# QUEUEING THEORY BASED MODEL AND NETWORK ANALYSIS FOR PREDICTING THE TRANSMISSION AND CONTROL OF EBOLA VIRUS DISEASE

## CHINYERE OGOCHUKWU DIKE

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

> Faculty of Science Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > JANUARY 2018

## **DEDICATION**

Dedicated to

My darling husband, **Dr. Ikeme John Dike**, and my lovely children **Okey and Ike** whose love, dream, sacrifice, support and encouragement; My brother and wife, **Mr** and **Mrs Obiajulu Peter Nwofor**, whose hospitality, And My late lovely father, **Chief E. C. Nwofor** and my beloved mother **Mrs E. C. Nwofor**, who initiated the educational foundation; Led to achieve my doctoral degree.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Zaitul Marlizawati Zainuddin for her inspiring guidance, encouragement, and valuable suggestions throughout the period of this research work. Her unwavering guidance, support, and valuable advice during the initial exploration, the background search and writing of this thesis led to the completion of this research work. Also, her dedication and technical expertise proved to be the key elements to my doctoral research.

Special appreciation goes to my darling husband Dr. I. J. Dike and my lovely children Okey and Ike for their love, patience and support during the period of this research. I am indebted to my immediate younger brother and wife Mr and Mrs Obiajulu Peter Nwofor. I am always on transit in their house in Abuja while going and coming back from Malaysia. To my research colleagues, I am grateful especially Ernest Ituma Igba, Nasiru Zakari Muhammad, Hassan Suleiman Jibrin and Yakubu Aliyu Tanko.

Above all, my hearty thanks to God Almighty for his wisdom and spirit of discernment to start and complete this program.

### ABSTRACT

Ebola Virus Disease (EVD) is a complex epidemic killer disease. Recently, the disease has caused serious loss of life, waste of economy and material resources in West Africa nations. Literature shows that mathematical theories and models such as agent-based model, models based on ordinary differential equation for assessment studies and intervention measures have been proposed by several researchers to handle the outbreak of the disease. But, agent-based model comes with high computational cost, and model based on ordinary differential equation describes reality with varying accuracy. Therefore, there is the need for a mathematical model that can describe the real nature of the disease, reduce computational cost and better prediction of its behaviour. This study presents the modelling and analysis of EVD transmission and control using queueing theory technique. Data collected from WHO Ebola Data and Statistics of the recent outbreak in Guinea, Liberia and Sierra Leone from December 2013 to July 2015 is used in the study. The SEILICDR (Susceptible, Exposed, Likely Infected, Confirmed Infected, Dead/Recovery) Ebola epidemic model is proposed to accommodate all the transmission phases and be able to explain EVD transmission and control reliably. The EVD transmission patterns and possible control measures are determined using the basic properties of queueing theory. The SEILICDR based compartmental model is obtained, where SEILICDR represent the compartments within the countries. In addition, the SEILICDR based network model is also developed to characterize every interpersonal contact that can potentially lead to disease transmission. Findings indicate that the spread of EVD follows an irregular and random pattern. Also, the SEI<sub>L</sub>I<sub>C</sub>DR model shows that the Quasi-Stationary Distribution approximation is better than the existing models for the description of EVD problems. Result of the application of queueing theory yielded that the developed model is a reasonable approximation, showing when Ebola Virus is controlled. While, result from network model indicates that the population is vulnerable to large scale epidemics before intervention in the three countries. The vulnerability decreased drastically after intervention. The researcher recommends that studies need to be conducted to include other continent of the world affected by Ebola Virus Disease. The underlying factors of the epidemic are changing rapidly with the increase in safety measures, researchers should develop model that can predict cases in such situation.

### ABSTRAK

Penyakit Virus Ebola (EVD) adalah wabak penyakit pembunuh kompleks. Terkini, penyakit ini telah menyebabkan kehilangan nyawa yang serius, pembaziran ekonomi dan sumber bahan di negara-negara Afrika Barat. Literatur menunjukkan bahawa teori dan model matematik seperti model berasaskan ejen, model berdasarkan persamaan pembezaan biasa untuk kajian penilaian dan langkah intervensi telah dicadangkan oleh beberapa penyelidik untuk menangani penularan wabak penyakit ini. Tetapi, model berasaskan ejen memerlukan kos komputeran yang tinggi, dan model berdasarkan persamaan pembezaan biasa menggambarkan realiti dengan ketepatan yang berubah-ubah. Oleh itu, terdapat keperluan untuk model matematik yang dapat menggambarkan sifat sebenar penyakit ini, mengurangkan kos komputeran dan dapat meramalkan perilakunya dengan lebih tepat. Kajian ini membentangkan pemodelan dan analisis penyebaran dan kawalan EVD menggunakan teknik teori giliran. Data yang dikumpul daripada Data Ebola dan Statistik WHO untuk wabak terkini di Guinea, Liberia dan Sierra Leone dari Disember 2013 hingga Julai 2015 digunakan dalam kajian ini. Model wabak Ebola SEILICDR (Mudah terdedah, Terdedah, Dijangka dijangkiti, Disahkan dijangkiti, Mati/Pemulihan) adalah dicadangkan untuk mewakili semua fasa penyebaran dan dapat menjelaskan penyebaran dan kawalan EVD dengan pasti. Corak penjangkitan dan langkah-langkah kawalan EVD ditentukan dengan menggunakan sifat-sifat asas teori giliran. Model SEILIcDR berasaskan petak diperolehi di mana SEILIcDR mewakili petak dalam setiap negara. Sebagai tambahan, model rangkaian berasaskan SEI<sub>L</sub>I<sub>C</sub>DR juga dibangunkan untuk mencirikan setiap hubungan interpersonal yang berpotensi membawa kepada jangkitan penyakit. Dapatan kajian menunjukkan bahawa penyebaran EVD mengikut corak yang tidak seragam dan rawak. Model SEILICDR juga menunjukkan bahawa penghampiran Quasi-Stationary Distribution dapat menghuraikan masalah EVD dengan lebih baik daripada model yang sedia ada. Hasil aplikasi teori giliran menunjukkan bahawa model yang dihasilkan adalah penghampiran munasabah yang menunjukkan bila Virus Ebola dapat dikawal. Disamping itu, keputusan dari model rangkaian menunjukkan bahawa penduduk terdedah kepada wabak besar sebelum intervensi di ketiga-tiga negara. Kerentanan menurun secara drastik selepas intervensi. Penyelidik mengesyorkan kajian perlu dijalankan merangkumi benua lain di dunia yang terjejas oleh Penyakit Virus Ebola. Faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi wabak ini berubah pesat dengan peningkatan langkah-langkah keselamatan, penyelidik harus membangunkan model yang dapat meramalkan kes-kes dalam keadaan sedemikian.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTI | ER                | TITLE                                    | PAGE  |  |  |
|--------|-------------------|--|-------|--|--|
|        | DEC               | LARATION                                 | ii    |  |  |
|        | DED               | ICATION                                  | iii   |  |  |
|        | ACK               | NOWLEDGEMENT                             | iv    |  |  |
|        | ABS               | ГКАСТ                                    | v     |  |  |
|        | ABSTRAK           |  |       |  |  |
|        | TABLE OF CONTENTS |  |       |  |  |
|        | LIST              | OF TABLES                                | xii   |  |  |
|        | LIST              | <b>OF FIGURES</b>                        | XV    |  |  |
|        | LIST              | OF ABBREVIATIONS                         | xviii |  |  |
|        | LIST              | OF SYMBOLS                               | xxi   |  |  |
|        | LIST              | OF APPENDICES                            | xxvii |  |  |
| 1      | INTR              | RODUCTION                                | 1     |  |  |
|        | 1.1               | Overview of the Research Problem         | 1     |  |  |
|        | 1.2               | Motivation                               | 3     |  |  |
|        | 1.3               | Background of the Research               | 7     |  |  |
|        |                   | 1.3.1 Outbreak, Transmission and Control | 7     |  |  |
|        |                   | 1.3.2 Intervention                       | 7     |  |  |
|        |                   | 1.3.3 Queueing Analysis                  | 8     |  |  |
|        | 1.4               | Statement of the Problem                 | 11    |  |  |
|        | 1.5               | Research Questions                       | 11    |  |  |
|        | 1.6               | Objectives of the Study                  | 12    |  |  |
|        | 1.7               | Scope of the Research                    | 12    |  |  |
|        | 1.8               | Significance of the Research             | 13    |  |  |

