

CREEP AND SHRINKAGE PERFORMANCE OF KENAF BIO FIBROUS  
CONCRETE COMPOSITES

OGUNBODE EZEKIEL BABATUNDE

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirement for the award of the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy (Civil Engineering)

Faculty of Civil Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

DECEMBER 2017

## DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my beloved wife Eunice Seyi and children Ezekiel Oreoluwa, Emmanuel Ireoluwa and Elisha Oreoluwa for their endless love, support, sacrifice, and encouragement.

*“Thank you for all the patience and endurance during this PhD voyage.”*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Praise is to God, the Lord of the world. My profound gratitude is to Al-Mighty God first, by whose will and power this thesis report came into being.

I wish to express my sincere and profound gratitude to my main thesis supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Jamaludin Mohamad Yatim for his continuing assistance, the encouragement, guidance, critics and understanding throughout the period of my studies. The trust, patience, great insight, modesty and friendly personality demonstrated by him have always been my source of inspiration. I am also very grateful to my thesis co-supervisor, Dr. Yunus Mohd Bin Ishak. He's wonderful personality cannot be quantified.

The author is greatly indebted to Faculty of Civil Engineering (FKA) for the support and facilities provided to carry out the experimental work. Same goes to the academic and non-academic staff of the faculty for their support, assistance and friendly treatment that facilitated the work. I remain immensely grateful for the financial support by the management of Federal University of Technology, Minna, Nigeria, through Federal Government of Nigeria Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETFUND). Same goes to UTM International Doctoral Fellowship (IDF).

The patience demonstrated by my lovely wife Eunice Seyi and children Ezekiel Oreoluwa, Emmanuel Ireoluwa and Elisha Ooreoluwa during this study is gratefully acknowledged. Same goes to my lovely parent Mr Simeon O. Ogunbode and Mrs Victoria Ogunbode. I appreciate the love bestowed on me and my family by my siblings, Femi, Solomon and Seyi Ogunbode. Dr. and Mrs Olukowi P., Assoc. Prof. Dr. and Mrs Akanmu W.P., Mr and Mrs Adeyi Sam., Mr Olaiju O.A., and Mr and Mrs Sunday Adewale. I will eternally be grateful to you, words cannot appreciate you enough. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Jimoh R.A. and my fellow colleagues in the Department of Building FUT Minna, are appreciated. Finally, the cooperation enjoyed by my research colleagues is highly appreciated.

## ABSTRACT

Fibrous Concrete Composite (FCC) is a high performance concrete that possesses an improved tensile strength and ductility with restraint to shrinkage and creep under sustained load compared to Plain Concrete (PC). As a result of global quest for sustainable, renewable and green materials to achieve a bio based economy and low carbon foot print environment, the use of fibre to produce fibrous concrete composite has continuously received significant research attention. While several researches have been conducted on metallic and synthetic fibrous concretes, they exhibit several unavoidable drawbacks and bio fibrous concrete has been proved to be a better alternative. This research investigates the creep and shrinkage performance of concrete reinforced with Kenaf bio fibre. After material characterization, concrete reinforced with fibre optimum volume fraction of 0.5% and length of 50 mm was used for the study. The fresh and hardened properties of the concrete were studied under short term quasi static loading. Thereafter, the compressive creep test, uniaxial tensile creep test and flexural creep test at 25% and 35% stress levels at creep loading ages of 7 and 28-day hydration period were conducted. The long term deformation behaviour of the Kenaf Bio Fibrous Concrete Composite (KBFCC) was observed and monitored. Results show that the compressive creep strains of KBFCC is 60.88% greater than the PC, but the deformation behaviour of the specimens shows 33.78% improvement in ductility. Also, uniaxial tensile creep response of fibrous concrete deforms at the rate of 0.00283 mm/day and 0.00702 mm/day at 25% and 35% stress level respectively, but the deformation rate becomes insignificant after 90 days due to the presence of fibre. In addition, the flexural creep test reveals that 0.064 mm/day and 0.073 mm/day deformation rate at 25% stress level of the KBFCC becomes less significant after 40 days of loading. The outcome of the morphology image analysis on the concrete composite shows that Kenaf fibres act as bridges across the cracks, which enhances the load-transfer capacity of the matrix, thus influencing the long term performance of KBFCC. Accordingly, statistical analysis shows that the CEB-FIP creep model is the best fit model for predicting compressive and tensile creep of KBFCC, while EC2 creep and shrinkage models are for predicting flexural creep and shrinkage strain of KBFCC, respectively. A creep and shrinkage prediction model is proposed based on the experimental data for better prediction of KBFCC. Conclusively, KBFCC exhibits appreciable shrinkage, tensile and flexural strength under static short term and long term sustained loads compared to PC.

## ABSTRAK

Komposit konkrit bergentian (FCC) merupakan konkrit yang berkualiti tinggi yang mempunyai kekuatan tegangan dan kekangan kemuluran yang diperbaharui kepada pengecutan dan rayapan di bawah beban sekata berbanding dengan konkrit biasa (PC). Hasil daripada usaha global untuk bahan lestari, diperbaharui dan hijau bagi mencapai ekonomi berasaskan bio dan alam sekitar berkarbon rendah, maka penggunaan gentian bagi menghasilkan komposit konkrit bergentian terus mendapat perhatian yang ketara dalam bidang penyelidikan. Walaupun beberapa kajian telah dijalankan terhadap konkrit berserat metalik dan sintetik, kajian itu menunjukkan beberapa kekurangan yang tidak dapat dielakkan dan konkrit bergentian bio telah terbukti sebagai pilihan alternatif yang lebih baik. Kajian ini mengkaji prestasi rayapan dan pengecutan konkrit bertetulang dengan gentian bio Kenaf. Setelah pencirian bahan, konkrit bertetulang dengan gentian pecahan isipadu optimum sebanyak 0.5% dan panjang 50 mm digunakan untuk kajian ini. Ciri-ciri konkrit yang baharu dan keras telah dikaji di bawah beban statik kuasi jangka pendek. Seterusnya, ujian rayapan mampatan, ujian rayapan tegangan tidak berpaksi dan ujian rayapan lenturan pada 25% dan 35% tahap tekanan pada umur pengambilan rayapan 7 dan 28 hari tempoh penghidratan telah dijalankan. Tingkah laku Komposit Konkrit Bergentian Kenaf Bio (KBFCC) kepada perubahan bentuk dalam tempoh jangka panjang telah dikenal pasti dan dipantau. Keputusan ujian telah menunjukkan bahawa perubahan rayapan mampatan KBFCC adalah 60.88% lebih besar daripada konkrit biasa, tetapi perubahan bentuk tingkah laku terhadap spesimen menunjukkan 33.78% peningkatan dalam kemuluran. Selain itu, tindak balas serapan tegangan tidak berpaksi terhadap konkrit bergentian masing-masing berubah bentuk pada kadar 0.00283 mm/hari dan 0.00702 mm/hari pada tahap tekanan 25% dan 35%, tetapi kadar perubahan bentuk menjadi tidak berubah selepas 90 hari dengan kehadiran gentian. Di samping itu, ujian rintangan lenturan menunjukkan kadar perubahan bentuk pada 0.064 mm/hari dan 0.073 mm/hari dengan tahap tekanan 25% daripada KBFCC menjadi tidak ketara selepas 40 hari pembebanan. Hasil analisis imej morfologi pada komposit konkrit menunjukkan bahawa gentian Kenaf bertindak sebagai agen pengikat yang merentasi retak, yang meningkatkan kapasiti pemindahan beban matriks, justeru mempengaruhi prestasi KBFCC dalam jangka masa yang panjang. Dengan demikian, analisis statistik menunjukkan bahawa model rayapan CEB-FIP merupakan model terbaik untuk menganggarkan mampatan dan rayapan tegangan KBFCC, manakala masing-masing model rayapan dan pengecutan EC2 pula menganggarkan lenturan rayapan dan tegangan pengecutan KBFCC. Model anggaran rayapan dan pengecutan dicadangkan berdasarkan data eksperimen untuk ramalan KBFCC yang lebih baik. Secara kesimpulannya, KBFCC mempamerkan pengecutan, kekuatan tegangan dan lenturan yang ketara di bawah beban jangka pendek dan jangka panjang yang dapat menahan beban statik berbanding PC.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	ii
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	iv
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	vii
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xviii
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xxii
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xxxix
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	xxxix
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xxxix
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 General Appraisal	1
	1.2 Background of the Problem	3
	1.3 Statement of the Problem	5
	1.4 Aim and Objectives	7
	1.5 Scope of the Study	7
	1.6 Thesis Organization	9
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>11</b>
	2.1 Introduction	11
	2.2 Fibres	11
	2.3 Concept of Fibrous Concrete Composite (FCC)	12
	2.3.1 Composite	12
	2.3.2 Bio-Composites	14

2.3.3 Fibrous Concrete Composite (FCC)	14
2.4 Bio Fibres	18
2.4.1 Properties of Bio Fibres	22
2.4.2 Bio Fibre Moisture Content	23
2.4.2.1 Kinetics of Water Absorption	24
2.4.2.2 Mechanism of Water Transport	25
2.4.3 Bio Fibre Chemical Surface Treatment	26
2.4.4 Bio Fibre Alkaline Surface Treatment	27
2.5 Effects of Fibre Volume Ratio and Length of Fibre	29
2.6 Properties of Bio Fibrous Concrete Composites	30
2.7 Characteristics of Kenaf Fibre	35
2.7.1 Economy of Kenaf Fibres	38
2.7.2 Physical and Mechanical of Kenaf Fibre Properties	39
2.7.3 Interface Properties between Kenaf Fibre and Matrix	41
2.7.4 Properties of Kenaf Fibrous Composites	41
2.8 Kenaf Bio Fibrous Concrete Composites (KBFCC) and its Mixture Properties	42
2.8.1 Compression Properties of KBFCC	43
2.8.2 Tension Properties of KBFCC	45
2.8.3 Flexural Properties of KBFCC	47
2.9 Elastic Modulus of Concrete	48
2.9.1 Elastic Modulus Prediction by ACI-318	49
2.9.2 Elastic Modulus Prediction by BS 8110	50
2.9.3 Elastic Modulus Prediction by CEB-FIP 1990	50
2.9.4 Elastic Modulus Prediction by AS 3600	51
2.9.5 Elastic Modulus Prediction by EC 2	52
2.10 Concept of Long Term Behaviour of Fibrous Concrete Composite	53
2.10.1 Characteristics of Long Term Deformations	53
2.10.2 Long-Term Deformations Behaviour and Mechanisms	54
2.10.3 The Mechanism Initiating Creep in Concrete	56
2.10.4 Creep behaviour of Concrete under Sustained Load	56
2.10.5 Shrinkage Performance of Concrete	57

2.10.6	Importance of Studying Shrinkage of Concrete	58
2.10.7	Previous Studies on Creep and Shrinkage deformation of Fibrous Concrete Composites	58
2.11	Criteria for Derivation and Analysis of Creep and Shrinkage Model	60
2.11.1	Creep Model Derivation	61
2.11.2	Derivation of Creep Coefficient	63
2.11.2.1	Hyperbolic Expression	63
2.11.2.2	Power Expression	64
2.11.2.3	Logarithmic Expression	65
2.11.2.4	Exponential Expression	66
2.11.2.5	Double Power Law	66
2.11.2.6	Triple Power Law	67
2.11.3	Derivation of Shrinkage Strain	68
2.11.4	Findings on Creep and Shrinkage deformation behaviour of Fibrous Concrete Composites	68
2.12	Summary of Research Gap	77
<b>3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>79</b>
3.1	Introduction	79
3.2	Experimental Framework	80
3.2.1	Compressive Creep Testing Details	83
3.2.2	Uniaxial Tensile Creep Testing Details	84
3.2.3	Flexural Creep Testing Details	85
3.3	Concrete Materials	87
3.3.1	Kenaf Fibre	88
3.3.2	Ordinary Portland Cement	89
3.3.3	Crushed Coarse Aggregate	90
3.3.4	Fine Aggregate	90
3.3.5	Water	90
3.3.6	Sodium Hydroxide (NaOH)	91
3.3.7	Superplasticizer	92
3.4	Experimental Work for Kenaf Fibre Characterisation	92
3.4.1	Pre-treatment of Kenaf Fibre	93



3.4.2	Chemical Treatment of Kenaf Fibre	94
3.4.3	Water Sorption	95
3.4.3.1	Kinetics of Water Absorption	96
3.4.4	Tensile Test on Kenaf Fibre	97
3.4.5	Surface Morphology of Kenaf Fibre	98
3.5	Experimental Work on Kenaf Fibre Optimum Length and Volume Fraction for the Production of KBFCC	99
3.5.1	Kenaf Fibre Inclusion in Concrete Mix	99
3.5.2	Concrete Mix Design and Optimization	100
3.5.3	KBFCC Mixing Procedure	102
3.5.4	Details of Formwork and Hardened Concrete Specimen	104
3.5.5	Assemblage of Moulds for Uniaxial Tensile Investigation	106
3.6	Test Setup for Fresh and Hardened Properties of KBFCC	107
3.6.1	Fresh Concrete Properties Test	107
3.6.1.1	Slump Test (ASTM C143/C143M, 2015)	108
3.6.1.2	Vebe Test (BS EN, 12350-3: 2009)	108
3.6.1.3	Compacting Factor Test (BS 1881-103: 1993)	109
3.6.2	Hardened Concrete Properties Test of KBFCC	110
3.6.2.1	Initial Surface Absorption (BS 1881: Part 208, 1996)	112
3.6.2.2	Porosity and Water Absorption Test (ASTM C642/C642M, 2013)	113
3.6.2.3	Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity (UPV) Test (ASTM C597/C597M, 2009)	115
3.6.2.4	Compressive Strength Test (ASTM C39/C39M, 2012 and BS EN 12390-3 (2002)	116
3.6.2.5	Indirect Tensile Strength Test (ASTM C496/C496M, 2011)	118
3.6.2.6	Flexural Strength Test (ASTM C78/C78M, 2010)	119
3.6.2.7	Uniaxial Tensile Strength Test (Method by Babafemi and Boshoff, 2015)	120

3.6.2.8 Modulus of Elasticity Test (ASTM C469/C469M, 2014)	122
3.6.2.9 Drying Shrinkage Test (ASTM C157/C157M, 2008)	123
3.7 Preliminary Short Term Compressive Creep Test on Different Specimen Sizes	125
3.8 Experiment Test Controlled Room	126
3.9 Long-Term Performance Test on the PC and KBFCC	127
3.9.1 Compressive Creep Test on Specimens	127
3.9.1.1 Compressive Creep Samples Preparation	127
3.9.1.2 Compressive Creep Test Apparatus	128
3.9.1.3 Compressive Creep Test Procedures	130
3.9.1.4 Compressive Creep Calculations	131
3.9.2 Uniaxial Tensile Creep Test on Specimens	132
3.9.2.1 Uniaxial Tensile Creep Samples Preparation	133
3.9.2.2 Uniaxial Tensile Creep Test Apparatus	133
3.9.2.3 Uniaxial Tensile Creep Test Procedures	134
3.9.2.4 Uniaxial Tensile Creep Calculations	136
3.9.3 Flexural Creep Test on Specimens	137
3.9.3.1 Flexural Creep Samples Preparation	137
3.9.3.2 Flexural Creep Test Apparatus	138
3.9.3.3 Flexural Creep Test Procedures	141
3.10 Theoretical Development and Evaluation of Prediction Models of Concrete Creep and Shrinkage	142
3.10.1 Creep Model Code	144
3.10.1.1 CEB-FIP Model Code for Creep	144
3.10.1.2 ACI 209 Model for Creep	146
3.10.1.3 Eurocode 2 (EC 2) Model for Creep	148
3.10.1.4 AS 3600 Model Code for Creep	148
3.10.2 Shrinkage Model Code	149
3.10.2.1 CEB-FIP Model Code for Shrinkage	149
3.10.2.2 ACI 209 Model Code for Shrinkage	151
3.10.2.3 Eurocode 2 (EC 2) Model for Shrinkage	152
3.10.2.4 AS 3600 Model for Shrinkage	153

