

EXPERIMENTAL STUDY ON WAXY OIL–WATER HORIZONTAL FLOW AT
TEMPERATURES ABOVE THE WAX APPEARANCE TEMPERATURE

ALI PIROOZIAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Petroleum Engineering)

Faculty of Chemical and Energy Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

MAY 2017

*This thesis is dedicated to my beloved wife and parents, in recognition of their
continual love, encouragement, and support.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, the most Compassionate, the most Merciful

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, and academicians. Here, I wish to express my deepest appreciation and gratitude in my acknowledgments to all who have contributed and guided me through. In particular, I would like to record my sincere gratitude to my main research supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Muhammad bin A. Manan, for his patience, encouragement, guidance, and especially for his confidence in me. I am also very thankful to my co-supervisors, Associate Professor Issham bin Ismail, and Professor Eng. Dr. Rahmat bin Mohsin, for their precious advices, endless support, and assistance. I feel very fortunate and honoured to have worked especially with Associate Professor Issham bin Ismail who benefited me with his great wisdom, invaluable experiences and knowledge in academic and non-academic life. My growth as a researcher was enriched and inspired by his passion and genius ideas in science and design.

I am also very grateful for the financial support and the opportunity given by the Ministry of Higher Education of Malaysia and Universiti Teknologi Malaysia under the Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (Vot no: 4F136) and Research University Grant Scheme (Vot no: 01H68), respectively. My special thanks also to Petronas for supplying the crude oil, UNIPEM for testing and characterizing the research samples, MD Interactive Enterprise for the rig construction and fabrication, Suria Pembekal Umum Sdn. Bhd. and Grief Malaysia Sdn. Bhd. for their assistance in supplying chemicals and relevant materials to make this project a success.

Aside that, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to Mr. Mior Zaiga and Mr. Rohaizad, Staff Process Engineers of Petronas Carigali Sdn. Bhd. for their regular industrial discussions on the research project. Their industrial experience

truly furnished a valuable source of information and insight on the research application towards the oil and gas industry. Special thanks are also conveyed to Mr. Zaid and Mr. Samsol of UTM-MPRC Institute for Oil and Gas, Mr. Redhuan Ramlee, the Head Technician of the Gas System Laboratory, Mr. Ahmad Norani Sadiron, the Head of the Central Store, and FPREE's academic and technical staff for their guidance, assistance, and support.

Finally on my personal note, I would like to express my heartfelt appreciation to my dearly beloved wife, Shaghayegh Mohammadi, without whom I would not have the strength and perseverance in pursuing this study. Most importantly to my beloved parents, Hamid Piroozian and Mina Dashti, for their prayers and words of wisdom that always enlighten me and help me gaining my spiritual right on track. Last but not least to my research team members, Mr. Mahmmoud Hemati and Mr. Ahmad Shamsul Izwan Ismail, for the fruitful and thoughtful discussion throughout the research studies, and not to forget, in helping me to accomplish the experimental works. Their views and tips were truly useful and are appreciated. Without their continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

ABSTRACT

Temperature sensitivity of waxy crude oils makes it difficult to study their flow behaviour in the presence of water especially near their wax appearance temperature (WAT). In this study, a method was proposed and implemented to mitigate such difficulties by predicting mixture temperatures prior to experimental flow of a typical Malaysian waxy crude oil and water in a designed horizontal multiphase flow loop. To observe this method in action, mixture temperatures, pressure drops and liquid holdups were experimentally measured for mixture velocity ranging from 0.2 to 1.7 m/s in a carbon steel horizontal pipe at three different temperatures slightly above the WAT. Several correlations were also applied to predict the pressure gradients and their results were compared with the experimental values. Accordingly, flow patterns were determined by considering a combination of visual observations, pressure drop interpretations and free water measurements. Moreover, the effect of emulsified water droplets on accelerating the wax crystallization process above the WAT under dynamic and static conditions was examined in connection with the results of the two-phase flow experiments. The results showed the success of the proposed method in predicting the mixture temperature with an accuracy of ± 0.5 °C. The results of pressure drop revealed a dependency on mixture velocity, input water fraction, flow pattern and the parameters that flow pattern is a function of (such as pipe wettability, superficial velocities, and oil composition). In dual continuous flows, the performance of two-fluid model was comparatively better than homogenous model with average deviation of 17.9 and 26.7%, respectively. Despite operating the experiments above the WAT, the deposition of wax crystals on the pipe wall was evidenced for some of the flow patterns which, by implication, authenticates the influence of emulsified water on elevating the WAT in dynamic flow conditions. Classification of the flow patterns based on the wax deposition yielded an original flow pattern map composed of nine patterns among which new configurations were evidenced for annular flows. In addition, all the flow patterns were affected by the entrance effect and a layer of water-in-oil emulsion was observed for all the flow conditions. From the experiments under the static conditions, a sharp increase in the WAT was found with the presence of water in the system, regardless of the volume of water. Greater deviations became apparent at higher water volume fractions and rotational speeds, which resulted in the formation of a larger number of droplets. The results of this study provide a progressive introduction to help flow assurance engineers to understand the process of wax crystallization and deposition under two-phase flow conditions in horizontal pipelines, and to ultimately develop more effective wax management strategies.

ABSTRAK

Kesensitifan minyak mentah berlilin terhadap perubahan suhu menyukarkan kajian tingkah laku alirannya dengan kehadiran air terutama pada suhu yang berhampiran dengan suhu penjelmaan lilinnya (WAT). Dalam kajian ini, satu kaedah telah dicadang dan dilaksanakan bagi mengurangkan permasalahan terbabit iaitu dengan meramal suhu campuran sebelum bermulanya kajian aliran minyak mentah berlilin Malaysia dan air, di dalam gelung mendatar aliran berbilang fasa. Bagi mencerap keadaan uji kaji dinamik ini, suhu campuran, kejatuhan tekanan, dan cecair tertahan telah diukur untuk halaju campuran yang berjulat dari 0.2 m/s hingga ke 1.7 m/s di dalam paip keluli karbon mendatar pada tiga suhu berlainan yang berada sedikit di atas WAT. Beberapa sekaitan turut digunakan untuk meramal kecerunan tekanan dengan hasilnya dibandingkan dengan nilai-nilai uji kaji. Dengan itu, corak aliran ditentukan dengan mempertimbang gabungan pemerhatian visual, kejatuhan tekanan, dan air bebas yang diukur. Selain itu, kesan titisan air beremulsi terhadap peningkatan proses penghabluran lilin di atas WAT pada keadaan dinamik dan statik turut dikaji dengan mengaitkan hasil uji kaji aliran dua fasa. Hasil kajian menunjukkan kejayaan kaedah yang dicadang dalam peramalan suhu campuran dengan ketepatan ± 0.5 °C. Keputusan uji kaji tentang kejatuhan tekanan mendedahkan kebergantungannya terhadap halaju campuran, pecahan air masukan, corak aliran dan parameter lain yang mempengaruhi corak lain (misalnya kebolehasan paip, halaju permukaan, dan komposisi minyak). Dalam aliran berterusan duaan, prestasi model dua bendalir adalah lebih baik berbanding model homogen dengan masing-masing sisihan purata ialah 17.9 dan 26.7%. Walaupun uji kaji dilaksanakan di atas WAT, pemendapan hablur lilin pada dinding dalaman paip didapati masih berlaku dalam beberapa corak aliran, yang mengesahkan kesan air beremulsi terhadap peningkatan WAT pada keadaan aliran dinamik. Pengelasan corak aliran berdasarkan pemendapan lilin telah menghasilkan peta asli corak aliran yang mencakupi sembilan corak aliran termasuk penemuan baharu untuk aliran anulus. Semua corak aliran dipengaruhi kesan masukan dan lapisan emulsi air-dalam-minyak yang diperhatikan untuk semua keadaan aliran. Berdasarkan uji kaji pada keadaan statik, peningkatan mendadak WAT didapati berlaku dengan kehadiran air di dalam sistem, tanpa bergantung kepada isi padu air terbabit. Pelencongan lebih besar didapati berlaku pada pecahan isi padu air dan laju putaran yang lebih tinggi sehingga terbentuknya titisan air yang lebih banyak. Hasil kajian ini mampu membantu jurutera jaminan aliran bagi memahami proses penghabluran lilin dan pemendapannya pada keadaan aliran dua fasa di dalam talian paip mendatar, dan seterusnya berupaya untuk membangunkan strategi pengurusan lilin yang lebih berkesan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxvi
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxviii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Research Background	1
	1.2 Statement of Problem	4
	1.3 Objectives	6
	1.4 Scope	7
	1.5 Significance of Study	9
	1.6 Thesis Structure	10

2	LITERATURE REVIEW	12
2.1	Introduction	12
2.2	Oil–Water Flow Pattern Studies	14
2.2.1	Flow Pattern Classification of Oil–Water Flow in Horizontal Pipes	14
2.2.2	Influential Parameters on Flow Patterns	28
2.3	Holdup	36
2.4	Slippage	37
2.5	Pressure Gradient Correlations	39
2.5.1	Single Phase Flow	39
2.5.2	Liquid–liquid Two-phase Flow	43
2.5.2.1	Flow Pattern Independent Correlations	44
2.5.2.2	Flow Pattern Dependent Correlations	48
2.5.2.2.1	Segregated Flow Models	49
2.5.2.2.2	Dispersed Flow Models	65
2.6	Waxy Crude Oil	72
2.6.1	Crude Oil Composition	72
2.6.2	Wax Chemical Structure	74
2.6.3	Wax Precipitation	76
2.7	Emulsion and Emulsion Stability	77
2.7.1	Definition of Emulsion	78
2.7.2	Studies Regarding Waxy Crude Oils in the Presence of Water	82
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	85
3.1	Introduction	85
3.2	Two-phase Flow Experimental Setups	87
3.2.1	Working Fluids Characteristics	87
3.2.2	Crude Oil Thermal Treatment	88

3.2.3	Flow Loop Design, Construction, and Instrumentation	90
3.2.4	Flow Pattern Identification	99
3.2.5	Wetting Characteristics of the Pipe Wall	103
3.2.6	Prediction of Mixture Temperature	104
3.2.7	Two-phase Flow Experiment Procedure	109
3.3	Experimental Works on the Effect of Emulsified Water on the WAT	110
3.3.1	Emulsion Preparation	110
3.3.2	RPM Selection	110
3.3.3	Droplet Size Measurements	112
3.3.4	WAT Measurement via the DSC Technique	113
3.3.5	Detection of Waxy Crude Oil Compounds	115
3.3.6	Gibbs Free Energy Changes and Phase Transition Feasibility	116
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	120
4.1	Introduction	120
4.2	Rheological Properties of the Crude Oil	120
4.3	Local Temperature Variation	124
4.4	Mixture Temperature Prediction	125
4.5	Flow pattern	131
4.5.1	Fluids Flow without Wax Deposition	136
4.5.2	Fluids Flow with Wax Deposition	139
4.5.3	Relationship between Oil–Water Flow and Wax Deposition	146
4.5.4	Response of Pressure Gradient to Flow Patterns	149
4.5.5	Comparative Remarks on the Flow Patterns	152
4.6	Oil Holdup	154
4.7	Pressure Drop	156
4.7.1	Single-phase Oil Test	157
4.7.2	Two-phase Flow Test	158

4.7.3	Pressure Gradient Compared to Available Models	161
4.7.3.1	Segregated Flows	161
4.7.3.1.1	Effect of Interfacial Curvature	162
4.7.3.1.2	Effect of Interfacial Waviness	163
4.7.3.1.3	Effect of Entrainment	164
4.7.3.2	Dispersed Flows	167
4.8	Effect of Emulsified Water on the WAT	171
4.8.1	Relationship between Oil Components and Emulsion Type	171
4.8.2	WATs Determinations and Comparisons	171
4.8.3	Effects of Water Droplet Size and Volume Fraction	178
5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	188
5.1	Conclusions	188
5.1.1	Mixture Temperature Prediction	188
5.1.2	Flow Pattern	189
5.1.3	Pressure Drop	191
5.1.4	Effect of Emulsified Water on the WAT	192
5.2	Recommendations	193
	REFERENCES	194
	Appendices A-D	215-236