|   | 1.9   | Thesis Organisation   | 14   |
|---|---|---|--|
| 2 | LITE  | CRATURE REVIEW  | 17   |
|   | 2.1   | Introduction  | 17   |
|   | 2.2   | Epidemic Diseases   | 17   |
|   | 2.3   | History and Effects of Ebola Virus Disease  | 18   |
|   | 2.4   | Review of Related Literature on Ebola Virus Disease   |  |
|   |   | Transmission and Control  | 20   |
|   |   | 2.4.1 Implication and Control of Ebola  | 21   |
|   |   | 2.4.2 Incidents and Deaths  | 21   |
|   |   | 2.4.3 Modelling   | 23   |
|   |   | 2.4.4 Clinical Diagnosis and Effectivesness of Numerous   |  |
|   |   | Intervention  | 28   |
|   |   | 2.4.5 Global Alert on Ebola Virus Disease   | 333  |
|   |   | 2.4.6 Effects of Ebola on Convalescent  | 344  |
|   | 2.5   | Research Gap  | 41   |
|   | 2.6   | Summary of Literature Review  | 42   |
| 3 | MET   | HODOLOGY  | 43   |
|   |   | Tu tu sulta sti su  | 43   |
|   | 3.1   | Introduction  | 15   |
|   | 3.1<br>3.2                                    | Research Plan   | 43   |
|   | <ul><li>3.1</li><li>3.2</li><li>3.3</li></ul> | Research Plan<br>Research Design and Procedure  | 43<br>47   |
|   | <ul><li>3.1</li><li>3.2</li><li>3.3</li></ul> | Research Plan<br>Research Design and Procedure<br>3.3.1 Step 1: Framing of Question   | 43<br>47<br>48   |
|   | 3.1<br>3.2<br>3.3                             | Research Plan<br>Research Design and Procedure<br>3.3.1 Step 1: Framing of Question<br>3.3.2 Step 2: Structure the Problem  | 43<br>47<br>48<br>49   |
|   | 3.1<br>3.2<br>3.3                             | <ul> <li>Research Plan</li> <li>Research Design and Procedure</li> <li>3.3.1 Step 1: Framing of Question</li> <li>3.3.2 Step 2: Structure the Problem</li> <li>3.3.3 Step 3: Data Collection/Data Presentation</li> </ul>   | 43<br>47<br>48<br>49<br>49   |
|   | 3.1<br>3.2<br>3.3                             | <ul> <li>Research Plan</li> <li>Research Design and Procedure</li> <li>3.3.1 Step 1: Framing of Question</li> <li>3.3.2 Step 2: Structure the Problem</li> <li>3.3.3 Step 3: Data Collection/Data Presentation</li> <li>3.3.4 Step 4: Queueing Analysis of Disease Control</li> </ul>   | 43<br>47<br>48<br>49<br>49<br>51   |
|   | 3.1<br>3.2<br>3.3                             | IntroductionResearch PlanResearch Design and Procedure3.3.1Step 1: Framing of Question3.3.2Step 2: Structure the Problem3.3.3Step 3: Data Collection/Data Presentation3.3.4Step 4: Queueing Analysis of Disease Control3.3.5Step 5: Development of Mathematical Model of  | 43<br>47<br>48<br>49<br>49<br>51   |
|   | 3.1<br>3.2<br>3.3                             | <ul> <li>Research Plan</li> <li>Research Design and Procedure</li> <li>3.3.1 Step 1: Framing of Question</li> <li>3.3.2 Step 2: Structure the Problem</li> <li>3.3.3 Step 3: Data Collection/Data Presentation</li> <li>3.3.4 Step 4: Queueing Analysis of Disease Control</li> <li>3.3.5 Step 5: Development of Mathematical Model of Disease Transmission</li> </ul>  | 43<br>47<br>48<br>49<br>49<br>51<br>51   |
|   | 3.1<br>3.2<br>3.3                             | <ul> <li>Research Plan</li> <li>Research Design and Procedure</li> <li>3.3.1 Step 1: Framing of Question</li> <li>3.3.2 Step 2: Structure the Problem</li> <li>3.3.3 Step 3: Data Collection/Data Presentation</li> <li>3.3.4 Step 4: Queueing Analysis of Disease Control</li> <li>3.3.5 Step 5: Development of Mathematical Model of Disease Transmission</li> <li>3.3.6 Step 6: Compartmental Epidemiological Model</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>43</li> <li>47</li> <li>48</li> <li>49</li> <li>49</li> <li>51</li> <li>51</li> <li>52</li> </ul>                                     |
|   | 3.1<br>3.2<br>3.3                             | <ul> <li>Research Plan</li> <li>Research Design and Procedure</li> <li>3.3.1 Step 1: Framing of Question</li> <li>3.3.2 Step 2: Structure the Problem</li> <li>3.3.3 Step 3: Data Collection/Data Presentation</li> <li>3.4 Step 4: Queueing Analysis of Disease Control</li> <li>3.5 Step 5: Development of Mathematical Model of Disease Transmission</li> <li>3.3.6 Step 6: Compartmental Epidemiological Model</li> <li>3.3.7 Step 7: Network Epidemiological Model</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>43</li> <li>47</li> <li>48</li> <li>49</li> <li>49</li> <li>51</li> <li>51</li> <li>52</li> <li>55</li> </ul>                         |
|   | 3.1<br>3.2<br>3.3                             | <ul> <li>Research Plan</li> <li>Research Design and Procedure</li> <li>3.3.1 Step 1: Framing of Question</li> <li>3.3.2 Step 2: Structure the Problem</li> <li>3.3.3 Step 3: Data Collection/Data Presentation</li> <li>3.4 Step 4: Queueing Analysis of Disease Control</li> <li>3.5 Step 5: Development of Mathematical Model of Disease Transmission</li> <li>3.3.6 Step 6: Compartmental Epidemiological Model</li> <li>3.3.7 Step 7: Network Epidemiological Model</li> <li>3.3.8 Step 8: Running and Testing Model</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>43</li> <li>47</li> <li>48</li> <li>49</li> <li>49</li> <li>51</li> <li>51</li> <li>52</li> <li>55</li> <li>57</li> </ul>             |
|   | 3.1<br>3.2<br>3.3                             | <ul> <li>Research Plan</li> <li>Research Design and Procedure</li> <li>3.3.1 Step 1: Framing of Question</li> <li>3.3.2 Step 2: Structure the Problem</li> <li>3.3.3 Step 3: Data Collection/Data Presentation</li> <li>3.3.4 Step 4: Queueing Analysis of Disease Control</li> <li>3.3.5 Step 5: Development of Mathematical Model of Disease Transmission</li> <li>3.3.6 Step 6: Compartmental Epidemiological Model</li> <li>3.3.7 Step 7: Network Epidemiological Model</li> <li>3.3.8 Step 8: Running and Testing Model</li> <li>3.3.9 Step 9: Model Validation</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>43</li> <li>47</li> <li>48</li> <li>49</li> <li>49</li> <li>51</li> <li>51</li> <li>52</li> <li>55</li> <li>57</li> <li>57</li> </ul> |

viii

|   | 3.4   | Operational Framework                                   | 59  |
|---|-------|---|-----|
|   | 3.5   | Theoretical Framework                                   | 60  |
|   | 3.6   | Summary   | 62  |
| 4 | BASIC | PROPERTIES OF QUEUEING TECHNIQUE FOR                    |     |
|   | EBOLA | A VIRUS DISEASE TRANSMISSION AND CONTROL                | 63  |
|   | 4.1   | Introduction  | 63  |
|   | 4.2   | Ebola Data for Guinea, Liberia, and Sierra Leone        | 63  |
|   | 4.3   | Test for Exponentiality Using M/M/1 Queueing Model      | 65  |
|   | 4.4   | Generation of Basic Properties of Queueing Theory       |     |
|   |       | from EVD Data (Guinea, Liberia, and Sierra Leone) Using |     |
|   |       | M/M/1 Queueing Model                                    | 67  |
|   | 4.5   | Mathematical Formulation of Queueing Theory             |     |
|   |       | Governing Equation                                      | 67  |
|   | 4.6   | Application of the Queueing Technique to EVD Problem    | 73  |
|   | 4.7   | Analysis of Guinea, Liberia and Sierra Leone 2014       |     |
|   |       | EVD Outbreak  | 76  |
|   | 4.8   | Summary   | 84  |
| 5 | DEVEI | LOPMENT OF SEILICDR MODEL                               | 85  |
|   | 5.1   | Introduction  | 85  |
|   | 5.2   | Development of SEI <sub>L</sub> I <sub>C</sub> DR Model | 85  |
|   | 5.3   | The SIS and SEIS Based Quasi-Stationary Distribution    | 86  |
|   | 5.4   | Quasi-Stationary Distribution of the Proposed           |     |
|   |       | SEILICDR Model  | 93  |
|   | 5.5   | SEILICDR Model for the Number of Exposed, Likely        |     |
|   |       | Infected and Confirmed Infected Person's Marginal Joint |     |
|   |       | Quasi-Stationary Distribution (QSD)                     | 100 |
|   | 5.6   | Analysis of the developed SEILICDR Model for            |     |
|   |       | Adequate Description of All the Transmission Phases     |     |
|   |       | and Behaviours of EVD Using Queueing Theory             | 102 |
|   |       | 5.6.1 Results   | 103 |
|   | 5.7   | Validation of the Proposed SEI LICDR Model              | 111 |

|   | 5.8                               | Summary   | 112 |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|-----|
| 6 | SEI <sub>L</sub> I <sub>C</sub> I | DR COMPARTMENTAL MODEL  | 113 |
|   | 6.1                               | Introduction  | 113 |
|   | 6.2                               | Development of SEILICDR Compartmental Model                               | 114 |
|   |                                   | 6.2.1 Timeline of Spread of Recent EVD Outbreak                           |     |
|   |                                   | in Guinea   | 118 |
|   |                                   | 6.2.2 Timeline of Spread of Recent EVD Outbreak                           |     |
|   |                                   | in Liberia  | 120 |
|   |                                   | 6.2.3 Timeline of Spread of Recent EVD Outbreak                           |     |
|   |                                   | in Sierra Leone   | 121 |
|   |                                   | 6.2.4 Discussion on Model Fit Result for the SEILICDR                     |     |
|   |                                   | Model   | 123 |
|   |                                   | 6.2.4.1 Validation of the SEI <sub>L</sub> I <sub>C</sub> DR Compartmenta | 1   |
|   |                                   | Model   | 128 |
|   | 6.3                               | Application of the SEILICDR Compartmental Model in EVI                    | )   |
|   |                                   | Cases   | 129 |
|   |                                   | 6.3.1 Derivation of Basic Reproduction Number                             | 130 |
|   |                                   | 6.3.1.1 Discussion on Result of the Derivation                            |     |
|   |                                   | of Basic Reproduction Number  | 135 |
|   | 6.4                               | Application of Queueing Theory to SEILICDR                                |     |
|   |                                   | Compartmental Model   | 139 |
|   | 6.5                               | Summary   | 144 |
| 7 | SEILIC                            | DR NETWORK MODEL  | 146 |
|   | 7.1                               | Introduction  | 146 |
|   | 7.2                               | SEI <sub>L</sub> I <sub>C</sub> DR Queueing Networks                      | 147 |
|   |                                   | 7.2.1 Multiple Channel Open Jackson Queueing                              |     |
|   |                                   | Networks  | 147 |
|   |                                   | 7.2.2 Contact Network   | 148 |
|   |                                   | 7.2.3 Urban Contact Network   | 148 |
|   | 7.3                               | Transmissibility of EVD   | 153 |
|   | 7.4                               | Epidemiological Analysis of EVD   | 154 |

|   |      | 7.4.1 Validation of SEILICDR Network Model | 162 |
|---|------|--|-----|
|   | 7.5  | Summary                                    | 162 |
| 8 | CONC | CLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS               | 164 |
|   | 8.1  | Introduction                               | 164 |
|   | 8.2  | Summary/Conclusion                         | 164 |
|   | 8.3  | Contributions                              | 171 |
|   | 8.4  | Limitations                                | 172 |
|   | 8.5  | Recommendations for Future Work            | 172 |
|   |      |  |     |

| REFERENCES |       | 174       |
|------------|-------|-----------|
| Appendices | A - E | 186 - 204 |

## LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO | . TITLE  |    |
|----------|--|----|
| 1.1      | Country/Organisation Expenditure on Ebola Virus Disease    | 3  |
| 2.1      | Table of Ebola Review Analysis                             | 36 |
| 2.2      | Table of Queueing Theory Review Analysis                   | 39 |
| 3.1      | Research Plan  | 46 |
| 3.2      | EVD Raw Data for Guinea                                    | 50 |
| 3.3      | EVD Raw Data for Liberia                                   | 50 |
| 3.4      | EVD Raw Data for Sierra Leone                              | 50 |
| 3.5      | Analogy of Queueing Theory and Disease Control             | 51 |
| 3.6      | Analogy of Queueing Theory and Disease Transmission        | 55 |
| 4.1      | EVD Data for Guinea  | 64 |
| 4.2      | EVD Data for Liberia                                       | 64 |
| 4.3      | EVD Data for Sierra Leone                                  | 64 |
| 4.4      | Data for Exponential Test                                  | 65 |
| 4.5      | Merged Data for Exponential Test                           | 66 |
| 4.6      | Analogy of Basic Properties of Queueing Theory             | 67 |
| 4.7      | Formula for Basic Properties of Queueing Theory            | 69 |
| 4.8      | Guinea Result of Basic Properties of Queueing Theory       | 69 |
| 4.9      | Liberia Result of Basic Properties of Queueing Theory      | 69 |
| 4.10     | Sierra Leone Result of Basic Properties of Queueing Theory | 70 |
| 4.11     | Guinea Basic Properties for M/M/1-M/M/10                   | 78 |
| 4.12     | Liberia Basic Properties for M/M/1-M/M/10                  | 80 |
| 4.13     | Sierra Leone Basic Properties for M/M/1-M/M/10             | 82 |

| 5.1  | Exposed, Likely Infected, Confirmed Infected, Nunber           |     |
|------|--|-----|
|      | of Infected Persons and their Standard Normal Value for        |     |
|      | Guinea 2014 – 2015 EVD   | 102 |
| 5.2  | Exposed, Likely Infected, Confirmed Infected, Nunber           |     |
|      | of Infected Persons and their Standard Normal Value for        |     |
|      | Liberia 2014 – 2015 EVD  | 103 |
| 5.3  | Exposed, Likely Infected, Confirmed Infected, Nunber of        |     |
|      | Infected Persons and their Standard Normal Value for           |     |
|      | Sierra Leone 2014 – 2015 EVD.                                  | 103 |
| 5.4  | Stochastic SEILICDR epidemic model for Approximation           | 104 |
| 6.1  | 2015 Guinea Population by District                             | 119 |
| 6.2  | 2008 Liberia Populations by District                           | 121 |
| 6.3  | 2004 Sierra Leone Populations by District                      | 122 |
| 6.4  | Calculation of Estimated Cumulative Infected (RMSE) for Guinea | 125 |
| 6.5  | Estimated Number of Cumulative Infected, Confirmed             |     |
|      | Infected, Likely Infected, Exposed, Number of Death,           |     |
|      | Recovery People and Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) of           |     |
|      | Prediction for Guinea  | 125 |
| 6.6  | Estimated Number of Cumulative Infected, Confirmed             |     |
|      | Infected, Likely Infected, Exposed, Number of Death,           |     |
|      | Recovery People and Root Mean Square Error (RMSE)              |     |
|      | of Prediction for Liberia                                      | 126 |
| 6.7  | Estimated Number of Cumulative Infected, Confirmed             |     |
|      | Infected, Likely Infected, Exposed, Number of Death,           |     |
|      | Recovery People and Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) of           |     |
|      | Prediction for Sierra Leone                                    | 126 |
| 6.8  | Calculation of Estimated Confirmed Infected (RMSE) for Guinea  | 127 |
| 6.9  | Table of Comparative Analysis                                  | 128 |
| 6.10 | Disease Commencement days and Intervention Time                | 134 |
| 7.1  | Urban Network and their Probabilities                          | 151 |
| 7.2  | Critical Transmissibility, Transmissibility and Probability    |     |
|      | of Network of Infected   | 159 |

| 7.3 | Probability of Infected in the Three Countries for both |     |  |
|-----|---|-----|--|
|     | Compartmental and Network Model                         | 161 |  |

## LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO | ). TITLE   | PAGE |
|-----------|--|------|
| 1.1       | Record of EVD in Guinea.   | 4    |
| 1.2       | Record of EVD in Liberia.  | 5    |
| 1.3       | Record of EVD in Sierra Leone.                                   | 6    |
| 1.4       | Scenarios Leading to Research Problem                            | 10   |
| 3.1       | Stages of Disease Transmission and Queueing Theory               | 55   |
| 3.2       | Operational Framework of Research                                | 60   |
| 3.3       | Theoretical Framework of Research                                | 61   |
| 4.1       | Guinea Transmission Pattern of the Disease                       | 77   |
| 4.2       | Guinea Number of Infected Persons per Hour                       | 77   |
| 4.3       | Guinea. (a) Probability of Infection (b) ANS against Centre      |      |
|           | (c) AWTR against Centre (d) AWTS against Centre                  |      |
|           | (e) ENP against Centre   | 78   |
| 4.4       | Liberia Transmission Pattern of the Disease                      | 79   |
| 4.5       | Liberia Number of Infected Persons per Hour                      | 9    |
| 4.6       | Liberia (a) Probability of Infection (b) ANS against Centre      |      |
|           | (c) AWTR against Centre (d) AWTS against Centre                  |      |
|           | (e) ENP against Centre   | 81   |
| 4.7       | Sierra Leone Transmission Pattern of the Disease                 | 81   |
| 4.8       | Sierra Leone Number of Infected Persons per Hour                 | 82   |
| 4.9       | Sierra Leone (a) Probability of Infection (b) ANS against Centre | e    |
|           | (c) AWTR against Center (d) AWTS against Centre                  |      |
|           | (e) ENP against Centre   | 83   |
| 5.1       | Guinea Stochastic SEILICDR Epidemic Model (histogram).           | 105  |

| 5.2  | Guinea Contour Diagram of Exposed and Likely Infected                             |     |
|------|---|-----|
|      | Persons   | 106 |
| 5.3  | Guinea Contour Diagram of Likely Infected and Confirmed                           |     |
|      | Infected Persons.   | 106 |
| 5.4  | Liberia Stochastic SEI <sub>L</sub> I <sub>C</sub> DR Epidemic Model (histogram). | 107 |
| 5.5  | Liberia Contour Diagram of Exposed and Likely Infected Persons                    | 107 |
| 5.6  | Liberia Contour Diagram of Likely Infected and Confirmed                          |     |
|      | Infected Persons  | 108 |
| 5.7  | Sierra Leone Stochastic SEILICDR Epidemic Model (histogram).                      | 108 |
| 5.8  | Sierra Leone Contour Diagram of Exposed and Likely Infected                       |     |
|      | Persons   | 109 |
| 5.9  | Sierra Leone Contour Diagram of Likely Infected and                               |     |
|      | Confirmed Infected Persons  | 109 |
| 6.1  | A Schematic of the Transitions between Different States                           |     |
|      | of EVD for SEIR Model   | 114 |
| 6.2  | A Schematic of the Transitions between Different States                           |     |
|      | of EVD for SEILICDR Model   | 115 |
| 6.3  | EVD Affected Districts in Guinea  | 119 |
| 6.4  | EVD Affected Districts in Liberia   | 120 |
| 6.5  | EVD Affected Districts in Sierra Leone  | 122 |
| 6.6  | SEILICDR Model Fit Result for 2014 Ebola Epidemic Data                            |     |
|      | for Guinea  | 123 |
| 6.7  | SEILICDR Model Fit Result for 2014 Ebola Epidemic Data                            |     |
|      | for Liberia   | 124 |
| 6.8  | SEILICDR Model Fit Result for 2014 Ebola Epidemic Data                            |     |
|      | for Sierra Leone  | 124 |
| 6.9  | Map of the Affected Countries: (a) Showing Cases,                                 |     |
|      | (b) Showing Severity  | 120 |
| 6.10 | Progression of Infection from Susceptible (S) Individuals                         |     |
|      | through the Exposed (E), Likely Infected $(I_L)$ , Confirmed                      |     |
|      | Infected $(I_c)$ , and Controlled Dead/Recovery $(D/R)$                           |     |
|      | Compartments for the Transmission/Control Model                                   | 132 |

|    | ٠ | ٠ |
|----|---|---|
| VV | 1 | 1 |
| Λ٧ | L | L |
|    |   |   |

| 6.11 | Trend of EVD Epidemic in SEILICDR Compartments for           |     |
|------|--|-----|
|      | Guinea   | 137 |
| 6.12 | Trend of EVD Epidemic in SEILICDR Compartments for           |     |
|      | Liberia  | 137 |
| 6.13 | Trend of EVD Epidemic in SEILICDR Compartments for           |     |
|      | Sierra Leone   | 138 |
| 6.14 | Normal Distribution Curve for Guinea (a) Number of Exposed,  |     |
|      | (b) Number of Likely Infected, (c) Number of Confirmed       |     |
|      | Infected, (d) Number of Death/Recovery                       | 141 |
| 6.15 | Normal Distribution Curve for Liberia (a) Number of Exposed, |     |
|      | (b) Number of Likely Infected, (c) Number of Confirmed       |     |
|      | Infected, (d) Number of Death/Recovery                       | 142 |
| 6.16 | Normal Distribution Curve for Sierra Leone (a) Number of     |     |
|      | Exposed, (b) Number of Likely Infected, (c) Number of        |     |
|      | Confirmed Infected, (d) Number of Death/Recovery             | 143 |
| 7.1  | Urban Contact Network  | 149 |
| 7.2  | Degree of Contact in the Network Stations                    | 152 |
| 7.3  | Probability Degree of Contact in the Network Stations        | 152 |
| 7.4  | Cumulative Degree Distribution for Urban Contact Network     | 154 |
| 7.5  | Network Simulation for Transmissibility before Intervention  | 160 |
| 7.6  | Network Simulation for Transmissibility after Intervention   | 160 |

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| AIDS             | - | Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome                    |  |
|------------------|---|---|--|
| ANS              | - | Average Number in the System                          |  |
| AWTR             | - | Average Waiting Time for Recovery                     |  |
| AWTS             | - | Average Waiting Time in the System                    |  |
| В                | - | Bcell- humoral immunity                               |  |
| BD               | - | Birth Death   |  |
| BD <sub>sa</sub> | - | Birth Death Sampled Ancestors                         |  |
| BD <sub>ss</sub> | - | Birth Death Super Spreader                            |  |
| BDEI             | - | Birth Death Exposed Infected                          |  |
| BDSIR            | - | Birth Death Susceptible Infected Removed              |  |
| CDC              | - | Centre for Disease and Control                        |  |
| CFR              | - | Case Fatality Ratio                                   |  |
| CI               | - | Confidence Interval                                   |  |
| CIA              | - | Central Intelligence Agency                           |  |
| CNN              | - | Cable News Network                                    |  |
| CS/s             | - | Compartmental Size per second                         |  |
| DFE              | - | Disease Free Equilibrium                              |  |
| DRC              | - | Democratic Republic of Congo                          |  |
| Ε                | - | Exposed   |  |
| EBOV             | - | Ebola Virus   |  |
| EFSA             | - | European Food Safety Authority                        |  |
| EGARCH           | - | Exponential Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedatic |  |
| EHF              | - | Ebola Haemorrhagic Fever                              |  |
| ENS              | - | Expected Number in the System                         |  |
| ETUs             | - | Ebola Treatment Units                                 |  |
| EVD              | - | Ebola Virus Disease                                   |  |

| FCFS                               | -   | First Come First Served                                     |  |
|------------------------------------|-----|---|--|
| GI/M/S                             | -   | General Independent/Memoryless/Server                       |  |
| HHCs                               | -   | Household Contacts  |  |
| HIV                                | -   | Human Immunodeficiency Virus                                |  |
| HPD                                | -   | Highest Posterior Density                                   |  |
| Ι                                  | -   | Infected  |  |
| IBT                                | -   | International Business Times                                |  |
| IgG                                | -   | Antibodies called Immunoglobulin                            |  |
| MATLAB                             | -   | Matrix Laboratory   |  |
| MCMC                               | -   | Markov Chain Monte Carlo                                    |  |
| MGARCH-D                           | CC- | Multivariate Generalize Autoregressive Conditional          |  |
|                                    |     | Heteroskedatic-Dynamic Correlation Coefficient              |  |
| M/G/1                              | -   | Memoryless/General/1 (Number of Server is 1)                |  |
| M/G/N                              | -   | Memoryless/General/N (Number of Server is N)                |  |
| M/M/./.                            | -   | Memoryless/ Memoryless /. (Number of Server is .)/ Capacity |  |
|                                    |     | (.)   |  |
| M/M/1                              | -   | Memoryless/ Memoryless /1 (Number of Server is 1)           |  |
| M/M/1/K                            | -   | Memoryless/ Memoryless /1 (Number of Server is 1)/Capacity  |  |
|                                    |     | (K)   |  |
| M/M/S                              | -   | Memoryless/ Memoryless /S (Number of Server is S)           |  |
| M/M/s/K                            | -   | Memoryless/ Memoryless /s (Number of Server is s)/Capacity  |  |
|                                    |     | (K)   |  |
| PGF                                | -   | Probability Generating Functions                            |  |
| PPR                                | -   | Prevalence Proportion Ratios                                |  |
| QC                                 | -   | Quarantine Centre   |  |
| QSD                                | -   | Quasi-Stationary Distribution                               |  |
| REBOV                              | -   | Reston Ebola Virus  |  |
| RMSE                               | -   | Root Mean Square Error                                      |  |
| RR                                 | -   | Rate Ratio  |  |
| S                                  | -   | Susceptible   |  |
| SD                                 | -   | Standard Deviation  |  |
| SEID <sub>b</sub> D <sub>I</sub> R | -   | Susceptible Exposed Infected Dead Buried Dead Infected      |  |
|                                    |     | Removed   |  |

| SEILICDR | - | Susceptible Exposed Likely Infected Confirmed Infected Dead |  |
|----------|---|---|--|
|          |   | Recovered   |  |
| SEIHFR   | - | Susceptible Exposed Infected Hospitalized Funeral Removed   |  |
| SEIR     | - | Susceptible Exposed Infected Removed                        |  |
| SEIS     | - | Susceptible Exposed Infected Susceptible                    |  |
| SIR      | - | Susceptible Infected Removed                                |  |
| SIIR     | - | Susceptible Latent Infected Removed                         |  |
| SIS      | - | Susceptible Infected Susceptible                            |  |
| SLLN     | - | Strong Law of Large Numbers                                 |  |
| Т        | - | Tcell-mediated immunity                                     |  |
| USD      | - | United States Dollar  |  |
| UNICEF   | - | United Nations of Children's Fund                           |  |
| WHO      | - | World Health Organization                                   |  |
| ZEBOV    | - | Zaire Ebola Virus   |  |

