

DEVELOPMENT OF A COMPUTER BASED GREEN HIGHWAY ENERGY
EFFICIENCY INDEX ASSESSMENT FOR MALAYSIA

FOO KIAN SENG

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Civil Engineering)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2018

To my beloved parents, wife, son, sibling and friends for their never ending care and supports.

Thank you for everything.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The Herewith, I would like to take this opportunity to express my gratefulness and acknowledgement to everyone that has given a helping hand throughout the whole process in thesis preparing.

First and foremost, to my dedicated supervisor of this research, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Rozana binti Zakaria. Thanks for her continuous support, suggestions and immeasurable contribution to my thesis. She always provides me guidance and feedback in this thesis. Special thanks go to Prof. Dr. Muhd. Zaimi Bin Majid for the encouragement and guidance in preparing this thesis.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to Malaysian Highway Authority, highway concessionaires and other organization that have provided invaluable information to complete this thesis. In addition, I am also very thankful to the friends, academic staffs, undergraduate and postgraduate in faculty of civil Engineering for their helps along this research.

Last but not least, to my lovely parents, wife, son and sibling. Thank you for the supports and love. You all have made me the best.

ABSTRACT

Green assessment tools are needed to improve behaviour, promote sustainable practices, and indicate the sustainability status of construction projects. The establishment of Manual of Malaysia Green Highway Index (MyGHI) in year 2014 was based on five main criteria which includes energy efficiency. There are significant claims that energy efficiency is very important to be considered when reducing carbon emission, and crucial to highway development. Electronic tools for MyGHI are necessary to expedite the evaluation of all criteria including energy efficiency in information technology era. This research developed computer based assessment tools of energy efficiency performance rating for MyGHI. Energy efficiency was identified as one of the most important criteria in green assessment tools. A thorough comparative review process on green highway assessment tools has been done by using cross-nation comparison. Energy Efficiency factors and elements that are suitable for Green Highway were identified using Questionnaire survey of 5-point Likert scale method and verified by several experts through focus group sitting. The data were analysed using Factor Analysis of Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 17 (SPSS v.17) software. Later, the analysis was extended by developing the scores and weightage that resulted in five criteria, eleven sub-criteria, and twenty three elements with a total score of sixty four for Green Highway Energy Efficiency Index (GHEEI). Subsequently, a computer-based programming of GHEEI by using Visual Basic 6.0 that is suitable for web application was developed. This computer-based programming of GHEEI helps to visualise an audit assessment process, indicate the score performance of energy efficiency for highways and enhance the current implementation of MyGHI. In conclusion, this innovative research outcome assists stakeholders to speed up the decision-making process in planning and implement sustainability oriented highway projects that considered energy efficiency by a computer-based programming of GHEEI evaluation tool.

ABSTRAK

Alat penilaian Hijau diperlukan untuk menambah baik sikap, menggalakkan amalan lestari, dan menunjukkan tahap kelestarian projek pembinaan. Pengenalan Manual Indeks Lebuhraya Hijau Malaysia (MyGHI) pada tahun 2014, berdasarkan lima kriteria utama termasuk kriteria kecekapan tenaga. Terdapat tuntutan bahawa kecekapan tenaga adalah sangat penting dalam pengurangan pelepasan karbon dan kepentingan terhadap pembangunan lebuhraya. Alat-alat elektronik diperlukan oleh MyGHI bagi mempercepatkan penilaian semua kriteria termasuk kriteria kecekapan tenaga dalam era teknologi maklumat. Kajian ini membangunkan alat penilaian berasaskan komputer bagi menilai kadar prestasi kecekapan tenaga MyGHI. Kecekapan tenaga dikenal pasti merupakan salah satu kriteria paling penting dalam alat penilaian hijau. Proses perbandingan yang ketara antara alat penilaian lebuhraya hijau telah dilakukan menggunakan perbandingan antara beberapa negara. Faktor dan elemen Kecekapan Tenaga yang sesuai digunakan dalam Lebuhraya Hijau diperolehi melalui tinjauan soal selidik menggunakan kaedah Skala Likert 5-mata dan disahkan oleh pakar-pakar melalui kaedah duduk fokus secara berkumpulan. Data telah dianalisis melalui analisis faktor menggunakan perisian Pakej Statistik untuk Sains Sosial versi 17 (SPSS versi 17). Selepas itu, analisis dilanjutkan dengan pembangunan skor dan pemberat, dengan memperolehi lima kriteria; sebelas sub-kriteria; dan dua puluh tiga elemen dengan jumlah skor enam puluh empat untuk Indeks Kecekapan Tenaga Lebuhraya Hijau (GHEEI). Langkah seterusnya, alat penilaian GHEEI berasaskan pengaturcaraan komputer dengan menggunakan Visual Basic 6.0 yang sesuai untuk aplikasi web telah dibangunkan. Alat penilaian berasaskan pengaturcaraan komputer GHEEI ini membantu dalam menggambarkan proses audit penilaian, menunjukkan prestasi skor kecekapan tenaga bagi lebuhraya dan menggalakkan pelaksanaan penilaian MyGHI. Kesimpulannya, hasil penyelidikan inovatif ini akan membantu pihak berkepentingan untuk mempercepatkan proses membuat keputusan dalam merancang dan melaksanakan projek-projek lebuhraya berorientasikan kelestarian dengan mempertimbangkan kecekapan tenaga melalui alat penilaian berasaskan pengaturcaraan komputer GHEEI.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xiii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATION	xviii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxi
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.0 Research Background	1
	1.1 Problem Statement	3
	1.2 Aim and Objectives	5
	1.3 Scope of Research	5
	1.4 Significance of Research	6
	1.5 Original Contribution to the Body of Knowledge	8
	1.6 Outline of the Thesis	9
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	11
	2.1 Introduction	11
	2.2 Green Index Initiatives in Malaysia	12

