esmaeilifar, R., Olfat, P., and Shafiei, M. W. M. (2013). The Investigation of the Barriers in Developing Green Building in Malaysia. *Modern Applied Science*, 7(2), 1.

- Samer, M. (2013). Towards the Implementation of the Green Building Concept in Agricultural Buildings: A Literature Review. *Agricultural Engineering International: CIGR Journal*, 15(2), 25-46.
- Sanchez, G. (2013). *PLS Path Modeling with R*. Trowchez Editions. Berkeley.
- Saunders, M. N. (2011). *Research Methods for Business Students*, 5/e. Pearson Education India.
- Schalkwyk, M. v. (2009). Waste Management Activities in Respect of Which a Waste Management Licence is Required in Accordance with Section 20(b) of the National Environmental Management. *Waste Act* , 59.
- Seo, S. (2002). International review of environmental assessment tools and databases.
- Sereda, P. J., and Litvan, G. G. (1980). Durability of Building Materials and Components: *Proceedings of the First International Conference: a Symposium Presented*. Ottawa, Canada, ASTM International.
- Sekaran, U., and Bougie, R. (2011). Research Method for Business: A Skill Building Approach. *Taylor & Francis*.
- Shiela Sharif, Syahrul Nizam Kamaruzzaman, and Michael Pitt. (2013). Implementation Framework Of Green Building Design For Malaysian Government Building. *2nd International Conference on Chemical, Ecology and Environmental Sciences*. London, United Kingdom.
- Shove, E. (2003). *Comfort, Cleanliness and Convenience : The Social Organization of Normality*.
- Shash, A. A. (1993). Factors Considered in Tendering Decisions by Top UK Contractors. *Construction Management and Economics*, 11(2), 111-118.
- Shash, A. A. (1993). Factors Considered in Tendering Decisions by Top UK Contractors. *Construction Management and Economics*, 11(2), 111-118.
- Shah Ali, A. (2009). Cost Decision Making in Building Maintenance Practice in Malaysia. *Journal of Facilities Management*, 7(4), 298-306.

- Shaparev, N., and Astafiev, N. (2008). Water resources of the Krasnoyarsk Krai in Sustainable Water Management Indices. *The International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology*, 15(6), 574-583.
- Siniscalco, M. T., and Auriat, N. (2005). *Questionnaire Design. Quantitative Research Methods in Educational Planning*. UNESCO International Institute for Educational Planning, Paris.
- Sikdar, S. (2014). *Maintenance and Repair of Buildings*.
- Sinopoli, J. (2008). How Do Smart Buildings Make a Building Green?. *Energy Engineering*, 105(6), 17-22.
- Skitmore, M., and Mills, A. (1999). A Needs Based Methodology for Classifying Construction Clients and Selecting Contractors: Comment. *Construction Management & Economics*, 17(1), 5-7.
- Smallwood, J., Snoxall, J., Highmore, S., and Sauntson, D. (2010). *Green Building Management Toolkit Translating words into action*.
- Smith, R. B. (1986). *Managing Tools for Developing Information Systems Princeton, N.J.*
- Somiah, MK., Osei-Poku, G., Aidoo, I. (2015). Relative Importance Analysis of Factors Influencing Unauthorized Siting of Residential Buildings in the Sekondi-Takoradi Metropolis of Ghana. *Journal of Building Construction and Planning Research*, 3(03), 117.
- Suffian, A. (2013). Some Common Maintenance Problems and Building Defects: Our Experiences. *Procedia Engineering*, 54, 101-108.
- Sui Pheng, L., Jun Ying, L., and Heng Lock, W. (2008). Relationship Between Buildability, Indoor Air Quality and Visual Performance. *Structural Survey*, 26(1), 38-54.
- Sukamolson, S. (2007). *Fundamentals of Quantitative Research Language Institute Chulalongkorn University*, 1-20.
- Sukamolson, S. (2007). *Fundamentals of Quantitative Research*. Bangkok: EJTR.