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

| \$                         | - | United States Dollar                                  |
|----------------------------|---|---|
| $R_o$                      | - | Basic Reproduction Number                             |
| ρ                          | - | Probability of Infection                              |
| Т                          | - | Time  |
| I(t)                       | - | Number of Infective at time <i>t</i>                  |
| S(t)                       | - | Number of Susceptible at time <i>t</i>                |
| Ω                          | - | States Space  |
| λ                          | - | Infection/Transmission Rate                           |
| μ                          | - | Recovery rate   |
| β                          | - | Effective Contact Rate                                |
| k                          | - | Infective Individual                                  |
| $R_c, R_e \text{ or } R_t$ | - | Effective Reproduction Number                         |
| 1/ <i>k</i>                | - | Average Incubation Period                             |
| Ν                          | - | Total Effective Population Size                       |
| $I_C/N$                    | - | Probability that Contact is made with Infectious      |
|                            |   | Individual  |
| CD4 T                      | - | Assist Other White Blood Cells in Immunologic         |
|                            |   | Process   |
| CD8 T                      | - | Destroy Virus Infected Cells and Tumour Cells         |
| L*(t,.)                    | - | Joint Distribution of the Number of Jobs Present at   |
|                            |   | time t  |
| V <sub>0</sub> (t,.)       | - | Sojourn Time of a Tagged Job Placed in the            |
|                            |   | System at t under various initial conditions in terms |
|                            |   | of the Laplace transform with respect to t            |
| Р                          | - | Probability   |
|                            |   |   |

| $T_d$                            | - | Total Delay in the System                                   |  |
|----------------------------------|---|---|--|
| $T_m$                            | - | Average Time an Individual that is Infected Spends          |  |
|                                  |   | in the System   |  |
| $T_r$                            | - | Recovery Time   |  |
| Ν                                | - | Number of Infected Individuals in the System                |  |
| E(N)                             | - | Expectation of Number of Individuals Infected in            |  |
| ~ /                              |   | the System at Time <i>t</i>                                 |  |
| E(T)                             | - | Expectation of Total Delay in the System                    |  |
| N(t)                             | - | Number of Individuals Infected in the System at             |  |
|                                  |   | Time <i>t</i>   |  |
| $N_A(t)$                         | - | Number of Individuals Infected that Arrives at the          |  |
|                                  |   | System up to Time <i>t</i> ,                                |  |
| $N_D(t)$                         | - | Number of Individuals Infected that Departs from            |  |
|                                  |   | the System up to Time <i>t</i>                              |  |
| $T_m$                            | - | Mean Time an Infected Individual Spends in the              |  |
|                                  |   | System  |  |
| $P(\beta_n)$                     | - | Inter-arrival Probability Density Function                  |  |
| $1/\lambda$                      | - | Mean of Inter-arrival Probability Density Function          |  |
| $1/\lambda^2$                    | - | Variance of Inter-arrival Probability Density               |  |
|                                  |   | Function  |  |
| $Y_i$                            | - | Sum of Poisson Random Variables for Independent             |  |
|                                  |   | Random Variables  |  |
| $P_{S_n}$                        | - | Partial Sum   |  |
| $N_n$                            | - | Number of Infected Individuals at Time $n$                  |  |
| $\Omega_1$                       | - | System that Every Exposed Individual Enters                 |  |
| $\Omega_2$                       | - | Each Infected Individual Leaves $\Omega_{\rm l}$ and Enters |  |
|                                  |   | another System $\Omega_2$                                   |  |
| Hrs                              | - | Hours   |  |
| Δ                                | - | Small Change  |  |
| Q                                | - | Quasi-Stationary Distribution                               |  |
| $\pi_{\!\scriptscriptstyle m,n}$ | - | Limiting Ratio of Time that there were $m$                  |  |

|                                   |   | Exposed, <i>n</i> Infected Persons  |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| m                                 | - | Exposed   |
| n                                 | - | Infected Person   |
| E(t)                              | - | Number of Exposed at Time <i>t</i>  |
| $I^{T}(t)$                        | - | Total Number of Infected Persons at Time t  |
| $P_{k}^{T}$                       | - | Total Probability for $k^{th}$ Infective  |
| $\left\{X_1, X_2, \ldots\right\}$ | - | Series of Nonnegative, Independent and<br>Identically Distributed Random Variable |
| $\infty$                          | - | Infinity  |
| m(t)                              | - | Mean-Value or the Renewal Function  |
| $\phi$                            | - | Probability that Specific Person is in Infectious                                 |
|                                   |   | State   |
| $\lim_{t\to\infty}$               | - | Limit as $t$ tends to infinity  |
| е                                 | - | exponential   |
| $\pi_{m,n_L n_C}$                 | - | Limiting Ratio of time in which there were $m$                                    |
|                                   |   | exposed, $n_L$ Likely Infected and $n_C$ Confirmed                                |
|                                   |   | Infected Persons not being in the Absorbing State                                 |
|                                   |   | but Conditioning in the Process   |
| $\pi_k$                           | - | Total Number of Infectious Persons for Quasi-                                     |
|                                   |   | Stationary Distribution   |
| $n_L$                             | - | Number of Likely Infected   |
| $n_{C}$                           | - | Number of Confirmed Infected  |
| $(E, I_L, I_C)$                   | - | The State Space of Number of Exposed, Likely                                      |
|                                   |   | Infected and Confirmed Infected Persons   |
| $I_L(t)$                          | - | Number of Likely Infected at Time <i>t</i>  |
| $I_{C}(t)$                        | - | Number of Confirmed Infected at Time t  |
| $P_{m,n_L,n_C}$                   | - | Probability of the Joint Quasi-Stationary   |
|                                   |   | Distribution  |
| !                                 | - | Factorial   |
| $\lambda I_C/N$                   | - | Rate at which Individual in Contact with the Virus                                |

|              |   | Enter the Exposed State                                     |
|--------------|---|---|
| 1/ <i>k</i>  | - | Average Incubation Period                                   |
| $\omega_{L}$ | - | Rate at which Individual Move from Likely                   |
|              |   | Infected to Confirmed Infected State                        |
| $\omega_{c}$ | - | Rate at which Individual Move from Confirmed                |
|              |   | Infected to Death or Recovery State                         |
| τ            | - | Beginning of Intervention Time                              |
| α            | - | Control of Rate of Transmission                             |
| $t_0$        | - | Initial Outbreak Time                                       |
| f(x)         | - | Function of <i>x</i>  |
| F            | - | Rate of Appearance of New Infections in the                 |
|              |   | Compartment   |
| V            | - | Rate of Transfer of Individuals into and out of Compartment |
| $v_1$        | - | Rate at which Individual Progress from Exposed              |
|              |   | to. Likely Infectious Individuals                           |
| $\nu_2$      | - | Rate at which Individual Progress from Likely               |
|              |   | Infected to Confirmed Infectious Individual                 |
| d            | - | Death Rate  |
| $\eta_{_1}$  | - | Control Rate for Exposed Individuals                        |
| $\eta_2$     | - | Control Rate for Confirmed Infectious Individuals           |
| q            | - | Successful Control Infectious individuals                   |
| (p=1-q)      | - | Unsuccessful Control Infectious Individuals                 |
| Ė            | - | Differential of Exposed                                     |
| $\dot{I_L}$  | - | Differential of Likely Infected                             |
| $\dot{I_C}$  | - | Differential of Confirmed Infected                          |
| Ś            | - | Differential of Susceptible                                 |
| $\dot{D/R}$  | - | Differential of Dead/Recovery                               |
| ρ            | - | Spectral Radius of Matrix $FV^{-1}$                         |

| <i>x</i> <sub>0</sub> | - | Jacobian Matrix   |
|-----------------------|---|---|
| $Df(x_0)$             | - | Derivative $\left[\partial f/\partial x\right]$ Evaluated at the Disease Free |
|                       |   | Equilibrium   |
| $P_{E}$               | - | Probability of Exposed  |
| $P_{I_L}$             | - | Probability of Likely Infected  |
| $P_{I_C}$             | - | Probability of Confirmed Infected   |
| $P_{S}$               | - | Probability of Susceptible  |
| $P_{D/R}$             | - | Probability of Dead/Recovery  |
| Т                     | - | Transmissibility  |
| $T_{C}$               | - | Critical Transmissibility or Epidemic Threshold                               |
| С                     | - | Mean Degree   |
| $c^2$                 | - | Mean Square Degree  |
| $G_{_0}ig(hig)$       | - | Probability Generating Functions for a Degree                                 |
| $\langle c-1 \rangle$ | - | Excess Degree   |
| $\langle c  angle$    | - | Mean Degree Absolute value  |
| $\langle c_e \rangle$ | - | Mean Excess Degree Absolute Value   |
| $\langle e  angle$    | - | Average Size of an Outbreak Absolute Value                                    |
| E                     | - | Probability of a Full-Blown Epidemic  |
| l                     | - | Probability that the Person at the End of an Edge or                          |
|                       |   | Line Does Not Have the Disease  |
| $r_c$                 | - | Probability that a Patient Zero with Degree $c$ will                          |
|                       |   | Start an Epidemic or Probability that Transmission                            |
|                       |   | of the Disease Along at Least One of the Edges                                |
|                       |   | Originating from the New Node or Vertex will                                  |
|                       |   | Lead to an Epidemic   |
| 1-T                   | - | Probability that the Disease Does Not Get                                     |
|                       |   | Transmitted Along the Edge  |
| Tl                    | - | Probability that Even if Disease is Transmitted to                            |
|                       |   | the Next Node or Vertex, it Does Not Proceed into                             |

$$1 - \prod_{i=1}^{N} (1 - r_{c_i}) -$$
Probability that an Outbreak of Size *N* will Kindle  
an Epidemic

 $f_c$ 

-

Probability that an Individual with Degree c will Become Infected During an Epidemic is Equal to One Minus the Probability that None of an Individual c Contact will Transmit the Disease to an Individual

## LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX | TITLE  | PAGE |
|----------|--|------|
| А        | Generation of Basic Properties of Queueing Theory      |      |
|          | from EVD Data (Guinea, Liberia and Sierra Leone)       |      |
|          | Using M/M/1 Queueing Model                             | 186  |
| В        | MATLAB Code for SEILICDR Epidemic Model and            |      |
|          | Contour Diagram for Guinea, Liberia and Sierra Leone   | 193  |
| С        | Proposed SEILICDR Compartmental Model Code             |      |
|          | (Before and After Intervention for Guinea, Liberia and |      |
|          | Sierra Leone)  | 197  |
| D        | Critical Transmissibility, Transmissibility and        |      |
|          | Probability of Network Infected                        | 201  |
| E        | Publications   | 204  |

## **CHAPTER 1**

#### **INTRODUCTION**

## 1.1 Overview of the Research Problem

Ebola Virus Disease (EVD) is a disease caused by infection with the virus of the family *Filoviridae*, genus Ebola virus (Feldmann *et al.*, 2011). It is one of the greatest challenges mankind has faced since inception of the world. The toughness of the challenge might be linked to the ways the disease transmits from place to place and from person to person.