2.3	Definition of Green Highway	15
2.4	Nurture Green Highway Initiatives	16
2.5	Definition of Energy Efficiency	21
2.5.1	Occupancy and Management	22
2.5.2	Indoor Environment Quality	23
2.5.3	Climate	23
2.5.4	Building Design and Construction	24
2.5.5	Mechanical and Electrical Equipment	24
2.6	Energy Efficiency in response to Green Highway	25
2.7	Reference to Guideline for Green Highway Assessment Tool in Response to Energy Efficiency	26
2.7.1	Green Road Rating System	26
2.7.2	I-LAST	27
2.7.3	GreenLITES	27
2.7.4	ENVISION	28
2.7.5	BE ² ST	29
2.8	Malaysia Green Highway Index (MyGHI)	30
2.9	Application of Energy Efficiency in Malaysia Highway	31
2.10	Method of Measurement or Evaluation	32
2.11	Computer based Green Highway Energy Efficiency Index Assessment	33
2.11.1	Reference to the Framework of existing Modelling System	33
2.11.2	Programming Tools	34
2.11.3	Summary	36
3	METHODOLOGY	37
3.1	Introduction	37
3.2	Research Design	38
3.3	Method and Instrument to achieve Objective 1	43
3.4	Method and Instrument to achieve Objective 2	44
3.4.1	The Questionnaire Survey Design	46

	3.4.2	Data Analysis	48
		3.4.2.1 Reliability Test	48
		3.4.2.2 Frequency Analysis	49
		3.4.2.3 KMO & Bartlett Test	50
		3.4.2.4 Factor Analysis	51
	3.5	Method and Instrument to achieve Objective 3	53
		3.5.1 Factor Score	54
		3.5.2 Weightage Factor	54
	3.6	Refinement and Final Validation	55
	3.7	Method and Instrument to achieve Objective 4	56
		3.7.1 Analog programming of Computer based	56
	3.8	Summary	57
4		DATA ANALYSIS, RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	58
	4.1	Introduction	58
	4.2	Objective 1: To identify the appropriate Main Criteria commonly utilised in Green Assessment Tools	59
		4.2.1 Comparison of various Assessment Tools and Reference in Green Highway	59
	4.3	Objective 2: To establish Energy Efficiency Factors and Elements suitable to be used for Green Highway	65
		4.3.1 Comparison of various Green Highway Assessment Tools and Reference in Energy Efficiency	65
		4.3.2 Identification of Energy Efficiency Factors and Elements through Survey Questionnaire	70
		4.3.2.1 Demographic Information	71
		a) Type of Company	71
		b) The Position in the Company	72
		c) Respondents' Education Level	73

	d)	Respondents' Working Experience	74
	e)	Years of Involvement in Highway Development	74
	f)	Level of Awareness on Green Highway Development	75
	g)	Years of Involvement in Green Highway Development	76
	4.3.2.2	Selection of Criteria for Malaysia Green Highway	77
	a)	Reliability Test	77
	b)	Frequency Analysis	77
	c)	KMO and Bartlett's Test	79
	d)	Factor Analysis	80
	4.3.3	Confirmation of Energy Efficiency Factors and Elements through Focus Group	90
4.4		Objective 3: To Develop the Scoring and Weightage for Green Highway Energy Efficiency Index (GHEEI)	93
	4.4.1	Factor Score	93
	4.4.2	Applying Weightage Factor	100
	4.4.2.1	Weightage Factor for Element Description	100
	4.4.2.2	Weightage Factor for Sub-Criteria	103
	4.4.2.3	Weightage Factor for Criteria	105
4.5		Summary	107
5		COMPUTER BASED GREEN HIGHWAY ENERGY EFFICIENCY INDEX	108
	5.1	Introduction	108
	5.2	Computer Based Green Highway Energy Efficiency Index Framework	109
	5.3	Architectural System of GHEEI	110
	5.3.1	Stage 1: Architectural System for	

	Self Evaluator in GHEEI	111
5.3.2	Stage 2: Architectural System for Auditor in GHEEI	113
5.3.3	Stage 3: Architectural System for Self Evaluator in GHEEI Amendment	115
5.3.4	Stage 4: Architectural System for Auditor in GHEEI for Second Assessment after Amendment	117
5.4	GHEEI User Manual	120
5.4.1	Self Evaluator	120
	5.4.1.1 L1: User Login	120
	5.4.1.2 S2.1: Project Information	121
	5.4.1.3 S2.2: Project	122
	5.4.1.4 S3.1: New Evaluation & Projects	123
	5.4.1.5 S4: EEs Categories	123
	5.4.1.6 S5: EE 1-5 Categories	124
	5.4.1.7 S5.1: More Information	125
	5.4.1.8 S5.2: ID column	126
	5.4.1.9 S6.1: Score for Elements	126
	5.4.1.10 S6.2: Report	127
	5.4.1.11 S6.3: Submit	128
	5.4.1.12 S7: Summary of Results	129
	5.4.1.13 S8.1: Achievement/ Certificate Level	130
	5.4.1.14 S8.2: Print	130
	5.4.1.15 S3.2: Check the Status of Evaluation & Projects	131
	5.4.1.16 S8.3: Check Auditor Comment	131
	5.4.1.17 S3.3: Change Password	133
5.4.2	Auditor	134
	5.4.2.1 L1: User Login	134
	5.4.2.2 A2.1: Click for Verification & Projects	135

	5.4.2.3 A4: EEs Categories	136
	5.4.2.4 A8.3: Auditor Comments	137
	5.4.2.5 A9: Auditor Evaluation	138
	5.4.2.6 A10.4: More Details on Criteria	138
	5.4.2.7 A2.2: Project	139
	5.4.2.8 A3.1: Auditor Registration	139
	5.4.2.9 A3.2: Change Password	140
	5.4.3 Database/ Storage	140
5.5	Validation of Computer Based GHEEI	141
5.6	Summary	146
6	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	147
6.1	Introduction	147
6.2	Research Findings	149
6.3	Importance of the Study	151
6.4	Recommendations for future Research	152
6.5	Summary	153
	REFERENCES	154
	Appendices A-D	161-188