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Examples of experimental oil–water flow studies in horizontal pipelines – experimental conditions	22
2.2	Classification of the experimental oil–water flow patterns in horizontal pipes as proposed by the authors mentioned in Table 2.1	24
2.3	Most commonly friction factor equations for turbulent flows in the studies of pipe flows	42
2.4	The geometrical parameters of the two-fluid model (planar interface)	51
2.5	The geometrical parameters of the two-fluid model (curved interface)	56
2.6	The geometrical coefficients to calculate curvature radius, presented by Rodriguez and Baldani (2012)	57
2.7	Physical properties of main petroleum components (Pedersen <i>et al.</i> , 1989)	73
3.1	Physical properties of waxy crude oil used in this study	88
4.1	Statistical parameters used for the model evaluation presented by Vielma <i>et al.</i> (2008)	126
4.2	Comparison between the two model predictions and experimental database	127
4.3	Approximate overestimation (OES) and underestimation (UES) of mixture temperatures	128
4.4	Empirical equations to estimate μ and σ for the oil and water used in the experiments	184
4.5	DSC data extracted from the cooling and heating curves for the dehydrated crude oil and w/o emulsions prepared at different rpm with 40% water content	187

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	View box mounted on the transparent pipe for reducing optical distortion (Al-Wahaibi, 2006)	15
2.2	Oil–water flow patterns: (a) separated flows and (b) dispersed flows (Elseth, 2001)	19
2.3	Example of oil core-annular flow observed by Sotgia <i>et al.</i> (2008)	20
2.4	Classification of core annular flow according to the centerline position of the core (Bertola, 2014)	21
2.5	Typical oil–water flow pattern map for horizontal systems while using a mineral oil (Trallero, 1995)	26
2.6	Typical oil–water flow pattern map for horizontal systems while using: (a) a model oil (Ibarra <i>et al.</i> , 2015) and (b) a heavy crude oil (Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2011)	27
2.7	The schematic diagrams of the entrance nozzle designed by: (a) Nädler and Mewes (1997), (b) Sotgia <i>et al.</i> (2008), (c) Ibarra <i>et al.</i> (2015), and (d) Ismail <i>et al.</i> (2015)	30
2.8	Effect of solid-fluid wettability on the geometrical configuration of curved interfaces: (a) plain interface, (b) convex interface, and (c) concave interface (Brauner <i>et al.</i> , 1998)	31
2.9	Example of pipe-in-pipe cooling system designed and implemented by Anosike (2007)	33
2.10	Schematic velocity profile of oil/water stratified flow in (a) isothermal and (b) nonisothermal systems (Huang <i>et al.</i> , 2011)	38

2.11	Curves showing relation between Φ_g , Φ_l , R_g and R_l for all flow mechanisms. R_g : fraction of tube filled with gas; R_l : fraction of tube filled with liquid (Lockhart and Martinelli, 1949)	46
2.12	An schematic view of stratified flow in an equilibrium state (Taitel and Dukler, 1976)	49
2.13	Configurations of the interfacial curvature for a range of the denser phase holdup (0.1-0.9) under the influence of bond number and contact angle. θ is the contact angle in radian (Ng <i>et al.</i> , 2001)	55
2.14	Interfacial waviness as a function of relative velocities (Al-Wahaibi and Angeli, 2011): (a) $v_m = 0.6$ m/s and (b) $v_m = 1.1$ m/s	59
2.15	Different approaches proposed to model pressure drop of dual continuous flows: (a) Guzhov and Medvedev (1971), (b) Jayawardena <i>et al.</i> (2000), and (c) Lovick (2004)	63
2.16	SARA-separation scheme of fractions of crude oils into saturate, aromatic, resin, and asphaltene components (Auflem, 2002)	74
2.17	Temperature regions (Karan <i>et al.</i> , 2000)	76
2.18	A graphical illustration of the formation of waxy crude oil emulsion gels (Visintin <i>et al.</i> , 2008): (A) adsorption of the wax crystals on the droplet surface, (B) coating the droplets, (C) amass of the flocks of solid paraffin on and between the droplets, and (D) gelation has completed	80
2.19	Coalescence behavior as a function of the droplet surface coverage: (a) total stability, (b) and (c) arrested coalescence, and (d) total coalescence of Pickering droplets. Scale bars $\frac{1}{4}$ 50 mm. Φ : surface coverage (Pawar <i>et al.</i> , 2011)	81
2.20	A water-in-oil emulsion stabilized by paraffin wax crystals. The scale bar represents 20 μ m (Haj-shafiei, 2009)	82
2.21	Variations in (a) gel point (Sun <i>et al.</i> , 2014), (b) pour point, (c) yield stress, and (d) viscosity (Visintin <i>et al.</i> , 2008) of waxy crude oil emulsions with water volume fraction	83

2.22	Schematic illustrations of (a) cold finger device (Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2009) and (b) test section for two-phase oil/water flow (Shang and Sarica, 2010)	84
3.1	Experimental procedure chart	86
3.2	Thermal treatment system	89
3.3	Schematic diagram of the flow loop system: 1. oil tank, 2. oil bypass line, 3. transmitter and receiver, 4. ultrasonic flow meter, 5. water tank, 6. water bypass line, 7. copper tubes, 8. mezzanine platform, 9. centrifugal pump, 10. ball valve, 11. Y mixing point, 12. flange, 13. pressure transducer, 14. mixture line, 15. camera/video recorder, 16. view box, 17. black light fluorescent tube, 18. air compressor, 19. transparent acrylic pipe, 20. pneumatic quick closing valve, 21. drainage line, 22. graduated beaker, 23. temperature transducer, 24. oil/water separator, 25. water return line, 26. oil return line, 27. chiller, 28. computer, 29. National Instrument (NI) data acquisition system, 30. linking wire, 31. digital temperature meter, and 32. thermal treatment system	91
3.4	The flow loop system	92
3.5	Constituent parts of the first segment of the flow rig	93
3.6	Schematic diagram of oil–water mixing point (angles indicated are in degrees)	94
3.7	Initial oil/water separator design	95
3.8	Auxiliary instruments mounted on the flow rig: (a) pressure transmitter and (b) thermocouple	97
3.9	Auxiliary instruments mounted on the flow rig: (a) National Instrument Data acquisition system, (b) digital temperature indicators, (c) handheld ultrasonic flow meters, (d) flow meter transducers, and (e) fiberglass heat sealing tape	98
3.10	Visualization box	99
3.11	Test section particularly designed for flow pattern observation and phase holdup measurement	100

3.12	Phase holdup measurement in sequence steps: (a) first drainage step by merely natural gravity force, (b) end of the spontaneous fluids drainage and time for measuring the obtained free water, (c) introduce hot water into the pipe to wash off the residuals as the second drainage step, and (d) demulsifying the polluted oil by means of a hot plate and a magnetic stirrer for further oil holdup and emulsified water volume fraction measurements	102
3.13	Illustrations of the measured contact angles on inner pipe surfaces: (a) acrylic and (b) carbon steel	103
3.14	Water specific heat capacity vs. temperature (Wagner <i>et al.</i> , 2000)	106
3.15	Flow chart for prediction of oil/water mixture temperature before running the experimental tests	108
3.16	Visual stability test of emulsions after the elapsed time (Δt) formulated at different WVFs (from left to right 10 to 70 %) with the stirring speeds of 300 rpm	111
3.17	Visual stability test of emulsions after the elapsed time (Δt) formulated at different WVFs (from left to right 10 to 70 %) with the stirring speeds of 600 rpm	112
3.18	Example of water droplet size measurement using Digimizer software	113
3.19	Differential scanning calorimeter (DSC)	114
3.20	Determination of the WAT on the cooling thermogram for the dehydrated crude oil	115
3.21	Agilent 6890N Network GC system	116
4.1	Examples of qualitative shear stress-shear rate behavior for the crude oil at: (a) 28 °C and (b) 25 °C	122
4.2	Examples of qualitative shear stress-shear rate behavior for the crude oil at 23 °C	123
4.3	Relationship between the oil viscosity and temperature for temperatures at which the crude oil behaves Newtonianly	123
4.4	Variations of temperature in a relatively warm day in Johor Bahru, Malaysia	124

4.5	Evaluation of the two-existing correlations with 210 experimental data set for (a) model 1 at 26 °C, (b) model 2 at 26 °C, (c) model 1 at 28 °C, (d) model 2 at 28 °C, (e) model 1 at 30 °C, and (f) model 2 at 30 °C	129
4.6	Temperature data comparison between experimental results and the predetermined temperatures: (a) 26 °C, (b) 28 °C, and (c) 30 °C	130
4.7	Flow pattern classification for waxy crude oil–water in a horizontal two-phase flow system at 26 °C, 28 °C and 30 °C and WAT of 24 °C: (a) ST-PE, (b) SW-PE, (c) DC, (d) WDC, (e) WEA-PE, (f) WEA-E, (g) WEA-DC, (h) WFDo/w-TLo, and (i) WFDo/w-So	133
4.8	Experimental flow-pattern maps for $(T_m)_{prd} = 26\text{ °C}$	134
4.9	Experimental flow-pattern maps for: (a) $(T_m)_{prd} = 28\text{ °C}$ and (b) $(T_m)_{prd} = 30\text{ °C}$	135
4.10	The interface shapes responsible for the height of the oil phase: (a) at motion, and (b) at rest	138
4.11	Laceration of the interface as the momentum and viscous forces prevailed over the interfacial force during transformation of flow from SW-PE into DC ($v_{so} = 0.2\text{ m/s}$ & $v_{sw} = 0.7\text{ m/s}$)	139
4.12	Recognition of wax deposit via visual inspection of residual oil components on the pipe wall after initial drainage of the trapped fluids within the transparent pipe for different flow conditions: (a) no tangible wax precipitation on the pipe wall, (b) wax sediment on the top side of the pipe	140
4.13	Image of fluids (a) at motion, (b) at rest, and (c) after drainage for free water measurement ($v_{so} = 0.5\text{ m/s}$ & $v_{sw} = 0.1\text{ m/s}$)	142
4.14	Characterization of fully dispersed water in the absence of free water during the measurements ($v_{so} = 0.6\text{ m/s}$ & $v_{sw} = 0.3\text{ m/s}$)	144
4.15	Front and side view photos of water growth on the pipe wall as water superficial velocity increased for a constant oil superficial velocity during WFDo/w-TLo flow: (a) $v_{so} = 0.3\text{ m/s}$ & $v_{sw} = 0.8\text{ m/s}$, (b) $v_{so} = 0.3\text{ m/s}$ & $v_{sw} = 0.9\text{ m/s}$, and (c) $v_{so} = 0.3\text{ m/s}$ & $v_{sw} = 1.0\text{ m/s}$	145

4.16	W/o emulsion presents at the interface during shutdown period and after natural segregation of the phases ($v_{so} = 0.2$ m/s & $v_{sw} = 0.8$ m/s)	145
4.17	The flow of oil and water on the pipe wall in the form of the snake-skin pattern during WFD _{o/w} -So ($v_{so} = 0.5$ m/s & $v_{sw} = 1.0$ m/s)	146
4.18	Relationship between the wax thickness and the superficial velocities of the phases (photos were taken during pipe drainage after the completion of each run)	148
4.19	Pressure gradient in oil/water flow—low mixture velocities	150
4.20	Pressure gradient in oil/water flow—moderate to high mixture velocities	151
4.21	Input oil volume fraction vs. the oil holdup	155
4.22	Slip ratio vs. oil cut at different water superficial velocities	156
4.23	Validation of the setup performance by comparing the experimental pressure gradient values against the predicted ones calculated at 30 °C and by the aid of four approved friction factor correlations for single-phase flow	158
4.24	Experimental data of pressure drop for 26 °C	159
4.25	Experimental data of pressure drop for: (a) 28 °C and (b) 30 °C	160
4.26	Evaluation of the two-fluid model in predicting the pressure gradient under the premise of planar and curved interfaces	163
4.27	Average absolute relative errors found in the results of the two-fluid model after applying different shear stress correlations to consider the effect of interfacial waviness	164
4.28	The measured to the predicted pressure gradients against input water fractions for segregated flows	166
4.29	Average absolute relative errors of three distinct models in predicting pressure gradients for segregated flows	166

4.30	Comparison between the results of the modified homogeneous model with different apparent viscosity correlations with the experimental results for the mixture velocity of 0.9 m/s	168
4.31	Comparison between the results of the modified homogeneous model with different apparent viscosity correlations with the experimental results for the mixture velocity of 1.0 m/s	168
4.32	Comparison between the results of the modified homogeneous model with different apparent viscosity correlations with the experimental results for the mixture velocity of 1.1 m/s	169
4.33	Comparison between the results of the modified homogeneous model with different apparent viscosity correlations with the experimental results for the mixture velocity of 1.2 m/s	169
4.34	Comparison between the accuracy of the homogeneous model modified by different mixture viscosity correlations for all the flow patterns identified in this research work	170
4.35	Determination of the WAT on the cooling thermogram for the dehydrated crude oil and a sample of a w/o emulsion prepared at 1200 rpm that contained 50% water	172
4.36	Comparison between the WAT results of the emulsion samples and the dehydrated crude oil	174
4.37	A typical example of the WAT results for the emulsion samples prepared at 1200 rpm with different WVFs including some fractions below 10% (i.e., 1, 3, 5, 7 and 9%)	175
4.38	Heat capacity change <i>vs.</i> WVF at 35 °C	178
4.39	Water droplet dispersion in w/o emulsions with different WVFs prepared at stirring speeds of (a) 600 rpm, (b) 900 rpm, and (c) 1200 rpm	179
4.40	Emulsion mean droplet size <i>vs.</i> WVF at different stirring speeds	180