3.10.3	Evaluation of the Creep and Shrinkage Prediction Models	154
3.10.4	Comparison of Existing Creep Prediction Models to Experimental Creep Test Results	155
3.11	Summary on the Research Materials and Methods	158
<b>4</b>	<b>EXPERIMENTAL CHARACTERIZATION OF MATERIALS, FRESH AND HARDENED STATE PROPERTIES OF KBFCC</b>	<b>159</b>
4.1	Introduction	159
4.2	Characteristics of Kenaf Fibre	159
4.2.1	Physical Properties of Kenaf Fibre	160
4.2.1.1	Kenaf Fibre Surface Modification, Appearance and Morphology	160
4.2.1.2	Effect of Fibre Treatment on Kenaf Fibre Mechanism of Sorption (Water Uptake)	162
4.2.1.3	Mechanism of Water Transport	164
4.2.1.4	Kenaf Fibre Diameter	165
4.2.1.5	Kenaf Fibre Density	167
4.2.2	Tensile Properties of Kenaf fibre	168
4.2.2.1	Kenaf Fibre in Untreated State	168
4.2.2.2	Kenaf fibre in treated state	170
4.3	Characteristics of Coarse Aggregate	171
4.3.1	Physical Properties of Coarse Aggregate	171
4.3.2	Grading of coarse aggregate	173
4.4	Characteristic of Fine Aggregate	174
4.4.1	Physical Characteristic of Fine Aggregate	174
4.4.2	Grading of Fine Aggregate	175
4.5	Results of Fresh State Properties of PC and KBFCC	176
4.5.1	Workability	177
4.5.1.1	Effect of Kenaf Fibre on the Slump of Concrete	177
4.5.1.2	Effect of Kenaf Fibre on the Vebe of Concrete	179

4.5.1.3 Effect of Kenaf Fibre on the Compacting Factor of Concrete	180
4.5.1.4 Correlation between Vebe Time and Slump of PC and KBFCC	181
4.5.1.5 Correlation between Compacting Factor and Slump of PC and KBFCC	182
4.5.1.6 Correlation between Compacting Factor and Vebe Time of PC and KBFCC	183
4.5.2 Importance of the Correlation between Variables Associated with Fresh Properties of PC and KBFCC	184
4.5.3 Fresh Density	185
4.6 Results of Hardened State Properties of PC and KBFCC	186
4.6.1 Hardened State Density of PC and KBFCC	186
4.6.2 Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity of PC and KBFCC	187
4.6.3 Correlation between Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity (UPV) and Compressive Strength (CPS) of Concrete	188
4.6.4 Porosity and Water Permeability (Water Absorption) of PC and KBFCC	190
4.6.5 Initial Surface Absorption of PC and KBFCC	191
4.6.6 Influence of Kenaf Fibre Reinforcement on the Strength and Deformation Characteristics of PC and KBFCC under Compression, Tension and Flexure.	195
4.6.6.1 Compressive Strength of PC and KBFCC	195
4.6.6.2 Indirect Splitting Tensile Strength of PC and KBFCC	197
4.6.6.3 Uniaxial tensile (Direct Tensile) Strength of PC and KBFCC	199
4.6.6.4 Flexural Strength of PC and KBFCC	204
4.6.6.5 Elastic Modulus of PC and KBFCC	206
4.6.6.6 Relationship between Experimental and Predictive Model of Elastic Modulus of PC and KBFCC	209
4.6.6.7 Poisson's Ratio of PC and KBFCC	212
4.6.7 Shrinkage of PC and KBFCC	212

4.7	Preliminary Compressive Creep Experimental Results	216
4.7.1	Effect of Specimen Size on Compressive Creep Test	216
4.8	Summary of Materials Characterization, Fresh and Hardened State Properties of KBFCC	220
<b>5</b>	<b>EXPERIMENTAL TESTING ON THE INTERFACE MORPHOLOGIES OF KBFCC</b>	<b>222</b>
5.1	Introduction	222
5.2	Microstructural Analysis	222
5.3	Scanning Electron Microscopy	223
5.4	Interfaces in KBFCC	226
5.5	Summary of the KBFCC Interface Morphologies Study	228
<b>6</b>	<b>CREEP AND SHRINKAGE PERFORMANCE OF KBFCC</b>	<b>229</b>
6.1	Introduction	229
6.2	Compressive Creep of PC and KBFCC	229
6.2.1	Analysis of Compressive Creep Test Results of PC and KBFCC	230
6.2.1.1	Effects of Kenaf Fibre Inclusion on Compressive Creep Performance of Concrete	230
6.2.1.2	Loading Condition (Stress Level) Effects on Compressive Creep Performance of PC and KBFCC	232
6.2.1.3	Loading Age Condition Effects on Compressive Creep Performance of PC and KBFCC	233
6.2.1.4	Relationship between Compressive Strength and Compressive Creep Strain	234
6.2.2	Compressive Creep Coefficient	237
6.2.2.1	Loading Conditions (Stress Level) Effects on Compressive Creep Coefficient	237
6.2.2.2	Loading Age (Curing Conditions) Effects on Compressive Creep Coefficient	238

6.2.2.3 Fibre Inclusion Effects on Compressive Creep Coefficient	239
6.2.2.4 Compressive Strength Effects at loading Age on Compressive Creep Coefficient	241
6.2.2.5 Trend Analysis on Compressive Creep Coefficient and Standard code Models	243
6.2.2.6 Statistical Comparison for Compressive Creep Coefficient and Standard Code Models	245
6.3 Uniaxial Tensile Creep of PC and KBFCC	248
6.3.1 Analysis of Uniaxial Tensile Creep Test Results of PC and KBFCC	248
6.3.1.1 Uniaxial Tensile Creep Results of Pre-Cracked PC and KBFCC specimens	249
6.3.1.2 Uniaxial Tensile Creep Coefficient of Pre-cracked PC and KBFCC Specimens	252
6.3.1.3 Trend Analysis on Tensile Creep Coefficient and Standard Code Models	254
6.4 Flexural Creep of PC and KBFCC	258
6.4.1 Analysis of Flexural Creep Test Results of PC and KBFCC	258
6.4.1.1 Load-Deflection of Flexural Test of PC and KBFCC Specimen for Creep	259
6.4.1.2 Load-Deflection Behaviour of PC and KBFCC Beam Specimen for Creep	260
6.4.1.3 Effect of Kenaf Fibre on Toughness of KBFCC Beam Specimen for Flexural Creep	264
6.4.2 Flexural Creep Results of Pre-cracked PC and KBFCC Specimens	xvi 267
6.4.2.1 Effects of Loading Condition (Stress Level) on Flexural Creep Performance of PC and KBFCC	268
6.4.2.2 Effects of Loading Age Condition (moist curing) on Flexural Creep Performance of PC and KBFCC	270

6.4.2.3	Trend Analysis on Flexural Creep Coefficient and Standard Code Models	271
6.4.2.4	Statistical Comparison for Flexural Creep Coefficient and Standard Code Models	272
6.5	Proposed Prediction Model for PC and KBFCC Creep Coefficient	275
6.5.1	Evaluation Methods on the Goodness of Fit	275
6.6	Shrinkage of PC and KBFCC	279
6.6.1	Analysis of Shrinkage Test Results of PC and KBFCC	280
6.6.1.1	Effects of Kenaf Fibre Inclusion on Shrinkage Performance of Concrete	280
6.6.1.2	Curing Condition (Moist Curing) Effects on Shrinkage Performance of PC and KBFCC	283
6.6.1.3	Trend Analysis on Shrinkage Strain and Standard Code Models	284
6.6.1.4	Statistical Comparison for Shrinkage Strain and Standard Code Models	285
6.7	Proposed PC and KBFCC shrinkage strain Prediction model	288
6.8	Summary of Results on Creep and Shrinkage Analysis	289
<b>7</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</b>	<b>293</b>
7.1	Introduction	293
7.2	The Physical and Mechanical Properties of Concrete Containing Kenaf Fibre at Varying Fibre Lengths and Volume Fractions.	293
7.3	The Effect of Kenaf Fibre on the Shrinkage and Creep Properties of KBFCC.	294
7.4	Microstructure Characteristics of KBFCC	295
7.5	Analytical Model for Estimation of Compressive, Tensile and Flexural Creep Behaviour of KBFCC	296
7.6	Significance of the Research	297
7.7	Recommendation for Future Studies	298

**REFERENCES**

**300**

Appendices A-G

324-336



## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	List of some common bio fibres, origin and species [83]	20
2.2	Mechanical properties of some bio fibres compared to E glass and carbon fibre [85,87,88]	20
2.3	Cost and density of available fibres in the market [89]	21
2.4	Various types of bio fibres chemical conformation [89]	21
2.5	Basic properties of various bio fibres [89]	22
2.6	Advantages and disadvantages of bio fibre [90]	23
2.7	Physical and chemical properties of treated and untreated agave fibre[129]	28
2.8	Mechanical properties of several types of bio fibres [136]	31
2.9	Typical properties of bio fibres [136]	31
2.10	Issues affecting properties of bio fibrous concrete composites [144]	33
2.11	Influence of fibre length and volume fraction on strength factors of jute fibrous cement composites [136,157]	34
2.12	Appraisal of the properties of elephant-grass fibre reinforced roofing Sheets with those reinforced with asbestos fibres [150,153]	34
2.13	Kenaf fibres properties reported by some researchers.	39
2.14	Kenaf fibres and E-glass fibres properties [191]	40
2.15	Published Research articles related to Kenaf fibre between 2007 and 2015	42
2.16	KBFCC mixture proportion [35]	43
2.17	28 day splitting tensile strength of KBFCC cylinders [35]	46

2.18	Test setups for uniaxial direct tensile (notched prisms/cylinder specimens) [54]	47
2.19	Typical range for the static elastic modulus at 28 days for normal weight concrete (Table 7.2, BS 8110 [234])	50
2.20	Effect of type of aggregate on elastic modulus (Table 2.1.5, CEB-FIP [235])	51
2.21	Summarized creep deformation rate values of fibrous concrete	72
2.22	Published research articles on creep of fibrous concrete in previous years.	74
3.1	Creep test parameters on PC and KBFCC specimens	87
3.2	Physical, mechanical and chemical characteristics of Kenaf fibres [278].	88
3.3	A typical ordinary portland cement chemical composition	89
3.4	Typical properties of Rheobuild 1100 superplasticiser	92
3.5	PC mix design	101
3.6	Details of Mixture	102
3.7	Summary of specimen type and amount used in the experiment	105
3.8	Initial surface absorption rating	113
3.9	Classification of the quality of concrete on the basis of pulse velocity	116
3.10	Details of short-term creep test	126
3.11	Required percentage creep loads for pre-cracked creep specimens	135
3.12	Summary of the factors considered in predicting creep and shrinkage by different standard model codes	155
4.1	Values of $M_{\infty}$ for the treated and untreated Kenaf fibres in distilled water at 25°C temperatures	164
4.2	Values of $n$ , $k$ , diffusion coefficient ( $D$ ), sorption coefficient ( $S$ ) and permeability ( $P$ ) for the treated and untreated Kenaf fibres in distilled water at 25°C temperatures	165

4.3	Statistical parameter of Kenaf fibre diameter	166
4.4	The result of Kenaf fibre density.	167
4.5	Un-treated and treated Kenaf fibre tensile properties in virgin and swollen state	169
4.6	Physical properties of coarse aggregate	172
4.7	Physical properties of fine aggregate	175
4.8	Summary of relationship between respective fresh properties of the KBFCC from linear regression.	185
4.9	Summary of relationship between respective fresh properties of the KBFCC from multiple regression.	185
4.10	Summary of relationship between compressive strength (CPS) and UPV for each fibre length ( $l_f$ ) in KBFCC	189
4.11	Total porosity and water absorption of PC and KBFCC at varying fibre content and length	191
4.12	Load carrying capacity with increase in crack mouth opening of KBFCC specimen	203
4.13	Experimental elastic modulus results	208
4.14	Comparison of elastic modulus between standard codes and results from experiment on PC and KBFCC	210
4.15	28 days Plain concrete mechanical and physical properties	216
4.16	Relationship of compressive creep coefficient between 100 mm diameter x 200 mm and 150 mm diameter x 300 mm specimen	220
6.1	Regression analysis on relationship amongst compressive strength and creep strain using Equation 5.1	236
6.2	Regression analysis on relationship of compressive strength to creep coefficient using Equation 6.2	241
6.3	Mean residual ( $R_e$ ) of compressive creep coefficient for specimens loaded at 7 and 28 days	246
6.4	Ranking of the overall compressive creep coefficient of the prediction models	247
6.5	Total uniaxial creep CMOD after 180 days	252
6.6	Uniaxial tensile creep consideration	253

6.7	Mean residual ( $R_e$ ) of tensile creep coefficient for specimens loaded at 28 days	256
6.8	Ranking of the overall tensile creep coefficient of the prediction models	257
6.9	Loads required for fibre activation and flexural creep loading.	259
6.10	Load-deflection ( $L-d$ ) relationship of mid span for PC 7 days beam at flexural test.	262
6.11	Load-deflection ( $L-d$ ) relationship of mid span for PC 28 days beam at flexural test.	262
6.12	Load-deflection ( $L-d$ ) relationship of mid span for KBFCC 7 days beam at flexural test.	262
6.13	Load-deflection ( $L-d$ ) relationship of mid span for KBFCC 28 days beam at flexural test.	262
6.14	Area covered under the load deflection curve of PC and KBFCC specimen	265
6.15	Mean residual ( $R_e$ ) of flexural creep coefficient for specimens loaded at 28 days	273
6.16	Ranking of the overall flexural creep coefficient of the prediction models	274
6.17	Regression analysis values from curve fitting expression of the proposed creep coefficient model	279
6.18	Test result on shrinkage strains of the PC and KBFCC mixtures evaluated at various curing ages	281
6.19	Mean residual ( $R_e$ ) of shrinkage strain for specimens loaded at 7 and 28 days	286
6.20	Ranking of the overall shrinkage strain of the prediction models	287
6.21	Regression analysis values from curve fitting expression of the experimental data of shrinkage strain	289

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Classification of fibres	12
2.2	Tensile reaction categorization of cementitious composites [60]	15
2.3	Bio fibre classifications [82]	18
2.4	Structure of bio fibre [83]	19
2.5	Chemical structure of cellulose [81]	19
2.6	Lignin structure [81]	19
2.7	Tensile strength of alkaline treated of Kenaf fibres [129]	29
2.8	Effect of fibre content on the bending strength of Basalt Fibre [133]	30
2.9	(a) A photograph of Kenaf plant (b) Photograph of the cross-section of Kenaf plant stem (c) Photograph of a Kenaf fibre	36
2.10	SEM images of untreated and treated surface of Kenaf fibre (a) Untreated Kenaf fibre (b) Treated Kenaf fibre [199]	40
2.11	SEM images of the 5% alkali solution at 3hr immersion time alkaline treatment on Kenaf fibre (a) Untreated Kenaf fibre (b) Treated Kenaf fibre [199]	40
2.12	Influence of fibre volume fraction on compressive strength of KBFCC at 28 days [35]	44
2.13	Effect of w/c ratio on 28 day strength of plain concrete. Adapted from [224]	44
2.14	Stress–strain curve for PC and KBFCC cylinders at 28 days [35].	45