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Initial Energy Efficiency Criteria and Sub-criteria proposed for Malaysia Green Highway Index	18
2.2	Proposed Criteria of Malaysia Green Highway	30
2.3	Proposed Criteria and Sub-criteria of Malaysia Green Highway	31
2.4	General step in Visual Basic Programming	35
3.1	Research Design	38
3.2	Table of Research Methodology	40
3.3	Header Template for Questionnaire Design	47
3.4	Agreement Level and Average Index	50
4.1	Comparison of various Assessment Tools and Reference in Green Highway	61
4.2	Comparison of Various Green Highway Assessment Tools and Reference in Energy Efficiency	66
4.3	Respondents' Type of Company	71
4.4	Respondents' Position in Company	72
4.5	Respondents' Education Level	73
4.6	Respondents' Working Experience	74

4.7	Year of Involvement of Respondents in Highway Development	75
4.8	Level of Awareness of Respondents on Green Highway Development	75
4.9	Year of Involvement of Respondents in Green Highway Development	76
4.10	Elements with Mean Value ≥ 3.50	78
4.11	Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin and Bartlett's Test	80
4.12	Total Variance Explained	81
4.13	Pattern Matrix tabulation	84
4.14	Preliminary table of Energy Efficiency	87
4.15	Final table of Energy Efficiency	89
4.16	Criteria, Sub-criteria & Elements of Green Highway Energy Efficiency Index	91
4.17	Factor Score for Element's Description, Sub-criteria and Criteria	94
4.18	Proposed Point of EE Index compared to Experts' view	96
4.19	Confirmed Factor Score for Element, Sub-criteria and Criteria by Experts	97
4.20	Weightage Factor for Elements Description	101
4.21	Weightage Factor for Sub-Criteria	104
4.22	Weightage Factor for Criteria	106
5.1	Results of GHEEI Validation Survey	143

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	General Components of the BE ² ST in Highways System	29
2.2	Envision rating system architecture	34
3.1	Flow chart to achieve Objective 2	44
3.2	Likert's scale flow chart	46
3.3	Flow chart to achieve Objective 3	53
4.1	Scree Plot	82
5.1	Computer Based GHEEI Framework	109
5.2	Architectural System for Self Evaluator in Stage 1	112
5.3	Architectural System for Auditor in Stage 2	114
5.4	Architectural System for Self Evaluator in Stage 3	116
5.5	Architectural System for Auditor assessment after amendment in Stage 4	118
5.6	Login interface for Self Evaluator	120
5.7	Main interface for Self Evaluator	121
5.8	Highway Information interface for Self Evaluator	122
5.9	List down in the Project interface for Self Evaluator	122
5.10	Projects interface for Self Evaluator	123

5.11	List of Selection in EE Categories interface for Self Evaluator	124
5.12	Criteria, Sub- criteria & Element selection in EE1 Categories interface for Self Evaluator	125
5.13	Example of EE-1 interface for Self Evaluator	125
5.14	Option in ID column interface for Self Evaluator	126
5.15	Selection to score requirement of Element in EE 1.1.1 interface for Self Evaluator	127
5.16	Report interface for Self Evaluator	128
5.17	Notification pop up in Green Highway interface for Self Evaluator	128
5.18	Summary of Results interface for Self Evaluator	129
5.19	Achievement pop up for Self Evaluator	130
5.20	Print interface for Self Evaluator	130
5.21	Check Auditor Comment button in Summary of Results interface for Self Evaluator	131
5.22	Auditor Evaluation interface for Self Evaluator	132
5.23	Change Password interface for Self Evaluator	133
5.24	Login interface for Auditor	134
5.25	Main interface for Auditor	135
5.26	Evaluation interface for Auditor	135
5.27	List of Selection in EEs Categories interface for Auditor	136
5.28	Auditor Comments interface In Summary of Results interface for Auditor	137
5.29	Auditor Evaluation interface for Auditor	138

5.30	List down in the Project interface for Auditor	139
5.31	Auditor Registration interface for Auditor	139
5.32	Change Password interface for Auditor	140

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACEM	-	Association of Consulting Engineers of Malaysia
BEI	-	Building Energy Intensity
BE ² ST	-	Building Environmentally and Economically Sustainable Transportation Infrastructure Highway
CGC	-	Credit Guarantee Corporation Malaysia Berhad
CIDB	-	Construction Industry Development Board
COP	-	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
CO ₂	-	carbon dioxide
EE	-	Energy Efficiency
EEi	-	Energy Efficient index
EIA	-	Environmental Impact Assessment
EMP	-	Energy Maintenance Plan
EMS	-	Energy Management Systems
ETC	-	electrical toll collection system
EWM	-	Environmental and Water Management
GBI	-	Green Building Index
GDP	-	Gross domestic product
GHEEI	-	Green Highway Energy Efficiency Index
GHG	-	Greenhouse gas
GPC	-	Green performance strategies
GreenLITES-		Green Leadership in Transportation and Environmental Sustainability
GreenPASS-		Green Performance Assessment System
GreenRE	-	Green Real Estate
GTFS	-	Green Technology Financial Scheme
GUI	-	Graphics User Interface

HID	-	High Intensity Discharge
HVAC	-	Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning
IAQ	-	Indoor Air Quality
ID	-	Identity
IEC	-	International Electro-technical Commission standard
I- LAST	-	Illinois - Livable and Sustainable Transportation
IR	-	Ingénieur
ISI	-	Sustainable Infrastructure
ISO	-	The International Organization for Standardization
ITS	-	Intelligent Transportation Systems
JKR	-	Jabatan Kerja Raya Malaysia
KESAS	-	The Konsortium Expressway Shah Alam Selangor Sdn Bhd
KeTTHA	-	Kementerian Tenaga, Teknologi Hijau dan Air
KKR	-	Kementerian Kerja Raya Malaysia
KMO	-	Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin
kVA	-	kilovolt-ampere
LCCF	-	Low Carbon Cities Framework and Assessment System
LED	-	Light Emitting Diodes
LITRAK	-	Lingkar Trans Kota Holdings Berhad
LLM	-	Lembaga Lebuhraya Malaysia
lm/w	-	lumens/watt
LPD	-	Lighting Power Density
MHA	-	Malaysia Highway Authority
MT	-	Material and Technology
MyCREST	-	Malaysian Carbon Reduction and Environmental Sustainability Tool
MyGHI	-	Malaysia Green Highway Index
MS	-	Malaysia standard
M&E	-	Mechanical and electronic
NLA	-	Natural Lighting Area
OLE	-	Object Linking and Embedding
OTTV	-	Overall Thermal Transfer Value
PAM	-	Pertubuhan Akitek Malaysia
PFI	-	participating financial institutions