4.41	Specific heat increment in relation to changes in rotational speed for different WVFs	181
4.42	Breaking one large sphere into n small spheres	182
4.43	Variations in van der Waals attractive energy between two droplets of identical average size at 40% WVF	185
4.44	DSC thermograms during the cooling and heating of the crude oil and emulsion samples prepared at different rpm with 40% water content	186

LIST OF SYMBOLS

C	-	carbon
IFT	-	interfacial tension
L_e	-	entrance length
d	-	pipe diameter
ID	-	inner diameter
F_i	-	inertia force
v	-	volume of a single oil droplet submerged in a water medium
g	-	gravitational acceleration
m_o	-	oil droplet mass
a	-	acceleration of droplet
H_o	-	oil holdup
H_w	-	water holdup
V_o	-	volume of oil
V_w	-	volume of water
V_t	-	total volume of oil and water in pipe
$\left(\frac{dp}{dl}\right)$	-	pressure gradient
dp	-	pressure drop
dl	-	length of pressure drop
f	-	Darcy-Weisbach friction factor
D	-	pipe inner diameter/hydraulic diameter
Re	-	Reynolds number
C, n	-	Blasius constants
A, B	-	Chirchill constants
R_g	-	fraction of tube filled with gas
R_l	-	fraction of tube filled with liquid

\dot{M}	-	mass flow rate
n	-	Theissing interaction parameter
A	-	cross-sectional area
A_o, A_w	-	area occupied by oil, water
s	-	contact periphery
s_i	-	interfacial length
s_o	-	oil-wetted wall perimeter
s_w	-	water-wetted wall perimeter
h_w	-	water height
B	-	Bond number
r_c	-	curvature radius
f_k	-	wall friction factor of the faster phase
C_i	-	correction factor
C_s	-	empirical factor
f_{cor}	-	corrected friction factor
k	-	Ball and Richmond model corresponds to the geometry of the dispersed droplets
k	-	kilo
H ₂ S	-	hydrogen sulfide
CO ₂	-	carbon dioxide
N ₂	-	nitrogen
Q	-	quantity of the transferred energy as heat
m	-	mass
c, c_p	-	specific heat capacity
T	-	temperature
T^0	-	any arbitrary reference temperature
SG	-	specific gravity
ΔG	-	change in Gibbs free energy
ΔH	-	change in enthalpy

ΔS	-	change in entropy
$E_{R,i}$	-	relative error in percent for component i
E_{AR}	-	absolute relative error
E_1	-	average relative error
E_2	-	standard deviation of average relative error
E_3	-	absolute average relative error
E_4	-	standard deviation of absolute relative error
H_{ew}	-	emulsified water holdup
t	-	time
mg	-	milligram
μL	-	microliter
N	-	Newton
W	-	Watt
mW	-	milliwatt
mA	-	milliampere
in	-	inch
HP	-	horsepower
L	-	liter
RPM	-	revolutions per minute
g	-	gram
J	-	Joule
K	-	Kelvin
hr	-	hour
hrs	-	hours
min	-	minute
ml	-	milliliter
m	-	meter
mm	-	millimeter
Sec, s	-	second

Pa	-	pascal
mPa	-	millipascal
%	-	percent
vol. %	-	volume percent
wt. %	-	weight percent
°	-	degree
°C	-	degree Celsius
kcal	-	kilocalorie
UOP	-	characterization factor

Greek letters

ρ	-	fluid density
μ	-	fluid viscosity
β	-	pipe inclination angle
\mathcal{G}	-	fluid velocity
ϑ_o	-	<i>in-situ</i> (actual) oil velocity
ϑ_w	-	<i>in-situ</i> (actual) water velocity
ϑ_{so}	-	oil superficial velocity
ϑ_{sw}	-	water superficial velocity
λ	-	input volume fraction
$\lambda_{o, \text{critical}}$	-	critical oil volume fraction at which inversion occurs
ε	-	pipe roughness
X, Φ	-	Lockhart-Martinelli parameters
τ	-	shear stress
π	-	pi
Δ	-	change
σ	-	interfacial tension
θ	-	contact angle
φ_o	-	interface view angle at the center of the pipe

φ^*	-	interfacial angle
γ	-	proportionality factor
α	-	wave amplitude

Subscripts

<i>i</i>	-	inertia; oil–water interface; initial
<i>o</i>	-	oil
<i>w</i>	-	water
<i>m</i>	-	mixture
<i>s</i>	-	superficial
<i>t</i>	-	total
<i>f</i>	-	frictional; final
<i>ele</i>	-	elevational
<i>acc</i>	-	accelerational
<i>g</i>	-	gas
<i>l</i>	-	liquid
<i>TP</i>	-	two-phase
<i>d</i>	-	dispersed phase
<i>c</i>	-	continuous phase; calefactory phase
<i>e</i>	-	endothermic
<i>1</i>	-	lighter phase; model 1
<i>2</i>	-	heavier phase; model 2
<i>cor</i>	-	corrected
<i>act</i>	-	actual
<i>prd</i>	-	predetermined
<i>exp</i>	-	experimental

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AMTEC	-	Advance Membrane Technology Research Centre
API	-	American Petroleum Institute
ASTM	-	American Society for Testing and Materials
ISO	-	International Organization for Standardization
MPRC	-	Malaysia Petroleum Resources Corporation
PETRONAS	-	Petroleum Nasional Berhad
TCOT	-	Terengganu Crude Oil Terminal
UNIPEM	-	Unit Perkhidmatan Makmal
UTM	-	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
GC–MS	-	gas chromatography–mass spectrometry
DSC	-	differential scanning calorimetry
NI	-	national instrument
WAT	-	wax appearance temperature
PP	-	pour point
w/o	-	water-in-oil
o/w	-	oil-in-water
SS	-	stratified smooth
SW	-	stratified waxy
SM	-	stratified mixed
DC	-	dual continuous
Dw/o&o	-	dispersion of water in oil and oil layer
Do/w&w	-	dispersion of oil in water and water layer
FD	-	fully dispersed
IDw/o	-	inhomogeneous dispersion of water in oil
IDo/w	-	inhomogeneous dispersion of oil in water
HDw/o	-	homogeneous dispersion of water in oil
HDo/w	-	homogeneous dispersion of oil in water

PCAF	-	perfect core-annular flow
ST-PE	-	stratified flow with partial emulsion of water in oil at interface
SW-PE	-	stratified wavy flow with partial emulsion of water in oil at interface
WDC	-	wax deposit and dual continuous flow
WEA-PE	-	wax deposit and eccentric annular flow with partial emulsion of water in oil at interface
WEA-E	-	wax deposit and eccentric annular flow with full emulsion of water in oil
WEA-DC	-	wax deposit and eccentric annular flow of dual continuous
WFD _{o/w} - TL _o	-	wax deposit and fine dispersion of oil in water with thin layer of oil at the top of the pipe
WFD _{o/w} -So	-	wax deposit and fine dispersion of oil in water with streaks of oil at the pipe wall
<i>SR</i>	-	slip ratio
TFM	-	two-fluid model
UV	-	ultra-violet
CNT	-	classical nucleation theory
<i>AARE</i>	-	average absolute relative error
MDS	-	mean droplet size
ASA	-	accessible surface area
WVF	-	water volume fraction
MDS	-	mean droplet size
OES	-	overestimated
UES	-	underestimated
QCV	-	quick closing valve
<i>n</i> -alkanes	-	normal alkanes

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Oil Viscosity Measurement	215
B	Density Measurement Using an API Gravity Hydrometer	216
C	Interfacial Tension Measurement	219
D	Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) Analysis	222
E	A tabular presentation of the identified flow patterns	229
F	Results of Holdup at 26 °C	232
G	Details on the Results of Anosike (2007) and Matzain <i>et al.</i> (2002)	235

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

The expanded territory of petroleum production has given rise to the advent of a new field in petroleum industry termed flow assurance. By definition, flow assurance comprises all activities that guarantee the continuous stable hydrocarbon production with minimum costs and environmental hazards from a reservoir to the target market at any environmental conditions and within the whole reservoir's productive life (Bai and Bai, 2005). In this regard, flow assurance engineers must overcome such challenges, firstly by anticipating the potential difficulties that may arise at different stages of production, and secondly by proposing the most effective production plan prior to operation (Aske and Statoil, 2011). In doing so, reviewing the production fluid(s) and operational conditions at different production stages is always on the list of priorities.

At early stages of production from an oil well, the main produced reservoir fluid is the crude oil which is usually regarded as a single oil phase despite the presence of usually insignificant amount of formation water in the producing oil. As time goes by and the reservoir pressure declines due to the depletion of the oil zone, the underlying aquifer water will gradually enter the wellbore as a response to the induced pressure gradient between the surrounding water and the wellbore. Accordingly, the amount of the existing water in the production line is augmented synchronously with the age of the well. Thus, mature oil fields experience high water

cut in the period of their productive life. However, in some cases, an oil well might be still economical to operate even for water cut as high as 90% which is highly dependent on the oil price and geographic location of the well (Jepson *et al.*, 1996; Elseth, 2001; Kumara *et al.*, 2009). If the perforation interval is close to the gas/oil interface gas might eventually be produced.

Owing to the nature of formation water and its accompanied components (i.e., salt and sediments), severe obstacles may arise and affect the profitability of production. Corrosion, paraffinic wax deposition, reduction of oil flow area in pipe, emulsions and hydrates formation are some examples of flow assurance problems caused by the presence of water. Therefore, it is more preferable to transport dehydrated oil and gas through single phase pipe flow wherever possible to avoid such hardships. However, the gradual growth in oil demand has reached a point where production from deepwater oilfields is the only key remained for such a huge request (Khain and Polyakova, 2004). Fortunately, progressive achievements in offshore oil exploration and drilling technology have recently made it feasible to explore and develop new remote deepwater oil reservoirs, which had been once unobtainable. The transportation of the extracted crude oils from such reserves often takes place through long-distance (sometimes over 200 km) subsea multiphase pipelines to reach various destinations (Elseth, 2001). This vast distance makes it unprofitable to have distinct pipelines for each phase (i.e., oil, water, and gas). The alternative is to transport the fluids through one single pipe (three-phase flow) or at least two pipelines (one for gas and the other for liquids). Thus, attempts are needed to investigate multiphase flow behaviour under diverse flow conditions to identify influential parameters for controlling possible problems during transportation.

A survey on the studies performed on three-phase flow indicates that in most cases oil and water are considered as a single liquid phase to simplify the study in the form of gas–liquid flow (Wyckoff and Botset, 1936; Taitel *et al.*, 1995; Chen and Guo, 1999; Spedding *et al.*, 2005; Wang *et al.*, 2010; Gao and Jin, 2011; Xu *et al.*, 2012). This is mostly due to the relative similarity between the oil and water densities and a distinguishable dissimilarity between the densities of the liquids and

gas. However, the results of recent studies on liquid–liquid flow have shown that the flow characteristics of two immiscible fluids can be very different from the flow characteristics of each individual liquid in many respects (Brauner, 2003; Chakrabarti *et al.*, 2005; Zhao *et al.*, 2006; Cai *et al.*, 2012; Du *et al.*, 2012; Gao *et al.*, 2013; An *et al.*, 2014; Bertola, 2014; Ismail *et al.*, 2015). This highlights the importance of studying different aspects of oil–water two-phase flow to recognize and understand the potential impediments during the flow which are essential for having effective production plans.

The liquid–liquid flow encompasses wide areas of the petroleum industry, starting from the oil reservoir production to the refinery units. In this regard, pressure drop, liquid holdup, and flow pattern have been studied as the major flow characteristics under different flow conditions (Trallero *et al.*, 1997; Angeli and Hewitt, 2000; Lovick and Angeli, 2004; Rodriguez and Oliemans, 2006; Xu, 2007; Vielma *et al.*, 2008; Cai *et al.*, 2012; Hanafizadeh *et al.*, 2015). Several correlations for pressure gradient prediction have been also proposed based on the experimental results which are in most cases incompatible for diverse fluids characteristics (Chakrabarti *et al.*, 2005; Grassi *et al.*, 2008; Al-Wahaibi, 2012; Edomwonyi-Otu and Angeli, 2015). Substantial variations can, furthermore, be found in the published results for holdups and flow patterns depending on the methodology applied. However, despite all the existing discrepancies between the findings in this research area, there exists a general agreement that shows the pressure drop dependency on the flow pattern and mixture velocities. This implies the significance of fluids configuration in pipes in every study of multiphase flow.