2.15	Mid-span load–deflection behaviour of (a) control and (b) 1.2% KBFCC prisms at 28 days [35].	48
2.16	Hydrated cement paste and water type in it [14]	53
2.17	(a) Total strain of concrete with time (b) general form of $\epsilon$ -t curve for materials subjected to creep [239].	54
2.18	Strain-time relationship of concrete under sustained loading [248].	57
2.19	Creep isochrones [33]	62
2.20	Creep compliance function [33]	63
2.21	Uni-axial tensile creep performance of pre-cracked samples [267]	71
2.22	Summary of research gap	78
3.1	Experimental framework	82
3.2	Curled long Kenaf fibre	88
3.3	Ordinary portland cement	89
3.4	Distilled Water Machine	91
3.5	Sodium Hydroxide (NaOH)	92
3.6	(a) Kenaf fibre grouped after cutting (b) Untreated Kenaf Fibre (c) Treated Kenaf Fibre	93
3.7	Treatment process: (a) pre-treatment (b) immersion in NaOH (c) distilled water for washing fibre (d) drying of treated fibre	95
3.8	Fibre tensile properties testing machine	98
3.9	SEM testing machine: (a) auto fine coater (b) SEM analysis machine set up	99
3.10	Chopped Kenaf fibre	100
3.11	Revolving pan mixer for concrete	103
3.12	Mixing procedure for KBFCC	104
3.13	Steel mounds; (a) Cubes (100 x 100 x 100 mm), (b) Prisms (100 x 100 x 500 mm), and (c) Cylinder (100 mm x 200 mm).	105
3.14	Fabricated wooden mounds: (a) Prisms for shrinkage (100 x 100 x 285 mm) (b) Prisms for uniaxial tensile	

	creep test (100 x 100 x 500 mm) and (c) Prisms for flexural creep test (75 x 75 x 600 mm).	106
3.15	Steel hooks and moulds with steel hooks protruding from the ends	107
3.16	Details of schematic representation of wooden block	107
3.17	An operational procedures for slump test: (a) Abrams slump apparatus, (b and c) Measurement of slump	108
3.18	Operational procedures for Vebe test	109
3.19	Operational procedures for compacting factor test	110
3.20	Specimens for the respective hardened properties test	111
3.21	Initial surface absorption tests	112
3.22	Setup for the determination of total porosity and water absorption.	115
3.23	An operational procedure for ultrasonic pulse velocity (UPV) test	116
3.24	An operational procedure for compressive strength test	118
3.25	An operational procedure for splitting tensile strength test	119
3.26	An operational procedure for flexural strength test	120
3.27	An operational procedure for uniaxial tensile strength test	121
3.28	An operational procedure for modulus of elasticity test	123
3.29	An operational sequence for Shrinkage test.	125
3.30	Controlled room measuring and monitoring device	127
3.31	Schematic representation of creep test set-up for standard size specimens	129
3.32	An operational procedure for compression creep test	130
3.33	A schematic representation of the uniaxial tensile creep rig	134
3.34	An operational procedure for Uniaxial tensile creep test	134
3.35	Creep rigs illustrating specimens under sustained loads and Demec stud (point) position over a gauge length of 150 mm.	136

3.36	75 mm x 75 mm x 600 mm test specimens for flexural creep testing	138
3.37	An operational procedure of flexural creep test	139
3.38	Schematic representation of third point flexural creep loading	141
4.1	The physical appearance of Kenaf fibre before and after alkali treatment	160
4.2	Scanning electron micrographs of Kenaf fibre surface (a) untreated (500x), (b) Treated by mercerisation (500x)	161
4.3	SEM micrograph of (a) Untreated, (b) 5%NaOH at 3hrs, (c) 7%NaOH at 3hrs, (d) 5%NaOH at 24hrs and (e) 7%NaOH at 24hrs.	162
4.4	Water sorption curves for distilled water/treated and untreated Kenaf fibre.	163
4.5	The diameter of a typical fibre bundle.	166
4.6	Average diameter of the untreated and treated Kenaf fibre.	167
4.7	Stress-strain manner of un-treated Kenaf fibre in virgin, swollen and desorbed phase.	169
4.8	Morphology image of Kenaf fibre tensile fracture	170
4.9	Stress–strain performance of treated Kenaf fibre in the normal (unswollen) and swollen phase	170
4.10	Grading curve of the coarse aggregates in consonance to ASTM C 33 limits	174
4.11	Grading of the fine aggregate in consonance to ASTM C33 limits	176
4.12	Slump of PC and KBFCC	178
4.13	Effect of fibre volume fraction ( $v_f$ ) and length ( $l_f$ ) on slump of concrete	179
4.14	Effect of fibre volume fraction ( $v_f$ ) and length ( $l_f$ ) on Vebe of concrete	180
4.15	Effect of fibre volume fraction ( $v_f$ ) and length ( $l_f$ ) on compacting factor of concrete	181
4.16	Correlation between Vebe time and Slump of concrete	182



4.17	Correlation between Compacting factor and Slump of concrete	183
4.18	Correlation between Compacting factor and Vebe time of concrete	184
4.19	Fresh density of PC and KBFCC	186
4.20	Effect of fibre content and length on density of hardened concrete	187
4.21	Effect of Fibre content and length on UPV of PC and KBFCC	188
4.22	Relationships between UPV and Compressive strength (CPS) of PC and KBFCC	189
4.23	Effect of Kenaf fibre on initial surface absorption of concrete at 7 days	193
4.24	Effect of Kenaf fibre on initial surface absorption of concrete at 28 days	194
4.25	Effect of Kenaf fibre on compressive strength of concrete at 7 days hydration period	196
4.26	Effect of Kenaf fibre on compressive strength of concrete at 28 days hydration period	197
4.27	Failure mode of (a) PC cube specimen (b) KBFCC cube specimen (c) KBFCC failure surface under compressive load.	197
4.28	Effect of Kenaf fibre on Indirect splitting tensile strength of concrete at 7 days hydration period.	198
4.29	Effect of Kenaf fibre on Indirect splitting tensile strength of concrete at 28 days hydration period.	199
4.30	Failure mode of cylindrical specimen in indirect splitting tensile (a) PC specimen (b) KBFCC specimen.	199
4.31	Ave. ultimate and post-peak (fibre activation) uni-axial tensile strength for PC and KBFCC specimen at 28 days	201
4.32	Average Stress – displacement curve of (a) PC specimen (b) KBFCC specimen under uni-axial tensile load at 28 days.	202

4.33	Effect of Kenaf fibre on flexural strength of concrete at 7 days hydration period	205
4.34	Effect of Kenaf fibre on flexural strength of concrete at 28 days hydration period	206
4.35	Failure mode of prism in flexure (a) PC specimen (b) KBFCC specimen (c) Kenaf fibre bridging the cracked planes.	206
4.36	Failure Pattern of PC and KBFCC at varying fibre content and length for MOE testing	209
4.37	Relationship between elastic modulus and fibre volume fraction at varying fibre length: (a) 25 mm fibre length, (b) 50 mm fibre length and (c) 75 mm fibre length	211
4.38	Influence of fibre addition and age of exposure on the free drying Shrinkage of concrete: (a) 25 mm Fibre length, (b) 50 mm Fibre length and (c) 75 mm Fibre length	215
4.39	Strain deformation of compressive creep of 150 mm diameter x 300 mm and 100 mm diameter x 200 mm cylinder specimen sizes	218
4.40	Compressive creep coefficient of 150 mm diameter x 300 mm and 100 mm diameter x 200 mm cylinder control specimen sizes	219
4.41	Strain deformation of shrinkage of 150 mm diameter x 300 mm and 100 mm diameter x 200 mm cylinder control specimen sizes	219
4.42	Relationship of compressive creep coefficient between 150 mm diameter x 300 mm and 100 mm diameter x 200 mm cylinder with various codes and experimental outcome	220
5.1	SEM of 28 days tensile fracture of PC and KBFCC specimen	224
5.2	SEM of (a) fibre matrix interface and (b) bridging action of fibres of KBFCC specimen	225

5.3	SEM of strong bond between Kenaf fibre and concrete of KBFCC specimen	226
5.4	SEM of (a) nest-like clusters (b) fibre groove and cementitious sticks on the interface of KBFCC specimen	227
5.5	SEM of different faces of the KBFCC specimen	228
6.1	Kenaf fibre effects on compressive creep performance of concrete at (a) 7 days loading age (b) 28 days loading age	231
6.2	Stress level effects on compressive creep of PC and KBFCC moist-cured for 7 and 28 days	233
6.3	Effects of loading age (curing condition) on compressive creep of PC and KBFCC loaded at 25% and 35% of compressive strength	234
6.4	Relationship between compressive strength and creep strain of concrete	236
6.5	Relationship of compressive strength to instantaneous strain measured in creep test.	237
6.6	Stress level effects on compressive creep coefficient of concrete moist-cured for 7 and 28 days	238
6.7	Loading age condition effects on creep coefficient of concrete	239
6.8	Effects of fibre inclusion on creep coefficient of PC and KBFCC at 7 day loading	240
6.9	Effects of fibre inclusion on creep coefficient of PC and KBFCC at 28 day loading	240
6.10	Relationship amongst compressive strength and corresponding creep coefficient at 371 days	242
6.11	(a)-(d) Comparison of compressive creep coefficient between experiment results and existing standard codes for concrete tested at 7 days	244
6.12	(a)-(d) Comparison of compressive creep coefficient between experiment results and existing standard codes for concrete tested at 28 days	245

6.13	(a-e) Uni-axial tensile creep crack opening of PC and KBFCC at 25 and 35% load level.	251
6.14	Uni-axial tensile creep coefficients of cracked PC and KBFCC at 25% and 35% load level.	254
6.15	(a-d) Comparison of tensile creep coefficient between experiments results and existing standard codes for concrete tested at 28 days	255
6.16	Load-deflection ( <i>L-d</i> ) diagram of the PC and KBFCC first set specimen at 7 and 28 days curing age.	259
6.17	Load-deflection ( <i>L-d</i> ) relationship of PC 7 days beam at flexural test.	263
6.18	Load-deflection ( <i>L-d</i> ) relationship of PC 28 days beam at flexural test.	263
6.19	Load-deflection ( <i>L-d</i> ) relationship of KBFCC 7 days beam at flexural test.	263
6.20	Load-deflection ( <i>L-d</i> ) relationship of KBFCC 28 days beam at flexural test.	264
6.21	Area covered under the load-deflection ( <i>L-d</i> ) relationship curve 7 days cured PC beam	265
6.22	Area covered under the load-deflection ( <i>L-d</i> ) relationship curve 28 days cured PC beam	266
6.23	Area covered under the load-deflection ( <i>L-d</i> ) relationship curve 7 days cured KBFCC beam	266
6.24	Area covered under the load-deflection ( <i>L-d</i> ) relationship curve 28 days cured KBFCC beam	266
6.25	Flexural creep deflections of PC beam specimen at 25% and 35% load level.	268
6.26	Flexural creep deflections of KBFCC beam specimen at 25% and 35% load level.	268
6.27	Stress level effects at 259 days flexural creep value of PC and KBFCC moist-cured for 7 and 28 days	270
6.28	Effects of loading age (curing condition) on flexural creep of PC and KBFCC loaded at 25% and 35% of ARS at 259 days.	271

6.29	(a-d) Comparison of flexural creep coefficient between experiments results and existing standard codes for concrete tested at 28 days.	272
6.30	Compression creep prediction using regression curve fitting	277
6.31	Tensile creep prediction using regression curve fitting	278
6.32	Flexural creep prediction using regression curve fitting	278
6.33	Kenaf fibre effects on shrinkage performance of concrete at (a) 7 and 28 day moist curing.	282
6.34	Effects of curing condition on shrinkage of PC and KBFCC mixes at 378 days	283
6.35	Comparison of shrinkage strain between experiment results	285
6.36	Shrinkage strain regression using curve fitting	289

**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

ASTM	-	American Society for Testing and Materials
ACI	-	American Concrete Institute
ARS	-	Average Residual Strength
AS	-	Australian Standard
BFCC	-	Bio Fibrous Concrete Composite
BS	-	British Standard
CEB-FIP	-	Fédération internationale du béton – International Federation for Structural Concrete
CPF	-	Compacting Factor
CPS	-	Compressive Strength
CMOD	-	Crack Mouth Opening Displacement
EC 2	-	Eurocode 2
FCC	-	Fibrous Concrete Composite
FS	-	Flexural Strength
ISA	-	Initial surface absorption
KBFCC	-	Kenaf Bio Fibrous Concrete Composite
KF	-	Kenaf Fibre
KFRC	-	Kenaf Fibre Reinforced Concrete
L-d	-	Load-deflection
MOE	-	Modulus of Elasticity
NaOH	-	Sodium Hydroxide
PC	-	Plain Concrete
RH	-	Relative Humidity
SLC	-	Slump of Concrete
SSD	-	Saturated Surface Dry
STS	-	Indirect Splitting Tensile Strength
UPV	-	Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity

VBT	-	Vebe Time
DOF	-	Degree of freedom

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$k_1, k_2, k_3 =$	-	Coefficients
$\varepsilon_E(t)$	-	Elastic strain at time t (micron)
$\varepsilon_{cr}(t)$	-	Creep strain at time t (micron)
$\varepsilon_s(t)$	-	Shrinkage strain at time t (micron)
$\Phi$	-	Creep Coefficient
$\varepsilon_{cr}$	-	Creep Strain
$f_{cm}$	-	Mean concrete strength
$t$	-	Time
$t_o$	-	Initial time at the beginning of loading or drying
$\sigma$	-	Stress
$E$	-	Elastic modulus
$\rho$	-	Density of concrete
$f'_c$	-	Characteristic cylinder strength
$COV_m$	-	Coefficient of variation for model prediction
$K_o$	-	Constant related to the elastic modulus of the aggregate
$\Phi_t$	-	Tensile creep coefficient
$M_\infty$	-	Water absorption at the saturation point
$M_t$	-	Water absorption at time $t$
$n$	-	Mechanism of sorption
$E_t$	-	Elastic modulus at age t
$\Phi_f$	-	Flexural creep coefficient
$J_{(t,t_o)}$	-	Creep function



**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	Mix Design	279
B	Fibre Volume Calculation	280
C	Fibre Water Content Calculation	281
D	Measured and Calculated Results from Creep Tests	282
E	The Prediction of Creep and Shrinkage by AS 3600	286
F	The Prediction of Creep and Shrinkage by EC 2	287
G	List of Publications	334

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 General Appraisal

The usefulness of concrete in various building and civil engineering applications is incontestable. Over the years, it has so far been positively used in hydraulic structures, shotcrete, offshore structures, slabs on grade, structures in seismic regions, thin and thick repairs, architectural panels, crash barriers, precast products, footings, global transportation infrastructure systems such as network of roads, bridges, railways, airports, canals and many other applications. The reason for its widespread acceptability for use in various infrastructure productions is not far-fetched from the benefit of providing the lowest quotient among cost and strength as equated to other available materials [1–3]. Despite these applaudable qualities of concrete, two unattractive properties: low ductility and breakability possessed by concrete still makes it prone to collapse which occurs just after the creation of deformation and initial crack [1]. This adversely limits the performance of concrete over long-term when exposed to sustained loads like creep and shrinkage [4]. Hence, concrete has a poor resistance to cracking. Steel reinforcement came up as a response to cracking in concrete due to stronger tensile strength it possesses over concrete. In their study, Clarke *et al.*, [5] explained that reinforcement steel bar is saddled to carry the tensile stresses imposed on the concrete and curtail possible cracking of the concrete or cause the concrete to remain largely in compression under load by pre-stressing it.