pHJKR	-	Penarafan Hijau JKR
PLUS	-	Projek Lebuhraya Utara Selatan Berhad
PSKLM	-	Association of Highway Concessionaires Malaysia
REHDA	-	Real Estate and Housing Developers' Association
SCRS	-	sustainable corridor rating system
SDCA	-	Sustainable Design and Construction Activities
SDE	-	Senai–Desaru Expressway
SPSS	-	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
SQL	-	Structured Query Language
SR	-	Surveyor
SS	-	Social and Safety
RSA	-	Rest and Service Area
RTTV	-	Roof Thermal Transfer Value
UTM	-	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
UV	-	Ultraviolet

LIST OF SYMBOLS

cd	-	The candela
L	-	Level
m ²	-	meter square
N	-	Number of respondent
a _i	-	constant expressing the weight given to i
W	-	Watts
x _i	-	variable expressing the frequency of response
π_k	-	weighting factor
%	-	Percentage
df	-	Degree of Freedom
Sig.	`	Level of Significance
Mjm-2	-	Megajoule/square meter

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Sample of Survey Questionnaire	161
B	Sample of Validation Questionnaire	173
C	Scorecard	178
D	EE Main Criteria in MyGHI Manual	188

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.0 Research Background

Highway is the one of the important infrastructure of the country and plays an essential role in a nation's social and economic development. In the past, most highway projects have been geared towards promoting economic development and creating jobs. Therefore, throughout the stages of planning, design, construction, maintenance, and replacement, the impacts on the natural environment is degrading the environmental quality (Huang and Yeh, 2008). Although some efforts like Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) has used by authority to control the impact of pollution, but the environmental problems are still challenging.

Besides, highways are among infrastructures that facilitate transportation movement. Development of highway involved utilisation of energy along its life cycle process. According to data given by the Malaysian Highway Authority (MHA) up to December 2016, there are 31 tolled highways operating in Malaysia with a total length of 1,988.6km (MHA, 2016). It is believed that the number of tolled highways and the total length cover will increase to fulfil the increasing of demand from the road users. Thus, more carbon emission will be released into the surrounding due to highway construction activities. In order to minimise those impacts, similar to building, highway should response to green initiatives.

Uncontrolled carbon emission to the environment will tend to lead the greenhouse effect at developed area. Green Technology initiatives and actions are necessary to reduce the greenhouse effect cause by carbon emission. The term “Green Technology” was introduced in the western countries in the early 1990’s. Green Technology is part of the sustainable development application whereby it utilising environmental science to conserve and recycle the natural resource needs of the present and future generation (MHA, 2010).

Green highway is one of the green initiatives that responsible to preserve the environment by using the Green Technology resources which has low carbon energy and environmental friendly. Several guideline or index related to green highway is available such as Green Road Rating System, Illinois-Livable and Sustainable Transportation (I-LAST), and Green Leadership in Transportation and Environmental Sustainability (GreenLITES). Thus, Malaysia Green Highway Index (MyGHI) was introduced to measure the greenness of the highways in Malaysia. These assessment tool is possible to measure the performance and classification of highway according to certain standards for sustainable purposes. Whilst, MyGHI is developed specifically for the Malaysia tropical weather, environment, cultural and social needs (LLM and UTM, 2014).

In establishing the assessment in MyGHI, Energy Efficiency is one of the fundamental elements of green highway development and considered as one of the important criteria in reducing carbon emission. In the aspect of energy consumption in highways, it includes embodied energy of materials, manufacturing, construction, operation and maintenance process. Thus, it is highly desirable to enhance energy efficiency in highways.

In order to apply energy efficiency in Malaysia highways development, the tools used may include energy management system, energy monitoring and available

technologies in the industry. The system is the platform for the users to execute the task systematically and the green technologies will assist in linking the output to the system.

While in term of considerations, there are some barriers that might affect the potential improvement of energy efficiency in Malaysia. The policies of government, awareness of nation, marketing strategic of company will accelerate the energy efficiency application in highway development.

Thus, applying the Energy Efficiency criteria in the Malaysia green highway will help to measure the classification of highway in term of energy efficiency. Energy Efficiency requirements are needed to be fulfilled in order to better meet the energy saving and low carbon emission.

1.1 Problem Statement

Many countries have established various sustainability rating tools related to highway in the literature. In the USA, researchers in Washington State have developed a Green Roads rating system in 2010 (Muench et al. 2010). While Illinois Livable and Sustainable Transportation (I-LAST) has introduced by Illinois Department of Transportation in 2010 (Illinois Department of Transportation, 2010).

Before the establishment of MyGHI in 2014, Malaysia highway has lack of agenda towards remarkable and certified Green Highway with assessment tools. However, the initiatives towards green or sustainable responsibility have been considered with some examples of green technology application (MHA, 2010). The emergence of green assessment tools helps to evaluate the green performance based on certain criteria in highway development.

The establishment of MyGHI suited the scenario and characteristic of Malaysian highway whereby it remunerate other international highway green tools. Malaysia highway authority has taken a big step in green technology by comprehensive initiatives towards sustainable highway. In 2011, Malaysia highway authority has cooperated with Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) to embark research in developing a Green Highway Index in Malaysia. The outcome of the project is Malaysia Green Highway Index (MyGHI) (LLM & UTM, 2014). The performance of highway can be evaluated with the establishment of certification level and scorecard among five criteria.