1.2 Statement of Problem

The presence of paraffinic waxes, regardless of their proportion, in all types of crude oils highlights the significance of two-phase flow study with respect to these components (Manning and Thompson, 1995). Nevertheless, research works done on oil–water two-phase flows have mostly been restricted to the use of model oils (i.e., synthetic or mineral oils) rather than crude oils (Cai *et al.*, 2012; Kee *et al.*, 2014; Tan *et al.*, 2015). Although this practice is accepted as an attempt to improve the general knowledge on the subject, actual oilfield cases may not be covered. In recent years, successful efforts have been made using viscous model oils and/or crude oils to provide data which are considered to be more representative of oilfield production conditions. The important works of Fairuzov *et al.* (2000), Vuong *et al.* (2009), Xiong *et al.* (2011), Zhang *et al.* (2012), Yusuf *et al.* (2012) and Jing *et al.* (2016) are just a few to be mentioned. Experimental data obtained from these works have sometimes shown new insights and revealed previously undetected phenomena or supported a new phenomenon as compared to those working on the model oils. Fairuzov *et al.* (2000), for instance, performed a research on the flow pattern transitions via employing sampling probes for mixture flows of a light crude oil and water in a horizontal pipeline. They observed that even in stratified flows small portions of dispersed water droplets remained within the crude oil. Kokal (2005) demonstrated the formation of relatively stable water-in-oil emulsions due to the presence of natural emulsifiers and heavy components such as asphaltenes, resins, organic acids, and waxes among the crude oil components. Later on, Xiong *et al.* (2011) reported that at water fractions above 50% there was a considerable difference between the obtained flow patterns from heavy crude oil with that of model oils with similar viscosities. Moreover, they stated that unlike the model oils, w/o emulsions persistently existed in all cases and this was ascribed to the crude oil natural emulsifiers. While, the occurrence of this phenomenon has never been evidenced for the cases where the model oils have been utilized. This implies that, an overly simplistic model oil cannot be a perfect representative of complex crude oils in terms of flow behavior.

In order to determine the effect of wax particles on the behavior of two-phase flow, the characteristics of flow should be investigated at temperatures close to the WAT. This encompasses a range of temperatures at which crude oil retains its flowability and is transported in actual operational conditions. Therefore, controlling the mixture temperature especially in waxy crude oils and water integration in two-phase flow system is crucial. This is fundamental to avoid any uncontrolled abrupt changes in temperature which can trigger undesirable effects on operational conditions. Despite the fact that great effort has been devoted to the study of oil–water two-phase flows, few studies have paid heed to the role of temperature. As for the influence of temperature, available literatures on oil–water two-phase flow are divided into two major groups. Firstly, those studies in which the role of temperature has been completely ignored, such as research works carried out by Xu *et al.* (2010), Dunia *et al.* (2011), Zhang *et al.* (2011), Al-Wahaibi (2012), Cai *et al.* (2012), Tan *et al.* (2013), Zhai *et al.* (2014), Edomwonyi-Otu and Angeli (2015), and Ismail *et al.* (2015). The second group comprises those studies taking the effect of temperature into account in the absence of wax components (Xiong *et al.*, 2011; Lü *et al.*, 2012; Filippov *et al.*, 2014). This could be due to the use of synthetic or treated oil samples without the presence of paraffin wax in their systems, similar to the works of Lü *et al.* (2012) and Filippov *et al.* (2014). Even though wax may be found as a constituent of the oil sample in some previous research works, Xiong *et al.* (2011) as an example, the operational temperatures were set much higher than the WAT. Therefore, a key limitation of prior studies is that they did not address the temperature conditions at which problems associated with waxy crude oils may occur in oil–water two-phase flow systems.

It should be noted that the studies on paraffin deposition under two-phase flow conditions are mostly conducted using a flow loop apparatus equipped with a pipe-in-pipe heat exchanger (Sarica and Panacharoensawad, 2012). The aim is to simulate the deposition process in subsea transportation pipelines by creating a temperature gradient between flowing fluids and pipe walls. For such a purpose, the inner pipe wall temperature is kept below the WAT of the dehydrated crude oil. The main drawback of this technique for research purposes is that the formation of the wax crystals (at the wall and in the bulk) is only attributed to the induced radial

temperature gradient caused by decreased temperature of the pipe wall. Therefore, any possible thermal change in the crude oil due to the presence of emulsified water is neglected. In these studies, the WATs of the dehydrated oils are measured and assumed to be representative of the entire system (i.e., mixture of oil and water). Based on these assumptions, the presence of wax crystals in the designed systems is expected only at temperatures below the WAT of the dehydrated crude oils, which may not represent the real case in the oilfield. The measurements and results in these situations may lead to substantial errors. The studies pertaining to waxy crude oils, however, have revealed that the WAT is influenced by several parameters, including kinetics, the oil (solvent) and wax composition, polydispersity, pressure, cooling rate, and the presence of impurities (Adhvaryu *et al.*, 2002; Alcazar-Vara and Buenrostro-Gonzalez, 2013). Therefore, any type of impurity existing in a hydrocarbon system causes variations in the value of the WAT. This phenomenon can also be extended to the field study of oil/water two-phase flow systems wherein waxy crudes are selected as the oil phase and w/o emulsion is a part of the flow, especially at temperatures near the WAT. Li and Gong (2010) are among the few researchers who have acknowledged the effect of water cut on the WAT. According to their results, the change in WAT for different water cuts did not exceed 0.15 °C, which indicates that the effect of water cut on the WAT is insignificant. Nevertheless, the research results did not provide adequate rationale for these investigators to put forth conclusions based on their findings; therefore, they merely reported the results.

1.3 Objectives

This study was primarily based on the following objectives:

- (1) To propose and implement a successful method to predict mixture temperatures of a crude oil and water flowing in a horizontal pipe for preset flow conditions prior to the experimental tests.

- (2) To experimentally investigate the flow patterns of waxy crude oil–water two-phase flows in a designed horizontal multiphase flow loop at mixture temperatures slightly above the crude oil initial WAT and subsequently to establish a new flow-pattern map.
- (3) To examine the effect of flow pattern, temperature, water cut, and mixture velocity on pressure drop in order to find the dominant parameter at different flow conditions.

The initial specific aim of this research work was to extend the study of oil–water two-phase flow from non-waxy to waxy crude oils in the hope that the findings can open a window towards the understanding of waxy crude oils flow behavior in two-phase flow systems. Therefore, as a first attempt to study a type of waxy crude oil in an oil–water two-phase flow system, the experiments were conducted at temperatures slightly above the WAT of the crude oil to avoid the complexity of wax precipitation. Nevertheless, it was soon evident that the wax precipitation was inevitable at the presence of water under such operating temperatures during the two-phase flow. This phenomenon suggested additional objective to this study as follow:

- (4) To scrutinize the effect of the presence of water, i.e., the water volume fraction (WVF) and the mean droplet size (MDS), on the WAT of water-in-waxy-crude-oil emulsions.

1.4 Scope

To accomplish this study, I have designed, constructed, and commissioned a flow test facility at the Malaysia Petroleum Resources Corporation Institute for Oil and Gas (UTM-MPRC Institute for Oil and Gas), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), Johor Bahru. The facility is capable of experimentally simulating single- or

two-phase flows of oil and water in a horizontal pipe section. However, this study focused on the concurrent flow of water and a typical Malaysian waxy crude oil at three mixture temperatures (i.e., 26, 28, and 30 °C) under various flow conditions. To fulfill the aforementioned objectives, I have widened the scope of my investigation into the followings:

- (1) Thermal treatment of the crude oil, first, to redissolve potential wax crystals within the crude; second, to reduce the water content to a minimum of 0.05% of the total volume; and third, to evaporate the existing light ends in the crude oil. The treatment involved simultaneous heating and manual stirring of the crude oil at 80 – 85 °C in a specific thermal treatment system for about two hours.
- (2) Rheological characteristics measurements of the oil and water samples at different temperatures.
- (3) Identification of the crude oil compositions using gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) to recognize the potential natural surfactant components within the oil.
- (4) Evaluation of the predictive accuracy of the two proposed models against the experimental results for the mixture temperatures obtained during the attempt to reach and maintain the mixture temperature as close as possible to the one of the three mixture temperatures of 26, 28, and 30 °C for oil and water superficial velocities ranging from 0.1 m/s to 0.7 m/s and 0.1 m/s to 1.0 m/s, respectively.
- (5) Analogy between the new obtained flow pattern maps from the crude oil of this study with the existing maps found in open literature for further analysis.
- (6) Employing the available pressure gradient models, namely two-fluid model (for both curved and planar interfaces) and homogenous model, to predict the pressure gradient; and comparing the results with the experimental data to

determine the most compatible model based on the observed flow patterns in this study.

- (7) Preparation of water-in-oil emulsion samples of different water-cuts (10 to 70%) under three distinct rotational speeds (600, 900, and 1200 RPM).
- (8) Conducting a thermal analysis using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) to elucidate the mechanism influencing the WATs of the emulsion samples by considering the Gibbs free energy concept.

1.5 Significance of Study

This study is an attempt to enlarge the knowledge on concurrent transportation of oil and water through a single horizontal pipeline while the oil phase is a type of waxy crude oil. To the best of our knowledge, there is no experimental work in this field accomplished using any typical Malaysian waxy crude oil despite the fact that there are numerous mature Malaysian oilfields producing waxy crude oils through two-fluid phase flow systems. Therefore, it is believed that this is the first group of researchers who are working in this area by utilizing a domestic crude oil sample. Thus, the experimental results can be used as a basic source for industrial purposes so that the design of pipeline systems can be effectively established to handle waxy crude oil transportation. In most cases, pipelines are a cost effective method of transportation when compared to other alternates such as barge or tanker shipment.

The present study also proposes a new technique which opens up new doors for experimental investigations on the flow behaviour of waxy crude oils at temperatures close to the WAT. With the use of this approach not only mixture temperature is controlled but also it prevents the formation of unwanted wax crystals

due to the fast cooling rate at the system's inlet. Therefore, this novel method can be applied as a practical solution to study the flow behaviour of waxy crude oils in oil/water two-phase flow systems at temperatures relatively close to the WAT.

In this study, I also extend my attention to the role of water, as an impurity within the crude oil, on the WAT of water-in-oil emulsions. If the possible thermal effects of the presence of water on the emulsion WAT are neglected, the consequence can be the unwanted deposition of wax crystals at temperatures greater than the WAT of the crude oil. This may threaten the success of flow assurance operations, especially in temperature-sensitive systems, such as the offshore pipeline transportation of waxy crude oils. The results of this part of the study may provide reference and insights for further study of w/o emulsions closer to the actual oilfield conditions whereby a reliable correlation can be developed for prediction of the WATs of w/o emulsions by identifying the WATs of dehydrated crude oils.

In general, the results of this study provide a progressive introduction to help flow assurance engineers to understand the process of wax crystallization and deposition under multiphase flow conditions in horizontal pipelines, and to ultimately develop more effective wax management strategies.

1.6 Thesis Structure

The thesis is structured to comprise five main chapters with subsections. Chapter 1 covers the research background, statements of the problems, research objectives and scopes, and significance of study.

Chapter 2 gives descriptions of previous research works associated with liquid–liquid two-phase flows in horizontal pipelines and reports the results obtained. A brief reference is also made to some of the models suggested to predict the pressure gradient of two-phase horizontal flows. The section also discusses some fundamental aspects of waxy crude oils and explains some of the important terms used in this study.

Chapter 3 gives a detailed description of the pilot-scale facility and the instrumentation used in the experimental work. The methods used for data processing and analysis are also described. Besides, two analytical models for predicting mixture temperatures are derived and the procedure to reach the desired mixture temperatures during the course of the experiments is addressed. Furthermore, the materials and measurements regarding the study of the effect of emulsified water on the WATs of water-in-waxy-crude-oil emulsions are thoroughly presented.

Chapters 4, first, presents the mixture temperature results obtained from both experimental and the two models. Later, it presents the findings on the flow patterns, pressure gradient, and holdup. Comparisons of the experimental results with the models and available literature data can be also found in this chapter. Eventually, this chapter describes the thermodynamic effect of the emulsified water on the WAT of the emulsion which is highly probable to be formed during the concurrent transportation of water and waxy crude oil in a horizontal pipe based on the results of this study.