Steel reinforcement has been successfully used in concrete over the years and it is still in use. Conversely, cracking still occurs under load and this creates a pathway for various deleterious species such as chlorides, sulphates, moisture and carbon

dioxide [6]. This leads to the corrosion of the reinforcement thus affecting the durability of the concrete structures. Other substitutes apart from steel reinforcement are as well obtainable for the reinforcing of concrete to control cracking. One of such substitutes presently used is fibres [6]. The inclusion of short discontinuous randomly oriented fibres (natural, glass, steel, and synthetic) has remained a practice among others towards contributing to the improvement of the two negative properties of concrete mentioned earlier [7–12]. It has been reported by ACI [13], Mehta and Monteiro [14] that fibre inclusion offers a bridging capability once the initial crack take place afore the full parting of a beam. Also the studies of [7,15] supported this assertion of ability of fibre to provide concrete with post crack strength. The improvement of the mechanical and durability properties of concrete such as; crack opening, stiffness, crack promulgation, tensile strength and deformation characteristics such as creep and shrinkage of concrete amid others. These have given fibrous concrete favourable acceptance in becoming a widely used composite material in construction projects. Structural elements deform all the way through their lifespan (creep and shrinkage), which may possibly lead to serviceability concerns such a deflection, cracking, etc. Whereas fibrous concrete has presented substantial ductility and energy absorption aptitude in the short term, the sustainability of such properties in the long term is still undefined. These sustained loads could be as a result of mechanical stress known as creep or environmental stress from temperature and relative humidity causing the shrinkage of concrete elements. Creep is defined as the plastic deformation under sustain load. Creep strain depends primarily on the duration of sustained loading. It has been widely acknowledged that creep of concrete is greatly influenced by the surrounding ambient, admixtures and load intensity. Also creep has been seen to induce the deflection of structural member with time. Hence the study on creep of concrete is necessary to prevent failure of structure [16]. While shrinkage of concrete is described as time-dependent volume change that occurs due to a number of mechanisms. Shrinkage has been reported to occur due to the movement of water in both fresh and hardened states [17]. The creep and shrinkage of concrete element are categorised as time-dependent deformation properties of concrete.

There exist several types of fibres that is incorporated in concrete, but the most commonly used are the natural (vegetable), steel, glass, asbestos, carbon and polypropylene type of fibres [1,8,12,18,19]. These resource fibres have gains in the matrix proportioning of cement composites. Bio fibres are believed to be more

environmentally pleasant to the users; this is why they are currently getting appreciable consideration for substituting the glass, synthetic and steel fibres [12,20]. Researchers [21–23] in the past years have investigated and compared the benefit and properties of natural, steel and synthetic fibre. They succinctly described natural fibres to possess many benefits than the synthetic and other type of fibres. Such advantages are low density, carbon dioxide requisitioning, low cost, recyclability, issue of sustainability, biodegradability, and competitive specific mechanical properties [24–26]. However, if the compressive and tensile strength of bio fibre concrete is to some degree lesser than the control concrete mix, its deformation behaviour displays more enhancement in ductility [11,18,22,23]. Some studies have been carried out on the properties of concrete with the bio fibres which is usually referred to as fibrous concrete from sugar cane, coconut coir, malva, hemp, ramie bast, jute, pineapple leaf, elephant grass, bamboo, akwata and sisal with encouraging results recorded [12,27–30].

## **1.2 Background of the Problem**

In view of the current global challenges, the construction industry has been focusing on the concept of sustainability, particularly the inclusion of biodegradable fibre in concrete [31,32]. Bio fibres as being adjudged as a means of mitigating the effect of carbon footprint to the environment. This has evoked a lot of response from industries who are seeking more eco-efficient production and sustainable commerce. Bio fibres are a major renewable (CO<sub>2</sub> neutral) resource for bio-based economical developments. Serviceability and durability performance has been given more emphasis in the design and analysis of concrete structures. The ultimate limit state requirement is no longer the only main focus in structural design as durability and service performance are as well important for the safety, aesthetics and economic values of the structure or concrete composite. Creep and shrinkage are a usually a critical property used for evaluating buckling, stresses, cracking, deflection and failure of brittle materials such as concrete for structures under constant loads. Nevertheless, owing to the fact that the effects for under-prediction of creep and shrinkage are time-dependent, attention and provisions on these factors are often been ignored [33]. Creep and shrinkage prediction models meant for concrete structure design references are

obtainable in AS 3600, CEB-FIP Model Code 1990, B3 Model, ACI-209, Eurocode 2, and BS 8110 Model concrete standard codes. These models are however mostly developed for plain concrete (PC). Therefore, there is need to examine their suitability in the prediction of bio fibrous concrete, and also to development an analytical creep and shrinkage model for the design of bio fibrous concrete such as KBFCC.

The deformation experienced in concrete structure due to tensile low strength and energy absorption low capacity problems can be controlled by replacing it with fibrous concrete. This is a sustainable substitute concrete type where long-term performance and durability are the key considerations. Remarkably, the commonly used fibre types in the production of fibrous concrete such as steel, asbestos, synthetic and glass are usually associated with high cost, corrosion, non-renewable, high specific weight and being harmful to the environment. These factors are not good for our world and the construction industry which is striving towards achieving sustainable environment. Therefore, bio fibre such as Kenaf fibre which is cheaper, environmentally friendly, could be a sustainable choice for the construction industry. To use this fibre in concrete, a detail research on its long-term performance under mechanical load (creep) or environmental load (shrinkage) is required. Recent studies revealed the immense potential and interest generated due to the application of Kenaf fibre in the construction industry, automobile industry, wood-based sector and textile industry. Consequently, the Malaysian government and some other developing nations have pursued vigorously the cultivation and various measures to promote downstream value processing of Kenaf among smallholders and estate owners [34]. Appreciable experimental and theoretical researches have been carried out to understand the mechanical properties of KBFCC [11,23,35]. Most of these studies are limited to the short-term performance of KBFCC under static mechanical loads and environmental loads [11,23,35,36]. Conclusively, it has been observed that the study on concrete composite system made from bio fibrous concrete has been of interest due to its need for the evaluation of stresses, deflection, cracking, bulking and failure of structures made from KBFCC. This will avail material engineers and structural designer's knowledge and data on the material properties and structural behaviour pertaining to serviceability performance.

### 1.3 Statement of the Problem

The world's population and wealth increase in this century have heightened the rising needs for sustainable materials. These needs have become imperative due to the fact that landfills are filling up, earth climate is changing, and natural resources are diminishing. This has promulgated the recent researchers to finding alternative materials for the replacement of the use of synthetic fibre, steel fibre, and steel bar in concrete because of their unfriendliness to the environment, their ignition of environmental issues, poor recyclability, non-biodegradability, expensive costs and high maintenances and repair cost of damaged structure via corrosion of steel.

These general environmental issues are climate change, ozone depletion, ecotoxicity, fossil fuel depletion, water extraction, waste disposal, eutrophication (over-enrichment of water sources), acid deposition, summer smog (low-level ozone creation) and minerals extraction. These problems initiated the increase in carbon dioxide, CO<sub>2</sub> gas which generates unsafe environment and human health problems. Additionally, the emission produced during the petroleum product production (synthetic fibre) could bring about global warming and cause an increase in greenhouse effect. Bio fibres tend to be more sustainable compared to synthetic fibres. Carbon, Polypropylene and aramid based synthetic fibres have been introduced and applied in areas of construction such as buildings, bridges and pipelines. Glass fibres are produced from silica which is derived from sea sand. Continuous exploitation of sea sand for the production of glass fibres has led to other whole new complications. Sea sand will run out if its use continuously and the production of glass fibre also requires high budgets. Several fibrous concrete that is popularly used is from synthetic (Carbon, Polypropylene), steel and glass sources. Further research has to be done to advance the suitability of bio fibres as reinforcement to replace usage of synthetic (Carbon, Polypropylene), steel and glass fibre to produce more economical, light weight, degradable, environmentally friendly, bio-based economy and structural concrete composites.

Fibrous concrete composites are produced to restrain the propagation of a crack in the concrete and to improve its tensile strength and ductility/deformation properties. Bio fibrous concrete composites (BFCC) is an environmentally sustainable material. Its potential advantages which varied from using bio fibres to decreasing synthetic and

steel fibres which are from petroleum and steel source, respectively. Due to the hydrophilic properties of bio fibre, this makes them unsuitable for use in concrete reinforcement and strengthening. An elaborate study to reduce the hydrophilic effect is necessary in order to utilize the positive properties accrued to Kenaf fibres in fibrous concrete production. The alkaline treatment of Kenaf fibre has been reported in the literature to inhibit the possibility of its decay over time. Despite the elaborate research on the short-term deformation behaviour of BFCC, publications directly related to long-term deformation behaviour of BFCC compressive, uniaxial tensile, flexural creep and shrinkage are uncommon. Though the usage of Kenaf fibre in concrete is however of current interest with gradual growing reports on its short-term mechanical demeanour in scholarly articles; there still exists a dearth of knowledge on the physical and mechanical performance of KBFCC. Also, for acceptability and immense application of KBFCC in engineering practice, the concrete science and industry must be provided with proof of systematic scientific study that shows and that analyse the features of BFCC on short and long-term structural performance. High on the list of main structural performance properties is creep and shrinkage of the concrete composites.

Long-term performance of KBFCC under sustained static loads (creep) and shrinkage, as well as analytical models for estimation of creep and shrinkage properties, is yet to be studied. Furthermore, studies on the cement matrix bonding interface of KBFCC is rare to find in the literature. To have a better understanding of the relationship and behaviour of KBFCC under sustained loads, a proper investigation has to be conducted. This calls for an extensive study into the long-term performance of KBFCC under sustained loads as a result of mechanical stress and environmental load commonly referred to as creep and shrinkage, respectively. Similarly, there is need to understand the cement matrix bonding interface of the KBFCC by carrying out the morphological examination of the concrete exposed to the short and long-term loading.

## 1.4 Aim and Objectives

The aim of this research is to investigate the creep and shrinkage performance of KBFCC. In order to achieve the above aim, the following specific objectives were formulated.

- i. To examine the physical and mechanical properties of concrete containing kenaf fibre at varying fibre lengths and volume fractions.
- ii. To examine the effect of Kenaf fibre on the shrinkage and creep properties of KBFCC in compression, tension and flexure.
- iii. To assess the effect of mechanical loading on the microstructure characteristics of KBFCC.
- iv. To evaluate the prediction model of compressive, tensile, flexural creep and shrinkage of KBFCC and propose a model for estimation of creep and shrinkage behaviour of KBFCC.

## 1.5 Scope of the Study

This research work is experimental and focused on assessing the long-term performance of KBFCC under shrinkage and compressive, tensile, flexural sustained loads which is within the limit of the set objectives. The scope of the study was divided into four stages:

- i. Kenaf fibre characterisations, material properties testing, short-term mechanical and time-dependent properties testing of KBFCC were carried out. The optimum fibre length and volume fraction was determined from the physical and mechanical properties of KBFC tested. The optimum KBFCC mix of 0.5% fibre volume fraction and 50 mm fibre length was used in the production of specimen used for the shrinkage and creep testing. Also, the design and construction of uniaxial tensile and flexural creep specimen mould, rig, test set-up and procedures were defined.
- ii. ASTM C512 [37] standard was used as a reference in carrying out the compressive creep test. The works of Babafemi [6] and Fladr [38] served as a reference to the experiment conducted on uni-axial tensile creep and flexural



creep, respectively. The shrinkage test was conducted in conformity with ASTM C157 [39].

- iii. KBFCC specimen testing under long-term shrinkage, compressive creep, uniaxial tensile creep and flexural creep loading was conducted on standard size specimens. 100 mm diameter x 200 mm cylinder was used as a modification to the 150 mm diameter x 300 mm diameter given in ASTM C512 [37] for the compressive creep test. 75 mm x 75 mm x 600 mm prism and 100 mm x 100 mm x 500 mm prism were used for uni-axial tensile creep and flexural creep, respectively, as given in the work of Babafemi [6] and Fladr [38]. For the shrinkage test, the prism specimen dimension of 100 mm x 100 mm x 285 mm prism was used in accordance with ASTM C157 [39]. The tensile creep specimens were pre-cracked and the crack mouth opening displacement was determined as the creep deformation characteristic of the bio fibrous concrete. The focal variables considered are the effect of fibre inclusion in concrete (0% and 0.5% KF at 50 mm length), sustained stress loads (25% and 35%) and age at loading (7 and 28 days) on creep. For the shrinkage testing, the tested specimen where made of three different group of 25 mm, 50 mm and 75 mm Kenaf fibre length at 0%, 0.25%, 0.50%, 0.75%, 1.0%, 1.5% and 2.0% fibre volume fraction. The specimens were tested at drying age of (7 and 28 days). The creep and shrinkage tests were all carried out in the controlled room of  $23\pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$  and RH of  $50\pm 4$ . The optimum fibre volume fraction and length was used in the prediction model analysis.
- iv. The morphology of Kenaf fibre, KBFCC and their cement matrix interface of the tensile fractured surface under short term and long term mechanical load were investigated.
- v. The experimental data was analysed and the relationships among different properties of KBFCC was determined. The creep and shrinkage (time-dependent deformation properties) prediction model codes evaluated in this study are from ACI-209, CEB-FIP 1990 Model Code, Eurocode 2 (EC 2), and Australian Standard 3600 (AS 3600). The best prediction model code for KBFCC was identified after evaluation.

## 1.6 Thesis Organization

The thesis was presented in seven chapters. Chapter 1 presents a general appraisal and a brief description of the background problem. In addition, the chapter also spelt out the aim and objectives, scope and limitation, research hypothesis, the significance of research and the research approach.

Chapter 2 is concerned with the critical review of the relevant and related literature.

Chapter 3 provides the materials and the chronological sequence of the methodology that is employed for successful completion of the research using appropriate standard and modification where necessary in conducting the tests.

Chapter 4 reveals the characterisation of the constituent materials, comprising the physical properties and chemical composition. The treatment of Kenaf fibre and its water sorptivity characteristics and mechanical test are discussed. This chapter also described the effect of Kenaf fibre geometry (length) and volume fraction on fresh and hardened concrete properties. Parameters studied in this chapter include workability regarding the slump, compacting factor, Vebe of concrete, and fresh density. Also, the relationship between some data is developed to establish a correlation. It also presents the results obtained and discussion made on the evaluation of mechanical and durability properties. Tests falling in this class include; flexural, modulus of elasticity, compressive, water absorption (porosity), tensile strength, and shrinkage. The optimum content and length of the fibre meant to be used in the production of the creep and further shrinkage study was determined and presented.

Chapter 5 deals with the evaluation of the morphologies of the KBFCC. Also, the microstructure characteristics of the fibre matrix interface of KBFCC exposed to sustained loading was examined and discussed in this chapter. The scanning electron micrograph (SEM) results are presented and discussed in this chapter.

Chapter 6 focuses on the creep and shrinkage performance of KBFCC. The evaluation, statistical analysis, determination of best prediction model code for prediction of creep and shrinkage of KBFCC.

Chapter 7 presents the conclusion of this thesis by stating the outcomes and, successes of the study and the contribution of the research to the existing information. Recommendations are made for further research in related areas to improve the

properties of concrete using Kenaf bio fibre for the production of a green and sustainable concrete.