- Tam, V. WY. Tam, C. M., Ng, W. CY. (2007). On Prefabrication Implementation for Different Project Types and Procurement Methods in Hong Kong. *Journal of Engineering, Design and Technology*, 5(1), 68-80.
- Tabachnick, B. G., Fidell, L.S. (2007). *Using Multivariate Statistics*. California State University, Northridge.
- Tabachnick, B., and Fidell, L. (2007). Multivariate Analysis of Variance and Covariance. *Using Multivariate Statistics*, 3, 402-407.
- Talib, Y., Rajagopalan, P., and Jing Yang, R. (2013). Evaluation of Building Performance for Strategic Facilities Management in Healthcare: A Case Study of a public hospital in Australia. *Facilities*, 31(13/14), 681-701.
- Tan, L. (2009). *The Development of GBI Malaysia (GBI)*. Greenbuildingindex Sdn. Bhd.
- Terry, A., Moore, T., Casey, M., Andrew, P., Hosking, P., King, T. A., Roussac, C., Raina, D., Hansen, R., and McDonald, T. (2008). *Waste and Sustainable Commercial Buildings*.
- Teddle, C., and Yu, F. (2007). Mixed Methods Sampling: A Typology with Examples. *Journal of mixed methods research*, 1(1), 77-100.
- Thomson, J., and Jackson, T. (2007). Sustainable Procurement in Practice: Lessons from Local Government. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 50(3), 421-444.
- Thaheem, M. J., and Marco, A. D. (2014). Sustainable Repair & Maintenance of Buildings in the Developing Countries: A Risk Management Perspective and Proposal of Customized Framework. *Civil Engineering and Architecture Research*, 1, 14-23.
- The World Green Building Council. (2009). *Six Continents, One Mission How green Building is Shaping the Global Shift to a Low Carbon Economy*.
- Thollander, P., and Ottosson, M. (2010). Energy Management Practices in Swedish Energy-Intensive Industries. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 18(12), 1125-1133.

- Thomas, S. (2008). A Discussion Document Comparing International Environmental Assessment Methods for Buildings.
- Thomson, M. (2012). *Repair and Maintenance Obligations Under the Commercial Lease*.
- Thaheem, M. J. (2014). Sustainable Repair & Maintenance of Buildings in the Developing Countries: A Risk Management Perspective and Proposal of Customized Framework. *Journal of Civil Engineering and Architecture Research*, 1(1), 14-23.
- Thomson, J., and Jackson, T. (2007). Sustainable procurement in practice: Lessons from local government. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 50(3), 421-444.
- Thien, L. M., and Razak, N. A. (2013). Academic Coping, Friendship Quality, and Student Engagement Associated with Student Quality of School Life: A Partial Least Square Analysis. *Social Indicators Research*, 112(3), 679-708.
- Thien, L. M., Thurasamy, R., and Razak, N. A. (2014). Specifying and Assessing a Formative Measure for Hofstede's Cultural Values: a Malaysian Study. *Quality & Quantity*, 48(6), 3327-3342.
- Tiun, L. T. (2006). Managing High-rise Residential Building in Malaysia: Where Are We. *Persatuan Sains Sosial, Universiti Putra Malaysia*, 8-10.
- Tony Arnel. (2013). *What Is a Green Building?*, <http://www.greenbuildingindex.org/>
- Tongco, M. D. C. (2007). Purposive Sampling as a Tool for Informant Selection.
- Tsai, W.-H. L., Sin-Jin Lee, Ya-Fen Chang, Yao-Chung Hsu, Jui-Ling. (2013). Construction Method Selection for Green Building Projects to Improve Environmental Sustainability by Using an MCDM Approach. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 56(10), 1487-1510.
- Turner, W. C., and Doty, S. (2007). *Energy Management Handbook: The Fairmont Press, Inc.*
- Turpin-Brooks, S., and Viccars, G. (2006). The Development of Robust Methods of Post Occupancy Evaluation. *Facilities*, 24(5/6), 177-196.

- UK greenhouse gas emissions statistics. (2014). *UK Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Provisional Figures and 2012 UK Greenhouse Gas Emissions.*
- U.S. Green Building Council. (2009). *Green Existing Schools Project Mangement Guide.*
- USGBC. (2008). LEED 2009 for Commercial Interiors Rating System.
- Ullman, J. B., and Bentler, P. M. (2003). Structural Equation Modeling. *Wiley Online Library.*
- Urbach, N., and Ahlemann, F. (2010a). Structural Equation Modeling in Information Systems Research Using Partial Least Squares. *JITTA: Journal of Information Technology Theory and Application*, 11(2), 5.
- Urbach, N., and Ahlemann, F. (2010b). Structural Equation Modeling in Information Systems Research Using Partial Least Squares. *Journal of Information Technology Theory and Application*, 11(2), 5-40.
- Victoria, S. (2007). *Energy Management in Practice Manual.*
- Vinyangkoon, A. (2012). *Commercial Green Building: The Value Added Gap Perspective.* Doctor Philosophy, KTH Royal Institute of Technology.
- Vinzi, V. E., Trinchera, L., and Amato, S. (2010). PLS Path Modeling: from Foundations to Recent Developments and Open Issues for Model Assessment and Improvement. *Springer.*
- Walker, H., and Brammer, S. (2009). Sustainable Procurement in the United Kingdom Public Sector. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 14(2), 128-137.
- Wang, G. (2005). Agricultural Drought in a Future Climate: Results from 15 Global Climate Models Participating in the IPCC 4th Assessment. *Climate Dynamics*, 25(7-8), 739-753.
- Waris, M., Shahir Liew, M., Khamidi, M. F., and Idrus, A. (2014). Criteria for the Selection of Sustainable Onsite Construction Equipment. *International Journal of Sustainable Built Environment*, 3(1), 96-110.