Finally, Chapter 5 summarizes the conclusions of this work and proposes recommendations for future work.

REFERENCES

- Abduvayt, P., Manabe, R., Watanabe, T., and Arihara, N. (2004). Analisis of Oil–Water Flow Tests in Horizontal, Hilly-Terrain, and Vertical Pipes. *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition*. 26-29 September. Houston, Texas, 1-13.
- Abubakar, A., Al-Wahaibi, T., Al-Hashmi, A., Al-Wahaibi, Y., Al-Ajmi, A., and Eshrati, M. (2015a). Influence of Drag-reducing Polymer on Flow Patterns, Drag Reduction and Slip Velocity Ratio of Oil–Water Flow in Horizontal Pipe. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 73, 1-10.
- Abubakar, A., Al-Wahaibi, Y., Al-Wahaibi, T., Al-Hashmi, A., Al-Ajmi, A., and Eshrati, M. (2015b). Effect of Low Interfacial Tension on Flow Patterns, Pressure Gradients and Holdups of Medium-Viscosity Oil/Water Flow in Horizontal Pipe. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*, 68, 58-67.
- Adhvaryu, A., Erhan, S., and Perez, J. (2002). Wax Appearance Temperatures of Vegetable Oils Determined by Differential Scanning Calorimetry: Effect of Triacylglycerol Structure and its Modification. *Thermochimica Acta*, 395 (1), 191-200.
- Al-Sahhaf, T. A., Fahim, M. A., and Elsharkawy, A. M. (2009). Effect of Inorganic Solids, Wax to Asphaltene Ratio, and Water Cut on the Stability of Water-in-Crude Oil Emulsions. *Journal of Dispersion Science and Technology*, 30 (5), 597-604.
- Al-Wahaibi, T. (2012). Pressure Gradient Correlation for Oil–Water Separated Flow in Horizontal Pipes. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*, 42, 196-203.
- Al-Wahaibi, T., and Angeli, P. (2007). Transition between Stratified and Non-Stratified Horizontal Oil–Water Flows. Part I: Stability Analysis. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 62 (11), 2915-2928.

- Al-Wahaibi, T., and Angeli, P. (2011). Experimental Study on Interfacial Waves in Stratified Horizontal Oil–Water Flow. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 37 (8), 930-940.
- Al-Wahaibi, T., Smith, M., and Angeli, P. (2007). Effect of Drag-Reducing Polymers on Horizontal Oil–Water Flows. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 57 (3), 334-346.
- Al-Wahaibi, T., Yusuf, N., Al-Wahaibi, Y., and Al-Ajmi, A. (2012). Experimental Study on the Transition between Stratified and Non-stratified Horizontal Oil–Water Flow. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 38 (1), 126-135.
- Al-Wahaibi, T. K. (2006). *Investigations on the Transition between Stratified and Non-stratified Horizontal Oil–Water Flows*. PhD Thesis, University College London, London, UK.
- Alcazar-Vara, L. A., and Buenrostro-Gonzalez, E. (2013). *Liquid–Solid Phase Equilibria of Paraffinic Systems by DSC Measurements*. In Elkordy, A. A. (Ed.) *Applications of Calorimetry in a Wide Context—Differential Scanning Calorimetry, Isothermal Titration Calorimetry and Microcalorimetry*. (pp. 253-276). Rijeka, Croatia: InTech.
- Alwadani, M. S. (2010). *Characterization and Rheology of Water-in-Oil Emulsion from Deepwater Fields*. MSc Thesis, Rice University, Houston, Texas.
- An, Z., Ningde, J., Lusheng, Z., and Zhongke, G. (2014). Liquid Holdup Measurement in Horizontal Oil–Water Two-Phase Flow by Using Concave Capacitance Sensor. *Measurement*, 49, 153-163.
- Angeli, P., and Hewitt, G. (1999). Pressure Gradient in Horizontal Liquid–Liquid Flows. *International journal of multiphase flow*, 24 (7), 1183-1203.
- Angeli, P., and Hewitt, G. (2000). Flow Structure in Horizontal Oil–Water Flow. *International journal of multiphase flow*, 26 (7), 1117-1140.
- Anosike, C. F. (2007). *Effect of Flow Patterns on Oil–Water Flow Paraffin Deposition in Horizontal Pipes*. MEng Thesis, University of Tulsa, Oklahoma.
- Arirachakaran, S., Oglesby, K., Malinowsky, M., Shoham, O., and Brill, J. (1989). An Analysis of Oil/Water Flow Phenomena in Horizontal Pipes. *SPE Production Operations Symposium*. 13-14 March. Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, 155-167.
- Arzpeyma, G., Gheribi, A. E., and Medraj, M. (2013). On the Prediction of Gibbs Free Energy of Mixing of Binary Liquid Alloys. *The Journal of Chemical Thermodynamics*, 57, 82-91.

- Aske, N., and Statoil, A. (2011). Wax Control, Guest Lecture in TPG 4135 Processing of Petroleum, 7 March.
- Bai, Y., and Bai, Q. (2005). *Subsea Pipelines and Risers*. (1st ed.) Oxford, UK: Elsevier.
- Bailey, S. J., and Baldini, N. (2007). *Annual Book of ASTM Standards 2007: Electrical Insulation and Electronics*. (1st ed.) Charlottesville, Virginia: American Society for Testing and Materials.
- Baker, A., Nielson, K., and Gabb, A. (1988). Pressure Loss, Liquid Holdup Calculations Developed. *Oil Gas J.*, 86 (11), 55-59.
- Ball, R. C., and Richmond, P. (1980). Dynamics of Colloidal Dispersions. *Physics and Chemistry of Liquids*, 9 (2), 99-116.
- Bannwart, A. C. (2001). Modeling Aspects of Oil–Water Core-Annular Flows. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 32 (2), 127-143.
- Bannwart, A. C., Rodriguez, O. M., de Carvalho, C. H., Wang, I. S., and Vara, R. M. (2004). Flow Patterns in Heavy Crude Oil–Water Flow. *Journal of Energy Resources Technology*, 126 (3), 184-189.
- Bazhin, N. M. (2015). Gibbs Energy Role in Fresh and Salt Water Mixing. *Desalination*, 365, 343-346.
- Becker, J. (1997). *Crude Oil Waxes, Emulsions, and Asphaltenes*. (1st ed.) Tulsa, Oklahoma: PennWell Publishing Company.
- Becktel, W. J., and Schellman, J. A. (1987). Protein Stability Curves. *Biopolymers*, 26 (11), 1859-1877.
- Bertola, V. (2014). *Modelling and Experimentation in Two-Phase Flow*. (6th ed.) New York: Springer.
- Binks, B. P. (2002). Particles as Surfactants—Similarities and Differences. *Current Opinion in Colloid & Interface Science*, 7 (1), 21-41.
- Binks, B. P., and Rocher, A. (2009). Effects of Temperature on Water-in-Oil Emulsions Stabilised Solely by Wax Microparticles. *Journal of colloid and interface science*, 335 (1), 94-104.
- Blasius, H. (1908). Ober Grenzsichten in Flussigkeiten bei sehr Kleiner Reibung. *Z. Math. Phys*, 56, 1-37.
- Bordalo, S., and Oliveira, R. (2007). Experimental Study of Oil/Water Flow with Paraffin Precipitation in Subsea Pipelines. *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition*. 11-14 November. Anaheim, California, 3806 - 3813.

- Brauner, N. (2003). *Liquid–Liquid Two-phase Flow Systems*. In Bertola, V. (Ed.) *Modelling and Experimentation in Two-Phase Flow*. (pp. 221-279). Vienna: Springer.
- Brauner, N., and Maron, D. M. (1989). 2 Phase Liquid Liquid Stratified Flow. *Physicochemical Hydrodynamics*, 11 (4), 487-506.
- Brauner, N., and Maron, D. M. (1992). Stability Analysis of Stratified Liquid–Liquid Flow. *International journal of multiphase flow*, 18 (1), 103-121.
- Brauner, N., Maron, D. M., and Rovinsky, J. (1998). A Two-Fluid Model for Stratified Flows with Curved Interfaces. *International journal of multiphase flow*, 24 (6), 975-1004.
- Brauner, N., and Ullmann, A. (2002). Modeling of Phase Inversion Phenomenon in Two-Phase Pipe Flows. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 28 (7), 1177-1204.
- Brill, J. P., and Beggs, H. D. (1994). *Two-Phase Flow in Pipes*. (6th ed.) Tulsa, Oklahoma: University of Tulsa.
- Brinkman, H. (1952). The Viscosity of Concentrated Suspensions and Solutions. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 20 (4), 571-571.
- Brueckner, T., Rahman, M., and Awad, M. (2015). An Experimental Study on Two-Phase Flow at Different Orientations. *ASME 2015 34th International Conference on Ocean, Offshore and Arctic Engineering*. May 31 to June 5. Newfoundland, Canada, 1-13.
- Burba, C. M., and Janzen, J. (2015). Confinement Effects on the Phase Transition Temperature of Aqueous NaCl Solutions: The Extended Gibbs–Thomson Equation. *Thermochimica Acta*, 615, 81-87.
- Burguera, J. L., and Burguera, M. (2012). Analytical Applications of Emulsions and Microemulsions. *Talanta*, 96, 11-20.
- Buthod, P., Perez, N., and Thompson, R. (1978). Program, Constants Developed for SRK Equation. *Oil & Gas Journal*, 76 (48), 60-64.
- Cai, J., Li, C., Tang, X., Ayello, F., Richter, S., and Nestic, S. (2012). Experimental Study of Water Wetting in Oil–Water Two Phase Flow—Horizontal Flow of Model Oil. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 73, 334-344.
- Chaikunchuensakun, S., Stiel, L. I., and Baker, E. L. (2002). A Combined Algorithm for Stability and Phase Equilibrium by Gibbs Free Energy Minimization. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 41 (16), 4132-4140.

- Chakrabarti, D., Das, G., and Ray, S. (2005). Pressure Drop in Liquid–liquid Two Phase Horizontal Flow: Experiment and Prediction. *Chemical Engineering & Technology*, 28 (9), 1003-1009.
- Chakrabarti, D., Pilgrim, A., Sastry, M., and Das, G. (2010). Identification of Liquid-Liquid Flow Pattern in a Horizontal Pipe Using Artificial Neural Networks. *Chemical Engineering Communications*, 198 (2), 273-285.
- Chappat, M. (1994). Some Applications of Emulsions. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 91, 57-77.
- Charles, M. E., Govier, G. t., and Hodgson, G. (1961). The Horizontal Pipeline Flow of Equal Density Oil–Water Mixtures. *The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 39 (1), 27-36.
- Charles, M. t., and Lilleht, L. (1966). Correlation of Pressure Gradients for the Stratified Laminar-Turbulent Pipeline Flow of Two Immiscible Liquids. *The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 44 (1), 47-49.
- Chávez, L. M., Aguilar, R. A., Alonso, F., and Ancheyta, J. (2015). Minimization of Gibbs Energy with Phase Stability Test for Determining Vapor–Liquid Equilibrium of Hydrogen-Middle Distillate Systems at Hydrotreating Conditions. *Fuel*, 162, 91-100.
- Chen, X., and Guo, L. (1999). Flow Patterns and Pressure Drop in Oil–Air–Water Three-Phase Flow Through Helically Coiled Tubes. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 25 (6), 1053-1072.
- Churchill, S. W. (1977). Friction-Factor Equation Spans all Fluid-Flow Regimes. *Chemical Engineering*, 84 (24), 91-92.
- Cohen, L. S., and Hanratty, T. J. (1968). Effect of Waves at a Gas–Liquid Interface on a Turbulent Air Flow. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 31 (03), 467-479.
- Colebrook, C. F., Blench, T., Chatley, H., Essex, E., Finnicome, J., Lacey, G., Williamson, J., and Macdonald, G. (1939). Correspondence. Turbulent Flow In Pipes, With Particular Reference To The Transition Region Between The Smooth And Rough Pipe Laws. (Includes Plates). *Journal of the Institution of Civil Engineers*, 12 (8), 393-422.
- Colombo, L., Guilizzoni, M., Sotgia, G., Bortolotti, S., and Pavan, L. (2014). Measurement of the Oil Holdup for a Two-Phase Oil–Water Flow Through a Sudden Contraction in a Horizontal Pipe. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 501 (1), 1-7.