## REFERENCES

1. Tejchman, J. and Kozicki, J. *Experimental and Theoretical Investigations of Steel-Fibrous Concrete*. Chennai, India.: Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg. 2010.
2. Yatim, J., Khalid, A., and Mahjoub, R. Biocomposites for the Construction Materials and Structures. Semin. Embrac. Green Technol. Constr. - W. Forward. CIDB, Kuching Sarawak, Malaysia: 2011., p. 1–29
3. Bicanic, N., Mang, H., Meschke, G., and Borst, R. *Computational Modelling of Concrete Structures*. London, UK: CRC Press, Taylor and Francis group. 2014.
4. Tan, K. L. Long Term Deformation of Portland Blast Furnance Slag Cement Concrete. Masters Thesis. National university of Singapore, 1996.
5. Clarke, J., Peaston, C., and Swannell, N. *Guidance on the Use of Macro-Synthetic Fibre Reinforced Concrete*. The Concrete Society, Technical Report No. 65., 2007.
6. Babafemi, A. J. Tensile Creep of Cracked Macro Synthetic Fibre Reinforced Concrete. PhD Thesis. Stellenbosch University, South Africa., 2015.
7. Babafemi, A. J. and Boshoff, W. P. Tensile Creep of Macro-Synthetic Fibre Reinforced Concrete (MSFRC) under Uni-Axial Tensile loading. *Cem Concr Compos*, 2015. 55: 62–9
8. Arango, S., García-Taengua, E., Martí-Vargas, J. R., and Serna-Ros, P. A Comprehensive Study on the Effect of Fibers and Loading on Flexural Creep of SFRC. In: Joaquim Barros et al., editor. 8th RILEM Int. Symp. Fibre Reinf. Concr. BEFIB 2012, Guimarães: 2012., p. 173–4
9. García-Taengua, E., Arango, S., and Martí-Vargas, J. Flexural Creep of Steel Fiber Reinforced Concrete in the Cracked State. *Build Mater*, 2014.
10. Fládr, J., Vodička, J., and Krátký, J. Methodology of Measuring of Creep of Concrete Specimen Reinforced with Polymer fibres. In: Barros, Et al., editors. 8th RILEM Int. Symp. Fibre Reinf. Concr. challenges Oppor. (BEFIB 2012), UM, Guimarães,: RILEM. 2012., p. 571–80
11. Hasan, N. S., Sobuz, H. R., Auwalu, A. S., and Tamanna, N. Investigation into the Suitability of Kenaf Fibre to Produce Structural Concrete. *Adv Mater Lett*, 2015.

12. Ali, M., Liu, A., Sou, H., and Chouw, N. Mechanical and Dynamic Properties of Coconut Fibre Reinforced Concrete. *Constr Build Mater*, 2012. 30: 814–25
13. ACI 544 2R-88. *Measurement of the Properties of Fibre Reinforced Concrete*. American Concrete Institute Committee, Detroit: 1988.
14. Mehta, P. K. and Monteiro, P. J. M. *Concrete: Microstructure, Properties and Materials*. 3rd editio. New York: McGraw-Hill. 2006.
15. Vrijdaghs, R., Prisco, M. D. I., and Vandewalle, L. Creep of cracked polymer fibre reinforced concrete under sustained tensile loading. In: Saouma et al., editor. Proc. 9th Int. Conf. Fract. Mech. Concr. Concr. Struct., Berkeley, California USA: IA-FraMCoS. 2016., p. 1–9
16. Sakthivel, R. and Ramakrishnan, S. Creep of Concrete. *Int J Mod Trends Eng Res*, 2014. 2: 1.
17. Neville, A. M. *Properties of Concrete*. Prentice Hall: Pearson Educational Limited. 2011.
18. Hasan, N. M. S., Sobuz, H. R., Sayed, M. S., and Islam, M. S. The Use of Coconut Fibre in the Production of Structural lightweight Concrete. *J Appl Sci*, 2012. 12: 831–839.
19. Blanco, A. Á. Characterisation and Modelling of SFRC Elements. PhD Thesis. Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, 2013.
20. Thielemants, W. and Wool, R. P. Butyrated Kraft lignin as Compatibilizing Agent for Natural Fibre Reinforced Thermoset Composites. *Compos Part A Appl Sci Manuf*, 2013. 35: 327–338.
21. Reis, J. Fracture and Flexural Characterization of Natural Fibre-Reinforced Polymer Concrete. *Constr Build Mater*, 2006.
22. Ramaswamy, H. S., Ahuja, B. M., and Krishnamoorthy, S. Behaviour of Concrete Reinforced with Jute, Coir and Bamboo Fibres. *Int J Cem Compos Light Concr*, 1983. 5: 3–13.
23. Lam, T. F. and Jamaludin, M. Y. Mechanical Properties of Kenaf Fibre Reinforced Concrete with Different Fibre Content and Fibre Length. *J Asian Concr Fed*, 2015. 1: 11–21.
24. Tolêdo Romildo, F. R., Ghavami, K., England, G. L., and Scrivener, K. Development of Vegetable Fibre-Mortar Composites of Improved Durability. *Cem Concr Compos*, 2003. 25: 185–196.
25. Amar, K., Manjusri, M., and Lawrence, T. D. *Natural Fibres, Biopolymers, and Biocomposites*. CRC Press. 2005.
26. Hatta, M., Nasrul, M., Akashah, M., and Akmar, N. Mechanical Properties of Polystyrene/Polypropylene Reinforced and Jute Fibre. CUTSE Int. Conf., 2008.

27. Bilba, K., Arsene, M. ., and Ouensanga, A. Study of Banana and Coconut Fibers Botanical Composition, Thermal Degradation and Textural Observations. *Bioresour Technol*, 2007. 98: 58–68.
28. Elie, A., Bilal, H., Mounir, M., and Helmi, K. Sustainable Construction material Using Hemp Fibres–Preliminary Study. Second Int. Conf. Sustain. Constr. Mater. Technol. June 28 - June 30, Università Politecnica Delle Marche, Ancona, Italy.: 2010.
29. Awwad, E., Mabsout, M., Hamad, B., and Khatib, H. Preliminary Studies on the Use of Natural Fibres in Sustainable Concrete. 16th Sci. Meet. Leban. Natl. Counc. Sci. Res. Leban. Assoc. Adv. Sci. (NCSR LAAS), At Beirut, Lebanon: 2009.
30. Ramaswamy, G., Craft, S., and Wartelle, L. Uniformity and Softness of Kenaf Fibres for Textile Products. *Text Res J*, 1995.
31. Silva, F. D. A., Mobasher, B., and Filho, R. D. T. Advances in Natural Fiber Cement Composites: A Material for the Sustainable Construction Industry. *4th Colloq Text Reinf Struct*, 2009.377–388.
32. Chan, Y. H., Lee, B. C. T., and Lee, J. C. Sustainability in the Construction Industry in Malaysia : The Challenges and Breakthroughs. *Int J Soc Manag Econ Bus Eng*, 2014. 8: 1211–1215.
33. Tan, P. L. Prediction of Time Dependent Deformation of High Strength Concrete in Tropical Climate. PhD Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 2009.
34. Mohd, H. A. B., Arifin, A., Nasima, J., Hazandy, A. H., and Khalil, A. Journey of kenaf in Malaysia: A Review. *Sci Res Essays*, 2014. 9: 458–470.
35. Elsaid, A., Dawood, M., Seracino, R., and Bobko, C. Mechanical properties of kenaf fiber reinforced concrete. *Constr Build Mater*, 2011. 25: 1991–2001.
36. Udoeyo, F. F. and Adetifa, A. Characteristics of Kenaf Fiber-Reinforced Mortar Composites. *Int J Res Rev Appl Sci*, 2012. 12: 18–26.
37. ASTM C512. Standard Test Method for Creep of Concrete in Compression. *Am Soc Test Mater*, 2010.1–5.
38. Fládr, J. and Broukalová, I. Testing the Long-Term Flexural Behaviour of FRC with Synthetic Fibres. *Adv Mater Res*, 2015. 1106: 136–139.
39. ASTM C157. Standard Test Method for Length Change of Hardened Hydraulic-Cement Mortar and. *Annu B ASTM Stand*, 2016. 8: 1–7.
40. Bernard, M., Khalina, A., Ali, A., Janius, R., Faizal, M., Hasnah, K., and Sanuddin, A. The effect of Processing Parameters on the Mechanical Properties of Kenaf Fibre Plastic Composite. *Mater Des*, 2011. 32: 1039–1043.

41. Cicala, G., Cristaldi, G., Recca, G., Ziegmann, G., El-Sabbagh, A., and Dickert, M. Properties and Performances of Various Hybrid Glass/Natural Fibre Composites for Curved Pipes. *Mater Des*, 2009. 30: 2538–2542.
42. Jawaid, M., Khalil, H. A., and Bakar, A. A. Mechanical Performance of Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunches/Jute Fibres Reinforced Epoxy Hybrid Composites. *Mater Sci Eng*, 2010. 527: 7944–7949.
43. De Farias, M., Farina, M., Pezzin, A. and Silva, D. Unsaturated Polyester Composites Reinforced with Fibre and Powder of Peach Palm: Mechanical Characterization and Water Absorption Profile. *Mater Sci Eng C*, 2009. 29: 510–513.
44. Hollaway, L. A Review of the Present and future Utilisation of FRP Composites in the Civil Infrastructure with Reference to their Important In-service Properties. *Constr Build Mater*, 2010. 24: 2419–2445.
45. Wu, K.-R., Chen, B., Yao, W., and Zhang, D. Effect of Coarse Aggregate Type on Mechanical Properties of High-Performance Concrete. *Cem Concr Res*, 2001. 31: 1421–1425
46. Pecce, M., Ceroni, F., Prota, A., and Manfredi, G. Response Prediction of RC Beams Externally Bonded with Steel Reinforced Polymers. *J Compos Constr*, 2006. 10: 195–203
47. Gan, L., Shen, Z. Z., and Xu, L. Q. Long-Term Deformation Analysis of the Jiudianxia Concrete-Faced Rockfill Dam. *Arab J Sci Eng*, 2014. 39: 1589–1598.
48. Van Rijswijk, K., Brouwer, W., and Beukers, A. *Application of Natural Fibre Composites in the Development of Rural Societies*. Delft: 2001.
49. Burgueño, R., Quagliata, M. J., Mohanty, A. K., Mehta, G., Drzal, L. T., and Misra, M. Hierarchical Cellular Designs for Load-Bearing Biocomposite Beams and Plates. *Mater Sci Eng A*, 2005. 390: 178–187.
50. Matthews, F. L. and Rawlings, R. D. *Composite Materials: Engineering and Science*,. London: Chapman and Hall. 1994.
51. Rowell, R. M. A New Generation of Composite Materials from Agro-Based Fibre. In: Prasad PN, Mark JE, Fai TJ, editors. *Polym. Other Adv. Mater.*, Boston, MA: Springer. 1995., p. 659–665.
52. Zile, E. and Zile, O. Effect of the fiber geometry on the pullout response of mechanically deformed steel fibers. *Cem Concr Res*, 2013. 44: 18–24.
53. Naaman, A. E. and Reinhardt, H. W. Proposed classification of HPFRC composites based on their tensile response. *Mater Struct*, 2006. 39: 547–555.
54. Wille, K., El-Tawil, S., and Naaman, A. E. Properties of strain hardening ultra high performance fiber reinforced concrete (UHP-FRC) under direct tensile loading. *Cem Concr Compos*, 2014. 48: 53–66.

55. Li, V. C. and Maalej, M. Toughening in cement based composites. part II: Fiber reinforced cementitious composites. *Cem Concr Compos*, 1996. 18: 239–249.
56. Ferrier, E., Michel, L., Zuber, B., and Chanvillard, G. Mechanical behaviour of ultra-high-performance short-fibre-reinforced concrete beams with internal fibre reinforced polymer bars. *Compos Part B Eng*, 2015. 68: 246–258.
57. Barnett, S. J., Lataste, J., Parry, T., Millard, S. G., and Soutsos, M. N. Assessment of fibre orientation in ultra high performance fibre reinforced concrete and its effect on flexural strength. *Mater Struct*, 2010. 43: 1009–1023.
58. Yang, S. L., Millard, S. G., Soutsos, M. N., Barnett, S. J., and Le, T. T. Influence of aggregate and curing regime on the mechanical properties of ultra-high performance fibre reinforced concrete (UHPFRC). *Constr Build Mater*, 2009. 23: 2291–2298.
59. Maalej, M. and Li, V. C. Introduction of strain-hardening engineered cementitious composites in design of reinforced concrete flexural members for improved durability. *ACI Struct J*, 1995. 92: 3369–3375.
60. Löfgren, I. Fibre-reinforced concrete for industrial construction--a fracture mechanics approach to material testing and structural analysis. Chalmers University of Technology, Goteborg, Sweden, 2005.
61. Maalej, M., Quek, S. T., Ahmed, S. F. U., Zhang, J., Lin, V. W. J., and Leong, K. S. Review of potential structural applications of hybrid fiber Engineered Cementitious Composites. *Constr Build Mater*, 2012. 36: 216–227.
62. Fukuyama, H., Sato, Y., and Li, V. Ductile engineered cementitious composite elements for seismic structural applications. *Proc 12WCEE*, 2000.1–8.
63. Marotzke, C. and Qiao, L. Interfacial crack propagation arising in single-fiber pull-out tests. *Compos Sci Technol*, 1997. 57: 887–897.
64. Marara, K., Ereub, Ö., and Yitmen, I. Comprehensive specific toughness of normal strength steel fibre reinforced concrete (NSSFRC) and high strength steel fibre reinforced concrete (HSSFRC). *Mater Res*, 2011. 14: 239–247.
65. Laranjeira de Oliveira, F. Design-oriented constitutive model for steel fiber reinforced concrete. Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Spain, 2010.
66. FIB. *Model code 2010 - Final complete draft*. 2010.
67. Zerbino RL, B. B. Long-term behaviour of cracked steel fibre-reinforced concrete beams under sustained loading. *ACI Mater J*, 2012. 109: 215–224.
68. Krenchel, H. and Shah, S. Applications of polypropylene fibers in Scandinavia. *Concr Int*, 1985. 7: 32–34.



69. Naaman, A. E., Shah, S. P., and Throne, J. L. Some developments in polypropylene fibres for concrete. *ACI Spec Publ*, 1984.
70. ACI committee 544. Revision of State-of-the-Art Report (ACI 544 TR-73) on Fiber Reinforced Concrete. *ACI J*, 1973. 70: 727–744.
71. Majumdar, A., Swamy, R. N., Bar-Shlomo, S., Collet, Y., Dardare, J., Doser, E., Fordos, Z., Ishai, O., Ish-Shalon, M., and Jung, F. Fibre concrete materials. *Mater Struct*, 1977. 10: 103–120.
72. PCI, C. on G. fibre R. C. P. Recommended Practice for Class Fiber Reinforced Concrete Panels. *PCI J*, 1981. 26: 25–93.
73. PCI, C. on G. fibre R. C. P. Manual for Quality Control for Plants and Production of Glass Fibre Reinforced Concrete Products. *PCI J*, 1991.
74. Shah, S. P. and Skarendahl, A. Steel Fibre Concrete. *Elsevier Appl Sci Publ*, 1986.
75. Shah, S. P. and Batson, G. B. *Fibre Reinforced Concrete Properties and Applications*. First Edit. Detroit, Michigan: American Concrete Institute (ACI). 1987.
76. Daniel, J. I. and Shah, S. P. Thin-section fiber reinforced concrete and ferrocement. *ACI*, 1990.
77. van Dam, J. E. G. Environmental benefits of natural fibre production and use. *Proc. Symp. Nat. fibers*, 2009., p. 3–17.
78. Sreekala, M., Kumaran, M., and Thomas, S. Water sorption in oil palm fiber reinforced phenol formaldehyde composites. *Compos Part A Appl Sci Manuf*, 2002. 33: 763–777.
79. Saheb, D. and Jog, J. Natural fiber polymer composites: a review. *Adv Polym Technol*, 1999. 18: 351–363.
80. Pervaiz, M. and Sain, M. M. Carbon storage potential in natural fiber composites. *Resour Conserv Recycl*, 2003. 39: 325–340.
81. Mohanty, A. K., Misra, M., and Drzal, L. T. *Natural fibers, biopolymers, and biocomposites*. CRC Press, Taylor and Francis group. 2005.
82. Jawaid, M. and Khalil, H. P. S. A. Cellulosic/synthetic fibre reinforced polymer hybrid composites: A review. *Carbohydr Polym*, 2011. 86: 1–18.
83. John, M. J. and Thomas, S. Biofibres and biocomposites. *Carbohydr Polym* , 2008. 71: 343–364.
84. Clemons, C. M. and Caulfield, D. F. Natural fibres. *Funct Fill Plast*, 2005.
85. Holbery, J. and Houston, D. Natural-fiber-reinforced polymer composites in automotive applications. *Jom*, 2006. 58: 80–86.