Energy Efficiency criteria has been identified as one of the energy saving measures in MyGHI project. It is in the focus of national energy policies and should be seriously concerned with green highway development. However, there is a possibility that the company would like to evaluate the performance of highway in term of Energy efficiency only. Thus, there is a need to enhance energy efficiency in highway development by introducing “Energy efficiency index” that adapt Malaysia case study.

Meanwhile, electronic tools are needed to enhance evaluation of efficiency. This is because MyGHI manual is a written format in hard copy and time consuming to complete the evaluation process. The assessment forms and all the details are needed to be filled in hard copy and submitted to the person in charge. These procedures may result in inefficiency during the process of evaluation and they seem to have difficulties in promoting the index to the user if the MyGHI tool are only available in hard copy. Thus, there is a need to come out with a Computer based assessment tool in order to let the user be familiar with the interface and process. In relation to energy efficiency, Computer based Energy Efficiency Index assessment in Malaysia Green Highway has to be developed for the convenient of users.

1.2 Aim and Objectives

The aim of this research is to provide computer based assessment tools of Energy Efficiency performance rating in Malaysia Green Highway.

In order to achieve the above aim, several objectives are listed as the following:

- i. To identify the appropriate main criteria commonly utilised in green assessment tools
- ii. To establish energy efficiency factors and elements suitable to be used for green highway
- iii. To develop the scoring and weightage for Green Highway Energy Efficiency Index (GHEEI)
- iv. To develop a Computer based Green Highway Energy Efficiency Index (GHEEI) assessment for Malaysia

1.3 Scope of Research

The development of MyGHI involves five main criteria. MyGHI manual has been established with five main criteria. Those criteria are Material and Technology, Construction Activities and Sustainable Design, Environmental and Water Management, Social and Safety, and Energy Efficiency (LLM & UTM, 2014). Local building rating tools and oversea highway rating tools is reviewed and adopt into Energy Efficiency factors and elements of MyGHI.

This research focused on the issues regarding highway development in Peninsular Malaysia. The targeted project sites in this research are located in

Selangor, Kuala Lumpur and Johor. 140 respondents from Malaysia highway authority, highway concessionaires and highway consultants firms have been selected and undergo questionnaire survey plus validation process. The highway concessionaires are named by Malaysia Highway Authority. Their points of views, suggestions and comments have been considered in order to develop a significant outcome.

This research only focuses on the main criteria of Energy Efficiency. The other four main criteria in MyGHI are excluded in the scope of this research. The scope has been made to lead the extension of the Energy Efficiency criteria development of MyGHI from manual to Computer based assessment. The successful development of Computer based assessment tool in Energy Efficiency main criteria will become the reference or guidance to other researchers in other main criteria of MyGHI which recommended to be developed by other researchers in future research projects.

In addition, this research focuses only on highway development, while the other types of roads are not included. Although “penarafan Hijau JKR (pHJKR)” has coverage of measurement of performance of road; however, future researches are encouraged to extend the research to link the gap of the knowledge between highway and road requirements.

1.4 Significance of Research

Under this research, the first objective is the identification of main criteria that appropriate to use in Computer based assessment tools for green highway. It is important to figure out the criteria that have been considered in the current green highway assessment tools. Energy Efficiency main criteria would seem to have high consideration in current green rating tools. This is because the identified Energy

Efficiency Criteria is the basis in the development of assessment tool in this research. Identify the criteria correctly will lead to representative results after evaluation.

Then, second objective which is energy efficiency factors and elements for green highway is identified by comparison among tools used in the reference, survey questionnaire and focus group sitting. These factors and elements are the requirements that might fulfilled by user in undergoing evaluation on their project. The identified factors and elements are readily to category in the next step.

After that, the scoring and weightage of energy efficiency index in green highway is developed by using the statistical analysis tool and confirmed in focus group sitting. There is a good possibility that total scoring which resulted in certification level will introduce in the research. For example, certification level from Certified, Silver, Gold to Platinum. It is seem to be the rating indicator to the Computer based Energy Efficiency Index in Malaysia Green Highway.

The forth objective is the Computer based Energy Efficiency Index assessment in Malaysia Green Highway is developed, i.e. a computerise system that need to login by user in order to evaluate the performance of highway in terms of Energy Efficiency. The classification of highway by using the established Index can benefit the construction of highway in Malaysia in response to initiatives of sustainable development.

All the four Objectives have contributed to the significant of research. None of countries in South East Asia has developed the advanced computer based Energy Efficiency assessment tools. Furthermore, this Computer based Energy Efficiency Index assessment tool is developed based on tropical climate in Malaysia. For example, Malaysia naturally has an abundance of sunshine and solar radiation. This

condition make it possible to use solar as a source of energy and can be applied to enhance energy efficiency in highway infrastructure.

1.5 Original Contribution to the Body of Knowledge

Basically, energy consumption in a highway includes embodied energy from its materials manufacturing, construction, operation and maintenance process which causes the emission of harmful gas like carbon dioxide (CO₂). Eventually, it is a significant contributor that generates an enormous amount of hazardous gas emission. Thus, it is highly desirable to enhance energy efficiency in highways where the sustainable practices should be implemented in order to overcome the carbon emission problem.

Energy Efficiency Index in Malaysia Green Highway is a part of the criteria in Malaysia Green Highway Index (MyGHI). The Malaysia Green Highway Index (MyGHI) has been established and implemented in Malaysia which can be referred as the pioneer reference and guideline in the sustainable development of Green Highway in the country.

The establishment of Computer based Green Highway Energy Efficiency Index assessment will make the index more user-friendly. Stakeholders or Self Evaluator can explore and pre-evaluate their project without the help of facilitator. In this research a standalone installer will be available for the users to install in their laptop. However, the future extension to other four main criteria will offer a complete set of assessment for MyGHI. Thus is potential to be operated in web-based assessment.

In line with the introduction of the Computer based assessment tools to the industry, the e-performance assessment can be executed and communication between all the parties will be more efficient. There is also the potential of extension to blend to other four criterions of MyGHI. Thus, it is a milestone to form a comprehensive or integrated decision support tool of highway development in Malaysia.