- Colombo, L., Guilizzoni, M., Sotgia, G., and Marzorati, D. (2015). Influence of Sudden Contractions on In-situ Volume Fractions for Oil–Water Flows in Horizontal Pipes. *International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow*, 53, 91-97.
- Corin, K., and O'Connor, C. (2014). A Proposal to Use Excess Gibbs Energy Rather Than HLB Number as an Indicator of the Hydrophilic–Liphophilic Behavior of Surfactants. *Minerals Engineering*, 58, 17-21.
- Couto, G. H., Chen, H., Dellecase, E., Sarica, C., and Volk, M. (2006). An Investigation of Two-Phase Oil/Water Paraffin Deposition. *Offshore Technology Conference*. 1-4 May. Houston, Texas, 49-55.
- Da Silva, M., Schleicher, E., and Hampel, U. (2007). Capacitance Wire-Mesh Sensor for Fast Measurement of Phase Fraction Distributions. *Measurement Science and Technology*, 18 (7), 22-45.
- Dalmazzone, C., Noik, C., and Clause, D. (2009). Application Of DSC for Emulsified System Characterization. *Oil & Gas Science and Technology—Revue de l'IFP*, 64 (5), 543-555.
- Danner, R. P., and Daubert, T. E. (1983). *Technical Data Book—Petroleum Refining*. (4th ed.) Washington, D.C. : American Petroleum Institute. Division of Refining.
- David, F., and Aronova, S. (2013). Biomarkers in Crude Oil. *Chemistry & Industry*, 77 (12), 24-27.
- De Castro, M. S., Pereira, C. C., Dos Santos, J. N., and Rodriguez, O. M. (2012). Geometrical and Kinematic Properties of Interfacial Waves in Stratified Oil–Water Flow in Inclined Pipe. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*, 37, 171-178.
- De Yoreo, J. J., and Vekilov, P. G. (2003). Principles of Crystal Nucleation and Growth. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry*, 54 (1), 57-93.
- Díaz-Ponce, J. A., Flores, E. A., Lopez-Ortega, A., Hernández-Cortez, J. G., Estrada, A., Castro, L. V., and Vazquez, F. (2010). Differential Scanning Calorimetry Characterization of Water-in-Oil Emulsions from Mexican Crude Oils. *Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry*, 102 (3), 899-906.
- dos Santos, R. G., Mohamed, R. S., Bannwart, A. C., and Loh, W. (2006). Contact Angle Measurements and Wetting Behavior of Inner Surfaces of Pipelines Exposed to Heavy Crude Oil and Water. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 51 (1), 9-16.

- Du, M., Jin, N. D., Gao, Z. K., Wang, Z. Y., and Zhai, L. S. (2012). Flow Pattern and Water Holdup Measurements of Vertical Upward Oil–Water Two-Phase Flow in Small Diameter Pipes. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 41, 91-105.
- Dukler, A., Wicks, M., and Cleveland, R. (1964). Frictional Pressure Drop in Two-Phase Flow: A. A Comparison of Existing Correlations for Pressure Loss and Holdup. *AIChE Journal*, 10 (1), 38-43.
- Dunia, R., Campo, A., and Guzman, R. (2011). Study of Pressure and Temperature Developing Profiles in Crude Oil Pipe Flows. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 78 (2), 486-496.
- Edomwonyi-Otu, L. C., and Angeli, P. (2015). Pressure Drop and Holdup Predictions in Horizontal Oil–Water Flows for Curved and Wavy Interfaces. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 93, 55-65.
- Einstein, A. (1906). *reprinted 1956. On the Theory of Brownian Movement*. In Furth, R. (Ed.) *Investigations on the Theory of Brownian Movement*. (pp. 19-35). Mineola, New York: Dover Publications.
- Elseth, G. (2001). *An Experimental Study of Oil/Water Flow in Horizontal Pipes*. PhD Thesis. Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway.
- Fadairo, A., Ameloko, A., Ako, C., and Duyilemi, O. (2010). Modeling of Wax Deposition During Oil Production Using a Two-Phase Flash Calculation. *Petroleum & Coal*, 52 (3), 193-202.
- Fairuzov, Y. V., Arenas-Medina, P., Verdejo-Fierro, J., and Gonzalez-Islas, R. (2000). Flow Pattern Transitions in Horizontal Pipelines Carrying Oil–Water Mixtures: Full-Scale Experiments. *Journal of Energy Resources Technology*, 122 (4), 169-176.
- Fan, T., Wang, J., and Buckley, J. S. (2002). Evaluating Crude Oils by SARA Analysis. *SPE/DOE Improved Oil Recovery Symposium*. 13-17 April. Tulsa, Oklahoma, 1-7.
- Farah, M. A., Oliveira, R. C., Caldas, J. N., and Rajagopal, K. (2005). Viscosity of Water-in-Oil Emulsions: Variation with Temperature and Water Volume Fraction. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 48 (3), 169-184.
- Fateen, S.-E. K., and Bonilla-Petriciolet, A. (2014). Unconstrained Gibbs Free Energy Minimization for Phase Equilibrium Calculations in Nonreactive Systems,

- Using an Improved Cuckoo Search Algorithm. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 53 (26), 10826-10834.
- Fernandino, M., and Ytrehus, T. (2006). Determination of Flow Sub-Regimes in Stratified Air–Water Channel Flow Using LDV Spectra. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 32 (4), 436-446.
- Filippov, Y. P., Kakorin, I., and Panferov, K. (2014). Influence of Temperature on the Algorithm to Define Salty Water-in-Oil Flow Characteristics. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 58, 52-56.
- Gao, Z.-K., and Jin, N.-D. (2011). Nonlinear Characterization of Oil–Gas–Water Three-Phase Flow in Complex Networks. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 66 (12), 2660-2671.
- Gao, Z. K., Zhang, X. W., Jin, N. D., Marwan, N., and Kurths, J. (2013). Multivariate Recurrence Network Analysis for Characterizing Horizontal Oil–Water Two-Phase Flow. *Physical Review E*, 88 (3), 032910.
- Girolamo, M., Keller, A., Miyasaka, K., and Overbergh, N. (1976). Gelation–Crystallization in Isotactic Polystyrene Solutions and its Implications to Crystal Morphology, to the Origin and Structure of Gels, and to the Chemical Homogeneity of Polyolefins. *Journal of Polymer Science: Polymer Physics Edition*, 14 (1), 39-61.
- Grassi, B., Strazza, D., and Poesio, P. (2008). Experimental Validation of Theoretical Models in Two-Phase High-Viscosity Ratio Liquid–Liquid Flows in Horizontal and Slightly Inclined Pipes. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 34 (10), 950-965.
- Guth, E., and Simha, R. (1936). The Viscosity of Suspensions and Solutions. III. The Viscosity of Sphere Suspensions. *Kolloid-Zeitschrift*, 74, 266-275.
- Guzhov, A., and Medvedev, V. (1971). Pressure Losses in Flow of 2 Mutually Immiscible Liquids. *International Chemical Engineering*, 11 (1), 104-106.
- Haaland, S. E. (1983). Simple and Explicit Formulas for the Friction Factor in Turbulent Pipe Flow. *Journal of Fluids Engineering*, 105 (1), 89-90.
- Hadžiabdić, M., and Oliemans, R. V. A. (2007). Parametric Study of a Model for Determining the Liquid Flow-Rates from the Pressure Drop and Water Hold-Up in Oil-Water Flows. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 33 (12), 1365-1394.

- Hall, A. R. W. (1992). *Multiphase Flow of Oil, Water and Gas in Horizontal Pipes*. PhD Thesis. Imperial College London, London, UK.
- Hamaker, H. C. (1937). The London-van der Waals Attraction between Spherical Particles. *Physica*, 4 (10), 1058-1072.
- Hanafizadeh, P., Hojati, A., and Karimi, A. (2015). Experimental Investigation of Oil–Water Two Phase Flow Regime in an Inclined Pipe. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 136, 12-22.
- Hanafizadeh, P., Karimi, A., Taklifi, A., and Hojati, A. (2016). Experimental Investigation of Two-Phase Water–Oil Flow Pressure Drop in Inclined Pipes. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*, 74, 169-180.
- Hapanowicz, J. (2008). Slip between the Phases in Two-Phase Water–Oil Flow in a Horizontal Pipe. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 34 (6), 559-566.
- Hoogendoorn, C. (1959). Gas–Liquid Flow in Horizontal Pipes. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 9 (4), 205-217.
- Hu, B., and Angeli, P. (2006). Phase Inversion and Associated Phenomena in Oil–Water Vertical Pipeline Flow. *Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 84 (1), 94-94.
- Huang, S., Zhang, X., Wang, D., and Lin, Z. (2007). Water Holdup Measurement in Kerosene-Water Two-Phase Flows. *Measurement Science and Technology*, 18 (12), 3784.
- Huang, Z., Senra, M., Kapoor, R., and Fogler, H. S. (2011). Wax Deposition Modeling of Oil/Water Stratified Channel Flow. *Aiche Journal*, 57 (4), 841-851.
- Ibarra, R., Matar, O., Markides, C., and Zadrazil, I. (2015). An Experimental Study of Oil–Water Flows in Horizontal Pipes. *17th International Conference on Multiphase Production Technology*. 10-12 June. Cannes, France, 169-183.
- Ioannou, K., Nydal, O. J., and Angeli, P. (2005). Phase Inversion in Dispersed Liquid–Liquid Flows. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*, 29 (3), 331-339.
- Ismail, A. S. I., Ismail, I., Zoveidavianpoor, M., Mohsin, R., Piroozian, A., Misnan, M. S., and Sariman, M. Z. (2015). Experimental Investigation of Oil–Water Two-Phase Flow in Horizontal Pipes: Pressure Losses, Liquid Holdup and Flow Patterns. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 127, 409-420.
- Ismail, I., Gamio, J., Bukhari, S. A., and Yang, W. (2005). Tomography for Multi-Phase Flow Measurement in the Oil Industry. *Flow Measurement and Instrumentation*, 16 (2), 145-155.

- Israelachvili, J. N. (2011). *Intermolecular and Surface Forces*. (3rd ed.) New York: Academic Press.
- Jana, A., Das, G., and Das, P. (2006). Flow Regime Identification of Two-Phase Liquid–Liquid Upflow Through Vertical Pipe. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 61 (5), 1500-1515.
- Jayawardena, S., Alkaya, B., Redus, C., and Brill, J. (2000). *A New Model for Dispersed Multi-Layer Oil–Water Flow*. In Garry, A., and Gregory, C. Redus (Ed.) *2nd North American Conference on Multiphase Technology*. (pp. 77-90). Banff, Canada: John Wiley & Sons.
- Jennings, D. W., and Weispfennig, K. (2005). Experimental Solubility Data of Various N-Alkane Waxes: Effects of Alkane Chain Length, Alkane Odd versus Even Carbon Number Structures, and Solvent Chemistry on Solubility. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*, 227 (1), 27-35.
- Jepson, W. P., Bhongale, S., and Gopal, M. (1996). Predictive Model for Sweet Corrosion in Horizontal Multiphase Slug Flow. *NACE International Conference Sereis, CORROSION96*. 1 Jan. Denver, Colorado, Paper No.19.
- Jing, J., Sun, J., Tan, J., Huang, M., Liang, Q., and Xue, T. (2016). Investigation on Flow Patterns and Pressure Drops of Highly Viscous Crude Oil–Water Flows in a Horizontal Pipe. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*, 72, 88-96.
- Jun, C., Jinjun, Z., and Hongying, L. (2003). Determining the Wax Content of Crude Oils by Using Differential Scanning Calorimetry. *Thermochemica Acta*, 410, 23-26.
- Kee, K. E., Richter, S., Babic, M., and Nešić, S. (2014). Flow Patterns and Water Wetting in Oil–Water Two Phase Flow—A Flow Loop Study. *NACE International Conference Sereis, CORROSION2014*. 9-13 March. San Antonio, Texas, Paper No.4068.
- Khain, V., and Polyakova, I. (2004). Oil and Gas Potential of Deep- and Ultradeep-Water Zones of Continental Margins. *Lithology and Mineral Resources*, 39 (6), 530-540.
- Kokal, S. L. (2005). Crude oil emulsions: A State-of-the-Art Review. *SPE Production & Facilities*, 20 (01), 5-13.
- Kumara, W., Halvorsen, B., and Melaaen, M. C. (2009). Pressure Drop, Flow Pattern and Local Water Volume Fraction Measurements of Oil–Water Flow in Pipes. *Measurement Science and Technology*, 20 (11), 114004.