Transmission is the unbroken sequence of event by a system. According to Lahm *et al.* (2007) and Walsh *et al.* (2003), Ebola outbreaks and transmission among humans has been associated with direct exposure to fruit bats and mortality among other wild animals, which tend to succumb to the infection. Once there is an outbreak, it can easily be transmitted from person to person. Subsequently, humans need to adopt some control measures to avoid further transmission and possible eradication of the disease. The quest to provide some control measures has attracted some researchers to develop various EVD transmission and control models.

A model is a physical, mathematical, or logical representation of a system, entity, phenomenon, or process. EVD models such as SIR (Susceptible, Infected, Removed), and others such as SEIR (Susceptible, Exposed, Infected, Removed), SEID<sub>b</sub>D<sub>1</sub>R (Susceptible, Exposed, Infected, Dead Buried, Dead Infected, Removed), SEIHFR (Susceptible, Exposed, Infected, Hospitalized, Funeral, Removed) were derived from basic epidemiological model SIR, were used by researchers. Researchers use Removed to mean those who recuperate or die from the illness. In the proposed research there is provision for Death and Recovery phases. However, these models are not suitable to be used because they do not accommodate the transmission phases of Ebola. Thus, model that would accommodate the transmission phases and be able to explain EVD transmission and control reliably in the real world is needed. According to WHO (2014a), WHO (2014b), WHO (2015a), CDC (2014a), Goeijenbier el al. (2014), Hass (2014), Alan (2013) and Singh (2014), EVD transmission phases are: Susceptible, Exposed, Likely Infected, Confirmed Infected, Dead, Recovery (SEILICDR). Therefore, in this study, the SEILICDR model of EVD transmission is proposed through queueing theory. Queueing theory is the mathematical study of waiting lines, or queues (Sztrik, 2012). It deals with the analysis of serving customers arriving to a facility with a fixed number of servers (Hernandez-Suarez et al., 2010). M/M/1 queueing model is applied which refers to the way the disease is transmitted, that is, infection is from one stage to another. For example, in SEILICDR model an infected individual has to pass through the  $S \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow I_L \longrightarrow I_C \longrightarrow D/R$  stages one after the other. The characteristics of queueing theory is that it has Poisson arrival, exponential service times and number of server (capacity). Through applying Poisson and exponential distributions assists to model complex phenomenon of waiting of infected individual in a queue as simple mathematical equation thereby reducing coputational cost (quantification of the difficulty of a computational problem in terms of the computer resources such as computational time or amount of memory required for its solution). These equations are analyzed to describe the real nature of the disease, better prediction of its behaviour and helps in capacity planning decision. That is, it assists in determining the number of EVD Quarantine Centres (QC) with respect to infected EVD patients. The application of queueing theory in the transmission dynamics and control of EVD assists in the development of a reliable EVD model. The theory monitors the outbreak of the disease, the trend of the disease and the eradication of the disease.

### 1.2 Motivation

Ebola Virus Disease currently has no known effective treatment or vaccine. Stadler et al. (2014) argued that supportive care and disease containment is the only available focus of relief efforts in bringing down the case fatality in case of any outbreak. Ebola outbreak has affected adversely the economy of the affected West African countries. Nwaoga (2014) revealed that Nigeria government spent USD11.875 million (United States Dollar) on the fight against EVD as of August 2014. Furthermore, United State government has committed USD175 million, partnering with the United Nations and other international partners to help the government of Guinea, Liberia, Sierra Leone, Nigeria, and Senegal. On the other hand, World Health Organisation (WHO) has spent USD1 billion so far (WHO, 2014c). The United Nations of Children's Fund (UNICEF) spent USD65 million in Liberia, USD61 million in Sierra Leone, and USD55 million in Guinea (UNICEF, 2014a, b). Additional USD10 million was also mapped out to the neighbouring countries to get them prepared for potential spread of the disease within their borders, with the remaining USD9 million required for regional coordination efforts (WHO, 2014c; UNICEF, 2014a,b). Table 1.1 shows expenses of countries and organisations on combating Ebola Virus Disease.

| Country/Organisation              | Amount \$(USD)                      |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Nigeria                           | 11.875million                       |
| United State, United Nations, and | 175 million                         |
| other partners                    |                                     |
| WHO                               | 1 billion                           |
| UNICEF                            | 65 million (Liberia)                |
|                                   | 61 million (Sierra Leone)           |
|                                   | 65 million (Guinea)                 |
|                                   | 10 million (neighbouring countries) |
|                                   | 9 million (regional coordinators)   |

 Table 1.1 : Country/Organisation Expenditure on Ebola Virus Disease

The high death rate is another worry. Information gathered from WHO (2014a) and WHO (2015a) Ebola Data and Statistics showed that in February 2015 there were 3155 total cases and 2091 total death. In March 2015, there were 3429 total cases and 2263 total death and in April, there were 3548 total cases and 2346 total death for Guinea as shown in Figure 1.1.



Figure 1.1 : Record of EVD in Guinea.

Subsequently, in February 2015 there were 9238 total cases and 4037 total death; in March 2015 there were 9602 total cases and 4301 total death, in April there were 10042 total cases and 4486 total death for Liberia as in shown Figure 1.2.



Figure 1.2: Record of EVD in Liberia.

As for Sierra Leone, in February 2015 there were 11301 total cases and 3461 total death; in March 2015 there were 11841 total cases and 3747 total death, in April there were 12201 total cases and 3857 total death as shown in Figure 1.3.



Figure 1.3 : Record of EVD in Sierra Leone.

Therefore, it has been shown in Table 1.1, Figures 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3 that a study for evident transmission of EVD is extremely important in order to find out the best ways to minimise the disaster caused by the disease. For example, in Figure 1.3 Sierra Leone has smaller number of death/cases compared to Guinea in Figure 1.1 and Liberia in Figure 1.2 because the outbreak started later which is May 2014 in Sierra Leone, December 2013 in Guinea and March 2014 in Liberia.

However the trend was on the increase for the three countries-Guinea, Liberia and Sierra Leone. Guinea was declared Ebola free on December 2015, Liberia on 9 May 2015 and Sierra Leone on November 2015. Guinea and Sierra Leone both had much larger outbreaks and it took a little longer. Liberia has been the most affected, with 4809 death, 3955 death in Sierra Leone and 2536 death in Guinea. Liberia Ebola epidemic that was declared free on 9 May re-emerged seven weeks later when a 17 year old man died from the disease and more cases was reported. The same happened in September, which is why the latest declaration of Liberia being Ebola free, while welcome one should be treated with caution (WHO, 2015a; BBC, 2016).

#### **1.3 Background of the Research**

As a result of the above mentioned problems, some researchers have carried out studies in finding possible means of eradicating EVD transmission in the affected areas. The studies can be divided into three criteria.

#### 1.3.1 Outbreak, Transmission and Control

Siettos *et al.* (2015) developed an agent-based model to study the 2014 Ebola virus epidemic outbreak, transmission and control in Liberia and Sierra Leone. They employed equation free approach to assign estimates to key epidemiological variables. Their data was derived from WHO Ebola Data and Statistics. The proposed model was found reliable for future EVD prediction in Liberia and Sierra Leone. However, equation free algorithms are generally not accurately expressed. In addition, all agent-based approach comes with high computational cost (Kelso & Milne, 2011).

Gomes *et al.* (2014) assessed the international spreading risk associated with the 2014 West African Ebola outbreak. They used the global epidemic and mobility model to generate stochastic individual based simulation. They found out that the extension of the outbreak is more likely occurring in African countries, increasing the risk of international dissemination on a longer time scale. However, it is difficult to use the approach for complex simulation and as such Gomes *et al.* (2014) used short term data only in their study.

## 1.3.2 Intervention

Rivers *et al.* (2014) modelled the impact of interventions on Ebola in Sierra Leone and Liberia using ordinary differential equations and simulations. They forecasted the progression of Ebola. The researchers also looked at the effectiveness of numerous interventions such as increased contact tracing, improved infections control practices and the use of a hypothetical pharmaceutical intervention to improve survival of hospitalized patients. They found out that there is increasingly severe epidemic with no sign of having reached the peak as at 31<sup>st</sup> December 2014. However, ordinary differential equation based models describe reality with varying accuracy (Isberg, 2012).

### 1.3.3 Queueing Analysis

The theory of queues and its analysis by the method of imbedded Markov chain was proposed by Kendall (1953). He used the technique to show the relationship between M/G/1 queues and; birth and death process. Subsequently, Kitaev (1993) proposed a processor-sharing model to find the relation between birth and death processes and the M/G/1 queues with processor sharing. Furthermore, Ball and Donnelly (1995) used M/G/1 theory to find total cost of the epidemic. Hernandez-Suarez *et al.* (2010) applied queueing theory to SIS (Susceptible, Infected, Susceptible) and SEIS (Susceptible, Exposed, Infected, Susceptible) epidemic models. However, their concern was on general epidemic. It is good to mention that each epidemic has its own special characteristics.

As can be seen, researchers have developed various epidemic based model of Ebola virus, mainly for Liberia and Sierra Leone. Their models were derived from the original SIR model proposed in 1932 as cited in Bashar *et al.* (2015). Some of the researchers extended the model to accommodate latent property of the Ebola virus during incubation period. But their models did not cover all the necessary EVD transmission phases.

Furthermore, the way Ebola Virus Disease invade countries differ due to socio-cultural and population behavioural differences. Hence, there is a need to study the way Ebola Virus Disease invaded different affected West African countries and measures taken to address the spread. In order to minimise the spread of the disease, a mathematical model that can determine the real nature of EVD transmission in a better way is deemed necessary. The scenario leading to the research problem is summarized in Figure 1.4.



Figure 1.4 : Scenarios Leading to Research Problem

#### **1.4** Statement of the Problem

Ebola Virus Disease is a complex and unprecedented epidemic killer disease. Recently, the disease has caused serious loss of life, waste of economy and material resources in West African nations like Nigeria, Senegal, Liberia, Sierra Leone and Guinea (WHO, 2014d). A lot of mathematical theories and models such as agentbased model, model based on ordinary differential equation for necessary assessment studies and intervention measures have been proposed by several researchers on ways to handle outbreak of the disease (Chowell and Nishiura, 2014). The researcher is of the opinion, that if EVD and its effects are not carefully studied and managed, it will claim immeasurable number of lives and properties within West Africa and her neighbours in no distant time. Therefore, a model that is capable of explaining the real nature of EVD transmission is needed.

As a result of the transmission mode and deadly nature of the disease, this study proposes an EVD transmission and control model based on queueing theory that considers all the transmission phases in order to understand the real nature of the disease and predict its behaviour. A queueing theory based compartmental model that explains individual queues of EVD and a queueing theory based network model to explain network of EVD queues in the three most affected countries are developed. Compartmental model is based on subdividing the population under consideration into various sections, while queueing network model is an interconnected collection of stations and contact network is applied. Therefore contact exist in designated stations which involves use of urban contact network such as household, shopping centre, religious centre, schools, workplaces and hospitals in a given community.