86. Fuqua, M. A., Huo, S., and Ulven, C. A. Natural fiber reinforced composites. *Polym Rev*, 2012. 52: 259–320.
87. Cheung, H., Ho, M., Lau, K., Cardona, F. and Hui, D. Natural fibre-reinforced composites for bioengineering and environmental engineering applications. *Compos Part B Eng*, 2009. 40: 655–663.
88. Summerscales, J., Dissanayake, P. N., Virk, A. S., and Hall, W. A review of bast fibres and their composites. Part 1–Fibres as reinforcements. *Compos Part A Appl Sci Manuf*, 2010. 41: 1329–1335.
89. Maldas, D., Kokta, B., Raj, R., and Daneault, C. Improvement of the mechanical properties of sawdust wood fibre polystyrene composites by chemical treatment. *Polymer (Guildf)*, 1988. 29: 1255–1265.
90. Toriz, G., Denes, F., and Young, R. Lignin-polypropylene composites. Part 1: Composites from unmodified lignin and polypropylene. *Polym Compos*, 2002. 23: 806.
91. Fageiri, O. Use of kenaf fibers for reinforcement of rich cementsand corrugated sheets. *Appropr Build Mater Low Cost Housing African Reg*, 1983. 167–176.
92. Symington, M. C., Banks, W. M., West, D. and Pethrick, R. Tensile testing of cellulose based natural fibers for structural composite applications. *Journal of composite materials. J Compos Mater*, 2009.
93. Mathew, A., Packirisamy, S., Kumaran, M. and Thomas, S. Transport of styrene monomer through natural rubber. *Polymer (Guildf)*, 1995.
94. Suh, D., Lim, Y. and Park, O. The property and formation mechanism of unsaturated polyester-layered silicate nanocomposite depending on the fabrication methods. *Polymer (Guildf)*, 2000.
95. Lee, D. and Jang, L. Characterization of epoxy–clay hybrid composite prepared by emulsion polymerization. *J Appl Polym Sci*, 1998.
96. George, S., Knorgen, M. and Thomas, S. Effect of nature and extent of crosslinking on swelling and mechanical behavior of styrene–butadiene rubber membranes. *J Membr Sci*, 1999. 163: 1–17.
97. Katoch, S., Sharma, V., and Kundu, P. Swelling Kinetics of Unsaturated Polyester-layered Silicate Nanocomposite Depending on the Fabrication Method. *Open-Access J Basic*, 2010.
98. Thwe, M. and Liao, K. Effects of environmental aging on the mechanical properties of bamboo–glass fiber reinforced polymer matrix hybrid composites. *Compos Part A Appl Sci*, 2002.
99. Sombatsompop, N. and Chaochanchaikul, K. Effect of moisture content on mechanical properties, thermal and structural stability and extrudate texture of poly (vinyl chloride)/wood sawdust composites. *Polym Int*, 2004.

100. Crank, J. *The mathematics of diffusion*. 2nd editio. Oxford, United Kingdom: Clarendon Press,. 1979.
101. Dhakal, H., Zhang, Z., and Richardson, M. Effect of water absorption on the mechanical properties of hemp fibre reinforced unsaturated polyester composites. *Compos Sci*, 2007.
102. Espert, A., Vilaplana, F., and Karlsson, S. Comparison of water absorption in natural cellulosic fibres from wood and one-year crops in polypropylene composites and its influence on their mechanical. *Compos Part A Appl Sci*, 2004.
103. Saleem, M. A., Siddiqi, Z. A., Aziz, M., and Abbas, S. Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity and Rebound Hammer Testing for Nondestructive Evaluation of Existing Concrete Structure 2016. 18: 89–97.
104. Ahmad, S. H., Bonnia, N. N., Zainol, I., A.A, M., and A.K, B. Polyester-kenaf composites: effects of alkali fiber treatment and toughening of matrix using liquid natural rubber. *J Compos Mater*, 2011. 45: 203–16.
105. Ghasemi, I. and Kord, B. Long-term water absorption behaviour of polypropylene/wood flour/organoclay hybrid nanocomposite. *Iran Polym J*, 2009.
106. Shi, S. and Gardner, D. Hygroscopic thickness swelling rate of compression molded wood fiberboard and wood fiber/polymer composites. *Compos Part A Appl Sci*, 2006.
107. Kushwaha, P. K., Kumar, R., Kushwaha, P. K. and Kumar, R. Studies on Water Absorption of Bamboo-Polyester Composites : Effect of Silane Treatment of Mercerized Bamboo Studies on Water Absorption of Bamboo-Polyester Composites : Effect of Silane Treatment of Mercerized Bamboo 2016. 2559.
108. Jamaludin, J. B. Effects of fiber size modification on the mechanical properties of kenaf fiber reinforced polyester composite. Unpublished PhD Thesis. Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka, 2008.
109. Sreekala, M. S. and Thomas, S. Effect of fibre surface modification on water-sorption characteristics of oil palm fibres. *Compos Sci Technol*, 2003. 63: 861–869.
110. George, J. and Sreekala, M. A review on interface modification and characterization of natural fiber reinforced plastic composites. *Polym Eng*, 2001.
111. Sreekala, M. S., George, J., Kumaran, M. G. and Thomas, S. Water-sorption kinetics in oil palm fibers. *J Polym Sci Part B Polym Phys*, 2001. 39: 1215–1223
112. Joseph, K., Thomas, S., and Pavithran, C. Effect of chemical treatment on the tensile properties of short sisal fibre-reinforced polyethylene composites. *Polymer (Guildf)*, 1996.

113. Sreekala, M., Kumaran, M., and Thomas, S. Oil palm fibers: Morphology, chemical composition, surface modification, and mechanical properties. *J Appl Polym Sci*, 1997. 66: 821–835.
114. Li, X., Tabil, L. G., and Panigrahi, S. Chemical treatments of natural fiber for use in natural fiber-reinforced composites: a review. *J Polym Environ*, 2007. 15: 25–33.
115. Zaveri, M. D. Absorbency characteristics of kenaf core particles. Masters Thesis. N.C. State University, 2004.
116. George, J. and Sreekala, M. A review on interface modification and characterization of natural fiber reinforced plastic composites. *Polym Eng*, 2001.
117. Bledzki, A. and Gassan, J. Composites reinforced with cellulose based fibres. *Prog Polym Sci*, 1999.
118. Han, J. S., Rowell, J. S., Rowell, R. M., Young, R. A., and Rowell, J. K. *Paper and composites from agro-based resources*. Boca Raton 1: CRS Press. 1997.
119. Alvarez, V. A., Ruscekaite, R. A., and Vazquez, A. Mechanical properties and water absorption behavior of composites made from a biodegradable matrix and alkaline-treated sisal fibers. *J Compos Mater*, 2003. 37: 1575–1588.
120. Ray, D., Sarkar, B. K., Rana, A. K., and Bose, N. R. Effect of alkali treated jute fibres on composite properties. *Bull Mater Sci*, 2001. 24: 129–135.
121. Iii, W. M., Archibald, D., and Sharma, H. Chemical and physical characterization of water-and dew-retted flax fibers. *Ind Crop*, 2000.
122. Mishra, S., Misra, M., and Tripathy, S. Graft copolymerization of acrylonitrile on chemically modified sisal fibers. *Macromolecular*, 2001.
123. Ogunbode, E. B., Jamaludin, M. Y., Ishak, M. Y., Meisam, R., Masoud, R., and Norazura, M. A. Preliminary Investigation of Kenaf Bio Fibrous Concrete Composites. 2nd Int. Conf. Sci. Eng. Soc. Sci. (ICSESS '16). Univ. Teknol. Malaysia, 2016., p. 248–9.
124. Stevulova, N., Cigasova, J., Purcz, P., Schwarzova, I., Kacik, F., and Geffert, A. Water Absorption Behavior of Hemp Hurds Composites 2015. 2243–2257.
125. Weyenberg, I. Van de, Ivens, J., and Coster, A. De. Influence of processing and chemical treatment of flax fibres on their composites. *Sci Technol*, 2003.
126. Valadez-Gonzalez, A., Cervantes-Uc, J., and Olayo, R. Chemical modification of henequen fibers with an organosilane coupling agent. *Compos Part B*, 1999.
127. Jacob, M., Thomas, S., and Varughese, K. Mechanical properties of sisal/oil palm hybrid fiber reinforced natural rubber composites. *Compos Sci Technol*, 2004.

128. Alawar, A., Hamed, A. M., and Al-Kaabi, K. Characterization of treated date palm tree fiber as composite reinforcement. *Compos Part B Eng*, 2009. 40: 601–606.
129. Mylsamy, K. and Rajendran, I. Investigation on physio-chemical and mechanical properties of raw and alkali-treated Agave americana fiber. *J Reinf Plast Compos*, 2010. 29: 2925–2935.
130. Mishra, S., Mohanty, A., Drzal, L., Misra, M., Parija, S., Nayak, S., and Tripathy, S. Studies on mechanical performance of biofibre/glass reinforced polyester hybrid composites. *Compos Sci Technol*, 2003. 63: 1377–1385.
131. Edeerozey, A. M. M., Akil, H. M., Azhar, A. B., and Ariffin, M. I. Z. *Chemical modification of kenaf fibers*. vol. 61. 2007.
132. Lin, T., Jia, D., Wang, M., He, P., and Liang, D. Effects of fibre content on mechanical properties and fracture behaviour of short carbon fibre reinforced geopolymer matrix composites. *Bull Mater Sci*, 2009. 32: 77–81.
133. Zhang, J. Z., Liu, H. T., Zhu, Y. D., Fu, Z. Q., and Zhao, J. Bending Resistance of Short-Chopped Basalt Fiber Hydraulic Concrete and RC Element. *Adv Mater Res Trans Tech Publ*, 2011. 261: 407–410.
134. Zak, G., Haberer, M., Park, C., and Benhabib, B. Estimation of average fibre length in short-fibre composites by a two-section method. *Compos Sci Technol*, 2000. 60: 1763–1772.
135. Fu, S. . and Lauke, B. Effects of fiber length and fiber orientation distributions on the tensile strength of short fiber reinforced polymers. *Compos Sci Technol*, 1996. 56: 1179–1190.
136. Razavi, M. Performance of Kenaf fibre reinforced concrete under static and dynamic loading. PhD Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 2017.
137. Shah, S. P. *Fibre Reinforced Concretes, Handbook of Structural Concrete*. U.K: McGraw-Hill. 1983.
138. Krenchel, H. and Shah, S. P. Restrained shrinkage tests with PP-fiber reinforced concrete. *ACI Spec Publ*, 1987.
139. Castro, J. and Naaman, A. E. Cement mortar reinforced with natural fibres. *ACI J Proc*, 1981. 78:
140. Aziz, M., Paramasivam, P., and Lee, S. Concrete reinforced with natural fibres. *Concr Technol Des*, 1984. 2:.
141. Aziz, M., Paramasivam, P., and Lee, S. Prospects for natural fibre reinforced concretes in construction. *Int J Cem Compos Light Concr*, 1981. 3: 123–32.
142. Gram, H. and Skarendahl, A. *A Sisal Reinforced Concrete: Study No. 1 Material*. Consultant Report No. 7822. Swedish Cement and Concrete Research Institute: 1978.

143. Paramasivam, P., Nathan, G., and Gupta, N. D. Coconut fibre reinforced corrugated slabs. *Int J Cem Compos Light Concr*, 1984. 6: 19–27.
144. Racines, P. and Pama, R. A study of Bagasse A study of Bagasse fibre-cement composite Developing, as low-cost construction materials. Proc. Int. Conf. Mater. Dev. Ctries., 1978., p. 191–206.
145. Uzomaka, O. J. Characteristics of akwara as a reinforcing fibre. *Mag Concr Res*, 1976. 28: 162–167.
146. Pakotiprapha, B., Pama, R., and Lee, S. Behavior of a bamboo fibercement 2paste composite. *J Ferrocement-Bangkok*, 1983. 13: 235–248.
147. Coutts, R. Flax fibres as a reinforcement in cement mortars. *Int J Cem Compos Light Concr*, 1983. 5: 257–262.
148. Lewis, G. and Premalal, M. Natural vegetable fibres as reinforcement in cement sheets. *Mag Concr Res*, 1979. 31: 1979.
149. Robles-Austriaco, L., Pama, R., and Valls, J. Rural development: Reinforcing with organic materials. *Concr Int*, 1983. 5:.
150. Weerasinghe, H. Fundamental Study on the Use of Coir Fibre Boards as a Roofing Material. Ph.D. Thesis. Asian Inst of Technology, 1977.
151. Lewis, G. and Mirihagalia, P. A low-cost roofing material for developing countries. *Build Environ*, 1979. 14: 131–134.
152. Coutts, R. and Ridikas, V. 1982. Refined wood fibre-cement products. *APPITA-Australian Pulp Pap Ind Tech Assoc*, 1982.
153. Board, N. W.-F. C. B. CSIRO Industrial New Council of Scientific and Industrial Research. *Aust News*, 1982.
154. Everett, A. and Barritt, C. M. H. *Materials*. 5th editio. Harlow, UK: Longman Scientific & Technical. 1994.
155. Swift, D. and Smith, R. Sisal-cement composites as low-cost construction materials. *Appropr Technol*, 1979. 6: 6–8.
156. Joffe, R., Andersons, J., and Wallstrom, L. Adhesion, Strength and Treatments, characteristics of elementary flax fibres with different surface. *Compos Part A Appl Sci Manuf*, 2003.603–612.
157. Mansur, M. and Aziz, M. A study of jute fibre reinforced cement composites. *Int J Cem Compos Concr*, 1982. 4: 75–82.
158. Akil, H., Omar, M., Mazuki, A., Safiee, S., and Ishak, Z. Kenaf fiber reinforced composites: A review. *Mater Des*, 2011.
159. Ashori, A., Harun, J., Raverty, W. ., and Yusoff, M. N. . Chemical and Morphological Characteristics of Malaysian Cultivated Kenaf (Hibiscus

- cannabinus) Fiber. *Polym Plast Technol Eng*, 2006. 45: 131–134.
160. Bukenya-Ziraba, R. and Bonsu, K. *Solanum macrocarpon* L'. *PROTA*, 2, 2004.
  161. Webber III, C. L., Bhardwaj, H. L., and Bledsoe, V. K. Kenaf production: fiber, feed, and seed. *Trends New Crop New Uses*, 2002.327–339.
  162. Cheng, Z. Kenaf research, products and applications in Japan. *Plant Fibers Prod*, 2001. 23: 16–24.
  163. Sameshima, K., Touge, A., and Ohtani, Y. Improvement of kenaf core oil absorption property by heat treatment at 200°C –500°C. Proc. 3rd Annu. Am. Kenaf Soc. Conf. Corpus Christi, 23-25 Febr. 2000, Corpus Christi: 2000., p. 64–72.
  164. Abe, K. and Ozaki, Y. Comparison of useful terrestrial and aquatic plant species for removal of nitrogen and phosphorus from domestic wastewater. *Soil Sci Plant Nutr*, 1998. 44: 599–607.
  165. Amaducci, S., Amaducci, M., Benati, R., and Venturi, G. Crop yield and quality parameters of four annual fibre crops (hemp, kenaf, maize and sorghum) in the North of Italy. *Ind Crops Prod*, 2000. 11: 179–186.
  166. Ogbonnaya, C. I., Roy-Macauley, H., Nwalozie, M. ., and Annerose, D. J. M. Physical and histochemical properties of kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.) grown under water deficit on a sandy soil. *Ind Crops Prod*, 1997. 7: 9–18
  167. Sellers, T. and Reichert, N. A. *Kenaf properties, processing and products*. Mississippi, U.S.A.: Mississippi State University Mississippi. 1999.
  168. Lee, S. A. and Eiteman, M. A. Ground kenaf core as a filtration aid. *Ind Crops Prod*, 2001. 13: 155–161.
  169. Mazuki, A. A. M., Akil, H. M., Safiee, S., Ishak, Z. A. M., and Bakar, A. A. Degradation of dynamic mechanical properties of pultruded kenaf fiber reinforced composites after immersion in various solutions. *Compos Part B Eng*, 2011. 42: 71–76.
  170. Davis, B. Natural Fiber Reinforced Concrete. *Res Present Georg Tech Univ*, 2007. <http://www.academia.edu/download/15921545/natfiber.pdf> (accessed December 11, 2016).
  171. Nishimura, N., Izumi, A., and Kuroda, K. I. Structural characterization of kenaf lignin: differences among kenaf varieties. *Ind Crops Prod*, 2002. 15: 115–122.
  172. Morrison III, W. H., Akin, D. E., Archibald, D. D., Dodd, R. B., and Raymer, P. L. Chemical and instrumental characterization of maturing kenaf core and bast. *Ind Crops Prod*, 1999. 10: 21–34.