- Kurban, A. P. A. (1997). *Stratified Liquid–Liquid Flow*. PhD Thesis. Imperial College London London, UK.
- Kvandal, H., Elseth, G., and Melaaen, M. (2000). Measurement of Velocity and Phase Fraction in Dispersed Two-Phase Flow. *International Symposium on Multi-Phase Flow and Transport Phenomena*. 5-10 November. Antalya, Turkey, 333-340.
- Lees, R. (2004). Separator Profiling: Kuito FPSO Case Study. *Nigeria Annual International Conference and Exhibition*. 2-4 August. Abuja, Nigeria, 1-8.
- Li, H., and Gong, J. (2010). The Effect of Pressure on Wax Disappearance Temperature and Wax Appearance Temperature of Water Cut Crude Oil. *The Twentieth International Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference*. 20-25 June. Beijing, China, 92-96.
- Li, S., Huang, Q., He, M., and Wang, W. (2014). Effect of Water Fraction on Rheological Properties of Waxy Crude Oil Emulsions. *Journal of Dispersion Science and Technology*, 35 (8), 1114-1125.
- Li, Y., Yang, W., Xie, C. G., Huang, S., Wu, Z., Tsamakis, D., and Lenn, C. (2013). Gas/Oil/Water Flow Measurement by Electrical Capacitance Tomography. *Measurement Science and Technology*, 24 (7), 074001.
- Lide, D. R. (2000). *Handbook of Chemistry and Physics: A Ready-Reference Book Chemical and Physical Data*. (81st ed.) Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press.
- Lim, T. T., and Huang, X. (2006). In-situ Oil/Water Separation Using Hydrophobic–Oleophilic Fibrous Wall: A Lab-scale Feasibility Study for Groundwater Cleanup. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 137 (2), 820-826.
- Lockhart, R., and Martinelli, R. (1949). Proposed Correlation of Data for Isothermal Two-Phase, Two-Component Flow in Pipes. *Chem. Eng. Prog*, 45 (1), 39-48.
- Lovick, J. (2004). *Horizontal, Oil–Water Flows in the Dual Continuous Flow Regime*. PhD Thesis. University College London London, UK.
- Lovick, J., and Angeli, P. (2004). Experimental Studies on the Dual Continuous Flow Pattern in Oil–Water Flows. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 30 (2), 139-157.
- Lü, Y., He, L., He, Z., and Wang, A. (2012). A Study of Pressure Gradient Characteristics of Oil–Water Dispersed Flow in Horizontal Pipe. *Energy Procedia*, 16, 1111-1117.

- Machado, A. L., Lucas, E. F., and González, G. (2001). Poly (Ethylene-co-Vinyl Acetate) (EVA) as Wax Inhibitor of a Brazilian Crude Oil: Oil Viscosity, Pour Point and Phase Behavior of Organic Solutions. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 32 (2), 159-165.
- Macierzanka, A., Szelaąg, H., Szumała, P., Pawłowicz, R., Mackie, A. R., and Ridout, M. J. (2009). Effect of Crystalline Emulsifier Composition on Structural Transformations of Water-in-Oil Emulsions: Emulsification and Quiescent Conditions. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 334 (1), 40-52.
- Madivala, B., Vandebril, S., Fransaer, J., and Vermant, J. (2009). Exploiting Particle Shape in Solid Stabilized Emulsions. *Soft Matter*, 5 (8), 1717-1727.
- Malmberg, C., and Maryott, A. (1956). Dielectric Constant of Water from 0° to 100° C. *J Res Nat Bureau Stand*, 56, 1-8.
- Mandal, T., Chakrabarti, D., and Das, G. (2007). Oil Water Flow through Different Diameter Pipes: Similarities and Differences. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 85 (8), 1123-1128.
- Manning, F., and Thompson, R. (1995). *Oilfield Processing Volume 2: Crude Oil*. (1st ed.) Tulsa, Oklahoma: Pennwell Publishing Company.
- Matzain, A., Apte, M. S., Zhang, H. Q., Volk, M., Brill, J. P., and Creek, J. (2002). Investigation of Paraffin Deposition during Multiphase Flow in Pipelines and Wellbores—part 1: Experiments. *Journal of Energy Resources Technology*, 124 (3), 180-186.
- McKnight, T. L., Hess, D., and Onesti, L. J. (2005). *Physical Geography: A Landscape Appreciation*. (8th ed.) USA: Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Monteux, C., Kirkwood, J., Xu, H., Jung, E., and Fuller, G. G. (2007). Determining the Mechanical Response of Particle-Laden Fluid Interfaces Using Surface Pressure Isotherms and Bulk Pressure Measurements of Droplets. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 9 (48), 6344-6350.
- Mukhaimer, A., Al-Sarkhi, A., El Nakla, M., Ahmed, W., and Al-Hadhrami, L. (2015). Pressure Drop and Flow Pattern of Oil–Water Flow For Low Viscosity Oils: Role of Mixture Viscosity. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 73, 90-96.

- Myers, J. K., Nick Pace, C., and Martin Scholtz, J. (1995). Denaturant m Values and Heat Capacity Changes: Relation to Changes in Accessible Surface Areas of Protein Unfolding. *Protein Science*, 4 (10), 2138-2148.
- Nädler, M., and Mewes, D. (1997). Flow Induced Emulsification in the Flow of Two Immiscible Liquids in Horizontal Pipes. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 23 (1), 55-68.
- Naidu, K., Mandal, T., and Majumder, S. (2015). Analysis of Frictional Pressure Drop based on Flow Regimes of Oil–water Flow in Pipeline. *Journal of Scientific & Industrial Research*, 74, 180-184.
- Ng, T., Lawrence, C., and Hewitt, G. (2001). Interface Shapes for Two-Phase Laminar Stratified Flow in a Circular Pipe. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 27 (7), 1301-1311.
- Ngan, K. H. (2011). *Phase Inversion in Dispersed Liquid–Liquid Pipe Flow*. PhD Thesis. University College London London, UK.
- Ngan, K. H., Ioannou, K., Rhyne, L. D., Wang, W., and Angeli, P. (2009). A Methodology for Predicting Phase Inversion during Liquid–Liquid Dispersed Pipeline Flow. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 87 (3), 318-324.
- Oddie, G., Shi, H., Durlofsky, L., Aziz, K., Pfeffer, B., and Holmes, J. (2003). Experimental Study of Two and Three Phase Flows in Large Diameter Inclined Pipes. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 29 (4), 527-558.
- Oh, K. O. (2012). *U.S. Patent No. 8,326,548*. Washington, DC: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
- Pal, R. (1993). Pipeline Flow of Unstable and Surfactant-Stabilized Emulsions. *Aiche Journal*, 39 (11), 1754-1764.
- Panacharoensawad, E., and Sarica, C. (2013). Experimental Study of Single-Phase and Two-Phase Water-in-Crude-Oil Dispersed Flow Wax Deposition in a Mini Pilot-Scale Flow Loop. *Energy & Fuels*, 27 (9), 5036-5053.
- Park, S. M. (2014). *Numerical Simulation of Core-Annular Flow in Curved Pipe*. MSc Thesis. Delft University of Technology, Delft, Netherlands.
- Paso, K., Silset, A., Sørland, G., Gonçalves, M. d. A., and Sjöblom, J. (2008). Characterization of the Formation, Flowability, and Resolution of Brazilian Crude Oil Emulsions. *Energy & Fuels*, 23 (1), 471-480.
- Pawar, A. B., Caggioni, M., Ergun, R., Hartel, R. W., and Spicer, P. T. (2011). Arrested Coalescence in Pickering Emulsions. *Soft Matter*, 7 (17), 7710-7716.

- Pedersen, K. S., Christensen, P. L., and Shaikh, J. A. (2014). *Phase Behavior of Petroleum Reservoir Fluids*. (2nd ed.): CRC Press.
- Picchi, D., Strazza, D., Demori, M., Ferrari, V., and Poesio, P. (2015). An Experimental Investigation and Two-Fluid Model Validation for Dilute Viscous Oil in Water Dispersed Pipe Flow. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*, 60, 28-34.
- Piela, K., Delfos, R., Ooms, G., Westerweel, J., and Oliemans, R. (2008). On the Phase Inversion Process in an Oil-Water Pipe Flow. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 34 (7), 665-677.
- Plasencia, J., Pettersen, B., and Nydal, O. J. (2013). Pipe Flow of Water-in-Crude Oil Emulsions: Effective Viscosity, Inversion Point and Droplet Size Distribution. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 101, 35-43.
- Poesio, P., and Beretta, G. (2008). Minimal Dissipation Rate Approach to Correlate Phase Inversion Data. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 34 (7), 684-689.
- Prasad, J., Philip, P. C., Sharma, V., and Verma, P. K. (2008). Flow Assurance in 30" BUT Subsea Pipeline without the Usage of PPD. *Offshore Technology Conference*. 5-8 May. Houston, Texas, 1-11.
- Queimada, A. J., Dauphin, C., Marrucho, I. M., and Coutinho, J. A. (2001). Low Temperature Behaviour of Refined Products from DSC Measurements and Their Thermodynamical Modelling. *Thermochimica Acta*, 372 (1), 93-101.
- Richardson, E. (1950). The Formation and Flow of Emulsion. *Journal of Colloid Science*, 5 (4), 404-413.
- Rodriguez, I. H., Yamaguti, H. K., De Castro, M. S., Da Silva, M. J., and Rodriguez, O. M. (2011). Slip Ratio in Dispersed Viscous Oil–Water Pipe Flow. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*, 35 (1), 11-19.
- Rodriguez, O., and Baldani, L. (2012). Prediction of Pressure Gradient and Holdup in Wavy Stratified Liquid–Liquid Inclined Pipe Flow. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 96, 140-151.
- Rodriguez, O., and Oliemans, R. (2006). Experimental Study on Oil–Water Flow in Horizontal and Slightly Inclined Pipes. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 32 (3), 323-343.
- Roenningsen, H. P., Bjoerndal, B., Baltzer Hansen, A., and Batsberg Pedersen, W. (1991). Wax Precipitation from North Sea Crude Oils: 1. Crystallization and

- Dissolution Temperatures, and Newtonian and Non-Newtonian Flow Properties. *Energy & Fuels*, 5 (6), 895-908.
- Schuster, D. (1987). *Encyclopedia of Emulsion Technology: Basic Theory, Measurement, Applications*. (1st ed.) New York: CRC Press.
- Shang, W., and Sarica, C. (2010). Temperature Prediction for Two-Phase Oil/Water Stratified Flow. *7th North American Conference on Multiphase Technology*. 2-4 June. Banff, Canada, 251-264.
- Shang, W., and Sarica, C. (2013). A Model for Temperature Prediction for Two-Phase Oil/Water Stratified Flow. *Journal of Energy Resources Technology*, 135 (3), 032906.
- Shi, H. (2001). *A Study of Oil-Water Flows in Large Diameter Horizontal Pipelines*. PhD Thesis. Ohio University, Athens, Ohio.
- Shoham, O., and Taitel, Y. (1984). Stratified Turbulent–Turbulent Gas–Liquid Flow in Horizontal and Inclined Pipes. *AIChE journal*, 30 (3), 377-385.
- Singh, P., Venkatesan, R., Fogler, H. S., and Nagarajan, N. (2000). Formation and Aging of Incipient Thin Film Wax-Oil Gels. *AIChE journal*, 46 (5), 1059-1074.
- Smith, H. V., and Arnold, K. E. (1992). *Crude Oil Emulsions*. In Bradley, H. B. (Ed.) *Petroleum Engineering Handbook*. 3rd ed (pp. 19.1-19.34). Richardson, Texas: Society of Petroleum Engineers.
- Soleimani, A. (1999). *Phase Distribution and Associated Phenomena in Oil–Water Flows in Horizontal Tubes*. PhD Thesis. Imperial College London London, UK.
- Sotgia, G., and Tartarini, P. (2004). The Flow of Oil–Water Mixtures in Horizontal Pipes. State of the Art and Recent Developments on Pressure Drop Reductions and Flow Regime Transitions. *3rd International Symposium on Two-Phase Flow: Modeling and Experimentation*. 22-25 September Pisa, Italy, 16-22.
- Sotgia, G., Tartarini, P., and Stalio, E. (2008). Experimental Analysis of Flow Regimes and Pressure Drop Reduction in Oil–Water Mixtures. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 34 (12), 1161-1174.
- Spedding, P., Donnelly, G., and Cole, J. (2005). Three Phase Oil–Water–Gas Horizontal Co-Current Flow: I. Experimental and Regime Map. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 83 (4), 401-411.
- Speight, J. (2007). *The Chemistry and Technology of Petroleum*. CRC Press, USA: Springer.