- Walker, H., and Brammer, S. (2012). The Relationship Between Sustainable Procurement and E-procurement in the Public Sector. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 140(1), 256-268.
- Walker, H., and Phillips, W. (2008). Sustainable Procurement: Emerging Issues. *International Journal of Procurement Management*, 2(1), 41-61.
- Worzala, E., and Bond, S. (2011). Barriers and Drivers to Green Buildings in Australia and New Zealand. *Journal of Property Investment & Finance*, 29(4/5), 494-509.
- World Green Building Council (2016)*. from www.worldgbc.org/
- Williams, C. (2007). Research Methods. *Journal of Business & Economics Research (JBER)*, 5(3).
- Wold, H. (1985). Partial Least Squares. *Encyclopedia of statistical sciences*.
- Wong, K. K.-K. (2013). Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) Techniques Using SmartPLS. *Marketing Bulletin*, 24(1), 1-32.
- Wu, P., and Low, S. P. (2010). Project Management and Green Buildings: Lessons from the Rating Systems. *Journal of Professional Issues in Engineering Education and Practice*, 136(2), 64-70.
- Xia, X., Zhang, J., and Cass, W. (2012). Energy Management of Commercial Buildings—A Case Study from a POET Perspective of Energy Efficiency. *Journal of Energy in Southern Africa*, 23(1), 23-31.
- Yau, Y. (2010). Engaging Homeowners in Building Care in Hong Kong: Drivers and Barriers. *Journal of Building Appraisal*, 6(1), 35-48.
- Yau, Y. and Ho, D. C. W. (2009). "The Effects of Building Management Practices on Residential Property Prices in Hong Kong." *Journal of Building Appraisal* 4(3): 157-167.
- Young, C., Martin, D., and Skinner, C. (2009). Geographically Intelligent Disclosure Control for Flexible Aggregation of Census Data. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*, 23(4), 457-482.

- Yung, Y-F., and Bentler, P. M. (1994). Bootstrap-Corrected ADF Test Statistics in Covariance Structure Analysis. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*.
- Zainol, N. N., Mohammad, I. S., Baba, M., Woon, N. B., Ramli, N. A., Nazri, A. Q., and Lokman, M. A. A. (2014). *Critical Factors that Lead to Green Building Operations and Maintenance Problems in Malaysia: A Preliminary Study*. Paper presented at the Advanced Materials Research.
- Zainol, N. N., Woon, N. B., Ramli, N. A., and Mohammad, I. S. (2013a). *Barriers of Implementing Green Building Maintenance: A Preliminary Survey*.
- Zainol, N. N., Woon, N. B., Ramli, N. A., and Mohammad, I. S. (2013b). *Barriers of Implementing Green Building Maintenance: A Preliminary Survey*.
- Zawaydeh, S. (2017). Economic, Environmental and Social Impacts of Developing Energy from Sustainable Resources in Jordan. *Strategic Planning for Energy and the Environment*, 36(3), 24-52.
- Zhang, X., Platten, A., and Shen, L. (2011). Green Property Development Practice in China: Costs and Barriers. *Building and Environment*, 46(11), 2153-2160.
- Zahra, S. A. (2003). "The Practice of Management": Reflections on Peter F. Drucker's Landmark Book. *The Academy of Management Executive (1993-2005)*, 17(3), 16-23.
- Zeppel, H. (2014). *Green Procurement by Local Government: A Review of Sustainability Criteria*: University of Southern Queensland.
- Zhang, H., Davigny, A., Sprooten, J., Robyns, B., Colas, F., and Poste, Y. (2012). Energy Management Strategy for Commercial Buildings Integrating PV and Storage Systems. *Sustainability in Energy and Buildings* (pp. 177-190): Springer.
- Zimmerman, A., and Eng, P. (2006). *Integrated Design Process Guide*. Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation, Ottawa.
- Zohrabi, M. (2013). Mixed Method Research: Instruments, Validity, Reliability and Reporting Findings. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, 3(2), 254-262.

Zuo, J., and Zhao, Z.-Y. (2014). Green Building Research—Current Status and Future agenda: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 30, 271-281.