173. Ververis, C., Georghiou, K., Christodoulakis, N., Santas, P., and Santas, R. Fiber dimensions, lignin and cellulose content of various plant materials and their suitability for paper production. *Ind Crops Prod*, 2004. 19: 245–254.
174. Tsoumis, G. Science and technology of wood 1991.
175. Thi Bach, T. L. . and Kenji, I. Structural characteristics of cell walls of kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.) and fixation of carbon dioxide. *J Wood Sci*, 2003. 49: 255–261.
176. Rouison, D., Sain, M., and Couturier, M. Resin transfer molding of natural fiber reinforced composites: cure simulation. *Compos Sci Technol*, 2004. 64: 629–644.
177. Mohamed, A., Bhardwaj, H., Hamama, A., and Webber Iii, C. Chemical composition of kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.) seed oil. *Ind Crops Prod*, 1995. 4: 157–165.
178. Chawla, K. . *Fibrous materials*. Cambridge University Press. 2005.
179. Cao, Y., Sakamoto, S., and Goda, K. Effects of heat and alkali treatments on mechanical properties of kenaf fibers 2007.
180. Du, Y., Du, Y., Zhang, J., and Xue, Y. Temperature-duration effects on tensile properties of kenaf bast fiber bundles. *For Prod J*, 2008. 58:.
181. Khalil, H. . and Suraya, N. . Anhydride modification of cultivated kenaf bast fibers: morphological, spectroscopic and thermal studies. *BioResources*, 2011. 6: 1121–1135.
182. Mahjoub, R., Yatim, J. ., Sam, A. R. ., and Raftari, M. Characteristics of continuous unidirectional kenaf fiber reinforced epoxy composites. *Mater Des*, 2014. 64: 640–649
183. Bisanda, E. and Ansell, M. Properties of sisal-CNSL composites. *J Mater Sci*, 1992.
184. Mohanty, A. and Misra, M. Biofibres, biodegradable polymers and biocomposites: an overview. *Mater Eng*, 2000.
185. Pande, H. and Roy, D. Influence of fibre morphology and chemical composition on the papermaking potential of kenaf fibres: A look at what attributes affect tensile strength. *Pulp Pap Canada*, 1998.
186. Magurno, A. Vegetable fibres in automotive interior components. *Die Angew Makromol Chemie*, 1999.
187. Kawai, S. ., Okudaira, Y., and Zhang, M. Manufacture of oriented fiberboard from kenaf bast fibers and its application to the composite pane 2000. 144–148.



188. Wolcott, M. and Smith, P. Opportunities and challenges for wood-plastic composites in structural applications. Proc. Prog. Woodfibre-Plastic Compos., Toronto, ON.: 2004.
189. Aji, I. S., Sapuan, S. M., Zainudin, E. S., and Abdan, K. Kenaf Fibres As Reinforcement for Polymeric Composites : a Review. *Int J Mech Mater Eng*, 2009. 4: 239–248.
190. Cristaldi, G., Latteri, A., Recca, G., and Cicala, G. Composites based on natural fibre fabrics', Woven fabric engineering. *Compos Based Nat Fibre Fabr Woven Fabr Eng*, 2010. 317–342
191. Almn, A. Fibers for Strengthening of Timber Structures. Lulea University of Technology, 2006.
192. Parikh, D., Calamari, T., and Sawhney, A. Improved chemical retting of kenaf fibers. *Text Res*, 2002.
193. Bismarck, A., Mishra, S., and Lampke, T. Plant fibers as reinforcement for green composites, Natural Fibers, Biopolymers and Biocomposites. In: AK Mohanty, Misra M, Drzal. L, editors., Boca Raton: CRC Press. 2005.
194. Graupner, N., Herrmann, A. ., and Müssig, J. Natural and man-made cellulose fibre-reinforced poly(lactic acid) (PLA) composites: An overview about mechanical characteristics and application areas. *Compos Part A Appl Sci Manuf*, 2009. 40: 810–821.
195. Rassmann, S., Paskaramoorthy, R., and Reid, R. Effect of resin system on the mechanical properties and water absorption of kenaf fibre reinforced laminates. *Mater Des*, 2011.
196. Ribot, N., Ahmad, Z., and Mustaffa, N. Mechanical propertise of Kenaf fiber composite using co-Cured in-line fiber joint. *Int J*, 2011.
197. Khalid, N., Yatim, J., and Abdul, W. Temperature Effects on Tensile Properties of Kenaf Bast Fiber. 10th Int. Annu. Symp. (UMTAS 2011).Terengganu, Kuala Teren. Malaysia., 2011., p. 287–288.
198. Yousif, B., Shalwan, A., Chin, C., and Ming, K. Flexural properties of treated and untreated kenaf/epoxy composites. *Mater Des*, 2012.
199. Mahjoub, R., Yatim, J. M., Mohd Sam, A., and Hashemi, S. H. Tensile properties of kenaf fiber due to various conditions of chemical fiber surface modifications. *Constr Build Mater*, 2014. 55: 103–113.
200. Kim, J. . and Mai, Y. . Interfaces in composites. Materials Science and Technology. *Mater Sci Technol*, 1993.
201. Masirek, R., Kulinski, Z., Chionna, D., Piorkowska, E., and Pracella, M. Composites of poly (L-lactide) with hemp fibers: Morphology and thermal and mechanical properties. *J Appl Polym Sci*, 2007. 105: 255–268.

202. Mansor, M. ., Sapuan, S., Zainudin, E., Nuraini, A., and Hambali, A. Stiffness Prediction of Hybrid Kenaf/Glass Fiber Reinforced Polypropylene Composites using Rule of Mixtures (ROM) and Rule of Hybrid Mixtures (RoHM). *J Polym Mater*, 2013. 30: 321–334
203. Meon, M., Othman, M., Husain, H., and Remeli, M. Improving tensile properties of kenaf fibers treated with sodium hydroxide. *Procedia*, 2012.
204. Shi, J., Shi, S., Barnes, H., and Horstemeyer, M. Kenaf bast fibers—part I: hermetical alkali digestion. *Int J*, 2011.
205. Amel, B., Paridah, M., Sudin, R., and Anwar, U. Effect of fiber extraction methods on some properties of kenaf bast fiber. *Ind Crop*, 2013.
206. Mohamad, I. I., Rozzetta, D., Mohd Zuhri, M. Y., Mohd, S. S., and Mohamad, Z. H. Chemical treatment evaluation of tensile properties for single Kenaf fiber. *J Adv Res Appl Mech*, 2017. 32: 9–14.
207. Zampaloni, M., Pourboghrat, F., Yankovich, S. A., Rodgers, B. N., Moore, J., Drzal, L. T., Mohanty, A. K., and Misra, M. Kenaf natural fiber reinforced polypropylene composites: A discussion on manufacturing problems and solutions. *Compos Part A Appl Sci Manuf*, 2007. 38: 1569–1580.
208. Ochi, S. Mechanical properties of kenaf fibers and kenaf/PLA composites. *Mech Mater*, 2008.
209. Nosbi, N., Akil, H., Ishak, Z., and Bakar, A. Degradation of compressive properties of pultruded kenaf fiber reinforced composites after immersion in various solutions. *Mater Des*, 2010.
210. Azwa, Z. and Yousif, B. Characteristics of kenaf fibre/epoxy composites subjected to thermal degradation. *Polym Degrad Stab*, 2013.
211. El-Shekeil, Y., Sapuan, S., Jawaid, M., and Al-Shuja'a, O. Influence of fiber content on mechanical, morphological and thermal properties of kenaf fibers reinforced poly (vinyl chloride)/thermoplastic polyurethane poly-blend. *Mater Des*, 2014.
212. Salleh, F., Hassan, A., Yahya, R., and Azzahari, A. Effects of extrusion temperature on the rheological, dynamic mechanical and tensile properties of kenaf fiber/HDPE composites. *Compos Part B*, 2014.
213. Kwon, H., Sunthornvarabhas, J., Park, J., and Lee, J. Tensile properties of kenaf fiber and corn husk flour reinforced poly (lactic acid) hybrid bio-composites: role of aspect ratio of natural fibers. *Compos Part B*, 2014.
214. Shukor, F., Hassan, A., Islam, M., Mokhtar, M., and Hasan, M. Effect of ammonium polyphosphate on flame retardancy, thermal stability and mechanical properties of alkali treated kenaf fiber filled PLA biocomposites. *Mater Des*, 2014.

215. Chi, J., Huang, R., Yang, C., and Chang, J. Effect of aggregate properties on the strength and stiffness of lightweight concrete. *Cem Concr Compos*, 2003. 25: 197–205.
216. Yang, B., Nar, M., Visi, D. K., Allen, M., Ayre, B., Webber, C. L., Lu, H., and D'Souza, N. A. Effects of chemical versus enzymatic processing of kenaf fibers on poly(hydroxybutyrate-co-valerate)/poly(butylene adipate-co-terephthalate) composite properties. *Compos Part B Eng*, 2014. 56: 926–933.
217. Sharba, M. J., Salman, S. D., Leman, Z., Sultan, M. T., Ishak, M. R., and Hanim, M. A. A. Effects of Processing Method , Moisture Content , and Resin System on Physical and Mechanical Properties of woven kenaf plant fiber composites. *BioResources*, 2015. 11: 1466–1476.
218. Davoodi, M., Sapuan, S., Ahmad, D., Ali, A., Khalina, A., and Jonoobi, M. Mechanical properties of hybrid kenaf/glass reinforced epoxy composite for passenger car bumper beam. *Mater Des*, 2010. 31: 4927–4932.
219. Thiruchitrabalam, M. and Alavudeen, A. Review on kenaf fiber composites. *Rev Adv Mater*, 2012.
220. Lee, C., Salit, M., and Hassan, M. A review of the flammability factors of kenaf and allied fibre reinforced polymer composites. *Adv Mater Sci*, 2014.
221. Saba, N., Paridah, M. T., and Jawaid, M. Mechanical properties of kenaf fibre reinforced polymer composite: A review. *Constr Build Mater*, 2015. 76: 87–96.
222. Ogunbode, E. B., Jamaludin, M. Y., Ishak, M. Y., Razavi, M., and Razavi, M. Potential of Kenaf fibre in bio-composite production: A review. *J Teknol*, 2015. 77: 23–30
223. ASTM C192. Standard Practice for Making and Curing Concrete Test Specimens in the Laboratory. *Am Soc Test Mater*, 2016.1–8
224. ACI 211, 1-91. *Standard Practice for Selecting Proportions for Normal , Heavyweight , and Mass Concrete*. vol. 10. 1991.
225. ACI 318M-05. *Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete and Commentary*. Michigan: 2005.
226. Vandewalle, L. RILEM TC 162-TDF: Test and design methods for steel fibre reinforced concrete. *Mater Struct*, 2000. 33: 3–6.
227. van Mier, J. G. . and van Vliet, M. R. . Uniaxial tension test for the determination of fracture parameters of concrete: state of the art. *Eng Fract Mech*, 2002. 69: 235–247.
228. Boshoff, W. P. Time-Dependant Behaviour of Engineered Cement-Based Composites 2007. Ph.D. Thes: 182
229. Mouton, C. J. Investigating the Tensile Creep of Steel Fibre Reinforced Concrete. 2012.

230. Aslani, F. and Nejadi, S. Creep and Shrinkage of Self-Compacting Concrete with and without Fibers. *J Adv Concr Technol*, 2013. 11: 251–265.
231. Awal, A. S. M. A. and Mohammadhosseini, H. Green concrete production incorporating waste carpet fiber and palm oil fuel ash. *J Clean Prod*, 2016. 137: 157–166.
232. Mangat, P. and Azari, M. Compression creep behaviour of steel fibre reinforced cement composites. *Mater Struct*, 1986.
233. Bazant, Z. P. *Mathematical modeling of creep and shrinkage of concrete*. Essex: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. 1988.
234. BS 8110:Part2. *Structural Use of Concrete: Code of Practice for Special Circumstances*. London, UK: 1997.
235. CEB-FIP. *Model Code for Concrete Structures, first draft, Bulletin d'information No.195*. Paris: 1990.
236. AS 3600. *Concrete structures*. Sydney, NSW 2001. Australia: 2009.
237. BS EN 1992-1-1:2004+A1:2014. Eurocode 2: Design of concrete structures. General rules and rules for buildings. <http://shop.bsigroup.com/ProductDetail/?pid=000000000030286962> (accessed December 20, 2016)
238. Acker, P. and Ulm, F. J. Creep and shrinkage of concrete: Physical origins and practical measurements. *Nucl Eng Des*, 2001. 203: 143–158.
239. Neville, A. M., Dilger, W. H., and Brooks, J. J. *Creep of plain and structural concrete*. Construction press. Construction press. 1983.
240. Ferretti, D. and Bažant, Z. Stability of ancient masonry towers: Moisture diffusion, carbonation and size effect. *Cem Concr Res*, 2006.
241. Blanco, A. Characterization and modelling of SFRC elements 2013.
242. Altoubat, S. A. and Lange, D. A. A New Look at Tensile Creep of Fibre-Reinforced Concrete. *ACI Spec Publ SP216-10*, 2003. 216: 143–160.
243. Pickett, G. The effect of change in moisture-content on the crepe of concrete under a sustained load. *J Proc*, 1942. 38: 333–356.
244. MacKay, J. and Trottier, J. F. *Post-crack creep behavior of steel and synthetic FRC under flexural loading*. Taylor & Francis. 2004.
245. Alizadeh, R., Beaudoin, J. J., and Raki, L. Viscoelastic nature of calcium silicate hydrate. *Cem Concr Compos*, 2010. 32: 369–376.
246. Bernard, E. S. Creep of cracked fibre reinforced shotcrete panels. In: Bernard, editor. Shotcrete more Eng. Dev., London: Taylor and Francis Group. 2004., p. 47–52.