- Spiecker, P. M., Gawrys, K. L., Trail, C. B., and Kilpatrick, P. K. (2003). Effects of Petroleum Resins on Asphaltene Aggregation and Water-in-Oil Emulsion Formation. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 220 (1), 9-27.
- Spolar, R. S., Livingstone, J. R., and Record Jr, M. T. (1992). Use of Liquid Hydrocarbon and Amide Transfer Data to Estimate Contributions to Thermodynamic Functions of Protein Folding from the Removal of Nonpolar and Polar Surface from Water. *Biochemistry*, 31 (16), 3947-3955.
- Sridhar, S. (2011). *Experimental Study of High-Viscosity Oil/Water Flow in Inclined Pipes*. PhD Thesis. University of Tulsa, Tulsa, Oklahoma.
- Stapelberg, H. H., and Mewes, D. (1994). The Pressure Loss and Slug Frequency of Liquid-Liquid-Gas Slug Flow in Horizontal Pipes. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 20 (2), 285-303.
- Stewart, M. (2015). *Surface Production Operations: Volume III: Facility Piping and Pipeline Systems*. (3rd ed.) Oxford, UK: Gulf Professional Publishing.
- Stewart, M., and Arnold, K. (2008). *Emulsions and Oil Treating Equipment: Selection, Sizing and Troubleshooting*. (1st ed.) Oxford, UK: Gulf Professional Publishing.
- Strazza, D., Grassi, B., Demori, M., Ferrari, V., and Poesio, P. (2011). Core-Annular Flow in Horizontal and Slightly Inclined Pipes: Existence, Pressure Drops, and Hold-Up. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 66 (12), 2853-2863.
- Sullivan, A. P., and Kilpatrick, P. K. (2002). The Effects of Inorganic Solid Particles on Water and Crude Oil Emulsion Stability. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 41 (14), 3389-3404.
- Sun, G., Zhang, J., and Li, H. (2014). Structural Behaviors of Waxy Crude Oil Emulsion Gels. *Energy & Fuels*, 28 (6), 3718-3729.
- Tabatabaei-Nejad, S. A., and Khodapanah, E. (2010). Application of Chebyshev Polynomials to Predict Phase Behavior of Fluids Containing Asphaltene and Associating Components Using SAFT Equation of State. *Fuel*, 89 (9), 2511-2521.
- Taitel, Y., Barnea, D., and Brill, J. (1995). Stratified Three Phase Flow in Pipes. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 21 (1), 53-60.

- Taitel, Y., and Dukler, A. (1976). A Model for Predicting Flow Regime Transitions in Horizontal and Near Horizontal Gas–Liquid Flow. *AIChE Journal*, 22 (1), 47-55.
- Tambe, D. E., and Sharma, M. M. (1993). Factors Controlling the Stability of Colloid-Stabilized Emulsions: I. An Experimental Investigation. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 157 (1), 244-253.
- Tan, C., Li, P., Dai, W., and Dong, F. (2015). Characterization of Oil–Water Two-Phase Pipe Flow with a Combined Conductivity/Capacitance Sensor and Wavelet Analysis. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 134, 153-168.
- Tan, C., Wu, H., and Dong, F. (2013). Horizontal Oil–Water Two-Phase Flow Measurement with Information Fusion of Conductance Ring Sensor and Cone Meter. *Flow Measurement and Instrumentation*, 34, 83-90.
- Tarlet, D., Bellettre, J., Tazerout, M., and Rahmouni, C. (2009). Prediction of Micro-Explosion Delay of Emulsified Fuel Droplets. *International Journal of Thermal Sciences*, 48 (2), 449-460.
- Tarlet, D., Bellettre, J., Tazerout, M., and Rahmouni, C. (2010). Formulation and Combustion of Emulsified Fuel: The Changes in Emission of Carbonaceous Residue. *International Journal of Energy Research*, 34 (8), 688-694.
- Taylor, G. I. (1932). The Viscosity of a Fluid Containing Small Drops of Another Fluid. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Containing Papers of a Mathematical and Physical Character*, 138 (834), 41-48.
- Thanh, N. X., Hsieh, M., and Philp, R. (1999). Waxes and asphaltenes in crude oils. *Organic Geochemistry*, 30 (2), 119-132.
- Theissing, P. (1980). A Generally Valid Method for Calculating Frictional Pressure-Drop on Multiphase Flow. *Chemie Ingenieur Technik*, 52 (4), 344-345.
- Toda, K., and Furuse, H. (2006). Extension of Einstein's Viscosity Equation to that for Concentrated Dispersions of Solutes and Particles. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, 102 (6), 524-528.
- Trallero, J. L. (1995). *Oil–Water Flow Patterns in Horizontal Pipes*. PhD Thesis. University of Tulsa Tulsa, Oklahoma.
- Trallero, J. L., Sarica, C., and Brill, J. (1997). A Study of Oil–Water Flow Patterns in Horizontal Pipes. *SPE Production & Facilities*, 12 (03), 165-172.

- Ullmann, A., and Brauner, N. (2006). Closure Relations for Two-Fluid Models for Two-Phase Stratified Smooth and Stratified Wavy Flows. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 32 (1), 82-105.
- Ullmann, A., Zamir, M., Ludmer, L., and Brauner, N. (2001). Flow Patterns and Flooding Mechanisms in Liquid–Liquid Counter-current Flow in Inclined Tubes. *ICMF2001*. May 27 to June 1. New Orleans, Louisiana, 12-18.
- Valle, A. (2000). *Three Phase Gas–Oil–Water Pipe Flow*. PhD Thesis. Imperial College London London, UK.
- Valle, A., and Kvandal, H. (1995). Pressure Drop and Dispersion Characteristics of Separated Oil/Water Flow. *Symposium on Two-Phase Flow Modelling and Experimentation*. 9-11 October. Rome, Italy, 583-592.
- Vand, V. (1948). Viscosity of Solutions and Suspensions. I. Theory. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry*, 52 (2), 277-299.
- Venkatraman, A., Lake, L. W., and Johns, R. T. (2014). Gibbs Free Energy Minimization for Prediction of Solubility of Acid Gases in Water. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 53 (14), 6157-6168.
- Vielma, J. C. (2006). *Rheological Behavior of Oil–Water Dispersion Flow in Horizontal Pipes*. PhD Thesis. University of Tulsa, Tulsa, Oklahoma.
- Vielma, M. A., Atmaca, S., Sarica, C., and Zhang, H. Q. (2008). Characterization of Oil/Water Flows in Horizontal Pipes. *SPE Projects, Facilities & Construction*, 3 (04), 1-21.
- Visintin, R. F., Lockhart, T. P., Lapasin, R., and D'Antona, P. (2008). Structure of Waxy Crude Oil Emulsion Gels. *Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics*, 149 (1), 34-39.
- Von Hippel, A. R., and Labounsky, A. S. (1995). *Dielectric Materials and Applications*. (1st ed.) London, UK: Artech House.
- Vuong, D. H., Zhang, H.-Q., Sarica, C., and Li, M. (2009). Experimental Study on High Viscosity Oil/Water Flow in Horizontal and Vertical Pipes. *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition*. 4-7 October. New Orleans, Louisiana.
- Wagner, W., Cooper, J., Dittmann, A., Kijima, J., Kretschmar, H. J., Kruse, A., Mares, R., Oguchi, K., Sato, H., and Stocker, I. (2000). The IAPWS Industrial Formulation 1997 for the Thermodynamic Properties of Water and Steam. *Journal of Engineering for Gas Turbines and Power*, 122 (1), 150-184.

- Wallis, G. B. (1969). *One-Dimensional Two-Phase Flow*. (1st ed.) New York City: McGraw-Hill Companies.
- Wang, H., and Haarseth, C. A. (2014). Deepwater Flowline Internal Corrosion Control—Safety by Design. *The Twenty-fourth International Ocean and Polar Engineering Conference*. 15-20 June. Busan, Korea 394-401.
- Wang, K., Mai, K., Han, Z., and Zeng, H. (2001). Interaction of Self-nucleation and the Addition of a Nucleating Agent on the Crystallization Behavior of Isotactic Polypropylene. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 81 (1), 78-84.
- Wang, P., Wang, W., Gong, J., Zhou, Y., and Yang, W. (2013). Effects of the Dispersed Phase on Oil/Water Wax Deposition. *Journal of Energy Resources Technology*, 135 (4), 042902.
- Wang, W., Gong, J., and Angeli, P. (2011). Investigation on Heavy Crude-Water Two Phase Flow and Related Flow Characteristics. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 37 (9), 1156-1164.
- Wang, Z., Jin, N., Gao, Z., Zong, Y., and Wang, T. (2010). Nonlinear Dynamical Analysis of Large Diameter Vertical Upward Oil–Gas–Water Three-Phase Flow Pattern Characteristics. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 65 (18), 5226-5236.
- Wang, Z., Liu, Y., Li, J., Zhuge, X., and Zhang, L. (2016). Study on Two-Phase Oil–Water Gelling Deposition Behavior in Low-Temperature Transportation. *Energy & Fuels*, 30 (6), 4570–4582.
- Wyckoff, R., and Botset, H. (1936). The Flow of Gas-Liquid Mixtures Through Unconsolidated Sands. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 7 (9), 325-345.
- Xiong, S., Qi, W., Huang, B., Wang, M., and Wei, L. (2011). Gibbs Free Energy and Size—Temperature Phase Diagram of Hafnium Nanoparticles. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 115 (21), 10365-10369.
- Xiuwei, F., Xiaohong, L., Laigui, Y., and Zhijun, Z. (2010). Effect of In-situ Surface-Modified Nano-Sio₂ on the Thermal and Mechanical Properties and Crystallization Behavior of Nylon 1010. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 115 (6), 3339-3347.
- Xu, H., Melle, S., Golemanov, K., and Fuller, G. (2005). Shape and Buckling Transitions in Solid-Stabilized Drops. *Langmuir*, 21 (22), 10016-10020.

- Xu, J., Li, D., Guo, J., and Wu, Y. (2010). Investigations of Phase Inversion and Frictional Pressure Gradients in Upward and Downward Oil–Water Flow in Vertical Pipes. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 36 (11), 930-939.
- Xu, J., Zhang, J., Liu, H., and Wu, Y. (2012). Oil–Gas–Water Three-Phase Upward Flow through a Vertical Pipe: Influence of Gas Injection on the Pressure Gradient. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 46, 1-8.
- Xu, X. (2007). Study on Oil–Water Two-Phase Flow in Horizontal Pipelines. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 59 (1), 43-58.
- Yeh, G. C., Haynie, F. H., and Moses, R. A. (1964). Phase-Volume Relationship at the Point of Phase Inversion in Liquid Dispersions. *AIChE journal*, 10 (2), 260-265.
- Yusuf, N., Al-Wahaibi, Y., Al-Wahaibi, T., Al-Ajmi, A., Olawale, A., and Mohammed, I. (2012). Effect of Oil Viscosity on the Flow Structure and Pressure Gradient in Horizontal Oil–Water Flow. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 90 (8), 1019-1030.
- Zendah, H., and Khattech, I. (2015). Standard Enthalpy, Entropy and Gibbs Free Energy of Formation of “B” Type Carbonate Fluorapatites. *The Journal of Chemical Thermodynamics*, 87, 29-33.
- Zhai, L., Jin, N., Gao, Z., Zhao, A., and Zhu, L. (2014). Cross-Correlation Velocity Measurement of Horizontal Oil–Water Two-Phase Flow by Using Parallel-Wire Capacitance Probe. *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*, 53, 277-289.
- Zhai, L., Jin, N., Zong, Y., Hao, Q., and Gao, Z. (2015). Experimental Flow Pattern Map, Slippage and Time-Frequency Representation of Oil–Water Two-Phase Flow in Horizontal Small Diameter Pipes. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, 76, 168-186.
- Zhang, C., Zhang, T., and Yuan, C. (2011). Oil Holdup Prediction of Oil–Water Two Phase Flow Using Thermal Method based on Multiwavelet Transform and Least Squares Support Vector Machine. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 38 (3), 1602-1610.
- Zhang, H., Sarica, C., and Pereyra, E. (2012). Review of High-Viscosity Oil Multiphase Pipe Flow. *Energy & Fuels*, 26 (7), 3979-3985.

- Zhang, H., Vuong, D. H., and Sarica, C. (2012). Modeling High-Viscosity Oil/Water Cocurrent Flows in Horizontal and Vertical Pipes. *SPE Journal*, 17 (01), 243-250.
- Zhang, Y., Gong, J., Ren, Y., and Wang, P. (2009). Effect of Emulsion Characteristics on Wax Deposition from Water-in-Waxy Crude Oil Emulsions under Static Cooling Conditions. *Energy & Fuels*, 24 (2), 1146-1155.
- Zhao, Y., Chen, G., and Yuan, Q. (2006). Liquid–Liquid Two-Phase Flow Patterns in a Rectangular Microchannel. *AIChE journal*, 52 (12), 4052-4060.
- Zigrang, D., and Sylvester, N. (1985). A Review of Explicit Friction Factor Equations. *Journal of Energy Resources Technology*, 107 (2), 280-283.