### **1.5** Research Questions

The problem statement raised several research challenges. These challenges will be addressed by providing answers to the following questions:

- 1. How would queueing theory be applied to determine Ebola Virus Disease transmission pattern?
- How would all transmission phases and behaviours of EVD be described through developed queueing theory based SEI<sub>L</sub>I<sub>C</sub>DR (Susceptible, Exposed, Likely Infected, Confirmed Infected, Dead, Recovery) model?
- 3. How would SEI<sub>L</sub>I<sub>C</sub>DR based compartmental model using the queueing theory approach be obtained for EVD analysis?
- 4. How would SEILICDR based network model using the queueing theory approach be obtained for EVD analysis?

#### **1.6 Objectives of the Study**

The aim of the study is to develop queueing theory based EVD transmission and control model. The specific objectives are:

- 1. To determine Ebola Virus Disease transmission patterns and possible control measures using the basic properties of queueing theory.
- To develop SEILICDR (Susceptible, Exposed, Likely Infected, Confirmed Infected, Dead, Recovery) model, for adequate description of all transmission phases and behaviours of EVD using queueing theory.
- 3. To obtain SEI<sub>L</sub>I<sub>C</sub>DR based compartmental model using the queueing theory approach for EVD analysis.
- 4. To obtain SEI<sub>L</sub>I<sub>C</sub>DR based network model using the queueing theory approach for EVD analysis.

## 1.7 Scope of the Research

Ebola Virus Disease transmission patterns and possible control measures will be determined using the basic properties of queueing theory. A three dimensional model of SEI<sub>L</sub>I<sub>C</sub>DR Ebola epidemic will be developed, solved and analysed to accommodate necessary EVD transmission phases. Queueing theory is used to develop, solve and analyse the compartmental model and network model in determining the EVD transmission dynamics and control measures. The study considered the three most affected countries in West Africa (Guinea, Liberia and Sierra Leone). The EVD data documented by the World Health Organisation was trusted in finding the input parameters of the model.

### **1.8** Significance of the Research

Previous researchers applied models on one and two dimensional approach, on the other hand this study developed SEI<sub>L</sub>I<sub>C</sub>DR model which is three dimensional. Likewise other studies applied an agent-based model and equation free approach, the global epidemic and mobility model, and ordinary differential equations which cannot understand the real nature of the Ebola Virus Disease and predict its behaviour. Alternatively, this study adopted queueing theory which can make better predictions.

The real nature of the disease was understood through the use of the developed model and its behaviour was predicted. It also determined the Ebola Virus Disease transmission patterns. These findings will be of benefit to the World Health Organisation, institutions of higher learning, nations of the world especially West African nations.

The research findings will form part of essential EVD database for World Health Organisation. The organisations will refer to the information provided in the research findings in taking decisions concerning future EVD outbreak whenever it occurs.

The institutions of higher learning also will benefit from the findings of the research. Suggestions for further study provided in the research will form pedestal for future research.

Nations of the world, especially West African nations will utilize the model to combat EVD outbreak anytime it occurs. They will use the model to predict possible transmission pattern of the disease and also proffers controlling measures to address the outbreak.

#### 1.9 Thesis Organisation

The thesis is classified into eight chapters. The organisation is as follows:

Chapter 1 consists of overview of the research problem, motivation, background of the research, statement of the problem, research questions, objectives of the study, scope of the research and significance of the research. This chapter gives understanding on the research work under consideration.

Chapter 2 provides comprehensive literature review based on the research topic. The literature reviewed covers the general overviews on Ebola Virus Disease transmission and control. The chapter further describes the brief history of Ebola Virus Disease, outlined possible effects of Ebola Virus Disease, control measures and other epidemic diseases. This chapter provides a description, summary, and critical evaluation of surveys books, scholarly article, and any other sources relevant to a particular issue about the study, area of research, or theory in relation to research problem being investigated.

Chapter 3 covers the research methodology adopted to perform this research work. The research design and procedure serves as the road map to achieve the research objectives. These include research plan, research design and procedure which is made up of ten steps-(framing of questions, structure the problem, data collection/data presentation, queueing analysis, development of Mathematical model, compartmental epidemiological model, network epidemiological model, running and testing the model, model validation and interpreting the results), operational framework as well as theoretical framework. This chapter offers the systematic, theoretical analysis of the methods applied to field of study. Chapter 4 discusses the generation of the basic properties of queueing process from EVD data (Guinea, Liberia and Sierra Leone) using M/M/1 queueing model, test of exponentiality, queueing theory governing equation, application of queueing technique to EVD problem and analysis of Guinea, Liberia and Sierra Leone 2014 EVD outbreak. This chapter determines Ebola Virus Disease transmission patterns and possible control measures using the basic properties of queueing theory.

Chapter 5 covers the development of SEI<sub>L</sub>I<sub>C</sub>DR model, SIS and SEIS based Quasi-Stationary Distribution; Quasi-Stationary Distribution of the proposed SEI<sub>L</sub>I<sub>C</sub>DR model; SEI<sub>L</sub>I<sub>C</sub>DR model of the number of Exposed, Likely Infected and Confirmed Infected persons for marginal joint Quasi-Stationary Distributions; analysis of the developed SEI<sub>L</sub>I<sub>C</sub>DR model for adequate description of all transmission phases and behaviours of EVD using queueing theory and validation of the proposed SEI<sub>L</sub>I<sub>C</sub>DR model.

Chapter 6 discusses the development of the SEILICDR compartmental model; application of the SEILICDR compartmental model in EVD cases using Guinea, Liberia, and Sierra Leone as case studies; and application of queueing theory approach to SEILICDR compartmental model. This model explains traditional epidemiological analysis that is based on subdividing the population under consideration into various sections. Application of compartmental model to Ebola Virus Disease (EVD) will result in estimating of the fundamental quantity called the basic reproduction number,  $R_0$  which is the number of secondary infections produced by a typical cases of an infection in a population that is totally Susceptible. The value above one means outbreak should flicker to large-scale epidemic. The model is validated using Chi-Square.

Chapter 7 highlights SEI<sub>L</sub>I<sub>C</sub>DR queueing networks, multiple channel open Jackson queueing networks, contact network, urban contact network (households, shopping centre, religious centre, schools, workplaces and hospital), transmissibility of EVD and epidemiological analysis of EVD. This model explains that contagious disease like Ebola transmits through networks, made by bodily interactions among persons. The model is validated using urban contact network analysis, since it offers a high degree of realism.

Chapter 8 provides the summary of the research work and conclusion of the entire research work based on the results obtained in Chapters 4, 5, 6 and 7. It also discusses the contributions, limitations of the study and recommendations for future research work.

#### REFERENCES

- Alan, M. (2013). *Hunter's Tropical Medicine and Emerging Infectious Diseases*. (9<sup>th</sup> ed). New York: Saunders.
- Althaus, C. L., (2014). Estimating the Reproduction Number of Ebola Virus (EBOV) During the 2014 Outbreak in West Africa. *Public Library of Science Currents Outbreaks*.

Doi:10.1371/currents.outbreaks.91afb5e0f279e7f29e7056095255b288.

- Anderson, R. M. and May, R. M. (1991). *Infectious Diseases of Humans*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Anderson, H. and Britton, T. (2000). Stochastic Epidemics in Dynamic Populations: Quasi- Stationarity and Extinction. *Journal Mathematical Biology*. 41: 559-580.
- Astacio, J., Briere, D., Guillen, M., Martinez, J., Rodriguez, F., and Valenzuela-Campos, N. (1996). Mathematical Models to Study the Outbreaks of Ebola. https://dspace.library.com 1996. Retrieved October, 2014.
- Baize, S., Pannetier, D., Oestereich, L., Rieger, T., Koivogui, L., Magassouba, N., Soropogui, B., Sow, M. S., Keïta, S., Clerck H. D., Tiffany, A., Dominguez, G., Loua, M., Traore, A., Kolie, M., Malano, E. R., Heleze, E., Bocquin, A., Mely, S., Raoul, H., Caro, V., Cadar, D., Gabriel, M., Pahlmann, M., Tappe, D., Schmidt-Chanasit, J., Impouma, B., Diallo, A. K., Formenty, P., Herp M. V., and Gunther, S. (2014). Emergence of Zaire Ebola Virus Disease in Guinea. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 371(15): 1418-25.
- Ball, F. and Donnelly, P. (1995). Strong Approximations for Epidemic Models. Stochastic Processes and their Applications. 55: 1-21.
- Ball, F. and Stefanov, V. T. (2001). Further Approaches to Computing Fundamental Characteristics of Birth-Death Processes. *Journal of Applied Probability*. 38: 995-1005.

- Bashar, S., Percy, M., and Singhai, R. (2015). Predicting the 2014 Ebola Outbreak in
  West Africa Using Network Analysis. News.
  updatephotos.com/ebola\_breakout\_in\_africa. Retrieved May 2015.
- BBC News (2014). Test Positive for Ebola in Liberia (2 of 5), Liberian Observer, 31 March 2014. http://www.liberianobserver.com. Retrieved 9 October, 2016.
- BBC News (2016). Ebola: Mapping the Outbreak. www.bbc.com/news/world-africa. Retrieved October 2016.
- Blower, S. M., Small, P. M. and Hopewell, P. C. (1996). Control Strategies for Tuberculosis Epidemics: New Models for Old Problems. *Science*. 273: 497.
- Bolch, G., Greiner S., De Meer H., Trivedi K. S. (2006). Queueing Networks and Markov Chains. (2<sup>nd</sup> edition). USA: John Wiley. ISBN 978-0-7923-9650-5.
- Brauer, F. and Castillo-Chavez, C. (2012). Mathematical Models in Population Biology and Epidemiology. (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). London: Springer.
- Briand, S., Bertherat, E., Cox, P., Formenty, P., Kieny, M. P, Myhre, J. K., Roth C., Shindo, N., and Dye, C. (2014). The International Ebola Emergency. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 371(13): 1180-3.
- Bwaka, M. A., Bonnet, M., Calain, P., Colebunders, R., Roo, A. D., Guimard, Y., Katwiki, K. R., Kibadi, K., Kipasa, M. A., Kuvula, K. J., Mapanda, B. B., Massamba, M., Mupapa, K. D., Muyembe-Tamfum, J., Ndaberey, E., Peters, C. J., Rollin, P. E., and Enden, E.V. (1999). Ebola Haemorrhagic Fever in Kikwit, Democratic Republic of Congo: Clinical Observations in 103 Patients. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 179: 1-7.
- Castillo-Chavez, C. and Feng, Z. (1997). To Treat of Not to Treat: The Case of Tuberculosis. *Journal of Mathematical Biology*. 35: 629\*
- CDC (2014a). Ebola Hemorrhagic Fever Signs and Symptoms. http://www.cdc.gov/vhf/ebola/symptoms/index.html. Retrieved 28 May 2016.\*
- CDC (2014b). Outbreaks Chronology: Ebola Virus Disease.Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Atlanta, USA. https://www.cdc.gov. Retrieved 10 March 2015.
- CDC (2014c). Previous Updates: 2014 West Africa Outbreak. http://www.cdc.gov/vhf/ebola/outbreaks/2014-west-africa/previousupdates.html. Retrieved 9 October 2016.