Furthermore, the Computer based Energy Efficiency Index has been developed specifically for the unique of Malaysia tropical weather, environment, cultural and social needs. Thus, this research is very significant contribution to the benefits of the nation.

1.6 Outline of the Thesis

This thesis consist of six Chapters. A brief summary of each Chapter is outlined as below:

Chapter 1 comprises the introductory section which illustrates the reason for the direction of the research. It also states the research background, research problems, research Objectives, methodology, scope and Original Contribution to the Body of Knowledge.

Chapter 2 comprises the literature on sustainability in the green highway. Other content of this chapter is literature on Energy Efficiency and reference to the relevant green tools in research. This chapter also included the literature on current rating tools in Malaysia.

Chapter 3 presents the research design and methodology, including the research design, the participants involved, reliability and validity of the data, the

methods of data analysis to be employed and development of Computer based assessment tool.

Chapter 4 presents the results of comparative review from literature review, the data collection from survey questionnaires, refinement and validation through expert discussions. Furthermore, this chapter also shows the steps in achieving the Objectives.

Chapter 5 proposes a framework and approaches to develop a Computer based Green Highway Energy Efficiency Index (GHEEI). User manual of GHEEI is developed in this research.

Chapter 6 presents the conclusions, recommendations for future research and closing remark of this research.

REFERENCES

- Abdi, H. (2003). *Factor rotations in Factor Analyses*. In M. Lewis-Beck, A. Bryman, T. Futing (Eds): Encyclopedia for research methods for the social sciences. Thousand Oaks (CA): Sage.
- Ainee, F. (2012). *Energy Consumption And Potential Retrofitting of Highway Rest and Service Areas (RSAs)*. Master's thesis, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. Faculty of Civil Engineering.
- Ang, J. B. (2007). Economic development, pollutant emissions and energy consumption in Malaysia. *Journal of Policy Modeling* 30 (2008) 271–278
- Balubaid, S., Majid, M. Z., and Zakaria, R. (2014). Energy Efficiency Factors for Existing Toll Plazas. *Jurnal Teknologi (Sciences and Engineering)*. 70(7):27-32.
- Bessho, M. & Shimizu, K. (2012). Latest Trends in LED Lighting. *Electronics and Communications in Japan*, Vol. 95, No. 1, 2012
- Bryce, J. M. (2008). *Developing Sustainable Transportation Infrastructure: Exploring the Development and Implementation of a Green Highway Rating System*. ASTM WISE Intern Project. University of Missouri.
- Cattell, R. B. (ed.). 1966. *Handbook of Multivariate Experimental Psychology*. Chicago: Rand McNally.
- Chan, S. A. (2009). *Green Building Index- MS1525:2007 Seminar–Energy Efficiency Notes*. Pertubuhan Arkitek Malaysia. CPD Seminar 14th February 2009
- Chandran, V.G.R. et al (2010). Electricity consumption–growth nexus: The case of Malaysia. *Energy Policy* 38 (2010) 606–612
- CIDB (2012). *CIS 20:2012 Green Performance Assessment System in Construction*.
- Clark, M., Paulli, C., Tetreault, Z., and Thomas, J. (2009). *Green Guide for Roads Rating System*. Degree Thesis. Worcester Polytechnical Institute. 68.
- Clough, R. H., Sears, G. A., and Sears, S. K. (2005). *Construction contracting: A practical guide to company management*, 7th Ed., Wiley, New York.
- Cowell, J. (2000). *Essential Visual Basic 6.0 fast*. Springer, Verlag London

- Dien, J. (1998). Addressing misallocation of variance in principal components analysis of event-related potentials. *Brain Topography*, 11(1), 43-55.
- Edmonds, W. A., & Kennedy, T.D. (2012). *An applied reference guide to research designs: Quantitative, qualitative, and mixed methods*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Fabi, V., Andersen, R. V., Corgnati, S.P., Olesen, B. W., and Filippi, M. (2011). Description of Occupant Behaviour in Building Energy Simulation: State-of-Art And Concepts for Improvements. *Proceedings of Building Simulation 2011: 12th Conference of International Building Performance Simulation Association*, Sydney, 14-16 November.
- Farah, B. A. S. (2012). Penarafan Hijau JKR (pH JKR) .Mesyuarat Pegawai Kanan JKR. Jabatan Kerja Raya.
- Fatin, N. M. N., Intan, R. E., Roshana, T and Siti, Z. I. (2015). Green Highway for Malaysia: A Literature Review. *Journal of Civil Engineering and Architecture* 9 (2015) 64-71
- GBI (2009). GBI tools. GBI Non- Residential New Construction (NRNC) Tool. <http://new.greenbuildingindex.org/Files/Resources/GBI%20Tools/GBI%20NRNC%20Non-Residential%20Tool%20V1.0.pdf>. Accessed on 18/12/2016
- Green Guide for Roads. (2016). <https://www.greenalbertaenergy.ca/roads/>. Accessed on 18-5-2016
- Green Highways Partnership. (2008, September 4). Green Highways Partnership. Retrieved May 26, 2015 from Sustainable Transportation, Stormwater, Stewardship, Ecosystems, Recycling: <http://www.greenhighways.org/index.cfm>
- Hair, J.F., Black, B., Babin, B., Anderson, R. E., and Tatham, R. L. (1992). *Multivariate data analysis*. New York: Holt.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Barry J. Babin Rolph E. Anderson. (2014). *Multivariate Data Analysis*. Seventh Edition. Pearson Education Limited
- Hamid, Z. Kamar, K., Ghani, M., Zain, M. Rahim, A.(2011) Green Building Technology: The Construction Industry Perspective and Current Initiative, keynote address of Management in Construction Researchers' Association (MICRA) 10th Annual Conference and Meeting, IIUM, Kuala Lumpur, July 2011
- Hamid. Z., , Maria Zura Mohd Zain, Foo Chee Hung, Mohd Syarizal Mohd Noor, Ahmad Farhan Roslan, Nurulhuda Mat Kilau and Mukhtar Che Ali (2014).