247. MacKay, J. Behaviour of steel and synthetic fibre reinforced concrete under flexural creep loading. University of Dalhousie, Canada., 2002.
248. Troxell, G. E., Raphael, J. M., and Davis, R. E. Long time creep and shrinkage tests of plain and reinforced concrete. *ASTM Proc.*, 1958., p. 1–20.
249. Illston, J. The components of strain in concrete under sustained compressive stress. *Mag Concr Res*, 1965.
250. Tara Sen, H. N. and Jagannatha, R. S. Application of Sisal, Bamboo, Coir and Jute Natural Composites in Structural Upgradation. *Int J Innov Manag Technol*, 2011. 2:
251. Aminah, A., Wong, C. C. and Hashim, I. *Kenaf fibre production as affected by plant population and plant age on bris soil*. 2004.
252. Shafiq, N. Degree of hydration and compressive strength of conditioned samples made of normal and blended cement system. *KSCE J Civ Eng*, 2011. 15: 1253.
253. Bazant, Z. P. and Baweja, S. Creep and Shrinkage Prediction Model for Analysis and Design of Concrete Structures : Model B3. *ACI Spec Publ*, 2000. 194: 1–84.
254. Bažant, Z. P. Prediction of concrete creep and shrinkage: Past, present and future. *Nucl Eng Des*, 2001. 203: 27–38.
255. Bazant, Z. P. and Wittmann, F. H. *Creep and Shrinkage in Concrete Structures*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. 1982.
256. Ross, A. D. Concrete creep data. *Struct Eng*, 1937. 15: 314–326.
257. Lorman, W. R. Theory of Concrete Creep. *Procedia Am Soc Test Mater*, 1940. 40: 1082–1102.
258. Bazant, Z. P. and Osman, E. Double Power Law for Basic Creep of Concrete. *Mater Struct*, 1979. 9: 3–11.
259. Arango, S., Serna, P., and Martí-Vargas, J. A test method to characterize flexural creep behaviour of pre-cracked FRC specimens. *Experimental*, 2012.
260. Buratti, N., Mazzotti, C., and Savoia, M. Long-term behaviour of fiber-reinforced self-compacting concrete beams. *Des Prod Place Self*, 2010.
261. Bernard, E. Influence of Fiber Type on Creep Deformation of Cracked Fiber-Reinforced Shotcrete Panels. *ACI Mater J*, 2010.
262. Granju, J.L., Rossi, P., Chanvillard, G., Mesureur, B., Turatsinze, A., Farhat, H., Boulay, C., Serrano, J.J., Fakhri, P., Roque, O. and Rivillon, P. Delayed behaviour of cracked SFRC beams. RILEM Symp. fibre-reinforced Concr. (BEFIB 2000), Lyon: 2000., p. 511–520.

263. Tan KH, S. M. Ten-year study on steel fibre-reinforced concrete beams under sustained loads. *ACI Struct J*, 2005. 102: 472–480.
264. Kurtz, S. and Balaguru, P. Postcrack creep of polymeric fiber-reinforced concrete in flexure. *Cem Concr Res*, 2000. 30: 183–190.
265. Mouton, C. and Boshoff, W. Initial study on the tensile creep of cracked steel fibre reinforced concrete. 8th RILEM Int. Symp. fibre Reinf. Concr. challenges Oppor., Guimaraes, Portugal: RILEM. 2012., p. 326–337.
266. Zhao G, Prisco MD, V. L. Experimental research on uni-axial tensile creep behaviour of pre-cracked steel fiber reinforced concrete. 8th RILEM Int. Symp. fibre Reinf. Concr. challenges Oppor., Guimaraes, Portugal: 2012., p. 760–771.
267. Zhao, G., di Prisco, M., and Vandewalle, L. Experimental investigation on uniaxial tensile creep behavior of cracked steel fiber reinforced concrete. *Materials and Structures. Mater Struct*, 2014.1–13.
268. Truong, G. T., Choi, K.-K., and Choi, O.-C. Tensile and Compressive Creep Behaviors of Amorphous Steel Fiber-Reinforced Concrete. *J Korean Recycl Constr Resour Inst*, 2013. 1: 197–203.
269. Sprince, A., Pakrastinsh, L., and Korjakins, A. Creep behavior of high performance fiber reinforced concrete (HPFRC). 4th Int. Conf. Civ. Eng. Proc. Part II Constr. Mater., vol. 4, 2013., p. 19–22.
270. Chern, J. and Young, C. Compressive creep and shrinkage of steel fibre reinforced concrete. *Int J Cem Compos*, 1989.
271. ASTM C39. Standard Test Method for Compressive Strength of Cylindrical Concrete Specimens. *Am Soc Test Mater*, 2016. 1–7.
272. ASTM C469. *Standard Test Method for Static Modulus of Elasticity and Poisson's Ratio of Concrete in Compression*. vol. 4. 2014.
273. RILEM CP 113. *Absorption of Water by Immersion under Vacuum*. 1984.
274. BS EN 1992-1-1:2004+A1:2014. - Google Search. <https://www.google.com/search?q=BS+EN+1992-1-1%3A2004%2BA1%3A2014.&ie=utf-8&oe=utf-8&client=firefox-b> (accessed February 7, 2017)
275. Awal, A. S. M. A., Aida, M., Kadir, A., Yee, L. L., and Memon, N. Strength and Deformation Behaviour of Concrete Incorporating Steel Fibre from Recycled Tyre Strength and Deformation Behaviour of Concrete Incorporating Steel Fibre from Recycled Tyre. *Appl Mech Mater*, 2014.
276. Vandewalle, L. Concrete creep and shrinkage at cyclic ambient conditions. *Cem Concr Compos*, 2000.

277. ASTM C293 / C293M. Standard Test Method for Flexural Strength of Concrete (Using Simple Beam With Center-Point Loading) 2016.1–4. <https://www.astm.org/Standards/C293.htm>
278. Razavi, M. Performance of Kenaf Fiber Reinforced Polymer Composites in Various Environments. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 2016.
279. MS522:Part 1. *Cement – Part 1: Compositions, Specifications and Conformity Criteria For Common Cements*. 2007.
280. ASTM, C. 136. *Standard Test Method for Sieve Analysis of Fine and Coarse Aggregates*. 2006.
281. ASTM, C. 127. *Standard test method for specific gravity and absorption of coarse aggregate*. 2007.
282. ASTM C 494. *Standard Specification for Chemical Admixtures for Concrete*. vol. 4. 2005.
283. Agrawal, R., Saxena, N. S., Sharma, K. B., Thomas, S., and Sreekala, M. S. Activation energy and crystallization kinetics of untreated and treated oil palm fibre reinforced phenol formaldehyde composites. *Mater Sci Eng A*, 2000. 277: 77–82.
284. Morrison, W. H., Archibald, D. D., Sharma, H. S. S., and Akin, D. E. Chemical and physical characterization of water- and dew-retted flax fibers. *Ind Crops Prod*, 2000. 12: 39–46.
285. Ramadevi, P., Sampathkumar, D., Srinivasa, C. V., and Bennehalli, B. Effect of alkali treatment on water absorption of single cellulosic abaca fiber. *BioResources*, 2012. 7: 3515–3524.
286. Najafi, S. and Kordkheili, H. Effect of sea water on water absorption and flexural properties of wood-polypropylene composites. *Eur J Wood Wood Prod*, 2011.
287. Zabihzadeh, S. Flexural Properties and Orthotropic Swelling Behaviour of Bagasse/Thermoplastic Composites. *BioResources*, 2010.
288. Sombatsompop, N. and Chaochanchaikul, K. Effect of moisture content on mechanical properties, thermal and structural stability and extrudate texture of poly (vinyl chloride)/wood sawdust composites. *Polym Int*, 2004.
289. ASTM C1557. *Standard Test Method for Tensile Strength and Young's Modulus of Fibers*. 2014.
290. Yassin, S. Reinforced Concrete Design. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 2008.
291. Slowik, V. and Wittmann, F. H. Influence of strain gradient on fracture energy. Proc., Int. Conf. Fract. Mech. Concr. Struct., FrerMCoS, Breckenridge, Co.: 1992., p. 424–429.

292. ASTM C 143. *Standard Test Method for Slump of Hydraulic-Cement Concrete*. 2003.
293. BS EN 12350-3. *Testing fresh concrete. Vebe test*. 2009.
294. BS 1881, 103: *Testing concrete. Method for determination of compacting factor*. 1993.
295. ACI 544, 2R-89. *Measurement of Properties of Fibre Reinforced Concrete*. vol. 89. 1999.
296. ASTM, C., 157. *Standard Test Method for Length Change of Hardened Hydraulic-Cement Mortar and Concrete*. West Conshohocken, PA.: 2008.
297. BS 1881, 208: *Testing concrete. Recommendations for the determination of the initial surface absorption of concrete*. 1996.
298. Levitt, M. *Non-destructive testing of concrete by the initial surface absorption method*. 1970.
299. ASTM C, 642-13. *Standard test method for density, absorption, and voids in hardened concrete*. 2013.
300. Cabrera, G. and Lynsdale, C. A new gas permeameter for measuring the permeability of mortar and concrete. *Mag Concr Res*, 1988. 40: 177–182
301. ASTM C597, 16. *Standard Test Method for Pulse Velocity Through Concrete*. West Conshohocken, PA: 2016.
302. BS EN 12390, -3. *Testing hardened concrete. Compressive strength of test specimens*. 2009.
303. ASTM C 496. *Standard Test Method for Splitting Tensile Strength of Cylindrical Concrete Specimens*. West Conshohocken, PA: 2011.
304. Yanjun, L. *Strength, Modulus Of Elasticity, Shrinkage And Creep Of Concrete*. University Of Florida, 2007.
305. ACI Committee, 2009. *Prediction of Creep, Shrinkage, and Temperature Effects in Concrete Structures (ACI 209R-92)*, *ACI Manual of Concrete Practice*. Detroit, MI: 1993.
306. AS3600. *Concrete Structures. Standards Australia*. 2009.
307. Aslani, F. Creep behaviour of normal- and high-strength self-compacting concrete. *Struct Eng Mech*, 2015. 53: 921–938
308. ACI 209.2 R-08. *Guide for Modeling and Calculating Shrinkage and Creep in Hardened Concrete*. 2008.
309. Townsend, B. *Creep and shrinkage of a high strength concrete mixture*. Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, 2003.



310. Fanourakis, G. and Ballim, Y. Predicting creep deformation of concrete: a comparison of results from different investigations. , *11th FIG Symposium on Deformation*, 2003.
311. Muller, H.S. Hilsdorf, H. K. Evaluation of the time-dependent behavior of concrete, summary report on the work of general task group 9. *CEB Bull d'Information*, 1990. 199: 290.
312. Mannan, K. and Talukder, M. Characterization of raw, delignified and bleached jute fibres by study of absorption of moisture and some mechanical properties. *Polymer (Guildf)*, 1997.
313. ASTM C33. *Standard Specification for Concrete Aggregates*. West Conshohocken: 2013.
314. ASTM C117. *Standard Test Method for Materials Finer than 75- $\mu$ m ( No . 200 ) Sieve in Mineral Aggregates by Washing*. West Conshohocken, PA: 2013.
315. Kosmatka, S.H. Kerkhoff, B. Panarese, W.C. MacLeod, N.F. McGrath, R. J. *Design and Control of Concrete Mixtures*. Seventh. Ottawa, Ontario: Cement Association of Canada. 2002.
316. Bhattacharva, A. Rav, I. Davalos, J. . Effect of Aggregate Grading and Admixture/Filler on Self-Consolidating Concrete. *Open Constr Build Technol J*, 2008. 2: 89–95
317. Das, B. M. *Principle of Foundation Engineering*. Fourth. California, USA: PWS Publishing, Brook/Cole Publishing Company. 1999.
318. Nawy, E. G. *Fundamentals of High Strength High Performance Concrete*. London, UK: Longman Group Ltd. 1996.
319. ACI 211, 2. *Standard Practice for Selecting Proportions for Structural Light weight Concrete ( ACI 211 . 2-98 )*. vol. 98. Farmington Hills: 1998.
320. Vajje, S. and Krishna, N. R. Study On Addition Of The Natural Fibers Into Concrete. *Int J Sci Technol Res*, 2013. 2: 213–218
321. Johnson, C. Fibre reinforced concrete, significance of Tests and properties of concrete. ASTM Spec. Tech. Publ. STP 169-C, 1994., p. 547–561
322. Awal, A. S. M. A. and Shehu, I. A. Evaluation of heat of hydration of concrete containing high volume palm oil fuel ash. *Fuel*, 2013. 105: 728–731
323. Leung, H. and Balendran, R. V. Properties of fresh polypropylene fibre reinforced concrete under the influence of pozzolans. *J Civ Eng Manag*, 2003. 9: 271–279
324. Aida, M., Awal, A. S. M. A., Aida, M., Kadir, A., Yee, L. L., and Memon, N. Strength and Deformation Behaviour of Concrete Incorporating Steel Fibre from Recycled Tyre Strength and Deformation Behaviour of Concrete Incorporating Steel Fibre from Recycled Tyre. *Appl Mech Mater*, 2016.

325. Awang, H., Azree, M., Mydin, O., and Ahmad, M. H. Mechanical and Durability Properties of Fibre Lightweight Foamed Concrete. *Aust J Basic Appl Sci*, 2013. 7: 14–21
326. Yap, S., Alengaram, U., and Jumaat, M. Enhancement of mechanical properties in polypropylene–and nylon–fibre reinforced oil palm shell concrete. *Mater Des*, 2013.
327. Karahan, O. and Atis, C. . The durability propertierties of polypropylene fiber reinforced fly ash concrete. *Mater Des*, 2011. 32: 1044–1049.
328. Richardson, A. E., Coventry, K., and Landless, S. Synthetic and steel fibres in concrete with regard to equal toughness. *Struct Surv*, 2010. 28: 355–369.
329. Barragán, B., Zerbino, R., and Gettu, R. Creep behaviour of cracked steel fibre reinforced concrete beams. *Des Appl*, 2008.
330. Rilem, T. C. 162-TDF. Test and design methods for steel fibre reinforced concrete. *Mater Struct*, 2002. 35: 579–582.
331. 363R, A. *State of the Art Report on High Strength Concrete*. Detroit, USA: 1997.
332. Mazloom, M. Ramezaniapour, A. A. and Brooks, J. J. Effects of Silica Fume on Mechanical Properties of Highest-Strength Concrete. *Cem Concr Compos*, 2003. 25: 347–357.
333. Morita, S., Fujii, S., and Kondo, G. Experimental Study on Size Effect in Concrete Structures. Proc. Japan Concr. Inst. Int. Work. 31 Oct. -2 November., Japan: 1993., p. 27–46.
334. Bryant, A. H. Vadhanavikkit, C. Creep, Shrinkage Size and Age at Loading Effects. *ACI Mater J*, 1987. 84: 117–123.
335. Şener S, Çağlar Y, Şener KC, G. N. The Differential Shrinkage Displacement of Box Sections Prestressed Concrete Bridges. Proc. Istanbul Bridg. Conf., 2014.
336. ASTM192/C 192M – 02. Standard Practice for Making and Curing Concrete Test Specimens in the Laboratory. *Annu B ASTM Stand*, 2002. 4: 1–8.
337. Saravanos, E. M. Engineering properties of high performance concrete containing large volume of class C fly ash. Unversity of Saskatchewan, Canada., 1995.
338. XU, A. Sarker, S. L. Microstructural development in high-volume fly ash cement system. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering* 1994. 6: 117–136.
339. Buratti, N. and Mazzotti, C. Temperature effect on the long term behaviour of macro-synthetic and steel fibre reinforced concrete. 8th RILEM Int. Symp. fibre Reinf. Concr. challenges Oppor. 19-21 Sept. Guimaraes, Port., 2012., p. 715–725.

340. Shackelford, J. F. *Introduction to materials science for engineers*. 3rd ed. New York: Macmillan Publishing Company. 1992.