- CDC (2015). Review of Human-to-Human Transmission of Ebola Virus. Center for Disease Control and Prevention, MMWR, Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. www.cdc.gov. Retrieved November, 2015.
- Central Intelligence Agency (2016). CIA world Factbook. https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/. Retrieved 2<sup>nd</sup> January 2017.
- Chigbu, U. E., and Ntiador, A. M. (2014). Ebola in West Africa: Implications on Community Interaction in Urban Nigeria. *International Journal of Education* and Research. 2(10): 329-346.
- Chowell, G., Hengartner, N. W., Castillo-Chavez, C., Fenimore, P. W., and Hyman, J. M. (2004). The Basic Reproduction Number of Ebola and the Effects of Public Health Measures: The Cases of Congo and Uganda. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*. 229(1): 119-26.
- Chowell, G., and Nishiura, H. (2014). Transmission Dynamics and Control of Ebola Virus Disease (EVD): A Review. *Medicine for Global Health. BMC Medicine*.12(1): 196.
- CHRD (2015). Centre for Health Research and Diagnostics Guinea. www.dwu.ac.pg>index.php>centre. Retrieved 2<sup>nd</sup> January 2017.
- CNN (2014). Ebola: Patient Zero was a Toddler in Guinea-CNN.com. http://edition.cnn.com/2014/10/28/health/ebola-patientzero/index.html. Retrieved 9 October 2016.
- Cooper, R. B. (1981). *Introduction to Queueing Theory* (2<sup>nd</sup> Edition). Elsevier North Holland, Inc. New York: Oxford.
- Damon, V. (2016). The Pros and Cons of Queueing Theory. http://classroom.synonym.com/pros-cons-queueing-theory-8535781.html. Retrieved 9 October, 2016.
- Darroch, J. N. and Seneta, E. On Quasi-stationary Distribution in Absorbing Continuous-time Finite Markov Chains (1967). *Journal of Applied Probability*. 4: 192-196.
- Diekmann, O., Heesterbeek, J. A. P. and Metz, J. A. J. (1990). On the Definition and the Computation of the Basic Reproduction Ratio R<sub>o</sub> in Models for Infectious Diseases in Heterogeneous Populations. *Journal of Mathematical Biology*. 28: 365.

- Dowell, S. F., Mukunu, R., Ksiazek, T. G., Khan, A. S, Rollin, P. E., and Peters, C. J. (1999). Transmission of Ebola Haemorrhagic Fever: A Study of Risk Factors in Family Members, Kikwit Democratic Republic of the Congo 1995. *The Journal* of Infectious Diseases. 179: S87-S91.
- Driessche, P. V. D and Watmough, J. (2001). Reproduction Numbers and Subthreshhold Endemic Equilibria for Compartmental Models of Disease Transmission. *Mathematical Biosciences*. 180: 29-48.
- IBT (2014). Ebola Outbreak Blamed on Infected Bushmeat; First Family to Catch Virus Hunted Bats. International Business Times. http://au.ibtimes.com/articles/570107/20141020/bushmeat-ebola-outbreak-fruitbats.htm#.VEaivSihFnU. Retrieved 9 October 2016.
- European Food Safety Authority. (2014). An Update of the Risk of Transmission of Ebola Virus (EBOV) Via the Food Chain. *EFSA Journal*. 12(11): 3884.
- Feldmann, H., and Geisbert, T. W. (2011). Ebola Haemorrhagic Fever. *Lancet*. 377: 849-62.
- Fisman, D., Khoo, E., Tuite, A. (2014). Early Epidemic Dynamics of the West African 2014 Ebola Outbreak: Estimates Derived with a Simple Two-Parameter Model. *Public Library of* Science Currents Outbreaks. Doi: 10. 1371/currents.outbreaks.89c0d3783f36958d96ebbae97348d571.
- Francesconi, P., Yoti, Z., Declichs, S., Onek, P. A., Fabiani, M., Olango, J., Andraghetti, R., Rollin, P. E., Opira, C., Greco, D., and Salmaso, S. (2003).
  Ebola Haemorrhagic Fever Transmission and Risk Factors of Contacts, Uganda.
  Emerging Infectious Diseases. www.cdc.gov/eid. 9(11). Retrieved October, 2014.
- Gire, S. K., Goba, A., Andersen, K. G., Sealfon, R. S., Park, D. J., Kanneh, L., Jalloh, S., Momoh, M., Fullah, M., Dudas, G., Wohl, S., Moses, L. M., Yozwiak, N. L., Winnicki, S., Matranga, C. B., Malboeuf, C. M., Qu, J., Gladden, A. D., Schaffner, S. F., Yang, X., Jiang, P. P, Nekoui, M., Colubri, A., Coomber, M. R., Fonnie, M., Moigboi, A., Gbakie, M., Kamara, F. K., Tucker, V., Konuwa, E., Saffa, S., Sellu, J., Jalloh, A. A., Kovoma, A., Koninga, J., Mustapha, I., Kargbo, K., Foday, M., Yillah, M., Kanneh, F., Robert, W., Massally, J. L., Chapman, S. B., Bochicchio, J., Murphy, C., Nusbaum, C., Young, S., Birren, B. W., Grant, D. S., Scheiffelin, J. S., Lander, E. S., Happi, C., Gevao, S. M., Gnirke, A., Rambaut, A., Garry, R. F., Khan, S. H., Sabeti, P. C. (2014). Genomic

Surveillance Elucidates Ebola Virus Origin and Transmission during the 2014 Outbreak. *Science*. 345(6202): 1369-72.

- Google (2015). EVD Affected Districts in Guinea, Liberia and Sierra Leone. (https://www.google.com). Retrieved 9 October 2016.
- Grady, D., and Fink, S. (2014). Tracing Ebola's Breakout to an African 2-Year-Old. The New York Times. http://www.nytimes.com/2014/08/10/world/africa/tracing-ebolas-breakout-to-anafrican-2-year-old.html. Retrieved 9 October, 12016.
- Goeijenbier, M., van Kampen, J. J., Reusken, C. B., Koopmans, M. P. and van Gorp,
  E. C (2014). Ebola Virus Disease: A Review on Epidemiology, Symptoms,
  Treatment and Pathogenesis. *Nethlands Journal of Medicine*. 72(9): 442–8.
- Gomes, M. F. C., Piontti, A. P., Rossi, L., Chao, D., Longini, I., Halloran, M. E., and Vespignani, A. (2014). Assessing the International Spreading Risk Associated with the 2014 West African Ebola Outbreak. *Public Library of Science Currents Outbreaks*. doi: 10.1371/currents.cd818f63d40e24aef769dda7df9e0da5.
- Graw, F., Leitner, T., and Ribeiro, R. M. (2012). Agent-Based and Phylogenetic Analyses Reveal How HIV-1 Moves between Risk Groups: Injecting Drug Users Sustain the Heterosexual Epidemic in Lativa . *Elsevier, Epidemics*. 4: 104-116.
- Guinea Age Structure (2016). www.indexmundi.com/guinea/age\_structure.html. Retrieved 2<sup>nd</sup> January 2017.
- GuineaUnemploymentRate(2017).https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-<br/>instant&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=guinea+unemployment+rate.Retrieved2<sup>nd</sup> January 2017.Retrieved
- Haas, C. N. (2014). On the Quarantine Period for Ebola Virus. *Public Library of Science Currents Outbreaks*.
   doi:10.1371/currents.outbreaks.2ab4b76ba7263ff0f084766e43abbd89.
- Hernandez-Suarez, C. and Castillo-Chavez, C. (1999). A Basic Result on the Integral for Birth-Death Markov Processes. *Mathematical Biosciences*. 161: 95-104.
- Hernandez-Suarez C. M., Castillo-Chavez C., Lopez O. M., and Hernandez-Cuevas K. (2010). An Application of Queueing Theory to SIS and SEIS Epidemic Models. *Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering*. 7(4): 809-823.
- International Business Times (IBT) (2014). Ebola Patient Zero: Emile Ouamouno Of Guinea First To Contract Disease. http://www.ibtimes.com/ebola-patient-zero-

emile-ouamouno-guinea-first-contract-disease-1714698. Retrieved 9 October 2016.

- IRF (2010). International Religious Freedom Report 2010: Liberia. United States Department of State. http://www.state.gov/g/drl/rls/irf/2010/148698.htm. Retrieved October 9, 2016.
- Isberg E. (2012). Are Differential Equations the Proper Tool to Describe Reality? www.researchgate.net. Retrieved 19 May, 2015.
- Johnston, W. M. (2015). Statistics on the 2014 2015 West Africa Ebola Outbreak. http://www.johnstonsarchive.net>policy>westafrica. Retrieved 18 September 2016.
- Kelso, J. K. and Miline, G. J. (2011). Stochastic Individual-Based Modelling of Influenza Spread for the Assessment of Public Health Interventions. 19<sup>th</sup> International Congress on Modelling and Simulation, Perth, Australia. 12-16 December 2011. http://mssanz.org.au/modsim2011.
- Kendall, D. (1953).Stochastic Processes Occurring in the Theory of Queues and their Analysis by the Method of the Imbedded Markov Chain. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*. 24: 338-354.
- Khan, A. S., Tshioko, K., Heymann, D. L., Guenno, B. L., Nabeth, P., Kerstiens, B., Fleerackers, Y., Kilmarx, P. H., Rodier, G. R., Nkuku, O., Rollin, P. E., Sanchez, A., Zaki, S. R., Swanepoel, R., Tomori, O., Nichol, S. T., Peters, C. J., Muyembe-Tamfum, J. J., and Ksiazek, T. G. (1999). The Reemergence of Ebola Haemorrhagic Fever, Democratic Republic of the Congo, 1995. *The Journal of Infectious Disease*. 179: S76-S86.
- Kiskowski, M. A. (2014). A Three-Scale Network Model for the Early Growth Dynamics of 2014 West Africa Ebola Epidemic. *Public Library of Science Current Outbreaks*.
  - Doi:10.1371/currents.outbreaks.c6efe8274dc55274f05cbcb62bbe6070.
- Kitaev, M. (1993). The M/G/1 Processor-Sharing Models: Transient Behaviour. *Queueing Systems*. 14: 239-273.
- Kryscio, R. J. and Lefevre, C. (1989). On the Extinction of the S-I-S Stochastic Logistic Epidemic. Journal of Applied Probability. 26: 685-694.
- Lahm, S. A., Kombila, M., Swanepoel, R., and Barnes, R. F. (2007). Morbidity and Mortality of Wild Animals in Relation to Outbreaks of Ebola Haemorrhagic

Fever in Gabon, 1994 and 2003. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*. 101: 64-78.

- Legrand, J., Grais, R. F., Bolle, P. Y., Valleron, A. J., and Flahault, A. (2007). Understanding the Dynamics of Ebola Epidemics. *Epidemiology and Infection*. 135(4): 610-621.
- Lekone, P. E., and Finkenstadt, B. F. (2006). Statistical Inference in a Stochastic Epidemic SEIR Models with Control Intervention: Ebola as a Case Study. *Biometrics*. 62(4): 1170-7.
- Lewnard, J. A., Ndeffo Mbah, M. L., Alfaro-Murillo, J. A., Altice, F. L., Bawo, L., Nyenswah, T. G., and Galvani, A. P. (2014). Dynamics and Control of Ebola Virus Transmission in Montserrado, Liberia: A Mathematical Modelling Analysis. *Lancet Infectious Diseases*. 14:1189-95.
- Liberia Age Structure (2016). www.indexmundi.com/liberia/age\_structure.html. Retrieved 2<sup>nd</sup> January 2017.
- Liberia Unemployment Rate (2017). https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chromeinstant&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=liberia+unemployment+rate. Retrieved 2<sup>nd</sup> January 2017.
- Liu, Y. (1994). Queueing Networks as Models of Human Performance and Human-Computer Interaction. *Proceedings of the 1994 Symposium on Human Interaction with Complex Systems*. Department of Industrial and Operations Engineering, University of Michigan, USA. Technical Report 93-32. 1-15.
- Mackay, I. M., and Arden, K. E. (2015). Ebola Virus in the Semen of Convalescent Men. The *Lancet Infectious Diseases*. 15(2). S149-S150.
- McElroy, A. K., Akondy, R. S., Davis, C. W., Ellebedy, A. H., Mehta, A. K., Kraft, C. S., Lyon, G. M., Ribner, B. S., Varkey, J., Sidney, J., Sette, A., Campbell, S., Stroher, U., Damon, I., Nichol, S. T., Spiropou, C. F., and Ahmed, R. (2015). Human Ebola Virus Infection Results in Substantial Immune Activation. *PNAS (Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences)*. United States of America, 112(15).
- Meltzer, M. I., Atkins, C. Y., Santibanez, S., Knust, B., Peterson, B. W., Ervin, E. D., Nichol, S. T., Damon, I. K., and Washington, M. L. (2014). Estimating the Future Number of Cases in the Ebola Epidemic-Liberia and Sierra Leone, 2014-2015. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report Supplements*. 63(3): 1-14.