- Towards A National Green Building Rating System For Malaysia*. Malaysian Construction Research Journal; Vol. 14/No. 1
- Hamzani, B. N. (2008). The Eco- Labeling On Sustainable Roof Materials. Master's thesis, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. Faculty of Civil Engineering
- Harvard University Graduate School of Design and the Institute for Sustainable Infrastructure. 2012. A Rating System for Sustainable Infrastructure. Envision™ Guidance Manual. USA .Version 2.
- Ho, R. (2014). Handbook of Univariate and Multivariate Data Analysis with IBM SPSS, Second Edition. Chapman & Hall/CRC: Boca Raton, Florida.
- Hsieh, C. Y., and Lin, M. L. (2004), "Introduction to the Promotion Strategies for Ecological Engineering Methods on Roadway Engineering," 2004 International Conference on Ecological Engineering Methods, Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C
- Huang, R. Y., and Yeh, C.H. (2008). Development of an assessment framework for green highway construction. *Journal of the Chinese Institute of Engineers*. Vol. 31, No. 4, 573-58.
- Hussein, J., Hamid, Z. Ghani. M. & Zain M. (2009) Towards Sustainable Construction: Malaysian Construction Industry Initiatives, *The Ingenieur*, Issue 44, pp. 8-15
- Illinois Department of Transportation. (2010), Illinois-Livable and Sustainable Transportation. I-LAST Rating System and Guide. Version 1.0.
- International Electrotechnical Commission. 2011. High-pressure sodium vapour lamps-Performance specifications. IEC 60662. Switzerland. Edition 2.0.
- Ismail, M. A. (2013) *Weightage factor for Malaysia green highway assessment*. Master's thesis, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Faculty of Civil Engineering.
- Ismail, M. A. et al (2013). Fundamental Elements of Malaysia Green Highway. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 284-287, 1194-1197
- JKR (2012). Pelancaran Polisi Pembangunan Lestari JKR. 2013. <https://www.jkr.gov.my/news/read/1389>. Accessed on 16/11/2013
- Kadir, Z.A., PJ 2016, '21 highways implement fully electronic toll system by end-2016'BERNAMA 5 January. Available from: <<http://www.bernama.com.my>>,[19 December 2016].
- Kaiser, H. F. (1974). An index of factorial simplicity. *Psychometrika*:39,31- 36
- KeTTHA (2011). Low Carbon Cities Framework (LCCF). <http://lccftrack.greentownship.my/files/LCCF-Brochure.pdf>. Accessed on 17-12-2016

- Landau, S. & Everitt, B. S. (2004). *A Handbook of Statistical Analyses Using SPSS*. Chapman and Hall/ CRC Press LLC
- Lauching of MyGHI.(2014).www.ilm.gov.my/announcement/news_detail/3111. Accessed on 17-12-2016
- Lee, J. et al (2011). Use Of BE²ST In-Highways For Green Highway Construction Rating In Wisconsin. *Green Streets and Highways 2010* © ASCE 2011
- Likert, R. (1932). A Technique for the Measurement of Attitudes. *Archives of Psychology*, 140, 1–55.
- LLM and UTM (2014). *Malaysia Green Highway Index (MyGHI) Manual Version 1.0*. Lembaga Lebuhraya Malaysia and Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Louis, J. N., Antonio Caloa, A. , Leiviskab, K., and Pongracza, E. (2016). Modelling home electricity management for sustainability: The impact of response levels, technological deployment & occupancy. *Energy and Buildings*. Volume 119, 1 May 2016, Pages 218–232
- Luis, L.P., Jos, O. and David, V. (2012). Revisiting energy efficiency fundamentals. *Energy Efficiency*. May 2013, Volume 6, Issue 2, pp 239–254
- Majid, M. Z. & McCaffer, R. (1997). Assessment of work performance of maintenance contractors in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of management in Engineering*. 13 (5), 91-91
- Majid, M. Z. et al. (2015). Assessment Index Tool for Green Highway In Malaysia. *Jurnal Teknologi (Sciences & Engineering)* 77:16 (2015) 99– 104
- Malaysia Highway Authority (2013). *Malaysia Green Highway Index V.1*.
- Malaysia Meteorological Department. 2011. *Monthly Weather Bulletin*. Retrieved 11 9, from official Portal Malaysian Meteorological Department: <http://www.met.gov.my>.
- Malletta, H. (2007). *Weighting*.www.spsstools.net/static/resources/weighting.pdf. Accessed on 17-12-2016
- Marquis, H, and Smith, E. A. (2000). *A Visual Basic 6 Programmer's Toolkit*. Apress media, LLC
- Mehreen,S. G. & Sandhya, P. (2014). Understanding the energy consumption and occupancy of a multi-purpose academic building. *Energy and Buildings* 87 (2015) 155–165
- Meloun, M. & Militky, J. (2011). *Statistical Data Analysis: A Practical Guide*. Woodhead Publishing, India (2011)