- Merler, S., Ajelli, M., Fumanelli, L., Gomes, M. F. C., Piontti, A. P., Rossi, L., Chao, D. L., Longini, I. M., Halloran, M. E., and Vespignani, A. (2015).
  Spatiotemporal Spread of the 2014 Outbreak of Ebola Virus Disease in Liberia and the Effectiveness of Non-Pharmaceutical Interventions: A Computational Modelling Analysis. *Lancet Infectious Diseases*. 15: 204-11.
- Meyers, L. A., Pourbohloul, B., Newman, M. E. J., Skowronski, D. M., and Brunham, R. C. (2005). Network Theory and SARS: Predicting Outbreak Diversity. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*. 232(1): 71-81.
- MIDC (2009). Establishment of Medical Imaging and Diagnostic Centre in Freetown. www.rvo.nl>projecten>establishment. Retrieved 2<sup>nd</sup> January 2017.
- MSF (2014). Guinea: Ebola Epidemic Declared. MSF UK. http://www.msf.org.uk/article/guinea-ebola-epidemic-declared. Retrieved 9 October 2016.
- Nadhem, S., and Nejib, H. D. (2015). The Ebola Contagion and Forecasting Virus: Evidence from Four African Countries. *Health Economics Review*. 5:16.
- Nasell, I. (1991). On the Quasi-stationary Distribution of the Ross Malaria Model. *Mathematical Biosciences*. 107:187.
- Nasell, I. (1999). On the Quasi-stationary Distribution of the Stochastic Logistic Epidemic. *Mathematical Biosciences*. 156: 21-40.
- Nassos, S. (2014). How World's Worst Ebola Outbreak Began with One Boy's Death. BBC News. http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-africa-30199004. Retrieved 9 October, 2016.
- Ndanguza, D., Tchuenche, J. M., and Haario, H. (2013). Statistical Data Analysis of the 1995 Ebola Outbreak in the Democratic Republic of Congo. African Diaspora Journal of Mathematics. 24:55-68.
- Newman, M. E. J. (2002). The Spread of Epidemic Disease on Networks. *Physical Review E*. 66, 016128.
- NIH (2014). Genetics of the 2014 Ebola Outbreak. http://www.nih.gov/researchmatters/september2014/09152014ebola.htm. Retrieved 9 October 2016.
- Nishiura, H. and Chowell, G. (2014). Early Transmission Dynamics of Ebola Virus Disease (EVD), West Africa, March to August 2014. *Eurosurveillance*. 19(36).
- NPHIL (2014). National Public Health Institute of Liberia. www.ianphi.org>newprofiles>liberia. Retrieved 2<sup>nd</sup> January 2017.

- Nwaoga, C. T., Nche, G. C., and Nnadi, F. U. (2014). The Pervasiveness of Ebola Virus Disease in Africa: Implication for Economy, Ecology and Socio-Religious Dynamics. *IOSR (International Organization of Scientific Research) Journal of Humanities And Social Science (IOSR-JHSS)*. 19(11): 69-77.
- Okoro, O. J. (2013). On Markovian Queueing Model as Birth-Death Process. Global Journal of Science Frontier Research Mathematics and Decision Sciences. 13(11)1.0: 2249-4626.
- Ovaskainen, O. The Quasistationary Distribution of the Stochastic Logistic Model (2001). *Journal of Applied Probability*. 38: 898-907.
- Reuters (2014). Mystery Hemorrhagic Fever Kills 23 in Guinea. Reuters. 19 March 2014. http://www.reuters.com/article/2014/03/19/us-guinea-fever-idusbrea2i0qm20140319. Retrieved 9 October 2016.
- Rivers, C. M., Lofgren, E. T., Marathe, M., Eubank, S., and Lewis, B. L. (2014). Modelling the Impact of Interventions on an Epidemic of Ebola in Sierra Leone and Liberia. *Public Library of Science Current Outbreaks*. 2014 Oct 16. Edition 1 doi: 10.1371/currents.outbreaks.fd38dd8507856540b0be3fcd78f5ccf.
- Roddy, P., Howard, N., Van Kerkhove, M. D., Lutwama, J., Wamala, J., Yoti, Z., Colebunders, R., Palma, P. P., Sterk, E., Jeffs, B., Van Herp, M., and Borchert, M. (2012). Clinical Manifestation and Case Management of Ebola Haemorrhagic Fever Caused by a Newly Identified Virus Strain, Bundibugyo, Uganda, 2007-2008. *Public Library of Science One*. 7(12): e52986.
- Ross, S. M. (2007). *Introduction to Probability Models*. (9<sup>th</sup> ed.). USA: Academic Press, Elsevier Inc.
- Rowe, A. K., Bertolli, J., Khan, A. S., Mukunu, R., Muyembe-Tamfun, J. J., Bressler, D., Williams, J. J., Peters, C. J., Rodriguez, L., Feldmann, H., Nichol, S. T., Rollin, P. E., and Ksiazek, T. G. (1999). Clinical, Virologic, and Immunologic Follow-Up of Convalescent Ebola Haemorrhagic Fever Patients and their Household Contacts, Kikwit, Democratic Republic of the Congo. *The Journal of Infectious Disease*. 179: S28-S35.
- SierraLeoneAgeStructure(2016).www.indexmundi.com/sierra\_leone/age\_structure.html.Retrieved2<sup>nd</sup>January2017.
- Sierra Leone Unemployment Rate (2017). https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-

instant&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=sierra+leone+unemployment+rate. Retrieved 2<sup>nd</sup> January 2017.

- Siettos C., Anastassopoulou C., Russo L., Grigoras C., and Mylonakis E. (2015). Modeling the 2014 Ebola Virus Epidemic-Agent-Based Simulations, Temporal Analysis and Future Predictions for Liberia and Sierra Leone. *Public Library of Science Current Outbreaks*.
- Singh, S. K. and Ruzek, D. (2014). *Viral Hemorrhagic Fevers*. Boca Raton: CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group.
- Stadler, T., Kuhnert, D., Rasmussen, D. A., and Louis du Plessis, (2014). Insights into the Early Epidemic Spread of Ebola in Sierra Leone Provided by Viral Sequence Data. *Public Library of Science Currents Outbreaks*. 2014 October 6. Edition 1. doi: 10.1371/current.outbreaks.02bc6d927ecee7bbd33532ec8ba6a25f.
- Stefanov, V. T. and Wang, S. (2000). A Note on Integrals for Birth-Death Processes. Mathematical Biosciences. 168: 161-165.
- Sztrik J. (2012). *Basic Queueing Theory*. http://irh.inf.unideb.hu/user/jsztrik. Retrieved September, 2015.
- ThoughtCo (2016). Bell Curve and Normal Distribution Definition. http://math.about.com/od/glossaryofterms/g/Bell-Curve-Normal-Distribution-Defined.htm. Retrieved 10 December 2016.
- Trapman, P., and Bootsma, M. C. J. (2009). A Useful Relationship between Epidemiology and Queueing Theory: The Distribution of the Number of Infectives at the Moment of the First Detection. *Elsevier, Mathematical Biosciences*. 219: 15-22.
- UNICEF (2014a). UNICEF Appeals for \$200 Million for Ebola Response in West Africa. UNICEF. http://www.unicef.org/media/media\_75900.html. Retrieved 13 May 2015.
- UNICEF (2014b). UNICEF Response. UNICEF has Been on the Frontlines in Ebola-Affected Countries from the Outset. UNICEF. http://www.unicef.org/emergencies/ebola/75941\_76137.html. Retrieved 13 May 2015.
- Walsh, P. D., Abernethy, K. A., Bermejo, M., Beyers, R., De, Wachter, P., Akou, M.
  E, Huijbregts, B., Mambounga, D. I, Toham, A. K., Kilbourn, A. M., Lahm, S.
  A., Latour, S., Maisels, F., Mbina, C., Mihindou, Y., Obiang, S. N., Effa, E. N.,
  Starkey, M. P., Telfer, P., Thibault, M., Tutin, C. E., White, L. J., Wilkie, D. S.

(2003). Catastrophic Ape Decline in Western Equatorial Africa. *Nature*. 422: 611-614.

- Washington Post (2014). How Ebola Sped Out of Control. http://www.washingtonpost.com/sf/national/2014/10/04/how-ebola-sped-out-ofcontrol/. Retrieved 9 October 2016.
- Winston, W. L. (2004). *Operations Research (Applications and Algorithms)*. (4<sup>th</sup> ed.). USA: Thomson Books.
- World Health Organization (1978). Ebola Haemorrhagic Fever in Zaire, 1976. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 56(2): 271-93.
- World Health Organization. (2001). Public Health Responses to Biological and Chemical Weapons. WHO Guidance. http://www.who.int/csr/delibepidemics/biochemguide/en/. Retrieved 20 February 2015.
- WHO (2014a). Ebola Data and Statistics. apps.who.int/gho/data/view.ebolasitrep.ebola-summary-latest?lang=en Retrieved 30 October, 2014.
- WHO (2014b). Ebola Virus Disease Fact Sheet No.
  103. http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factssheets/fs103/en/. Retrieved 9 October 2016.
- WHO (2014c). WHO Warns Ebola Response Could Cost \$1 Billion. The Hill.16 September 2014. http://thehill.com/policy/healthcare/217853-who-warns-ebolato-cost-to-1-billion. Retrieved 13 May 2015.
- WHO Ebola Response Team (2014d). Ebola Virus Disease in West Africa-The First
  9 Months of the Epidemic and Forward Projections. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 371(16): 1481-1495.
- WHO (2014e). Outbreak News-Ebola Virus Disease, West Africa. Weekly Epidemiological Record, 89(20): 205-220. www.who.int>wer.
- WHO (2014f). Ebola Virus Disease in Guinea. http://www.afro.who.int/en/cluster-aprogrammes/dpc/epidemic-a-pandemic-alert-and-response/outbreak-news/4063ebola-hemorrhagic-fever-in-guinea.html. Retrieved 20 February 2015.
- WHO (2014g)Ebola Virus Disease in Guinea (Situation as of 25 March 2014). http://www.afro.who.int/en/clusters-a-programmes/dpc/epidemic-a-pandemicalert-and-response/outbreak-news/4065-ebola-virus-disease-in-guinea-25-march-2014.html. Retrieved 9 October 2016.

- WHO (2015a). Ebola Data and Statistics. apps.who.int/gho/data/view.ebolasitrep.ebola-summary-latest?lang=en Retrieved 12 May 2015.
- WHO (2015b). Media Centre. http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs286/en/. Retrieved 27 October 2015.
- WHO(2015c).MediaCentre.Malaria.http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs094/en/.Retrieved 27 October 2015.