- MHA (2010). *Preliminary Guide to Nurture Green Highway In Malaysia*.
LLM/P/T8-10. First Edition, pp. 1-42.
- MHA (2016). Laporan Tahunan LLM 2016. Lembaga Lebuhraya Malaysia, Kajang.
- Muench, S.T., Anderson, J.L., Hatfield, J.P., Koester, J.R., & Söderlund, M. *et al.*
(2010). Greenroads Rating System v1.0. (J.L. Anderson and S.T. Muench, Eds.).
Seattle, WA: University of Washington.
- Muench, S. T., Anderson, J., and Bevan, T. (2010a). Greenroads: A Sustainability
Rating System for Roadways. *International Journal of Pavement Research and
Technology*. 3(5):270-279
- MyCREST (2014), Malaysian Carbon Reduction and Environmental Sustainability
Tool. Minister of Works.
- New York State Department of Transportation. 2010. Green Leadership in
Transportation and Environmental Sustainability. GreenLITES Project Design
Certification Program. New York. Version 2.1.0.
- Park, J. W. & Ahn, Y. H. (2015). Development of a green road rating system for South
Korea. *International Journal of Sustainable Building Technology and Urban
Development* 6(4):249-263 · October 2015
- Policy Framework. (2015). https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Policy_framework.
Accessed on 17-12-2016
- Ramani, T. L. et al(2011). Sustainability Enhancement Tool for State Departments of
Transportation Using Performance Measurement. *Journal of Transportation
Engineering*. (ASCE)TE.1943-5436.0000255
- Reddy, M. A. 2011. "Need of Green Highways in India for Sustainable
Development." Presented at 6th Symposium on National Frontiers of Engineering,
West Bengal, India. Accessed January 9, 2013.
[http://www.iith.ac.in/~natfoe/images/abstract/Abstract-Prof. Amaranatha
Reddy_Green_Highways.pdf](http://www.iith.ac.in/~natfoe/images/abstract/Abstract-Prof. Amaranatha Reddy_Green_Highways.pdf).
- REHDA. (2013). Green Real Estate GreenRE. <http://www.greenre.org/about-us.html>.
Accessed on 17-12-2016
- Rooshdi, R, Nurizan Ab Rahman, Nazurah Zahidah Umar Baki, Muhd Zaimi Abdul
Majid and Faridah Ismail. (2014). An evaluation of sustainable design and
construction criteria for Green Highway. *Procedia Environmental Sciences* 20
(2014) 180-186

- Roulet, C.A. (2013). Indoor environment quality and energy in buildings. Application to Mediterranean climate. International Conference “ENERGY in BUILDINGS 2013”.
- Sanguansat, P. (2012). Principal Component Analysis: The Basics of Linear Principal Components Analysis. Intech , Rijeka, Croatia, pp.181-206
- Sazally, S. PJ 2016, ‘Highway toll systems to go cashless’ *THE STAR* 24 May. Available from: <<http://www.thestar.com.my>>,[19 December 2016].
- Schreier, M. (2012). Qualitative content analysis in practice. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Siler, B and Spotts, J. (1998). Special Edition Using Visual Basic 6. Que
- Sim, Y. L., Putuhena, F. J., Law, P. L., and Baharun, A. (2014). Towards Implementation and Achievement of Construction and Environmental Quality in the Malaysian Construction Industry. *Malaysian Journal of Civil Engineering* 26(1):99-114
- Spencer, N. H. (2013). Essentials of Multivariate Data Analysis. Chapman & Hall/CRC: Boca Raton, Florida.
- Stemers, K. and Yun, G. Y. (2009) . Household Energy Consumption: A Study of the Role of Occupants. *Building Research & Information*. 37(5–6): 625–637.
- Subramanian, N. (2011). The Principles of Sustainable Building Design. Green Building with Concrete: Sustainable Design and Construction. CRC Press.
- The Green Team. (2009).www.greenbuildingindex.org/News/2009-03/20090301 - Blueprint Asia. Accessed on 17-12-2016
- Thomas, S., Heck, R., & Bauer, K. (2005). Weighting and adjusting for design effects in secondary data analysis. *New Directions for Institutional Research*, 2005 (127), 51–72.
- Tustanowski, R and Starks, J. (1996).The Visual Basic Programming Language. <http://groups.engin.umd.umich.edu/CIS/course.des/cis400/vbasic/vbasic.html>. Accessed on 17-12-2016
- UEM. (2012). PLUS: A Global Expressway Group - UEM Group Berhad. www.uem.com.my/images/Brochure/PLUS.pdf. Accessed on 19-12-2016

- University of Northern Iowa. (2009). The general steps for developing a Visual Basic application .www.cs.uni.edu/~fienup/cs030s09/lectures/lec2_questions.pdf. Accessed on 17-12-2016
- Verma, J. P. (2013). *Data Analysis in Management with SPSS Software*. Springer India
- Wang, Y. H. (2009). Sustainability in Construction Education. *Journal of professional issues in engineering education and practice*. Vol. 135, No.1
- Yu, Z. F., Benjamin C. M. Haghghat, Fariborz Yoshino, Hiroshi Morofsky, Edward. (2011). A Systematic Procedure to Study the Influence of Occupant Behavior on Building Energy Consumption. *Energy and Buildings*. 43(6): 1409–1417.
- Zakaria, R., Mohd. Ismid Mohd. Said, Jay Yang, Khairulzan Yahya and Zaiton Haron. (2009). Indoor Environment Sustainability of Residential- Industrial Housing in Malaysia. *Malaysian Journal of Civil Engineering* 21(1): 98-109
- Zakaria, R. et al. (2012). Identification of Energy Efficiency Criteria for Malaysia Green Highway. *Proceeding paper of 8th Asia Pacific Structural Engineering & Construction Conference*, No. 2(2012), Surabaya, Indonesia, pages 379-383.
- Zakaria, R. et al. (2013) *Energy Efficiency Elements for Malaysia Green Highway index*. The 9th International Conference of Geotechnical & Transportation Engineering (GEOTROPIKA) and The 1st International Conference on Construction and Building Engineering (ICONBUILD) – GEOCON2013.
- Zakaria, R. et al. (2013a). Energy Efficiency Criteria for Green Highways in Malaysia. *Jurnal Teknologi (Sciences and Engineering)*. 65(3):91-95.
- Zakaria, R. et al. (2013b). Energy Consumption and Potential Retrofitting of Rest and Service Areas (RSAs) in Malaysia Case Study. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 284-287, 1311-1314
- Zikmund, W., Babin, B., Carr, J., & Griffin, M. (2012). *Business Research Methods*. Cengage Learning.
- Zin, R. M., Hassan, J. S., Majid, M. Z., Balubaid, S., and Hainin, M. R. (2014). Building Energy Consumption in Malaysia: An Overview. *Jurnal Teknologi (Sciences and Engineering)*. 70:7 (2014) 33–38
- Ziuku, S. and Meyer, E. L. (2012). Mitigating climate change through renewable energy and energy efficiency in the residential sector in South Africa. *International Journal of Renewable Energy Technology Research*. Vol. 2, No. 1, PP: 33 - 43